

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Magnetic-field tuning of the spin dynamics in the magnetic topological insulators (MnBi2Te4)(Bi2Te3)n

Alfonsov, A.; Mehlawat, K.; Zeugner, A.; Isaeva, A.; Büchner, B.; Kataev, V.

DOI

10.1103/PhysRevB.104.195139

Publication date 2021

Document VersionFinal published version

Published in Physical Review B

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Alfonsov, A., Mehlawat, K., Zeugner, A., Isaeva, A., Büchner, B., & Kataev, V. (2021). Magnetic-field tuning of the spin dynamics in the magnetic topological insulators (MnBi₂Te₄)(Bi₂Te₃, n. Physical Review B, 104(19), [195139]. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.195139

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)

Download date:10 Mar 2023

Magnetic-field tuning of the spin dynamics in the magnetic topological insulators $(MnBi_2Te_4)(Bi_2Te_3)_n$

A. Alfonsov , ^{1,*} K. Mehlawat, ^{1,2,*} A. Zeugner, ^{3,†} A. Isaeva, ^{1,4} B. Büchner, ^{1,2} and V. Kataev ¹ Leibniz Institute for Solid State and Materials Research, IFW Dresden, D-01069 Dresden, Germany ² Institute for Solid State and Materials Physics and Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence ct.qmat, TU Dresden, D-01062 Dresden, Germany

³Faculty of Chemistry and Food Chemistry, TU Dresden, D-01062 Dresden, Germany ⁴Van der Waals-Zeeman Institute, Institute of Physics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands



(Received 2 August 2021; accepted 8 November 2021; published 22 November 2021)

We report a high-frequency/high-magnetic field electron spin resonance (HF-ESR) spectroscopy study in the sub-THz frequency domain of the two representatives of the family of magnetic topological insulators $(MnBi_2Te_4)(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ with n=0 and 1. The HF-ESR measurements in the magnetically ordered state at a low temperature of T = 4 K combined with the calculations of the resonance modes showed that the spin dynamics in MnBi₄Te₇ is typical for an anisotropic easy-axis type ferromagnet whereas MnBi₂Te₄ demonstrates excitations of an anisotropic easy-axis type antiferromagnet. However, by applying the field stronger than a threshold value ~6 T, we observed in MnBi₂Te₄ a crossover from the antiferromagnetic (AFM) resonance modes to the ferromagnetic (FM) modes, whose properties are very similar to the FM response of MnBi₄Te₇. We attribute this remarkably unusual effect unexpected for a canonical easy-axis antiferromagnet, which, additionally, can be accurately reproduced by numerical calculations of the excitation modes, to the closeness of the strength of the AFM exchange and magnetic anisotropy energies which appears to be a very specific feature of this compound. Our experimental data evidences that the spin dynamics of the magnetic building blocks of these compounds, the Mn-based septuple layers (SLs), is inherently ferromagnetic, featuring persisting short-range FM correlations far above the magnetic ordering temperature as soon as the SLs get decoupled either by introducing a nonmagnetic quintuple interlayer, as in MnBi₂Te₇, or by applying a moderate magnetic field, as in MnBi₂Te₄, which may have an effect on the surface topological band structure of these compounds.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.104.195139

I. INTRODUCTION

The recent discovery of magnetic topological insulators (TIs) where the long-range magnetic order coexists with the topologically nontrivial electronic band structure has provided a rich experimental basis for the realization of emerging physical effects [1–4]. In particular, the breaking of time-reversal symmetry in the magnetically ordered state offers great opportunities to explore such phenomena as the quantum anomalous Hall effect, the topological magnetoelectric effect, and Majorana fermions [4–7]. The first representatives of this class of materials featuring the distinguishing surface property of a TI, the surface Dirac cones, and the long-range magnetically ordered regular spin lattice in the bulk are the van der Waals layered compounds $MnBi_2Te_4$ and $MnBi_4Te_7$ belonging to the $(MnBi_2Te_4)(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ (n = 0-3) family [8–12].

Crystallographically, MnBi₂Te₄ is similar to the well-known nonmagnetic TI Bi₂Te₃ which unit cell consists of the Te-Bi-Te-guintuple layers (QLs) with the difference that two additional Mn-Te atomic planes are inserted

into the structural block. The resulting Te-Bi-Te-Mn-Te-Bi-Te septuple layers (SLs) are stacked along the crystallographic c axis and held together by weak van der Waals forces [13,14]. In MnBi₄Te₇, the magnetic SLs are separated from each other by additional nonmagnetic QLs forming a joint SL-QL-SL-QL-SL... stack [11,15–17].

The magnetic lattice in both compounds is built of the localized magnetic moments associated with the $\mathrm{Mn^{2+}}$ ions which form an A-type uniaxial antiferromagnetically (AFM) ordered structure below the transition temperature $T_{\mathrm{N}} = 24\,\mathrm{K}$ and 13 K for $\mathrm{MnBi_2Te_4}$ and $\mathrm{MnBi_4Te_7}$, respectively [8–12,14,16–20]. The AFM ordered ground state is realized due to the AFM interlayer coupling of the ferromagnetic (FM) Mn-containing SLs. Its strength gradually decreases with an increasing number n of the QLs in the ($\mathrm{MnBi_2Te_4}$)($\mathrm{Bi_2Te_3}$) $_n$ family. Consequently, the AFM order weakens such that an FM state could be stabilized in $\mathrm{MnBi_4Te_7}$ below 5 K according to Refs. [11,12], whereas it was argued in Ref. [21] that the low-temperature state of $\mathrm{MnBi_4Te_7}$ is a bistable metamagnetic state. The family members with n > 2 behave as ferromagnets [22,23].

The properties of the magnetically ordered states in the $(MnBi_2Te_4)(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ family of magnetic TIs seem to be well-established by now. However, the spin dynamics and magnetic excitations were only scarcely addressed although their

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

[†]Now at H.C. Starck Tungsten GmbH, Im Schleeke 78 - 91, 38642 Goslar, Germany.

possible significance for the topological phenomena was already pointed out recently [8,11,18,24,25].

In this paper, we study the low-energy spin dynamics in the magnetically ordered state of single crystalline samples of MnBi₂Te₄ and MnBi₄Te₇ with high-field electron spin resonance (HF-ESR) spectroscopy. While MnBi₄Te₇ exhibits magnetic excitations typical for a uniaxial ferromagnet in the entire field range of the study up to 14 T, we observe in MnBi₂Te₄ a remarkable qualitative change of the spin dynamics from the AFM type in fields smaller than \sim 6 T to the FM type at higher fields. This is at odds with the expected behavior of a conventional uniaxial antiferromagnet where the excitations remain of the AFM type above the field of the spin-flop transition and even up to the field of the forced full polarization of the magnetic sublattices. We explain this effect as being due to the comparative scales of the interplanar AFM exchange interaction energy and of the magnetic anisotropy energy of the individual SLs in MnBi₂Te₄. In this rare situation, a moderate magnetic field promotes FM resonance of the individual SLs similar to the FM response of MnBi₄Te₇ where the inerplanar exchange is significantly weakened. We demonstrate that this dynamic, intrinsically FM response of the magnetic layers extends in MnBi₄Te₇ up to temperatures significantly higher than T_N , suggesting that the underlying FM spin-spin correlations are prominent also in the paramagnetic state. Also in MnBi₂Te₄, in the field regime above \sim 6 T where the spin-wave excitations become FM, quasistatic FM correlations are manifesting above T_N . On the short timescale, they can generate instantaneous internal fields possibly influencing the properties of the surface topological electronic states in either of these two materials.

Finally, we observe in $MnBi_2Te_4$ peculiar nonresonant steplike changes of the microwave absorption in the ordered state whose field position seems to follow the magnetic order parameter with a critical exponent somewhat different from what we find for the T dependence of the zero-field excitation gap.

