

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

The neural underpinnings of facial emotion recognition in ischemic stroke patients

van den Berg, N.S.; de Haan, E.H.F.; Huitema, R.B.; Spikman, J.M.; the visual brain group

DOI 10.1111/jnp.12240

Publication date 2021 Document Version

Final published version

Published in Journal of Neuropsychology

License CC BY

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

van den Berg, N. S., de Haan, E. H. F., Huitema, R. B., Spikman, J. M., & the visual brain group (2021). The neural underpinnings of facial emotion recognition in ischemic stroke patients. *Journal of Neuropsychology*, *15*(3), 516-532. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnp.12240

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)





Journal of Neuropsychology (2021), 15, 516–532 © 2021 The Authors. Journal of Neuropsychology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of British Psychological Society.

www.wileyonlinelibrary.com

The neural underpinnings of facial emotion recognition in ischemic stroke patients

Nils S. van den Berg^{* 1,2}, Edward H. F. de Haan¹, Rients B. Huitema², Jacoba M. Spikman², on behalf of the visual brain group[†],

¹Department of Psychology, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands ²Department of Neurology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, The Netherlands

Deficits in facial emotion recognition occur frequently after stroke, with adverse social and behavioural consequences. The aim of this study was to investigate the neural underpinnings of the recognition of emotional expressions, in particular of the distinct basic emotions (anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness and surprise). A group of 110 ischaemic stroke patients with lesions in (sub)cortical areas of the cerebrum was included. Emotion recognition was assessed with the Ekman 60 Faces Test of the FEEST. Patient data were compared to data of 162 matched healthy controls (HC's). For the patients, whole brain voxel-based lesion-symptom mapping (VLSM) on 3-Tesla MRI images was performed. Results showed that patients performed significantly worse than HC's on both overall recognition of emotions, and specifically of disgust, fear, sadness and surprise. VLSM showed significant lesion-symptom associations for FEEST total in the right frontotemporal region. Additionally, VLSM for the distinct emotions showed, apart from overlapping brain regions (insula, putamen and Rolandic operculum), also regions related to specific emotions. These were: middle and superior temporal gyrus (anger); caudate nucleus (disgust); superior corona radiate white matter tract, superior longitudinal fasciculus and middle frontal gyrus (happiness) and inferior frontal gyrus (sadness). Our findings help in understanding how lesions in specific brain regions can selectively affect the recognition of the basic emotions.

Impairments in social cognition are a frequent complication after stroke (Yuvaraj, Murugappan, Norlinah, Sundaraj, & Khairiyah, 2013). Social cognition comprises the cognitive and emotional functions which enable us to process social information and to behave adequately in social situations (Adolphs, 2009). It is a broad construct, consisting of different aspects, including the recognition of socially important information (such as emotional facial expressions), the understanding of behaviour of others (such as creating a Theory of Mind), and empathic behaviour (Blair, 2003). Amongst these different aspects of social cognition, impairments in particularly the ability to recognize emotional

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*}Correspondence should be addressed to Nils S. van den Berg, Department of Psychology, Unit Brain and Cognition, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 129B, P.O. Box 15.915, 1001 NK, Amsterdam, The Netherlands (email: n.s.vandenberg@uva.nl).

[†]Visual brain group details presented in Acknowledgements.

expressions have been found to be related to a restricted social participation, a reduced quality of life (Cooper et al., 2014) and to behavioural problems after stroke (Nijsse, Spikman, Visser-Meily, de Kort, & van Heugten, 2019).

Previous studies have identified a broad network of brain structures that regulate the visuoperceptual and semantic processes necessary for adequate emotion recognition, both at a limbic, subcortical and cortical level (Adolphs, 2002; Borod, 2000; Yuvaraj et al., 2013). These structures roughly involve the orbitofrontal and occipito-temporal circuits. Not surprisingly, impairments in emotion recognition have been found in a number of patient groups with damage to these brain structures, including patients with stroke (Nijsse et al., 2019; van den Berg, Huitema, Spikman, Luijckx, & de Haan, 2020; Yuvaraj et al., 2013), but also patients with brain tumours (Pertz, Okoniewski, Schlegel, & Thoma, 2020), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI; Babbage et al., 2011; Spikman et al., 2013; Young, Newcombe, De Haan, Small, & Hay, 1993), subarachnoid haemorrhage (Buunk et al., 2017) and patients with neurodegenerative diseases (NDD), such as Alzheimer's Disease, Parkinson's Disease and Fronto-temporal dementia (Christidi, Migliaccio, Santamaría-García, Santangelo, & Trojsi, 2018).

Although there is accumulating evidence that impairments in emotion recognition occur frequently after brain injury, studies have presented conflicting results regarding the responsible lesion location. Some studies in patients with non-progressive lesions have shown that damage in particularly the right hemisphere can underlie impaired emotion recognition (Adolphs, Damasio, Tranel, Cooper, & Damasio, 2000; Philippi, Mehta, Grabowski, Adolphs, & Rudrauf, 2009; Yuvaraj et al., 2013), while other studies did not find support for a lateralization effect (e.g. the study of Campanella, Shallice, Ius, Fabbro, and Skrap (2014) with brain tumour patients). In addition, other studies have indicated a differential contribution of each hemisphere in the ability to recognize either negative or positive emotional expressions, but even within this 'valence hypothesis', mixed results have been found. For instance, with regard to impaired recognition of negative emotions, Mattavelli et al. (2019) found a significant relation with damage to the *right* hemisphere in brain tumour patients, whereas Tsuchida and Fellows (2012) found a significant relation with *left* hemispheric damage in patients with focal, frontal lesions with diverse aetiologies.

In addition, it is still unclear whether there is a single brain network responsible for the ability to perceive emotional expressions in general or whether there are separate neural networks involved in identifying separate basic emotions. The existence of a general emotion perception network was suggested in a meta-analysis (Lindquist, Wager, Kober, Bliss-Moreau, & Barrett, 2012), while two other meta-analyses emphasized the existence of distinct networks of the basic emotions (Fusar-Poli et al., 2009; Vytal & Hamann, 2010). All these meta-analyses were based mainly on fMRI studies with healthy controls. Most patient studies mentioned in the previous paragraph did not take the specific neural underpinnings of the distinct basic emotions were investigated was carried out in patients with brain tumours. The authors found separable regions responsible for the ability to perceive surprised (inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus and middle frontal gyrus) or happy (fronto-temporo-insular region) faces (Mattavelli et al., 2019).

