

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

On the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set of a complete curved A∞-algebra

de Kleijn, N.; Wierstra, F.

DOI 10.1007/s40062-021-00290-8

Publication date 2021 Document Version Final published version

Published in Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures License CC BY

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

de Kleijn, N., & Wierstra, F. (2021). On the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set of a complete curved *A*_-algebra. *Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures*, *16*(4), 605-633. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40062-021-00290-8

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)



On the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set of a complete curved $A_\infty\text{-}\mathsf{algebra}$

Niek de Kleijn¹ · Felix Wierstra²

Received: 14 January 2020 / Accepted: 15 May 2021 / Published online: 25 September 2021 © The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

In this paper, we develop the A_{∞} -analog of the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set associated to an L_{∞} -algebra and show how we can use this to study the deformation theory of ∞ -morphisms of algebras over non-symmetric operads. More precisely, we first recall and prove some of the main properties of A_{∞} -algebras like the Maurer-Cartan equation and twist. One of our main innovations here is the emphasis on the importance of the shuffle product. Then, we define a functor from the category of complete (curved) A_{∞} -algebras to simplicial sets, which sends a complete curved A_{∞} -algebra to the associated simplicial set of Maurer-Cartan elements. This functor has the property that it gives a Kan complex. In all of this, we do not require any assumptions on the field we are working over. We also show that this functor can be used to study deformation problems over a field of characteristic greater than or equal to 0. As a specific example of such a deformation problem, we study the deformation theory of ∞ -morphisms of algebras over non-symmetric operads.

Communicated by Jim Stasheff.

Niek de Kleijn has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC grant agreement no. 339109. Felix Wierstra acknowledges the financial support from Grant GA CR No. P201/12/G028. He would further like to thank the Max Planck institute for mathematics for its hospitality and support.

Felix Wierstra felix.wierstra@gmail.com

> Niek de Kleijn n.dekleijn@tudelft.nl

¹ Delft Institute of Applied Mathematics, Technical University Delft, Mekelweg 4, 2628 CD, Delft, The Netherlands

² Korteweg-de Vries Institute for Mathematics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park, 105-107 Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Contents

1	Introduction	606
	1.1 Structure of this paper	608
	1.2 Conventions	608
2	Coassociative coalgebras	608
3	A_{∞} -Algebras	611
	3.1 Definition of shifted A_{∞} -algebras	611
	3.2 Extension of scalars	614
4	MC elements and twisting	615
5	Cochains on simplices	618
6	MC set of an A_{∞} -algebra	622
7	Application: the deformation theory of ∞ -morphisms of algebras over non-symmetric operads	625
	7.1 ∞_{α} -morphisms	626
	7.2 A_{∞} -convolution algebras and the deformation theory of ∞_{α} -morphisms	627
8	Comparison with other approaches, possible applications and some open questions	
	8.1 Comparison with other approaches	629
	8.2 Possible applications, future work and open questions	630
A	ppendix A: Completed Coalgebras	631
R	eferences	632

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to make the first steps towards an explicit description of deformation theory over a field of characteristic $p \ge 0$. In [23], Lurie showed, that over a field K of characteristic $p \ge 0$ there is an equivalence of ∞ -categories between the category of formal E_n -moduli problems and the ∞ -category of augmented E_n -algebras over K. Although Lurie's results are great from a theoretical perspective, it can in practice be quite complicated to extract the relevant information about the moduli problem from the corresponding E_n -algebra.

In the characteristic 0 case, this problem is avoided by using L_{∞} -algebras. In this case it is possible to get a very explicit equation, called the Maurer-Cartan equation, whose solutions correspond to the deformations. These solutions can be organized in a simplicial set, called the Deligne-Getzler-Hinich ∞ -groupoid or Maurer-Cartan simplicial set, which encodes all information about the deformation problem. The goal of this paper, is to take a first step in making Lurie's work more explicit, we do this by defining and studying the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set for E_1 -algebras, which are more commonly known as A_{∞} -algebras. The main advantage here, is that we get an explicit description of the deformations associated to a deformation problem controlled by an A_{∞} -algebra and that this theory works over every field regardless of the characteristic.

Associative algebras up to homotopy, also known as A_{∞} -algebras, play an important role in many areas of mathematics and mathematical physics. They were originally defined in topology to study loop spaces, but later found applications in representation theory, algebraic geometry, string field theory, mathematical physics, etc. [11,13,28–30].

The goal of this paper, is to define and study the A_{∞} -analog of the Deligne-Getzler-Hinich ∞ -groupoid associated to a homotopy Lie algebra, also known as an L_{∞} - algebra. In [15], Getzler associates to every nilpotent L_{∞} -algebra L a simplicial set $MC_{\bullet}(L)$. This simplicial set has many good properties and important applications. In [15], it is for example shown that $MC_{\bullet}(L)$ is a Kan complex.

One important example of an application of the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set of an L_{∞} -algebra, is that the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set can be used in deformation theory to encode the set of deformations of an object. In this case, the zero simplices of $MC_{\bullet}(L)$, which are the Maurer-Cartan elements of L, correspond to the deformations. Two deformations are equivalent if and only if the corresponding Maurer-Cartan elements are in the same path component of $MC_{\bullet}(L)$.

It is a well known philosophy, that over a field of characteristic 0 all deformation problems are controlled by the Maurer-Cartan elements in an L_{∞} -algebra. This philosophy goes back to Deligne, Drinfeld, Feigin, Hinich, Kontsevich-Soibelman, Manetti, and many others, and was made precise by Lurie and Pridham (see [23] and [25]). Over a field of characteristic p > 0 this is no longer true, especially since the theory of L_{∞} -algebras has significant problems over a field of characteristic $p \neq 0$. The theory developed in this paper, tries to solve this gap in the case that the deformation problem is controlled by an A_{∞} -algebra.

The goal of this paper, is to define an analog of the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set for complete A_{∞} -algebras. Here it is important to note that to make sure that certain infinite sums converge, we need to impose some conditions on the A_{∞} -algebras we work with. We will therefore only consider complete A_{∞} -algebras. This is not a very serious restriction from the point of view of deformation theory. The class of complete A_{∞} -algebras contains all A_{∞} -algebras arising from E_1 -formal moduli problems. If an A_{∞} -algebra is complete for any filtration then it is also complete for the lower central series filtration. Thus the largest class of A_{∞} -algebras we consider is the class of *pro-nilpotent* A_{∞} -algebras. Similar to [15], we define a functor

 $MC_{\bullet}: A_{\infty}$ -algebras \longrightarrow Simplicial Sets,

from the category of complete A_{∞} -algebras to the category of simplicial sets. As we explain in Remark 6.2, this Maurer-Cartan simplicial set can also be seen as a special case of Lurie's dg-nerve construction. In [20], Lurie shows that this simplicial set is an ∞ -category. In Sect. 6, we show that the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set is a Kan complex which implies that the nerve of a complete A_{∞} -algebra is not just an ∞ -category, but is in fact an ∞ -groupoid.

Because the simplicial set $MC_{\bullet}(A)$ is a Kan complex we can define an equivalence relation on the set of Maurer-Cartan elements of A, where we define two Maurer-Cartan elements to be homotopy equivalent if they are in the same path component of $MC_{\bullet}(A)$. This allows us to use the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set $MC_{\bullet}(A)$ to study a deformation theory controlled by A_{∞} -algebras.

In Sect. 7, we give an example of a deformation problem controlled by A_{∞} -algebras. In this section, we explain how the deformation theory of ∞ -morphisms over non-symmetric operads is controlled by A_{∞} -algebras. Again, the main advantage here is that we no longer have any restrictions on the field we are working over.

1.1 Structure of this paper

This paper is structured as follows. In Sect. 2, we introduce the necessary preliminaries on coassociative coalgebras, like filtrations and the shuffle product, which we need to define A_{∞} -algebras. In Sect. 3, we give the definition of A_{∞} -algebras and define their morphisms. In Sect. 4, we describe the twist of an A_{∞} -algebra using the shuffle product and define the Maurer-Cartan equation. In Sect. 5, we prove a few technical lemmas which are important for Sect. 6. In that section we define the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set associated to an A_{∞} -algebra and show that it is a Kan complex. In Sect. 7, we apply the theory developed in this paper to the deformation theory of ∞ -morphism of algebras over non-symmetric operads. We finish this paper with a comparison with other approaches, some possible directions for future work, and some open questions.

1.2 Conventions

In this paper, we will use the following conventions and notations. We will always work over a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic $p \geq 0$ and always in the category of cochain complexes. We will use a cohomological grading on our cochain complexes, i.e. we use superscripts to indicate the degrees and the differential d will have degree +1. We will further assume that all our A_{∞} -algebras are shifted A_{∞} -algebras unless stated otherwise. This means that all the products Q_n will have degree +1, more details about this are given in Sect. 3.

The suspension of a cochain complex V is denoted by sV and is defined by $sV^n = V^{n-1}$. The linear dual of a cochain complex V is denoted by V^{\vee} and is defined as $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, \mathbb{K})$.

All tensor products will be taken over the ground field \mathbb{K} unless stated otherwise. With the notation $V^{\otimes n}$ we will denote $V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$, where V appears n times and by convention, we set $V^{\otimes 0}$ equal to \mathbb{K} . We will also implicitly assume that we are using the Koszul sign rule, i.e. we assume that the isomorphism $\tau : V \otimes W \to W \otimes V$ is given by $\tau(v \otimes w) = (-1)^{|v||w|} w \otimes v$, for $v \in V$ and $w \in W$.

2 Coassociative coalgebras

In this section, we recall the basic definitions for coassociative coalgebras. These coalgebras will play an important role in the definition of A_{∞} -algebras. This section is mainly meant to fix notation and conventions. We will therefore assume that the reader is familiar with the basic notions of coassociative coalgebras. For more details we refer the reader to Section 1.2 of [22].

Recall that a coassociative coalgebra *C* is a cochain complex *C* together with a map $\Delta : C \to C \otimes C$ which is coassociative and compatible with the differential. We say that a coassociative coalgebra *C* is counital if there is a map $\epsilon : C \to \mathbb{K}$ such that this is a counit for the coproduct. A coassociative coalgebra *C* is called coaugmented if there is an additional map $\eta : \mathbb{K} \to C$ given such that η is a morphism of coassociative coalgebras. Note that because η is a morphism of coassociative coalgebras, we get a

canonical splitting of *C* as $C = \mathbb{K} \oplus \ker(\epsilon)$. The ideal $\ker(\epsilon)$ is often denoted by \overline{C} and is called the coaugmentation ideal. The coproduct on \overline{C} will be denoted by $\overline{\Delta} : \overline{C} \to \overline{C} \otimes \overline{C}$.