II. METHODS

A. Experimental details

High-quality single crystals of MnBi₂Te₄ and MnBi₄Te₇ were grown by cooling stoichiometric mixtures of MnTe and Bi₂Te₃, as described in Refs. [11,13]. Plateletlike crystals were mechanically extracted from a quenched melt and characterized by x-ray diffraction and energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy as substoichiometric $(Mn_{1-x}Bi_{2+2/3x}Te_4)(Bi_2Te_3)_n$, $n = 0, 1, x \sim 0.2$. electron spin-(ESR), ferromagnetic-(FMR), and antiferromagnetic resonance (AFMR) measurements were carried out using a homemade high-field/high-frequency ESR (HF-ESR) spectrometer, equipped with a vector network analyzer (PNA-X from Keysight Technologies) for generation and detection of microwaves in a frequency range from 30 to 330 GHz and a 16 T superconducting magnet system (Oxford Instruments) with a variable temperature insert (VTI). A transmission probe head utilizing the oversized waveguides to guide the microwave radiation to the sample was inserted

in the VTI, enabling variation of the sample temperature in a range 3–300 K.

For the sake of simplicity in the following, all measured spectra in both magnetically ordered and paramagnetic states of MnBi₂Te₄ and MnBi₄Te₇ will be referred to as HF-ESR spectra.

Most of the measurements were carried out in the fieldsweep mode at a chosen constant microwave radiation frequency ν . The signal at the detector $S_D(H)$ was recorded as a function of the applied magnetic field H by continuously sweeping the field from 0 to 16 T and then back to 0 T. These experiments were complemented by measurements in the frequency-sweep mode at different constant magnetic field values. To eliminate the linear nonresonant background as well as a strong dependence of the absolute level of S_D on the microwave frequency due to the complex impedance of the oversized waveguides, the ratio $S_D(v, H)/S_D(v, H - \delta H)$ was recorded at the discrete values of the magnetic field H at intervals $\delta H = 0.05 - 0.2$ T. The so-acquired two-dimensional $\nu - H$ data set for both incrementally increasing (0 \rightarrow 16 T) and decreasing $(16 \rightarrow 0 \, \text{T})$ field values was analyzed to determine the frequency dependence of the resonance fields of the detected signals. To verify the correctness of this analysis, the results were compared with the resonance positions of the signals in the spectra measured in the field-sweep mode at selected fixed frequencies ν (see Appendix, Fig. 7).

B. Computational approach

To analyze the measured v versus H dependences of the FMR and AFMR modes in the magnetically ordered state of MnBi₂Te₄ and MnBi₄Te₇ that correspond to the collective excitations of the spin lattice (spin waves), we employed a linear spin-wave theory with the second quantization formalism [26,27]. The phenomenological Hamiltonian for the two-sublattice spin system, as realized in the studied compounds, has the following form:

$$\mathcal{H} = A_{\text{inter}} \frac{(M_1 M_2)}{M_0^2} + \frac{K}{2} \frac{M_{1_z}^2 + M_{2_z}^2}{M_0^2} - (HM_1) - (HM_2) + 2\pi (M_{1_z} + M_{2_z})^2.$$
 (1)

Here the first term represents the exchange interaction between the SLs with respective sublattice magnetizations M_1 and M_2 . A_{inter} is the interlayer exchange constant and M_1 and M_2 are the sums of all magnetic moments in the odd and even layers, respectively, such that $M_1^2 = M_2^2 = (M_0)^2 = (M_s/2)^2$, with M_s^2 being the square of the saturation magnetization. The second term in Eq. (1) is the magnetocrystalline anisotropy given by the anisotropy constant K. It is divided by a factor of 2 to have a proper transformation of Eq. (1) into a common form of a Hamiltonian for a ferromagnet if $A_{\text{inter}} = 0$ and $M_1 = M_2$. The third and fourth terms are the Zeeman interactions for both sublattice magnetizations. The last term stands for the shape anisotropy given by the flakelike shape of the samples.

To calculate the energies of the spin waves with the wave vector q=0 which are accessible by HF-ESR spectroscopy, we introduce the magnetization operators using the Holstein-Primakoff transformation. In the local coordinate frame for

the respective sublattice magnetization, they take the following form [27]:

$$M_{j_x} = \sqrt{\frac{g\mu_B M_0}{2}} (b_j + b_j^{\dagger}), \quad M_{j_y} = i\sqrt{\frac{g\mu_B M_0}{2}} (b_j - b_j^{\dagger}),$$

$$M_{j_z} = M_0 - g\mu_B b_j^{\dagger} b_j, \quad j = 1, 2.$$
(2)

Note that here the creation (b_j^{\dagger}) and annihilation (b_j) operators are momentum independent since we are interested only in the spin waves with q=0. Substitution of Eq. (2) in Eq. (1) yields the Hamiltonian in the form $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_0+\mathcal{H}_1+\mathcal{H}_2+$ (higher order terms). Here the term \mathcal{H}_0 independent of b_j^{\dagger} and b_j operators represents the free energy density, whose minimization yields the ground state with a certain alignment of the sublattice magnetizations M_1 and M_2 . \mathcal{H}_1 turns to 0 at the minimum of \mathcal{H}_0 [26]. Finally, \mathcal{H}_2 , which describes the linear spin waves with q=0 in the system, can be written in the form

$$\mathscr{H}_{2} = \sum_{i,j} (\alpha_{i,j} b_{i}^{\dagger} b_{j} + \alpha_{i,j}^{*} b_{i} b_{j}^{\dagger} + \beta_{i,j} b_{i}^{\dagger} b_{j}^{\dagger} + \beta_{i,j}^{*} b_{i} b_{j}).$$
 (3)

Here the coefficients $\alpha_{i,j}$, $\alpha_{i,j}^*$, $\beta_{i,j}$, $\beta_{i,j}^*$ depend on the parameters of the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) and the indexes i, j run through all the sublattice numbers, i.e., 1 and 2 in the case of a two-sublattice system. Diagonalization of Eq. (3) yields the spin waves energies $\epsilon_{\rm sw}$ and the corresponding frequencies $\omega_{\rm sw} = \epsilon_{\rm sw}/\hbar$, which can be compared with the experimentally measured values. For the case of FMR, these frequencies as a function of the magnetic field were calculated in the analytical form, see Eqs. (4) and (5). The AFMR modes were calculated numerically using the method suggested by Tsallis [28].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. HF-ESR spectroscopy on MnBi₄Te₇

1. HF-ESR modes in the ordered state

Experimental data. To obtain the frequency-magnetic field $\nu(H)$ diagram of the resonance modes in the ordered state of MnBi₄Te₇, the HF-ESR spectra were measured at T=4 K < $T_{\rm N}=13$ K [11] for the external magnetic field applied in the directions $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$ axis and $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ plane over the frequency range 75–330 GHz. The ESR spectra consist of a single resonance line for both magnetic field configurations. The resonance field $H_{\rm res}$ at a given excitation frequency was determined by fitting the spectra with a Lorentzian line profile. The so-obtained resonance branches $\nu(H_{\rm res})$ are plotted in Fig. 1(a) (left vertical scale) together with representative HF-ESR spectra (right vertical scale).

