

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Neuropsychological and Psychosocial Functioning of Children with Perinatal HIV-Infection in The Netherlands

van Opstal, S.E.M.; Dogterom, E.J.; Wagener, M.N.; Aarsen, F.K.; Miedema, H.S.; Roelofs, P.D.D.M.; van der Knaap, L.C.; Fraaij, P.L.A.; Stol, K.; Rietman, A.B.; van Gorp, E.C.M.; van Rossum, A.M.C.; Utens, E.M.W.J.

DOI 10.3390/v13101947

Publication date

Document Version Final published version

Published in Viruses

License CC BY

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

van Opstal, S. E. M., Dogterom, E. J., Wagener, M. N., Aarsen, F. K., Miedema, H. S., Roelofs, P. D. D. M., van der Knaap, L. C., Fraaij, P. L. A., Stol, K., Rietman, A. B., van Gorp, E. C. M., van Rossum, A. M. C., & Utens, E. M. W. J. (2021). Neuropsychological and Psychosocial Functioning of Children with Perinatal HIV-Infection in The Netherlands. *Viruses*, *13*(10), [1947]. https://doi.org/10.3390/v13101947

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.





Article Neuropsychological and Psychosocial Functioning of Children with Perinatal HIV-Infection in The Netherlands

Stefanie E. M. van Opstal ^{1,2,*}, Emma J. Dogterom ³, Marlies N. Wagener ¹, Femke K. Aarsen ⁴, Harald S. Miedema ¹, Pepijn D. D. M. Roelofs ¹, Linda C. van der Knaap ³, Pieter L. A. Fraaij ³, Kim Stol ³, André B. Rietman ⁵, Eric C. M. van Gorp ², Annemarie M. C. van Rossum ³ and Elisabeth M. W. J. Utens ^{5,6}

- ¹ Center of Expertise Innovations in Care, Rotterdam University of Applied Sciences, 3015 EK Rotterdam, The Netherlands; m.n.wagener@hr.nl (M.N.W.); h.s.miedema@hr.nl (H.S.M.); p.d.d.m.roelofs@hr.nl (P.D.D.M.R.)
- ² Department of Viroscience, Erasmus MC University Medical Center, 3015 GD Rotterdam, The Netherlands; e.vangorp@erasmusmc.nl
- ³ Department of Pediatrics, Division of Infectious Diseases and Immunology, Erasmus MC University Medical Center-Sophia Children's Hospital, 3015 GD Rotterdam, The Netherlands; e.dogterom@erasmusmc.nl (E.J.D.); l.vanderknaap@erasmusmc.nl (L.C.v.d.K.); p.fraaij@erasmusmc.nl (P.L.A.F.); k.stol@erasmusmc.nl (K.S.); a.vanrossum@erasmusmc.nl (A.M.C.v.R.)
- ⁴ Department of Psychosocial Care and Psychology, Princess Maxima Center for Pediatric Oncology, 3584 CS Utrecht, The Netherlands; F.K.Aarsen@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl
- ⁵ Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Erasmus MC University Medical Center,
- 3015 CN Rotterdam, The Netherlands; a.rietman@erasmusmc.nl (A.B.R.); l.utens@levvel.nl (E.M.W.J.U.)
 ⁶ Research Institute of Child Development and Education, University of Amsterdam, Levvel, 1018 WS Amsterdam, The Netherlands
 - Correspondence: s.e.m.van.opstal@hr.nl

Abstract: Advances in antiretroviral treatment improved the life expectancy of perinatally HIVinfected children. However, growing up with HIV provides challenges in daily functioning. This cross-sectional cohort study investigated the neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning of a group of perinatally HIV-infected children in the Netherlands and compared their outcomes with Dutch normative data and outcomes of a control group of uninfected siblings. The children's functioning was assessed with internationally well-known and standardized questionnaires, using a multi-informant approach, including the perspectives of caregivers, teachers, and school-aged children. In addition, we explored the associations of socio-demographic and medical characteristics of the HIV-infected children with their neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning. Caregivers reported compromised functioning when compared to Dutch normative data for HIV-infected children in the areas of attention, sensory processing, social-emotional functioning, and health-related quality of life. Teachers reported in addition compromised executive functioning for HIV-infected children. A comparison with siblings revealed differences in executive functioning, problems with peers, and general health. The concurrent resemblance between HIV-infected children and siblings regarding problems in other domains implies that social and contextual factors may be of influence. A family-focused approach with special attention to the child's socio-environmental context and additional attention for siblings is recommended.

Keywords: perinatally HIV-infected children; long-term outcomes; neuropsychological and psychosocial development

1. Introduction

Due to the introduction of combination Antiretroviral Therapy (cART), HIV infection is nowadays considered a chronic disease rather than a lethal disease [1]. As a result, the first generation of children living with a perinatally-acquired HIV infection is able to reach school age and adolescence. As HIV affects perinatally infected children in a crucial period



Citation: van Opstal, S.E.M.; Dogterom, E.J.; Wagener, M.N.; Aarsen, F.K.; Miedema, H.S.; Roelofs, P.D.D.M.; van der Knaap, L.C.; Fraaij, P.L.A.; Stol, K.; Rietman, A.B.; et al. Neuropsychological and Psychosocial Functioning of Children with Perinatal HIV-Infection in The Netherlands. *Viruses* 2021, *13*, 1947. https://doi.org/10.3390/v13101947

Academic Editor: Dasja Pajkrt

Received: 24 August 2021 Accepted: 23 September 2021 Published: 28 September 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). of development, the long-term effects of growing up with HIV warrant investigation and careful monitoring. It is increasingly recognized that children with HIV are at risk for neuropsychological and psychosocial problems [2,3].

Neuropsychological functioning is a broad concept, including both basic cognitive processes (i.e., perception and sensory processing) and higher-order cognitive processes (i.e., executive functioning). The use of cART has been shown to decrease the incidence of HIV encephalopathy in perinatally infected children from more than 20% to under 2% [4]. Nevertheless, neuropsychological deficits remain present in perinatally infected children, even with an adequate viral suppression. A systematic review showed a prevalence of neuropsychological deficits in HIV-infected children (0–18 years), varying from less than 20% to up to 90% in some subdomains. This suggests that HIV affects neuropsychological functioning particularly in certain areas, such as accuracy of information processing and working memory [2,5]. The exact pathway from an HIV-infection to neuropsychological deficits is a complex one, as direct effects of the virus on the brain may be complemented by long-term effects of medication, periods of hospitalization, and environmental challenges such as low socio-economic status, which can play a crucial role in child development [6,7].

Psychosocial functioning encompasses as main domains behavioral functioning, emotional well-being, and social competence, and is of utmost importance for a child's daily functioning and quality of life [8]. Regarding psychosocial functioning, the available studies showed that HIV-infected children experience more problems when compared with the general population, with higher levels of anxiety, depression, and conduct problems [9,10]. Multiple family-related factors and contextual factors may be involved, as the lives of many HIV-infected children are affected by parental illness and death, poverty, social isolation, and stigmatization.

In addition, considering the potential neuropsychological and psychosocial challenges, it is not surprising that HIV-infected children may have difficulties in school functioning. Studies report on learning problems, drop-outs, the need for extra support at school, and enrollment in fulltime special education [11–13]. Education is universally recognized to be essential for children's development; for HIV-infected children, education is specifically important to provide a sense of normalcy and to reduce risk behavior [14].

In summary, it is increasingly recognized that HIV-infected children face challenges in daily functioning. Few studies so far have specifically addressed the neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning of perinatally HIV-infected children of a broad age range, covering the total school period. Until now, no studies have investigated the neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning of school-aged children with HIV using a multi-informant approach; that is: including the perspectives of caregivers, teachers, and school-aged children themselves. Most studies focused on a selected group of children of a small age range, did not include an appropriate control group, or were conducted in a context where access to healthcare services and cART was limited [5,15–19].

We aimed to bridge a gap in knowledge through a systematic study of the neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning of a large Dutch pediatric HIV-population, with a group of siblings as control group. This is clinically important because identifying neuropsychological and psychosocial problems in an early phase will enable the implementation of attuned interventions. Consequently, if during our study a child's individual results indicated the need for further extensive clinical diagnostic neuropsychological assessment, he or she was referred to a pediatric neuropsychologist for assessment of neuropsychological or psychosocial deficits.

Primary aim: To compare the neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning of perinatally HIV-infected children living in the Netherlands with Dutch normative data and with data of their uninfected siblings from the perspectives of caregivers, teachers, and children.

Secondary aim: To explore associations of socio-demographic and medical characteristics of HIV-infected children with their neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design

A cross-sectional cohort study was conducted at the Erasmus University Medical Center-Sophia Children's Hospital in Rotterdam, The Netherlands, between April 2014 and July 2016. Ethical consent for this study was given by the Medical Ethics Committee (MEC-2013-424), of Erasmus MC Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

2.2. Study Population

A total of 62 HIV-infected children received medical treatment at Erasmus MC-Sophia Children's Hospital at the time of the study initiation. Eligible for inclusion were perinatally HIV-infected children (5–18 years) who had been living in the Netherlands for more than two years. Exclusion criteria were: an actual opportunistic infection of the central nervous system or diagnosed intellectual disability. Eligible children and their caregivers were invited to participate in our study, together with their HIV-negative siblings and teachers.

Caregivers were excluded if they had no command of the English or Dutch language, or if they had been taking care of the HIV-infected child for less than two years. HIV-negative siblings (5–18 years) were eligible for inclusion if they had lived in the same household with the HIV-infected child for more than two years. If multiple siblings were eligible for inclusion in one family, the sibling with the smallest age gap to the HIV infected child was chosen. Within each family, a maximum of one sibling was included to prevent burden for caregivers.