The splitting induced by the coaugmentation defines a pair of adjoint functors between the category of coaugmented coassociative coalgebras and non-counital coassociative coalgebras. The functor from coaugmented coassociative coalgebras is defined by sending C to its coaugmentation ideal \overline{C} . The adjoint of this functor is defined by sending a non-counital coassociative coalgebra \overline{C} to the coaugmented coassociative coalgebra $C := \overline{C} \oplus \mathbb{K}$, where the coaugmentation is defined as the inclusion of \mathbb{K} into C and the counit as the projection onto \mathbb{K} . It is a well known result, that these functors define an equivalence of categories.

A coaugmented coassociative coalgebra is called conilpotent if the coradical filtration is exhaustive. The cofree coaugmented conilpotent coassociative coalgebra cogenerated by a cochain complex V is denoted by $T^c(V)$ and is defined as follows. As a cochain complex, it is given by $T^c(V) := \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} V^{\otimes n}$, the coproduct is defined by deconcatenation. More explicitly, Δ is given by

$$\Delta(a_1 \dots a_n) = 1 \otimes a_1 \dots a_n + a_1 \dots a_n \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_1 \dots a_i \otimes a_{i+1} \dots a_n$$

Here and in the rest of this paper, we will, to avoid confusion, denote the tensor product $a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n$ in the tensor coalgebra by concatenation $a_1 \ldots a_n$. The coaugmentation is given by the inclusion of \mathbb{K} as $V^{\otimes 0}$ and the counit is defined as the projection onto $V^{\otimes 0}$. Since the element corresponding to $V^{\otimes 0}$ plays a special role we will denote it by 1. As is explained in [22], $T^c(V)$ is the cofree coaugmented conilpotent coassociative coalgebra cogenerated by V, thus any morphism $C \to T^c(V)$ is determined by its image on its cogenerators, i.e. there is a bijection $\operatorname{Hom}_{coalg}(C, T^c(V)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(C, V)$. The cofree coalgebra is sometimes also called the tensor coalgebra.

Let (C, Δ_C) and (D, Δ_D) be two coassociative coalgebras. Then we can equip the tensor product $C \otimes D$ with the structure of a coassociative coalgebra. The coproduct

$$\Delta_{C\otimes D}: C\otimes D \to C\otimes D\otimes C\otimes D$$

is given by

$$C \otimes D \xrightarrow{\Delta_C \otimes \Delta_D} C \otimes C \otimes D \otimes D \xrightarrow{\mathsf{id} \otimes \tau \otimes \mathsf{id}} C \otimes D \otimes C \otimes D,$$

where $\tau : C \otimes D \to D \otimes C$ is the flip map.

As is described in Section 1.3 of [22], the cofree conilpotent coaugmented coassociative coalgebra can be equipped with a natural product called the shuffle product. This product plays an important role in the definition of the twist in Sect. 4 of this paper. The shuffle product is characterized by the following properties. It is a morphism of coassociative coalgebras

$$\mu_{sh}: T^{c}(V) \otimes T^{c}(V) \to T^{c}(V),$$

and on cogenerators, it is given by

$$\mu_{sh}: T^{c}(V) \otimes T^{c}(V) \to V$$

which is defined on $V \otimes \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K} \otimes V \subset T^{c}(V) \otimes T^{c}(V)$ by

$$\mu_{sh}(1\otimes v) = \mu_{sh}(v\otimes 1) = v$$

and is zero otherwise. Explicitly, we have

$$\mu_{sh}\left(v_1\ldots v_p\otimes v_{p+1}\ldots v_{p+q}\right)=\sum_{\sigma\in \mathbf{Sh}(p,q)}\epsilon(\sigma)v_{\sigma(1)}\ldots v_{\sigma(p+q)},$$

where we denote by $\epsilon(\sigma) = \epsilon(\sigma, v_1, \dots, v_{p+q})$ the Koszul sign and by Sh(p, q) the set of (p, q)-shuffles in the symmetric group on p + q letters. With the shuffle product the tensor coalgebra becomes a unital associative algebra, where the unit for the shuffle product is given by the element 1. It turns out that, the shuffle product and the coproduct satisfy the Hopf compatibility relation which is given by

$$\Delta \circ \mu_{sh} = (\mu_{sh} \otimes \mu_{sh}) \circ (\mathsf{id} \otimes \tau \otimes \mathsf{id}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta),$$

where τ is the flip map. So with the shuffle product and deconcatenation coproduct, the tensor coalgebra becomes a bialgebra.

A coderivation on a coalgebra (C, Δ) is a linear map $D: C \to C$ such that

$$(D \otimes \mathsf{id} + \mathsf{id} \otimes D) \Delta = \Delta D,$$

where we remind the reader that we always use the Koszul sign convention. A codifferential on the graded coalgebra C is a degree +1 coderivation Q such that $Q^2 = 0$. Note that we do *not* assume that Q(1) = 0. Since $T^c(V)$ is cofree, it turns out that any coderivation D on it is uniquely determined by the composite

$$pr_V \circ D \colon T^c(V) \to T^c(V) \to V,$$

where pr_V simply denotes the projection onto its cogenerators.

Denote by

$$D_n \colon V^{\otimes n} \hookrightarrow T^c(V) \xrightarrow{D} T^c(V) \xrightarrow{pr_V} V$$

the weight *n* component of *D*. The coderivation *D* is determined by the D_n , by extending the formula

$$D(v_1 \dots v_n) := \mu_{sh}(D_0(1), v_1 \dots v_n) + \sum_{p=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-p} v_1 \dots v_i D_p(v_{i+1} \dots v_{i+p}) v_{i+p+1} \dots v_n$$

to a linear map. This gives a bijection between $\operatorname{Coder}(T^c(V))$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(T^c(V), V)$.

3 A_{∞} -Algebras

In this section, we introduce shifted A_{∞} -algebras which will be the main objects of study in this paper. The unshifted version of A_{∞} -algebras was first introduced by Stasheff in the study of loop spaces (see [28,29]), and later found applications in many other areas of mathematics and mathematical physics. In this section and the next, we will recall some of the definitions and results of the theory A_{∞} -algebras and offer a new perspective on the Maurer-Cartan equation and twist using the shuffle product. The main advantage of the use of the shuffle product is that the proofs become more conceptual, simpler, and immediately work over fields of arbitrary characteristic. We refer the reader to [21] for a nice introduction to A_{∞} -algebras and Chapter 9 of [22] and the references therein for more details. The Maurer-Cartan equation and twist of an A_{∞} -algebra are described in Chapter 3 of [14], we simplify their results by using the shuffle product.

3.1 Definition of shifted A_{∞} -algebras

There are a few ways to define (shifted) A_{∞} -algebras. In this section, we consider the definition given by a codifferential on the tensor coalgebra.

Definition 3.1 Let *A* be a graded vector space, a (curved) shifted A_{∞} -algebra structure on *A* is defined as a codifferential $Q: T^{c}(A) \to T^{c}(A)$ on the cofree coaugmented conilpotent coassociative coalgebra cogenerated by *A*.

Since $T^c(A)$ is cofree, every derivation is determined by its image on its cogenerators. A shifted A_{∞} -algebra A is therefore equivalent to a sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ of degree +1 maps $Q_n: A^{\otimes n} \to A$ satisfying a quadratic condition coming from $Q^2 = 0$. This gives rise to the "higher associativity" conditions

$$\sum_{\substack{a,b,c\geq 0\\a+b+c=n}} Q_{a+c+1} \circ \left(\mathsf{id}^{\otimes a} \otimes Q_b \otimes \mathsf{id}^{\otimes c} \right) = 0,$$

for all $n \ge 0$. A flat shifted A_{∞} -algebra is one for which $Q_0 = 0$, i.e. Q(1) = 0. Because we will work in this paper, with curved algebras more often than with flat algebras, we will from now on assume that all A_{∞} -algebras are curved unless

specifically stated otherwise, this is contrary to the usual convention. The associativity conditions above, show clearly that a flat shifted A_{∞} -algebra such that $Q_k = 0$ for $k \ge 3$ is simply a shifted dg associative algebra. Note also, that a shifted A_{∞} -algebra structure on A is equivalent to the usual notion of an unshifted A_{∞} -algebra structure on sA. The multi-products in that setting are given by $m_n = s \circ Q_n \circ (s^{-1})^{\otimes n}$.

Remark 3.2 An alternative description of flat shifted A_{∞} -algebras is as algebras over the shifted A_{∞} -operad. The shifted A_{∞} -operad is defined as the operadic cobar construction on the coassociative cooperad As^c (concentrated in degree 0). This equivalence is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 10.1.13 of [22], since they assume that a coderivation maps 1 to 0 this is the same as requiring that the shifted A_{∞} -algebra is flat.

Definition 3.3 (Curvature of an A_{∞} -Algebra) The curvature of an A_{∞} -algebra (A, Q) is the element $Q(1) = Q_0(1) \in A^1$.

To facilitate certain infinite sums we will need a topology. To obtain this we consider a decreasing filtration of subspaces

$$A = F^1 A \supset F^2 A \supset \cdots,$$

which satisfies $\bigcap_k F^k A = \{0\}$. This yields the metric topology given by the metric d(v, v) = 0 and $d(v, w) = 2^{-|v-w|}$ if $v \neq w$, where $|x| = \max\{k \mid x \in F^k A\}$. We also assume that the maps Q_n preserve this filtration in the sense that

$$Q_n(F^{i_1}A\otimes\cdots\otimes F^{i_n}A)\subset F^{i_1+\cdots+i_n}A.$$

We will call a shifted A_{∞} -algebra *complete* if this metric is complete. Note that a filtration $F^{i}A$ on the graded vector space A induces a filtration $F^{i}T^{c}(A)$ in the usual way

$$F^{i}T^{c}(A) = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} \bigoplus_{\substack{i_{1}, \dots, i_{n} \in \mathbb{N} \\ i_{1} + \dots + i_{n} = i}} F^{i_{1}}A \otimes \dots \otimes F^{i_{n}}A.$$

Note also that if $v \in T^c(A)$ then

$$v = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} \lambda_{i,n} a_{i,1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i,n},$$

for some $N, k_n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_{i,n} \in \mathbb{K}$ and $a_{i,j} \in A$. Thus, there are numbers $m_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_{i,j} \notin F^{m_{i,j}}A$ and thus, setting $M = N \max\{m_{i,j}\}, v \notin F^M T^c(A)$. This implies that $\bigcap_k F^k T^c(A) = \{0\}$. Again, we consider $T^c(A)$ as a metric space for the induced metric as above. This space is in general not complete and so we denote the completion of $T^c(A)$ by $\widehat{T^c}(A)$. Note that since the structure maps all respect the filtration, the coalgebra structure and the codifferential extend uniquely to $\widehat{T^c}(A)$ in the appropriate completed sense, e.g. the coproduct maps into the completed tensor product, see Appendix A.