Exchange and magnetic anisotropy parameters. The $\nu(H_{\rm res})$ dependence for the two field geometries was analyzed with the theoretical model introduced in Sec. IIB, which has enabled to classify the measured signals as FMR modes of a ferromagnet with uniaxial anisotropy [29]. In this case, the analytical solution is available yielding the resonance frequencies [26]

$$2\pi \nu_{\rm c} = \gamma (H + H_{A_{\rm T}}) \tag{4}$$

and

$$2\pi \nu_{ab} = \gamma \sqrt{H(H - H_{A_T})}, (H > H_{A_T})$$
 (5)

for the $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$ axis and $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ plane, respectively. Here $\gamma =$ $g\mu_{\rm B}/\hbar$ is the gyromagnetic ratio with g being the effective g factor in the ordered state, $\mu_{\rm B}$ is the Bohr magneton, and \hbar is the reduced Planck's constant. $H_{A_T} = H_A + H_D$ is the total magnetic anisotropy field comprising the demagnetization field for an infinite FM plane $H_D = 4\pi M_s$ [30] and the magnetocrystalline anisotropy field $H_A = 2K/M_s$. The demagnetization field corresponds to the thin-plate shape of the MnBi₄Te₇ single crystal used in these HF-ESR measurements. For such a sample shape, this field can be straightforwardly calculated, yielding the value of 0.33 T. The fit of the frequency-field dependence of the FMR using Eqs. (4) and (5) provides the estimates of the total magnetic anisotropy field $H_{A_T} = -0.82 \pm 0.02 \,\mathrm{T}$ and of the effective g factor of 2.02 ± 0.003 for the **H** \parallel *ab*-plane configuration. For the $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$ -axis configuration, the fit yields the values of the FM zero-field excitation gap $\Delta_{\rm FM}|_{H=0} \equiv \Delta_{\rm FM} = |\gamma H_{A_{\rm T}}| =$ 27 ± 0.6 GHz, corresponding to $H_{A_{\rm T}} = -0.97 \pm 0.02$ T, and the g factor of 1.98 ± 0.003 . Using the values of $H_{A_{\rm T}}$ for both field configurations, we can estimate the average total magnetic anisotropy field $H_{A_{\rm T}} = -0.9 \pm 0.08$ T, and from it the value of the intrinsic magnetocrystalline anisotropy H_A = -1.23 ± 0.08 T. The corresponding value of the anisotropy constant K for MnBi₄Te₇ amounts then to $K_{147} = H_A M_s/2 =$ $-1.6 \pm 0.1 \times 10^6$ erg/cm³. The negative sign of K signifies the easy axis magnetic anisotropy of MnBi₄Te₇.

Noting that the unit cell of MnBi₄Te₇ contains one (non-magnetic) QL and one (magnetic) SL the magnetic anisotropy energy (MAE) of $E_{\rm MAE} = -0.18 \pm 0.01$ meV per single SL can be derived from K_{147} which should correspond then to the MAE of the MnBi₂Te₄ unit cell consisting of only one SL [31].

2. Temperature dependence of HF-ESR response

To examine the evolution of the FM ordered state in MnBi₄Te₇, upon increasing temperature the $\nu(H)$ dependence of the resonance signals was measured at different temperatures and evaluated using Eqs. (4) and (5). The resulting Tdependence of the FM excitation gap $\Delta_{FM}(T)$ is shown in Fig. 1(b) and that of the resonance field $H_{res}(T)$ at a selected frequency $\nu \approx 75 \, \text{GHz}$ is presented in Fig. 1(d). Remarkably, the gap does not close at $T_{\rm N}=13\,{\rm K}$ but persists up to a much higher temperature of $\sim 30 \, \text{K}$, evidencing the presence of short-range FM spin correlations in the SLs of MnBi₄Te₇, static on the HF-ESR timescale $(10^{-10} - 10^{-11})$ even in the paramagnetic state. The presence of quasistatic internal fields, associated with the intrinsic magnetocrystalline anisotropy and the FM exchange that give rise to the anisotropy of H_{res} , can be well seen in the temperature dependence of the ESR line shift shown in Fig. 1(d). Note that in the purely paramagnetic state, the ESR signal of Mn^{2+} (3d⁵, S = 5/2, L = 0) is nearly isotropic due to the absence of the orbital momentum of the half-filled 3d shell of this ion in first order [32]. Indeed, at $T = 30 \,\mathrm{K}$, where the zero field gap is practically closed, the resonance branches $\nu(H)$ for both field geometries obey a simple paramagnetic resonance condition $\nu = (g\mu_B/h)H_{res}$ as




FIG. 1. MnBi₄Te₇: Frequency versus field $\nu(H)$ diagram of the resonance modes (symbols) at $T=4\,\mathrm{K}$ (a) and $T=30\,\mathrm{K}$ (c) for the external magnetic field applied parallel and perpendicular to the c axis (left vertical scale) and selected HF-ESR spectra at various excitation frequencies (right vertical scale). Solid lines depict the calculated $\nu(H)$ resonance branches (see the text); (b) The excitation gap $\Delta_{\mathrm{FM}}(H=0)$ as a function of temperature. Open symbols correspond to $\Delta_{\mathrm{FM}}(H=0)$ evaluated from the $\nu(H)$ dependence measured at selected fixed temperatures for $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$ axis and $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ plane. Closed symbols are the gap estimates from the T dependence of the resonance fields measured at two fixed frequencies $\nu=75.5\,\mathrm{GHz}$ and $\nu=219.5\,\mathrm{GHz}$ for the same two field geometries. (d) Resonance fields as a function of temperature measured at $\nu=75.5\,\mathrm{GHz}$ for $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$ axis and $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ plane. Horizontal solid line indicates the paramagnetic resonance field corresponding to the g factor, g=2.02.

shown in Fig. 1(c). The fit yields the corresponding *g*-factor values $g_c = 2.06$ and $g_{ab} = 1.98$, which are very close to the spin-only value as expected for the paramagnetic spin-only moment of the Mn²⁺ ion.

The fact that the resonance parameters do not change abruptly at the ordering transition but the magnetic system in MnBi₄Te₇ demonstrates a rather smooth, crossoverlike evolution of the spin dynamics from the long-range ordered (LRO) state to an extended short-range FM correlated regime above $T_{\rm N}$ before entering the uncorrelated paramagnetic state at even higher temperatures, as pictorially indicated by shaded areas in Figs. 1(b) and 1(d), suggests a low-dimensional (low-D) character of the spin system in MnBi₄Te₇. This kind of behavior was extensively addressed in the literature in the past, e.g., for the case of ESR in 1D spin lattices [33–37] but also in 2D-systems [38–40]. Indeed, in MnBi₄Te₇ the magnetic SLs are separated by nonmagnetic QLs along the c-axis which effectively reduces the magnetic dimensionality and weakens

the interlayer AFM coupling. Therefore, $MnBi_4Te_7$ features an inherently FM spin correlated dynamics decoupled from the fragile AFM 3D long-range order occurring at small fields at $T_{\rm N}=13$ K.

B. HF-ESR spectroscopy on MnBi₂Te₄ 1. HF-ESR v(H) diagram in the ordered state

To map the v(H) diagram of the resonance modes in the magnetically ordered state of MnBi₂Te₄ HF-ESR spectra were measured at $T=4\,\mathrm{K}\ll T_\mathrm{N}=24\,\mathrm{K}$ [8] in a frequency range 75–330 GHz for two orientations of the external field $\mathbf{H}\parallel c$ axis and $\mathbf{H}\parallel ab$ plane. In contrast to MnBi₄Te₇, the HF-ESR response of MnBi₂Te₄ is more rich. Characteristic spectra recorded in the field-sweep mode at different fixed frequencies are shown in Fig. 2. Several resonance lines ascending in field with increasing the frequency are easily discernible. For $\mathbf{H}\parallel c$ axis, this are lines labeled L1 and L2 in Fig. 2(a)




FIG. 2. MnBi₂Te₄: HF-ESR spectra at selected excitation frequencies recorded at $T=4\,\mathrm{K}$ for two orientations of the external magnetic field $\mathbf{H}\parallel c$ axis (a) and $\mathbf{H}\parallel ab$ plane (b). For clarity, all spectra are normalized and shifted vertically. Labels L1, L2, and L4 indicate the resonance modes and * marks the impurity peak.

and, for the $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ plane, the line labeled L4 in Fig. 2(b). For a better understanding of their frequency-magnetic field dependence, complementary measurements in the frequency-sweep mode described in Sec. II A were carried out in the frequency range 40–330 GHz. The result is shown in Fig. 7 in Appendix. As can be seen in this figure, the data obtained in both measurement modes match very well and two new signals L3 and L5 descending in field with increasing the frequency could be identified in the frequency-sweep experiment. The so-obtained ν versus H dependences for $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$ axis and $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ plane are plotted separately for the clarity of presentation in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. The complete $\nu(H)$ diagram of the resonance modes is presented in Fig. 3(c).