Investigating the basic emotions separately in addition to overall emotion recognition is important, because previous studies have found that impairments in specific basic emotions can be related to specific behavioural disturbances. For example, impaired recognition of fearful expressions has been found to be related to increased risk-taking behaviour, in both patients with cerebellar stroke (van den Berg, Reesink, et al., 2020), patients with TBI (Visser-Keizer, Westerhof-Evers, Gerritsen, van der Naalt, & Spikman, 2016) and patients with NDD (van den Berg, Reesink, et al., 2020). Furthermore, impairments in the recognition of anger have been found to be related to a decreased self-awareness in stroke patients (Nijsse et al., 2019). Lastly, an impaired recognition of sad expressions in patients with TBI has been found to be related to behavioural changes, reported by significant others (Spikman et al., 2013).

Therefore, the aim of the current study was to investigate the neural underpinnings of emotion recognition in general as well as of the distinct basic emotions, in a large group of ischaemic stroke patients. Because of the inconsistent results from previous studies regarding the role of lesion location and lateralization, we aimed to asses both left and right hemispheric stroke patients, with lesions in both cortical and subcortical structures in the cerebrum. We performed whole brain voxel-based lesion–symptom mapping (VLSM; Bates et al., 2003) with 3-Tesla MRI images. In VLSM, a statistic for each voxel is estimated, allowing a satisfactory spatial precision, without a priori alignment of patients to subgroups based on their lesion locations (Rorden & Karnath, 2004; Rorden, Karnath, & Bonilha, 2007). As mentioned earlier, impairments in emotion recognition are frequently found in stroke patients (Nijsse et al., 2019; Yuvaraj et al., 2013). We expect that our findings will contribute to a better understanding of impairments in emotion recognition in stroke patients.

Materials and methods

Study design

This study is part of the multi-centre cohort study aimed at assessing subtle visual deficits in patients with ischaemic stroke. The neuropsychological assessment took place at a University Medical Center in the Netherlands between September 2015 and December 2019. The study was approved by The Local Medical Ethics Review Committee.

Participants

A group of 110 patients (76 men, 69.1%) with a diagnosis of an ischaemic stroke in (sub)cortical areas of the cerebrum (both left-hemispheric and right hemispheric) was included in the current study. The diagnosis of ischaemic stroke was made by a neurologist, based on the clinical symptoms and confirmed with a 3-Tesla MRI scan. Patients with severe pre-existent structural brain damage, such as widespread white matter alterations or global brain atrophy were not included in the current study. The mean age of the patients was 58.5 years (SD = 11.7, range = 20–82) and the mean educational level was 5.3 (SD = 1.3, on a 7-point scale ranging from 1 (primary education only) to 7 (university education)). In total, there were 48 patients with right hemispheric damage, 44 with left hemispheric damage and 18 with bilateral damage. The median lesion size was 6,269 mm³. Examination took place between two weeks and six months post-stroke (mean time interval in days = 59.9, SD = 31.1, range = 13–174 days). The neuropsychological assessment and MRI scan took place at the same day in all patients, except for 13 patients. In these patients, the MRI took place in the subacute phase after stroke, but the assessment of the emotion recognition test took place during a later visit with a mean of 20.7 months (SD = 3.5, range = 16–26 months) after the MRI scan. Most patients were on antihypertensives and anticoagulant medication. No patients were on antipsychotic medication, three patients were on anticonvulsant medication and eight patients were on anti-depressant medication. These eight patients had already started this anti-depressant medication prior to their stroke. None of the patients had a current major depression at the time of the assessment, as this was one of the exclusion criteria.

In addition, HC data from previous studies at the local department of Neurology were available for the emotion recognition task. Data matched for age, educational level and sex were selected from these datasets, resulting in a group of 162 HC's (109 men, 67.3%) with a mean age of 56.5 years (SD = 12.3, range = 26–82) and a mean educational level of 5.5 (SD = 1.1). There were no significant differences between patients and HC's with respect to age (t (241) = -1.3, p = .18), educational level (t (211) = 1.2, p = .24), and sex (χ^2 (1) = 0.1, p = .75).

Exclusion criteria for all participants involved serious psychiatric (such as a bipolar disorder) or neurological disorders (other than an ischaemic stroke in case of patients, such as TBI), pre-existing cognitive decline (IQCODE score > 3.6), substance abuse or inadequate understanding of the Dutch language. All participants signed a written informed consent prior to participation and were treated in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Neuropsychological measures

Cognitive and mood screening

To investigate the general cognitive status of the patients, patients were assessed with the Dutch version of the National Adult Reading Test (NART) as a measure of pre-morbid IQ, the Clock-Drawing Test as an indicator of visuo-construction (possible score range: 0-14), the Digit Span Test as a measure of working memory span (possible score range: 0-16). The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) was used as a screening for anxiety (possible score range: 0-21) and for depressive complaints (possible score range: 0-21).

Emotion recognition

The Ekman 60 Faces Test of the Facial Expressions of Emotions – Stimuli and Test (FEEST; Voncken, Timmerman, Spikman, & Huitema, 2018; Young, Perrett, Calder, Sprengelmeyer, & Ekman, 2002) was used to assess facial emotion recognition. In this test, 60 faces expressing one of the basic emotions anger, disgust, fear, happiness, sadness and surprise are presented, each 10 times for 3 s, in a randomized order. Then, six labels are presented on the screen and participants have to indicate which emotional expression is shown. The raw scores of the six basic emotion scores range from 0 to 10 and the raw total score ranges from 0 to 60. Based on the Dutch normative data of the FEEST in which age, educational level and sex into were taken into account (Voncken et al., 2018), percentile scores could be determined for each of the basic emotion scores and the total score, named FEEST Ang, FEEST Disg, FEEST Fear, FEEST Hap, FEEST Sad, FEEST Surp and FEEST Tot.

MRI

MRI was performed on a Siemens Magnetom Prisma 3-Tesla MR Scanner at the UMCG and RadboudUMC, and on a Philips R5 3-Tesla MR scanner at the AmsterdamUMC and the UMCU. For each patient, a 3D-FLAIR was acquired. The sequence details of the Siemens were: voxel size: $0.9 \times 0.9 \times 0.9 \text{ mm}^3$, TI: 1,650 ms, TR: 4,800 ms, TE: 484 ms, FOV: 280 mm. The sequence details of the Philips were: voxel size $1.12 \times 1.12 \times 0.56 \text{ mm}^3$, TI: 1,650 ms, TR: 4,800 ms, TE: 253 ms, FOV: 250 mm.

