The link between regularity and strong-pi-regularity

Pedro Patrício^a*and R.E. Hartwig^b

June 2, 2010

^aDepartamento de Matemática e Aplicações, Universidade do Minho, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal. e-mail: pedro@math.uminho.pt

^bDepartment of Mathematics, N.C.S.U., Raleigh, NC 27695-8205, U.S.A. e-mail: hartwig@unity.ncsu.edu

Keywords: Drazin inverse, strongly-pi-regular, Drazin index

AMS classification: 15A09 and 16A30

Abstract

It is shown that if all powers of a ring element a are regular, then a will be stronglypi-regular exactly when a suitable word in the powers of a and their inner inverses is a unit.

1 Introduction

An element m in a ring R is regular if there exists m^- , referred to an inner inverse, such that $mm^-m = m$. The set of all inner inverses of m will be denoted by $m\{1\}$. We say m is strongly-pi-regular if it has a Drazin inverse m^d that satisfies xmx = x and mx = xm, as well as $m^k xm = m^k$ for some k [2]. The smallest such k, say k = s, is called the *index* of m and denoted by i(m). When $i(m) \leq 1$ we say that m has a group inverse, which will be denoted by $m^{\#}$. In particular m will be a unit if and only if i(m) = 0. The index i(m) can also be characterized as the smallest k for which there exist x and y such that $a^{k+1}x = a^k = ya^{k+1}$. Given ring elements x and y, we say they are orthogonal, denoted by $x \perp y$, if xy = yx = 0.

It is known that if m is strongly-pi-regular, then $m^{i(m)}$ is regular and in fact belongs to a multiplicative group, ensuring that $(m^{i(m)})^{\#}$ exists. We propose to solve the converse problem, namely that of characterizing strong-pi-regularity in terms of the regularity of suitable powers of m together with the existence of a word, in powers of m and their inner inverses, that is a unit.

^{*}Corresponding author.

2 The regular stack

Suppose we are given an element m in R and assume that m and all its powers are regular. For each power we pick a fixed inner inverse. That is, we assume a fixed list

$$\left\{m^{-}, (m^2)^{-}, \dots, (m^k)^{-}, \dots\right\}$$
.

We define the fixed idempotents $E_k = m^k (m^k)^-$ for k = 1, 2, ... and set $e = E_1 = mm^-$. It is easily seen that

(1)
$$em = m$$
 (2) $eE_k = E_k = E_k^2$ (3) $E_k m E_k = m E_k$ (4) $E_k E_{k+1} = E_{k+1}$

We now consider the map $\phi : R \to R$ defined by $\phi(x) = mxe + 1 - exe$ and construct the sequence of elements $m_k = \phi(E_k) = x_k + y_k$ for k = 1, 2, ..., where $x_k = mE_ke$ and $y_k = 1 - eE_ke$.

It should be observed that $\phi(1) = \phi(e)$ is a unit precisely when *m* has a group inverse [7], and that $\phi(a)$ is a unit exactly when *am* has a group inverse [3].

In addition we see that

$$x_k y_k = mE_k e - mE_k eE_k e = 0,$$

$$y_k x_k = mE_k e - eE_k emE_k e = mE_k e - E_k mE_k e = 0,$$

and therefore we have an orthogonal splitting $m_k = x_k + y_k$.

We now claim that the elements m_k are in fact regular and may recursively be generated.

Lemma 2.1. If $m_k = \phi \left(m^k (m^k)^- \right)$ then there exists an inner inverse m_{k-1}^- such that

$$m_k = m_{k-1}^2 m_{k-1}^- + 1 - m_{k-1} m_{k-1}^-,$$

with $m_0 = m$.

Proof. For $i \ge 1$ we have $m_i = x_i + y_i$, in which **both** components are regular. Indeed, $y_i = 1 - m^i (m^i)^- mm^-$ is idempotent, and x_i has $m^i (m^{i+1})^- mm^-$ as an inner inverse; calling this x_i^- we have that $x_i x_i^- = m^{i+1} (m^{i+1})^- mm^-$, and that $y_i x_i^- = 0$ since $eE_i em^i = mm^- m^i (m^i)^- mm^- m^i = m^i$.