Remark 3.4 The lower central series filtration defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^{i}A = \sum_{n \ge 1} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_n \\ i_1 + \dots + i_n = i}} Q_n(\mathcal{F}^{i_1}A \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}^{i_n}A),$$

for i > 1, is automatically preserved by the Q_n . If (A, Q) is moreover nilpotent, meaning that $\mathcal{F}^i A = 0$ for sufficiently large i, then the filtration is also complete. In general, we may call a shifted A_∞ -algebra (A, Q) "pro-nilpotent" if the lower central series filtration is complete. Note that for a nilpotent shifted A_∞ -algebra we find that $\widehat{T^c}(A) = \prod_{n \ge 0} (A)^{\otimes n}$; of course this is true for any filtration that terminates. Note also that, for any filtration $F^{\bullet}A$ that is compatible with the A_∞ -structure, it is immediate that

$$F^n A \supset \mathcal{F}^n A$$

for all $n \ge 1$. This implies in particular that any sequence that is Cauchy for the metric induced by the lower central series filtration is also Cauchy for the metric induced by the filtration $F^{\bullet}A$. Thus any complete A_{∞} -algebra is automatically pro-nilpotent. This means that the widest class of algebras for which the constructions of this paper work are the pro-nilpotent A_{∞} -algebras.

Definition 3.5 Let (A, Q_A) and (B, Q_B) be two curved A_{∞} -algebras. An ∞ -morphism of curved A_{∞} -algebras, denoted by $F : A \rightsquigarrow B$, is a degree 0 morphism of counital coalgebras

$$F: T^{c}(A) \to T^{c}(B),$$

that commutes with the differentials, i.e. such that $FQ_A = Q_BF$. When (A, Q_A) and (B, Q_B) are filtered, we further require the morphism *F* to respect the induced filtrations on $T^c(A)$ and $T^c(B)$.

Since maps to the cofree conlipotent coalgebra $T^c(B)$ are determined by their projection on its cogenerators, the map $F : T^c(A) \to T^c(B)$ is equivalent to a sequence of maps

$$F_n: A^{\otimes n} \to B,$$

for $n \ge 0$. The map F can be recovered from these maps via the following formulas

$$F(a_1 \dots a_n) = \sum_{p \ge 1} \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_p \ge 1 \\ k_1 + \dots + k_p = n}} F_{k_1}(a_1 \dots a_{k_1}) \dots F_{k_p} \left(a_{n-k_p+1} \dots a_n \right),$$
(3.1)

🖄 Springer

and the assertion that F(1) = 1.

When (A, Q_A) and (B, Q_B) are filtered by filtrations $\{G^n A\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $\{G^n B\}_{n\geq 1}$, the condition that the morphism $F : A \rightsquigarrow B$ respects the filtrations translates into the following requirement:

$$F_n\left(G^{i_1}A\otimes\cdots\otimes G^{i_n}A\right)\subseteq G^{i_1+\cdots i_n}B.$$

Remark 3.6 Since we are using the cofree conilpotent coalgebra in Definition 3.5 and because the only grouplike element in the cofree conilpotent coalgebra is the element 1, it follows that $F(1_A) = 1_B$. So in other words, an ∞ -morphism maps the curvature of A to the curvature of B. Note that this is no longer the case when we would replace the cofree conilpotent coalgebra by the completed cofree conilpotent coalgebra, i.e. when we would look at maps $F' : \widehat{T^c(A)} \to \widehat{T^c(B)}$ it is no longer the case that $F'(1_A) = 1_B$, so ∞ -morphisms will behave differently.

Finally, if (A, Q^A) is a flat shifted A_{∞} -algebra, then $(Q_1^A)^2 = 0$. So it yields an underlying cochain complex (A, Q_1^A) . Any ∞ -morphism $F: (A, Q^A) \rightsquigarrow (B, Q^B)$ induces a map $F_1: (A, Q_1^A) \rightarrow (B, Q_1^B)$ of the underlying cochain complexes.

Definition 3.7 Let (A, Q_A) and (B, Q_B) be flat shifted A_{∞} -algebras. An ∞ -morphism $F : A \rightsquigarrow B$ is called an ∞ -quasi-isomorphism if the arity one component

$$F_1: A \to B$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of chain complexes.

When A and B are filtered by filtrations $\{G^n A\}_{n\geq 1}$ and $\{G^n B\}_{n\geq 1}$, we further require that the induced maps

$$F_1|_{G_nA}: G_nA \to G_nB,$$

are quasi-isomorphism for all $n \ge 1$. When A and B are filtered, we will always assume that ∞ -morphisms respect the filtrations in this way and simply call this an ∞ -imorphism (so omitting the filtered in the terminology).

3.2 Extension of scalars

Given a unital differential graded associative algebra $(C, m\mathbb{1}, d_C, \mu)$ and an A_{∞} algebra (A, Q), it is possible to equip the tensor product $A \otimes C$ with a new A_{∞} structure, which we call the extension of scalars by C. Using the identifications $(A \otimes C)^{\otimes n} \cong A^{\otimes n} \otimes C^{\otimes n}$ (using the Koszul sign rule), the maps $CQ_n : (A \otimes C)^{\otimes n} \to A \otimes C$ are given by

- $CQ_0(1) = Q_0(1) \otimes 1;$
- $CQ_1 = Q_1 \otimes \operatorname{id} + \operatorname{id} \otimes d_C;$
- $CQ_k = Q_k \otimes \mu^{(k)}$ for $k \ge 2$.

Here $\mu^{(k)}: C^{\otimes k} \to C$, for $k \ge 2$, is the (k-1)-fold iterated product of C which is given by $\mu^{(k)}(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \mu(x_1, \mu(x_2, \mu(\ldots, x_k))))$, for $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in C$. Note that if A is flat then $A \otimes C$ is automatically flat again.

Proposition 3.8 Let A be an A_{∞} -algebra with filtration F^iA and C a finite dimensional associative algebra. The A_{∞} -algebra $A \otimes C$ is then equipped with the filtration

$$F^i(A \otimes C) := (F^i A) \otimes C,$$

which is complete if A is complete.

Proof Suppose $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $A \otimes C$ and let $B \subset C$ be a basis. Then there is a unique decomposition $x_n = \sum_{b \in B} x_{n,b} \otimes b$ for each n. Since (x_n) is Cauchy we have that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n, m > N$, we have $x_n - x_m \in F_k A \otimes C$, i.e.

$$\sum_{b\in B} (x_{n,b} - x_{m,b}) \otimes b \in F_k A \otimes C.$$

Thus we find that the sequences $(x_{n,b})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are Cauchy for each $b \in B$. By completeness of *A* these last sequences converge to elements $x_b \in A$, i.e. for each $b \in B$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n > N we have $x_b - x_{n,b} \in F_k A$. Thus by finiteness of the set *B*, we find that the sequence (x_n) converges to $x = \sum_{b \in B} x_b \otimes b$.

4 MC elements and twisting

In this section, we define the twist of a complete curved shifted A_{∞} -algebra A. Given an element $x \in A^0$, this is a procedure to construct a new A_{∞} -algebra (A, Q^x) which has A as underlying graded vector space but the differential Q is twisted by the element x. The Maurer-Cartan equation then naturally appears as a flatness equation for this twisted structure.

As noted above, a shifted A_{∞} -algebra is defined by a codifferential on the coaugmented cofree conilpotent coassociative coalgebra cogenerated by a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space A. This last coalgebra is canonically a bialgebra for the shuffle product. Note that the shuffle product automatically respects a filtration induced by a filtration on A. So if (A, Q) is a complete shifted A_{∞} -algebra, then we also have a bialgebra structure and codifferential on $\widehat{T^c}(A)$, again in the complete sense, see appendix A. From now on, we will assume completions whenever necessary but we will not differentiate in notation between maps and their unique extension to completions.

Definition 4.1 (The exponential) The exponential map

$$e^-: A^0 \longrightarrow \widehat{T^c}(A)$$

is defined as $e^x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n x^k$, recall from Sect. 2 that x^k is a shorter notation for $x^{\otimes k}$.

Note that letting $\mu_{sh}^{(k)}$ denote the (k-1)th iteration $\mu_{sh}(\mu_{sh} \otimes i\mathbf{d}) \dots (\mu_{sh} \otimes i\mathbf{d}^{\otimes k-2})$, for $k \ge 2$, $\mu_{sh}^{(0)} = 1$ and $\mu_{sh}^{(1)} = i\mathbf{d}$, we could write $e^x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \mu_{sh}^{(k)}(x^k)$, however the definition above makes perfect sense over a field of arbitrary characteristic.

Lemma 4.2 The operation $\exp(x): \widehat{T^c}(A) \longrightarrow \widehat{T^c}(A)$ given by

 $y \mapsto \mu_{sh}(e^x \otimes y)$

for some element $x \in A^0$ defines a coalgebra automorphism with inverse $\exp(-x)$.

Proof First of all, note that because of the Hopf compatibility relation the multiplication by e^x defines an endomorphism of $\widehat{T^c}(V)$. To show that it is an automorphism, first note that e^x satisfies

$$e^{x} \otimes e^{x} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{n} x^{k} \otimes x^{n-k} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \Delta(x^{n}) = \Delta(e^{x}),$$

i.e. it is a group-like element.

Similarly, we note that

$$\mu_{sh}(e^{x} \otimes e^{-x}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \mu_{sh} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{k} (-1)^{l} x^{k-l} \otimes x^{l} \right) = 1,$$

i.e. e^x is invertible with inverse e^{-x} .

These two facts show that the map $\exp(x)$ defines a coalgebra automorphism of $\widehat{T^c}(A)$ with inverse $\exp(-x)$.

Lemma 4.3 The operation $Q^x = \exp(-x) \circ Q \circ \exp(x) : \widehat{T^c}(A) \longrightarrow \widehat{T^c}(A)$ preserves the subspace $T^c(A)$.