Data analysis

Neuropsychological test analysis

Demographical and neuropsychological measures were compared between patients and healthy controls using *t*-tests and, in case of not-normally distributed data, Mann–Whitney U tests were performed. Correlation analyses between variables were performed using Pearson's correlation and, in case of not-normally distributed data, Kendall's tau correlation. All neuropsychological test analyses were performed in IBM SPSS statistics 23. The alpha level was set at .05, two-sided and Bonferroni–Holm corrections were applied to adjust the alpha levels to control for multiple comparisons.

MRI pre-processing and VLSM

The semi-automatic segmentation procedure in ITK-SNAP (Yushkevich et al., 2006) was used for lesion segmentation. Lesion segmentation was performed by three trained neuroscientists. Each segmentation was checked by another neuroscientist. Cases of uncertainty were additionally discussed with an experienced radiologist or neurologist. To check for the inter-rater reliability, four scans were randomly selected and lesions in these scans were delineated independently by the three neuroscientists. The mean inter-rater agreement between all three neuroscientists, measured with the overlap ratio, was 0.76 (Neumann et al., 2009). Subsequently, MRI images and the corresponding lesion maps were normalized with the 'MR segment-normalize' function in the plug-in clinical toolbox for Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM12; Rorden, Bonilha, Fridriksson, Bender, & Karnath, 2012) using a unified segmentationnormalization approach (Ashburner & Friston, 2005). In this unified approach, the tissue classification (segmentation), the correction for bias, and the registration (spatial normalization) are combined in a single model (de Haan & Karnath, 2018). To correct for the presence of lesions during normalization, the enantiomorphic correction method (Nachev, Coulthard, Jäger, Kennard, & Husain, 2008) was used in case of unilateral lesions. Cost function masking (CFM; Brett, Leff, Rorden, & Ashburner, 2001) was used in case of symmetrical, bilateral lesions. With enantiomorphic normalization, the lesions are replaced by the intact homologous region from the contralesional hemisphere, while with CFM, the lesions are excluded during the normalization. Therefore, enantiomorphic normalization is the preferred method in case of large lesions, while CFM is more appropriate in case of bilateral, symmetrical lesions (de Haan & Karnath, 2018). A stroke control template based on older healthy participants (mean age of 61.3 years) was used, which has specifically been developed for a stroke population (Rorden et al., 2012). All normalized brains were inspected by comparing the normalized brains and the normalized lesion maps to the template brain using MRIcron (Rorden et al., 2007). All normalized lesion maps were then projected on the template brain. A lesion density plot was then created by the normalized lesion maps (Figure 1).

Voxel-based lesion–symptom mapping (Bates et al., 2003) was performed using NiiStat (https://github.com/neurolabusc/NiiStat). Voxel-wise *t*-tests were performed, comparing the performance on the FEEST between patients with and patients without a lesion in that particular voxel. FEEST percentile scores were used, to control for age, sex and educational level. Analyses were restricted to voxels in which at least four patients had lesions (232,682 voxels) in order to minimize biased estimates of the parameter, based on previous studies (e.g. Dal Cristofori et al., 2019; Monte et al., 2013; Ivanova et al., 2018).



Figure 1. Lesion density plot of the lesions on axial slices. Colours indicate the number of overlapping lesions. Analyses were restricted to voxels in which at least four patients had lesions. Red indicates less lesions and yellow indicates more lesions. Blue indicates less than four lesions in a particular voxel. The left hemisphere is presented on the right.

Permutation thresholding was used to control for multiple comparisons. Permutation thresholding tries to find whether observed *t*-test statistics at each voxel can actually be attributed to the status of that voxel: lesioned versus non-lesioned (de Haan & Karnath, 2018). In total, 5,000 permutations were performed, with a corrected threshold set at p = .05. The identification of the significant lesion–symptom associations was performed by using the Automated Anatomical Labeling (AAL) atlas and the Johns Hopkins University (JHU) white matter atlas.

Results

Neuropsychological test results

The mean patient scores on the screening tests for cognition and mood disorders are presented in Table 1. The results of Pearson's correlation analyses between these mean scores on the one hand and FEEST Tot on the other hand are also shown in this table. As

| Screening-test | Mean score (SD) | Pearson's <i>r</i> with FEEST Tot (<i>p</i> -value) | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|
| NART-IQ ($n = 103$) | 102.1 (15.2) | .30 (.002)* | | |
| Clock-drawing test $(n = 103)$ | 11.9 (2.0) | .18 (.063) | | |
| Digit Span Forward $(n = 104)$ | 7.9 (1.9) | .16 (.096) | | |
| HADS – anxiety $(n = 100)$ | 3.9 (3.7) | II (.272) | | |
| HADS – depression $(n = 100)$ | 3.2 (2.9) | —.10 (.322)́ | | |

Table I. Performance on the screening tasks with correlation analyses (Pearson's r) with FEEST Tot within the patient group

*Significant after Bonferroni–Holm correction.

can be seen, these correlations were low and, except for NART-IQ, non-significant. Although the relationship between NART-IQ and FEEST Tot was significant, this relationship was weak. Moreover, the NART-IQ is a *verbal* measure of pre-morbid IQ, while the FEEST is not an entirely verbal task. Taken together, it seems likely that the confounding influence of possible cognitive or mood disturbances on FEEST performance is limited.

Table 2 shows that patients were significantly worse than HC's in the overall recognition of emotions. Furthermore, in comparison to HC's, patients were significantly worse in the recognition of the emotional expressions of disgust, fear, sadness and surprise after the Bonferroni–Holm correction.

Time since stroke

To rule out the effects of the interval between stroke and neuropsychological assessment, secondary analyses were performed. These analyses showed that there were no significant differences in FEEST performance between patients who performed the FEEST at the time of the MRI and the small group of patients (n = 13) who performed the FEEST during the later visit (all p's > Bonferroni–Holm corrected alfa). In addition, the relations between time since stroke and all FEEST measures were low and non-significant: FEEST Ang ($\tau = -.05$, p = .44), FEEST Disg ($\tau = -.00$, p = .97), FEEST Fear ($\tau = -.06$, p = .39), FEEST Hap ($\tau = .14$, p = .06), FEEST Sad ($\tau = -.04$, p = .53), FEEST Sur ($\tau = .06$, p = .71).

