

# $F$ -monoids\*

E. Giraldes, Dpto. de Matemática  
UTAD, 5000 Vila Real/Portugal  
e-Mail: [egs@utad.pt](mailto:egs@utad.pt)

P. Marques-Smith, Centro de Matemática  
Universidade do Minho, 4700 Braga/Portugal  
e-mail: [psmith@math.uminho.pt](mailto:psmith@math.uminho.pt)

H. Mitsch, Fakultät f. Mathematik  
Universität Wien, 1090 Wien/Austria  
e-mail: [heinz.mitsch@univie.ac.at](mailto:heinz.mitsch@univie.ac.at)

## Abstract

A semigroup  $S$  is called  $F$ -monoid if  $S$  has an identity and if there exists a group congruence  $\rho$  on  $S$  such that each  $\rho$ -class of  $S$  contains a greatest element with respect to the natural partial order of  $S \leq_S$  (see [7]). Generalizing results given in [4] and specializing some of [3] five characterizations of such monoids  $S$  are provided. Three unary operations  $*$ ,  $\circ$  and  $-$  on  $S$  defined by means of the greatest elements in the different  $\rho$ -classes of  $S$  are studied. Using their properties a characterization of  $F$ -monoids  $S$  by their regular part  $S^\circ = \{a^\circ | a \in S\}$  and the associates of elements in  $S^\circ$  is given. Under the hypothesis that  $S^* = \{a^* | a \in S\}$  is a subsemigroup it is shown that  $S$  is regular, whence of a known structure (see [4]).

## 1 Introduction and summary

A semigroup  $S$  is called  $F$ -monoid if  $S$  has an identity and if there exists a group congruence  $\rho$  on  $S$  such that each  $\rho$ -class of  $S$  admits a greatest element with respect to the natural partial order  $\leq_S$  on  $S$  (see [7]):

$$a \leq_S b \text{ if and only if } a = xb = by, \quad xa = a \text{ for some } x, y \in S.$$

This concept generalizes that of an  $F$ -regular semigroup (see [4]; note that the latter are necessarily monoids) and is a particular case of an  $F$ -semigroup (see

---

\*Research supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology through the research program POCTI.

[3]). All these notions are special instances of generalized  $F$ -semigroups (see [2]). These are semigroups  $S$ , on which there exists a group congruence  $\rho$  such that the identity  $\rho$ -class (only) admits a greatest element with respect to  $\leq_S$ , the pivot of  $S$ . It was noted in [2], that the congruence  $\rho$  is equal to the least group congruence on  $S$ , whence is uniquely determined. Also, every generalized  $F$ -semigroup  $S$  is  $E$ -inversive, that is, for any  $a \in S$  there exists some  $x \in S$  such that  $ax \in E_S$  (see [9], [10]). If  $S$  has an identity then  $S$  is  $E$ -unitary, i.e., if  $e, ea \in E_S$  or  $e, ae \in E_S$  then  $a \in E_S$  (see [2]). Therefore, we are dealing with particular  $E$ -inversive,  $E$ -unitary monoids. The existence of an identity element in a semigroup  $S$  has a strong impact on the structure of  $S$ . This observation is again corroborated in the theory of  $F$ -monoids (compared with  $F$ -semigroups).

In Section 2, several examples of non-regular  $F$ -monoids are given. In particular, it is shown that adjoining an identity to an  $F$ -semigroup does not yield an  $F$ -monoid, in general. A necessary and sufficient condition for this to hold is given. In Section 3, the following characterizations of  $F$ -monoids are presented: (i) by residuation of the identity, (ii) by the subsets  $T(a) = \{x \in S : axa \leq_S a\}$ ,  $a \in S$ , (iii) by the maximal elements of  $(S, \leq_S)$  and (iv) by means of an additional unary operation satisfying certain axioms. Here a new description of  $E$ -inversive semigroups proves useful. Three unary operations  $*$  and  $\circ$  (already defined in [4]) and  $-$  are considered in Section 4. Several properties of them are proved which are used in the following. In particular, it is shown that for an  $F$ -monoid  $S$ , the set  $S^\circ = \{a^\circ \in S \mid a \in S\}$  forms an  $F$ -regular subsemigroup of  $S$  (the structure of which was studied in [4]). In Section 5, by means of the regular part  $S^\circ$  of an  $F$ -monoid  $S$  a characterization of  $F$ -monoids is given observing that  $S$  consists of the associates of elements in  $S^\circ$ . Concerning the second unary operation  $*$ , the set  $S^* = \{a^* \in S \mid a \in S\}$  does not form a subsemigroup, in general (see [4]). If this is the case then  $S$  is called an  $F$ -\*-monoid; this class of monoids is considered in Section 6. It turns out that an  $F$ -\*-monoid is necessarily regular, whence by [4] of a known structure.

## 2 Examples

(1) Every regular  $F$ -semigroup is an  $F$ -monoid (by [2], Theorem 3.14). The class of  $F$ -regular semigroups was studied in [4] where also a representation theorem was proved. Hence in the following, only non-regular  $F$ -monoids will be considered. On the other hand, there are  $F$ -semigroups without identity: let  $S = \{0, 1, a\}$  be the inflation (see [11]) of the semilattice  $Y : 0 <_Y 1$ , where  $a^2 = a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a = 1$  and  $a \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot a = 0$ ; then  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup without identity and with pivot  $\xi = a$  (by [2], Theorem 3.5). See also the semigroups given in Remark (3) following Corollary 6.2 in [3].

(2) Let  $S = [Y; S_\alpha, \varphi_{\alpha,\beta}]$  be a strong semilattice of trivially ordered monoids such that: (a)  $(Y, \leq_Y)$  is a finite chain, (b) each  $\varphi_{\alpha,\beta}$  is injective, (c) for every  $a \in S$ ,  $a \in S_\alpha$  say, there exist  $\beta \leq_Y \alpha$  and  $x \in S_\beta$  with  $(a\varphi_{\alpha,\beta})x \in E_{S_\beta}$ . Then conditions (i) and (ii) in Corollary 6.6 of [3] are evidently satisfied; also (iii) holds: let  $a, b \in S$  be maximal in  $(S, \leq_S)$ ,  $a \in S_\alpha$ ,  $b \in S_\beta$  say. If  $\alpha = \beta$  then

$a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma} \neq b\varphi_{\beta,\gamma}$  for every  $\gamma \leq_Y \alpha = \beta$  by (b). If  $\alpha \neq \beta$  then  $\alpha <_Y \beta$ , say. Assume that  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma} = b\varphi_{\beta,\gamma}$  for some  $\gamma \leq_Y \alpha <_Y \beta$ . Then  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma} = b(\varphi_{\beta,\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\alpha,\gamma}) = (b\varphi_{\beta,\alpha})\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma}$ , whence by (b),  $a = b\varphi_{\beta,\alpha}$ . Therefore  $a <_S b$  (see [8], proof of Theorem 3.8) and  $a \in S$  is not maximal in  $(S, \leq_S)$ : contradiction.

It follows that  $S$  is an  $F$ -monoid with  $1_\omega \in S_\omega$  as the identity where  $\omega$  denotes the greatest element of  $(Y, \leq_Y)$  - note that by the trivial order of  $S_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in Y$ ),  $E_{S_\alpha} = \{1_\alpha\}$  whence  $1_\omega\varphi_{\omega,\alpha} = 1_\alpha$ . If at least one  $S_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in Y$ ) is not a group then  $S$  is not regular: assume that  $a \in S_\alpha$  does not have a group-inverse in  $S_\alpha$ , but  $a = axa$  for some  $x \in S$ ,  $x \in S_\beta$  say; then  $a = axa \in S_{\alpha\beta}$ , whence  $\alpha = \alpha\beta$ , i.e.,  $\alpha \leq_Y \beta$ . Therefore  $a = a(x\varphi_{\beta,\alpha})a = aya$  for  $y = x\varphi_{\beta,\alpha} \in S_\alpha$ . Since  $E_{S_\alpha} = \{1_\alpha\}$  it follows that  $ay = ya = 1_\alpha$ : contradiction. Note that by [8], Corollary 3.9,  $\leq_S$  is compatible with multiplication.

