

## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

## Transcript accumulation in a trifold interaction gives insight into mechanisms of biocontrol

Franken, P.; Takken, F.L.W.; Rep, M.

DOI 10.1111/nph.16141

Publication date 2019 Document Version

Final published version

Published in New Phytologist License

CC BY-NC-ND

Link to publication

## Citation for published version (APA):

Franken, P., Takken, F. L. W., & Rép, M. (2019). Transcript accumulation in a trifold interaction gives insight into mechanisms of biocontrol. *New Phytologist*, *224*(2), 547-549. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16141

### General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

### **Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)





## Commentary

# Transcript accumulation in a trifold interaction gives insight into mechanisms of biocontrol

Through their roots, plants interact with a highly complex community of bacteria, fungi, oomycetes and protozoa. Such rhizospheric interactions are thought to play an important role in plant performance, but current knowledge about mechanisms does not go much beyond bilateral interactions. Many of such studies showed that some rhizosphere microbes can be beneficial for nutrient uptake or disease resistance, whereas others are potential pathogens. Soil-borne pathogens are especially problematic for agriculture because once disease symptoms caused by such a pathogen become apparent there is no cure. Prophylactic soil treatment to prevent disease using chemicals or steam is expensive and has adverse effects on the environment including the rhizosphere microbiome.

'This constitutes an important step forward in identifying and untangling direct from indirect effects of biocontrol on the level of transcriptional responses.'

An alternative possibility to control diseases caused by soil-borne pathogens has emerged from the study of 'suppressive soils' in which biotic agents suppress disease despite the presence of a pathogen (Schlatter et al., 2017). This phenomenon was termed biocontrol, and has now been demonstrated in a wide variety of crops (De Vrieze et al., 2018; Newitt et al., 2019). However, the mechanisms underlying biocontrol remain largely elusive. In general, both direct effects like competition or antibiosis and indirect effects like induced resistance have been observed and were analysed also on a molecular level (Köhl et al., 2019). In some cases, biocontrol agents, pathogens and plants were combined in these analyses and important discoveries were made, such as the phenomenon of priming (Conrath et al., 2006). In most studies however, one partner in the interaction was the focus of the investigation and the others were seen as 'treatments'. In this issue of New Phytologist, the group of Alga Zuccaro (Sarkar et al., 2019; pp. 886-901) reports an analysis of the tripartite interaction between barley, the pathogenic fungus Bipolaris sorokiniana and the disease-suppressing endophytic fungus Serendipita vermifera.

Trifold interactions have been transcriptomically analysed before (Lysøe *et al.*, 2017), but it is here, for the first time, that the transcriptomes of all partners were analysed together and in all bilateral combinations. This constitutes an important step forward in identifying and untangling direct from indirect effects of biocontrol on the level of transcriptional responses.

The first species among the order Sebacinales which has been analysed concerning its impact on plants during a nonmycorrhizal interaction was Serendipita indica (formerly Piriformospora indica). Isolated in 1998, it was first characterized as plant-growth promoting, later also as a biocontrol fungus, protecting plants against abiotic stresses and against soil-borne and leaf-invading pathogens (Franken, 2012). Alga Zuccaro and her group have made major contributions to the understanding of the biology of S. indica and its interaction with barley and Arabidopsis, including sequencing of its genome and transcriptomes (Zuccaro et al., 2011), the role of phytohormones in the interaction (Lahrmann & Zuccaro, 2012), and the importance of nitrogen sensing by S. indica (Lahrmann et al., 2013) and of proteins secreted by the fungus (Wawra et al., 2019). First described as a Rhizoctonia-like fungus (Verma et al., 1998), it turned out that S. indica belongs to an order of which members are associated with plant roots in mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal interactions (Selosse et al., 2009). Of these, Serendipita vermifera (formerly Sebacina vermifera) also showed beneficial effects on plants in nonmycorrhizal interactions (Barazani et al., 2007; Ghimire & Craven, 2011).

The article by Sarkar *et al.* presents a ground-breaking study to investigate the bipartite and tripartite transcriptional responses in the interaction between *S. vermifera*, the root invading pathogen *B. sorokiniana* and barley. The elegant split-root system employed allowed dissection of local and systemic plant-mediated responses conveyed by the two fungi on each other. In addition, direct effects of the two fungal species, outside of the plant, were included.

Transcriptome analysis revealed that direct contact between both fungi resulted in induction of stress-related genes in the pathogen with concomitant repression of effector genes, and, in sharp contrast, genes for hydrolytic enzymes in the endophyte. The identification of the pathogen as the prey and the endophyte as the assailant in the interaction presents the first important finding of the article, especially as two parallel mechanisms are implied: impact on pathogen gene expression and enzymatic attack of the pathogen's cell wall. Remarkably, the plant host-induced changes in the fungal transcriptomes were not much affected by the cocolonizing fungus. It was only the absolute number of transcripts from the pathogen which was clearly reduced.