2.3. Study Procedure

Caregivers and/or HIV-infected children were invited to participate in the study by their HIV nurse or pediatrician after a consultation at the hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all caregivers, teachers and children aged 12 years and older.

2.4. Neuropsychological and Psychosocial Assessment

To assess neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning, we administered internationally well-known questionnaires with adequate psychometric properties, age-attuned normative data and a multi-informant approach (parent/caregivers' reports, teachers' reports and self-reports). For all total and subscale scores on these questionnaires, we determined if the results were in the clinical range, indicating a problem in the area or areas in question. The clinical range was defined according to the available standards for each questionnaire [20–26] (see Appendix A).

2.4.1. Neuropsychological Assessment

Executive Functioning

Executive functioning was tested with three parallel versions of the Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF): caregivers' report, a teachers' report, and a self-report (for 11 years and older). The BRIEF evaluates day-to-day problems related to executive functioning, resulting in the Behavior Regulation Index (consisting of three scales), the Metacognition Index (five scales), and the combined Global Executive Composite score (all scales). The Dutch version of the BRIEF covers the age-range of 5–18 years, and has shown adequate psychometric properties [22].

Sensory Processing

Sensory processing was measured with two versions of the Sensory Profile (SP-NL): the Short Sensory Profile (SSP) for caregivers (of children 5–12 years old), and the Adolescent/Adult Sensory Profile (AASP) for self-completion (11–18 years) [23,24]. Dutch normative data were not yet available for the AASP. Therefore, we derived normative data from a study conducted in Belgium, which made use of the Dutch version of the AASP [27]. Both versions have shown adequate psychometric qualities [23,27–30].

2.4.2. Psychosocial Assessment

Behavioral and Emotional Functioning

Behavioral and emotional functioning were assessed with the Dutch version of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) [31,32]. We used three parallel versions for completion by caregivers, teachers (of children 5–18 years old), and self-report (for >10 years). The Dutch versions of the SDQ have adequate psychometric qualities [33].

Health-Related Quality of Life

To evaluate the subjective health and illness of the HIV-infected children as well as any limitations in physical, social, and school functioning, the HRQOL subdomains general health, physical-, and role/social functioning were assessed with the Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ) for caregivers (of children 5–18 years old), and for self-report (11–18 years). The Dutch version of the CHQ has shown adequate psychometric qualities [25,26,34,35].

2.5. Indication for Further Extensive Neuropsychological Assessment (NPA)

Since deficits in neuropsychological functioning can result in problems in psychosocial functioning and vice versa, results of both the neuropsychological and psychosocial questionnaires were considered for the indication for further neuropsychological assessment (NPA). Results of the questionnaires were summarized into a standardized report for each child. The report gave an overview of all (sub)scales and indicated scores in the clinical range (see Appendix A).

Each report was structurally discussed among four team members: two senior pediatric clinical (neuro)psychologists, the child's pediatrician, and the child's HIV nurse. A referral for further NPA was based on consensus among those four team members. NPA was indicated if there were one or more clinical scores on the neuropsychological questionnaires. In addition, one or more clinical scores on the psychosocial questionnaires, borderline scores on the neuropsychological questionnaires, or information from clinical consultations regarding problems in daily functioning were also discussed to determine the need for referral for NPA.

2.6. Socio-Demographic Characteristics

Socio-demographic characteristics (including age, sex, ethnicity, socio-economic status (SES), educational level, adoption status, and home environment) were collected using a standardized questionnaire for caregivers, specifically designed for this study. SES was determined by the caregivers' occupational level, based on combined information about both highest occupation and highest education. Using the standard coding system of Statistics Netherlands, occupational levels were categorized in low, middle, and high [36]. Ethnicity was divided into three categories: African (both biological parents born in an African country), mixed (one biological parent born in the Netherlands, the other parent abroad), and other. Home environment was divided into three categories: living with at least one biological parent, adopted, and other.

2.7. Medical Characteristics

Medical data (including viral load, CD4-count and CDC-nadir) were retrieved from medical records, and blood samples were taken during inclusion by the HIV nurse. Blood samples were already routinely taken for the regular medical care. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) uses a system to classify HIV disease and infection into mutually exclusive categories, ranging from non-symptomatic (N) to clinical conditions defining AIDS (C) [37]. We obtained information regarding CDC-nadir: the lowest level of CDC-classification ever measured.

2.8. Statistical Analyses

The sociodemographic characteristics of the total group of included HIV-infected children and the group of siblings were compared in group-level analyses using independent samples' *t*-tests or Fisher's exact tests where appropriate. Results on the neuropsychological and psychosocial questionnaires of the group of HIV-infected children were compared with normative data and with the results of the uninfected siblings in group-level analyses. Scores on all questionnaires were summarized into subscale scores and a total score for the SDQ (Total difficulties score) and BRIEF (Global Executive Composite score). Raw scores of the BRIEF were transformed into Z-scores, adjusted for age and sex. Normal distribution of all data was tested with the Shapiro–Wilk test, and linearity was tested with scatterplots. Homogeneity of variance was tested with Levene's test. Patients' results were compared with those of the siblings using independent sample *t*-tests or Mann–Whitney U tests. Results of both HIV-infected children and their siblings were compared with normative data using (summary) independent samples' *t*-tests or Wilcoxon signed rank tests. Two-sided testing was used, and a *p*-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

To explore associations between socio-demographic and medical characteristics of the HIV-infected children and their neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning, univariate analyses were performed between the variables age, sex, ethnicity, SES, adoption status, home environment, CDC-nadir, CD4 count, and viral load at inclusion and:

- 1. Presence (or absence) of an indication for further NPA;
- 2. Executive functioning according to the BRIEF Global Executive Composite score of caregivers', teachers', and self-report;
- 3. Behavioral and emotional functioning according to the SDQ total difficulties score of the caregivers', teachers', and self-report.

We performed univariate logistic (ad 1) regression or linear regression analyses (ad 2 and 3). Multivariable analyses were not applicable due to the small sample sizes. All statistical analyses were conducted with IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 26) for Windows.

3. Results

3.1. Participant Characteristics

Fifty-two HIV-infected children were eligible for inclusion, of whom 43 participated (83%). Non-participants refused participation due to involvement with other studies (n = 2), private issues (n = 2), intended migration abroad shortly after study initiation (n = 2), or an unknown reason (n = 3). Regarding the remaining 43 HIV-infected children, 24 had siblings eligible for inclusion. All 24 eligible siblings participated (See Figure 1). A total of 19 HIV-infected children did not have a sibling eligible for inclusion; they were only children or had siblings not meeting the inclusion criteria. When comparing the total group of HIV-infected children (n = 43) with the total group of siblings (n = 24) in group-level analyses, no significant differences were found on sociodemographic characteristics (Table 1).

For logistical reasons, we included one 19-year-old HIV-infected girl who had not yet made the transition to adult care. Children not born in the Netherlands had moved to the Netherlands and had been living here on average 4.6 years prior to study inclusion (HIV-infected children) or 4.9 years (siblings). All children were enrolled in school—most of them in regular primary or high school. Special education was needed in 23% of the HIV-infected children and 17% of the siblings. All HIV-infected children were treated with cART, and 38 (88%) had an undetectable viral load (<20 copies/ML) at study inclusion.

Regarding the 43 HIV-infected children, we received 40 caregivers' questionnaires, 25 teachers' questionnaires, and 19 self-reports. Regarding the 24 siblings, we received 23 caregivers' questionnaires, 12 teachers' questionnaires, and 9 self-reports. All invited teachers and all eligible children (>11 years) had responded (Figure 1).



Variable	HIV-Infected Children (<i>n</i> = 43)	Siblings $(n = 24)$	<i>p</i> -Value	
Sex child			o 1 = 1	
Male	46.5%	50.0%	0.45^{-1}	
Mean age child in years at inclusion	9.9	9.8	0.98 ²	
Ethnicity				
African	46.5%	66.7%	0.101	
Mixed	37.2%	16.7%	0.18 1	
Other	16.3%	16.7%		
Home environment				
Living with at least one biological	E1 00/	E0.0%	0.001	
parent	51.270	30.0%	0.89 1	
Adopted	37.2%	41.7%		
Other	11.6%	8.3%		
Born in the Netherlands	46.5%	45.8%	0.96 ¹	
SES				
Low	44.2%	37.5%	o r = 1	
Middle	25.6%	37.5%	0.65 1	
High	30.2%	25.0%		
CDC-nadir				
Ν	18.6%			
А	25.6%			
В	18.6%			
С	37.2%			

Table 1. Socio-demographic and medical characteristics of patients and siblings.

¹ Fisher exact test; ² Independent Samples *t* test.

3.2. Executive Functioning

3.2.1. Caregiver Reports

Caregivers reported significantly better functioning for the HIV-infected children compared to Dutch normative data on the BRIEF Global Executive Composite score, the Behavior Regulation Index, and four subscales: Inhibit, Emotional Control, Organization of Materials, and Monitor (Table 2). The caregivers also reported statistically better functioning for HIV-infected children compared with the group of siblings on the Behavior Regulation Index and three subscales: Shift, Emotional Control, and Monitor.

3.2.2. Teacher Reports

In contrast to the caregivers, the teachers reported significantly more problems for the HIV-infected children compared to Dutch normative data on the BRIEF Global Executive Composite score, the Metacognition Index, and four subscales: Shift, Initiate, Working Memory, and Plan/Organize (Table 3). In addition, teachers reported significantly more problems for HIV-infected children than for their siblings on the Metacognition Index and two subscales: Initiate and Plan/Organize.