Proof Note that Q^x defines a codifferential by Lemma 4.2. Now, consider the coderivation \tilde{Q}^x given by the sequence of degree +1 maps

$$\tilde{Q}_n^x \colon A^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow A,$$

defined by

$$\tilde{Q}_n^x(a_1\ldots a_n) = \sum_{p\geq 0} Q_{n+p} \left(\mu_{sh} \left(x^p \otimes a_1 \ldots a_n \right) \right).$$

These are all well-defined by completeness of A. Clearly the restriction of Q^x coincides with \tilde{Q}^x , which proves the lemma.

Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 allow us to define the twist of a shifted A_{∞} -algebra (A, Q). This is done as follows.

Definition 4.4 (Twisting) Let (A, Q) be a curved shifted A_{∞} -algebra and let $x \in A^0$, then we define (A, Q^x) , the shifted A_{∞} -algebra A twisted by the element x, as follows. The underlying graded vector space of (A, Q^x) is defined as A. The coderivation Q^x : $T^c(A) \to T^c(A)$ is defined as the restriction of the map $Q^x := \exp(-x) \circ Q \circ \exp(x)$ to $T^c(A)$.

Note that the formula for $\tilde{Q}_n^x(a_1...a_n)$ in the proof of Lemma 4.3 provides an explicit description of the twisted A_{∞} -algebra structure.

Definition 4.5 (Curvature of an Element) The curvature of an element $x \in A^0$ in a shifted A_{∞} -algebra (A, Q) is the element

$$\mathcal{R}(x) := \exp(-x)Q(e^x).$$

Note that since clearly $\mathcal{R}(x) = Q^x(1)$, it holds that $\mathcal{R}(x) \in A^1 \subset T^c(A)$. It will therefore not contain any terms of tensor weight higher than 1, so it can be seen that

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \sum_{l \ge 0} Q_l(x^l)$$

and that $\mathcal{R}(x)$ is simply the curvature of A^x .

Lemma 4.6 (Bianchi Identity) For a shifted A_{∞} -algebra (A, Q) and $x \in A^0$, we have

$$Q^x(\mathcal{R}(x)) = 0$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{l\geq 1} Q_l \left(\mu_{sh} \left(x^{l-1} \otimes \mathcal{R}(x) \right) \right) = 0$$

Proof Note that the first identity is obvious since

$$Q^{x}(\mathcal{R}(x)) = \exp(-x)Q(\exp(x)\exp(-x)Q(e^{x})) = \exp(-x)Q^{2}(e^{x}) = 0.$$

Thus it is only left to show that it is equivalent to the second identity. This follows straightforwardly by considering the expression $Q^x(\mathcal{R}(x)) = \mu_{sh}(e^{-x} \otimes Q(\mu_{sh}(e^x \otimes \mathcal{R}(x))))$ and realizing that this must be an element of *A*.

Definition 4.7 (Maurer-Cartan elements) Consider a shifted A_{∞} -algebra (A, Q). Then $x \in A^0$ is called a Maurer-Cartan element (abbreviated to MC element) if

$$Q(e^{x})=0.$$

Corollary 4.8 By invertibility of e^x , an element $x \in A^0$ is an MC element if and only if $\mathcal{R}(x) = 0$. So, given a shifted A_{∞} -algebra A and an element $x \in A^0$, the twisted algebra A^x is flat if and only if x is a Maurer-Cartan element.

Definition 4.9 (Functoriality of Maurer-Cartan elements and twisting)

Given an ∞ -morphism F of complete shifted A_{∞} -algebras (A, Q) and (B, P) and an element $x \in A^0$, we define the element $x_F \in B^0$ called F-associated to x as the solution to

$$e^{x_F} = F(e^x),$$

explicitly we have

$$x_F := \sum_{n \ge 1} F_n(x^n).$$

Note that x_F is well-defined by completeness of *B*. This way we may also define the ∞ -morphism $F^x \colon A^x \to B^{x_F}$ as

$$F^{x} := \exp(-x_{F}) \circ F \circ \exp(x).$$

Note finally that if x is a Maurer-Cartan element, then so is x_F and since it can be shown that $x_{F \circ \tilde{F}} = (x_{\tilde{F}})_F$, we obtain a functor associating to an A_{∞} -algebra its set of Maurer-Cartan elements.

Remark 4.10 Note that if $F : A \to B$ is assumed to be strict in the definition above then $x_F = F(x)$. This implies that F^x , F twisted by x, is the same as F, i.e. the maps $F^x : A \to B$ and $F : A \to B$ are the same.

5 Cochains on simplices

In this section, we prove some technical lemmas about the normalized cochains on the standard simplex. These lemmas will play an important role in the next section where they are used to define the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set associated to a complete (curved) A_{∞} -algebra and are used to prove that it is a Kan complex. We assume that the reader is familiar with the basics of simplicial sets and otherwise we refer the reader to [10] or [16].

Denote by Δ^n the standard *n*-simplex and recall that the collection of standard *n*-simplices forms a cosimplicial object in the category of simplicial sets. We denote this cosimplicial object by Δ^{\bullet} and its coface and codegeneracy maps by d^i and s^j .

The normalized cochains on a simplicial set *X* are denoted by $N^{\bullet}(X; \mathbb{K})$, for notational simplicity we will drop the coefficients \mathbb{K} which we always assume to be a field. A basis for $N^{d}(\Delta^{n})$ is given by the cochains $\phi_{i_0,...,i_d}$, with $0 \le i_0 < \cdots < i_d \le n$, where $\phi_{i_0,...,i_d}$ denotes the cochain that evaluates to 1 on the subsimplex of Δ^{n} with vertices i_0, \ldots, i_d and is zero otherwise.

The normalized cochains form a unital associative algebra with the chain level cup product coming from the diagonal map $X \to X \times X$. Explicitly, this product is defined as follows. Let $\phi \in N^i(X)$, $\psi \in N^j(X)$ and $x : \Delta^{i+j} \to \Delta^n$ be an (i + j)-simplex, then $\phi \cup \psi$ evaluated on x is given by

$$\phi \cup \psi(x) = \phi(x(0, \dots, i)) \cdot \psi(x(i, \dots, i+j)), \tag{5.1}$$

where x(0, ..., i) is the image of the subsimplex of Δ^{i+j} with vertices 0, ..., i and x(i, ..., i+j) is the subsimplex with vertices i, ..., i+j. The unit of this product is given by $\mathbb{1} := \sum_{i=0}^{n} \varphi_i$.

Since the normalized cochains are a contravariant functor, the collection of normalized cochains on the standard simplices form a simplicial object in the category of unital associative algebras. The face and degeneracy maps are denoted by ∂_i : $N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow N^{\bullet}(\Delta^{n-1})$ and $\sigma_j : N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow N^{\bullet}(\Delta^{n+1})$ and are defined by precomposing a cochain ϕ with d^i (resp. s^j). Let $\varphi_I \in N^d(\Delta^n)$, with $I = (i_0, \ldots, i_d)$, be a basis element. Explicit formulas for the face and degeneracy maps are then given by $\partial_i(\phi_I) = \sum_{J \in (d^i)^{-1}(I)} \phi_J$, where the sum ranges over all elements in the inverse image of I under the map d^i , when the inverse image is empty we define this term to be zero. Because the maps d^i are injective, the inverse image will consist out of at most one term. For simplicity we will denote this term by $\phi_{(d^i)^{-1}(I)}$. The degeneracy maps are defined similarly by $\sigma^j(\phi_I) = \sum_{J \in (s^j)^{-1}(I)} \phi_J$.

The evaluation on e_i , the *i*th vertex of $\overline{\Delta}^n$, will play a special role in what follows and we will therefore denote it by $\tilde{\epsilon}_n^i : N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n) \to \mathbb{K}$. We also define the map $\epsilon_n^i :$ $N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n) \to N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$ which is defined as the composition of $\tilde{\epsilon}_n^i$ with the inclusion of the unit $\mathbb{1} : \mathbb{K} \to N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$. More explicitly this map is given by $\epsilon_n^i(\varphi_i) = \mathbb{1} = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j$ and zero otherwise. To prove that the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set, which we will define in the next section, is a Kan complex we need an explicit contraction on the level of the normalized cochains of Δ^n . To do this, we define a contraction between the identity on $N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$ and ϵ_n^i .

The contraction

$$h_n^i: N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n) \longrightarrow N^{\bullet-1}(\Delta^n)$$

is defined by

$$h_n^i(\varphi_{j_0,...,j_d}) := \sum_{k=0}^d (-1)^i \delta_{i,j_k} \varphi_{j_0,...,\hat{j_k},...,j_d}$$

where \hat{j}_k means that we omit the element j_k and δ_{i,j_k} is the Kronecker delta.

Lemma 5.1 The map h_n^i is a contraction between $id_{N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)}$ and ϵ_n^i , i.e. h_n^i satisfies the following formula

$$dh_n^i + h_n^i d = id_{N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)} - \epsilon_n^i.$$

The proof of the lemma is straightforward but tedious and is left to the reader.

If we have a complete A_{∞} -algebra $(A, Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, ...)$, then we can use the extension of scalars from Sect. 3.2 to form a simplicial object in the category of A_{∞} -algebras.

This object is given by $\{A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)\}_{n \ge 0}$ where the face and degeneracy maps are induced by the face and degeneracy maps of $\{N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)\}_{n\ge 0}$. Similar to Sect. 3.2, we use the notation $N_{\bullet}Q_n$ for the A_{∞} -structure maps on $A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$, we will often abuse notation by dropping the \bullet . By using the contraction maps $h_n^i : N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n) \to N^{\bullet-1}(\Delta^n)$, we can also define a "contraction" of $A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$. To do this, we first introduce the maps $E_n^i : A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n) \to A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$ which are the analogs of evaluation on the *i*th vertex of Δ^n . This map is defined as $E_n^i := \operatorname{id}_A \otimes \epsilon_n^i$.

When the A_{∞} -algebra A is flat, then as a map of cochain complexes, the map E_n^i is homotopic to the identity and an explicit homotopy $H_n^i : A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n) \to A \otimes N^{\bullet-1}(\Delta^n)$ is given by setting $H_n^i(a \otimes \varphi) := a \otimes h_n^i(\varphi)$, where $a \otimes \varphi \in A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$. When A is not flat we can no longer speak about maps of cochain complexes but the maps H_n^i still have the following property.