At fields smaller than ~ 5 T, the resonance branches are rather typical for a collinear two-sublattice antiferromagnet with an easy-axis anisotropy [26]. In zero applied field, the two modes are degenerate and gapped due to magnetic anisotropy of the spin sublattices. One mode corresponds to the clockwise precession of the sublattice magnetization vector M_1 and the anticlockwise precession of M_2 about the easy axis. For the other mode, the sign of precession of M_1 and M_2 is reversed. The magnitude of the gap at $T = 4 \,\mathrm{K}$ amounts to $\Delta_{\rm AFM}|_{H=0} \equiv \Delta_{\rm AFM} = 108 \pm 4\,{\rm GHz} \,\, (0.45\,$ meV) and agrees well with the inelastic neutron scattering result [24]. Application of magnetic field along the easy axis (c axis) increases the frequency of one mode (branch L2) and decreases the frequency of the other mode (branch L3). The corresponding branches progress linearly in field until the spin-flop field $H_{\rm sf}$ at 3.5 T [8] is reached where the two magnetization vectors turn perpendicular to the applied field. At $H > H_{\rm sf}$ both magnetization vectors precess jointly, giving rise to branch L1. For the magnetic field applied perpendicular to the easy axis, there are two oscillating modes, one ascending (L4) and one




FIG. 3. MnBi₂Te₄: Frequency versus field $\nu(H)$ diagram of the resonance modes (symbols) at $T=4\,\mathrm{K}$ for the external magnetic field applied parallel (a) and perpendicular (b) to the c axis. Black and red solid curves in (c) are the results of the modeling of the resonance branches. The shaded area around the curves depicts the calculation uncertainty corresponding to the error bars of the anisotropy and exchange constants. The dashed lines correspond to the calculations of the modes of an easy-axis ferromagnet according to Eqs. (4) and (5). The straight green solid line represents the paramagnetic resonance condition $\nu = (g\mu_{\rm B}/h)H_{\rm res}$. The vertical dash-dot line indicates the threshold field \sim 6 T for the crossover from the AFM type to FM type of the resonance modes (see the text for details).

descending (L5) [26]. The latter one softens to zero at the saturation field.

Remarkably, the resonance modes in MnBi₂Te₄ experience a drastic transformation at fields above the spin flip transition. Branches L1 and L4 cross at the threshold field of \sim 6 T and progress practically linear in parallel at fields above \sim 9 T. This is not expected for a canonical easy-axis antiferromagnet where these two branches asymptotically approach the paramagnetic line $\nu = h^{-1}g\mu_{\rm B}H$ (green straight line in Fig. 3) from above and below, respectively, and never cross [26,41]. Moreover, the behavior of branches L1 and L4 at high fields appear to be very similar to the FM modes in MnBi₄Te₇ [Fig. 1(a)].

2. Analysis and discussion of magnetic excitations

To understand such an unusual qualitative change of the spin dynamics in MnBi₂Te₄ from the AFM at low fields to the FM at higher fields, we performed numerical calculations of the spin-wave energies for various values of the interlayer

exchange constant $A_{\rm inter}$ and the magnetocrystalline constant K_{124} in Eq. (1). The best model curves, excellently fitting the experimental data for both magnetic field geometries, are presented in Fig. 3. The fit yields $A_{\rm inter} = (5.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^6 \, {\rm erg/cm^3}$ and $K_{124} = -(1.9 \pm 0.1) \times 10^6 \, {\rm erg/cm^3}$. These values correspond to the exchange energy of $E_{\rm inter-exch} = 0.65 \pm 0.01 \, {\rm meV}$ and magnetic anisotropy energy $E_{\rm MAE} = -0.21 \pm 0.01 \, {\rm meV}$ per formula unit of MnBi₂Te₄ [29]. Notably, the latter value is close to the MAE of the single SL in MnBi₄Te₇ estimated in Sec. III A. This similarity suggests that the magnetic anisotropy of an individual SL is its intrinsic property little affected by introduction of additional nonmagnetic QLs in the crystal lattice of the (MnBi₂Te₄)(Bi₂Te₃)_n family.

The obtained estimates of $E_{inter-exch}$ and E_{MAE} give the clue to understand an unexpected transformation of the spin dynamics in MnBi₂Te₄ as a function of magnetic field. The energy scale of these two parameters appears similar, whereas in most of the transition-oxide based antiferromagnets to which the canonical theory [26] applies, one typically finds $E_{\text{inter-exch}} \gg E_{\text{MAE}}$. The dominance of the AFM exchange over magnetic anisotropy maintains the AFM type of excitations up to the fields of the full polarization of the sublattices. In contrast, in MnBi₂Te₄ the interplane exchange over the van der Walls gap is generally weaker than in the covalently bonded solids. Thus, essentially FM SLs with magnetocrystalline anistotropy comparable in strength with $E_{\text{inter-exch}}$ can be effectively decoupled by the applied magnetic field and demonstrate their inherent FM dynamics as in MnBi₄Te₇. This crossover is accurately reproduced by the numerical solution of Eq. (3) as demonstrated in Fig. 3.

Additionally, these calculations yielded the static magnetization M(H) curves for two directions of the magnetic field (see Appendix, Fig. 8), which enable us to estimate the values of the characteristic magnetic fields, such as the spin-flop field $H_{\rm sf}^{{f H} \parallel c} \approx 3.4 \, {
m T}$, and the saturation fields $H_{\rm S}^{{f H} \parallel c} \approx 7.6 \, {
m T}$ and $H_{\rm S}^{{f H} \parallel ab} \approx 10.3 \, {
m T}$. The difference between $H_{\rm S}^{{f H} \parallel c}$ and $H_{\rm S}^{{f H} \parallel ab}$ is due to the competition between the energy scales of the first and second terms of the Hamiltonian [Eq. (1)]. If $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ plane, then both exchange coupling and magnetic anisotropy work against the applied magnetic field, which yields an increased saturation field $H_S^{\mathbf{H} \parallel ab}$ in this case. For the $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$ -axis configuration, magnetic anisotropy with the easy c axis aids the magnetic field to polarize the Mn spins above $H_{\rm sf}^{{\bf H}\parallel c}$, causing a decrease of the saturation field $H_S^{\mathbf{H} \parallel c}$. The above values of the saturation fields are in reasonable agreement with the results of the magnetization measurements on MnBi₂Te₄ [8,24], which additionally confirms the validity of the present analysis of the spin dynamics in MnBi₂Te₄.

