www.multiplesclerosisjournal.com

# Genotypes at the APOE and SCA2 loci do not predict the course of multiple sclerosis in patients of Portuguese origin

Mónica Santos<sup>1</sup>, Maria do Carmo Costa<sup>1</sup>, Maria Edite Rio<sup>2</sup>, Maria José Sá<sup>2</sup>, Marta Monteiro<sup>2</sup>, Angela Valença<sup>3</sup>, Alfredo Sá<sup>4</sup>, José Dinis<sup>5</sup>, José Figueiredo<sup>6</sup>, Luís Bigotte de Almeida<sup>7</sup>, António Valongueiro<sup>5</sup>, Isabel Coelho<sup>8</sup>, Maria Teresa Matamá<sup>1</sup>, Jorge Pinto-Basto<sup>1,9</sup>, Jorge Sequeiros<sup>1,10</sup> and Patrícia Maciel<sup>\*,1,10,11</sup>

<sup>1</sup>UnIGENe-IBMC, Univ. Porto; <sup>2</sup>Serv. Neurol., Hosp. S. João, Porto; <sup>3</sup>Serv. Neurol., Hosp. Força Aérea, Lisboa; <sup>4</sup>Serv. Neurol., Hosp. Dist. S<sup>to</sup>. André, Leiria; <sup>5</sup>Serv. Neurol., Hosp. Dist. S<sup>ta</sup>. Luzia, Viana do Castelo; <sup>6</sup>Serv. Neurol., Hosp. S. Marcos, Braga; <sup>7</sup>Serv. Neurol., Hosp. Garcia de Orta, Almada; <sup>8</sup>Serv. Neurol., Hosp. Dist. S<sup>ra</sup>. Oliveira, Guimarães; <sup>9</sup>Inst. Genét. Médica, Jacinto de Magalhães, Porto; <sup>10</sup>Dept. Est. Pop., ICBAS, Univ. Porto; <sup>11</sup>Esc. Ciências da Saúde e ICVS, Univ. Minho, Portugal

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a demyelinating disease that affects about one in 500 young Europeans. In order to test the previously proposed influence of the APOE and SCA2 loci on susceptibility to MS, we studied these loci in 243 Portuguese patients and 192 healthy controls and both parents of 92 patients. We did not detect any significant difference when APOE and SCA2 allele frequencies of cases and controls were compared, or when we compared cases with different forms of the disease. Disequilibrium of transmission was tested for both loci in the 92 trios, and we did not observe segregation distortion. To test the influence of the APOE  $e^4$  and SCA2 22 CAGs alleles on severity of disease, we compared age at onset and progression rate between groups with and without those alleles. We did not observe an association of the  $e^4$  or the 22 CAGs alleles with rate of progression in our total patient population; allele  $e^4$  was associated with increased rate of progression of MS in a subset of patients with less than 10 years of the disease. However, globally in the Portuguese population, the APOE and SCA2 genes do not seem to be useful in the clinical context as prognostic markers of this disorder. Multiple Sclerosis (2004) **00**, 1-5

Key words: allelic association; demyelinating disease; genetics; linkage disequilibrium; prognostic markers; spinocerebellar ataxia

## Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic primary demyelinating disease of the central nervous system (CNS) affecting one in 500 young Europeans. It is characterized by a wide range of clinical manifestations and classified in relapsing-remitting, primary progressive, secondary progressive, and benign forms.<sup>1</sup>

The higher concordance rate of MS in monozygotic twins (25%) versus dizygotic twins  $(3\%)^{2-4}$  strongly suggests that genetic factors are involved in the aetiology of this disorder; however, the number and type of genes involved and their mechanisms remain uncertain. In the interaction between susceptibility genes and environmental factors, none seem sufficient by itself to cause the disease.<sup>1</sup> Moreover, while some genes may be involved in

\*Correspondence: Patrícia Maciel, MD, PhD, Life and Health Sciences Research Institute, School of Health Sciences, University of Minho, Campus de Gualtar, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal.

