



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Role of orbitofrontal sulcogyral pattern on lifetime cannabis use and depressive symptoms

Chye, Y.; Solowij, N.; Ganella, E.P.; Suo, C.; Yücel, M.; Batalla, A.; Cousijn, J.; Goudriaan, A.E.; Martin-Santos, R.; Whittle, S.; Bartholomeusz, C.F.; Lorenzetti, V.

DOI

[10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.07.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.07.017)

Publication date

2017

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry

License

Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Chye, Y., Solowij, N., Ganella, E. P., Suo, C., Yücel, M., Batalla, A., Cousijn, J., Goudriaan, A. E., Martin-Santos, R., Whittle, S., Bartholomeusz, C. F., & Lorenzetti, V. (2017). Role of orbitofrontal sulcogyral pattern on lifetime cannabis use and depressive symptoms. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry*, 79(B), 392-400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.07.017>

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations


If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (<https://dare.uva.nl>)



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Progress in Neuropsychopharmacology & Biological Psychiatry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/pnp

Role of orbitofrontal sulcogyral pattern on lifetime cannabis use and depressive symptoms



Yann Chye^a, Nadia Solowij^b, Eleni P. Ganella^{c,d,e}, Chao Suo^a, Murat Yücel^a, Albert Batalla^{f,g},
Janna Cousijn^h, Anna E. Goudriaan^{i,j}, Rocio Martin-Santos^g, Sarah Whittle^c,
Cali F. Bartholomeusz^{c,d,e,1}, Valentina Lorenzetti^{a,c,k,*,1}

^a Brain and Mental Health Laboratory, Monash Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neurosciences, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

^b School of Psychology, Illawarra Health and Medical Research Institute, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, Australia

^c Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre, Department of Psychiatry, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

^d Orygen, The National Centre of Excellence in Youth Mental Health, Victoria, Australia

^e Orygen, Centre for Youth Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

^f Department of Psychiatry, Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

^g Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Hospital Clinic, IDIBAPS, CIBERSAM, Institute of Neuroscience, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

^h Department of Developmental Psychology, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

ⁱ Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam Institute for Addiction Research, Academic Medical Centre, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

^j Arkin Mental Health Care, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

^k School of Psychological Sciences, Institute of Psychology, Health and Society, The University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Dependence
Cannabis
Orbitofrontal cortex
Sulcogyral pattern
MRI

ABSTRACT

Orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) sulcogyral patterns are stable morphological variations established early in life. They consist of three distinct pattern types, with Type III in particular being associated with poor regulatory control (e.g., high sensation seeking and negative emotionality, low constraint), which may confer risk for earlier onset of cannabis (CB) use and greater use in later life. The OFC sulcogyral pattern may therefore be a stable trait marker in understanding individual differences in substance-use vulnerability and associated affective disturbances in users. In a large multisite cross-sectional study, we compared OFC pattern type distribution between 128 healthy controls (HC) and 146 CB users. Within users ($n = 140$), we explored the association between OFC pattern type and CB use level, and subsequently if level of CB use informed by OFC pattern type may mediate disturbances in affective tone, as indexed by depressive symptoms. While OFC pattern distribution did not distinguish between HC and CB groups, it informed greater lifetime use within users. Specifically, CB users with pattern Type III in the right OFC tended to use more CB over their lifetime, than did CB users with pattern Type I or II. Greater lifetime CB use was subsequently associated with higher depressive symptoms, such that it mediated an indirect association between right OFC pattern Type III and higher depressive symptoms. The present study provides evidence for neurobiological differences, specifically sulcogyral pattern of the OFC, to modulate level of CB use, which may subsequently influence the expression of depressive symptoms.

1. Introduction

Cannabis (CB) is a widely used psychoactive drug with a global estimate of 182 million users (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2015). Along with the high level of CB use across the world, there is a rising toll of treatment demand for CB use and its disorders (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2016;

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2015). Heavy CB use has been linked to affective disturbances such as depressive disorders (Degenhardt et al., 2003; Lev-Ran et al., 2014). Efforts to uncover the neural correlates of CB use have demonstrated morphological alterations across various brain regions including the hippocampus and the prefrontal cortex (Lorenzetti et al., 2016; Yücel et al., 2016). While neural abnormalities have often been interpreted as a consequence of

Abbreviations: OFC, orbitofrontal cortex; MOS, medial orbitofrontal sulcus; LOS, lateral orbitofrontal sulcus; CB, cannabis; HC, healthy controls

* Corresponding author at: Whelan Building, Brownlow Hill, The University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZX, UK.