A collateral result, the article nicely shows the different strategies of the endophyte and the pathogen to live inside a plant root. Only a few transcriptional changes were observed in barley when inoculated solely with the endophytic strain and this confirms a previous assumption based on the analysis of only a few barley genes during

New Phytologist © 2019 New Phytologist Trust

*New Phytologist* (2019) 224: 547–549 **547** www.newphytologist.com

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

This article is a Commentary on Sarkar et al., 224: 886-901.



Fig. 1 Transcriptional changes during bipartite and tripartite interactions between Serendipita vermifera, Bipolaris sorokiniana and barley as described in this issue of New Phytologist by Sarkar et al. (pp. 886–901).

root colonization by *S. indica* (Waller *et al.*, 2008). This clear difference to a mycorrhizal interaction, where numerous plant genes are changed in their expression (Zhao *et al.*, 2019), is the second important finding. As expected, the presence of *B. sorokiniana* triggered changes in expression of numerous barley genes, the majority of the gene products being associated with plant immunity. This pattern of changes was the same in the tripartite interaction except for a quantitative effect concerning the number of pathogen-induced plant genes. This effect could be simply due to a decrease in both pathogen biomass and disease symptoms. It could, however, also be due to an impact of the endophyte on allocation of resources by influencing the gibberellin/jasmonate balance, as it was shown for *S. indica* in rice (Cosme *et al.*, 2016).

From these observations, it becomes clear that S. vermifera can directly affect gene expression in *B. sorokiniana*, and this possibly contributes to reduced pathogenicity in barley roots, for instance through reduced expression of effector genes. Serendipita vermifera shows a clear direct biocontrol effect already outside of the root, hence its designation as a 'gatekeeper'. During root colonization, the expression of plant genes involved in defence responses were neither endophyte-enhanced (in the absence of the pathogen) nor endophyte-primed (in the presence of the pathogen). Serendipita vermifera biocontrol, therefore, seems not to be based on an indirect effect by inducing resistance. This is in sharp contrast to biocontrol by mycorrhizal fungi which induce defence genes already in the absence, but even more in the presence of root pathogens (Jung et al., 2012). The situation is different in biocontrol of shoot pathogens. Here, it has been shown that colonization by sebacinoid endophytes and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi both resemble the phenomenon of Induced Systemic Resistance (Pozo & Azcón-Aguilar, 2007; Stein et al., 2008).

Our knowledge of the different types of root-fungus interactions is fragmentary. The literature is dominated by studies with AM fungi, and some papers exist for pathogenic fungi and the sebacinoid endophyte *S. indica.* Debika Sarkar and colleagues applied a sophisticated experimental system for fungus–root–fungus interactions and accurately measured transcriptional reprogramming in all bipartite and tripartite combinations of three partners (Fig. 1). On the basis of the rich dataset, they clearly describe particular bilateral interaction types (antagonism, pathogenicity, endophytism) and their trifold interplay (biocontrol). Thereby, this study lets us catch a glimpse of the complexity of interactions in the rhizosphere.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors have received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 676480. The Erfurt Research Centre for Horticultural Crops is funded by the Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture and by the Thuringian Ministry of Economic Affairs, Science and Digital Society.

### ORCID

Philipp Franken D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5710-4538 Martijn Rep D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3608-6283 Frank L. W. Takken D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2655-3108



<sup>1</sup>Erfurt Research Centre for Horticultural Crops, University of Applied Sciences Erfurt, Kühnhäuser Straße 101, 99090, Erfurt, Germany;

- <sup>2</sup>Institute of Microbiology, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Philosophenweg 12, 07743, Jena, Germany;
- <sup>3</sup>Molecular Plant Pathology, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH,
  - Amsterdam, the Netherlands
  - (\*Author for correspondence: tel +49 (0)361 6700 3426; email philipp.franken@fh-erfurt.de)

## References

- Barazani O, von Dahl CC, Baldwin IT. 2007. *Sebacina vermifera* promotes the growth and fitness of *Nicotiana attenuata* by inhibiting ethylene signaling. *Plant Physiology* 144: 1223–1232.
- Conrath U, Beckers GJ, Flors V, García-Agustín P, Jakab G, Mauch F, Newman MA, Pieterse CM, Poinssot B, Pozo MJ et al. 2006. Priming: getting ready for battle. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 19: 1062–1071.
- Cosme M, Lu J, Erb M, Stout M, Franken P, Wurst S. 2016. A fungal endophyte helps plants to tolerate root herbivory through changes in gibberellin and jasmonate signaling. *New Phytologist* 211: 1065–1076.
- De Vrieze M, Germanier F, Vuille N, Weisskopf L. 2018. Combining different potato-associated *Pseudomonas* strains for improved biocontrol of *Phytophthora infestans. Frontiers in Microbiology* 9: 2573.
- Franken P. 2012. The plant strengthening root endophyte *Piriformospora indica*: potential application and the biology behind. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 96: 1455–1464.
- Ghimire SR, Craven KD. 2011. Enhancement of switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.) biomass production under drought conditions by the ectomycorrhizal fungus *Sebacina vermifera. Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 77: 7063–7067.
- Jung SC, Martinez-Medina A, Lopez-Raez JA, Pozo MJ. 2012. Mycorrhizainduced resistance and priming of plant defenses. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 38: 651–664.
- Köhl J, Kolnaar R, Ravensberg WJ. 2019. Mode of action of microbial biological control agents against plant diseases: relevance beyond efficacy. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 10: 845.
- Lahrmann U, Ding Y, Banhara A, Rath M, Hajirezaei MR, Döhlemann S, von Wirén N, Parniske M, Zuccaro A. 2013. Host-related metabolic cues affect colonization strategies of a root endophyte. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 110: 13965–13970.
- Lahrmann U, Zuccaro A. 2012. Opprimo ergo sum–evasion and suppression in the root endophytic fungus *Piriformospora indica*. *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* 25: 727–737.