We can, therefore, take $m_{k-1}^- = x_{k-1}^- + y_{k-1}$, which in turn gives

$$m_{k} = m^{k+1}(m^{k})^{-}mm^{-} + 1 - m^{k}(m^{k})^{-}mm^{-}$$

= $x_{k-1}x_{k-1}x_{k-1}^{-} + y_{k-1} + 1 - x_{k-1}x_{k-1}^{-} - y_{k-1}$
= $(x_{k-1} + y_{k-1})(x_{k-1}x_{k-1}^{-} + y_{k-1}) + 1 - (x_{k-1}x_{k-1}^{-} + y_{k-1})$
= $m^{2}_{k-1}m_{k-1}^{-} + 1 - m_{k-1}m_{k-1}^{-}$,

as desired.

Using the previous lemma, we can now express m_k alternatively as

n

$$m_k = m^{k+1}(m^k)^- mm^- + 1 - m^k(m^k)^- mm^-$$

3 Index Results

Let us now use the above regular stack to obtain suitable index results. Suppose that m is strongly-pi-regular, and consider the associated sequences

$$u_k = m^{k+1} (m^k)^- + 1 - m^k (m^k)^-,$$

$$w_k = m^- m^{k+1} (m^k)^- m + 1 - m^- m^k (m^k)^- m$$

and
$$v_k = (m^k)^- m^{k+1} + 1 - (m^k)^- m^k.$$

We shall first need the following fact:

Lemma 3.1 ([1]). If 1 + ab has a Drazin inverse, then 1 + ba has a Drazin inverse and

$$i(1+ab) = i(1+ba).$$

Proof. Suppose 1 + ab has a Drazin inverse and has index i(1 + ab) = k. Then $(1 + ab)^{k+1}x = (1 + ab)^k = y(1 + ab)^{k+1}$, for some x and y in R. This means that $(1 + ba)^{k+1}(1 - bxa) = (1 + ba)^k = (1 - bya)(1 + ba)^{k+1}$ and thus $i(1 + ba) \leq i(1 + ab)$. Again by interchanging a and b, we obtain equality.

By applying this lemma we may conclude that $i(m_k) = i(u_k) = i(w_k) = i(v_k)$. We now recall the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2 ([5]). Given m strongly-pi-regular,

$$i(m^2m^- + 1 - mm^-) = i(m) - 1.$$

As a consequence we may deduce that $i(m_k) = t$ if and only if $i(m_{k+1}) = t - 1$.

We shall also need the following result, which can be deduced from the proof of [2, Theorem 4].

Lemma 3.3. If
$$a^{k+1}x = a^k = ya^{k+1}$$
, then $a^d = a^k x^{k+1} = y^{k+1}a^k$ and $aa^d = a^k x^k = y^k a^k$.

Proof. Repeatedly pre-multiplying the first equality by a and post-multiplying by x, shows that $a^{k+r}x^r = a^k$ for all r = 1, 2, ..., and in particular, when r = k, $a^{2k}x^k = a^k$. By symmetry we also get $a^k = y^k a^{2k}$. The latter two equalities ensure that a^k has a group inverse of the form $(a^k)^{\#} = y^k a^k x^k = y^k a^{2k} x^{2k} = a^k x^{2k} = y^{2k} a^k$. This implies that $a^d = a^{k-1}(a^k)^{\#} = a^{k-1}a^k x^{2k} = (a^{k+(k-1)}x^{k-1})x^{k+1} = a^k x^{k+1}$, and by symmetry $a^d = y^{k+1}a^k$.

Lastly we also see that $aa^d = a^{k+1}x^{k+1} = (a^{k+1}x)x^k = a^kx^k$ and by symmetry $aa^d = y^k a^k$.