E-mail address: vlor@liv.ac.uk (V. Lorenzetti).

¹ Joint last author (CF Bartholomeusz and V Lorenzetti contributed equally to the writing of the manuscript).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.07.017>

Received 11 May 2017; Received in revised form 17 July 2017; Accepted 18 July 2017

Available online 20 July 2017

0278-5846/ © 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

CB use (Ashtari et al., 2011; Cousijn et al., 2012; Matochik et al., 2005; Yücel et al., 2008), premorbid structural differences may similarly confer vulnerability to develop heavier patterns of CB use (Jacobus et al., 2016; Pagliaccio et al., 2015) or affective disturbances (Amico et al., 2011). The understanding of such premorbid differences may inform knowledge on individual differences in substance use vulnerability, and the associated affective disturbances in users.

It remains unclear whether (and which) neurobiological alterations predate cannabis use onset. Aside from prospective studies, this issue can be addressed via assessing neuroanatomy that is defined early in life and relatively stable to postnatal environmental factors such as substance use. One such medium is the specific pattern of gyrification of the brain (White et al., 2010). Gyrification is the pattern of surface folding of the brain (White et al., 2010) that develops as early as 11 to 16 weeks gestation, and remains relatively stable from birth (Armstrong et al., 1995; Zilles et al., 1997). Importantly, early cortical folding forming particular sulcogyral patterns may reflect underlying connectivity or disrupted connectivity of the brain (Toro and Burnod, 2005; White et al., 2010). This provides a means for structure to inform function and behaviour, particularly in regions strongly implicated in substance use, such as the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC). Various studies have demonstrated reduced OFC volume (Battistella et al., 2014; Churchwell et al., 2010) and altered OFC function (functional connectivity in reward and inhibitory circuitry including the OFC) (Filbey and Dunlop, 2014; Filbey and Yezhuvath, 2013) in CB users. Pre-existing OFC morphological differences may also inform risk for later substance (i.e. alcohol, CB) use (Cheetham et al., 2011; Kühn et al., 2016). These studies suggest OFC morphology may reflect vulnerabilities that inform future substance use behaviour.

The sulcogyral pattern of the OFC in particular, is a viable trait marker to glean stable prenatal differences that may inform substance use behaviour. The OFC sulcogyral pattern has been categorised by Chiavaras and Petrides (2000), based on the continuity/discontinuity of the medial orbitofrontal sulcus (MOS) and the lateral orbitofrontal sulcus (LOS), into three basic types (Type I – discontinuity of the MOS; Type II – continuity of both the MOS and the LOS; Type III – discontinuity of the LOS; Fig. 1). In a normative population, the distribution of the OFC sulcogyral pattern is skewed, with a predominance of Type I and an underrepresentation of Type III (Chiavaras and Petrides, 2000).

However, altered pattern distribution has been associated with specific personality traits and presence of psychopathologies. In adolescents, a Type III pattern has been linked to greater ‘surgency’ (representing sensation-seeking and extraversion traits, and low levels of fear or shyness) (Whittle et al., 2014). Similarly in patients with schizophrenia, Type III pattern has been associated with temperaments (low ‘constraint’ and high ‘negative emotionality’) indicative of impulsivity, stress reaction, and proneness to anxiety and aggression (Nakamura et al., 2007), as well as poorer functioning (i.e. greater positive symptoms and lower socioeconomic status (Nakamura et al., 2007; Uehara-Aoyama et al., 2011)). Patients with schizophrenia also

