



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### An example concerning Sadullaev's boundary relative extremal functions

Wiegerinck, J.J.O.O.

**DOI**

[10.4064/ap180604-19-6](https://doi.org/10.4064/ap180604-19-6)

**Publication date**

2019

**Document Version**

Submitted manuscript

**Published in**

Annales Polonici mathematici

[Link to publication](#)

**Citation for published version (APA):**

Wiegerinck, J. J. O. O. (2019). An example concerning Sadullaev's boundary relative extremal functions. *Annales Polonici mathematici*, 123, 505-508.  
<https://doi.org/10.4064/ap180604-19-6>

**General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

# AN EXAMPLE CONCERNING SADULLAEV'S BOUNDARY RELATIVE EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS

JAN WIEGERINCK

*In memory of Józef Siciak*

ABSTRACT. We exhibit a smoothly bounded domain  $\Omega$  with the property that for suitable  $K \subset \partial\Omega$  and  $z \in \Omega$  the *Sadullaev boundary relative extremal functions* satisfy the inequality  $\omega_1(z, K, \Omega) < \omega_2(z, K, \Omega) \leq \omega(z, K, \Omega)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [5] Sadullaev introduced several so-called *boundary relative extremal functions* for compact sets  $K$  in the boundary of domains  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , and asked whether their regularizations are perhaps always equal. Recently Djire and the author [1, 2] gave a positive answer in certain cases where  $D$  and  $K$  are particularly nice.

In this note we show that in general equality does not hold. The example is formed by a suitable compact set in the boundary of the domain  $\Omega$  that was constructed by Fornæss and the author [3] as an example of a domain  $D$  where bounded plurisubharmonic functions that are continuous on  $D$  cannot be approximated by plurisubharmonic functions that are continuous on  $\overline{D}$ . We start by briefly recalling the definitions of boundary relative extremal functions and the construction of the domain  $\Omega$ .

**1.1. Boundary relative extremal functions.** We follow Sadullaev [5, Section 27]. Let  $D$  be a domain with smooth boundary in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\xi \in \partial D$ , and  $A_\alpha(\xi) = \{z \in D; |z - \xi| < \alpha\delta_\xi(z)\}$ , where  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\delta_\xi(z)$  is the distance from  $z$  to the tangent plane at  $\xi$  to  $\partial D$ . For a function  $u$  defined on  $D$ , put

$$\tilde{u}(\xi) = \sup_{\alpha > 1} \limsup_{\substack{z \rightarrow \xi \\ z \in A_\alpha(\xi)}} u(z), \quad \xi \in \partial D.$$

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\text{PSH}(D)$  denote the plurisubharmonic functions on  $D$  and let  $K \subset \partial D$  be compact. We define the following *boundary relative extremal functions*

- (1) 
$$\omega(z, K, D) = \sup\{u(z) : u \in \text{PSH}(D), u \leq 0, \tilde{u}|_K \leq -1\};$$
- (2) 
$$\omega_1(z, K, D) = \sup\{u(z) : u \in \text{PSH}(D) \cap C(\overline{D}), u \leq 0, u|_K \leq -1\};$$
- (3) 
$$\omega_2(z, K, D) = \sup\{u(z) : u \in \text{PSH}(D), u \leq 0, \limsup_{\substack{z \rightarrow \xi \\ z \in D}} u \leq -1, \text{ for all } \xi \in K\}.$$

The upper semi-continuous regularization  $u^*$  of a function  $u$  on a domain  $D$  is defined as

$$u^*(z) = \limsup_{w \rightarrow z} \{u(w)\}.$$

The functions  $\omega^*$ ,  $\omega_1^*$ ,  $\omega_2^*$  are plurisubharmonic. Observing that  $\omega_1(z, K, D) \leq \omega_2(z, K, D) \leq \omega(z, K, D)$ , Sadullaev's question is *for what  $j$  is  $\omega^*(z, K, D) \equiv \omega_j^*(z, K, D)$ ?*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32U05, 32U20.

*Key words and phrases.* plurisubharmonic function; boundary relative extremal function.

1.2. **The domain  $\Omega$ .** We briefly recall the construction and properties of the domain  $\Omega$  from [3].

$$(1.1) \quad \Omega = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2; |w - e^{i\varphi(|z|)}|^2 < r(|z|)\}.$$

Here  $r$  and  $\varphi$  are in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with the following properties:  $-1 \leq r \leq 2$ ;  $r(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \leq 1$  and for  $t \geq 17$ ;  $r(t) \equiv 1$  for  $3 \leq t \leq 8$  and for  $10 \leq t \leq 15$ ;  $r(t)$  takes its maximum value  $= 2$  precisely at  $t = 2, 9$ , and  $16$ . Moreover,  $r'(t) > 0$  on  $1 \leq t < 2$ ,  $8 < t < 9$  and  $15 < t < 16$ , while  $f'(t) < 0$  on  $2 < t < 3$ ,  $9 < t < 10$ , and  $16 < t \leq 17$ . Next  $\varphi$  satisfies  $\varphi(t) < -\pi/2$  for  $t \leq 4$  and for  $t \geq 14$ ;  $\varphi(t) > \pi/2 + 100$  for  $5 \leq t \leq 6$  and for  $12 \leq t \leq 13$  and  $\varphi(t) < -\pi/2 + 100$  for  $7 < t < 10$ , and we demand in addition that  $\varphi \leq 108$ .