VLSM results

Figure 2 shows the results of the VLSM analysis for FEEST Tot (Z-scores derived from t-test scores). A significant lesion–symptom association was found for FEEST Tot (Z score range: -3.21 to 2.53, Z score threshold = -2.71). As can be seen in Figure 2, lesions in particular the fronto-temporal region in the right hemisphere were related to a lower FEEST Tot. More specifically, significant lesion–symptom relations were found in the insula, caudate nucleus, the lenticular nucleus, putamen, middle frontal gyrus, inferior frontal gyrus (triangular and opercular part), Rolandic operculum and the middle and superior temporal gyrus. The strongest association was found between a lower FEEST Tot and damage to the insula (Z = -3.21).

| Variable | Patients ($n = 110$) | HC's (n = 162) | t-value | þ-value | Cohen's d |
|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------|---------|-----------|
| FEEST Anger, M (SD) | 7.5 (2.1) | 7.9 (2.0) | 1.9 | .061 | 0.2 |
| FEEST Disgust, M (SD) | 6.1 (2.5) | 7.7 (2.1) | 5.7 | <.001* | 0.7 |
| FEEST Fear, M (SD) | 5.3 (2.4) | 6.3 (2.5) | 3.3 | .001* | 0.4 |
| FEEST Happiness, M (SD) | 9.6 (0.9) | 9.9 (0.4) | 2.3 | .026 | 0.4 |
| FEEST Sadness, M (SD) | 6.1 (2.3) | 7.3 (1.8) | 4.7 | <.001* | 0.6 |
| FEEST Surprise, M (SD) | 8.4 (1.7) | 8.8 (1.2) | 2.8 | .005* | 0.3 |
| FEEST Total, M (SD) | 42.9 (7.4) | 48.0 (6.1) | 5.9 | <.001* | 0.8 |

Table 2. Performance on the FEEST (raw scores) and independent sample t-tests on differences between HC's and patients, with Cohen's d effect sizes between groups

FEEST = Facial Expressions of Emotions: Stimuli and Test.

*Significant after Bonferroni–Holm correction.



Figure 2. Voxel-based lesion–symptom mapping results for overall emotion recognition (FEEST Tot). Results are thresholded at a permutation-derived significance level, with a threshold of Z = -2.7. Lighter colours (yellow) indicate increasing test values in comparison to red colours. The right hemisphere is presented on the left.

Figure 3 shows the results of the VLSM analysis for the basic emotions. As can be seen, significant lesion–symptom correlations were found for FEEST Ang (*Z* score range = -3.66 to 2.29, *Z* score threshold = -3.34), FEEST Disg (*Z* score range = -3.10 to 3.36, *Z* score threshold = -2.84), FEEST Hap (*Z* score range = -5.50 to 1.79, *Z* score threshold = -4.58) and FEEST Sad (*Z* score range = -3.35 to 2.29, *Z* score threshold = -3.19). We did not find significant lesion–symptom correlations for FEEST Fear (*Z* score range = -2.89 to 2.24, *Z* score threshold = -3.29) and FEEST Surp (*Z* score range = -3.00 to 2.30, *Z* score threshold = -3.19).

Interestingly, we found both overlapping brain regions related to the basic emotions, but also separable regions per emotion. Significant overlapping brain regions included the Rolandic operculum (related to FEEST Ang, FEEST Hap and FEEST Sad), the insula (related to FEEST Ang and FEEST Sad) and the putamen (related to FEEST Disg and FEEST Sad). Significant lesions particularly affecting FEEST Ang involved the middle and superior



Figure 3. Voxel-based lesion-symptom mapping results for the ability to recognize anger (green), disgust (red), happiness (blue) and sadness (orange). Results are thresholded at a permutation-derived significance level, with a threshold of Z = -3.3 for anger, Z = -2.8 for disgust, Z = -4.5 for happiness and Z = -3.1 for sadness. Brighter colours indicate increasing test values. The right hemisphere is presented on the left.

temporal gyrus. Lesions particularly affecting FEEST Disg involved the caudate nucleus. Lesions particularly affecting FEEST Hap involved the superior corona radiate white matter tract, the superior longitudinal fasciculus and the middle frontal gyrus. Lastly, lesions particularly affecting FEEST Sad involved the opercular part of the inferior frontal gyrus. The MNI coordinates of the peak lesion–deficit relationships for all FEEST scores are presented in Table 3.

Discussion

The aim of the current study was to investigate the neural underpinnings of facial emotion recognition, including the brain structures involved in the processing of distinct basic emotions, in a large group of ischaemic stroke patients. The results showed that patients performed significantly worse than healthy controls in the overall recognition of emotional facial expressions, and in particular in the recognition of disgust, fear, sadness and surprise. The VLSM analysis showed that emotion recognition as such was depending on predominantly fronto-temporal regions in the right hemisphere. With regard to the distinct basic emotions, spatially segregated regions within the right hemisphere were found. Lesions affecting more ventral parts of the temporal region were related to a worse recognition of anger, while lesions affecting more fronto-temporal areas, including medial and dorsolateral frontal regions and the superior corona radiate white matter tract, were related to a poorer recognition of happiness. A worse perception of disgust was related to damage in the caudate nucleus, while poorer sadness perception was related to damage in

| Region | n of voxels | MNI coordinates | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|----|
| | | x | Y | Ζ |
| Anger | | | | |
| Middle temporal gyrus | 292 | 46 | -4I | 7 |
| Superior temporal gyrus | 57 | 45 | -35 | 6 |
| Insula | 50 | 36 | — I O | 19 |
| Rolandic operculum | 20 | 44 | — I O | 10 |
| Disgust | | | | |
| Putamen | 37 | 21 | 3 | 14 |
| Caudate nucleus | 4 | 20 | 6 | 15 |
| Happiness | | | | |
| Superior corona radiate | 233 | 24 | 7 | 2 |
| Superior longitudinal fasciculus | 88 | 32 | -7 | 24 |
| Rolandic operculum | 72 | 53 | 3 | 8 |
| Middle frontal gyrus | 8 | 40 | 14 | 50 |
| Sadness | | | | |
| Insula | 493 | 34 | 5 | 9 |
| Putamen | 21 | 30 | - 18 | 3 |
| Inferior frontal gyrus | 17 | 38 | 15 | 3 |
| Rolandic operculum | 3 | 40 | -12 | 16 |
| FEEST total | | | | |
| Insula | 2,088 | 36 | 5 | 9 |
| Inferior frontal gyrus | 437 | 37 | 17 | 3 |
| Putamen | 256 | 30 | 3 | -5 |
| Rolandic operculum | 275 | 40 | -6 | 19 |
| Middle temporal gyrus | 73 | 46 | -43 | 4 |
| Superior temporal gyrus | 57 | 45 | -38 | 7 |

Table 3. Results of the voxel-based lesion-symptom mapping analysis for the recognition of anger, disgust, happiness, sadness and for the total score on the FEEST

Note. MNI coordinates in a 3D brain for the peak lesion-deficit relationships. Locations were labelled using the Automated Anatomical Labelling atlas and the John Hopkins University atlas.

the inferior frontal gyrus. Overlapping regions included the insula (related to anger and sadness), Rolandic operculum (related to anger, happiness and sadness) and putamen (related to disgust and sadness).