3.2.3. Self-Reports

Scores of HIV-infected children did not show any significant differences with Dutch normative data or with scores of siblings (Appendix B).

3.3. Sensory Processing

3.3.1. Caregiver Reports

Caregivers reported significantly more problems for HIV-infected children compared to Dutch normative data on the tactile sensitivity, auditory filtering, and low energy/weak scales. In addition, caregivers reported significantly more problems on the scale movement sensitivity for HIV-infected children when compared to siblings (Appendix C).

3.3.2. Self-Reports

The self-reported scores of HIV-infected children did not indicate problems in sensory processing when compared to normative data. There were no significant differences on the self-reports between HIV-infected children and siblings (Appendix C).

3.4. Behavioral and Emotional Functioning

3.4.1. Caregiver Reports

Caregivers reported significantly more problems for the HIV-infected children compared to Dutch normative data on the SDQ total difficulties score and three subscales: emotional problems, hyperactivity/inattention, and problems with peers (Table 4). Compared with their siblings, caregivers reported significantly more problems with peers for HIV-infected children. For siblings, caregivers reported significantly more conduct problems compared to HIV-infected children.

	HIV-Infected Children Mean (SD)	Siblings Mean (SD)	Dutch Normative Mean (SD) [22]	<i>p</i> -Value ¹
BRIEF caregivers' report	n = 40	<i>n</i> = 23	<i>n</i> = 3333	
0				0.012 * a
Global Executive Composite Score	46.0 (10.4)	51.3 (9.3)	50.0 (10.0)	0.534 ^b
*				0.054 ^c
				0.002 * ^a
Behavioral Regulation Index	45.1 (11.3)	53.4 (9.2)	50.0 (10.0)	0.105 ^b
				0.005 * ^c
				0.054 ^a
Metacognition index	46.9 (9.8)	49.8 (9.3)	50.0 (10.0)	0.935 ^b
-				0.255 ^c
				0.024 * ^a
Inhibit	46.4 (10.9)	51.5 (10.3)	50.0 (10.0)	0.480 ^b
				0.077 ^c
				0.054 ^a
Shift	46.9 (11.5)	54.1 (10.3)	50.0 (10.0)	0.049 * ^b
				0.017 * ^c
				0.002 * ^a
Emotional control	45.1 (9.9)	52.8 (8.1)	50.0 (10.0)	0.184 ^b
				0.002 * ^c
				0.076 ^a
Initiate	47.2 (9.0)	48.4 (9.0)	50.0 (10.0)	0.441 ^b
				0.579 ^c
				0.521 ^a
Working Memory	51.0 (9.6)	51.6 (8.3)	50.0 (10.0)	0.441 ^b
				0.794 ^c
				0.099 ^a
Plan/Organize	47.4 (9.1)	49.8 (10.1)	50.0 (10.0)	0.935 ^b
				0.373 ^c
				0.021 * ^a
Organization of materials	46.3 (9.4)	48.4 (9.7)	50.0 (10.0)	0.453 ^b
				0.388 ^c
				0.000 * ^a
Monitor	44.4 (10.1)	49.8 (9.2)	50.0 (10.0)	0.935 ^b
				0.047 * ^c

Table 2. Results BRIEF caregivers' report.

* = p < 0.05. ^a = patient vs. Dutch normative data. ^b = sibling vs. Dutch normative data. ^c = patient vs. sibling. ¹ = Independent-Samples *t* Test. Dutch normative data [22]. A higher score indicates more problems in executive functioning.

BRIEF teachers' report $n = 25$ $n = 12$ $n = 941$ Global Executive Composite Score 54.0 (6.5) 49.1 (8.0) 50.0 (10.0) $0.751 \frac{b.1}{0.054}$ Behavioral Regulation Index 52.9 (7.1) 50.6 (9.3) 50.0 (10.0) $0.842 \frac{b.1}{0.000}$		Cilifuleit Meall (SD)	Sibilitgs Wealt (SD)	Mean (SD) [22]	<i>p</i> -Value
Global Executive Composite Score 54.0 (6.5) 49.1 (8.0) 50.0 (10.0) 0.751 b,1 0.751 b,1 0.054 c,1 0.054 c,1 0.097 a,2 0.097 a,	BRIEF teachers' report	<i>n</i> = 25	<i>n</i> = 12	<i>n</i> = 941	
Global Executive Composite Score 54.0 (6.5) 49.1 (8.0) 50.0 (10.0) 0.751 ^{b,1} Behavioral Regulation Index 52.9 (7.1) 50.6 (9.3) 50.0 (10.0) 0.842 ^{b,1}	1				0.049 * a,1
0.054 c,1 0.097 a,2 0.097 a,2 0.097 b,1 0.097 b,1	Global Executive Composite Score	54.0 (6.5)	49.1 (8.0)	50.0 (10.0)	0.751 ^{b,1}
Behavioral Regulation Index 52.9 (7.1) 50.6 (9.3) 50.0 (10.0) 0.842 ^{b,1}	1.				0.054 ^{c,1}
Behavioral Regulation Index 52.9 (7.1) 50.6 (9.3) 50.0 (10.0) 0.842 ^{b,1}					0.097 ^{a,2}
	Behavioral Regulation Index	52.9 (7.1)	50.6 (9.3)	50.0 (10.0)	0.842 ^{b,1}
0.435 ^{c,3}	Ũ				0.435 ^{c,3}
0.018 * a.1					0.018 * a,1
Metacognition Index 54.8 (8.2) 47.8 (7.4) 50.0 (10.0) 0.454 b,1	Metacognition Index	54.8 (8.2)	47.8 (7.4)	50.0 (10.0)	0.454 ^{b,1}
0.017 * ^{c,1}	0			× /	0.017 * ^{c,1}
$0.662^{a,1}$					0.662 ^{a,1}
Inhibit 50.9 (7.5) 52.7 (8.9) 50.0 (10.0) 0.358 ^{b,1}	Inhibit	50.9 (7.5)	52.7 (8.9)	50.0 (10.0)	0.358 ^{b,1}
0.526 ^{c,1}				× /	0.526 ^{c,1}
0.018 * a.1					0.018 * a,1
Shift 54.8 (8.6) 49.7 (7.9) 50.0 (10.0) 0.909 ^{b,1}	Shift	54.8 (8.6)	49.7 (7.9)	50.0 (10.0)	0.909 ^{b,1}
0.074 ^{c,3}			~ /		0.074 ^{c,3}
0.210 ^{a,2}					0.210 ^{a,2}
Emotional control 52.0 (8.8) 50.6 (8.7) 50.0 (10.0) 0.842 ^{b,1}	Emotional control	52.0 (8.8)	50.6 (8.7)	50.0 (10.0)	0.842 ^{b,1}
0.672 ^{c,3}					0.672 ^{c,3}
0.016 * ^{a,1}					0.016 * a,1
Initiate 54.9 (10.4) 47.8 (8.1) 50.0 (10.0) 0.454 ^{b,1}	Initiate	54.9 (10.4)	47.8 (8.1)	50.0 (10.0)	0.454 ^{b,1}
$0.046^{* c,1}$					0.046* ^{c,1}
0.021 * a.1					0.021 * a,1
Working Memory 54.7 (8.2) 49.0 (8.0) 50.0 (10.0) 0.730 ^{b,1}	Working Memory	54.7 (8.2)	49.0 (8.0)	50.0 (10.0)	0.730 ^{b,1}
0.055 ^{c,1}	0 ,			× /	0.055 ^{c,1}
0.007 * a.1					0.007 * ^{a,1}
Plan/Organize 55.5 (8.7) 48.4 (7.9) 50.0 (10.0) 0.586 ^{b,1}	Plan/Organize	55.5 (8.7)	48.4 (7.9)	50.0 (10.0)	0.586 ^{b,1}
$0.024 * ^{c,1}$	0				0.024 * ^{c,1}
0.418 ^{a,2}					0.418 ^{a,2}
Organization of materials 51.5 (7.3) 49.5 (7.1) 50.0 (10.0) 0.863 ^{b,1}	Organization of materials	51.5 (7.3)	49.5 (7.1)	50.0 (10.0)	0.863 ^{b,1}
0.397 ^{c,3}	0			× /	0.397 ^{c,3}
0.678 ^{a,1}					0.678 ^{a,1}
Monitor 50.8 (9.7) 47.4 (6.5) 50.0 (10.0) 0.373 ^{b,1}	Monitor	50.8 (9.7)	47.4 (6.5)	50.0 (10.0)	0.373 ^{b,1}
0.275 ^{c,1}			. ,	. ,	0.275 ^{c,1}

Table 5. Results Dialli teachers repor	Table 3.	Results	BRIEF	teachers'	report
--	----------	---------	-------	-----------	--------

* = p < 0.05. ^a = patient vs. Dutch normative data. ^b = sibling vs. Dutch normative data. ^c = patient vs. sibling. ¹ = Independent-Samples *t* Test. ² = Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks Test. ³ = Mann–Whitney Test. Dutch normative data [22]. A higher score indicates more problems in executive functioning.

3.4.2. Teacher Reports

Teachers reported no significant differences on the SDQ scores of HIV-infected children when compared to Dutch normative data and siblings (Appendix D).

3.4.3. Self-Reports

Self-reported scores of the HIV-infected children were not significantly different from Dutch normative data and scores of siblings (Appendix D).

