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#### Abstract

The Marker Hypothesis was first defined by Thomas Green in 1979. It is a psycho-linguistic hypothesis defining that there is a set of words in every language that marks boundaries of phrases in a sentence. While it remains a hypothesis because nobody has proved it, tests have shows that results are comparable to basic shallow parsers with higher efficiency.

The chunking algorithm based on the Marker Hypothesis is simple, fast and almost language independent. It depends on a list of closed-class words, that are already available for most languages. This makes it suitable for bilingual chunking (there is not the requirement for separate language shallow parsers).

This paper discusses the use of the Marker Hypothesis combined with Probabilistic Translation Dictionaries for examplebased machine translation resources extraction from parallel corpora. Index Terms: Marker Hypothesis, Probabilistic Translation Dictionaries, Translation Examples, Machine Translation


## 1. Introduction

Machine Translation (MT) and Computer Assisted Translation (CAT) use previously translated documents, for example parallel corpora aligned at the sentence level or the usual CAT translation memories. Unfortunately not all systems are able to adapt bilingual big sentence pairs to new sentences that require translation. This lack of re-usability is the motivation for Example-Based Machine Translation, a MT approach that segments bilingual sentence pairs into smaller segments with higher re-usability. These segments we call translation examples.

There are different articles on translation examples extraction and generalization [1]. Sentence segmentation is generally undertaken with language parsers or directly with generalization approaches [2, 3].

There is other work [4] using the Markers Hypothesis [5] for this segmentation, but it is not dealing with the examples alignment or with Iberian languages.

The presented document uses Probabilistic Translation Dictionaries (PTD) [6] together with the Marker Hypothesis to segment translation units into smaller aligned chunks (translation examples).

## 2. Probabilistic Translation Dictionaries

One of the most important resources for MT is translation dictionaries. They are indispensable, as they establish relationships between the language atoms: words. Unfortunately, freely available translation dictionaries have small coverage and for minority languages, are quite rare. It is crucial to have an automated method for the extraction of word relationships.

Simões and Almeida [6] explain how a probabilistic word alignment algorithm can be used for the automatic extraction of probabilistic translation dictionaries. This process relies on sentence-aligned parallel corpora.

The algorithm is language independent and therefore can be applied to any language pair. Experiments were executed using diverse languages, which included Portuguese, English, French, German, Greek, Hebrew and Latin [7]. The algorithm is based on word co-occurrences and its analysis with statistical methods. The result is a probabilistic dictionary which associate words on two languages.

These dictionaries map words from a source language to a set of associated words (probable translations) in the target language. Given that the alignment matrix is not symmetric, the process extracts two dictionaries: from source to target language and vice-versa.

The formal specification for one probabilistic translation dictionary (PTD) can be defined as:

$$
w_{\mathcal{A}} \mapsto\left(\operatorname{occs}\left(w_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \times w_{\mathcal{B}} \mapsto \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{T}\left(w_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=w_{\mathcal{B}}\right)\right)
$$

Figure 1 shows two entries from the English:Portuguese dictionary extracted from the EuroParl[8] corpus. Note that these dictionaries include the number of occurrences of the word on the source corpus, and a probability measure for each possible translation.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { europe } \rightharpoonup 42583 \times\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\text { europa } & 94.7 \% \\
\text { europeus } & 3.4 \% \\
\text { europeu } & 0.8 \% \\
\text { europeia } & 0.1 \%
\end{array}\right. \\
\text { stupid } \rightharpoonup 180 \times\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\text { estúpido } & 47.6 \% \\
\text { estúpida } & 11.0 \% \\
\text { estúpidos } & 7.4 \% \\
\text { avisada } & 5.6 \% \\
\text { direita } & 5.6 \%
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}
$$

Figure 1: Probabilistic Translation Dictionary examples.

Regarding these dictionaries it should be noted that, although we use the term translation dictionaries, not all word relationships on the dictionary are real translations. This is mainly explained by the translation freedom, multi-word terms and a variety of linguistic phenomena.

Notwithstanding the probabilistic nature of these dictionaries, there is work on bootstrapping conventional translation dictionaries using probabilistic translation dictionaries [9] and on the connection between dictionaries quality and corpora genre and languages [10].

## 3. The Marker Hypothesis

The Marker Hypothesis was first defined by Thomas Green [5]. It is a psycho-linguistic hypothesis stating that there is a set of words in every language that marks boundaries of phrases in a sentence.

| English | Portuguese |
| :--- | :--- |
| on | em; sobre; em cima de; de; relativa |
| once | desde que; uma vez que; se |
| only | todavia; mas; contudo |
| onto | para; para cima de; em direcção a |
| other | outro; outra; outras; outros |
| our | nosso; nossa; nossos; nossas |
| ours | o nosso; a nossa; os nossos; as nossas |
| owing to | devido a: por consequência de; por causa de |
| own | próprio; ser proprietário |
| past | por; para além disso; fora de |
| pending | durante; até |
| per | por; através de; por meio de; devido a acção de |
| plus | mais; a acrescentar a; a adicionar a |
| round | em torno de; à volta de |
| sort of | espécie de; género de; tipo de; de certo modo |
| since | desde; desde que; depois que |
| some | algum; alguns; alguma; algumas |
| subject to | sujeito a |
| such | este; esse; aquele; isto; aquilo |
| supposing | supondo; se; no caso de; dada a hipótese de |
| than | de; que; do que; que não |
| that | aquele; aquela; aquilo; esse; essa; isso; ... |
| the | o; a; os; as |

Table 1: Markers list excerpt.