From [3] we recall that  $\Omega$  is a Hartogs domain with smooth boundary, and that the annulus

$$(1.2) \quad A = \{(z, w); w = 0, 2 \leq |z| \leq 15\}$$

is contained in  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

## 2. NEGATIVE ANSWER TO SADULLAEV'S QUESTION

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $K = \{(z, w \in \partial\Omega; |z| = 2 \text{ or } |z| = 16)\}$ . Then*

$$\omega_1((z, w), K, \Omega) < \omega_2((z, w), K, \Omega)$$

for  $(z, w)$  in an open neighborhood of  $\{w = 0, |z| = 9\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \text{PSH}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $u \leq 0$ ,  $u|_K \leq -1$ . Then by the maximum principle,  $|u| \leq -1$  on the discs  $|w - e^{i\varphi(|z|)}| \leq 2$ , where  $z$  is fixed and satisfies  $|z| = 2$  or  $|z| = 16$ , and in particular on the circles  $C_1(w) = \{(z, w) : |z| = 2\}$  and  $C_2(w) = \{(z, w) : |z| = 16\}$ , where  $|w| < 1$ . Because  $\Omega$  is a smoothly bounded domain, it follows from [3, Theorem 1] (see also [4] for recent extensions of this theorem), that  $u$  can be approximated uniformly on  $\overline{\Omega}$  by smooth plurisubharmonic functions  $v$  defined on shrinking neighborhoods of  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

Let  $\Omega_\delta = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^2; d(\zeta, \overline{\Omega}) < \delta\}$ . Then given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $v \in \text{PSH}(\Omega_\delta)$ , such that  $|u - v| < \varepsilon$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . For  $|w| < \delta$  the annulus  $A_w = \{(z, w) : 2 \leq |z| \leq 16\}$  is contained in  $\Omega_\delta$ . On its boundary, which equals  $C_1(w) \cup C_2(w)$ , we have that  $v < -1 + \varepsilon$ , hence this also holds on  $A_w$ . It follows that  $u < -1 + 2\varepsilon$  on  $A_w \cap \overline{\Omega}$ , in particular  $u < -1 + 2\varepsilon$  on the open set  $V = \{(z, w) : 8 < |z| < 10, |w| < \delta, |w| < r(|z|) - 1\} \subset \Omega$ . It follows that  $\omega_1((z, w), K, \Omega) \leq -1 + 2\varepsilon$  on  $V$ , and therefore also  $\omega_1^*((z, w), K, \Omega) \leq -1 + 2\varepsilon$  on  $V$ .

Next we will construct a plurisubharmonic function in the family that determines  $\omega_2$ . The construction is as in [3, Section 2]. On  $\Omega \cap (\{3 < |z| < 8\} \cup \{10 < |z| < 15\})$  there exists a continuous branch of  $\arg w$ , denoted by  $h(z, w)$ , such that

$$\varphi(z) - \pi/2 \leq h(z, w) \leq \varphi(z) + \pi/2.$$

In [3] we constructed the following plurisubharmonic function.

$$(2.1) \quad f(z, w) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |z| < 4 \text{ or if } |z| > 14 \\ \max\{0, h(z, w)\} & \text{if } 3 < |z| < 6 \text{ or if } 12 < |z| < 14 \\ \max\{100, h(z, w)\} & \text{if } 5 < |z| < 8 \text{ or if } 10 < |z| < 13 \\ 100 & \text{if } 7 < |z| < 11. \end{cases}$$

It satisfies  $f \leq 110$  on  $\Omega$ ,  $f \equiv 0$  on  $\{|z| \leq 3\}$  and on  $\{|z| \geq 14\}$ , hence  $f$  extends continuously by 0 to  $\overline{\Omega} \cap (\{|z| \leq 3\} \cup \{|z| \geq 14\})$ , and  $f = 100$  on  $V$ . The plurisubharmonic function  $g$  on  $\Omega$  defined by

$$g(\zeta) = \frac{f(\zeta) - 110}{110}, \quad (\zeta = (z, w))$$

is negative, identically equal to  $-1$  on  $\overline{\Omega} \cap (\{|z| \leq 3\} \cup \{|z| \geq 14\})$ , and equal to  $-10/11$  on  $V$ . Hence also  $\omega_2^*((z, w), K, \Omega) \geq \omega_2((z, w), K, \Omega) \geq -10/11$  on  $V$ . Choosing  $\varepsilon < 1/10$  completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Djire, I. K., Wiegerinck, J. *Characterizations of boundary pluripolar hulls*, *Comp. Var. and Ellip. Equat.* **61** (2016) no. 8, 1133–1144.
- [2] Djire, I. K., Wiegerinck, J. *On a question of Sadullaev concerning boundary relative extremal functions*, arXiv:1611.01132v3 [math.CV]. To appear in *Math. Scand.*
- [3] Fornæss, J.E., Wiegerinck, J. *Approximation of plurisubharmonic functions*. *Ark. Mat.* **27** (1989), 257–272.
- [4] Persson, H., Wiegerinck, J. *A note on approximation of plurisubharmonic functions*. *Ark. Mat.* **55** (2017), no. 1, 229–241.
- [5] Sadullaev, A. *Plurisubharmonic measures and capacities on complex manifolds*, (Russian) *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **36** (1981) no. 4, 53–105. English translation in *Russian Math. Surveys*, **36** (1981), no. 4, 61–119.

KdV INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM, SCIENCE PARK 105-107, P.O. BOX 94248,  
1090 GE AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS

*E-mail address:* j.j.o.o.wiegerinck@uva.nl