**Remarks.** (i) Condition (c) is satisfied for example if  $S_\mu$  is a group where  $\mu$  denotes the least element of  $(Y, \leq_Y)$ .

(ii) If condition (c) is replaced by: "each  $S_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \neq \omega$  in  $(Y, \leq_Y)$  is  $E$ -inversive" then each  $S_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \neq \omega$ ) is a group. In fact,  $E_{S_\alpha} = \{1_\alpha\}$  then implies that for any  $a \in S_\alpha$  there exists  $x \in S_\alpha$  such that  $ax = 1_\alpha$ . Thus choosing for  $S_\omega$  a trivially ordered monoid, which is not a group, we shall obtain a non-regular  $F$ -monoid.

(iii) Examples of trivially ordered monoids are  $(\mathbb{N}, \cdot)$  or  $(\mathbb{N}_0, +)$ , more generally, all cancellative monoids. Constructions of trivially ordered monoids were given in [6].

(iv) In (2),  $S$  can be replaced by a monoid, which is a strong semilattice of trivially ordered semigroups satisfying (a), (b) and (c) - see Remark 3 to Corollary 3.9 in [8].

As a particular case of (2) we mention

(3) Let  $S = [Y; S_\mu, S_\omega; \varphi_{\omega,\mu}]$  where  $Y : \mu <_Y \omega$ ,  $S_\mu = G$  is a group,  $S_\omega = T$  is a subsemigroup of  $G$ , which is not a subgroup and which contains the identity  $1_G \in G$ , and with  $\varphi_{\omega,\mu} : S_\omega \rightarrow S_\mu$ ,  $a\varphi_{\omega,\mu} = \bar{a}$ , the inclusion mapping. Since both  $S_\mu$  and  $S_\omega$  are trivially ordered it follows by (2), that  $S$  is a non-regular  $F$ -monoid with identity  $1_G \in T$ . Note that  $S_\mu = G$  has to be infinite. If there exists an element  $a \in G$  of infinite order one may take  $S_\omega = T = \{1_G, a, a^2, \dots\}$ . For example:  $S_\mu = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ , the group of integers, and  $S_\omega = (\mathbb{N}_0, +)$ , the semigroup of natural numbers including 0.

Generalizing  $S_\mu = G$  to a Clifford semigroup we obtain

(4) Let  $S_0 = [Y; G_\alpha, \varphi_{\alpha,\beta}]$  be a Clifford semigroup which is an  $F$ -semigroup (see [3], Corollary 6.7), and with  $Y$  finite. Let  $\omega \in Y$  be the greatest element of  $(Y, \leq_Y)$  and let  $S_1 = T$  be a subsemigroup of  $G_\omega$  which is not a subgroup and which contains  $1_\omega \in G_\omega$ . Then  $S = [Z; G_\alpha, S_1; \varphi_{\alpha,\beta}, \varphi_{1,\alpha}]$  with  $Z = Y^1$ ,  $\varphi_{1,\omega} : S_1 \rightarrow G_\omega$ ,  $a\varphi_{1,\omega} = \bar{a}$ , the inclusion mapping, and  $\varphi_{1,\alpha} = \varphi_{1,\omega} \circ \varphi_{\omega,\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha \in Y$ , is a strong semilattice of trivially ordered monoids  $S_1$  and  $G_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in Y$ ). Again conditions (i) and (ii) in Corollary 6.6 of [3] are satisfied; also (iii) holds:

Let  $a, b \in S$  be maximal in  $(S, \leq_S)$ . If  $a, b \in S_0$  then  $a \in G_\alpha$ ,  $b \in G_\beta$  say, and  $a\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma} \neq b\varphi_{\beta,\gamma}$  for any  $\gamma \leq_Y \alpha = \beta$ , by [3], Corollary 6.7. If  $a, b \in S_1$  then  $a\varphi_{1,\omega} \neq b\varphi_{1,\omega}$  (since  $\varphi_{1,\omega}$  is injective). Since by [2], Corollary 4.7,  $\varphi_{\omega,\gamma}$  is

injective for any  $\gamma \in Y$ , it follows that  $a\varphi_{1,\gamma} = (a\varphi_{1,\omega})\varphi_{\omega,\gamma} \neq (b\varphi_{1,\omega})\varphi_{\omega,\gamma} = b\varphi_{1,\gamma}$ . Finally, if  $a \in S_1$  and  $b \in S_0$  then  $b \in G_\alpha$  say ( $\alpha \in Y$ ). Assume that  $a\varphi_{1,\gamma} = b\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma}$  for some  $\gamma \leq_Y \alpha <_Y 1$ . Then  $(a\varphi_{1,\alpha})\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma} = b\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma}$ , hence  $a\varphi_{1,\alpha} = b$  (since  $\varphi_{\alpha,\gamma}$  is injective, see [3], Proposition 6.4). Therefore  $b <_S a$  (see [8], proof of Theorem 3.8), which contradicts the maximality of  $b \in S_0$ .

It follows that  $S$  is a non-regular  $F$ -monoid whose identity is  $1_\omega \in S_1$  (see Example (2)).

If a semigroup  $S$  has no identity then adjoining one we obtain a monoid  $S^1$ . If  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup this procedure does not yield an  $F$ -monoid, in general, as the following result shows.

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -semigroup. Then  $S^1$  is an  $F$ -monoid if and only if the pivot of  $S$  is idempotent.*

**Proof.** Necessity. Let  $\rho$  (resp.  $\sigma$ ) be the defining group congruence on  $S$  (resp. on  $S^1$ ). By the uniqueness of  $\rho$  ([2], Theorem 3.6) the restriction of  $\sigma$  to  $S$  (being a group congruence) is equal to  $\rho$ . Since 1 is idempotent,  $1 \in S^1$  belongs to the identity  $\sigma$ -class  $I_\sigma$  of the group  $S^1/\sigma$ ; hence  $I_\sigma = I_\rho \cup \{1\}$ . By [2], Corollary 3.9,  $I_\rho = E_S$  or  $I_\rho = E_S \cup \{a\}$  with  $a \notin E_S$ . Assume that the pivot  $\xi$  of  $S$  is not idempotent. Then the elements  $\xi = a \in I_\rho \subseteq I_\sigma$  and  $1 \in I_\sigma$  are incomparable with respect to  $\leq_{S^1}$ : if  $\xi <_{S^1} 1$  then  $\xi \in E_S$  (by [8], Lemma 2.1), a contradiction;  $1 <_{S^1} \xi$  is impossible by Lemma 3.1, below. Therefore, the  $\sigma$ -class  $I_\sigma$  of  $S^1$  has no greatest element, a contradiction.