The algorithm uses a set of marker words (these are closedclass words, like articles, conjunctions, pronouns, prepositions, numerals and some adverbs) and search for them in the sentence to find phrases boundaries.

To illustrate the algorithm consider the following simple sentence:

John spent all day playing with his friends.
The markers present on this sentence are the words "all", "with" and "his". These words are marked in the sentence:

> John spent all day playing with his friends.

The extracted segments start with one or more marker word (or at the beginning of the sentence) and end right before the next set of markers (or at the end of the sentence). This sentence would be therefore split on three segments:

> John spent / all day playing / with his friends

For our experiments we obtained an English list of marker words from MaTrEx [4] project, where the Marker Hypothesis is also being used.

The Portuguese list was created based on the English version and enriched after the analysis of some experiment results. Table 1 shows an extract of these lists.

To help the reader to evaluate the kind of segment extracted using this algorithm, tables 2 and 3 show the most common

| Occur. | Marker | Remaining segment |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 34137 | da | comissão |
| 17277 | do | conselho |
| 16891 | da | união europeia |
| 11379 | em | matéria |
| 9880 | de | trabalho |
| 9850 | da | união |
| 9479 | no | sentido |
| 8465 | da | europa |
| 8454 | da | UE |
| 8004 | do | parlamento |

Table 2: Most occurring segments in the Portuguese language (from a total of 3070398 segments).

| Occur. | Marker | Remaining segment |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 13566 | and | gentlemen |
| 11466 | the | commission |
| 11079 | in | order |
| 9182 | to | make |
| 8712 | to | be |
| 8356 | to | do |
| 7992 | of the | european union |
| 7941 | of the | committee |
| 7814 | to | say |
| 7574 | with | regard |

Table 3: Most occurring segments in the English language (from a total of 3103797 segments).
segments in EuroParl [11] version 2 for the Portuguese and English languages. Note that these results were obtained processing both sides of the parallel corpora in an independent way.

Some other tests were performed to analyze the more productive markers, as can be seen in table 4. This information is useful to tune the segment alignment algorithm.

## 4. Marker Hypothesis on Translation Units

If we consider a translation units (for instance, the example above and its translation), and perform segmentation based on the Marker Hypothesis, the obtained result is:

John spent / all day playing / with his friends
O João passou / todo o dia / a jogar / com os seus amigos
As can be seen, the number of segments is not the same in different languages. This means that an alignment methodology is needed. A basic approach would be the use of the well known sentence alignment algorithm [12], but this method uses just sentence (or segment) length information. As these segments have similar lengths this algorithm is not the best approach.

Given the availability of Probabilistic Translation Dictionaries that include relationship information between words in the two languages, it is possible to perform a better alignment task.

For the segments alignment it is created a matrix where each column represents a segment in the source language and each row represents a segment in the target language. Each cell is filled with the probability of the smaller segment (being it in the source or target language) has its translation in the bigger segment (algorithm presented in figure 2). Cells with higher values are selected as good alignment points and the translation

| Portuguese |  | English |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 815815 | de | 541197 | to |
| 557697 | , | 471332 | the |
| 468409 | a | 440903 | of |
| 352064 | da | 400417 |  |
| 297634 | do | 370161 | and |
| 232629 | e | 252298 | of the |
| 197922 | que | 214191 | in |
| 196801 | 0 | 152164 | a |
| 178537 | em | 131225 | in the |
| 156299 | dos | 112446 | for |
| [...] |  | 105992 | that |
| 35394 | para a | 92180 | on |
| 33079 | que o | 91033 | to the |
| 32213 | de um | 78264 | we |
| 31539 | nos | 70578 | on the |
| 31492 | muito | 67805 | this |
| 30805 | às | 65092 | that the |
| > 23400 | 0 diff. markers | > 1980 | diff. markers |

Table 4: More productive markers.
examples are extracted. This is shown in table 5. Note that this example is not typical, but shown here for explanation purposes only.

|  | this decision <br> shall take effect | $\underline{\text { on } 16}$ <br> september 1999 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| a presente decisão <br> produz efeitos | $\mathbf{2 3 . 1 8}$ | 5.86 |
| em 16 | 0.00 | $\mathbf{7 6 . 4 1}$ |
| de setembro | 0.00 | $\mathbf{8 5 . 6 0}$ |
| de 1999 | 0.00 | $\mathbf{8 4 . 1 0}$ |

Table 5: Alignment Matrix.

As usual on statistical methods, the extracted examples are then sorted and counted. This number of occurrence is a statistical indicator of the alignment quality. Other translation measures can be used to rank the extracted segments.