Combining these results, we now may state the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. The following conditions are equivalent:

- 1. i(m) = s.
- 2. s is the smallest integer such that $m^s + 1 m^s(m^s)^-$ is a unit.

- 3. s is the smallest integer such that $m^{2s}(m^s)^- + 1 m^s(m^s)^-$ is a unit.
- 4. s is the smallest integer such that m_s is a unit.
- 5. s is the smallest integer such that u_s is a unit.
- 6. m_{ℓ} is strongly-pi-regular and $i(m_{\ell}) = s \ell$, for one and hence all $0 \leq \ell \leq s$.
- 7. u_{ℓ} is strongly-pi-regular and $i(u_{\ell}) = s \ell$, for one and hence all $0 \leq \ell \leq s$.

When the conditions are satisfied,

$$\begin{split} m^{d} &= u_{s}^{-1}m^{s}v_{s}^{-s} = m^{s}v_{s}^{-s-1} \\ &= u_{s}^{-s}m^{s}v_{s}^{-1} = u_{s}^{-s-1}m^{s} \\ &= m^{s-1}u_{s}^{-(s+1)}m^{s+2}v_{s}^{-(s+1)} \end{split}$$

Proof. The equivalences between (1), (2) and (3) are known (see [6]). Since $i(m_{\ell}) = t \Leftrightarrow i(m_{\ell+1}) = t - 1$, we may, by using this argument recursively, conclude that i(m) = s is equivalent to $i(m_{\ell}) = s - \ell$.

(6) is equivalent to (7) (respectively (4) is equivalent to (5)), by applying Lemma 3.1 with $b = mm^-$ and $a = m^{\ell+1}(m^{\ell})^- - m^{\ell}(m^{\ell})^-$ (respectively $a = m^{s+1}(m^s)^- - m^s(m^s)^-$). It is obvious that (6) is sufficient to (4) and that (7) is sufficient to (5).

Finally, we now prove that (5) implies (1). As u_s is a unit and $u_s m^s = m^{s+1}$, we have $m^s = u_s^{-1}m^{s+1}$. Likewise, u_s being a unit implies that $v_s = (m^s)^{-}m^{s+1} + 1 - (m^s)^{-}m^s$ is a unit, which in turns yields $m^s = m^{s+1}v_s^{-1}$. Therefore, $m^s \in m^{s+1}R \cap Rm^{s+1}$ and $m^d = m^{s-1}u_s^{-(s+1)}m^{s+2}v_s^{-(s+1)}$.

We may in fact compute the Drazin inverses of the three associated sequences $\{u_k\}, \{v_k\}$ and $\{w_k\}$. It suffices to compute the former.

Theorem 3.5. If i(m) = s then, for all $0 \le \ell \le s$,

$$u_{\ell}^{d} = m^{d} m^{\ell} (m^{\ell})^{-} + 1 - m^{\ell} (m^{\ell})^{-}.$$

Proof. Set $X = m^{\ell}$ and $A = m(m^{\ell})^{-}$ so that $u_{\ell} = XA + (1 - E_{\ell})$. From the last theorem we recall that $i(u_{\ell}) = i(m) - \ell$. Now observe that u_{ℓ} is a sum of two orthogonal elements, and since u_{ℓ} is strongly-pi-regular so are each of the two orthogonal summands. In particular, $m^{\ell+1}(m^{\ell})^{-}$ is strongly-pi-regular and we obtain the expression

$$(u_{\ell})^{d} = (mE_{\ell})^{d} + 1 - E_{\ell} \tag{1}$$

$$= (XA)^d + 1 - E_\ell, (2)$$

where $E_{\ell} = m^{\ell} (m^{\ell})^{-}$.