Proposition 5.2 The maps H_n^i , E_n^i and $id_{A\otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)}$ satisfy the following equation

$$H_n^i N Q_1 + N Q_1 H_n^i = id_{A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)} - E_n^i$$

The proof of this proposition follows straightforwardly from Lemma 5.1. For the proof that the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set is a Kan complex, we need one more definition. For each $0 \le i \le n$, define the operator $R_n^i : A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n) \to A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$ as $R_n^i := NQ_1 \circ H_n^i$. In the following lemmas, we give some of the properties of the operators R_n^i and E_n^i .

Lemma 5.3 The following identity holds

$$E_n^i N Q_l(v) = N Q_l((E_n^i)^{\otimes l} v)$$

for all $n, l \ge 0, 0 \le i \le n$ and $v \in (A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n))^{\otimes l}$, where for l = 0 we have used the convention that $(E_n^i)^{\otimes 0} = id$.

Proof To prove the lemma we distinguish three different cases, first we prove the lemma for l = 0, 1 and then for $l \ge 2$. When l = 0, we find that $E_n^i N Q_0 = N Q_0$ since $N Q_0(1) = Q_0(1) \otimes 1$ and $\epsilon_n^i(1) = 1$. When l = 1, the operation $N Q_1$ is given by $Q_1 \otimes id + id \otimes d_{N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)}$. Since E_n^i vanishes on all elements of degree greater than $0, E_n^i \circ (id \otimes d_{N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)}) = 0$. Because $d_{N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)}(1) = 0$, we also have that $(id \otimes d_{N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)})E_n^i = 0$. We are only left to show that $E_n^i \circ (Q_1 \otimes id) = (Q_1 \otimes id) \circ E_n^i$ which is obvious.

The products NQ_l for $l \ge 2$ are given by $Q_l \otimes \cup^{(l)}$. In this case we see that both sides of the equation vanish in case v contains any element of degree higher than 0 on the second tensor leg as a tensor factor. Furthermore, if we work in terms of generators on $A \otimes N^0(\Delta^n)$ induced by the basis φ_j , for $0 \le j \le n$, of $N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$, we see that both sides vanish on elements v that are not of the form $(\alpha_1 \otimes \varphi_i) \otimes \ldots \otimes (\alpha_n \otimes \varphi_i)$. For $v = (\alpha_1 \otimes \varphi_i) \otimes \ldots \otimes (\alpha_n \otimes \varphi_i)$ it is clear that $E_n^i NQ_l(v) = NQ_l((E_n^i)^{\otimes l}v)$, which proves the lemma.

Lemma 5.4 The maps E_n^i and R_n^i satisfy the following identities:

(i)

$$\partial_j E_n^i = \begin{cases} E_{n-1}^i \partial_j, & \text{if } i < j \\ E_{n-1}^{i-1} \partial_j, & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

(ii)

$$\partial_j R_n^i = \begin{cases} R_{n-1}^i \partial_j, & \text{if } i < j \\ R_{n-1}^{i-1} \partial_j, & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Proof To prove part *i* of the lemma, first observe that $E_{n-1}^i \partial_j$, $E_{n-1}^{i-1} \partial_j$, and $\partial_j E_n^i$ vanish on all elements of the form $\alpha \otimes \varphi$ when φ is of degree greater or equal than one. We therefore only need to prove part *i* of the lemma for elements of the form $\alpha \otimes \varphi_k \in A \otimes N^0(\Delta^n)$.

Explicit formulas for the face map ∂_i are given by

$$\partial_j(\varphi_{i_1,\dots,i_k}) = \varphi_{(d^j)^{-1}(i_1,\dots,i_k)} = \varphi_{i_1,\dots,i_{m-1},i_m-1,\dots,i_{k-1}},$$

if none of the i_l is equal to j and where i_{m-1} is the largest i_{m-1} smaller than j, if one of the $i_l = j$, then the face map is equal to zero.

After applying these formulas to $\alpha \otimes \varphi_k$, it is straightforward to see that part one of the lemma holds. More explicitly, on one side we get

$$\partial_j E_n^l(\alpha \otimes \varphi_k) = \partial_j (\delta_{i,k} \alpha \otimes \mathbb{1})$$
$$= \delta_{i,k} \alpha \otimes \mathbb{1}$$

and on the other side we get

$$E_n^i \partial_j (\alpha \otimes \varphi_k) = E_n^i (\alpha \otimes \varphi_{(d^j)^{-1}(k)}),$$

which is non-zero if and only if k = i. So this is also equal to $\delta_{i,k} \alpha \otimes \mathbb{1}$. When j < i, the proof is the same except that the last term is non zero if and only if k is (i - 1) instead of *i*. This proves part *i* of the lemma.

To prove part *ii* of the lemma we need to show that $R_{n-1}^i \partial_j = \partial_j R_n^i$ for i < jand $R_{n-1}^{i-1} \partial_j = \partial_j R_n^i$ for i > j. We first show this for i < j. Let $\varphi_I \in N^k(\Delta^n)$, with $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ and let $\alpha \in A$. In this case we get the following sequence of equalities:

$$\partial_j R_n^l(\alpha \otimes \varphi_I) = \partial_j N Q_1 H_n^l(\alpha \otimes \varphi_I) = \partial_j N Q_1(\alpha \otimes \varphi_I \setminus \{i\}),$$

where we define $\varphi_{I \setminus \{i\}}$ to be zero when the indexing set *I* does not contain the element *i*. When we continue we get the following terms

$$\begin{split} \partial_j N Q_1(\alpha \otimes \varphi_{I \setminus \{i\}}) &= \partial_j (Q_1(\alpha) \otimes \varphi_{I \setminus \{i\}} \pm \sum_{l=0}^n \pm \varphi_{I \setminus \{i\} \cup l}) \\ &= Q_1(\alpha) \otimes \varphi_{(d^j)^{-1}(I \setminus \{i\})} \pm \sum_{l=0}^n \pm \varphi_{(d^j)^{-1}(I \setminus \{i\} \cup l)} \end{split}$$

Whenever we take the union of *I* and $\{l\}$ such that *l* is already contained in *I*, then we set this term to zero. Because the term $(d^j)^{-1}(I \setminus \{i\} \cup j)$ is zero and $(d^j)^{-1}(l) = l - 1$ for l > j, this sum can be rewritten as

$$Q_1(\alpha) \otimes \varphi_{(d^j)^{-1}(I \setminus \{i\})} \pm \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \pm \varphi_{(d^j)^{-1}(I \setminus \{i\}) \cup l}.$$

When we compute the $R_{n-1}^i \partial_i (\alpha \otimes \varphi_I)$ side for i < j, we get the following.

$$\begin{aligned} R_{n-1}^{i}\partial_{j}(\alpha\otimes\varphi_{I}) &= NQ_{1}H_{n-1}^{i}\alpha\otimes\varphi_{(d^{j})^{-1}(I)} \\ &= NQ_{1}(\alpha\otimes\varphi_{(d^{j})^{-1}(I)\setminus\{i\}}) \\ &= Q_{1}(\alpha)\otimes\varphi_{(d^{j})^{-1}(I)\setminus\{i\}} \pm \sum_{l=0}^{n-1}\pm\alpha\otimes\varphi_{(d^{j})^{-1}(I)\setminus\{i\}\cup\{l\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Because i < j, we have an equality between $(d^j)^{-1}(I) \setminus \{i\}$ and $(d^j)^{-1}(I \setminus \{i\})$. This sum is therefore equal to

$$Q_1(\alpha) \otimes \varphi_{(d^j)^{-1}(I \setminus \{i\})} \pm \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \pm \varphi_{(d^j)^{-1}(I \setminus \{i\}) \cup l},$$

which is $\partial_j R_n^i(\alpha \otimes \varphi_I)$. So for i < j, the lemma holds. When i > j, a similar arguments show that the lemma also holds in that case. We leave it to the reader to check that the signs agree as well.

6 MC set of an A_{∞} -algebra

In this section, we will define and study the simplicial set of Maurer-Cartan elements associated to a complete shifted A_{∞} -algebra (A, Q). In the following, we will denote the set of Maurer-Cartan elements in a complete shifted A_{∞} -algebra (A, Q) by MC(A, Q).

Definition 6.1 (Maurer-Cartan simplicial set) The Maurer-Cartan simplicial set $MC_{\bullet}(A, Q)$ is given by the sets $MC_n(A, Q) = MC(A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n), NQ)$ with the face and degeneracy maps induced by those on $A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$. Given an ∞ -morphism $F: A \rightarrow B$ we can consider the induced ∞ -morphisms $N^n F: A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow B \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$ given by $N^n F_l = F_l \otimes \cup^{(l)}$ with the convention that $\cup^{(1)} = \text{id.}$ Using

these, we find that MC_{\bullet} is a functor from the category of (curved) A_{∞} -algebras with ∞ -morphisms to simplicial sets.

Remark 6.2 Note that this Maurer-Cartan simplicial set can be seen as a special case of Lurie's dg-nerve construction (see Construction 1.3.1.6 and Remark 1.3.1.7 of [20]). This can be seen as follows, first note that an A_{∞} -algebra A can be seen as an A_{∞} -category with one object and the underlying chain complex of A as the chain complex set of morphisms. The compositions are then given by multiplications. Using this we get a dg-category to which we can apply Lurie's dg-nerve construction. As Lurie shows in Proposition 1.3.1.10, the simplicial set we obtain this way is an ∞ -category, i.e. we can fill all the inner horns. In this paper, we improve Lurie's result by showing that when the A_{∞} -algebra A is complete (pro-nilpotent), then we do not get just an ∞ -category, but in fact an ∞ -groupoid.

Remark 6.3 In characteristic 0, we may associate to any A_{∞} -algebra an L_{∞} -algebra by symmetrization. Thus, we arrive naturally at the question of comparing the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set constructed in [15,19] and the one presented in this paper. As mentioned in the introduction, the question of whether these are homotopy equivalent remains open. The main issue is caused by the fact that we use the normalized cochains, instead of the polynomial de Rham forms, on the standard *n*-simplex. If we would have used the polynomial de Rham forms in the definition above (and stayed in characteristic 0), then all results in this paper would go through and we would have an isomorphism of the Maurer-Cartan simplicial sets of an A_{∞} -algebra and the corresponding L_{∞} -algebra.

The problem is that one cannot use the polynomial de Rham forms in the characteristic non-zero case (since they do not satisfy the Poincaré lemma) and one cannot use the normalized cochains in the L_{∞} case (because they are not commutative). The comparison of the L_{∞} and A_{∞} cases in characteristic 0 comes down to comparing the A_{∞} -algebra arising by extending scalars by polynomial de Rham forms with the one arising by extending scalars by normalized cochains.