## 5. Results analysis

From a total of 1507225 different translation examples extracted (an occurrence average of 1.6654 ) with alignment of one to one segment, table 6 presents the 15 most occurring ones.

From these 15 examples just two are not really correct. The first one occurs because the closing parenthesis should be considered a special marker, because it is related with the segment that appears before (unlike the other markers). The second bad example results from the fact that "is" is considered a marker in the English list, while its translation is not in the Portuguese list (all forms of the verb "haver") and that the original English list does not include "there" as a marker (although it should be).

Tables 7 and 8 show examples of one to two and two to one alignments. The stars mark the segment pairs that we evaluate as problematic. Most of these pairs are quite near translations with just one or two extra words.

As the difference on number of segments raises the alignment quality lowers. This fact is not directly related to the used method but with the translation style.

Data: Consider $s_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $s_{\mathcal{B}}$ are two segments in language $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, with length $\left(s_{\mathcal{A}}\right)<\operatorname{length}\left(s_{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ and dic is a probabilistic translation dictionary.
function transProb (dic, $s_{\mathcal{A}}, s_{\mathcal{B}}$ )
sMarkers $\leftarrow$ markers $\left(s_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$
tMarkers $\leftarrow$ markers $\left(s_{\mathcal{B}}\right)$
markProb $\leftarrow$ quality (dic, sMarkers, $t$ Markers)
$s$ Text $\leftarrow \operatorname{text}\left(s_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$
$t$ Text $\leftarrow \operatorname{text}\left(s_{\mathcal{B}}\right)$
textProb $\leftarrow$ quality $($ dic, $s$ Text,$t$ Text $)$
return $0.1 \times$ markersProb $+0.9 \times$ textProb
end
function quality $\left(\right.$ Dic, Set $_{1}$, Set $_{2}$ )
sum $\leftarrow 0$
for $w_{\mathcal{A}} \in \operatorname{Set}_{1}$ do
for $w_{\mathcal{B}} \in \operatorname{dom}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\text {dic }}\left(w_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ do
if $w_{\mathcal{B}} \in$ Set $_{2}$ then
$\operatorname{sum} \leftarrow \operatorname{sum}+\mathcal{P}\left(w_{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathcal{T}_{\text {dic }}\left(w_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$
return $\frac{\text { sum }}{\operatorname{size}\left(\text { Set }_{1}\right)}$
end

Figure 2: Translation probability computation algorithm.

## 6. Conclusions

The use of the Marker Hypothesis as a tool to segment natural text is easier than the use of complex shallow parser systems because it is easier to configure (easy to define what are or not markers) and it works almost "out of the box" with little adjustments. Also, it requires little knowledge about the specific language where it is being applied. This makes it versatile to be used on languages which have few resources.

The use of Probabilistic Translation Dictionaries (PTD) to perform segment alignment is quite efficient. Given that the PTD extraction is completely automatic consequently it is not a bottleneck for the full process.

The translation examples extracted are interesting (although they need an evaluation on a Machine Translation system). The alignment algorithm can be improved which means that translation examples quality can raise.

For close languages like Portuguese and Spanigh we expect to have better quality results. Unfortunately at the time of writing we did not have a list of markers for Spanish neither a fluent Spanish speaker.

Unfortunately these examples can not be used alone in an example-based machine translation system as the boundary friction problem [13] is not solved. After translated examples concatenation a concordancer should be used to uniform the sentence.
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| Occur. | Portuguese | English |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 36886 | senhor presidente | mr president |
| 8633 | senhora presidente | madam president |
| 3152 | espero | I hope |
| 2930 | gostaria | I would like |
| 2572 | o debate | the debate |
| 2511 | penso | I think |
| 2356 | está encerrado | is closed |
| 1939 | penso | I believe |
| 1932 | muito obrigado | thank |
| 1854 | em segundo lugar | secondly |
| 1809 | gostaria | I should like |
| $\star 1638$ | ) senhor presidente | mr president |
| $\star 1524$ | há | there |
| 1423 | infelizmente | unfortunately |
| 1345 | creio | I believe |

Table 6: Top 1 to 1 segment alignments.

| Occur. | Portuguese | English |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 253 | caros colegas | ladies and gentlemen |
| 147 | senhores deputados | ladies and gentlemen |
| 143 | devo dizer | I have to say |
| 142 | lamento | I am sorry |
| 105 | congratulo-me | I am pleased |
| 95 | estou convencido | I am convinced |
| 90 | vamos agora proceder | we shall now proceed |
| 90 | e senhores deputados | ladies and gentlemen |
| 90 | agradeço | I am grateful |
| 79 | e outros , em nome | and others, on behalf |
| 76 | refiro-me | I am referring |
| 72 | muito obrigado | thank you very |
| 71 | congratulo-me | I am glad |
| 70 | passamos agora | we shall now proceed |
| 66 | não há dúvida | there is no doubt |

Table 7: Top occurring 1-2 segment alignments (from 360065 different segments)
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