E-mail: pmaciel@ecsaude.uminho.pt

Received 16 June 2003; revised 23 September 2003; accepted 3 November 2003

the induction of the disease, others may have a role in its progression.  $^{5}$ 

Although several chromosomal regions have been proposed as possible locations of susceptibility genes, the MHC class II on chromosome 6 is the only consistent one: only the DR15 allele association, in northern Europeans,<sup>6</sup> and the association with DR4 in Sardinians,<sup>7</sup> have been reproducible. In the UK, regions 3p21-p14, 5q14-q15, 6p21, 7p21-p15, 17q22-q24, 19q13 and Xp21-p11 have also been proposed.<sup>8,9</sup> Other regions were suggested by the Canadian study (2p16, 3p14-p12, 3q21-q25, 5q14-q15, 6p21, 7p21-p15, 7q21-q22, 19q13 and Xp21-Xp11),<sup>10</sup> the study of the Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Group (2p16, 3q21-q25, 5q14-q15, 6p21, 7q11-q22 and 19q13)<sup>11</sup> and by the Finnish group (3q21-q25, 6p21, 17q22-q24 and 19q13).<sup>12</sup> Regions overlapping among all these are 3q21-25, 6p21 and 19q13. Whole genome screens for linkage disequilibrium in MS published by the UK13 and German<sup>14</sup> groups suggested several interesting regions for MS susceptibility loci. Overlapping genomic regions between these two studies are on chromosomes 1p, 1q, 6p (HLA region), 17q and 19q.

The *APOE* and *SCA2* genes are involved in other neurodegenerative diseases and previous studies suggested they may play a role in susceptibility to MS. The *SCA2* gene, when containing a CAG repeat above 36 units in the coding region, causes an autosomal dominant spinocerebellar ataxia (SCA2).<sup>15–17</sup> It has been reported that the 22 CAG allele segregates preferentially with MS,<sup>18</sup> either due to a direct effect or to that of a gene in linkage disequilibrium with this locus.

Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) is a 34 kDa protein involved in cholesterol metabolism, lipid transport and in neuronal development and regeneration.<sup>19</sup> The *APOE* gene has three main alleles,  $\epsilon 3$  being the most frequent.  $^{20-22}$  The ApoE £4 isoform has a higher affinity to bind lipid molecules,<sup>23</sup> and has been associated with a higher risk of Alzheimer's disease<sup>24,25</sup> and cardiovascular disease,<sup>26,27</sup> but also with a worse outcome in MS;<sup>28-32</sup> this could be related to a lower capacity of repair (remyelination of lesions) or to a protection against axonal degeneration consecutive to these lesions. The APOE gene has been implicated as a susceptibility risk factor<sup>29</sup> and as affecting the progression of disability in MS.<sup>28,30-32</sup> We have now tested the hypotheses 1) that the APOE and SCA2 loci may have a role in the susceptibility to MS, and 2) that specific alleles in these loci may correlate with severity and progression in the Portuguese population.

## Material and methods

### Subjects

We studied a sample of 243 unrelated patients (mean age =  $38.3 \pm 10.8$  years; 170 females, 73 males) of Portuguese origin collected in different regions of the country, with definite diagnosis of MS according to the criteria of Poser et al.<sup>33</sup> The disease was classified as primary progressive (PP; n = 34) or other forms of the disease (OF; n = 184), of which 72.8% were with recurrences and remissions, 12.0% secondary progressive, 2.7% optical nevritis, 1.1% benign and 11.4% undefined (patients for whom it was impossible for the neurologist to clearly classify the disease course); for 25 cases we had no information about the form of the disease. Values of Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS), ranging from 0 (no impairment) to 10 (death from MS),<sup>34</sup> were obtained for 140 patients; 85.7% of these patients were undergoing  $\beta$ -interferon therapy. The progression index (PI)<sup>35</sup> gives a measure of increase in disability through time and is calculated by dividing the EDSS by disease duration in years. Both parents of 92 patients were also studied. We also studied 192 unrelated healthy controls (HC) (all excluded for MS by a neurologist) matched to the case by gender, age ( $\pm 2$  years) and region of origin. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

## Methods

Genomic DNA from all subjects was isolated from peripheral blood using the Gentra system (Puregene<sup>TM</sup>). The *APOE* genotyping was performed by PCR-RFLP,<sup>36</sup> in an 8% nondenaturing polyacrilamide gel, using *HhaI*. The size of the (CAG)<sub>n</sub> at the *SCA2* locus was determined by PCR, as described;<sup>16</sup> PCR products (separated in a 6%

denaturing polyacrylamide gel) were hybridized with a  $(CAG)_{15}$  <sup>32</sup>P-labelled oligonucleotide probe.