show an altered proportion of sulcogyral pattern relative to controls (decreased proportion of Type I, and increased proportion of Type II and III) (Bartholomeusz et al., 2013; Chakirova et al., 2010; Cropley et al., 2015; Isomura et al., 2017; Nakamura et al., 2008; Nakamura et al., 2007; Nishikawa et al., 2015; Takahashi et al., 2015; Uehara-Aoyama et al., 2011). Overall, in both healthy and schizophrenia patient populations, Type III pattern is linked to personality traits reflecting poor regulatory control. Such traits may in turn confer risk for substance use, having been demonstrated to predict an earlier onset of substance use disorder, greater dependence, and greater lifetime CB use (Creemers et al., 2010; Elkins et al., 2006; Krueger, 1999). Thus pre-existing OFC neuroanatomy, by reflecting underlying behavioural regulatory function, may be able to inform risk for later substance use. While the OFC volume has been demonstrated to predict CB use initiation in adolescents (Cheetham et al., 2011), no study has yet explored the OFC sulcogyral pattern in relation to CB use.

Regulatory deficits associated with altered OFC function may not only contribute to greater substance use, but also confer risk for affective disturbances (Diekhof et al., 2008; Drevets, 2007; Klimes-Dougan and Garber, 2016; Wagner et al., 2013a). Consistently, reduced OFC volume (Bremner et al., 2002; Lacerda et al., 2004) and altered functional connectivity between the OFC, dorsolateral prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortices (Frodl et al., 2010) have been observed in patients with depression. Importantly, regular CB use has also been associated with the emergence of affective disturbances including higher depressive symptoms (Crane et al., 2015) and an increased risk of developing depression (Lev-Ran et al., 2014). It is proposed that impaired OFC regulatory function and neuroanatomy underlies the concurrent development of CB use and depressive symptoms (Otten et al., 2010; Volkow and Fowler, 2000), via intensifying the strength of impulse and emotions (Beer et al., 2006; Wagner et al., 2013b). If specific OFC sulcogyral pattern types reflect distinct regulatory functions (Whittle et al., 2014), then these pattern types may inform later level of CB use and affective disturbances in CB users.

In this study, we (i) compared the distribution of OFC pattern type between healthy controls (HC) and CB users to discern neural differences that may inform CB use; (ii) explored the association between OFC pattern type and CB use levels (i.e. three distinct measures including age of onset of regular use, current monthly use, and cumulative lifetime use) in CB users, to understand if potentially pre-existing OFC pattern type may inform later levels of CB use. We additionally (iii) explored whether higher levels of CB use will mediate a possible link between OFC pattern type and greater depressive symptoms, as guided by any significant association between OFC pattern type and specific CB use variables from analysis (ii). We hypothesised (i) OFC pattern distribution to be altered in CB users compared to HC; (ii) Type III pattern – linked to traits indicative of poor regulatory control – will be associated with higher CB use levels; and that (iii) CB users with Type III pattern would have greater current depressive symptoms, and that this relationship would be mediated by greater CB use level.




Fig. 1. Examples of the three different orbitofrontal (OFC) sulcogyral pattern types, as traced on the coronal plane, and viewed on the transverse plane. Type I: rostral and caudal regions of the medial orbitofrontal sulci (MOSr and MOSc, red) are discontinuous, while the lateral orbitofrontal sulcus (LOS, purple) is continuous; Type II: both the MOS and LOS are continuous; Type III: the rostral and caudal regions of the MOSr and MOSc, as well as LOSr and LOSc are discontinuous. Also depicted are the intermediate orbital sulci (green and blue), and the transvers orbital sulci (TOS, yellow). Reproduced with permission from Bartholomeusz et al. (2013). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

2. Method

2.1. Participants

We examined an aggregated sample of 128 HC and 146 regular CB users aged 17 to 55 years, the data of which have been previously collected across four research sites including the University of Amsterdam (Amsterdam, N = 76) (Cousijn et al., 2012), University of Barcelona (Barcelona, N = 60) (Batalla et al., 2013), University of Wollongong (Wollongong, N = 34) (Solowij et al., 2013), and Monash University (Melbourne, N = 104) (Yücel et al., 2016). Informed written consent was obtained from all participants. All regular CB users had used CB at least two smoking days per month for a minimum of two months, and the majority of users smoked almost daily for a substantial period of time (duration of regular use, *Mdn* = 6 years, range = 0.5–38 years). Meanwhile, all HCs had minimal CB exposure (used < 50 times in their life, and did not use in last month). Exclusion criteria were: any history of chronic medical illness or neurological condition; use of psychoactive medications; any lifetime Axis I disorder according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders-Fourth Edition (other than nicotine use disorder, or cannabis use disorder for cannabis users); general MRI contraindications; regular use of other drugs (> 50 times in the past 10 years) apart from cannabis, alcohol, and nicotine; and IQ < 80.