Next, we turn to the computation of $(XA)^d = (mE_\ell)^d$. We claim that $(XA)^{k+1}y = (XA)^k$, where $y = m^d m^\ell (m^\ell)^-$. Indeed, it follows by induction that $(XA)^i = m^{i+\ell} (m^\ell)^-$, and hence

we have

$$(XA)^{k+1}y = m^{k+\ell+1}(m^{\ell})^{-}m^{\ell}m^{d}(m^{\ell})^{-}$$
$$= m^{\ell}m^{k+1}m^{d}(m^{\ell})^{-}$$
$$= m^{k+\ell}(m^{\ell})^{-} = (XA)^{k}.$$

We now apply Lemma 3.3 to obtain $(XA)^d = (XA)^k y^{k+1}$. Again, by induction, $y^i = (m^d)^i m^\ell (m^\ell)^-$, and hence $y^{k+1} = (m^d)^{k+1} m^\ell (m^\ell)^-$, which gives

$$(XA)^{d} = (XA)^{k}y^{k+1} = m^{\ell+k}(m^{\ell})^{-}(m^{d})^{k+1}m^{\ell}(m^{\ell})^{-}$$
$$= m^{\ell+k}(m^{\ell})^{-}m^{\ell}(m^{d})^{k+1}(m^{\ell})^{-}$$
$$= m^{\ell}m^{k}(m^{d})^{k+1}(m^{\ell})^{-}$$
$$= m^{d}m^{\ell}(m^{\ell})^{-}$$

and

$$(XA)^{d}XA = (mE_{\ell})^{d}mE_{\ell}$$

= $m^{d}m^{\ell}(m^{\ell})^{-}m^{\ell+1}(m^{\ell})^{-}$
= $m^{d}m^{\ell+1}(m^{\ell})^{-}$.

Lastly, substituting the expression for $(XA)^d$ in equation (2), we arrive at

$$(u_{\ell})^{d} = m^{d} E_{\ell} + 1 - E_{\ell}$$

= $m^{d} m^{\ell} (m^{\ell})^{-} + 1 - m^{\ell} (m^{\ell})^{-}$

which is the desired expression.

We close with some pertinent remarks.

Remarks

- 1. If m_k is a unit for one choice of $(m^k)^-$ then it is a unit for all such choices. Indeed, the fact that m_k is a unit implies that i(m) = s, which implies, from the proof, that $m_s = m^{s+1}(m^s)^= mm^- + 1 - m^s(m^s)^= mm^-$ is also a unit.
- 2. If u_s is a unit for one choice of $(m^s)^-$ then it is a unit for all such choices.
- 3. In a ring, a^2 may be regular without a being regular. For example let a = 4 in \mathbb{Z}_8 .
- 4. In a ring it can happen that an element *a* is regular without a^2 being regular. Indeed, let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ be over \mathbb{Z}_4 . Then *A* has an inner inverse $A^- = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ while $A^2 = 2A$ does not, since $(2A)X(2A) = 0 \neq 2A$.

Ackowledgement

Research with financial support provided by the Research Centre of Mathematics of the University of Minho (CMAT) through the FCT Pluriannual Funding Program.

The authors wish to thank the referee and the editor for their valuable corrections and comments.

References

- N. Castro-González, C. Mendes Araújo, P. Patrício, A note on generalized inverses of a sum in rings, accepted in *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, doi:10.1017/S0004972710000080.
- [2] M. P. Drazin, Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups, Amer. Math. Monthly, 65 (1958) 506-514.
- [3] F. J. Hall, R. E. Hartwig, Algebraic properties of governing matrices used in Cesaro-Neumann iterations, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 26 (1981), no. 7, 959–978.
- [4] R. E. Hartwig, More on the Souriau-Frame algorithm and the Drazin inverse, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 31 (1976), no. 1, 42–46.
- [5] P. Patrício, A. Veloso da Costa, On the Drazin index of regular elements, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 7 (2009), no. 2, 200–205.
- [6] R. Puystjens, M. C. Gouveia, Drazin invertibility for matrices over an arbitrary ring, Linear Algebra Appl. 385 (2004), 105–116.
- [7] R. Puystjens, R. E. Hartwig, The group inverse of a companion matrix, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 43 (1997), no. 1-3, 137–150.