#### Statistical analysis

Frequency of risk genotypes, i.e., at least one risk allele ( $\epsilon$ 4 for the *APOE* gene and homozygosity for the 22 allele for the *SCA2* gene) was compared between cases and controls, using the McNemar test. This was also used to compare frequencies of risk genotypes in patients and individually matched controls, for each clinical subgroup (PP or OF). Fisher's exact test was used to compare genotypes of cases with PP versus OF of MS. Disequilibrium of transmission was tested with the TDT-STDT program (v.1.1) in the 92 trios. To compare patients concerning clinical variables, and to test for differences between patient subgroups with a specific genotype, Student's *t*-test and Mann–Whitney tests were performed (Table 1).

## Results

No significant differences were found between patient subgroups with a specific genotype with regard to the patients' mean age, disease duration and gender distribution (data not shown); we concluded these would not be confounding factors for the remaining analyses.

We found no significant differences when cases and controls were compared regarding the presence/absence of the allele  $\varepsilon 4$  of the *APOE* gene (P = 1.0) and homozygosity for the 22 CAGs allele at the *SCA2* locus (P = 0.752) (Table 2). Comparison of genotype frequencies for the *APOE* and *SCA2* genes, between cases with PP versus OF disease, and between each of these subgroups with matched controls, did not reveal any significant differences (Figure 1a and b). We also did not detect transmission disequilibrium for any allele at either loci in the 92 trios studied (data not shown).

We did not detect an influence of the risk genotypes on the severity of the disease, measured through the EDSS and PI values in the total sample. However, patients with a duration of disease less than 10 years and carrying the *APOE*  $\varepsilon 4$  allele (n = 8) presented a faster progression than those not carrying this allele (n = 61) (PI:  $0.80 \pm 0.5$  and  $0.49 \pm 0.4$ , respectively; P = 0.026) (Table 1).

#### Discussion

The European population is highly heterogeneous: genetic differences occur across relatively small distances and genetic clines for some markers of susceptibility to MS already identified also appear to correlate with distribution of the disease.<sup>1</sup> Different genetic backgrounds and environments, which could be interacting among them, may cause susceptibility to MS in different populations or even within the same population. Genes that play a role in

APOE and SCA2 in multiple sclerosis

M Santos et al.

Demographic/clinical data	Total	APOE			SCA2		
		<i>ε4</i> +	ε4 —	P value	22/22+	22/22 —	P value
n F/M (%) Age (mean±SD)	$243 \\ 70.0/30.0 \\ 38.3 \pm 10.8$	38	201	167	50		
Age at onset (mean $\pm$ SD) Disease duration (mean $\pm$ SD) EDSS ( $n$ ; mean $\pm$ SD) PI ( $n$ ; mean $\pm$ SD)	$\begin{array}{c} 29.0 \pm 9.7 \\ 9.3 \pm 7.0 \\ 140^{\rm b}; \ 2.0 \pm 1.3 \\ 129^{\rm b}; \ 0.4 \pm 0.4 \end{array}$	$30.4 \pm 11.3$ $10.8 \pm 8.6$ $24; 2.0 \pm 1.1$ $19; 0.4 \pm 0.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 28.8 \pm 9.4 \\ 8.9 \pm 6.3 \\ 114; \ 2.0 \pm 1.3 \\ 108; \ 0.3 \pm 0.3 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} 0.373^{ m a} \ 0.128^{ m a} \ 0.937^{ m a} \ 0.935^{ m c} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.5 \pm 9.2 \\ 9.2 \pm 7.0 \\ 103; \ 2.0 \pm 1.3 \\ 95; \ 0.3 \pm 0.3 \end{array}$	$31.1 \pm 9.6$ $9.4 \pm 6.4$ $26; 1.9 \pm 1.0$ $25; 0.3 \pm 0.4$	$0.103^{a}$ $0.883^{a}$ $0.794^{a}$ $0.936^{c}$
$PI (n; mean \pm SD)$ DD > 10 years DD < 10 years	$\begin{array}{c} 60; \ 0.15 \pm 0.1 \\ 69; \ 0.52 \pm 0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 11; 0.13 \pm 0.09 \\ 8; 0.80 \pm 0.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 47; 0.15 \pm 0.1 \\ 61; 0.49 \pm 0.4 \end{array}$	$0.336^{ m c} \\ 0.026^{ m c}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45; 0.16 \pm 0.1 \\ 50; 0.49 \pm 0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12; 0.13 \pm 0.04 \\ 13; 0.51 \pm 0.4 \end{array}$	$0.876^{ m c} \\ 0.812^{ m c}$