Our finding that lesions in the right hemisphere were significantly associated with the ability to recognize emotional facial expressions, both positive and negative, is not in line with the 'valence hypothesis' (Silberman & Weingartner, 1986; Tucker & Williamson, 1984), as this hypothesis states that damage to the right hemisphere would only be related to difficulties in recognizing negative emotions. Because of the lower lesion coverage in the left hemisphere, we cannot exclude a left hemispheric involvement in emotion recognition. Hence, we cannot confidently conclude that the current results are in line with the right hemisphere hypothesis, which states that particularly the right hemisphere is involved in the recognition of emotions (Blonder, Bowers, & Hellman, 1992; Borod, 2000; Yuvaraj et al., 2013).

Damage to the insula was found to be related to overall worse facial emotion recognition. The insula, a part of the cerebral cortex positioned underneath the frontal, temporal and parietal lobes, has many interconnections with other brain structures, including the prefrontal cortex and subcortical structures, such as the amygdala (Damasio, 2003; Gasquoine, 2014). According to Damasio (2003), emotional experiences are formed in the insula, particularly in the right insular region. Craig (2009) described that the anterior insular cortex was related to both the experience of emotional feelings and to the recognition of emotions. All aspects of emotional processing, including the experience of emotions and the recognition of emotions have been found to be highly interrelated (Enticott, Johnston, Herring, Hoy, & Fitzgerald, 2008; Price & Harmon-Jones, 2015). Therefore, it seems likely that damage to the insula in our patients has resulted in a disturbance in emotional processing, including in the recognition of emotional expressions.

This is the first VLSM study in a group of stroke patients that investigated the distinct basic emotions in addition to overall emotion recognition. Interestingly, apart from overlapping regions, spatially segregated brain regions for the distinct emotions were found According to Adolphs (2002), the ability to perceive emotional facial expressions depends on adequate visuoperceptual processes as well as on the accurate classification of the emotions. The visuoperceptual processes are expected to be related to more temporo-occipital parts of the brain, whereas the emotional part is expected to be related to more frontal parts of the brain. Largely consistent with this, we found both fronto-temporal regions and temporal regions to be related to emotion recognition.

Interestingly, the middle and superior temporal gyrus were exclusively related to the ability to recognize angry expressions. The middle and superior temporal gyrus have previously been related to social cognitive functions in general (Allison, Puce, & McCarthy, 2000; Jou, Minshew, Keshavan, Vitale, & Hardan, 2010). Sprengelmeyer, Rausch, Eysel, and Przuntek (1998) also found, amongst others, the middle temporal gyrus (MTG) to be related to anger perception. However, their study showed that the left MTG was related to anger perception instead of the involvement of the right MTG in the current study. According to Allison et al. (2000), the superior temporal gyrus (STG) region is an important region in the initial perceptual analysis of social information. They suggested that the STG is involved in the integration of both motion- and form-related visual information. Our finding of a relation between impaired anger recognition and damage to this region therefore indicates that especially the ability to perceive anger could depend on more visuoperceptual processes in comparison to some of the other emotions. This idea is furthermore supported by findings of Du and Martinez (2011), who found that negative emotions (anger and sadness), were only correctly recognized at high resolutions, indicating that these negative emotions require more extensive visuoperceptual processes (depending on occipito-temporal brain areas) in comparison to positive emotions.

Brain regions related to the ability to perceive happy faces involved the superior corona radiate white matter tract, the superior longitudinal fasciculus, Rolandic operculum and the middle frontal gyrus. The involvement of the superior corona radiate white matter tract has previously been found to be related to overall emotion perception (Rigon, Voss, Turkstra, Mutlu, & Duff, 2019). In this study of Rigon et al. (2019), diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) was used to investigate the relationship between white matter integrity and facial emotion recognition. They found, amongst others, the right corona radiate white matter tract to be related to overall emotion perception in a matching task. However, they did not take the distinct basic emotions into account. The current study shows that this white matter tract might especially be involved in the ability to adequately perceive particularly happy faces. Future studies should be performed to further investigate this white matter tract involvement in specific emotion recognition.

A poorer recognition of disgust was related to damage in the caudate nucleus, in addition to damage in the putamen (the latter being also related to a poorer recognition of sadness). The caudate nucleus and putamen form the striatum, which is part of one of the frontal subcortical circuits (frontal cortex - striatum - globus pallidus and substantia nigra - thalamus), known to be involved in motivated behaviour, inhibitory control and social cognition (Kemp et al., 2013; Lisiecka et al., 2012; Tekin & Cummings, 2002; Utter & Basso, 2008). A specific involvement of the putamen, together with the insula, in the perception of disgust was found in a case study (Calder, Keane, Manes, Antoun, & Young, 2000). However, the patient described by Calder et al. (2000) had damage in the putamen in the left hemisphere, while the current study found the right putamen to be related to disgust perception. As we cannot exclude a left hemispheric involvement in emotion recognition because of the lower lesion coverage in the left hemisphere, the results of the current study combined with the case study of Calder et al. (2000) could possibly point to a symmetrical involvement of the putamen in disgust perception. Remarkably, in contrast with the abovementioned case study of Calder et al. (2000), we did not find a significant relation between disgust perception and insular damage. This finding is instead more in keeping with the results of a case study of Straube et al. (2010), in which no deficit in disgust perception was found in a patient with a lesion in the right insular cortex. As described earlier, we did find significant lesion-symptom relations between the insula and overall emotion recognition. Therefore, our results might indicate that the insula is involved in more general emotional processes and emotion perception, but not specifically in the perception of disgust.