- Lysøe E, Dees MW, Brurberg MB. 2017. A three-way transcriptomic interaction study of a biocontrol agent (*Clonostachys rosea*), a fungal pathogen (*Helminthosporium solani*), and a potato host (*Solanum tuberosum*). *Molecular Plant–Microbe Interactions* **30**: 646–655.
- Newitt JT, Prudence SMM, Hutchings MI, Worsley SF. 2019. Biocontrol of cereal crop diseases using streptomycetes. *Pathogens* 8: 78.
- Pozo MJ, Azcón-Aguilar C. 2007. Unraveling mycorrhiza-induced resistance. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 10: 393–398.
- Sarkar D, Rovenich H, Jeena G, Nizam S, Tissier A, Balcke GU, Mahdi LK, Bonkowski M, Langen G, Zuccaro A. 2019. The inconspicuous gatekeeper: endophytic Serendipita vermifera acts as extended plant protection barrier in the rhizosphere. New Phytologist 224: 886–901.
- Schlatter D, Kinkel L, Thomashow L, Weller D, Paulitz T. 2017. Disease suppressive soils: new insights from the soil microbiome. *Phytopathology* 107: 1284–1297.
- Selosse M-A, Dubois M-P, Alvarez N. 2009. Do Sebacinales commonly associate with plant roots as endophytes? *Mycological Research* 113: 1062–1069.
- Stein E, Molitor A, Kogel KH, Waller F. 2008. Systemic resistance in Arabidopsis conferred by the mycorrhizal fungus *Piriformospora indica* requires jasmonic acid signaling and the cytoplasmic function of NPR1. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 49: 1747–1751.
- Verma S, Varma A, Rexer K-H, Hassel A, Kost G, Sarbhoy A, Bisen P, Bütehorn B, Franken P. 1998. *Piriformospora indica*, gen. nov. sp. nov., a new root-colonizing fungus. *Mycologia* 90: 898–905.
- Waller F, Mukherjee K, Deshmukh SD, Achatz B, Sharma M, Schäfer P, Kogel KH. 2008. Systemic and local modulation of plant responses by *Piriformospora indica* and related Sebacinales species. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 165: 60–70.
- Wawra S, Fesel P, Widmer H, Neumann U, Lahrmann U, Becker S, Hehemann JH, Langen G, Zuccaro A. 2019. FGB1 and WSC3 are *in planta*-induced βglucan-binding fungal lectins with different functions. *New Phytologist* 222: 1493–1506.
- Zhao S, Chen A, Chen C, Li C, Xia R, Wang X. 2019. Transcriptomic analysis reveals the possible roles of sugar metabolism and export for positive mycorrhizal growth responses in soybean. *Physiologia Plantarum* 166: 712–728.
- Zuccaro A, Lahrmann U, Güldener U, Langen G, Pfiffi S, Biedenkopf D, Wong P, Samans B, Grimm C, Basiewicz M *et al.* 2011. Endophytic life strategies decoded by genome and transcriptome analyses of the mutualistic root symbiont *Piriformospora indica. PLoS Pathogens* 7: e1002290.

**Key words:** biocontrol, endophytic fungus, pathogenic fungus, rhizosphere, root–fungus interaction, split-root system, transcriptional responses, tripartite interaction.

## About New Phytologist

- New Phytologist is an electronic (online-only) journal owned by the New Phytologist Trust, a **not-for-profit organization** dedicated to the promotion of plant science, facilitating projects from symposia to free access for our Tansley reviews and Tansley insights.
- Regular papers, Letters, Research reviews, Rapid reports and both Modelling/Theory and Methods papers are encouraged. We are committed to rapid processing, from online submission through to publication 'as ready' via *Early View* – our average time to decision is <26 days. There are **no page or colour charges** and a PDF version will be provided for each article.
- The journal is available online at Wiley Online Library. Visit **www.newphytologist.com** to search the articles and register for table of contents email alerts.
- If you have any questions, do get in touch with Central Office (np-centraloffice@lancaster.ac.uk) or, if it is more convenient, our USA Office (np-usaoffice@lancaster.ac.uk)
- For submission instructions, subscription and all the latest information visit www.newphytologist.com