Sufficiency. Let  $\rho$  be the corresponding group congruence on  $S$ . By [2], Corollary 3.9, the identity  $\rho$ -class  $I$  of  $S$  is either  $E_S$  or  $E_S \cup \{a\}$  with  $a \notin E_S$ , the greatest element of  $I$ . By hypothesis, the pivot  $\xi$  of  $S$  is idempotent, whence  $I = E_S$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the equivalence relation on  $S^1$  given by the partition  $\rho$  on  $S$  but with  $1\sigma = I \cup \{1\}$ . Then  $\sigma$  is a congruence on  $S^1$ . Only the case  $e\sigma 1$ ,  $x \in S$ ,  $e \in E_S = I$ , has to be considered: in the group  $S/\rho$ ,  $I = e\rho$  is the identity element hence  $(ex)\rho = (e\rho)(x\rho) = x\rho$  and  $ex\rho x$ , thus also  $ex\sigma x$ ; similarly  $x\sigma ex$ . Evidently,  $S^1/\sigma$  is a group, whose identity element  $1\sigma = E_S \cup \{1\} = E_{S^1}$  has  $1 \in S^1$  as greatest element. All the other  $\sigma$ -classes of  $S^1$  are equal to the  $\rho$ -classes of  $S$ , thus admit each a greatest element with respect to  $\leq_S$ , whence also with respect to  $\leq_{S^1}$ . Therefore,  $S^1$  is an  $F$ -monoid. ■

This result allows the construction of further examples of  $F$ -monoids. Let  $T$  be a semigroup; for every  $\alpha \in T$  let  $T_\alpha$  be any set with  $T_\alpha \cap T = \{\alpha\}$  and  $T_\alpha \cap T_\beta = \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Then  $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} T_\alpha$  forms a semigroup with respect to the operation

$$a \cdot b = \alpha\beta \text{ if } a \in T_\alpha, b \in T_\beta,$$

called an inflation of  $T$  (see [11]).  $S$  is a proper inflation of  $T$  if  $T_\alpha \neq \{\alpha\}$  for at least one  $\alpha \in T$ . Note that a proper inflation  $S$  of  $T$  can not have an identity since for  $a \in T_\alpha$ ,  $a \neq \alpha$ , we would have  $a1_S \in T$ , but  $a \notin T$ . Also  $S$  is not

regular, since  $axa \in T$  for any  $x \in S$  - but  $a \notin T$ . Finally,  $E_S = E_T$  since  $x^2 \in T$  for any  $x \in S$ . Specializing  $T$  we obtain

(5) Let  $T = G$  be a group and  $S = \bigcup_{g \in G} T_g$  be a proper inflation of  $G$ , such that  $|T_g| \leq 2$  for every  $g \in G$ ,  $g \neq 1_G$ , and  $T_{1_G} = \{1_G\}$ . Then by [3], Corollary 6.2,  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup with pivot  $\xi = 1_G \in E_S$  (note that  $1_G$  is the unique idempotent of  $S$  and that  $1_G$  is maximal in  $(S, \leq_S)$  : see Lemma 3.1, below). It follows by Proposition 2.1, that  $S^1$  is an  $F$ -monoid (with pivot  $\xi = 1$ ). Note that  $\leq_S$  is compatible with multiplication since  $\leq_G = id_G$  is so (see [8]).

More generally we have

(6) Let  $T$  be an  $F$ -semigroup such that for every  $\alpha \in T$  there exist  $\beta, \gamma \in T$  with  $\alpha = \beta\alpha = \alpha\gamma$ , and with pivot  $\xi \in E_T$  (the greatest idempotent of  $T$ ). Let  $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} T_\alpha$  be a proper inflation of  $T$  such that  $|T_\mu| \leq 2$  for every maximal  $\mu \in T$  and  $|T_\alpha| = |T_\xi| = 1$  for every non-maximal  $\alpha \in T$ . Then by [3], Theorem 6.1,  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup with pivot  $\xi \in E_T$ . It follows by Proposition 2.1, that  $S^1$  is an  $F$ -monoid (with pivot  $\xi = 1$ ). Examples for  $T$  are: groups (see Example (5)); bands with identity, more generally  $F$ -regular semigroups (see [4]) - in any of these cases,  $\xi = 1_T \in E_T$ . Note that  $\leq_S$  is compatible with multiplication if and only if  $\leq_T$  is so (see [8]).

### 3 Characterizations

A general theory of  $F$ -semigroups was developed in [3]. Specializing to the case that an identity exists, we obtain the following characterizations of  $F$ -monoids. First we give a direct proof of a useful result on the pivot.

**Lemma 3.1** *If  $S$  is a monoid then  $1_S$  is a maximal element in  $(S, \leq_S)$ . In particular, if  $S$  is a generalized  $F$ -monoid then the pivot  $\xi$  of  $S$  is  $1_S$ .*

**Proof.** If  $1_S \leq_S a$  for some  $a \in S$  then  $1_S = xa = x1_S = x$  for some  $x \in S$ , hence  $1_S = a$ .

If  $S$  is a generalized  $F$ -monoid with pivot  $\xi$  then the identity  $\rho$ -class  $I$  of  $S$  is of the form  $I = (\xi]$ . Since  $1_S \in E_S$  and  $\rho$  is a group congruence, it follows that  $1_S \in I$ , whence  $1_S \leq_S \xi$  and  $1_S = \xi$ . ■

We begin with the more general situation of generalized  $F$ -monoids (see [2], Corollary 3.12).

**Proposition 3.2** *Let  $S$  be a monoid. Then  $S$  is a generalized  $F$ -semigroup if and only if  $S$  is  $E$ -inversive and  $E$ -unitary.*

The first characterization of  $F$ -monoids was given in [3], Theorem 4.5, describing them as particular  $E$ -inversive semigroups in terms of the natural partial order:

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $S$  be a monoid. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -monoid if and only if for every  $a \in S$  there exists a greatest element  $x \in S$  (with respect to  $\leq_S$ ) such that  $ax \in E_S$ .*

Note that by [11], Exercise I.7(14), a semigroup  $S$  such that for any  $a \in S$  there is a unique  $x \in S$  with  $ax \in E_S$ , is a group (hence an  $F$ -monoid - see [3]). The second characterization is tightly connected with that of Theorem 3.3:

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $S$  be a monoid. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -monoid if and only if the identity  $1_S \in S$  is right (left ; equi) residuated, i.e., for every  $a \in S$ ,  $\max\{x \in S | ax \leq_S 1_S\} = 1_S \cdot a$  exists (  $\max\{x \in S | xa \leq_S 1_S\} = 1_S \cdot a$  exists; both exist and are equal:  $1_S \cdot a = 1_S \cdot a$ ).*

**Proof.** Necessity. By Lemma 3.1,  $\xi = 1_S$ . Thus the statement follows from [3], Theorem 3.5.

Sufficiency. Let  $a \in S$  and let  $x_0 \in S$  be the greatest element of all  $x \in S$  such that  $ax \leq_S 1_S$ . Since by [8], Lemma 2.1:

$$\{x \in S | ax \leq_S 1_S\} = \{x \in S | ax \in E_S\},$$

$x_0 \in S$  is the greatest element in  $S$  such that  $ax_0 \in E_S$ . It follows by Theorem 3.3, that  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup. ■

The third characterization uses the sets  $T(a) = \{x \in S | axa \leq_S a\}$ ,  $a \in S$ . By means of these sets, first we provide a description of the  $\rho$ -classes of an  $F$ -monoid, more generally of an  $F$ -semigroup with regular pivot.