3.5. Health-Related Quality of Life

3.5.1. Caregiver Reports

Caregivers reported significantly lower scores, indicating more problems, for HIVinfected children on the scales of general health, physical functioning, and role/social limitations due to emotional and behavioral problems, compared to Dutch normative data (Appendix E). Compared to the results of the siblings, caregivers reported significantly more problems for HIV-infected children on the scale of general health.

	HIV-Infected Children Mean (SD)	Siblings Mean (SD)	Dutch Normative Data [21] Mean (SD)	<i>p</i> -Value
SDQ caregivers' report	n = 40	<i>n</i> = 23	<i>n</i> = 300	
				0.000 * a,1
Total difficulties score	10.3 (5.3)	11.3 (6.3)	6.7 (5.3)	$0.000 * ^{b,1}$
				$0.485^{-0.1}$
Emotional problems	25(20)	31(24)	18(10)	0.025 * b.1
Enotional problems	2.3 (2.0)	5.1 (2.4)	1.8 (1.9)	0.002 0.287 c,1
				0.121 ^{a,1}
Conduct problems	1.4 (1.7)	2.0 (1.6)	1.0 (1.4)	0.005 * ^{b,2}
_				0.044 * c,3
				0.000 * a,1
Hyperactivity/inattention	4.5 (2.6)	5.0 (3.0)	2.7 (2.7)	0.000 * 0.1
				$0.451^{\circ,1}$
Peer problems	20(16)	1.3(1.7)	11(16)	0.001
r eer problemb	2.0 (1.0)	1.0 (1.7)		0.047 * c,3
				0.469 ^{a,1}
Prosocial	8.3 (2.5)	8.4 (1.8)	8.5 (1.5)	0.913 ^{b,2}
				0.788 ^{c,3}

Table 4. Results SDQ caregivers' report.

* = p < 0.05. ^a = patient vs. Dutch normative data. ^b = sibling vs. Dutch normative data. ^c = patient vs. sibling. ¹ = Independent-Samples *t* Test. ² = Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks Test. ³ = Mann–Whitney Test. Dutch normative data [21]. A higher score indicates more problems in in behavioral and emotional functioning, except for the prosocial scale where a lower score indicates more problems.

3.5.2. Self-Reports

Self-reported data were not significantly different from Dutch normative data. When compared with siblings, HIV-infected children reported significantly more problems on the scale of general health.

3.6. Reasons for Further Neuropsychological Assessment (NPA)

For 63% (n = 27) of the HIV-infected children and 58% (n = 14) of the siblings, there was an indication for further neuropsychological assessment. These children had one or more scores in the clinical range according to the standards for each questionnaire (See Appendix A). For HIV-infected children, scores in the clinical range were most frequently found in:

- Caregiver-reported SSP auditory filtering subscale (31%);
- Caregiver-reported SDQ total difficulties score (30%);
- Caregiver-reported SDQ hyperactivity/inattention subscale (25%);
- Teacher-reported SDQ emotional problems subscale (25%);
- Caregiver-reported CHQ role/social limitations due to emotional and behavioral problems subscale (26%).

3.7. Associations of Socio-Demographic/Medical Characteristics with Neuropsychological and Psychosocial Functioning

Univariate analyses of the relations between neuropsychological or psychosocial outcomes or indication for NPA, and socio-demographic and medical characteristics of HIV-infected children showed: (1) an association of the caregiver-reported Global Executive Composite BRIEF score with age, indicating more problems on overall executive functioning with increasing age (p = 0.043), and (2) an association of the self-reported Total difficulties SDQ score- and SES, indicating more psychosocial problems with lower SES (p = 0.034).

3.8. Results of Siblings

In addition, caregiver-, teacher-, and self-reported scores regarding the siblings were compared to normative data. Caregivers reported significantly more problems for siblings in several areas: the BRIEF Shift subscale, the SSP subscales Tactile sensitivity, Underresponsive/seeks sensation, and Auditory filtering, the SDQ subscales Emotional problems, Hyperactivity/inattention and Conduct problems, and the Total difficulties SDQ score and the CHQ subscale role/social limitations due to emotional and behavioral problems. The results of both teacher's reports and self-reports of siblings were not significantly different from normative data.

4. Discussion

This cross-sectional cohort study investigated the neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning of HIV-infected children, using a multi-informant approach, including the perspectives of caregivers, teachers, and school-aged children. The results indicate that, according to caregivers' reports, the included HIV-infected children experienced more problems in their neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning when compared with Dutch normative data, especially in the areas of attention, sensory processing, emotional functioning, contact with peers, and aspects of health-related quality of life. In addition, teachers reported problems for the HIV-infected children in their executive functioning. On the self-reports, the HIV-infected children did not report problems in their neuropsychological or psychosocial functioning when compared to normative data, and only one difference with siblings on a scale of health-related quality of life: general health. When caregiver-reported scores of the HIV-infected children were compared with those of the control group of siblings, differences were less pronounced as when compared with Dutch normative data. This relates to the evident problems we found among siblings as well, particularly in emotional functioning, behavioral functioning, and attention. Furthermore, we found an association of increased caregiver-reported problems for HIV-infected children on executive functioning with age, and an association with increased self-reported psychosocial problems with lower SES.

As the socio-demographic characteristics of HIV-infected children and their siblings were similar, differences in functioning might reflect the (direct) influence of the HIV-infection. The most pronounced differences between HIV-infected and siblings were found in teacher-reported executive functioning, caregiver-reported problems with peers and caregiver-, and self-reported general health. The teacher-reported difference in executive functioning is in line with previous studies that show that this domain of cognitive functioning is particularly compromised in HIV-infected children [5,11,38,39].

Currently, the exact pathophysiological mechanism of how HIV affects the developing brain, compounded by long-term effects of cART, is still unclear. Nevertheless, increasing evidence implies that ongoing neuroinflammation, vascular dysfunction, and hypercoagulability, induced by HIV, may impact the development of the pediatric brain [6]. Previous studies discovered significant damage to the neuronal microstructure, lower gray and white matter volumes, and poorer white matter integrity in HIV-infected children [6,40,41]. These macro- and microstructural changes have been associated with poorer cognitive performance [6,40,41]. In addition, a review revealed that HIV might impact particularly the fronto-striatal system, which is involved in executive functioning [42]. In contrast with previous literature, our study did not reveal associations of executive functioning with medical characteristics, which could be explained by the limited sample size or the little variation in current medical characteristics due to successful treatment with cART. Previous studies found associations between lower CD4 cell count, a history of AIDS-defining diagnoses, and higher peak viral load with compromised executive functioning [43,44]. These studies and our finding that HIV-infected children have more teacher-reported problems in their executive functioning than their siblings, support the hypothesis that the HIV-infection is a contributor to cognitive problems, especially executive functioning. The development of problems in executive functioning is of significant concern for its

negative impact on academic learning, successful transition to adulthood, and medication adherence [43,45]. Hence, care providers should be aware of the risk for compromised executive functioning in HIV-infected children, and refer them to a neuropsychologist if problems in this domain arise. Furthermore, the relation with immunological status, which has been revealed in previous studies, underscores the importance of early cART initiation and monitoring [43,44].

Caregivers reported more problems for the HIV-infected children in the area of peer relationships, when compared to siblings and Dutch normative data. These peer problems may be associated with (fear for) HIV-related stigma and disclosure, although the HIVstatus of most of the children in our study had not been disclosed at school or to peers. However, this lack of disclosure implies living with a secret, which may contribute to a perception of being different [18]. A further explanation for peer problems among HIVinfected children could be found in associations with neurocognitive problems. Previous studies have found that HIV-infected children were more likely to have peer problems if they presented with neuroimaging abnormalities [46] or encephalopathy [18]. In addition, an association between compromised executive functioning and problems in contact with peers has been described before [47]. It is worth noting that this association could be mediated by problems with inattention or hyperactivity [47,48], which are areas for which caregivers in our study frequently reported problems for HIV-infected children. Another explanation for peer problems may be found in deficits in social cognition, which have been described for HIV-infected adults [49]. Social cognition encompasses the cognitive capacities to facilitate social interaction, and problems in this area could have disabling influences on people's social communication and relationships [49]. Future research exploring social cognition in HIV-infected children is recommended.

In both caregivers' reports and self-reports, scores on the general health scale were significantly worse for HIV-infected children when compared to their siblings. The HIV-infected children in our study received adequate treatment and multidisciplinary care. However, given the fact they do have a chronic disease, it can be expected that they have more concerns about their health or that they do not feel as healthy as their siblings. The above finding highlights the importance for HIV-practitioners to be aware of the health-related concerns of both HIV-infected children and their caregivers.

One of the most consistent outcomes of our study is that none of the self-reported scores of the HIV-infected children themselves were significantly different from the normative data, and we found only one difference with siblings (on general health). This lack of statistically significant differences might be explained by the small number of self-reports, although the scores on the self-reports were generally close to normative data. This suggests that HIV-infected children truly did not experience difficulties in their neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning. This outcome might reflect limited awareness of own neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning. As executive functions are necessary to accurately judge one's performance, deficits in this area may have contributed to decreased self-awareness and may have led to an overestimation of one's competence [50,51]. Furthermore, the phenomenon of positive illusory bias, where one's self-reported competence is substantially higher than one's actual competence, has been associated with problems in executive functioning and attention or hyperactivity deficits [51,52]. Since HIV-infected adolescents are expected to become partners in their own health care and have to deal with challenging medical regimens, it is recommended for HIV-practitioners to be aware that HIV-infected children may have limited awareness of difficulties in neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning.