In [15], Getzler shows that the analogous simplicial set for an L_{∞} -algebra is a Kan complex. We will proceed to show, that the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set associated to a complete shifted A_{∞} -algebra is a Kan complex as well. In fact, Getzler's methods also work in the A_{∞} -case and we will therefore be brief in the proofs. To do this recall the maps

$$R_n^{l} = NQ_1 \circ H_n^{l} \colon A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n) \longrightarrow A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$$

and note the following corollary of Proposition 5.2.

Corollary 6.4 Suppose that A is a complete shifted A_{∞} -algebra, then for all $x \in MC_n(A, Q)$ we have the decomposition

$$x = E_n^i x + R_n^i x - \sum_{k \ge 2} H_n^i N Q_k(x^k)$$

for all $0 \le i \le n$.

Proof This follows form Proposition 5.2, the MC equation for x, and the fact that $H_n^i N Q_0(1) = 0.$

In the following, we will define $mc_n^i(A, Q)$ as $mc_n^i(A, Q) := \text{Im } R_n^i$.

Lemma 6.5 Given any (curved) complete shifted A_{∞} -algebra, the map

$$MC_n(A, Q) \longrightarrow MC(A, Q) \times mc_n^l(A, Q),$$

given by $x \mapsto (E_n^i x, R_n^i x)$, is a bijection for all $0 \le i \le n$ and all $n \ge 0$. Here we implicitly equate MC(A, Q) and $MC_0(A, Q)$.

Proof To show surjectivity, fix $(e, r) \in MC(A, Q) \times mc_n^i(A, Q)$ and consider the sequence defined recursively by

$$\alpha_{k+1} = \alpha_0 - \sum_{l \ge 2} H_n^i N Q_l(\alpha_k^l),$$

where $\alpha_0 = e + r$. This sequence is a Cauchy sequence in $A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$ and so we may consider its limit $\alpha = \lim_{k \to \infty} \alpha_k \in A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$ by completeness of *A* and Proposition 3.8. By definition of the α_k , we have

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 - \sum_{l \ge 2} H_n^i N Q_l(\alpha^l), \quad E_n^i \alpha = e \text{ and } R_n^i \alpha = r.$$

This implies that

$$NQ_1\alpha = NQ_1\alpha_0 - \sum_{l \ge 2} NQ_1H_n^i NQ_l(\alpha^l)$$
$$= \sum_{l \ge 2} H_n^i NQ_1 NQ_l(\alpha^l) - \sum_{l \ge 2} NQ_l(\alpha^l) - NQ_0(1)$$

Here we used Lemma 5.3, Proposition 5.2, and the fact that e is a Maurer-Cartan element. It means, we find that

$$\mathcal{R}(\alpha) = H_n^i N Q_1 \mathcal{R}(\alpha) = -\sum_{l \ge 1} H_n^i N Q_{l+1}(\alpha^l \otimes \mathcal{R}(\alpha)) = 0,$$

where the second equality follows from the Bianchi identity (Lemma 4.6) and the third identity follows from the fact that $\bigcap_k F^k A = \{0\}$. Note that we have now proved surjectivity of $x \mapsto (E_n^i x, R_n^i x)$.

It is left to show injectivity. So suppose $\alpha, \beta \in MC_n(A, Q)$ and $(E_n^i \alpha, R_n^i \alpha) = (E_n^i \beta, R_n^i \beta)$. Then by Corollary 6.4, we find that

$$\alpha - \beta = \sum_{l \ge 2} H_n^i N Q_l(\beta^l - \alpha^l) = \sum_{l \ge 2} \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} H_n^i N Q_l(\beta^k(\beta - \alpha)\alpha^{k-l-1}) = 0,$$

D Springer

where the final equality follows again from the fact that $\bigcap_k F^k A = \{0\}$. Thus, we find that $\alpha = \beta$ which shows injectivity.

Proposition 6.6 Suppose that $f: (A, Q) \to (B, P)$ is a surjective strict morphism between complete shifted A_{∞} -algebras, then the induced map $f: MC_{\bullet}(A, Q) \to MC_{\bullet}(B, P)$ is a Kan fibration.

Proof For $0 \le i \le n$, let $\beta \in sSet(\Lambda_i^n, MC_{\bullet}(A, Q))$ and let γ be an *n*-simplex in $MC_{\bullet}(B, P)$ such that $\partial_j \gamma = f(\partial_j \beta)$ for $j \ne i$. The map $f \otimes id: A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow B \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n)$ is a surjective map of simplicial Abelian groups and therefore it is a Kan fibration. Thus there exists an element $\rho \in (A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^n))^0$ such that $\partial_j \rho = \partial_j \beta$ for all $j \ne i$ and $f \otimes id(\rho) = \gamma$. Let $\alpha \in MC_{\bullet}(A, Q)$ be the unique element with $E_n^i \alpha = E_n^i \rho$ and $R_n^i \alpha = R_n^i \rho$ given by Lemma 6.5. By Lemma 5.4, we find that $\partial_j \alpha = \partial_j \beta$ for $j \ne i$ and α fills the horn β in $MC_{\bullet}(A, Q)$. The facts that f is a strict morphism, $f \otimes id(\rho) = \gamma$, $E_n^i \alpha = E_n^i \rho$ and $R_n^i \alpha = R_n^i \beta$ show that $E_n^i \beta \otimes id(\alpha) = E_n^i \gamma$ and $R_n^i f \otimes id(\alpha) = R_n^i \gamma$. Thus by Lemma 6.5, we find that $f(\alpha) = \gamma$ and the proposition follows, since γ and β were arbitrary.

Corollary 6.7 Since any complete shifted A_{∞} -algebra admits a strict map to the trivial shifted A_{∞} -algebra 0, we find that $MC_{\bullet}(A, Q)$ is always a Kan complex.

Proposition 6.8 Suppose A and B are flat shifted A_{∞} -algebras concentrated in degrees -1 and below, suppose further that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a strict quasi-isomorphism between them, then the induced map on Maurer-Cartan simplicial sets is a homotopy equivalence.

The proof of this proposition can be taken *mutatis mutandis* from [15].

Remark 6.9 Finally, we should note that Dolgushev–Rogers expanded the theory developed by Getzler in [6]. In particular they proved a version of the proposition above that does not need the restriction imposed on degree and, moreover, it allows for ∞ -morphisms instead of only strict morphism. The authors are of the opinion, that a similar result can be proved also in the case of A_{∞} -algebras, however it would be a considerable addition to the present paper to prove it here. Thus we chose to postpone this to future work.

7 Application: the deformation theory of ∞-morphisms of algebras over non-symmetric operads

In the last section of this paper, we apply the theory developed in this paper to the deformation theory of ∞ -morphisms of algebras over non-symmetric operads. The main advantage of our theory is, that everything now also works over a field of arbitrary characteristic and not just over a field of characteristic 0. Most of this section is the non-symmetric version of the results of [26] and [27]. Since all the proofs are completely analogous to the proofs in those papers, we will omit most of them.

In the remainder of this paper, we assume that all operads and cooperads are nonsymmetric. We further assume that all operads and cooperads are reduced, i.e. $\mathcal{P}(0) = 0$ and $\mathcal{P}(1) = \mathbb{K}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}(0) = 0$ and $\mathcal{C}(1) = \mathbb{K}$). We further assume that all cooperads and coalgebras are conlipotent.

7.1 ∞_{α} -morphisms

In this section, we recall the definition of homotopy morphisms relative to an operadic twisting morphism $\alpha : C \to \mathcal{P}$, between a cooperad C and an operad \mathcal{P} . We call these relative homotopy morphisms ∞_{α} -morphisms. The main motivation for ∞_{α} morphisms is, that when α is Koszul they can be used to describe the homotopy category of \mathcal{P} -algebras (resp. nilpotent C-coalgebras). For example, not every quasiisomorphism of \mathcal{P} -algebras has a strict homotopy inverse, but it always has an ∞_{α} homotopy inverse.

To define these ∞_{α} -morphisms, we need the bar and cobar construction relative to an operadic twisting morphism. We will not recall those here and refer the reader to Chapter 11 of [22]. The bar construction relative to a twisting morphism $\alpha : C \to \mathcal{P}$ is denoted by B_{α} and the cobar construction relative to α is denoted by Ω_{α} .

Definition 7.1 Let $\alpha : C \to \mathcal{P}$ be an operadic twisting morphism from a cooperad C to an operad \mathcal{P} . Let C and C' be C-coalgebras and let A and A' be \mathcal{P} -algebras.

- (i) An ∞_α-morphism, Ψ : C' → C, from C' to C, is defined as a P-algebra map Ψ : Ω_αC' → Ω_αC.
- (ii) An ∞_{α} -morphism, $\Phi : A \rightsquigarrow A'$, from A to A', is defined as a C-coalgebra map $\Phi : B_{\alpha}A \to B_{\alpha}A'$.

On the set of ∞_{α} -morphisms from a \mathcal{P} -algebra A (resp. \mathcal{C} -coalgebra C') to a \mathcal{P} algebra A' (resp \mathcal{C} -coalgebra C), we can define a notion of homotopy equivalence. This is done by defining a model structure on the categories of \mathcal{P} -algebras and \mathcal{C} -coalgebras.

On the category of \mathcal{P} -algebras, we define a model structure in which the weak equivalences are given by quasi-isomorphisms, the fibrations by degree-wise surjective maps, and the cofibrations are the maps with the left lifting property with respect to acyclic fibrations. A proof that this is a model structure can be found as Theorem 1.7 in [18].

On the category of C-coalgebras, we define a model structure in which the weak equivalences are created by the cobar construction, i.e. a map $f : C' \to C$ is a weak equivalence if the induced map $\Omega_{\alpha} f : \Omega_{\alpha} C' \to \Omega_{\alpha} C$ is a quasi-isomorphism of \mathcal{P} -algebras. The cofibrations are the degree-wise injective maps and fibrations are the maps with the right lifting property with respect to the acyclic cofibrations. This model structure was originally defined by Vallette in [31] for the case that the twisting morphism α is Koszul. This was generalized to general twisting morphisms by Drummond-Cole and Hirsh in [9].