2.2. Measures

Participants' demographic, IQ level, depressive symptoms, and substance use levels (alcohol, tobacco, CB) were separately collected from each site using differing measures. We standardised these measures across sites. CB use levels measured include age of onset of regular use (defined as at least twice/month for at least two months), current monthly use, and cumulative lifetime use. CB users self-reported use in units familiar to them (e.g., joints, bongs, grams smoked), and use was then converted to cones, a standardised unit for comparison (e.g., 1 g = 12 cones, and 1 paper joint = 3 cones; see standardised guidelines provided at <https://cannabissupport.com.au/media/1593/timeline-followback.pdf>).

IQ was estimated using the Dutch version of the National Adult Reading Test (DART) (Schmand et al., 1991) (Amsterdam); the vocabulary subscale of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale – Third Edition (WAIS-III) (Barcelona) (Wechsler, 1997); the National Adult Reading Test (NART) (Wollongong) (Nelson, 1982); and the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI) (Melbourne) (Wechsler, 1999). The vocabulary subscale score from the Barcelona site was converted to an estimated full scale IQ by standardising to the mean and standard deviation of the average IQ of CB and HC respectively of the three other sites. Depressive symptoms were obtained using the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (Amsterdam, Barcelona, Melbourne) (Beck et al., 1961) and Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAMD) (Wollongong) (Hamilton, 1960). Depressive symptom scores from each site were standardised, using previously reported population norms for each scale as the mean by which obtained depressive symptom scores were converted to a z-score (Crawford et al., 2011; Zimmerman et al., 2004).

2.3. Structural image processing

T1-weighted structural MR images were independently acquired at each imaging site. Scanner details are documented in Supplementary Table 1, and by the original research groups (Batalla et al., 2013; Cousijn et al., 2012; Yücel et al., 2016; Yücel et al., 2008). MR images were preprocessed using FreeSurfer image analysis (<http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/>) version 5.3.0 to correct for intensity non-uniformity artifacts (Sled et al., 1998; Zheng et al., 2009). The intensity of the subsequent MR images was then standardised between sites - based on the average grey matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid intensity

from each site, using the FMRIB Software Library (FSL; <http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/>), to ensure consistency across sites for subsequent manual tracing. Finally, MR images were visually inspected and re-oriented along the anterior commissure-posterior commissure (AC-PC) plane only if they deviated from the plane by > 0.1 rad.

2.4. OFC sulcogyral pattern classification

The OFC sulcogyral pattern types were classified for each MR image using the visualisation and analysis software Analyze 12.0 (AnalyzeDirect, Overland Park, KS), based on the continuity/discontinuity of the MOS and LOS between the rostral and caudal regions (Chiavaras and Petrides, 2000). In Type I, the MOS was disconnected while the LOS was intact. In Type II, both the MOS and LOS were intact. For Type III, both the MOS and LOS were disconnected. In rare cases where LOS was disconnected but MOS was intact (*n* = 27; classified as Type IV in some papers (Chakirova et al., 2010)), we classified the OFC pattern as Type III, in accordance with the method used by (Bartholomeusz et al., 2013).