Besides the clear differences that we found between HIV-infected children and their siblings, another important finding of our study is also that, for both groups, similar psychosocial and neuropsychological aspects were compromised, compared to normative data. For both HIV-infected children and their siblings, caregivers reported emotional problems, problems with hyperactivity or inattention, and problems in sensory processing. This resemblance in problems between HIV-infected children and their siblings implies a major role of social and contextual factors herein.

Many of the included children—both HIV-infected children and siblings—were living with one or both HIV-infected parents. In this respect, they were vulnerable for parental illness and death. A review shows that, in comparison with children from HIV-free families, HIV-affected children are at risk for emotional problems [53]. Potential stressors associated with parental HIV, including stigma and uncertainty about clinical course of parental HIV, could aggravate the negative impact on children. Moreover, other stressful life events were common among our study population, including migration and changes of caregivers, which may further compromise psychosocial and neuropsychological functioning. About 40% of the HIV-infected children and their siblings in this study were adopted children. A previous study in a group of international adoptees showed that specifically attention, sensory processing, and executive functioning were compromised [54]. Furthermore, in our study, approximately 40% of the HIV-infected children and their siblings were living in a family with a low SES. SES affects a wide array of health-related, psychosocial, and neuropsychological outcomes [55]. In our study, we found an association of increased self-reported psychosocial problems with lower SES. This is in line with previous literature, in which low SES has been associated with increased emotional problems, and externalized problems, such as hyperactivity [56]. In addition, a review concluded that children in families of low SES are on average two times more likely to have attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder [57]. Except for SES and age, we did not find associations of socio-demographic and medical characteristics with neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning in our study. Possibly, associations are more subtle or found in specific domains, instead of the composite scores used in our study. These associations should be further explored with larger sample sizes. Nevertheless, healthcare professionals should be aware of the potential risk of all these social and contextual factors for the neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning of both HIV-infected children and their siblings.

Of special concern are the problems in attention that the caregivers often reported for both HIV-infected children and siblings. Caregivers specifically reported problems in the subscales tactile sensitivity and auditory filtering of the SP, which suggest possibly lower thresholds for sensory information, resulting in distractibility and attentional problems. As attention is an important predictor of academic performance, problems in this area could lead to compromised school functioning [58]. It is therefore important for healthcare professionals to monitor the school functioning of HIV-infected children, and to help HIV-infected children, their siblings, and parents navigate through the educational and healthcare system to receive the services they need. Furthermore, future research is recommended to explore the relationship between attention problems and social and contextual factors in HIV-infected children and their siblings.

Caregivers and teachers of the HIV-infected children in our study indicated that part of the problems were found in different areas. Teachers reported more problems in executive functioning for HIV-infected children compared to Dutch normative data, whereas caregivers-remarkably-reported better outcomes compared to normative data regarding executive functioning and relatively more problems regarding emotional and social functioning. To check if this contradiction was not a result of inequalities between children with or without teacher participation, we performed an additional sensitivity analysis. We found no significant differences between both groups in socio-demographic and medical characteristics and between results on the caregivers-reported total difficulties score of the SDQ and Global Executive Composite score of the BRIEF. This suggests that the results truly reflect a difference in functioning as observed by teachers and caregivers. Possibly, the favorable scores of caregivers were influenced by 'response shift', for which the phenomenon involves a change in internal standards and values after traumatic events [59]. Due to events such as adoption, migration, changes of caregivers, and the often emotional experiences related to the diagnosis and consequences of the HIV-infection of their child, caregivers may have expected worse and be happy with their child's present functioning. This may have led to an overestimation of their child's functioning. To note, response shift for executive functioning has been described before in a study using caregivers' reports of the BRIEF in children after cardiac arrest [60]. A further explanation for the contrast between caregivers' reports and teachers' reports is that these findings are related to differences between the home and school environment. School settings might demand greater independence from children than the home environment, where family support might help children to accomplish everyday tasks. Particularly, caregivers of children with a chronic disease could be more caring and providing, and become overprotective [61]. Thereby, they might have accommodated to the child's condition and may have become accustomed to compensate for the child's problems in executive functioning, thereby possibly hindering the development of independence at home [62]. Therefore, the school environment might be more challenging regarding executive functioning. Furthermore, teachers could probably compare the executive functioning of the HIV-infected child with that of more peers. Moreover, caregivers might not be aware of a child's problems in executive functioning because they tend to focus on other areas, such as the child's emotional and social well-being. While the caregivers' concerns might have been prompted by the fear for HIV-related stigma, the teachers were mostly unaware of the HIV-infection. In addition, increasing deficits in executive functioning may emerge later in childhood when these functions are expected to mature, which is called 'growing into deficits' [63]. This is in line with our finding that caregiver-reported problems increased with the children's age.

The results of this study must be interpreted with caution. First, given the limited sample size, we may have failed to detect problems in neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning and associations with socio-demographic and medical characteristics. Especially for the teachers' reports and the self-reports, the numbers of respondents were limited. Although a strength of our study is the inclusion of a control group of siblings with comparable socio-demographic characteristics, the sample size of this control group was small. The extent to which this affected the results of the control group is unknown because the risk of chance findings is higher with a smaller group. This may have resulted in a failure to detect differences. Future research with a larger sample size of the siblings is therefore recommended. Second, several statistical tests were performed on a large number of variables, which could have resulted in a type 1 error. Because of the explorative character of the study, we are less concerned, however, about this possible bias. Third, the cross-sectional design limits the possibility of drawing causal conclusions. Future research would therefore benefit from a longitudinal design containing a larger sample size. Lastly, there are limitations associated with the measurement methods, including reliance on reports from caregivers, teachers, and the HIV-infected children and their siblings themselves. Comprehensive further neuropsychological assessment of both HIV-infected children and their siblings would be helpful in strengthening our findings. Notwithstanding these limitations, this cross-sectional study provides important information regarding neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning of HIV-infected children. The inclusion of a control group of siblings enabled us to explore the possible impact of the HIV-infection with a HIV-negative control group with similar socio-demographic characteristics such as SES, parental HIV, and adoption. Understanding the prevalence and etiology of compromised neuropsychological and psychosocial functioning is essential, as it may impact HIV-infected children's daily functioning, quality of life, and academic performance. Early detection and intervention might help lower the impact on daily living and prevent deterioration of HIV-infected children and their siblings. A family-focused approach with special attention to a child's socio-environmental context and additional attention for siblings is recommended.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.E.M.v.O., P.D.D.M.R., M.N.W., H.S.M., F.K.A., A.M.C.v.R., E.C.M.v.G. and E.M.W.J.U.; methodology, S.E.M.v.O., P.D.D.M.R., H.S.M. and E.M.W.J.U.; validation, S.E.M.v.O., E.J.D., P.D.D.M.R., H.S.M., F.K.A., A.B.R., E.C.M.v.G., A.M.C.v.R. and E.M.W.J.U.; formal analysis, S.E.M.v.O., E.J.D., P.D.D.M.R., H.S.M. and E.M.W.J.U.; investigation, S.E.M.v.O., E.J.D., L.C.v.d.K., F.K.A., A.M.C.v.R. and E.M.W.J.U.; resources, L.C.v.d.K., A.M.C.v.R., P.L.A.F. and K.S; data

curation, S.E.M.v.O. and E.J.D.; writing—original draft preparation, S.E.M.v.O. and E.J.D.; writing—review and editing, S.E.M.v.O., E.J.D., P.D.D.M.R., M.N.W., H.S.M., L.C.v.d.K., F.K.A., A.B.R., P.L.A.F., K.S., E.C.M.v.G., A.M.C.v.R. and E.M.W.J.U.; supervision, A.M.C.v.R. and E.M.W.J.U. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee (MEC-2013-424), of Erasmus MC Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy issues.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Appendix A. Definition of Scores in the Clinical Range

For scores on all (sub)scales of the neuropsychological and psychosocial questionnaires, we indicated if the results were in the clinical range, indicating a problem in this area. Scores in the clinical range were defined according to the available standards for each questionnaire, based on Dutch normative data [20–26]:

Questionnaire	Clinical Range	References
Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) - SDQ caregiver report and teacher report for children 5–7 years - SDQ caregiver report, teacher report and self-report for children 8–19 years	 Scores above the 90th percentile (p90) Scores above the 90th percentile (p90) 	Mieloo et al., 2012 Goedhart, Treffers, & Van Widenfelt, 2003
Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) - BRIEF caregiver report, teacher report and self-report for children 5–18 years	>1.5 Standard Deviation (SD) above the mean score, adjusted for age and sex	Huizinga & Smidts, 2012
 Sensory Profile (SP) SP caregiver report (Short Sensory Profile) for children 5–12 years Adolescent/Adult Sensory Profile (AASP) for 	>2SD difference from the mean score (with three different mean scores for children 4–5 years, 6–10 years, and 11–12 years) >2SD difference from the	Dunn & Rietman, 2013
self-completion for children 11–18 years	mean score	Brown et al., 2007
Child Health Questionnaire - Caregiver report (CHQ-PF50) for children 5–19 years	>1SD below the mean score	Raat, Bonsel et al., 2002
- Self-report (CHQ-CF87) for children 11–19 years	>1SD below the mean score	Raat, Landgraf et al., 2002