**Proposition 3.5** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -semigroup with regular pivot  $\xi$ . Then for any  $a \in S$ ,  $(a\rho)^{-1} = T(a)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a \in S$ . If  $x \in T(a)$ , then  $axa \leq_S a$ . Applying the natural homomorphism of  $S$  onto  $G = S/\rho$  we obtain  $(axa)\rho = a\rho$ . Thus  $(a\rho)(x\rho)(a\rho) = a\rho$  so that by cancellation in  $G$ ,  $(a\rho)(x\rho) = 1_G$  and  $x\rho = (a\rho)^{-1}$ , i.e.,  $x \in (a\rho)^{-1}$ . Conversely, let  $x \in (a\rho)^{-1}$ . Then  $x\rho = (a\rho)^{-1}$  and  $(ax)\rho = (a\rho)(x\rho) = 1_G = (\xi)$ , i.e.,  $ax \leq_S \xi$ . Since  $\xi \in S$  is regular,  $\xi \in E_S$  by [2], Proposition 3.13. It follows by [8], Lemma 2.1, that  $ax \in E_S$  too. Hence  $axa = ax \cdot a = a \cdot xa$  implies that  $axa \leq_S a$ , i.e.,  $x \in T(a)$ . ■

**Remark.** There are non-regular  $F$ -semigroups with regular pivot - see Example (5) in Section 2.

Since by Lemma 3.1, for an  $F$ -monoid  $S$  the pivot  $\xi = 1_S$  is regular we obtain

**Corollary 3.6** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -monoid. Then for any  $a \in S$ ,  $(a\rho)^{-1} = T(a)$  and  $\max T(a)$  exists in  $(S, \leq_S)$ .*

We will show now that this last property of a monoid  $S$  is also sufficient for  $S$  to be an  $F$ -semigroup. By Theorem 3.3 in [4], a generalized  $F$ -semigroup  $S$  with regular pivot is an  $F$ -semigroup if and only if  $\max T(a)$  exists for any  $a \in S$ . In order to apply Proposition 3.2, which describes generalized  $F$ -monoids, we first give a new characterization of  $E$ -inversive semigroups.

**Lemma 3.7** *A semigroup  $S$  is  $E$ -inverse if and only if for any  $a \in S$  there exists  $x \in S$  such that  $axa \leq_S a$  (i.e., if and only if  $T(a) \neq \emptyset$ ).*

**Proof.** If  $S$  is  $E$ -inverse then for any  $a \in S$  there is some  $x \in S$  such that  $ax \in E_S$ . Therefore,  $axa = ax \cdot a = a \cdot xa$  implies that  $axa \leq_S a$ . Conversely, let  $a \in S$ ,  $x \in S$  be such that  $axa \leq_S a$ . If  $axa = a$  then  $ax \in E_S$ . If  $axa <_S a$  then  $axa = y \cdot a = a \cdot z$ ,  $y \cdot axa = axa$ , for some  $y, z \in S$ . Hence

$$(ax)^3 = axa \cdot xax = ya \cdot xax = yaxa \cdot x = axa \cdot x = (ax)^2$$

and  $(ax)^4 = (ax)^2 \in E_S$ . Thus  $a \cdot xax \in E_S$ , i.e.,  $S$  is  $E$ -inverse. ■

**Theorem 3.8** *Let  $S$  be a monoid. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -monoid if and only if for any  $a \in S$ ,  $\max T(a)$  exists in  $(S, \leq_S)$ .*

**Proof.** Necessity holds by Corollary 3.6.

Sufficiency. First, by Lemma 3.7,  $S$  is  $E$ -inverse. Next, we show that  $S$  is  $E$ -unitary. Let  $e, ex \in E_S$ . Then  $exe = ex \cdot e = e \cdot xe$  implies that  $exe \leq_S e$ , i.e.,  $x \in T(e)$ . Since  $e1_S e = e \leq_S e$ , we have  $1_S \in T(e)$  and  $1_S \leq_S \max T(e)$ . It follows by Lemma 3.1, that  $1_S = \max T(e)$ . Therefore,  $x \leq_S 1_S$ , so that by [8], Lemma 2.1,  $x \in E_S$ . Thus, by Proposition 3.2,  $S$  is a generalized  $F$ -monoid. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, the pivot of  $S$  is  $\xi = 1_S$ , i.e.,  $\xi$  is regular. Therefore by [4], Theorem 3.3,  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup. ■

**Remark.** In the language of partially ordered semigroups, Theorem 3.8 says that a monoid  $S$  is principally ordered with respect to its natural partial order  $\leq_S$  (see [4]) if and only if  $S$  is an  $F$ -monoid. Notice that  $\leq_S$  is not compatible with multiplication, in general (see [8]) - but note Examples (2) - (6) in Section 2.

The next characterization of  $F$ -monoids  $S$  is in terms of the maximal elements in  $(S, \leq_S)$ :

**Theorem 3.9** *Let  $S$  be a monoid. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -monoid if and only if*

- (i)  $S$  is  $E$ -inverse;
- (ii) for every  $a \in S$ , there exists a unique maximal  $m \in S$  such that  $a \leq_S m$ ;
- (iii) if  $a, b \in S$  are included in the same maximal element then so are  $ac, bc$  resp.  $ca, cb$ , for any  $c \in S$ .

**Proof.** Necessity holds by [3], Theorem 5.3.

Sufficiency. Let  $T = \{m_i | i \in I\}$  be the set of all maximal elements of  $(S, \leq_S)$ . By (ii),  $T \neq \emptyset$  and  $S$  is the disjoint union of the principal order ideals  $(m_i]$  ( $i \in I$ ) in  $(S, \leq_S)$ . Define

$$apb \Leftrightarrow a, b \in (m_i] \text{ for some } i \in I.$$

Using (ii) and (iii) it is easy to show that  $\rho$  is a congruence on  $S$ . Thus  $S/\rho$  is a semigroup with  $1_S\rho$  as identity element. If  $e \in E_S$  then  $e \leq_S 1_S$  and  $e, 1_S \in (1_S]$ . Since by Lemma 3.1,  $1_S$  is a maximal element in  $(S, \leq_S)$ , it follows that  $e\rho 1_S$ . Let  $a\rho \in S/\rho$ ; then by (i),  $ax = f \in E_S$  for some  $x \in S$ . Thus,  $(a\rho)(x\rho) = (ax)\rho = f\rho = 1_S\rho$ ; therefore  $S/\rho$  is a group. Furthermore, if  $a \in (m_i]$  for  $i \in I$ , say, then we have that  $a\rho = (m_i]$ . Hence  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup. ■

By [3], Corollary 5.6, we have

**Corollary 3.10** *Let  $S$  be a monoid with compatible natural partial order. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -monoid if and only if  $S$  is  $E$ -inversive and for every  $a \in S$  there exists a unique maximal  $m \in S$  such that  $a \leq_S m$ .*

**Remark.** Examples of semigroups  $S$  with compatible natural partial order are: commutative or centric (i.e.,  $aS = Sa$  for every  $a \in S$ ) or inverse semigroups (see [8]). Note that the latter are also  $E$ -inversive.

Following an idea of M. Petrich (see [3], Theorem 3.9, on  $F$ -semigroups) we obtain an axiomatic description of  $F$ -monoids by means of an additional unary operation with certain properties reflecting those of the greatest elements in the different  $\rho$ -classes.

**Theorem 3.11** *Let  $S$  be a monoid. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -monoid if and only if  $S$  has a unary operation  $a \rightarrow a'$  satisfying*

- (F1)  $(ab)' = (a'b)' = (ab')'$  for all  $a, b \in S$ ;
- (F2) for every  $a \in S$ ,  $a \leq_S a'$ ;
- (F3) for any  $a \in S$  there exists  $b \in S$  such that  $(ab)' = 1_S$ .

**Proof.** Necessity. Let  $\rho$  be the defining group congruence on  $S$  and for any  $a \in S$ , let  $a'$  be the greatest element of the  $\rho$ -class  $a\rho \in S/\rho$ . Then by [3], Theorem 3.9, (F1) and (F2) hold. In particular,  $1_S \leq_S 1'_S$ ; hence  $1_S = 1'_S$  (by Lemma 3.1). Let  $a \in S$ ; since  $S/\rho$  is a group there exists  $b\rho \in S/\rho$  such that  $(a\rho)(b\rho) = 1_S\rho$  (the identity of  $S/\rho$ ). Hence  $(ab)\rho = 1_S\rho$  and  $(ab)' = 1'_S = 1_S$ .