All sulci appearing on the orbital surface were traced in the coronal plane slice-by-slice, and identified on the transverse plane. A fissure was considered a sulcus if it was visible in at least four coronal and four transverse slices. A sulcus was considered continuous with another sulcus if they were clearly connected in at least three slices. OFC pattern classification was performed by Y.C. while blinded to gender, group, and imaging site. Intra- and inter-rater reliability (interclass correlation coefficient, ICC) performed by Y.C., C.F.B., and E.P.G., on 20 randomly selected brains from the Melbourne Neuropsychiatry Centre (University of Melbourne, Department of Psychiatry) database, were 0.90 and 0.75 respectively. All ambiguous classifications identified in the current sample (i.e. 14% of the total sample) were reviewed by C.F.B. and consensus reached. To further ensure Y.C.'s classification validity, a subset of 20 randomly selected brains (5 from each imaging site) that were not reviewed by C.B., from the current sample, were also reviewed by E.P.G. Consensus between Y.C. and E.P.G. was high at a level of 92.5%.

2.5. Statistical analyses

Group differences between HC and CB users for variables including age, IQ, depressive symptoms, alcohol, and tobacco use were assessed using independent-samples *t*-test; while group differences for gender were assessed via Pearson's χ^2 statistics. To ensure that OFC pattern distribution was not related to imaging site, countries, or continents, we ran separate Pearson's χ^2 statistics for HC and CB users, comparing left and right OFC pattern across sites, and across continents. We also compared left and right OFC pattern distribution by age, gender, IQ, depressive symptoms, alcohol and tobacco use to assess and rule out any demographic confounder.

To discern putative pre-existing neural differences in CB users relative to HC in OFC sulcogyral pattern distribution (separately for right and left hemisphere) we ran χ^2 statistics. Subsequently to understand the link between OFC sulcogyral pattern and CB use levels in CB users only, we performed a series of three separate ANCOVAs to explore whether OFC pattern affected three distinct measures of CB use levels (i.e., age of onset of regular use, current monthly use, and cumulative lifetime use). Fixed factors included left and right OFC patterns, dependent variables included one of the three measures of CB use level, and the remaining two measures of CB use levels were used as covariates. We corrected for multiple comparisons (i.e. three comparisons corresponding to three CB use measures) using Benjamini and Yekutieli's modified false discovery rate (FDR) method (Benjamini and Yekutieli, 2001).

Finally, to explore the role of higher CB use level as a mediator between specific OFC pattern type and greater depressive symptoms, we ran post-hoc analyses with the significant CB use variable emerging

Table 1

Overview of demographic, IQ, substance use levels (mean (SD)), and orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) pattern distribution (n (%)) of healthy controls (HC) and cannabis (CB) users.

	HC ^c N = 128	CB ^c N = 146	N	t/ χ^2	p
Age	26.25 (8.86)	28.41 (10.51)	274	1.84	0.07
Gender (% M/F)	71.09/28.91	67.12/32.88	274	0.50	0.51
IQ ^a	109.26 (10.43)	103.58 (10.67)	270	- 4.41	< 0.001**
Depressive symptoms ^b	- 0.41 (0.65)	0.26 (1.19)	267	5.56	< 0.001**
Alcohol (StDr/mth) ^c	19.71 (23.63)	24.55 (25.13)	264	1.61	0.11
Tobacco (Cig/mth) ^c	29.89 (96.49)	258.01 (236.04)	267	10.56	< 0.001**
Cannabis use					
Onset regular use (years)	-	17.85 (3.37)	141		
Current use (cones/month)	-	339.59 (322.90)	143		
Lifetime use (cones)	-	58,164.51 (99,182.44)	144		
Right OFC ^c sulcogyral pattern, n (%)				1.06	0.59
Type I	63 (49)	69 (47)			
Type II	21 (16)	31 (21)			
Type III	44 (34)	46 (32)			
Left OFC sulcogyral pattern, n (%)				0.30	0.86
Type I	64 (50)	70 (48)			
Type II	25 (20)	27 (18)			
Type III	39 (30)	49 (34)			

^a Estimated IQ measured with the Dutch version of the National Adult Reading Test (DART; Amsterdam) (Schmand et al., 1991), the vocabulary subscale of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale – Third Edition (WAIS-III; Barcelona) (Wechsler, 1997); the National Adult Reading Test (NART; Wollongong) (Nelson, 1982), and the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI; Melbourne) (Wechsler, 1999).