Lastly, a poorer recognition of sadness was related to damage in the opercular part of the inferior frontal gyrus, which is part of the prefrontal cortex. The inferior frontal gyrus has previously been found to be related to the emotional expression of music, including sad expressions (Tabei, 2015). Furthermore, our results are in line with findings of Dal Monte et al. (2013), who found associations between damage to the anterior parts of the prefrontal cortex and a worse ability to recognize negative emotions. Our results indicate that, amongst the different negative emotions, particularly sadness might be related to this prefrontal area.

No significant lesion-symptom relations were found for the recognition of surprise and fear. In the study of Mattavelli et al. (2019), a significant relation was found between surprise recognition and the left middle frontal gyrus. In the current study, few patients had lesions in the left middle frontal gyrus. Hence, this region was not taken into account in the VLSM, which could explain the absence of a significant lesion-symptom association for surprise recognition. The absence of significant lesionsymptom relations for fear recognition, despite our finding that patients as a group were significantly worse than healthy controls in recognizing fear, could possibly be explained by findings of a recent study by McFadyen, Mattingley, and Garrido (2019), who found that the fibre density of the pathway from the right pulvinar to the amygdala was related to the ability to recognize fear. Elaborating on their findings, patients in the current study might have had a decreased fibre density in this white matter pathway, which could not be picked-up in the lesion analysis, but which did cause fearrecognition problems. Future studies should be performed using connectivity analyses, such as DTI, to further clarify this issue. In addition, the absence of lesion-symptom associations for fear recognition could also be the result of the relatively low lesion frequency in deep brain structures, such as the amygdala. The amygdala has frequently been described as an important structure in recognizing and processing fear (Broks et al., 1998; Lindquist et al., 2012).

A limitation of a VLSM analysis is that only damaged brain regions involved in the lesion overlap can be used for the analysis, meaning that conclusions can only be based on these involved brain regions. For instance, as described above, we cannot exclude the possibility that the left hemisphere is involved in emotion recognition, because the lesion coverage in the left hemisphere was lower than in the right hemisphere. Consequently, fewer voxels in the left hemisphere were included in the analysis, resulting in lower power for identifying significant lesion–symptom associations in the left hemisphere. Accordingly, areas with most lesion coverage (right fronto-temporal region in this study) have the highest power for detecting lesion–symptom associations. Furthermore, the results do indicate the relative contribution of a particular brain region over another for a particular emotion, but a note of caution is due with respect to the anatomical specificity for the different emotions.

To conclude, we suggest that the architecture of the brain for emotion recognition involves a general network in the fronto-temporal region with separate nodes related to specific basic emotions, probably with many interconnections. The insula was found to play a central role in the general network. Our results provide insight in the neural underpinnings of emotion recognition in ischaemic stroke patients. This could help in understanding how lesions in certain brain regions can selectively affect the recognition of particular basic emotions, which may in turn be related to particular behavioural disturbances. Future studies, using connectivity analyses, should be performed to complement our findings.

Acknowledgements

The visual brain group is: Anouk R. Smits (A.R.Smits@uva.nl); Ben A. Schmand (b.a.schmand@uva.nl); Edward H.F. de Haan (e.h.f.dehaan@uva.nl); Frank Erik de Leeuw (FrankErik.deLeeuw@radboudumc.nl); Gert Jan Luijckx (g.j.luijckx@umcg.nl); H. Steven Scholte (h.s.scholte@uva.nl); Jacoba M. Spikman (j.m.spikman@umcg.nl); L. Jaap Kappelle (l.kappelle@umcutrecht.nl); Linda Geerligs (L.Geerligs@donders.ru.nl); Martine J.E. van Zandvoort (m.vanzandvoort@uu.nl); Matthan W.A. Caan (m.w.a.caan@amc.uva.nl); Matthijs Raemaekers (M.Raemaekers-2@umcutrecht.nl); Mathias Prokop A.H.L.L. (mathias.prokop@radboudumc.nl); Nick F. Ramsey (N.F. Ramsey@umcutrecht.nl); Nikki A. Lammers (N.A.Lammers@uva.nl); Nils S. van den Berg (n.s.vandenberg@uva.nl); Noor Seijdel (N.Seijdel@uva.nl); Paul J. Nederkoorn (p.j.nederkoorn@amc.uva.nl); Rients B. Huitema (r.b.huitema@umcg.nl); Bob Kentridge (robert.kentridge@durham.ac.uk); Roy P.C. Kessels (r.kessels@donders.ru.nl); Selma Lugtmeijer (S.Lugtmeijer@uva.nl); Yair Pinto (y.pinto@uva.nl).

Funding

This work was supported by a European Research Council (ERC) Advanced Grant (#339374) to E. H. F. de Haan.

Conflicts of interest

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author contributions

Nils S. van den Berg (Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Visualization; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing) Edward H.F. de Haan (Conceptualization; Funding acquisition; Supervision; Writing – review & editing) Rients B. Huitema (Conceptualization; Supervision; Writing – review & editing) Jacoba M. Spikman (Conceptualization; Supervision; Writing – review & editing).

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

References

- Adolphs, R. (2002). Neural systems for recognizing emotion. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *12*(2), 169–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(02)00301-X
- Adolphs, R. (2009). The social brain: Neural basis of social knowledge. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *60*, 693–716. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.60.110707.163514
- Adolphs, R., Damasio, H., Tranel, D., Cooper, G., & Damasio, A. R. (2000). A role for somatosensory cortices in the visual recognition of emotion as revealed by three-dimensional lesion mapping. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 20, 2683–2690. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.20-07-02683.2000
- Allison, T., Puce, A., & McCarthy, G. (2000). Social perception from visual cues: Role of the STS region. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 4, 267–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(00) 01501-1
- Ashburner, J., & Friston, K. J. (2005). Unified segmentation. *NeuroImage*, 26, 839–851. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.02.018
- Babbage, D. R., Yim, J., Zupan, B., Neumann, D., Tomita, M. R., & Willer, B. (2011). Meta-analysis of facial affect recognition difficulties after traumatic brain injury. *Neuropsychology*, 25(3), 277– 285. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021908
- Bates, E., Wilson, S. M., Saygin, A. P., Dick, F., Sereno, M. I., Knight, R. T., & Dronkers, N. F. (2003). Voxel-based lesion–symptom mapping. *Nature Neuroscience*, 6(5), 448–450. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/nn1050
- Blair, R. J. R. (2003). Facial expressions, their communicatory functions and neuro-cognitive substrates. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 358, 561– 572. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2002.1220
- Blonder, L. X., Bowers, D., & Hellman, K. M. (1992). The role of the right hemisphere in emotional communication. *Brain*, 115, 645. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/115.2.645
- Borod, J. C. (2000). Neurobiology of Emotion at a Systems Level. In J. C. Borod (Ed.), *The neuropsychology of emotion* (pp. 194–213). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Brett, M., Leff, A. P., Rorden, C., & Ashburner, J. (2001). Spatial normalization of brain images with focal lesions using cost function masking. *NeuroImage*, 14, 486–500. https://doi.org/10.1006/ nimg.2001.0845
- Broks, P., Young, A. W., Maratos, E. J., Coffey, P. J., Calder, A. J., Isaac, C. L., ... Hadley, D. (1998).
 Face processing impairments after encephalitis: Amygdala damage and recognition of fear. *Neuropsychologia*, 36(1), 59–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0028-3932(97)00105-X
- Buunk, A. M., Spikman, J. M., Veenstra, W. S., van Laar, P. J., Metzemaekers, J. D. M., van Dijk, J. M. C., ... Groen, R. J. M. (2017). Social cognition impairments after aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage: Associations with deficits in interpersonal behaviour, apathy, and impaired selfawareness. *Neuropsychologia*, 103, 131–139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia. 2017.07.015