Table 1 Demographic data and APOE and SCA2 genotypes among all MS cases

n, number of cases; MS, multiple sclerosis patients; F, female; M, male; DD, disease duration.

<sup>a</sup> Students's *t*-test.

<sup>b</sup> Total n is independent of APOE and SCA2 genotyping.

<sup>c</sup> Mann–Whitney test.

**Table 2** Comparisons of genotype frequencies for APOE andSCA2 genes between MS cases and controls

	λ	Fisher's exact test		
	MS/HC	PP/HC	OF/HC	PP/OF
APOE E4+	$\chi^2 = 0$ $P = 1.00$	$\chi^2 = 0.57$ P < 0.48	$\chi^2 = 0.46$ P < 0.53	P = 0.26
SCA2 22/22+	$\chi^2 = 0.1$ P = 0.75	$\begin{array}{l} \chi^2=0\\ P=1.00 \end{array}$	$\chi^2 = 0.12$ P < 0.75	P = 0.54

susceptibility to MS in given populations may not have the same role in others.<sup>5</sup> It is thus important that studies be replicated in other population, and even with different samples within the same population.

Our study on Portuguese MS patients could not confirm the previously reported influence of the *APOE* gene on susceptibility to this disease,<sup>29</sup> which has also been excluded in various studies in other populations,<sup>28,31,32,37-40</sup> including linkage studies in a Finnish population, which suggested that 19q13.2-q13.3, where the gene *APOE* is localized, was not a candidate region for susceptibility to MS.<sup>41</sup> The 22 CAGs *SCA2* alleles did not seem to segregate preferentially with MS in our sample, as had been reported in patients from the UK.<sup>18</sup> Our data are in agreement with later studies in a Dutch population.<sup>42</sup> Therefore, neither of these two loci could be related to susceptibility to MS in Portuguese patients; this was confirmed for the total patient population, as well as for each clinical subtype considered (PP and OF). The *APOE* and *SCA2* risk alleles were not over-represented in any of the clinical subgroups of MS, in contrast to previous studies by Hogh *et al.*,<sup>29</sup> where a preferential representation of the *APOE*  $\epsilon$ 4 allele was detected in patients with the PP form.

This study included a correction for possible stratification of the population, since controls were individually matched to patients by gender, age and origin, whereas other studies have used data from unmatched control populations already described or randomly selected.<sup>28,29,31,32,37-40</sup> Population stratification can originate false associations, which may explain the results previously obtained with the *APOE*  $\varepsilon 4$  allele.<sup>29</sup>

In terms of MS severity and progression, no differences were found in the PI or EDSS values between carriers and noncarriers of  $\varepsilon 4$  in our total sample. When we considered patients with a disease duration less than 10 years (n =69), however, we have detected an increased PI in carriers