^b Depressive symptoms measured with the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI; Amsterdam, Barcelona, Melbourne) (Beck et al., 1961) and Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D; Wollongong) (Hamilton, 1960); and subsequently standardised to a z-score based on reported population means (Crawford et al., 2011; Zimmerman et al., 2004).

^c StDr/mth = standard drinks per month; Cig/mth = cigarettes smoked per month; OFC = orbitofrontal cortex; HC = healthy controls; CB = cannabis users.

** $p < 0.001$.

from the ANCOVA results, in a mediation model. CB use level was adopted as a mediator between OFC pattern types (categorical factor) and depressive symptoms. The mediation model was tested using the Hayes PROCESS macro (2.16.2), instead of the causal step approach (wherein each path of the model is ascertained separately), due to criticisms of the latter approach (Hayes, 2016; Hayes, 2013; Hayes, 2009). Indirect effect was calculated using bias-corrected bootstrap confidence interval (CI) method, with 5000 resamples.

3. Results

3.1. Demographics

Sample data and OFC pattern distribution of HC and CB users are presented in Table 1. HC and CB users were matched by age, gender, and alcohol use level. CB users had a significantly lower IQ ($p < 0.001$), greater depressive symptoms ($p < 0.001$), and used more cigarettes per month ($p < 0.001$) than HC. Further sample characteristics by imaging site are provided in Supplementary Table 2.

Prior to the main analysis, χ^2 tests within each of HC and CB groups, examined across imaging sites, within and across continents, suggested no significant differences in OFC pattern distribution associated with imaging site, and within or across continents (Supplementary Table 3). Nor did OFC pattern distribution differ significantly by gender, IQ, and demographic variables in the right and left hemispheres (Table 2). Therefore we did not include any of these variables as factors in any further analyses.

3.2. OFC pattern type distribution across groups – HC vs. CB

χ^2 tests of OFC pattern distribution between HC and CB users, indicated that both groups did not differ significantly in right ($\chi^2(2) = 1.06$, $p = 0.59$) or left ($\chi^2(2) = 0.30$, $p = 0.86$) OFC pattern distribution.

3.3. OFC pattern association with level of CB use

To discern the association between OFC pattern type and level of CB

use, three separate ANCOVAs were performed (i.e. one each for CB use levels – age of onset of regular use, current monthly use, and cumulative lifetime use). As CB use levels were strongly correlated (range of $r = -0.301$ – 0.622 , $p < 0.001$), the remaining two CB use levels were used as covariates in all three ANCOVA analyses. For this analysis, six CB users (all males) were excluded from the original sample due to missing CB use levels, resulting in a final sample of 140 CB users.² Cumulative lifetime CB use of two users was winsorised to reduce their influence (459,000 and 864,000 respectively replaced with 355,712 and 356,704, i.e., 3.00 and 3.01 standard deviations above the mean). IQ and tobacco use, while differing between HC and CB users, were not adopted as covariates, as they were not significantly related to OFC pattern type (Table 2), nor did they affect the association between OFC pattern type and level of CB use as covariates in the subsequent analysis.

ANCOVA with right and left OFC pattern as fixed factor showed that the right OFC pattern was significantly associated with cumulative lifetime use (Table 2; $F_{2,133} = 3.91$, $p = 0.022$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.055$).³ After false discovery rate (FDR) correction (B-Y method (Benjamini and Yekutieli, 2001), critical value = 0.02) the strength of this association was reduced to trend-level. We observed that those CB users with right OFC Type III pattern had higher cumulative lifetime use ($M = 73,539$, $SD = 89,776$) than those with Type I ($M = 49,393$, $SD = 70,590$, $p = 0.010$, 95% CI [7314, 52,249]) or Type II ($M = 38,738$, $SD = 45,937$, $p = 0.039$, 95% CI [1499, 57,799]), controlling for age of onset of regular use and current monthly use (Fig. 2). We therefore adopted cumulative lifetime CB use as a mediator in the subsequent