Since the categories of \mathcal{P} -algebras and \mathcal{C} -coalgebras are model categories, we have a notion of homotopy between the maps. To make this explicit we need a path object for \mathcal{P} -algebras and a cylinder object for \mathcal{C} -coalgebras. To define these objects, recall that $N_{\bullet}(\Delta^1)$, the normalized chains on the 1-simplex as a coassociative coalgebra, is given by the following coalgebra (see Definition 3.1 of [31]), $N_{\bullet}(\Delta^1)$ is given by $\mathbb{K}a \oplus \mathbb{K}b \oplus \mathbb{K}c$, with |a| = |b| = 0 and |c| = 1. The coproduct is given by $\Delta(a) = a \otimes a, \Delta(b) = b \otimes b$ and $\Delta(c) = a \otimes c + c \otimes b$, the differential is given by d(a) = d(b) = 0 and d(c) = b - a.

Similar to Sect. 3.2, we can equip the tensor product of a *C*-coalgebra *C* and a coassociative coalgebra *A* with the structure of a *C*-coalgebra. Denote by As^c the non-symmetric cooperad encoding coassociative coalgebras. The coproduct on $C \otimes A$ is then given by

$$\Delta_{C\otimes A} = C \otimes A \xrightarrow{\Delta_C \otimes \Delta_A} (\mathcal{C} \circ C) \otimes (As^c \circ A)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathcal{C} \otimes As^c) \circ (C \otimes A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{C} \otimes C \otimes A,$$

where \circ denotes the non-symmetric composition product. In the last line, we use that we have a canonical isomorphism between $C \otimes As^c$ and C. For more details about this isomorphism see the dual version of Theorem 7.6.

Lemma 7.2 (i) Let A be a \mathcal{P} -algebra, then $A \otimes N^{\bullet}(\Delta^{1})$ is a good path object for A. (ii) Let C be a C-coalgebra, then $C \otimes N_{\bullet}(\Delta^{1})$ is a good cylinder object for C.

Using the cylinder and path objects from Lemma 7.2, we can define the notion of homotopy between ∞_{α} -morphisms.

- **Definition 7.3** (i) Let $\Psi, \Psi' : C' \rightsquigarrow C$ be two ∞_{α} -morphisms of C-coalgebras, then we call them homotopic if they are homotopic in the model category of \mathcal{P} -algebras. In other words, if there exists a morphism $H : C' \otimes N_{\bullet}(\Delta^1) \to C$ such that the restriction to $C' \otimes a$ is Ψ and the restriction of H to $C' \otimes b$ is Ψ' .
- (ii) Let Φ, Φ' : A → A' be two ∞_α-morphisms of *P*-algebras, then we call them homotopic if they are homotopic in the model category of *C*-coalgebras, i.e. if there exists a map H : A → A' ⊗ N[•](Δ¹), such the projection on the first vertex is Φ and the projection on the second vertex is Φ'.

7.2 A_{∞} -convolution algebras and the deformation theory of ∞_{α} -morphisms

Let C be a cooperad, \mathcal{P} be an operad and $\alpha : C \to \mathcal{P}$ be an operadic twisting morphism. Recall from [2], that the convolution operad Hom $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ is defined as follows. The arity *n* component, Hom $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})(n)$, of this operad is defined as Hom $(\mathcal{C}(n), \mathcal{P}(n))$, the space of linear maps from the arity *n* component of C to the arity *n* component of \mathcal{P} . Let $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})(n)$ and $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$, with $g_i \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})(m_i)$, then we define the convolution map by the following sequence of maps

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}} (\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{C}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{C}(n) \otimes \mathcal{C}(m_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{C}(m_n) \xrightarrow{f \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n} \mathcal{P}(n) \otimes \mathcal{P}(m_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{P}(m_n) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathcal{P}}} \mathcal{P}(m_1 + \cdots + m + n),$$

where $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the decomposition map of \mathcal{C} and $\gamma_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the composition map of \mathcal{P} . Recall from [22] Section 6.4, that a twisting morphism $\alpha : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{P}$ is a Maurer-Cartan

element in the pre-Lie algebra associated to the convolution operad. The following theorem is the non-symmetric analog of Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 7.1 of [32], see also Section 4 of [26].

Theorem 7.4 Let C be a cooperad and let P be an operad. Then there exists a bijection

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{Op}(A_{\infty}, \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})) \cong \operatorname{Tw}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P}),$

between the set of operad morphisms from the A_{∞} -operad to $Hom(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ and the set of operadic twisting morphisms from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{P} .

Using the fact that when we have a C-coalgebra C and a \mathcal{P} -algebra A, then Hom(C, A) is a Hom $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ -algebra (see Proposition 7.1 of [32]), we have the following corollary.

Corollary 7.5 Let *C* be a *C*-coalgebra and let *A* be a *P*-algebra, then $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{P})$ with the products coming from the twisting morphism α is a flat A_{∞} -algebra. The differential Q_1 applied to a map *f* is given by $Q_1(f) = d_A \circ f + (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d_C$. The products Q_n , for $n \ge 2$, are defined by $Q_n : \text{Hom}(C, A)^{\otimes n} \to \text{Hom}(C, A)$ is

$$Q_n(f_1,\ldots,f_n)(x) := \gamma_A(\alpha \otimes f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n) \Delta_C^n(x),$$

where $\Delta_C^n : C \to C(n) \otimes C^{\otimes n}$ is the arity *n* part of the coproduct of *C* and $\gamma_A : \mathcal{P} \circ A \to A$ is the product of *A*. We denote Hom(*C*, *A*) with this A_{∞} -structure by Hom^{α}(*C*, *A*).

This A_{∞} -structure has the additional property that, the Maurer-Cartan elements in the A_{∞} -algebra Hom^{α}(C, A) correspond to the twisting morphisms relative to α . This is the non-symmetric analog of Theorem 2.4 of [27].

Theorem 7.6 Let $\alpha : C \to \mathcal{P}$ be an operadic twisting morphism and let C be a C-coalgebra and A a \mathcal{P} -algebra. Then the following statements hold.

(i) We have bijections between the following sets

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}-coalgebras}(C, B_{\alpha}A) \cong MC(\operatorname{Hom}^{\alpha}(C, A)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}-algebras}(\Omega_{\alpha}C, A).$

- (ii) Two C-coalgebra morphisms $f, g : C \to B_{\alpha}A$ are homotopic in the category of C-coalgebras, if and only if the corresponding Maurer-Cartan elements are gauge equivalent.
- (iii) Two \mathcal{P} -algebra morphisms $f, g: \Omega_{\alpha}C \to A$ are homotopic in the category of \mathcal{P} -algebras if and only if the corresponding Maurer-Cartan elements are gauge equivalent.

Note that, compared to the proof of Theorem 2.4 of [27], the proof in the non-symmetric case is significantly easier because $N^{\bullet}(\Delta^1)$ and $N_{\bullet}(\Delta^1)$ are both finite dimensional.

Using Theorem 7.6, we can now define the deformation complex of ∞_{α} -morphisms for non-symmetric operads. This problem was initially stated by M. Kontsevich in his 2017 Séminaire Bourbaki, and was answered for symmetric operads over a field of characteristic 0 by D. Robert-Nicoud and the second author in Definition 2.7 of [27]. Using the theory developed in this paper, we can also answer this question for (co)algebras over a field of arbitrary characteristic.

Definition 7.7 Let $\alpha : C \to P$ be an operadic twisting morphism.

- (i) Let A and A' be two P-algebras, the deformation complex of ∞_α-morphisms from A to A' is defined as the A_∞-algebra Hom^α(B_αA, A').
- (ii) Let C' and C be two C-coalgebras, the deformation complex of ∞_{α} -morphisms from C' to C is defined as the A_{∞} -algebra Hom^{α}(C', $\Omega_{\alpha}C$).

Because of Theorem 7.6, the Maurer-Cartan elements in this deformation A_{∞} algebra correspond indeed to the ∞_{α} -morphisms between A and A' (resp C' and C), and the notion of gauge equivalence corresponds to the relation of homotopy
equivalence. This is therefore the correct deformation complex. For more details see
the discussion after Definition 2.7 of [27].

As stated earlier, the main advantage of working with A_{∞} -algebras is that we no longer need any restrictions on the ground field we are working over.

8 Comparison with other approaches, possible applications and some open questions

We finish the paper by comparing our constructions with some other approaches, sketching some possible applications, and stating some open questions.

8.1 Comparison with other approaches

Most of the constructions in this paper are analogous to the L_{∞} -case. For example the way that we treat our description of the A_{∞} -twisting procedure is based on Dolgushev's thesis (see [4]) and the construction of the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set is based on [15]. It seems plausible that many other results from the theory of L_{∞} -algebras can also be generalized to the A_{∞} -case (for a few possible generalizations see the next section about future work). One of the most important advantages of the work in this paper, is that we do not need the characteristic 0 assumption on the field we are working over.

There are however, also a few papers which explicitly deal with versions of some of the constructions we use for A_{∞} -algebras. The Maurer-Cartan equation for curved A_{∞} -algebras and the twisting for curved A_{∞} -algebras have appeared before in for example [14]. There are several other papers that also explicitly deal with curved A_{∞} -algebras, see for example the paper by Hamilton and Lazarev [17] and the paper by Nicolás [24]. Another area in which twisting of A_{∞} -algebras is defined is in string field theory (see for example [11]). Another method to define the twist was defined by Dotsenko, Shadrin and Vallette in [8] using the pre-Lie deformation theory and

the gauge group from [7]. This work has the disadvantage that their pre-Lie approach uses formulas that involve factorials and therefore not immediately work over more general fields. Although these problems can be solved for A_{∞} -algebras, the method using the shuffle product, which we have defined in this paper, has the advantage that everything works immediately without any modifications.

The Maurer-Cartan simplicial set and equivalence between Maurer-Cartan elements for non-curved A_{∞} -algebras has also appeared before. In [3], Chuang, Holstein, and Lazarev define a notion of a Maurer-Cartan element in an associative algebra and the relation of strong homotopy between these Maurer-Cartan elements. It seems that for associative algebras our definitions coincide. In Section 8 of [1], Behrend and Getzler also define a Maurer-Cartan simplicial set of a finite dimensional associative algebra, we improve their results for (pro)nilpotent algebras in several ways. First of all we extend it to A_{∞} -algebras and allow them to be curved. The second improvement is, that by working with filtered A_{∞} -algebras we do not need their restrictions on the dimensions. Note however, that it also implies we only consider pronilpotent A_{∞} -algebras, while they also consider highly non-nilpotent algebras such as square matrices. The last improvement, is that we give an explicit proof that the simplicial set obtained is a Kan complex, which was not done in [1].

8.2 Possible applications, future work and open questions

In this section, we sketch some further applications and open questions associated to this paper.