- Calder, A. J., Keane, J., Manes, F., Antoun, N., & Young, A. W. (2000). Impaired recognition and experience of disgust following brain injury. *Nature Neuroscience*, 3(11), 1077–1078. https:// doi.org/10.1038/80586
- Campanella, F., Shallice, T., Ius, T., Fabbro, F., & Skrap, M. (2014). Impact of brain tumour location on emotion and personality: A voxel-based lesion-symptom mapping study on mentalization processes. *Brain*, 137, 2532–2545. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awu183
- Christidi, F., Migliaccio, R., Santamaría-García, H., Santangelo, G., & Trojsi, F. (2018). Social cognition dysfunctions in neurodegenerative diseases: Neuroanatomical correlates and clinical implications. *Behavioural Neurology*, 2018, https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/1849794
- Cooper, C. L., Phillips, L. H., Johnston, M., Radlak, B., Hamilton, S., & McLeod, M. J. (2014). Links between emotion perception and social participation restriction following stroke. *Brain Injury*, 28(1), 122–126. https://doi.org/10.3109/02699052.2013.848379
- Craig, A. D. (2009). How do you feel now? The anterior insula and human awareness. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *10*(1), 59–70. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2555
- Cristofori, I., Pal, S., Zhong, W., Gordon, B., Krueger, F., & Grafman, J. (2019). The lonely brain: Evidence from studying patients with penetrating brain injury. *Social Neuroscience*, 14, 663– 675. https://doi.org/10.1080/17470919.2018.1553798
- Dal Monte, O., Krueger, F., Solomon, J. M., Schintu, S., Knutson, K. M., Strenziok, M., ... Grafman, J. (2013). A voxel-based lesion study on facial emotion recognition after penetrating brain injury. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 8, 632–639. https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nss041
- Damasio, A. (2003). Feelings of emotion and the self. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1001, 253–261. https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1279.014
- de Haan, B., & Karnath, H. O. (2018). A hitchhiker's guide to lesion-behaviour mapping. *Neuropsychologia*, *115*, 5–16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2017.10.021
- Du, S., & Martinez, A. M. (2011). The resolution of facial expressions of emotion. *Journal of Vision*, *11*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1167/11.13.24
- Enticott, P. G., Johnston, P. J., Herring, S. E., Hoy, K. E., & Fitzgerald, P. B. (2008). Mirror neuron activation is associated with facial emotion processing. *Neuropsychologia*, 46, 2851–2854. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2008.04.022
- Fusar-Poli, P., Placentino, A., Carletti, F., Landi, P., Allen, P., Surguladze, S., ... Fusar-Poli, P. (2009). Functional atlas of emotional faces processing: A voxel-based meta-analysis of 105 functional magnetic resonance imaging studies. *Journal of Psychiatry and Neuroscience*, 34, 418–432.
- Gasquoine, P. G. (2014). Contributions of the insula to cognition and emotion. *Neuropsychology Review*, 24, 77–87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-014-9246-9
- Ivanova, M. V., Dragoy, O., Kuptsova, S. V., Yu. Akinina, S., Petrushevskii, A. G., Fedina, O. N., ... Dronkers, N. F. (2018). Neural mechanisms of two different verbal working memory tasks: A VLSM study. *Neuropsychologia*, *115*, 25–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.NEUROPSYCHOLOGIA.2018. 03.003
- Jou, R. J., Minshew, N. J., Keshavan, M. S., Vitale, M. P., & Hardan, A. Y. (2010). Enlarged right superior temporal gyrus in children and adolescents with autism. *Brain Research*, 1360, 205– 212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2010.09.005
- Kemp, J., Berthel, M.-C., Dufour, A., Després, O., Henry, A., Namer, I. J., ... Sellal, F. (2013). Caudate nucleus and social cognition: Neuropsychological and SPECT evidence from a patient with focal caudate lesion. *Cortex*, 49, 559–571. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2012.01.004
- Lindquist, K. A., Wager, T. D., Kober, H., Bliss-Moreau, E., & Barrett, L. F. (2012). The brain basis of emotion: A meta-analytic review. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 35, 121–202. https://doi.org/ 10.1017/S0140525X11000446
- Lisiecka, D. M., Carballedo, A., Fagan, A. J., Connolly, G., Meaney, J., & Frodl, T. (2012). Altered inhibition of negative emotions in subjects at family risk of major depressive disorder. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 46(2), 181–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2011.10.010
- Mattavelli, G., Pisoni, A., Casarotti, A., Comi, A., Sera, G., Riva, M., ... Papagno, C. (2019). Consequences of brain tumour resection on emotion recognition. *Journal of Neuropsychology*, 13(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1111/jnp.12130