**Figure 1** Genotype frequencies for APOE and SCA2 loci. (a) APOE  $\varepsilon 4$  + versus APOE  $\varepsilon 4$  - genotype frequencies and (b) SCA2 22/22 + versus SCA2 22/22 - genotype frequencies, for both cases and controls. HC, healthy controls; MS, multiple sclerosis patients; PP, primary progressive; OF, other forms of disease. Numbers at the top of columns are number of individuals with that genotype.

of  $\epsilon$ 4. A similar finding was described in Danish patients,<sup>29</sup> and although this may be a spurious association due to the greater instability that characterizes the initial phase of the disease, when the EDSS evaluation is less reliable, it may also be the reflection of a stronger effect of the  $\epsilon$ 4 allele in the earlier stages of the disease. ApoE is known to play a role in the recapture of lipids from the myelin of damaged neurones and this function may be of particular relevance in the initial stages of MS pathogenesis. The role of the *SCA2* 22/22 genotype in MS progression was excluded here, for the first time, when we analysed the age at onset and severity parameters.

One of the questions that remains open in MS studies is which instrument is the most appropriate to quantify severity and rate of progression. The EDSS scale is nonlinear and can be equivocal, providing a mean value that represents mostly the motor deficit; however, this is still the most widely used scale for MS. Cognitive deficits, as well as psychological and emotional dysfunction, may be related with the risk alleles studied but have not been assessed. In particular, it would be of great interest to study this correlation with the APOE gene, given its importance in the pathogenesis of dementia and the recently proposed association of the AA genotype, at the polymorphism -491 A/T in the promoter region of this gene, with a higher risk of cognitive impairment in MS patients.<sup>43</sup> Severity of MS can also be studied through MRI, measuring the load of cerebral lesions, which has been described to be more aggressive in APOE E4 allele carriers.30

In conclusion, the role of the SCA2 gene in MS has been excluded in our population, whereas it remains possible that the APOE gene may have role in the progression of MS that is limited to the initial stages of the disease. This question needs to be addressed in a larger sample of patients with a short disease duration, using different severity measures, before definite conclusions can be drawn. To any extent, in our population, the association between *APOE* and the disease course is too weak to be of predictive value in the clinical practice.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Anabela Ferro for her co-operation since the beginning of this study, and Alda Sousa for helpful statistical discussion. We also would like to thank Jorge Rocha and Susana Seixas, who kindly provided us with positive controls for the *APOE* genotypes. This work was supported in part by Serono Portugal. Santos M supported by FCT, grant SFRH/BD/9111/2002.

## References

- 1 Compston A, Ebers G, Lassmann H, McDonald I, Matthews B, Wekerle H. *Multiple sclerosis*. London: McAlpine's Churchill Livingstone, 1998: 101–42.
- 2 Ebers GC, Bulman DE, Sadovnick AD, Paty DW, Warren S, Hader W *et al*. A population-based study of multiple sclerosis in twins. *N Engl J Med* 1986; **315**: 1638–42.