Given an A_{∞} -algebra A, we can form two different simplicial sets. One by the construction described in this paper and one by taking the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set of the L_{∞} -algebra corresponding to A with all the higher commutators as L_{∞} -operations. Although these simplicial sets are clearly not isomorphic, we do expect them to be homotopy equivalent. This question will be the topic of future work.

A possible further application of the theory developed in this paper is the nonsymmetric version of the paper [5]. In this paper Dolgushev, Hoffnung and Rogers, show that over a field of characteristic 0 the category of homotopy algebras over a symmetric operad with ∞ -morphisms, is enriched over (filtered) L_{∞} -algebras. By using the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set of an L_{∞} -algebra, this implies that the category of homotopy algebras is also enriched over simplicial sets. It seems highly likely, that the theory developed in this paper can be used to answer the question: What do homotopy algebras over a non-symmetric operad form? The main advantage of the theory developed in this paper, is that it does not require us to work over a field of characteristic 0 and would answer this question in a much larger generality than in [5]. For the sake of briefness, we do not work out the details of this construction and leave it as a topic for future work.

The methods developed in this paper do unfortunately not allow us to answer the question: What do homotopy algebras over a symmetric operad over a field of characteristic p > 0 form? This question still remains open and will be a topic of future research. Another question that is not treated in full detail in this paper, is the behavior of the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set with respect to quasi-isomorphisms. It seems reasonable to expect that an analog of the Dolgushev-Rogers Theorem (see Theorem 1.1 of [6]) also holds for the Maurer-Cartan simplicial set of an A_{∞} -algebra. This theorem would state that filtered ∞ -quasi-isomorphisms between filtered A_{∞} -algebras would induce homotopy equivalences between the corresponding Maurer-Cartan simplicial sets. Since there are several technical differences between our setting and their setting, this generalization is not completely straightforward and we will make this a topic of future work.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to Daniel Robert-Nicoud, Bruno Vallette, Gabriel Drummond-Cole and the anonymous referee for comments on earlier versions of this paper.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Appendix A: Completed Coalgebras

In this appendix, we will fix what we mean by a coalgebra structure on the completion $\widehat{T^c}(A)$ of the coaugmented conlipotent coassociative cofree coalgebra cogenerated by the complete filtered \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space A. In fact, it comes from the following general notion. We may consider the category of filtered \mathbb{Z} -graded vector spaces V that are complete for the filtration topology. Note that we subsume here the property of the filtration that $\bigcap_{i\geq 0} F^i V = \{0\}$. As is described in Section 7.3 of [12], we may equip this category with a monoidal structure by considering the completed tensor product $\hat{\otimes}$, namely we equip the tensor product of two complete filtered vector spaces with the induced filtration and then complete for the corresponding filtration topology. A coalgebra in the completed sense thus means a coalgebra object in this category.

Let us be explicit in the case of the tensor coalgebra since this is the only case that occurs in the present paper. First of all, we observe that there exists a canonical isomorphism of (filtered) \mathbb{Z} -graded vector spaces

$$\widehat{T^c}(A)\hat{\otimes}\widehat{T^c}(A)\cong\overline{T^c(A)\otimes T^c(A)},$$

where on the right hand side the overline indicates completion in the induced filtration topology on $T^c(A) \otimes T^c(A)$. This follows from the fact that the tensor product of two Cauchy sequences is a Cauchy sequence and the inclusion $T^c(A) \otimes T^c(A) \hookrightarrow \widehat{T^c}(A) \otimes \widehat{T^c}(A)$ of filtered vector spaces. Now the map

$$\Delta \colon T^{c}(A) \longrightarrow \overline{T^{c}(A) \otimes T^{c}(A)},$$

yields the unique extension

$$\hat{\Delta} \colon \widehat{T^c}(A) \longrightarrow \overline{T^c(A) \otimes T^c(A)}.$$

Similarly to the above, we find that

$$\widehat{T^c}(A)\hat{\otimes}\widehat{T^c}(A)\hat{\otimes}\widehat{T^c}(A)\cong\overline{T^c(A)\otimes T^c(A)\otimes T^c(A)}.$$

Thus, it is easily seen that $(\hat{\Delta} \otimes id)\hat{\Delta}$ and $(id \otimes \hat{\Delta}) \circ \hat{\Delta}$ are both extensions of

$$\Delta^{(3)}: T^{c}(A) \to \overline{T^{c}(A) \otimes T^{c}(A) \otimes T^{c}(A)},$$

where $(\Delta \otimes id) \circ \Delta = \Delta^{(3)} = (id \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$ denotes the iterated coproduct. So, since such an extension is unique, we see indeed that $\widehat{T^c}(A)$ forms a coassociative coalgebra in the completed sense.

The rest of the structures needed in this article follow similarly. Given an A_{∞} -algebra structure Q, we can again extend it uniquely to a map

$$\hat{Q} \colon \widehat{T^c}(A) \longrightarrow \widehat{T^c}(A)$$

and, similar to the coassociativity condition on $\hat{\Delta}$, it can be shown that \hat{Q} defines a coderivation in the completed sense, i.e. where we replace all tensor products in the commuting diagram corresponding to the coderivation property by completed tensor products. Furthermore, we find that $\hat{Q}^2 = 0$. Finally, we should consider the shuffle product, which as the comultiplication and coderivations gives rise to the unique extended map

$$\hat{\mu}_{sh} \colon \overline{T^c(A) \otimes T^c(A)} \longrightarrow \widehat{T^c}(A).$$

Again, this map satisfies associativity and together with $\hat{\Delta}$ satisfies the Hopf compatibility condition in the completed sense.

For notational simplicity, we have chosen to drop the hats on $\hat{\Delta}$, \hat{Q} , etc in the main body of this article.

References

- Behrend, K., Getzler, E.: Geometric higher groupoids and categories. In: Geometry, analysis and probability, volume 310 of Progr. Math., pp. 1–45. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham (2017)
- Berger, C., Moerdijk, I.: Axiomatic homotopy theory for operads. Comment. Math. Helv. 78(4), 805– 831 (2003)
- Chuang, J., Holstein, J., Lazarev, A.: Maurer-Cartan moduli and theorems of Riemann-Hilbert type. (2018). arXiv:1802.02549v1
- 4. Dolgushev, V.A.: A proof of Tsygan's formality conjecture for an arbitrary smooth manifold. PhD thesis, MIT (2005)
- Dolgushev, V.A., Hoffnung, A.E., Rogers, C.L.: What do homotopy algebras form? Adv. Math. 274, 562–605 (2015)

- 6. Dolgushev, V.A., Rogers, C.L.: A version of the Goldman-Millson theorem for filtered L_{∞} -algebras. J. Algebra **430**, 260–302 (2015)
- Dotsenko, V., Shadrin, S., Vallette, B.: Pre-Lie deformation theory. Mosc. Math. J. 16(3), 505–543 (2016)
- 8. Dotsenko, V., Shadrin, S., Vallette, B.: The twisting procedure. (2018). arXiv:1810.02941
- 9. Drummond-Cole, G.C., Hirsh, J.: Model structures for coalgebras. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 144(4), 1467–1481 (2016)
- 10. Edward, B.: Curtis. Simplicial homotopy theory. Adv. Math. 6(107-209), 1971 (1971)
- Erler, T.: Relating Berkovits and A_∞ superstring field theories; small hilbert space perspective. J. High Energy Phys. **2015**(10), 157 (2015)
- Fresse, B.: Homotopy of operads and Grothendieck-Teichmüller groups. In: Part 1, volume 217 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI. The algebraic theory and its topological background (2017)
- Fukaya, K.: Deformation theory, homological algebra and mirror symmetry. In: Geometry and physics of branes (Como, 2001), Ser. High Energy Phys. Cosmol. Gravit., pp. 121–209. IOP, Bristol (2003)
- Fukaya, K., Oh, Y.-G., Ohta, H., Ono, K.: Lagrangian intersection Floer theory: anomaly and obstruction. In: Part I, volume 46 of AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence; International Press, Somerville (2009)
- 15. Getzler, E.: Lie theory for nilpotent L_{∞} -algebras. Ann. Math. (2) **170**(1), 271–301 (2009)
- Goerss, P.G., Jardine, J.F.: Simplicial homotopy theory. In: Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2009) (Reprint of the 1999 edition [MR1711612])
- 17. Hamilton, A., Lazarev, A.: Characteristic classes of A_{∞} -algebras. J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. **3**(1), 65–111 (2008)
- Harper, J.E.: Homotopy theory of modules over operads and non-Σ operads in monoidal model categories. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 214(8), 1407–1434 (2010)
- 19. Hinich, V.: Descent of Deligne groupoids. Internat. Math. Res. Not. 5, 223-239 (1997)
- 20. Jacob, L.: Higher algebra. (2020). http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf
- 21. Keller, B.: Introduction to A-infinity algebras and modules. Homol. Homotopy Appl. 3(1), 1–35 (2001)
- Loday, J.-L., Vallette, B.: Algebraic operads. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 346. Springer, Heidelberg (2012)
- Lurie, J.: Moduli problems for ring spectra. In: Proceedings of the international congress of mathematicians, vol. II, pp. 1099–1125. Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi (2010)
- Nicolás, P.: The bar derived category of a curved dg algebra. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 212(12), 2633–2659 (2008)
- 25. Pridham, J.P.: Unifying derived deformation theories. Adv. Math. 224(3), 772–826 (2010)
- Robert-Nicoud, D., Wierstra, F.: Convolution algebras and the deformation theory of infinitymorphisms. Homol. Homotopy Appl. 21(1), 351–373 (2019)
- Robert-Nicoud, D., Wierstra, F.: Homotopy morphisms between convolution homotopy Lie algebras. J. Noncommut. Geom. 13(4), 1463–1520 (2019)
- 28. Stasheff, J.D.: Homotopy associativity of H-spaces. I, II. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 108, 275–292 (1963)
- 29. Stasheff, J.D.: Homotopy associativity of H-spaces I, II. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 108, 293–312 (1963)
- Stasheff, J.D.: Differential graded Lie algebras, quasi-Hopf algebras and higher homotopy algebras. In: Quantum groups (Leningrad, 1990), volume 1510 of Lecture Notes in Math., pp. 120–137. Springer, Berlin (1992)
- Vallette, B.: Homotopy theory of homotopy algebras. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 70(2), 683–738 (2020)
- Wierstra, F.: Algebraic Hopf invariants and rational models for mapping spaces. J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. 14(3), 719–747 (2019)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.