	HIV-Infected ChildrenMean (SD)	Siblings Mean (SD)	Dutch Normative Mean (SD) [22]	<i>p</i> -Value ¹
BRIEF self-report	<i>n</i> = 19	<i>n</i> = 9	n = 1687	
Tatal (Clabel Evenution				0.983 ^a
Total (Global Executive	50.1 (10.7)	48.3 (13.1)	50.0 (10.0)	0.618 ^b
Composite) Score				0.714 ^c
				0.495 ^a
Behavioral Regulation Index	48.4 (12.0)	49.0 (14.0)	50.0 (10.0)	0.835 ^b
				0.911 ^c
				0.480 ^a
Metacognition index	51.6 (9.3)	48.8 (12.3)	50.0 (10.0)	0.715 ^b
-				0.500 ^c
				0.158 ^a
Inhibit	46.7 (10.8)	51.6 (12.0)	50.0 (10.0)	0.641 ^b
				0.298 ^c
				0.748 ^a
Shift	50.7 (10.0)	49.9 (13.1)	50.0 (10.0)	0.974 ^b
				0.851 ^c
				0.585 ^a
Emotional Control	48.7 (10.4)	47.6 (9.4)	50.0 (10.0)	0.465 ^b
				0.775 ^c
				0.347 ^a
Working Memory	52.1 (9.6)	49.6 (9.6)	50.0 (10.0)	0.895 ^b
				0.525 ^c
				0.615 ^a
Plan/Organize	51.2 (9.9)	49.3 (8.6)	50.0 (10.0)	0.841 ^b
Ū.				0.639 ^c
				0.680 ^a
Organization of materials	49.1 (7.3)	46.2 (10.0)	50.0 (10.0)	0.258 ^b
0				0.402 ^c
				0.665 ^a
Monitor	51.0 (10.3)	52.0 (10.4)	50.0 (10.0)	0.550 ^b
	. ,	· · /	. ,	0.813 ^c
				0.304 ^a
Task Completion	52.4 (8.6)	48.7 (11.6)	50.0 (10.0)	0.691 ^b
-				0.351 ^c

Appendix B. Results BRIEF Self-Reports

BRIEF Self-Reports

^a = patient vs. Dutch normative data. ^b = sibling vs. Dutch normative data. ^c = patient vs. sibling. ¹ = Independent-Samples *t* Test. Dutch normative data [22]. A higher score indicates more problems in executive functioning.

	HIV-Infected Children Mean (SD)	Siblings Mean (SD)	Dutch Normative Data Mean (SD) [23]	<i>p</i> -Value
SSP caregivers' report	<i>n</i> = 32	<i>n</i> = 19	<i>n</i> = 1257	
0 1				-0.000 *,a,1
Tactile sensitivity	31.0 (3.9)	30.6 (4.6)	33.0 (2.7)	0.009 *, ^{b,2}
-				0.769 ^{c,3}
				0.526 ^{a,1}
Taste/Smell Sensitivity	17.5 (3.5)	18.5 (2.2)	17.8 (2.9)	0.185 ^{b,2}
				0.206 ^{c,3}
				0.418 ^{a,1}
Movement Sensitivity	13.4 (2.2)	14.5 (1.2)	13.7 (1.8)	0.017 *, ^{b,2}
				0.045 *,c, ³
				0.360 ^{a,1}
Underresponsive/Seeks	28.3 (4,0)	26.4 (7.3)	29.0 (4.2)	0.009 *,b,1
Sensation				0.308 c,1
				0.000 *,a,1
Auditory filtering	20.9 (5.6)	20.7 (5.6)	23.9 (3.9)	0.000 *,b,1
				0.902 ^{c,1}
				0.046 ^{a,1}
Low energy/weak	27.7 (4.3)	29.3 (1.3)	28.6 (2.4)	0.025 ^{b,2}
				0.166 ^{c,3}
Visual / Auditory				0.759 ^{a,1}
Soncitivity	21.3 (3,6)	19.9 (5.3)	21.4 (2.7)	0.628 ^{b,2}
Sensitivity				-0.492 ^{c,3}

Appendix C. Results Sensory Profile Caregivers' Report and Self-Report
SSP Caregivers' Reports

* = p < 0.05. ^a = patient vs. Dutch normative data. ^b = sibling vs. Dutch normative data. ^c = Patient vs. Sibling. ¹ = Independent-Samples t Test. ² = Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks Test. ³ = Mann–Whitney Test. Dutch normative data [23]. A lower score indicates that more problems were reported by caregivers/parents.

	AASP Self-Report	S		
	HIV-Infected Children Mean(SD)	Siblings Mean (SD)	Normative Data Mean (SD) [27]	<i>p</i> -Value ¹
AASP self-report	<i>n</i> = 19	<i>n</i> = 9	<i>n</i> = 33	
_				0.233 ^a
Low Registration	33.1 (9.0)	31.1 (9.7)	30.5 (6.4)	0.811 ^b
				0.607 ^c
				0.000 *,a
Sensation seeking	42.1 (8.7)	41.8 (9.0)	51.2 (6.8)	0.001 *,b
				0.927 ^c
				0.529 ^a
Sensory Sensitivity	32.9 (8.4)	35.4 (12.6)	34.3 (7.0)	0.715 ^b
				0.592 ^c
				0.641 ^a
Sensation Avoidance	31.4 (9.0)	33.7 (10.9)	30.2 (8.4)	0.309 ^b
				0.559 ^c

* = p < 0.05. a = patient vs. normative data. b = sibling vs. normative data. c = Patient vs. Sibling. 1 = Independent-Samples t Test. Belgian normative data [27]. A higher score indicates more problems were reported (self-report).

	HIV-Infected Children Mean (SD)	Siblings Mean (SD)	Dutch Normative Data Mean (SD) [21]	<i>p</i> -Value
SDQ teachers' report	<i>n</i> = 25	<i>n</i> = 12	n = 208	
				0.387 ^{a,1}
Total score	8.8 (6.0)	6.3 (7.7)	7.6 (6.6)	0.116 ^{b,2}
				0.104 ^{c,3}
				0.209 ^{a,2}
Emotional problems	2.6 (2.4)	1.3 (2.1)	1.7 (2.0)	0.109 ^{b,2}
				0.073 ^{c,3}
				0.268 ^{a,2}
Conduct problems	0.8 (1.1)	0.5 (1.0)	1.0 (1.8)	0.109 ^{b,2}
				0.412 ^{c,3}
				0.061 ^{a,1}
Hyperactivity/inattention	4.0 (3.1)	3.3 (3.4)	2.8 (3.0)	0.937 ^{b,2}
				0.386 ^{c,3}
				0.111 ^{a,2}
Peer problems	1.5 (1.5)	1.3 (2.3)	2.0 (2.2)	0.067 ^{b,2}
				0.325 ^{c,3}
				0.068 ^{a,2}
Prosocial	7.3 (2.2)	7.2 (2.6)	8.1 (2.2)	0.158 ^{b,1}
				0.948 ^{c,3}

Appendix D. Results SDQ Teachers' Report and Self-Report SDQ Teachers' Reports

^a = patient vs. Dutch normative data. ^b = sibling vs. Dutch normative data. ^c = patient vs. sibling. ¹ = Independent-Samples t Test. ² = Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks Test. ³ = Mann–Whitney Test. Dutch normative data [21]. A higher score indicates more problems in in behavioral and emotional functioning, except for the prosocial scale where a lower score indicates more problems.

	SDQ Self-Reports			
	HIV-Infected Children Mean (SD)	Siblings Mean (SD)	Dutch Normative Data Mean (SD) [21]	<i>p</i> -Value
SDQ self-report	<i>n</i> = 19	<i>n</i> = 9	n = 1353	
· •				0.607 ^{a,1}
Total score	9.3 (5.9)	10.6 (6.5)	9.9 (4.9)	0.690 ^{b,1}
				0.621 ^{c,1}
				0.351 ^{a,1}
Emotional problems	2.6 (2.3)	2.3 (1.7)	2.2 (2.0)	0.842 ^{b,1}
-				0.733 ^{c,1}
				0.421 ^{a,2}
Conduct problems	1.7 (1.7)	2.1 (2.0)	2.0 (1.5)	0.863 ^{b,2}
				0.464 ^{c,3}
				0.362 ^{a,1}
Hyperactivity/inattention	3.3 (2.3)	4.3 (2.9)	3.8 (2.3)	0.489 ^{b,1}
				0.317 ^{c,1}
				0.686 ^{a,2}
Peer problems	1.7 (1.5)	1.8 (2.3)	1.9 (1.7)	0.511 ^{b,2}
				0.724 ^{c,3}
				0.082 ^{a,2}
Prosocial	8.0 (1.7)	7.9 (1.5)	7.2 (2.3)	0.370 ^{b,1}
				0.802 ^{c,3}

^a = patient vs. Dutch normative data. ^b = sibling vs. Dutch normative data. ^c = Patient vs. sibling. ¹ = Independent-Samples *t* Test. ² = Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks Test. ³ = Mann–Whitney Test. Dutch normative data [21].

A higher score indicates more problems in behavioral and emotional functioning, except for the prosocial scale where a lower score indicates more problems.

	HIV-Infected Children Mean (SD)	Siblings Mean (SD)	Dutch Normative Data Mean (SD) [25]	<i>p</i> -Value
CHQ caregivers' report	n = 40	<i>n</i> = 23	<i>n</i> = 353	
General health	66.8 (17.4)	76.7 (19.8)	82.9 (13.4)	0.000 * a,1 0.426 ^{b,2} 0.002 * ^{c,3}
Physical functioning	96.3 (13.3)	97.0 (8.0)	99.1 (4.3)	0.004 * ^{a,1} 0.228 ^{b,1} 0.693 ^{c,1}
Role/social limitations- emotional behavior	88.6 (22.1)	87.9 (18.8)	97.9 (7.2)	0.000 * ^{a,1} 0.021 * ^{b,1} 0.896 ^{c,1}
Role/social limitations- physical	97.1 (9.2)	97.7 (7.8)	95.8 (15.6)	0.610 ^{a,1} 0.307 ^{b,1} 0.781 ^{c,1}

Appendix E. Results CHQ Caregiver-Report and Self-Report CHQ Caregivers' Reports

* = p < 0.05. ^a = patient vs. Dutch normative data. ^b = sibling vs. Dutch normative data. ^c = patient vs. sibling. ¹ = Independent-Samples *t* Test. ² = Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks Test. ³ = Mann–Whitney Test. Dutch normative data [25]. A lower score indicates more problems.