3. Temperature evolution of the spin dynamics

Excitation gap. The AFM zero-field gap for HF-ESR excitations, i.e., the magnon gap at the wave vector q = 0, $\Delta_{AFM}|_{T=4K} = 108 \pm 4\,\mathrm{GHz}$ (0.45 meV) was straightforwardly determined as the intercept of branches L2 and L3 with the frequency axis (Fig. 3). The temperature dependence of Δ_{AFM} was obtained in a similar way by measuring the HF-ESR spectra in the field range below SF at different temperatures and excitation frequencies for $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$ axis. As an




FIG. 4. MnBi₂Te₄: (a) HF-ESR spectra at selected temperatures recorded at v = 76 GHz for $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$ axis. Labels L1 and L3 indicate the resonance modes. The spectra are normalized and shifted vertically for clarity; (b) AFM zero field gap as a function of temperature (symbols). The solid line is a fit using Eq. (6).

example, the temperature dependence of the spectra measured at $\nu = 75.5\,\mathrm{GHz}$ is presented in Fig. 4(a). The modes L2, L3, and L1 observed below $T_\mathrm{N} = 24\,\mathrm{K}$ gradually shift with increasing temperature until they merge into a single line, whose resonance field is temperature independent above T_N . The resonance fields H_res of modes L2 and L3 at different frequencies ν_c were fitted with the linear expression $\nu_\mathrm{c} = \Delta_\mathrm{AFM}(T) + (g\mu_\mathrm{B}/h)H_\mathrm{res}$. The resulting T dependence of the zero field gap $\Delta_\mathrm{AFM}(T)$ is plotted in Fig. 4(b).

Remarkably, the gap closes completely at the AFM phase transition temperature $T_{\rm N}=24\,{\rm K}$ of MnBi₂Te₄, which is in a stark contrast to the FM gap in MnBi₄Te₇ persisting on the timescale of HF-ESR at $T\gg T_{\rm N}$ [Fig. 1(b)]. This hints to a more 3D character of (AFM) magnetic order in MnBi₂Te₄ as compared to MnBi₄Te₇ manifesting pronounced low-D FM correlations above $T_{\rm N}$, as discussed in Sec. III A 2.

One can tentatively assume that the $\Delta_{AFM}(T)$ approximately follows the order parameter of the AFM phase of MnBi₂Te₄ and fit it to the power-law function:

$$\Delta_{\text{AFM}}(T) \propto [1 - (T/T_{\text{N}})]^{\beta}. \tag{6}$$

The fit shown in Fig. 4(b) yields $T_{\rm N}=22\pm0.1\,{\rm K}$ and the critical exponent $\beta=0.239\pm0.01$. This value of β is significantly smaller than 0.367 for the 3D Heisenberg AFM model and lies in between 0.326 and 0.125, the values for the 3D and 2D Ising models, respectively [30,42,43]. A significant reduction of β toward the Ising values might be related to an appreciable easy-axis magnetic anisotropy which, in the case of $E_{\rm MAE}$ comparable with $E_{\rm inter-exch}$, as found in MnBi₂Te₄, can in fact be expected [44].

Lineshifts. The T dependence of the resonance field of the HF-ESR signals was studied for the $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ -plane field geometry by measuring mode L4 at different temperatures and frequencies ν . Characteristic spectra for $\nu = 109.6\,\mathrm{GHz}$ are shown in Fig. 5(a). Mode L4 gradually shifts with increasing temperature toward higher fields until reaching a constant value of the resonance field H_{res} at the AFM ordering




FIG. 5. MnBi₂Te₄: (a) HF-ESR spectra (mode L4) at selected temperatures recorded at $v = 109.6 \,\text{GHz}$ for $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ plane. The spectra are normalized and shifted vertically for clarity. (b) Resonance shift of L4 $\delta H(T) = H_{res}(T) - H_{res}(150 \text{ K})$ as a function of temperature at several selected microwave frequencies for $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ plane. The corresponding resonance fields in the paramagnetic state at $T = 150 \,\mathrm{K}$ are indicated in the legend; (c) same as (b) but instead of the relative shifts the resonance fields H_{res} are plotted on the absolute scale. Horizontal dashed lines represent the T-independent resonance fields in the paramagnetic state whose values for the given frequency ν are listed in the legend to panel (b). The horizontal dash-dot line indicates the threshold field ~6T for the crossover from the AFM type to FM type of spin excitations in MnBi₂Te₄ with increasing the field strength. The color code depicts the LRO, short-range FM-correlated and paramagnetic regimes of the the spin dynamics observed in MnBi₂Te₄ by HF-ESR.

temperature $T_{\rm N}=24$ K. The position of the line remains T independent up to the highest measured temperature T=150 K and corresponds to the paramagnetic g factor, g=2.02. As most of the measurements at other frequencies converge at high temperature to this g value including the measurement reported in Ref. [25], the field $H_{\rm res}(T=150\,{\rm K})=h\nu/(g\mu_{\rm B})$ is set for each given frequency ν as the reference for an unshifted line in the purely paramagnetic uncorrelated state of MnBi₂Te₄. The T dependences of the relative shifts $\delta H(T)=H_{\rm res}(T)-H_{\rm res}(150\,{\rm K})$ at selected excitation frequencies are plotted in Fig. 5(b). The respective values of $H_{\rm res}(150\,{\rm K})$ are indicated in the figure legend. The T dependences of the absolute values of $H_{\rm res}$ are shown in




FIG. 6. MnBi₂Te₄: Signal traces $S_D(H)$ featuring steplike changes in the range 6–8 T recorded at T=4 K for two frequencies of 76 and 310 GHz for the up and down sweeps of the magnetic field $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ plane. Resonance mode L4 is indicated by the arrow. Inset shows the temperature dependence of the jump field measured at $\nu = 109.6$ GHz. Solid lines are the fits to the critical exponent function $\propto [1 - (T/T_N)]^{\beta}$.

Fig. 5(c). The legend in Fig. 5(b) applies to Fig. 5(c) as well.

As discussed in Secs. III B 1 and III B 2, the resonance signals in the magnetically ordered state of MnBi₂Te₄ at small applied fields are the typical AFM excitations of an uniaxial easy-axis antiferromagnet. The negative shift of mode L4 at the smallest frequency $\nu = 109.6\,\mathrm{GHz}$ which decreases in magnitude with increasing the temperature is determined by the T dependence of the AFM excitation gap $\Delta_{AFM}(T)$ closing at $T_N = 24 \,\mathrm{K}$ [Fig. 4(b)]. At this temperature, L4 reaches the *T*-independent paramagnetic position [Figs. 5(b) and 5(c)]. Similarly, probing L4 at a higher excitation frequency $\nu = 140.4\,\mathrm{GHz}$ and correspondingly higher magnetic field [see $\nu(H)$ dependence of branch L4 in Fig. 3] yields a smaller negative shift. Interestingly, it remains finite at temperatures even somewhat larger than T_N . At still higher probing frequencies, the shift changes signs and, most remarkably, remains finite up to temperatures $T \sim 2T_{\rm N}$ [Figs. 5(b) and 5(c)]. The sign change of $\delta H(T)$ is related to the transformation of branch L4 from the AFM to the FM type at these fields where it crosses branch L1 and the paramagnetic $\nu = (g\mu_{\rm B}/h)H_{\rm res}$ line in the $\nu(H)$ diagram shown in Fig. 3.

The shift of mode L4 from its paramagnetic position in an extended temperature range above $T_{\rm N}$ in the regime when the applied field turns the resonance excitations to the FM type signifies the persistence of the quasistatic on the HF-ESR timescale 2D FM correlations in MnBi₂Te₄ [Fig. 5(c)] in close analogy with our observations in the case of MnBi₄Te₇ (see Sec. III A 2). This suggests that the FM spin dynamics appears to be the generic property of the individual SLs in the (MnBi₂Te₄)(Bi₂Te₃)_n family as soon as they become decoupled either by the magnetic field as in MnBi₂Te₄ or by introducing a nonmagnetic interlayer (QL) as in MnBi₄Te₇.

The color-coded schematic phase diagram of MnBi₂Te₄ depicted in Fig. 5(c) indicates the three different regimes of the spin dynamics taking place in the long-range ordered state at $T < T_{\rm N} = 24$ K, in the paramagnetic state occurring just above $T_{\rm N}$ at small fields and in the short-range FM correlated state extending at high field up to $T \sim 2T_{\rm N}$.