Sufficiency. Define a relation  $\rho$  on  $S$  by:  $apb \Leftrightarrow a' = b'$ . Then by (F1),  $\rho$  is a congruence on  $S$ . Evidently,  $1_S\rho$  is the identity of the semigroup  $S/\rho$ . Note that by (F2),  $1_S \leq_S 1'_S$ , whence  $1_S = 1'_S$  (by Lemma 3.1). Let  $a\rho \in S/\rho$ ; then by (F3) there exists  $b \in S$  such that  $(ab)' = 1_S = 1'_S$ . It follows that  $ab\rho 1_S$ , so that in  $S/\rho$  we have  $(a\rho)(b\rho) = 1_S\rho$ . Therefore,  $S/\rho$  is a group. Let  $a \in S$ ; then  $a' \in S$  is the greatest element of the  $\rho$ -class  $a\rho \in S/\rho$  by definition of  $\rho$  and (F2) (see [3], Theorem 3.9). It follows that  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup. ■

**Corollary 3.12** *Let  $S$  be a monoid. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -monoid if and only if  $S$  is  $E$ -inversive and has a unary operation  $a \rightarrow a'$  satisfying*

- (F1)  $(ab)' = (a'b)' = (ab')'$  for all  $a, b \in S$ ;
- (F2) for every  $a \in S$ ,  $a \leq_S a'$ ;
- (F4) for any  $e \in E_S$ ,  $e' = 1_S$ .



**Proof.** Necessity. First, by Proposition 3.2,  $S$  is  $E$ -inversive. Let  $\rho$  be the defining group congruence on  $S$  and for any  $a \in S$ , let  $a'$  denote the greatest element of  $a\rho \in S/\rho$ . Then (F1) and (F2) hold by Theorem 3.11; in particular,  $1'_S = 1_S$  (by Lemma 3.1). Let  $e \in E_S$ ; then  $e\rho 1_S$  and  $e' = 1'_S = 1_S$ .

Sufficiency. Let  $a \in S$ ; then  $ax \in E_S$  for some  $x \in S$  (since  $S$  is  $E$ -inversive). It follows by (F4), that  $(ax)' = 1_S$ . Consequently,  $S$  is an  $F$ -semigroup (by Theorem 3.11). ■

## 4 Three unary operations

Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -monoid and  $\rho$  the corresponding group congruence on  $S$ . Then by Lemma 3.1, the pivot of  $S$  is  $\xi = 1_S$  and by Theorem 3.4, for any  $a \in S$ ,  $1_S : a = \max \{x \in S \mid ax \leq_S 1_S\} = \max \{x \in S \mid xa \leq_S 1_S\}$  exists in  $(S, \leq_S)$ .

Since  $a(1_S : a) \leq_S 1_S$  and  $(1_S : a)a \leq_S 1_S$ , it follows by [8], Lemma 2.1, that  $a(1_S : a), (1_S : a)a \in E_S$ . Furthermore, by definition, each  $\rho$ -class  $a\rho$  of  $S$  has a greatest element. Recall from [3], Corollary 3.3, that the greatest element of  $(a\rho)^{-1} \in S/\rho$  is  $1_S : a \in S$  and that of  $a\rho \in S/\rho$  is  $1_S : (1_S : a)$ .

As the first unary operation  $a \rightarrow a^*$  on  $S$  we define

$$a^* = 1_S : a \text{ for any } a \in S \text{ (see [4]).}$$

Hence,  $a^* \in S$  is the greatest element of  $(a\rho)^{-1} \in S/\rho$ , and  $(a^*)^* = a^{**} \in S$  is that of  $a\rho \in S/\rho$ . Then in the notation in the proof of Theorem 3.11,  $a' = a^{**}$ . With this observation in mind, Theorem 3.11 and Corollary 3.12 remain true if the unary operation is given by  $a \rightarrow a^{**}$  and in conditions (F1), (F2), (F3) and (F4) the symbol  $'$  is replaced by  $^{**}$ .

Since  $aa^*, a^*a \in E_S$ ,  $aa^*a = aa^* \cdot a = a \cdot a^*a$  implies that  $aa^*a \leq_S a$ . Furthermore, by [4], Theorem 3.3 and its proof,  $a^* \in S$  is the greatest element of all  $x \in S$  such that  $axa \leq_S a$ . Since  $aa^*a \cdot a^* \cdot aa^*a = (aa^*)^3 a = aa^*a$ , the element  $aa^*a \in S$  is regular.

We define our second unary operation  $a \rightarrow \bar{a}$  on  $S$  by

$$\bar{a} = aa^*a \text{ for any } a \in S.$$

**Lemma 4.1** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -monoid. If  $R(S)$  denotes the set of all regular elements of  $S$  then  $R(S) = \{\bar{a} \mid a \in S\}$  and  $R(S)$  is a regular subsemigroup of  $S$ .*

**Proof.** By [4], Theorem 2.2, every regular element  $a \in S$  satisfies  $a = a(1_S : a)a = aa^*a = \bar{a}$ . Thus  $R(S) \subseteq \{\bar{a} \mid a \in S\}$ . The converse inclusion is shown above. Also, by [2], Proposition 3.7,  $E_S$  forms a subsemigroup of  $S$ . Hence the second assertion follows by [5] (see also [10], Lemma 5.2). ■

In the following we collect several properties of the operations  $*$  and  $-$ . Recall that  $A(a) = \{x \in S \mid axa = a\}$  is the set of associates of  $a \in S$ .

**Proposition 4.2** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -monoid. Then for all  $a, b \in S$ ,  $e \in E_S$  the following hold:*

- (i)  $a \leq_S a^{**}$ ;
- (ii)  $e^* = 1_S$ ;
- (iii)  $a \leq_S b \Rightarrow a^* = b^*, aa^* \leq_S bb^*, a^*a \leq_S b^*b$ ;
- (iv)  $a^* = a^{***}$ ;
- (v)  $aa^* \leq_S a^{**}a^*, a^*a \leq_S a^*a^{**}$ ;
- (vi)  $(ea)^* = a^* = (ae)^*$ ;
- (vii) *If  $a \in S$  is regular then  $a'\rho a^*$  for all  $a' \in A(a)$ ;*
- (viii)  $a^* = \bar{a}^*$ ;
- (ix)  $a^{**} = \max A(\bar{a}^*)$ .

**Proof.** (i), (ii), (iv), (v), (vi) and (vii) are proved as in [4], Proposition 4.1.

(iii) Let  $a \leq_S b$ ; then by the proof of [4], Proposition 4.1 (ii),  $a^* = b^*$ . Next,  $\bar{a} = a^*aa^* \leq_S a \leq_S b$  implies by [8] Lemma 2.1, that  $\bar{a} = eb = bf$  for some  $e, f \in E_S$ . Hence

$$aa^* = aa^*a \cdot a^* = \bar{a} \cdot a^* = eb \cdot a^* = e \cdot bb^*, aa^* = bfb^*.$$

Now by [3], Lemma 3.7, and by (ii),  $1_S : fb^* = (1_S : f) : b^* = 1_S : b^*$ . Thus we obtain from [3], Corollary 3.4, that  $fb^*\rho b^*$ . Therefore  $fb^* \leq_S b^*$  (= the greatest element of its  $\rho$ -class). It follows that  $fb^* = b^*x$  for some  $x \in S$ . Thus  $aa^* = bfb^* = bb^*x$  and  $aa^* \leq_S bb^*$ . Similarly,  $a^*a \leq_S b^*b$ .

(viii) Since  $aa^* \in E_S$  we have by (vi), that  $\bar{a}^* = (aa^*a)^* = a^*$ .

(ix) By Lemma 4.1,  $\bar{a}^*$  is regular. Hence by [4], Corollary 2.3 (with  $\xi = 1_S$ )

$$\max A(\bar{a}^*) = (\bar{a}^*)^* = (a^*a^{**}a^*)^* = a^{**},$$

where the last equality holds by (vi), since  $a^*a^{**} \in E_S$ . ■

Our third unary operation  $a \rightarrow a^\circ$  on  $S$  is defined by

$$a^\circ = a^*aa^*, \text{ where } a^* = 1_S : a \text{ (see [4]).}$$

Since  $aa^*, a^*a \in E_S$ , also  $aa^\circ, a^\circ a \in E_S$ . Recall that  $V(a) = \{x \in S \mid a = axa, x = xax\}$ .