- McFadyen, J., Mattingley, J. B., & Garrido, M. I. (2019). An afferent white matter pathway from the pulvinar to the amygdala facilitates fear recognition. *Elife*, *8*, https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife. 40766
- Nachev, P., Coulthard, E., Jäger, H. R., Kennard, C., & Husain, M. (2008). Enantiomorphic normalization of focally lesioned brains. *NeuroImage*, 39, 1215–1226. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.neuroimage.2007.10.002
- Neumann, A. B., Jonsdottir, K. Y., Mouridsen, K., Hjort, N., Gyldensted, C., Bizzi, A., . . . Østergaard, L. (2009). Interrater agreement for final infarct MRI lesion delineation. *Stroke*, 40, 3768–3771. https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.545368
- Nijsse, B., Spikman, J. M., Visser-Meily, J. M., de Kort, P. L., & van Heugten, C. M. (2019). Social cognition impairments in the long term post stroke. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rebabilitation*, *1–16*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2019.01.023
- Pertz, M., Okoniewski, A., Schlegel, U., & Thoma, P. (2020). January 1). Impairment of sociocognitive functions in patients with brain tumours. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 108, 370–392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.11.018
- Philippi, C. L., Mehta, S., Grabowski, T., Adolphs, R., & Rudrauf, D. (2009). Damage to association fiber tracts impairs recognition of the facial expression of emotion. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 29, 15089–15099. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0796-09.2009
- Price, T. F., & Harmon-Jones, E. (2015). Embodied emotion: The influence of manipulated facial and bodily states on emotive responses. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Cognitive Science*, 6, 461– 473. https://doi.org/10.1002/wcs.1370
- Rigon, A., Voss, M. W., Turkstra, L. S., Mutlu, B., & Duff, M. C. (2019). White matter correlates of different aspects of facial affect recognition impairment following traumatic brain injury. *Social Neuroscience*, 14, 434–448. https://doi.org/10.1080/17470919.2018.1489302
- Rorden, C., Bonilha, L., Fridriksson, J., Bender, B., & Karnath, H. O. (2012). Age-specific CT and MRI templates for spatial normalization. *NeuroImage*, 61, 957–965. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ne uroimage.2012.03.020
- Rorden, C., & Karnath, H. O. (2004). Using human brain lesions to infer function: A relic from a past era in the fMRI age? *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, *5*, 812–819. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1521
- Rorden, C., Karnath, H. O., & Bonilha, L. (2007). Improving lesion Symptom mapping. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 19, 1081–1088. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2007.19.7.1081
- Silberman, E. K., & Weingartner, H. (1986). Hemispheric lateralization of functions related to emotion. *Brain and Cognition*, 5, 322–353. https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-2626(86)90035-7
- Spikman, J. M., Milders, M. V., Visser-Keizer, A. C., Westerhof-Evers, H. J., Herben-Dekker, M., & van der Naalt, J. (2013). Deficits in facial emotion recognition indicate behavioral changes and impaired self-awareness after moderate to severe traumatic brain injury. *PLoS One*, 8(6), e65581. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065581
- Sprengelmeyer, R., Rausch, M., Eysel, U. T., & Przuntek, H. (1998). Neural structures associated with recognition of facial expressions of basic emotions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 265, 1927–1931. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1998.0522
- Straube, T., Weisbrod, A., Schmidt, S., Raschdorf, C., Preul, C., Mentzel, H. J., & Miltner, W. H. R. (2010). No impairment of recognition and experience of disgust in a patient with a righthemispheric lesion of the insula and basal ganglia. *Neuropsychologia*, 48, 1735–1741. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2010.02.022
- Tabei, K. I. (2015). Inferior frontal gyrus activation underlies the perception of emotions, while precuneus activation underlies the feeling of emotions during music listening. *Behavioural Neurology*, 2015, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/529043
- Tekin, S., & Cummings, J. L. (2002). Frontal-subcortical neuronal circuits and clinical neuropsychiatry: An update. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 53, 647–654. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0022-3999(02)00428-2
- Tsuchida, A., & Fellows, L. K. (2012). Are you upset? Distinct roles for orbitofrontal and lateral prefrontal cortex in detecting and distinguishing facial expressions of emotion. *Cerebral Cortex*, 22, 2904–2912. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr370

- Tucker, D. M., & Williamson, P. A. (1984). Asymmetric neural control systems in human selfregulation. *Psychological Review*, 91, 185–215. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.91.2.185
- Utter, A. A., & Basso, M. A. (2008). The basal ganglia: An overview of circuits and function. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 32, 333–342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev. 2006.11.003
- van den Berg, N. S., Huitema, R. B., Spikman, J. M., Luijckx, G. J., & de Haan, E. H. F. (2020). Impairments in emotion recognition and risk-taking behavior after isolated, cerebellar stroke. *Cerebellum*, 19, 419–425. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12311-020-01121-x
- van den Berg, N. S., Reesink, F. E., De Haan, E. H. F., Kremer, H. P. H., Spikman, J. M., & Huitema, R. B. (2020). Emotion recognition and traffic-related risk-taking behavior in patients with neurodegenerative diseases. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*, https://doi.org/10.1017/S1355617720000740
- Visser-Keizer, A. C., Westerhof-Evers, H. J., Gerritsen, M. J. J., Van der Naalt, J., & Spikman, J. M. (2016). To fear is to gain? The role of fear recognition in risky decision making in TBI patients and healthy controls. *PLoS One*, 11, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166995
- Voncken, L., Timmerman, M., Spikman, J., & Huitema, R. B. (2018). Beschrijving van de nieuwe, Nederlandse normering van de Ekman 60 Faces Test (EFT), onderdeel van de FEEST. *Tijdschrift Voor Neuropsychologie*, 13(2), 143–151.
- Vytal, K., & Hamann, S. (2010). Neuroimaging support for discrete neural correlates of basic emotions: A voxel-based meta-analysis. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 22, 2864–2885. https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2009.21366
- Young, A. W., Newcombe, F., De Haan, E. H. F., Small, M., & Hay, D. C. (1993). Face perception after brain injury: Selective impairments affecting identity and expression. *Brain*, 116, 941–959. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/116.4.941
- Young, A. W., Perrett, D. I., Calder, A. J., Sprengelmeyer, R., & Ekman, P. (2002). *Facial expressions* of emotion: Stimuli and tests (FEEST). Burry St Edmunds, UK: Thames Valley Test Company.
- Yushkevich, P. A., Piven, J., Hazlett, H. C., Smith, R. G., Ho, S., Gee, J. C., & Gerig, G. (2006). Userguided 3D active contour segmentation of anatomical structures: Significantly improved efficiency and reliability. *NeuroImage*, 31, 1116–1128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage. 2006.01.015
- Yuvaraj, R., Murugappan, M., Norlinah, M. I., Sundaraj, K., & Khairiyah, M. (2013). Review of emotion recognition in stroke patients. *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 36, 179– 196. https://doi.org/10.1159/000353440

Received 17 June 2020; revised version received 16 December 2020