	CHQ Self-Reports	;		
	HIV-Infected Children Mean (SD)	Siblings Mean (SD)	Dutch Normative Data Mean (SD) [26]	<i>p</i> -Value
CHQ self-report	<i>n</i> = 19	<i>n</i> = 9	n = 444	
General health	68.9 (17.0)	83.5 (14.6)	74.6 (15.9)	0.127 ^{a,1} 0.096 ^{b,1} 0.035 *,c,1
Physical functioning	93.8 (8.5)	90.5 (23.0)	96.8 (5.4)	0.219 ^{a,2} 0.763 ^{b,2} 0.649 ^{c,3}
Role/social limitations-emotional	84.2 (25.2)	87.7 (23.2)	92.3 (16.8)	0.836 ^{a,2} 0.582 *,b,2 0. 774 ^{c,3}
Role/social limitations-behavior	94.2 (15.0)	84.0 (27.3)	91.4 (13.7)	0.076 ^{a,2} 0.854 ^{b,2} 0.258 ^{c,3}
Role/social limitations-physical	96.5 (10.5)	92.6 (12.4)	96.5 (11.6)	0.076 ^{a,2} 0.854 ^{b,2} 0.288 ^{c,3}

* = p < 0.05. ^a = patient vs. Dutch normative data. ^b = sibling vs. Dutch normative data. ^c = patient vs. sibling. ¹ = Independent-Samples *t* Test. ² = Wilcoxon Signed-Ranks Test. ³ = Mann–Whitney Test. Dutch normative data [26]. A lower score indicates more problems.

References

- Deeks, S.G.; Lewin, S.R.; Havlir, D.V. The end of AIDS: HIV infection as a chronic disease. *Lancet* 2013, *382*, 1525–1533. [CrossRef]
 Sherr, L.; Croome, N.; Castaneda, K.P.; Bradshaw, K.; Romero, R.H. Developmental challenges in HIV infected children—An updated systematic review. *Child. Youth Serv. Rev.* 2014, *45*, 74–89. [CrossRef]
- Kerr, S.J.; Puthanakit, T.; Malee, K.M.; Thongpibul, K.; Ly, P.S.; Sophonphan, J.; Suwanlerk, T.; Kosalaraksa, P.; Ounchanum, P.; Aurpibul, L.; et al. Increased Risk of Executive Function and Emotional Behavioral Problems Among Virologically Well-Controlled Perinatally HIV-Infected Adolescents in Thailand and Cambodia. *JAIDS J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr.* 2019, 82, 297–304. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Patel, K.; Ming, X.; Williams, P.L.; Robertson, K.R.; Oleske, J.M.; Seage, G.R., III; The International Maternal Pediatric Adolescent AIDS Clinical Trials. Impact of HAART and CNS-penetrating antiretroviral regimens on HIV encephalopathy among perinatally infected children and adolescents. *AIDS* **2009**, *23*, 1893. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Koekkoek, S.; de Sonneville, L.; Wolfs, T.F.; Licht, R.; Geelen, S.P. Neurocognitive function profile in HIV-infected school-age children. *Eur. J. Paediatr. Neurol.* 2008, *12*, 290–297. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Pajkrt, D.; Blokhuis, C.; Caan, M.W.; Kootstra, N.A. Neurodevelopmental delay in pediatric HIV/AIDS: Current perspectives. *Neurobehav. HIV Med.* 2016, 7, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- 7. Sherr, L.; Mueller, J.; Varrall, R. A systematic review of cognitive development and child human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Psychol. Health Med.* **2009**, *14*, 387–404. [CrossRef]
- 8. Ro, E.; Clark, L.A. Psychosocial functioning in the context of diagnosis: Assessment and theoretical issues. *Psychol. Assess.* 2009, 21, 313–324. [CrossRef]
- 9. Mellins, C.A.; Malee, K.M. Understanding the mental health of youth living with perinatal HIV infection: Lessons learned and current challenges. *J. Int. AIDS Soc.* 2013, *16*, 18593. [CrossRef]
- Melvin, D.; Krechevsky, D.; Divac, A.; Tacconelli, E.; Miah, J.; Giannakopoulou, C.; Waugh, S.; Hekster, B.; Byard, K. Parental reports of emotional and behavioural difficulties on the SDQ for school-age children with vertically acquired HIV infection living in London. *Psychol. Health Med.* 2007, 12, 40–47. [CrossRef]
- 11. Laughton, B.; Cornell, M.; Boivin, M.; Van Rie, A. Neurodevelopment in perinatally HIV-infected children: A concern for adolescence. J. Int. AIDS Soc. 2013, 16, 18603. [CrossRef]
- 12. Cohen, S.; Ter Stege, J.A.; Weijsenfeld, A.M.; Van Der Plas, A.; Kuijpers, T.W.; Reiss, P.; Scherpbier, H.J.; Haverman, L.; Pajkrt, D. Health-related quality of life in perinatally HIV-infected children in the Netherlands. *AIDS Care* **2015**, *27*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- van Opstal, S.E.M.; Wagener, M.N.; Miedema, H.S.; Utens, E.M.W.J.; Aarsen, F.K.; van der Knaap, L.C.; van Gorp, E.C.M.; van Rossum, A.M.C.; Roelofs, P.D.D.M. School functioning of children with perinatal HIV-infection in high-income countries: A systematic review. *PLoS ONE* 2021, *16*, e0252746. [CrossRef]
- 14. Rehm, R.S.; Franck, L.S. Long-Term Goals and Normalization Strategies of Children and Families Affected by HIV/AIDS. *Adv. Nurs. Sci.* **2000**, *23*, 69–82. [CrossRef]
- 15. Nozyce, M.L.; Lee, S.S.; Wiznia, A.; Nachman, S.; Mofenson, L.M.; Smith, M.E.; Yogev, R.; McIntosh, K.; Stanley, K.; Pelton, S. A Behavioral and Cognitive Profile of Clinically Stable HIV-Infected Children. *Pediatrics* **2006**, *117*, 763–770. [CrossRef]
- Jeremy, R.J.; Kim, S.; Nozyce, M.; Nachman, S.; McIntosh, K.; Pelton, S.I.; Yogev, R.; Wiznia, A.; Johnson, G.M.; Krogstad, P.; et al. Neuropsychological Functioning and Viral Load in Stable Antiretroviral Therapy-Experienced HIV-Infected Children. *Pediatrics* 2005, 115, 380–387. [CrossRef]
- 17. Kullgren, K.A.; Morris, M.K.; Bachanas, P.J.; Jones, J.S. Prediction of Cognitive, Adaptive, and Behavioral Functioning in Preschool and School-Age Children with HIV. *Child. Health Care* 2004, 33, 241–256. [CrossRef]
- Medin, G.; García-Navarro, C.; Gomez, M.N.; Amador, J.T.R.; Mellado, M.J.; Jimenez, S.; Muñoz-Fernández, M.Á.; Conejo, P.R.; Saavedra, J.; Hortelano, M.G.; et al. Disease disclosure, treatment adherence, and behavioural profile in a cohort of vertically acquired HIV-infected adolescents. NeuroCoRISpeS study. *AIDS Care* 2016, 28, 124–130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bomba, M.; Nacinovich, R.; Oggiano, S.; Cassani, M.; Baushi, L.; Bertulli, C.; Longhi, D.; Coppini, S.; Parrinello, G.; Plebani, A.; et al. Poor health-related quality of life and abnormal psychosocial adjustment in Italian children with perinatal HIV infection receiving highly active antiretroviral treatment. *AIDS Care* 2010, *22*, 858–865. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Mieloo, C.; Raat, H.; Van Oort, F.; Bevaart, F.; Vogel, I.; Donker, M.; Jansen, W. Validity and Reliability of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire in 5–6 Year Olds: Differences by Gender or by Parental Education? *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e36805. [CrossRef]
- 21. Goedhart, A.; Treffers, P.D.; Van Widenfelt, B.J.M. Vragen naar psychische problemen bij kinderen en adolescenten. De Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ). *Maandbl. Geest. Volksgezond.* **2003**, *58*, 1018–1035.
- 22. Huizinga, M.; Smidts, D. BRIEF Vragenlijst Executieve Functies Voor 5-tot 18-Jarigen: Handleiding (User's Manual), 3rd ed.; Hogrefe Uitgevers: Amsterdam, The Nederland, 2012.
- 23. Dunn, W.; Rietman, A. Sensory Profile-NL: Handleiding (Manual), 1st ed.; Pearson Assessment and Information BV: Amsterdam, The Nederland, 2013.
- 24. Brown, C.E.; Dunn, W.; Rietman, A. Adolescent/Adult Sensory Profile-NL Handleiding (User's Manual); Dutch Translation; NCS Pearson, Inc.: San Antonio, TX, USA, 2007.
- Raat, H.; Bonsel, G.J.; Essink-Bot, M.-L.; Landgraf, J.M.; Gemke, R.J. Reliability and validity of comprehensive health status measures in children: The Child Health Questionnaire in relation to the Health Utilities Index. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 2002, 55, 67–76. [CrossRef]
- 26. Raat, H.; Landgraf, J.; Bonsel, G.; Gemke, R.J.B.J.; Essink-Bot, M.L. Reliability and validity of the child health question-naire-child form (CHQ-CF87) in a Dutch adolescent population. *Qual. Life Res.* **2002**, *11*, 575–581. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. De la Marche, W.; Steyaert, J.; Noens, I. Atypical sensory processing in adolescents with an autism spectrum disorder and their non-affected siblings. *Res. Autism Spectr. Disord.* 2012, *6*, 639–645. [CrossRef]
- 28. Dunn, W. The Sensations of Everyday Life: Empirical, Theoretical, and Pragmatic Considerations. *Am. J. Occup. Ther.* **2001**, *55*, 608–620. [CrossRef]
- 29. Brown, C.; Dunn, W. Adolescent/Adult Sensory Profile; Pearson San Antonio: San Antonio, TX, USA, 2002.
- McIntosh, D.N.; Miller, L.J.; Shyu, V.; Dunn, W. Development and validation of the short sensory profile. In *The Sensory Profile: Examiner's Manual*; Dunn, W., Ed.; Psychological Corporation: San Antonio, TX, USA, 1999; pp. 59–73.
- 31. Goodman, R. Psychometric Properties of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire. J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry 2001, 40, 1337–1345. [CrossRef]
- 32. Vogels, A.; Siebelink, B.; Theunissen, M.; de Wolff, M.; Reijneveld, S. Vergelijking van de KIVPA en de SDQ als Signaleringsinstrument Voor Problemen bij Adolescenten in de Jeugdgezondheidszorg; TNO: Leiden, The Nederland, 2001.