**Proposition 4.3** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -monoid. Then we have for all  $a, b \in S$ ,  $e \in E_S$ :*

- (i)  $a^\circ \in V(\bar{a})$  and  $a \in A(a^\circ)$ ;
- (ii)  $aa^\circ = aa^* = \bar{a}a^\circ$  and  $a^\circ a = a^*a = a^\circ \bar{a}$ ;
- (iii) *if  $a' \in V(\bar{a})$ ,  $a' \neq a^\circ$ , then  $a^\circ$  is incomparable with  $a'$ ;*

- (iv)  $a^{*\circ} \leq_S a^{**}$  and  $a^{\circ*} = a^{**}$ ;
- (v)  $e^\circ = e$ ;
- (vi)  $aa^* = a^{**}a^\circ$  and  $a^*a = a^\circ a^{**}$ ;
- (vii)  $a^{\circ\circ} = \bar{a}$  and  $a^{\circ\circ} \leq_S a$ ;
- (viii)  $a^{\circ\circ\circ} = a^\circ$ ;
- (ix)  $a^{**}a^*a = \bar{a} = aa^*a^{**}$ ;
- (x)  $a \leq_S b \Rightarrow a^\circ \leq_S b^\circ, aa^\circ \leq_S bb^\circ, a^\circ a \leq_S b^\circ b$ ;
- (xi)  $\bar{a}^\circ = a^\circ = \bar{a}^\circ$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $a^\circ \bar{a} a^\circ = a^* a a^* \cdot a a^* a \cdot a^* a a^* = (a^* a)^4 a^* = a^* a a^* = a^\circ$ ,  
 $\bar{a} a^\circ \bar{a} = a a^* a \cdot a^* a a^* \cdot a a^* a = (a a^*)^4 a = a a^* a = \bar{a}$ ;  
 $a^\circ a a^\circ = a^* a a^* \cdot a \cdot a^* a a^* = (a^* a)^3 a^* = a^* a a^* = a^\circ$ .

(ii)  $aa^\circ = a \cdot a^* a a^* = aa^*$ ;  $\bar{a} a^\circ = a a^* a \cdot a^* a a^* = (a a^*)^3 = aa^*$ . Similarly we prove the other equalities.

(iii) Let  $a' \in V(\bar{a})$  be such that  $a' \neq a^\circ$  (see (i)), and assume that  $a' <_S a^\circ$ . Then since  $a' \in S$  is regular we have by [8], Lemma 2.1, that  $a' = ea^\circ = a^\circ f$  for some  $e, f \in E_S$ . Thus, by (i),

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a} a' &= \bar{a} \cdot ea^\circ = \bar{a} e \cdot a^\circ \bar{a} a^\circ = \bar{a} a' \bar{a} \cdot a^\circ = \bar{a} a^\circ, \\ a' \bar{a} &= a^\circ f \cdot \bar{a} = a^\circ \bar{a} a^\circ \cdot f \bar{a} = a^\circ \cdot \bar{a} a' \bar{a} = a^\circ \bar{a}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $a' = a' \bar{a} a' = a^\circ \bar{a} \cdot a' = a^\circ \cdot \bar{a} a^\circ = a^\circ$  (by (i)): contradiction. The proof for  $a^\circ <_S a'$  is obtained by interchanging  $a^\circ$  and  $a'$ .

(iv)  $a^{\circ*} = (a^* a a^*)^* = a^{**}$ , by Proposition 4.2 (vi) (since  $a^* a \in E_S$ );

$a^{*\circ} = a^{**} a^* a^{**} \leq_S a^{**}$  (since  $a^{**} a^*, a^* a^{**} \in E_S$ ).

(v) and (vi) are proved as in [4], Proposition 4.2.

(vii)

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\circ\circ} &= a^{\circ*} a^\circ a^{\circ*} && \text{(by (iv))} \\ &= a^{**} a^\circ a^{**} \\ &= a^{**} \cdot a^\circ \bar{a} a^\circ \cdot a^{**} && \text{(by (i))} \\ &= a a^* \cdot \bar{a} \cdot a^* a && \text{(by (vi))} \\ &= a a^* \cdot a a^* a \cdot a^* a \\ &= a a^* a \\ &= \bar{a} \leq_S a. && \text{(see the beginning of this Section)} \end{aligned}$$

(viii)

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\circ\circ\circ} &= (a^{\circ\circ})^\circ \\ &= (\bar{a})^\circ && \text{(by (vii))} \\ &= (a a^* a)^\circ \\ &= (a a^* a)^* a a^* a (a a^* a)^* \\ &= a^* \cdot a a^* a \cdot a^* && \text{(by Proposition 4.2 (vi))} \\ &= a^* a a^* \\ &= a^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

(ix) By (vii), (iv) and (vi),  $\bar{a} = a^{\circ\circ} = a^{\circ*}a^{\circ}a^{\circ*} = a^{**} \cdot a^{\circ}a^{**} = a^{**} \cdot a^*a$ . Similarly  $aa^*a^{**} = \bar{a}$ .

(x) Let  $a \leq_S b$ ; then by Proposition 4.2 (iii),  $a^* = b^*$  and  $aa^* \leq_S bb^*$ . It follows by (ii), that  $aa^{\circ} \leq_S bb^{\circ}$  and similarly  $a^{\circ}a \leq_S b^{\circ}b$ . Furthermore, since  $aa^*, bb^* \in E_S$  we have  $aa^* = bb^* \cdot aa^* = aa^* \cdot bb^*$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\circ} &= a^* \cdot aa^* = b^* \cdot bb^*aa^* = b^{\circ} \cdot aa^*, \\ a^{\circ} &= a^* \cdot aa^* = a^* \cdot aa^*bb^* = a^*a \cdot b^*bb^* = a^*a \cdot b^{\circ}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $a^{\circ} \leq_S b^{\circ}$ .

(xi) Since by (i)  $a^{\circ} \in R(S)$ , it is obvious by [4], Theorem 2.2, that  $a^{\circ} = \overline{a^{\circ}}$ . Also by Proposition 4.2 (viii) and  $a^*a \in E_S$  we have  $\bar{a}^{\circ} = \bar{a}^* \bar{a} \bar{a}^* = a^*aa^*aa^* = a^*aa^* = a^{\circ}$ . ■

**Remark.** The inequality in (iv) may be strict. Consider the non-regular  $F$ -monoid in Example (3) of Section 2. The corresponding group congruence on  $S$  has the classes:  $\{-\bar{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\{n, \bar{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ . The greatest elements of these classes are:  $-\bar{n}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and  $n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ) - note that  $\bar{n} = n\varphi_{\omega, \mu}$  implies that  $\bar{n} <_S n$ . Hence we have for every  $a \in S$ :  $a^{**} = -\bar{n}$  if  $a = -\bar{n}$ , and  $a^{**} = n$  if  $a \in \{n, \bar{n}\}$ . Thus for the non-regular element  $a = n \in \mathbb{N} \subseteq S_{\omega}$  we obtain that  $a^{**} = n$ ; but  $a^{*\circ} = (a^*)^{\circ} = (-\bar{n})^{\circ} = -\bar{n} + n + (-\bar{n}) = -\bar{n} + \bar{n} - \bar{n} = -\bar{n}$ , i.e.,  $a^{*\circ} \neq a^{**}$ .