- 4 Sadovnick AD, Armstrong H, Rice GP, Bulman D, Hashimoto L, Paty DW *et al.* A population-based study of multiple sclerosis in twins: update. *Ann Neurol* 1993; **33**: 281–85.
- 5 Rasmussen HB, Clausen J. Genetic risk factors in multiple sclerosis and approaches to their identification. *J Neurovirol* 2000; **6 (suppl 2)**: S23–S27.
- 6 Rasmussen HB, Kelly MA, Clausen J. Additive effect of the HLA-DR15 haplotype on susceptibility to multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2001; 7: 91–93.
- 7 Marrosu MG, Muntoni F, Murru MR, Spinicci G, Pischedda MP, Goddi F *et al.* Sardinian multiple sclerosis is associated with HLA-DR4: a serologic and molecular analysis. *Neurology* 1988; **38**: 1749–53.
- 8 Sawcer S, Jones HB, Feakes R, Gray J, Smaldon N, Chataway J et al. A genome screen in multiple sclerosis reveals susceptibility loci on chromosome 6p21 and 17q22. *Nat Genet* 1996; 13: 464–68.
- 9 Chataway J, Feakes R, Coraddu F, Gray J, Deans J, Fraser M *et al*. The genetics of multiple sclerosis: principles, background and updated results of the United Kingdom systematic genome screen. *Brain* 1998; **121**: 1869–87.
- 10 Ebers GC, Kukay K, Bulman DE, Sadovnick AD, Rice G, Anderson C *et al*. A full genome search in multiple sclerosis. *Nat Genet* 1996; 13: 472–76.
- 11 Haines J, Pericak-Vance M, Seboun E, Hauser S and the Multiple Sclerosis Genetics Group. A complete genomic screen for multiple sclerosis underscores a role for the major histocompatibility complex. Nat Genet 1996; 13: 469-71.
- 12 Kuokkanen S, Gschwend M, Rioux JD, Daly MJ, Terwilliger JD, Tienari PJ *et al*. Genomewide scan of multiple sclerosis in Finnish multiplex families. *Am J Hum Genet* 1997; **61**: 1379– 87.
- 13 Sawcer S, Maranian M, Setakis E, Curwen V, Akesson E, Hensiek A *et al*. A whole genome screen for linkage disequilibrium in multiple sclerosis confirms disease associations with regions previously linked to susceptibility. *Brain* 2002; **125**: 1337–47.
- 14 Goedde R, Sawcer S, Boehringer S, Miterski B, Sindern E, Haupts M *et al*. A genome screen for linkage disequilibrium in HLA-DRB1\*15-positive Germans with multiple sclerosis based on 4666 microsatellite markers. *Hum Genet* 2002; **111**: 270–77.
- 15 Imbert G, Saudou F, Yvert G, Devys D, Trottier Y, Garnier JM *et al*. Cloning of the gene for spinocerebellar ataxia 2 reveals a locus with high sensitivity to expanded CAG/glutamine repeats. *Nat Genet* 1996; **14**: 285–91.
- 16 Pulst SM, Nechiporuk A, Nechiporuk T, Gispert S, Chen XN, Lopes-Cendes I *et al.* Moderate expansion of a normally biallelic trinucleotide repeat in spinocerebellar ataxia type 2. *Nat Genet* 1996; 14: 269–76.
- 17 Sanpei K, Takano H, Igarashi S, Sato T, Oyake M, Sasaki H *et al*. Identification of the spinocerebellar ataxia type 2 gene using a direct identification of repeat expansion and cloning technique, DIRECT. *Nat Genet* 1996; **14**: 277–84.
- 18 Chataway J, Sawcer S, Coraddu F, Feakes R, Broadley S, Jones HB et al. Evidence that allelic variants of the spinocerebellar ataxia type 2 gene influence susceptibility to multiple sclerosis. Neurogenetics 1999; 2: 91–96.
- 19 Siegel GJ, Agranoff BW, Alberts RW, Fisher SK, Uhler MD. Basic neurochemistry. molecular, cellular and medical aspects, sixth edition. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 1999.

APOE and SCA2 in multiple sclerosis

M Santos et al.