IV. NONRESONANT EFFECTS

Finally, in some of our experiments we observed a peculiar nonresonant steplike change of the microwave absorption (jumps) in the samples of MnBi₂Te₄ while sweeping the magnetic field applied within the ab plane. Examples of the signal traces $S_D(H)$ recorded at T = 4 K are shown in Fig. 6. The steps occur in the field ranges 0-1 T and 6-8 T and are practically independent of frequency. They show a pronounced hysteresis upon reversing the direction of the field sweep. This is not related to a possible (small) hysteresis of the superconducting solenoid of the magnet system since the position of the resonance signal observed at $\nu = 310\,\mathrm{GHz}$ (mode L4) is practically the same for the up- and down-field sweep. The observation of the jumps in $S_D(H)$ was strongly dependent on the installation of the sample in the probe head, which points to its dependence on some uncontrollable details of the coupling of the sample to the microwaves. But once observed, the steps occur in the same field range at a given temperature.

The steplike changes of the microwave absorption are apparently related to the magnetism of MnBi₂Te₄. The position of the high field steps denoted as the jump field is strongly temperature dependent. It decreases with increasing T as shown in Fig. 6 (inset) and the steps disappear at the AFM ordering temperature $T_{\rm N}$. Fitting of this dependence with the function similar to Eq. (6) yields the ordering temperature $T_{\rm N}=23.7\pm0.1\,{\rm K}$ and the critical exponent $\beta=$ 0.335 ± 0.01 for the up-field sweep, and $T_{\rm N} = 23.3 \pm 0.1$ K and $\beta = 0.334 \pm 0.01$ for the down-field sweep. This value of the critical exponent is somewhat larger than that obtained for the AFM excitation gap at zero field [Fig. 4(b)] and is close to $\beta = 0.326$ for the 3D Ising model [30,38,42]. This difference can be speculatively attributed to the effect of the field polarizing the spins and by this imparting the spin system more 3D Ising character.

The observed phenomenon is puzzling since no sharp anomalies in this field range were found in the static magnetization measurements for the $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ -plane geometry [24]. Similar steplike changes of the microwave signal $S_D(H)$ in small fields were observed in the AFM rare-earth ferroborate Nd_{0.75}Ho_{0.25}Fe₃(BO₃)₄ and attributed to the spinreorientation phenomenon [45]. One can speculate that in the case of MnBi₂Te₄ the jumps in the field range 0 - 1 T (Fig. 6) can be due to the motion of the domain walls, which can cause spontaneous spin reorientation effect similar to Ref. [45]. The even more puzzling high-field jumps could, in turn, possibly result from some spin-reorientation effect due to the proximity to the AFM-FM instability revealed in our HF-ESR experiments because the steplike change of the microwave signal occurs in the field range of the crossover from the AFM to the FM spin-polarized state (Fig. 3). Measurements of the AC susceptibility may shed more light on the origin of this peculiar effect.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have studied with HF-ESR spectroscopy the low-energy spin dynamics in the magnetically ordered state of the single crystals of the two representatives of the $(MnBi_2Te_4)(Bi_2Te_3)_n$ family of magnetic TIs with n =0 and 1. MnBi₄Te₇ features an inherently FM dynamic response of the Mn-containing SLs extending far above the AFM ordering temperature $T_{\rm N} = 13 \, \rm K$ and by this signifying the low-dimensional nature of the spin excitations and persisting short-range FM correlations in the paramagnetic state. MnBi₂Te₄ demonstrates at fields smaller than ~6T the resonance modes typical for a two-sublattice uniaxial antiferromagnet which converge to a singe paramagnetic resonance line by increasing the temperature up to the AFM ordering temperature $T_{\rm N}=24\,{\rm K}$. The energy gap for these excitations at zero field amounts to 0.45 meV at $T = 4 \,\mathrm{K}$. The gap closes completely at T_N . With the aid of the linear spin-wave theory, we could quantitatively estimate all relevant parameters determining the spin dynamics in the ordered state of MnBi₂Te₄ and MnBi₄Te₇. Notably, we found that the magnetic anisotropy of the individual SLs is similar in both compounds suggesting its intrinsic character little affected by the differences in the crystal structures.

The central result of our paper is the observation of a remarkable transformation of the magnetic excitations in MnBi₂Te₄ from the AFM to FM type by increasing the field strength above \sim 6 T, not expected for a canonical easy-axis antiferromagnet. The FM spin dynamics in this field regime features similar properties as in MnBi₄Te₇. In particular, it evidences short-range FM correlations extending far above $T_{\rm N}$. We could rationalize this drastic field-driven change of the nature of magnetic excitations by numerically modeling the resonance modes. We have come to the conclusion that it is due to the similar scales of the interplane AFM exchange and the magnetic anisotropy energy of the individual SLs. In this situation, the layers can be effectively decoupled by the applied magnetic field and demonstrate their intrinsically FM dynamics. Our finding distinguishes MnBi₂Te₄ as a very special compound in the family of magnetic TIs where the type of magnetic excitations can be tuned by a moderate magnetic field. As a possible significance of the bulk spin dynamics for the surface, topological properties at present attract significant attention [8,11,18,24,25]; our results on an inherently FM dynamics of the Mn-containing layers in (MnBi₂Te₄)(Bi₂Te₃)_n should stimulate further experimental and theoretical research along this avenue.

Finally, we observed a peculiar nonresonant effect of the steplike change (jump) of the field-dependent microwave absorption in $MnBi_2Te_4$ for the in-plane field geometry. The temperature dependence of the jump field is apparently related to the magnetic order parameter but the nature of this phenomenon requires further clarification.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through Grant No. KA1694/12-1 and

within the Collaborative Research Center SFB 1143 Correlated Magnetism—From Frustration to Topology (Project No. 247310070) and the Dresden-Würzburg Cluster of Excellence (EXC 2147) ct.qmat—Complexity and Topology in Quantum Matter (Project No. 39085490). K.M. acknowledges the Hallwachs-Röntgen Postdoc Program of ct.qmat for financial support.

APPENDIX

Figure 7 presents two-dimensional color maps of the HF-ESR excitations measured on two different single-crystalline samples of $\mathrm{MnBi_2Te_4}$ at $T=4\,\mathrm{K}$. The measurements were performed in the frequency-sweep mode as explained in Sec. II A. HF-ESR spectra at several selected frequencies recorded in the field-sweep mode are plotted there for comparison. The data obtained on different samples and in different acquisition modes demonstrate very good consistency, ensuring the correctness of the $\nu(H)$ diagram presented in Fig. 3 of the main text.




FIG. 7. (a)–(d) Two-dimensional color maps of the resonance excitations measured on two single-crystalline samples of MnBi₂Te₄ in the frequency-sweep mode at T=4 K. The change of the color corresponds to the relative change of the signal at the detector $S_D(\nu, H)/S_D(\nu, H-\delta H)$ by incrementing the field by $\delta H=0.05-0.2$ T. Horizontal white stripes at 0–43 GHz, 67–83 GHz, and 110–140 GHz are blind frequency spots of the HF-ESR setup. Selected HF-ESR spectra measured in the field-sweep mode are shown as black traces in panels (c) and (d) (right vertical scale) for comparison. (a) and (c) depict results for sample 1 measured with $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ plane by increasing the field from 0 to 15 T or decreasing it from 15 to 0 T, respectively; (b) and (d) depict results for sample 2 measured by increasing the field from 0 to 15 T applied along the c axis and in the ab plane, respectively.




FIG. 8. The calculated magnetization curves in the ordered state of MnBi₂Te₄ for $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$ axis and $\mathbf{H} \parallel ab$ plane. Vertical dashed lines indicate the spin-flop field for $\mathbf{H} \parallel c$ axis and the saturation fields for the out-of-plane and in-plane orientations of the applied field.