In fact we have the following general result:

**Lemma 4.4** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -monoid. Then for any  $a \in S$ ,  $a^{*\circ} = a^{**}$  if and only if  $S$  is regular.*

**Proof.** Necessity. Let  $a \in S$ ; then  $a^{**} = a^{*\circ} = a^{**}a^*a^{**}$  and  $a^{**} \in S$  is regular. That is, the greatest element of the  $\rho$ -class  $a\rho \in S/\rho$  is regular. From  $a \leq_S a^{**}$  it follows by [8], Lemma 2.1, that  $a \in S$  is regular, too.

Sufficiency. Let  $a \in S$ ; then since  $a^{**} \in S$  is regular,  $a^{**} = a^{**}a^{***}a^{**}$  by [4], Corollary 2.4. By Proposition 4.2 (iv),  $a^* = a^{***}$ . Thus it follows that  $a^{*\circ} = a^{**}a^*a^{**} = a^{**}a^{***}a^{**} = a^{**}$ . ■

The operation  $a \rightarrow a^{\circ}$  on an  $F$ -monoid  $S$  gives rise to the subsemigroup

$$S^{\circ} = \{a^{\circ} \in S \mid a \in S\}$$

of  $S$ . This semigroup will play an important role in the following section where a further characterization of  $F$ -monoids will be given. As a first step we show

**Proposition 4.5** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -monoid. Then  $S^{\circ} = R(S)$  and  $S^{\circ}$  is a regular subsemigroup of  $S$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a^{\circ} \in S^{\circ}$ ; then by Proposition 4.3 (i),  $a^{\circ}$  is regular, hence  $S^{\circ} \subseteq R(S)$ . Conversely,  $R(S) = \{\bar{a} \mid a \in S\}$  by Lemma 4.1. But by Proposition 4.3 (vii),  $\bar{a} = a^{\circ\circ}$  for any  $a \in S$ . Therefore  $R(S) \subseteq S^{\circ}$ , and equality prevails. The second assertion holds by Lemma 4.1. ■

**Remark.** Notice that Lemma 4.1 together with Proposition 4.5 give that  $R(S) = S^{\circ} = \{\bar{a} : a \in S\}$ .

## 5 A characterization by the regular part

In this Section we give a description of  $F$ -monoids  $S$  by means of the regular part  $R(S) = S^\circ$  of  $S$  (see Proposition 4.5). It turns out that  $S^\circ$  is an  $F$ -regular semigroup, the structure of which was studied in [4]. Furthermore, the non-regular elements of  $S$  are associates of elements in  $S^\circ$  as we will show first.

**Lemma 5.1** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -monoid; then  $S = A(S^\circ)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a \in S$ ; then by Proposition 4.3 (i),  $a \in A(a^\circ)$ . Hence  $S \subseteq A(S^\circ)$  and equality prevails. ■

**Proposition 5.2** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -monoid. Then  $S^\circ$  is an  $F$ -regular subsemigroup of  $S$ .*

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.5,  $S^\circ = R(S)$  is a regular, hence  $E$ -inversive subsemigroup of  $S$ . We will define a unary operation  $a^\circ \rightarrow (a^\circ)'$  on  $S^\circ$  satisfying (F1), (F2) and (F4) of Corollary 3.12. Then it follows that  $S^\circ$  is an  $F$ -regular monoid (note that  $1_S \in S^\circ$ ). Consider the operation  $a^\circ \rightarrow (a^\circ)' = (a^\circ)^{*^\circ}$  on  $S^\circ$ .

Since  $a^*a \in E_S$  we first have by Proposition 4.2 (vi):

$$(a^\circ)' = (a^*aa^*)^{*\circ} = a^{**\circ}.$$

(F1) For any  $a^\circ, b^\circ \in S^\circ$ :

$$(a^\circ b^\circ)' = (a^\circ b^\circ)^{*^\circ} = (a^*aa^* \cdot b^*bb^*)^{*\circ} = (a^*a \cdot a^*b^* \cdot bb^*)^{*\circ} = (a^*b^*)^{*\circ}$$

by Proposition 4.2 (vi), since  $a^*a, bb^* \in E_S$ ; on the other hand

$$(a^\circ)' = (a^{**})^\circ = a^{***}a^{**}a^{***} = a^*a^{**}a^* \text{ (by Proposition 4.2 (iv))}$$

and thus

$$[(a^\circ)' b^\circ]' = (a^*a^{**}a^* \cdot b^*bb^*)^{*\circ} = (a^*a^{**} \cdot a^*b^* \cdot bb^*)^{*\circ} = (a^*b^*)^{*\circ}$$

by Proposition 4.2 (vi), since  $a^*a^{**}, bb^* \in E_S$ .

Similarly,  $[a^\circ (b^\circ)']' = (a^*b^*)^{*\circ}$ .

(F2) For any  $a \in S$  by Proposition 4.2 (i),  $a \leq_S a^{**}$ ; hence  $a^\circ \leq_S a^{**\circ} = (a^\circ)'$  by Proposition 4.3 (x).

(F4) For any  $e \in E_S \subseteq S^\circ$  we have by Proposition 4.3 (v),  $e = e^\circ$ ; hence  $e' = (e^\circ)' = (e^\circ)^{*^\circ} = e^{*\circ} = 1_S^\circ = 1_S$ , by Proposition 4.2 (ii). ■

**Remark** If  $\rho$  (resp.  $\sigma$ ) denotes the corresponding group congruence on  $S$  (resp.  $S^\circ$ ) then  $\sigma = \rho|_{S^\circ}$ , i.e.,  $\sigma$  is the restriction of  $\rho$  to  $S^\circ \subseteq S$ . In fact, every  $\rho$ -class  $a\rho \in S/\rho$  contains the regular element  $\bar{a} = aa^*a$  (see Lemma 4.1): since  $\bar{a} \leq_S a$  and since by [3], Lemma 2.1,  $a\rho \subseteq S$  is a principal order ideal of  $(S, \leq_S)$ ,  $\bar{a} \in a\rho$ . Furthermore,  $\rho|_{S^\circ}$  is a group congruence on  $S^\circ$ , because for

any  $a\rho = \bar{a}\rho \in G = S/\rho$  there exists  $b\rho = \bar{b}\rho \in G$  such that  $(a\rho)(b\rho) = 1_G$ , i.e.,  $(\bar{a}\rho)(\bar{b}\rho) = 1_G$ . Since by Lemma 3.1,  $1_S\rho = 1_G = (1_S] = 1_S\sigma$  it follows by [1], Theorem 10.24, that  $\rho|_{S^\circ} = R_{1_G} = \sigma$ , where  $R_{1_G}$  denotes the Dubreil equivalence defined by the anticone  $1_G = (1_S]$  - see [2].

By Theorem 3.4, in every  $F$ -monoid  $S$  the identity  $1_S \in S$  is rightresiduated; in particular,  $1_S \cdot t$  exists in  $(S, \leq_S)$  for any  $t \in R(S)$ . Therefore, we obtain from Lemma 5.1 and Proposition 5.2:

**Corollary 5.3** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -monoid. Then (i)  $T = R(S)$  is an  $F$ -regular monoid; (ii)  $S = A(T)$ , the set of associates of elements in  $T$ ; (iii) for any  $t \in T$ ,  $1_S \cdot t = \max\{x \in S \mid tx \leq_S 1_S\}$  exists in  $(S, \leq_S)$ .*

We will show the converse of Corollary 5.3. Recall that for any  $a \in S$ ,  $\langle 1_S \cdot a \rangle = \{x \in S \mid ax \leq_S 1_S\}$  (see [3]).

**Proposition 5.4** *Let  $S$  be a monoid such that (i), (ii), (iii) in Corollary 5.3 are satisfied. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -monoid.*

**Proof.** We will prove that for any  $a \in S$ ,  $1_S \cdot a = \max\langle 1_S \cdot a \rangle$  exists. Then the statement follows by Theorem 3.4.