- Van Widenfelt, B.M.; Goedhart, A.W.; Treffers, P.D.; Goodman, R. Dutch version of the Strengths and Difficulties Question-naire (SDQ). Eur. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry 2003, 12, 281–289. [CrossRef]
- Landgraf, J.; Abetz, L.; Ware, J. The CHQ User's Manual; The Health Institute, New England Medical Center: Boston, MA, USA, 1996.
- 35. HealthActCHQ. Child Health Questionnaire Scoring and Interpretation Manual; HealthActCHQ: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2008.
- 36. Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek (CBS). Netherlands Central Bureau of Statistics. Dutch Standard Classification of Occupations 1992: Edition 2010; Statistics Netherlands: Voorburg/Heerlen, The Nederland, 2010.
- 37. Centers for Disease Control (CDC). Revision of the CDC surveillance case definition for acquired immunodeficiency syn-drome. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* **1987**, 258, 1143–1154. [CrossRef]
- Phillips, N.; Amos, T.; Kuo, C.; Hoare, J.; Ipser, J.; Thomas, K.G.; Stein, D.J. HIV-Associated Cognitive Impairment in Peri-natally Infected Children: A Meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2016, 138, e20160893. [CrossRef]
- 39. Rowe, K.; Buivydaite, R.; Heinsohn, T.; Rahimzadeh, M.; Wagner, R.G.; Scerif, G.; Stein, A. Executive function in HIV-affected children and adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analyses. *AIDS Care* **2021**, *33*, 833–857. [CrossRef]
- Cohen, S.; Caan, M.W.; Mutsaerts, H.-J.; Scherpbier, H.J.; Kuijpers, T.W.; Reiss, P.; Pajkrt, D. Cerebral injury in perinatal-ly HIV-infected children compared to matched healthy controls. *Neurology* 2016, *86*, 19–27. [CrossRef]
- Hoare, J.; Myer, L.; Heany, S.; Fouche, J.-P.; Phillips, N.J.; Zar, H.; Stein, D. Cognition, Structural Brain Changes, and Systemic Inflammation in Adolescents Living with HIV on Antiretroviral Therapy. *JAIDS J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr.* 2020, 84, 114–121. [CrossRef]
- 42. Du Plessis, S.; Vink, M.; Joska, J.A.; Koutsilieri, E.; Stein, D.J.; Emsley, R. HIV infection and the fronto-striatal system: A systematic review and meta-analysis of fMRI studies. *AIDS* 2014, *28*, 803–811. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Malee, K.M.; Chernoff, M.C.; Sirois, P.A.; Williams, P.L.; Garvie, P.A.; Kammerer, B.L.; Harris, L.L.; Nozyce, M.L.; Yildirim, C.; Nichols, S.L.; et al. Impact of Perinatally Acquired HIV Disease Upon Longitudinal Changes in Memory and Executive Functioning. *JAIDS J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr.* **2017**, *75*, 455–464. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Lentoor, A.G. Clinico-Immunological Status and Neurocognitive Function of Perinatally Acquired HIV-Positive Children on cART: A Cross-Sectional Correlational Study in South Africa. *Front. Neurol.* **2020**, *11*, 243. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Sirois, P.A.; Chernoff, M.C.; Malee, K.M.; Garvie, P.A.; Harris, L.L.; Williams, P.L.; Yildirim, C. Associations of memory and executive functioning with academic and adaptive functioning among youth with perinatal HIV exposure and/or infection. *J. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. Soc.* **2016**, *5* (Suppl. 1), S24–S32. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Thomaidis, L.; Bertou, G.; Critselis, E.; Spoulou, V.; Kafetzis, D.A.; Theodoridou, M. Cognitive and psychosocial develop-ment of HIV pediatric patients receiving highly active anti-retroviral therapy: A case-control study. *BMC Pediatr.* **2010**, *10*, 99. [CrossRef]
- 47. Diamantopoulou, S.; Rydell, A.-M.; Thorell, L.B.; Bohlin, G. Impact of executive functioning and symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder on children's peer relations and school performance. *Dev. Neuropsychol.* **2007**, *32*, 521–542. [CrossRef]
- Bunford, N.; Brandt, N.E.; Golden, C.; Dykstra, J.B.; Suhr, J.A.; Owens, J.S. Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Symptoms Mediate the Association between Deficits in Executive Functioning and Social Impairment in Children. *J. Abnorm. Child Psychol.* 2014, 43, 133–147. [CrossRef]
- 49. Butler, L. Social Cognition and HIV: Exploring the Profile of Cognitive Impairments in HIV-Associated Neurocognitive Disorders (HAND). Ph.D. Thesis, University of East London, London, UK, 2016.
- 50. Ownsworth, T.L.; McFarland, K.; Young, R.M. The investigation of factors underlying deficits in self-awareness and self-regulation. *Brain Inj.* 2002, *16*, 291–309. [CrossRef]
- 51. McQuade, J.D.; Mendoza, S.A.; Larsen, K.L.; Breaux, R.P. The Nature of Social Positive Illusory Bias: Reflection of Social Impairment, Self-Protective Motivation, or Poor Executive Functioning? J. Abnorm. Child Psychol. 2017, 45, 289–300. [CrossRef]
- 52. Owens, J.S.; Goldfine, M.E.; Evangelista, N.M.; Hoza, B.; Kaiser, N.M. A Critical Review of Self-perceptions and the Positive Illusory Bias in Children with ADHD. *Clin. Child Fam. Psychol. Rev.* **2007**, *10*, 335–351. [CrossRef]
- 53. Chi, P.; Li, X. Impact of Parental HIV/AIDS on Children's Psychological Well-Being: A Systematic Review of Global Literature. *AIDS Behav.* 2013, 17, 2554–2574. [CrossRef]
- 54. Jacobs, E.; Miller, L.C.; Tirella, L.G. Developmental and Behavioral Performance of Internationally Adopted Preschoolers: A Pilot Study. *Child Psychiatry Hum. Dev.* **2009**, *41*, 15–29. [CrossRef]
- 55. Bradley, R.H.; Corwyn, R. Socioeconomic Status and Child Development. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 2002, *53*, 371–399. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Reiss, F. Socioeconomic inequalities and mental health problems in children and adolescents: A systematic review. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **2013**, *90*, 24–31. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Russell, A.E.; Ford, T.; Williams, R.; Russell, G. The association between socioeconomic disadvantage and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A systematic review. *Child Psychiatry Hum. Dev.* **2016**, *47*, 440–458. [CrossRef]
- Rabiner, D.L.; Godwin, J.; Dodge, K.A. Predicting academic achievement and attainment: The contribution of early academic skills, attention difficulties, and social competence. *School Psychol. Rev.* 2016, 45, 250–267. [CrossRef]
- 59. Sprangers, M.A.; Schwartz, C.E. Integrating response shift into health-related quality of life research: A theoretical model. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **1999**, *48*, 1507–1515. [CrossRef]
- 60. van Zellem, L.; Buysse, C.; Madderom, M.; Legerstee, J.S.; Aarsen, F.; Tibboel, D.; Utens, E.M. Long-term neuropsychological outcomes in children and adolescents after cardiac arrest. *Intensive Care Med.* **2015**, *41*, 1057–1066. [CrossRef]

- 61. Pinquart, M. Do the Parent–Child Relationship and Parenting Behaviors Differ Between Families with a Child with and without Chronic Illness? A Meta-Analysis. *J. Pediatr. Psychol.* **2013**, *38*, 708–721. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Sharkey, C.M.; Clawson, A.H.; Mullins, L.L.; Brinkman, T.M.; Pui, C.; Hudson, M.M.; Krull, K.R. The relationship of child executive functions to parenting capacities in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia survivors. *Pediatr. Blood Cancer* **2019**, *66*, e27761. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Aarsen, F.K.; Paquier, P.; Reddingius, R.E.; Streng, I.C.; Arts, W.F.M.; Evera-Preesman, M.; Catsman-Berrevoets, C.E. Functional outcome after low-grade astrocytoma treatment in childhood. *Cancer* 2005, *106*, 396–402. [CrossRef] [PubMed]