- 20 Hallman DM, Boerwinkle E, Saha N, Sandholzer C, Menzel HJ, Csazar A *et al*. The apolipoprotein E polymorphism: a comparison of allele frequencies and effects in nine populations. *Am J Hum Genet* 1991; **49**: 338–49.
- 21 Gerdes LU, Klausen IC, Sihm I, Faergeman O. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism in a Danish population compared to findings in 45 other study populations around the world. *Genet Epidemiol* 1992; **9**: 155–67.
- 22 Kamboh MI, DeKosky ST. Apolipoprotein E genotyping in the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. Ann Neurol 1995; 38: 967– 70.
- 23 Mahley RW. Apolipoprotein E: cholesterol transport protein with expanding role in cell biology. *Science* 1988; **240**: 622– 30.
- 24 Nicoll JA, Roberts GW, Graham DI. Apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 allele is associated with deposition of amyloid beta-protein following head injury. *Nat Med* 1995; **1**: 135–37.
- 25 Roses AD, Saunders AM. ApoE, Alzheimer's disease, and recovery from brain stress. *Ann N YAcad Sci* 1997; **826**: 200–12.
- 26 Basun H, Corder EH, Guo Z, Lannfelt L, Corder LS, Manton KG et al. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and stroke in a population sample aged 75 years or more. Stroke 1996; 27: 1310–15.
- 27 Slooter AJ, Tang MX, van Duijn CM, Stern Y, Ott A, Bell K *et al*. Apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 and the risk of dementia with stroke. A population-based investigation. *JAMA* 1997; **277**: 818–21.
- 28 Chapman J, Vinokurov S, Achiron A, Karussis DM, Mitosek-Szewczyk K, Birnbaum M *et al*. APOE genotype is a major predictor of long-term progression of disability in MS. *Neurology* 2001; 56: 312–16.
- 29 Hogh P, Oturai A, Schreiber K, Blinkenberg M, Jorgensen OS, Ryder L *et al*. Apolipoprotein E and multiple sclerosis: impact of epsilon-4 allele on susceptibility, clinical type and progression rate. *Mult Scler* 2000; **6**: 226–30.
- 30 Fazekas F, Strasser-Fuchs S, Schmidt H, Enzinger C, Ropele S, Lechner A et al. Apolipoprotein E genotype related differences in brain lesions of multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2000; 69: 25-28.
- 31 Fazekas F, Strasser-Fuchs S, Kollegger H, Berger T, Kristoferitsch W, Schmidt H *et al.* Apolipoprotein E ɛ4 is associated

with rapid progression of multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2001; **57**: 853–57.

- 32 Evangelou N, Jackson M, Beeson D, Palace J. Association of the APOE ε4 allele with disease activity in multiple sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1999; 67: 203–205.
- 33 Poser CM, Paty DW, Scheinberg L, McDonald WI, Davis FA, Ebers GC et al. New diagnostic criteria for multiple sclerosis: guidelines for research protocols. Ann Neurol 1983; 13: 227– 31.
- 34 Amato MP, Ponziani G. Quantification of impairment in MS: discussion of the scales in use. *Mult Scler* 1999; **5**: 216–19.
- 35 Weinshenker BG, Santrach P, Bissonet AS, McDonnell SK, Schaid D, Moore SB *et al*. Major histocompatibility complex class II alleles and the course and outcome of MS: a population-based study. *Neurology* 1998; **51**: 742–47.
- 36 Hixson JE, Vernier DT. Restriction isotyping of human apolipoprotein E by gene amplification and cleavage with *HhaI. J Lipid Res* 1990; **31**: 545–48.
- 37 Ferri C, Sciacca FL, Veglia F, Martinelli F, Comi G, Canal N *et al*. APOE ε2-4 and -491 polymorphisms are not associated with MS. *Neurology* 1999; **53**: 888–89.
- 38 Gervais A, Gaillard O, Plassart E, Reboul J, Fontaine B, Schuller E. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism in multiple sclerosis. Ann Clin Biochem 1998; 35: 135-36.
- 39 Weatherby SJ, Mann CL, Fryer AA, Strange RC, Hawkins CP, Stevenson VL *et al.* No association between the APOE £4 allele and outcome and susceptibility in primary progressive multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2000; **68**: 532.
- 40 Chapman J, Sylantiev C, Nisipeanu P, Korczyn A. Preliminary observations on APOE ε4 allele and progression of disability in MS. *Arch Neurol* 1999; **56**: 1484–87.
- 41 Reunanen K, Finnila S, Laaksonen M, Sumelahti ML, Wikstrom J, Pastinen T *et al*. Chromosome 19q13 and multiple sclerosis in Finland: a linkage and two-stage association study. *J Neuroimmunol* 2002; **126**: 134–42.
- 42 Miterski B, Eppelen JT, Poehlau D, Sindern E, Haupts M. SCA2 alleles are not general predisposition factors for multiple sclerosis. *Neurogenetics* 2000; **2**: 235–36.
- 43 Oliveri RL, Cittadella R, Sibilia G, Manna I, Valentino P, Gambardella A *et al*. APOE and risk of cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1999; **100**: 290–95.