- [1] Y. L. Chen, J.-H. Chu, J. G. Analytis, Z. K. Liu, K. Igarashi, H.-H. Kuo, X. L. Qi, S. K. Mo, R. G. Moore, D. H. Lu, M. Hashimoto, T. Sasagawa, S. C. Zhang, I. R. Fisher, Z. Hussain, and Z. X. Shen, Massive Dirac fermion on the surface of a magnetically doped topological insulator, Science 329, 659 (2010).
- [2] S.-Y. Xu, M. Neupane, C. Liu, D. Zhang, A. Richardella, L. Andrew Wray, N. Alidoust, M. Leandersson, T. Balasubramanian, J. Sánchez-Barriga, O. Rader, G. Landolt, B. Slomski, J. Hugo Dil, J. Osterwalder, T.-R. Chang, H.-T. Jeng, H. Lin, A. Bansil, N. Samarth *et al.*, Hedgehog spin texture and Berry's phase tuning in a magnetic topological insulator, Nat. Phys. 8, 616 (2012).
- [3] N. P. Armitage and L. Wu, On the matter of topological insulators as magnetoelectrics, SciPost Phys. 6, 46 (2019).
- [4] Y. Tokura, K. Yasuda, and A. Tsukazaki, Magnetic topological insulators, Nat. Rev. Phys. 1, 126 (2019).
- [5] C.-Z. Chang, J. Zhang, X. Feng, J. Shen, Z. Zhang, M. Guo, K. Li, Y. Ou, P. Wei, L.-L. Wang, Z.-Q. Ji, Y. Feng, S. Ji, X. Chen, J. Jia, X. Dai, Z. Fang, S.-C. Zhang, K. He, Y. Wang, *et al.*, Experimental observation of the quantum anomalous Hall effect in a magnetic topological insulator, Science 340, 167 (2013).
- [6] Q. L. He, L. Pan, A. L. Stern, E. C. Burks, X. Che, G. Yin, J. Wang, B. Lian, Q. Zhou, E. S. Choi, K. Murata, X. Kou, Z. Chen, T. Nie, Q. Shao, Y. Fan, S.-C. Zhang, K. Liu, J. Xia, and K. L. Wang, Chiral Majorana fermion modes in a quantum anomalous Hall insulator–superconductor structure, Science 357, 294 (2017).
- [7] Y. Deng, Y. Yu, M. Z. Shi, Z. Guo, Z. Xu, J. Wang, X. H. Chen, and Y. Zhang, Quantum anomalous Hall effect in intrinsic magnetic topological insulator MnBi₂Te₄, Science 367, 895 (2020).
- [8] M. M. Otrokov, I. I. Klimovskikh, H. Bentmann, D. Estyunin, A. Zeugner, Z. S. Aliev, S. Gaß, A. U. B. Wolter, A. V. Koroleva, A. M. Shikin, M. Blanco-Rey, M. Hoffmann, I. P. Rusinov, A. Y. Vyazovskaya, S. V. Eremeev, Y. M. Koroteev, V. M. Kuznetsov, F. Freyse, J. Sánchez-Barriga, I. R.

- Amiraslanov *et al.*, Prediction and observation of an antiferromagnetic topological insulator, Nature (London) **576**, 416 (2019).
- [9] Y. Gong, J. Guo, J. Li, K. Zhu, M. Liao, X. Liu, Q. Zhang, L. Gu, L. Tang, X. Feng, D. Zhang, W. Li, C. Song, L. Wang, P. Yu, X. Chen, Y. Wang, H. Yao, W. Duan, Y. Xu, et al., Experimental realization of an intrinsic magnetic topological insulator, Chin. Phys. Lett. 36, 076801 (2019).
- [10] J. Li, Y. Li, S. Du, Z. Wang, B.-L. Gu, S.-C. Zhang, K. He, W. Duan, and Y. Xu, Intrinsic magnetic topological insulators in van der Waals layered MnBi₂Te₄-family materials, Sci. Adv. 5, eaaw5685 (2019).
- [11] R. C. Vidal, A. Zeugner, J. I. Facio, R. Ray, M. H. Haghighi, A. U. B. Wolter, L. T. Corredor Bohorquez, F. Caglieris, S. Moser, T. Figgemeier, T. R. F. Peixoto, H. B. Vasili, M. Valvidares, S. Jung, C. Cacho, A. Alfonsov, K. Mehlawat, V. Kataev, C. Hess, M. Richter, et al., Topological Electronic Structure and Intrinsic Magnetization in MnBi₄Te₇: A Bi₂Te₃ Derivative with a Periodic Mn Sublattice, Phys. Rev. X 9, 041065 (2019).
- [12] C. Hu, K. N. Gordon, P. Liu, J. Liu, X. Zhou, P. Hao, D. Narayan, E. Emmanouilidou, H. Sun, Y. Liu, H. Brawer, A. P. Ramirez, L. Ding, H. Cao, Q. Liu, D. Dessau, and N. Ni, A van der Waals antiferromagnetic topological insulator with weak interlayer magnetic coupling, Nat. Commun. 11, 97 (2020).
- [13] A. Zeugner, F. Nietschke, A. U. B. Wolter, S. Gaß, R. C. Vidal, T. R. F. Peixoto, D. Pohl, C. Damm, A. Lubk, R. Hentrich, S. K. Moser, C. Fornari, C. H. Min, S. Schatz, K. Kißner, M. Ünzelmann, M. Kaiser, F. Scaravaggi, B. Rellinghaus, K. Nielsch, et al., Chemical aspects of the candidate antiferromagnetic topological insulator MnBi₂Te₄, Chem. Mater. 31, 2795 (2019).
- [14] J.-Q. Yan, Q. Zhang, T. Heitmann, Z. Huang, K. Y. Chen, J.-G. Cheng, W. Wu, D. Vaknin, B. C. Sales, and R. J. McQueeney,