Let  $a \in S$ ; then by (ii),  $ata = t$  for some  $t \in T$ . Therefore,  $at \in E_S \subseteq R(S)$  and  $ata \in R(S) = T$ . Hence by (iii),  $1_S \cdot at$  and  $1_S \cdot ata$  exist in  $(S, \leq_S)$ . We show that  $1_S \cdot ata = 1_S \cdot a$ .

By definition,  $ata(1_S \cdot ata) \leq_S 1_S$ , i.e.,  $at \cdot a(1_S \cdot ata) \leq_S 1_S$  and therefore  $a(1_S \cdot ata) \leq_S 1_S \cdot at = 1_S$ , by the proof of sufficiency of Lemma 4.4 in [3]. Thus,  $1_S \cdot ata \in \langle 1_S \cdot a \rangle$ .

Let  $x \in S$  be such that  $x \in \langle 1_S \cdot a \rangle$ ; then  $ax \leq_S 1_S$  and  $ax \in E_S$  (by [8], Lemma 2.1). By the above, also  $at \in E_S$ ; therefore  $at \cdot ax \in E_S$  (by (i),  $T = R(S)$  is a  $F$ -semigroup, hence by [2], Proposition 3.7,  $E_S = E_T$  is a subsemigroup of  $T \subseteq S$ ). Hence,  $ata \cdot x \leq_S 1_S$  and  $x \leq_S 1_S \cdot ata$ . It follows that  $1_S \cdot ata = \max\langle 1_S \cdot a \rangle$  in  $(S, \leq_S)$ , that is,  $1_S \cdot a$  exists in  $(S, \leq_S)$ . ■

Combining Corollary 5.3 and Proposition 5.4 we obtain the following characterization of  $F$ -monoids:

**Theorem 5.5** *Let  $S$  be a monoid. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -monoid if and only if*

- (i)  $T = R(S)$  is an  $F$ -regular monoid,
- (ii)  $S = A(T) = \{x \in S \mid txt = t \text{ for some } t \in T\}$ ,
- (iii) for every  $t \in R(S)$ ,  $1_S \cdot t$  (resp.  $1_S \cdot t$ ) exists in  $(S, \leq_S)$ .

**Example** Let  $S$  be the  $F$ -monoid given in Example (3) of Section 2 with  $S_\mu = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$  and  $S_\omega = (\mathbb{N}_0, +)$ . Then the pivot of  $S$  is 0 and

- (i)  $T = R(S) = S_\mu \cup \{0\}$  is an  $F$ -semigroup since the group  $S_\mu$  is an  $F$ -semigroup with idempotent pivot  $\xi = \bar{0}$ ; hence adjoining a new identity  $0$ ,  $R(S)$  is an  $F$ -semigroup (by Proposition 2.1);
- (ii)  $S = A(T)$ : for every  $n \in S_\omega = \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $t = -\bar{n} \in T = \mathbb{Z}$  satisfies  $t + n + t = (-\bar{n}) + n + (-\bar{n}) = -\bar{n} + \bar{n} - \bar{n} = -\bar{n} = t$ ; for every  $a \in S_\mu = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $t = -a \in T$  satisfies  $t + a + t = t$ ;
- (iii) for any  $t \in R(S) = S_\mu \cup \{0\}$ ,  $0 \cdot t = n$  if  $t = -\bar{n}$ , and  $= -\bar{n}$  if  $t = \bar{n}$ .

## 6 $F$ -\*-monoids

Following [4] we call an  $F$ -monoid  $S$   $F$ -\*-monoid if  $S$  satisfies the identity

$$(ab)^* = b^*a^* \text{ for all } a, b \in S,$$

with respect to the  $*$ -operation  $a^* = 1_S : a$  ( $a \in S$ ) considered in Section 4. Concerning such monoids we first have for  $S^* = \{a^* \in S \mid a \in S\}$ :

**Lemma 6.1** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -monoid. Then the following hold:*

- (1)  $S^* = \{x \in S \mid x \text{ is the greatest element of a } \rho\text{-class}\}$   
 $= \{m \in S \mid m \text{ is maximal in } (S, \leq_S)\};$
- (2)  $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$  for all  $a, b \in S$  if and only if  $S^*$  is a subsemigroup of  $S$ ;
- (3) If  $S$  is an  $F$ -\*-monoid then  $S^* = H_1$ , the group of units of  $S$ . In particular,  $(a^*)^{-1} = a^{**}$  for any  $a^* \in S^*$ .

**Proof.** The three statements are proved as in [4], Lemma 6.3. The only two points to be observed are the following. In (3),  $H_1 \subseteq S^*$  since for any  $x \in H_1$ ,  $x^{-1} \in H_1$  is a regular element of  $S$ ; hence by [4], Theorem 2.2,  $x^{-1} = x^{-1}(1_S : x^{-1})x^{-1} = x^{-1}(x^{-1})^*x^{-1}$ , i.e.,  $x = (x^{-1})^* \in S^*$ . Also in (3), if  $a^* \in S^* = H_1$  then  $a^*a \in E_S$  implies  $(a^*a)^* = 1_S$  (by Proposition 4.2 (ii)); therefore since  $S$  is an  $F$ -\*-monoid,  $a^*a^{**} = 1_S$  and  $a^{**} = (a^*)^{-1}$ . ■

**Theorem 6.2** *Let  $S$  be an  $F$ -\*-monoid. Then  $S$  is regular.*

**Proof.** Let  $a \in S$ ; then by Lemma 6.1 (3),  $(a^*)^{-1} = a^{**}$ . Hence it follows from Proposition 4.3 (ix), that

$$\bar{a} = aa^*a^{**} = aa^*(a^*)^{-1} = a.$$

Since by Lemma 4.1,  $\bar{a} \in S$  is regular so is  $a \in S$ . ■

By the characterization of  $F$ -regular ( $F$ -inverse)  $*$ -semigroups given in [4], Theorems 6.4 and 6.5, we obtain from Theorem 6.2

**Corollary 6.3** (1) *Let  $S$  be a monoid. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -\*-semigroup if and only if  $S$  is a semidirect product of a band with identity by a group.*

(2) *Let  $S$  be a monoid with commuting idempotents. Then  $S$  is an  $F$ -\*-semigroup if and only if  $S$  is a semidirect product of a semilattice with identity by a group.*

## References

- [1] A. Clifford, G. Preston: *The algebraic theory of semigroups*. Vol. II, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7 (Providence, 1967).
- [2] E. Giraldez, P. Marques-Smith, H. Mitsch: *Generalized  $F$ -semigroups*. Math. Bohemica 130 (2005), 203-220.
- [3] E. Giraldez, P. Marques-Smith, H. Mitsch:  *$F$ -semigroups* - submitted.
- [4] E. Giraldez, P. Marques-Smith, H. Mitsch:  *$F$ -regular semigroups*. J. Algebra 274 (2004), 491-510.
- [5] T. E. Hall: *Some properties of local subsemigroups inherited by larger semigroups*. Semigroup Forum 25 (1982), 33-48.
- [6] A. Fidalgo Maia, H. Mitsch: *Constructions of trivially ordered semigroups*. Pure Math. Appl.13 (2002), 359-371.
- [7] H. Mitsch: *A natural partial order for semigroups*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1986), 384-388.
- [8] H. Mitsch: *Semigroups and their natural partial order*. Math. Slovaca 44 (1994), 445-462.
- [9] H. Mitsch, M. Petrich: *Basic properties of  $E$ -inversive semigroups*. Comm. Algebra 28 (2000), 5169-5182.
- [10] H. Mitsch, M. Petrich: *Restricting idempotents in  $E$ -inversive semigroups*. Acta Sci. Math. 67 (2001), 555-570.
- [11] M. Petrich: *Introduction to Semigroups*. Merrill, Columbus/Ohio (1973).