² The six excluded CB users did not differ in age ($M = 26.33$, $SD = 11.91$, $n = 6$; $t(144) = -0.49$, $p = 0.62$), IQ ($M = 103.58$, $SD = 9.20$, $n = 5$; $t(141) = 0.00$, $p = 1.00$), alcohol use ($M = 22.40$, $SD = 18.08$, $n = 5$; $t(139) = 0.20$, $p = 0.85$), and tobacco use ($M = 92.00$, $SD = 141.19$, $n = 4$; $t(140) = 1.43$, $p = 0.15$) from non-excluded CB users. Nor did they differ significantly in OFC sulcogyral pattern type distribution (n of Type I:II:III = 2:3:1, $\chi^2(2) = 3.13$, $p = 0.21$; and n of Type I:II:III = 3:1:2, $\chi^2(2) = 0.02$, $p = 0.99$ for the right and left hemispheres respectively) from the non-excluded CB users. However they did report lower depressive symptoms ($M = -0.80$, $SD = 0.15$, $n = 6$; $t(142) = 2.27$, $p = 0.03$) than non-excluded CB users.

³ ANCOVA with unwinsorised data suggest however that results no longer hold ($F_{2,133} = 2.22$, $p = 0.11$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.032$).

Table 2
Comparison of differences in orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) pattern distribution in all participants by demographic, IQ, and cannabis use levels.

	Right hemisphere			N	F/χ ²	p
	Type I	Type II	Type III			
N	132	52	90			
Age	26.90 (9.86)	26.34 (8.48)	28.75 (10.40)	274	1.41	0.25
Gender (% M/F)	69.70/30.30	69.20/30.80	67.80/32.20	274	0.09	0.95
IQ ^a	106.58 (11.74)	104.91 (8.85)	106.52 (10.75)	270	0.54	0.58
Depressive symptoms ^b	- 0.04 (1.07)	- 0.11 (0.84)	- 0.02 (1.09)	267	0.16	0.85
Alcohol (StDr/mth) ^c	22.88 (26.32)	22.72 (25.26)	21.14 (21.22)	264	0.29	0.87
Tobacco (Cig/mth) ^c	161.53 (221.55)	127.19 (207.63)	172.48 (236.13)	267	0.47	0.63
Cannabis use (N) ^d	67	28	45			
Onset regular use (years)	17.73 (3.09)	18.16 (4.05)	17.84 (3.41)	140	0.15	0.86
Current use (cones/month)	371.73 (402.87)	280.48 (216.76)	327.46 (243.54)	140	2.31	0.10
Lifetime use (cones)	49,392.85 (70,590.47)	38,737.82 (45,936.98)	73,538.91 (89,776.01)	140	3.91	0.02*

	Left hemisphere			N	F/χ ²	p
	Type I	Type II	Type III			
N	134	52	88			
Age	27.05 (9.59)	25.97 (8.71)	28.78 (10.66)	274	1.61	0.20
Gender (% M/F)	64.90/35.10	73.10/26.90	72.70/27.30	274	2.02	0.37
IQ ^a	105.62 (11.81)	105.94 (10.32)	107.39 (9.82)	270	0.79	0.45
Depressive symptoms ^b	- 0.08 (0.97)	- 0.05 (1.08)	- 0.01 (1.11)	267	0.16	0.86
Alcohol (StDr/mth) ^c	22.23 (23.38)	24.44 (27.41)	21.14 (24.61)	264	0.29	0.75
Tobacco (Cig/mth) ^c	149.63 (207.43)	138.73 (208.58)	160.74 (235.55)	267	0.18	0.83
Cannabis use (N) ^d	67	26	47			
Onset regular use (years)	17.78 (3.50)	18.14 (2.84)	17.80 (3.55)	140	0.11	0.90
Current use (cones/month)	323.48 (244.38)	319.04 (345.98)	372.92 (410.44)	140	0.09	0.92
Lifetime use (cones)	53,420.97 (74,265.86)	40,971.54 (54,277.86)	65,080.13 (83,109.55)	140	0.67	0.51

^a Estimated IQ measured with the Dutch version of the National Adult Reading Test (DART; Amsterdam) (Schmand et al., 1991), the vocabulary subscale of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale – Third Edition (WAIS-III; Barcelona) (Wechsler, 1997); the National Adult Reading Test (NART; Wollongong) (Nelson, 1982), and the Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI; Melbourne) (Wechsler, 1999).