- Crystal growth and magnetic structure of MnBi₂Te₄, Phys. Rev. Materials **3**, 064202 (2019).
- [15] Z. S. Aliev, I. R. Amiraslanov, D. I. Nasonova, A. V. Shevelkov, N. A. Abdullayev, Z. A. Jahangirli, E. N. Orujlu, M. M. Otrokov, N. T. Mamedov, M. B. Babanly, and E. V. Chulkov, Novel ternary layered manganese bismuth tellurides of the MnTe-Bi₂Te₃ system: Synthesis and crystal structure, J. Alloys Compd. 789, 443 (2019).
- [16] J. Wu, F. Liu, M. Sasase, K. Ienaga, Y. Obata, R. Yukawa, K. Horiba, H. Kumigashira, S. Okuma, T. Inoshita, and H. Hosono, Natural van der Waals heterostructural single crystals with both magnetic and topological properties, Sci. Adv. 5, eaax9989 (2019).
- [17] D. Souchay, M. Nentwig, D. Günther, S. Keilholz, J. de Boor, A. Zeugner, A. Isaeva, M. Ruck, A. U. Wolter, B. Büchner *et al.*, Layered manganese bismuth tellurides with GeBi₄Te₇-and GeBi₆Te₁0-type structures: Towards multifunctional materials, J. Mater. Chem. C 7, 9939 (2019).
- [18] S. H. Lee, Y. Zhu, Y. Wang, L. Miao, T. Pillsbury, H. Yi, S. Kempinger, J. Hu, C. A. Heikes, P. Quarterman, W. Ratcliff, J. A. Borchers, H. Zhang, X. Ke, D. Graf, N. Alem, C.-Z. Chang, N. Samarth, and Z. Mao, Spin scattering and non-collinear spin structure-induced intrinsic anomalous Hall effect in antiferromagnetic topological insulator MnBi₂Te₄, Phys. Rev. Res. 1, 012011(R) (2019).
- [19] D. Zhang, M. Shi, T. Zhu, D. Xing, H. Zhang, and J. Wang, Topological Axion States in the Magnetic Insulator MnBi₂Te₄ with the Quantized Magnetoelectric Effect, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 206401 (2019).
- [20] B. Chen, F. Fei, D. Zhang, B. Zhang, W. Liu, S. Zhang, P. Wang, B. Wei, Y. Zhang, Z. Zuo *et al.*, Intrinsic magnetic topological insulator phases in the Sb doped MnBi₂Te₄ bulks and thin flakes, Nat. Commun. 10, 4469 (2019).
- [21] A. Tan, V. Labracherie, N. Kunchur, A. U. B. Wolter, J. Cornejo, J. Dufouleur, B. Büchner, A. Isaeva, and R. Giraud, Metamagnetism of Weakly Coupled Antiferromagnetic Topological Insulators, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 197201 (2020).
- [22] J. Wu, F. Liu, C. Liu, Y. Wang, C. Li, Y. Lu, S. Matsuishi, and H. Hosono, Toward 2D magnets in the (MnBi₂Te₄)(Bi₂Te₃)*n* bulk crystal, Adv. Mater. **32**, 2001815 (2020).
- [23] I. I. Klimovskikh, M. M. Otrokov, D. Estyunin, S. V. Eremeev, S. O. Filnov, A. Koroleva, E. Shevchenko, V. Voroshnin, A. G. Rybkin, I. P. Rusinov, M. Blanco-Rey, M. Hoffmann, Z. S. Aliev, M. B. Babanly, I. R. Amiraslanov, N. A. Abdullayev, V. N. Zverev, A. Kimura, O. E. Tereshchenko, K. A. Kokh, et al., Tunable 3D/2D magnetism in the (MnBi₂Te₄)(Bi₂Te₃)_m topological insulators family, npj Quantum Mater. 5, 54 (2020).
- [24] B. Li, J.-Q. Yan, D. M. Pajerowski, E. Gordon, A.-M. Nedić, Y. Sizyuk, L. Ke, P. P. Orth, D. Vaknin, and R. J. McQueeney, Competing Magnetic Interactions in the Antiferromagnetic Topological Insulator MnBi₂Te₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 167204 (2020).
- [25] A. Alfonsov, J. I. Facio, K. Mehlawat, A. G. Moghaddam, R. Ray, A. Zeugner, M. Richter, J. van den Brink, A. Isaeva, B. Büchner, and V. Kataev, Strongly anisotropic spin dynamics in magnetic topological insulators, Phys. Rev. B 103, L180403 (2021).
- [26] E. A. Turov, Physical Properties of Magnetically Ordered Crystals, edited by A. Tybulewicz and S. Chomet (Academic Press, New York, 1965).

- [27] T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, Field dependence of the intrinsic domain magnetization of a ferromagnet, Phys. Rev. 58, 1098 (1940).
- [28] C. Tsallis, Diagonalization methods for the general bilinear Hamiltonian of an assembly of bosons, J. Math. Phys. **19**, 277 (1978).
- [29] Considering that the ground state of MnBi₄Te₇ is either AFM or bistable metamagnetic, there is a nonzero but quite weak interplane antiferromagnetic interaction present in this compound. Therefore, to study the resonance modes in this regime it would be necessary to employ low frequencies and very small magnetic fields $\mu_0 H \ll 1$ T. Unfortunately, the ESR linewidth ΔH in the ordered state of MnBi₄Te₇ is rather large, amounting to $\Delta H \sim 0.5$ T [see the representative spectra in Fig. 1(a)]. This complication prevents a meaningful low-field low-frequency ESR study of this material since ΔH becomes comparable to or even larger than the resonance field.
- [30] S. Blundell, Magnetism in Condensed Matter (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2001).
- [31] To consistently evaluate the MAE for both $MnBi_4Te_7$ and $MnBi_2Te_4$ compounds, we defined the saturation magnetization for one formula unit (f.u.) in the single septuple layer. The unit volumes, therefore, are 179.732×10^{-24} cm3 for $MnBi_4Te_7$, and 177.314×10^{-24} cm3 for $MnBi_2Te_4$, respectively. Assuming the full saturation of Mn2+ (S = 5/2, L = 0, g = 2) moment $M_s = 5\mu_B/Mn$, the saturation magnetization per f.u. amounts to \sim 258 erg/(G cm3) for $MnBi_4Te_7$ and to \sim 261.5 erg/(G cm3) for $MnBi_2Te_4$.
- [32] A. Abragam and B. Bleaney, Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Ions (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2012).
- [33] K. Okuda, H. Hata, and M. Date, Electron spin resonance in one dimensional antiferromagnet Cu(C₆H₅COO)₂3H₂O, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 33, 1574 (1972).
- [34] K. Nagata and Y. Tazuke, Short range order effects on EPR frequencies in Heisenberg linear chain antiferromagnets, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **32**, 337 (1972).
- [35] K. Oshima, K. Okuda, and M. Date, g-shift in low dimensional antiferromagnets at low temperatures, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 41, 475 (1976).
- [36] M. Brockmann, F. Göhmann, M. Karbach, A. Klümper, and A. Weiße, Absorption of microwaves by the one-dimensional spin-¹/₂ Heisenberg-Ising magnet, Phys. Rev. B 85, 134438 (2012).
- [37] J. Zeisner, M. Brockmann, S. Zimmermann, A. Weiße, M. Thede, E. Ressouche, K. Y. Povarov, A. Zheludev, A. Klümper, B. Büchner, V. Kataev, and F. Göhmann, Anisotropic magnetic interactions and spin dynamics in the spin-chain compound Cu(py)₂Br₂: An experimental and theoretical study, Phys. Rev. B 96, 024429 (2017).
- [38] H. Benner and J. Boucher, Spin dynamics in the paramagnetic regime: NMR and EPR in two-dimensional magnets, in *Magnetic Properties of Layered Transition Metal Compounds*, edited by L. de Jongh (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1990), pp. 323–378.
- [39] J. Zeisner, A. Alfonsov, S. Selter, S. Aswartham, M. P. Ghimire, M. Richter, J. van den Brink, B. Büchner, and V. Kataev, Magnetic anisotropy and spin-polarized two-dimensional electron gas in the van der Waals ferromagnet Cr₂Ge₂Te₆, Phys. Rev. B 99, 165109 (2019).
- [40] J. Zeisner, K. Mehlawat, A. Alfonsov, M. Roslova, T. Doert, A. Isaeva, B. Büchner, and V. Kataev, Electron spin resonance and

- ferromagnetic resonance spectroscopy in the high-field phase of the van der Waals magnet CrCl₃, Phys. Rev. Mater. **4**, 064406 (2020).
- [41] S. M. Rezende, *Fundamentals of Magnonics*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 969 (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020).
- [42] M. F. Collins, Magnetic Critical Scattering (Oxford University Press, New York, 1989).
- [43] L. de Jongh, *Magnetic Properties of Layered Transition Metal Compounds* (Springer, Netherlands, 1990).
- [44] S. Leonel, A. Castro Oliveira, B. Costa, and P. Zimmermann Coura, Comparative study between a two-dimensional anisotropic Heisenberg antiferromagnet with easy-axis single-ion anisotropy and one with easy-axis exchange anisotropy, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 305, 157 (2006).
- [45] M. I. Kobets, K. G. Dergachev, S. L. Gnatchenko, E. N. Khatsko, L. N. Bezmaternykh, and I. A. Gudim, Magnetic resonance and spin-reorientation transitions in the Nd_{0.75}Ho_{0.25}Fe₃(BO₃)₄ multiferroic, Low Temp. Phys. **41**, 75 (2015).