^b Depressive symptoms measured with the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI; Amsterdam, Barcelona, Melbourne) (Beck et al., 1961) and Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D; Wollongong) (Hamilton, 1960); and subsequently standardised to a z-score based on reported population means (Crawford et al., 2011; Zimmerman et al., 2004).

^c StDr/mth = standard drinks per month; Cig/mth = cigarettes smoked per month.

^d ANCOVA on cannabis use level in cannabis users only, with right and left hemisphere OFC pattern as fixed factors, and the other two CB use levels as covariates. Cumulative lifetime CB use of two users has been winsorised to reduce their influence (459,000 and 864,000 respectively replaced with 355,712 and 356,704, i.e., 3.00 and 3.01 standard deviations above the mean).

* p < 0.05.




Fig. 2. Cumulative lifetime use in cannabis (CB) users by right orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) pattern type, *p < 0.05. Error bars represent 95% confidence interval.

mediation model between OFC pattern type and depressive symptoms. No other significant association was found.

3.4. Lifetime CB use as mediator between OFC pattern and depressive symptoms

Given the higher incidence of depressive symptoms in our sample of CB users compared to HC, and the OFC's role in the manifestation of affective dysregulation in substance dependence (Diekhof et al., 2008), we explored cumulative lifetime CB use as a mediator between right OFC Type III pattern and depressive symptoms. The right OFC Type III pattern was modeled as a categorical variable (i.e. presence versus absence of Type III). Covariates included current monthly CB use and age of onset of regular use, which did and did not significantly affect the model, respectively.

Within CB users, having a right OFC Type III pattern was not directly associated with depressive symptoms. However, as shown in Fig. 3, right OFC Type III pattern was indirectly associated with higher depressive symptoms, through greater amount of lifetime CB use (b = 0.14, 95% CI [0.04, 0.30]).⁴ Given the significant difference between HC and CB users in IQ and tobacco use level, we further conducted a second mediation analysis with lifetime CB use, IQ, and tobacco use as joint mediators to rule out the influence of these confounders on the model (Supplementary Fig. 1). Both IQ and tobacco

⁴ Mediation model remains valid and significant when re-analysed with unwinsorised data.




Fig. 3. Cumulative lifetime cannabis (CB) use as mediator between right orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) pattern type (categorical, Type III vs. non-Type III) and depressive symptoms in CB users; indirect effect, $b = 0.14$, 95% CI = [0.04, 0.30].

use were not significant mediators of the model, and they did not significantly influence the association between right OFC Type III, lifetime CB use, and depressive symptoms.

4. Discussion

Our study was the first to investigate OFC sulcogyral pattern – largely determined by birth (Chi et al., 1977) and measured via a validated classification method (Bartholomeusz et al., 2013; Chiavaras and Petrides, 2000) – in relation to CB use, CB use levels and associated depressive symptoms. We found no significant differences between the CB and HC groups, in contrast with our first hypothesis. However, those CB users with right OFC Type III pattern (relative to Type I and II) had higher cumulative lifetime CB use; and cumulative use in turn mediated an indirect association between right OFC Type III pattern and higher depressive symptoms. Our findings support the hypothesis that specific OFC gyrfication patterns (i.e. Type III pattern) are associated with greater lifetime cannabis use, and are furthermore consistent with previous studies demonstrating greater CB use to be associated with higher depressive symptoms (Crane et al., 2015). In sum, OFC pattern type did not contribute to the risk of becoming a CB user, but had an impact on individuals who became CB users, by predisposing them to greater levels of use throughout their lifetime. These users with greater levels of lifetime CB use may subsequently experience greater depressive symptoms.

Our findings suggest that Type III pattern in the right hemisphere may predispose individuals to greater levels of CB use. This may occur via the altered emotional and cognitive features associated with Type III pattern – such as poor regulatory control, including higher negative emotionality, impulsivity, and stress reactivity (Nakamura et al., 2007; Whittle et al., 2014). The OFC function is relevant to regulatory control as it is involved in monitoring and processing motivational information, therefore supporting context-appropriate behaviour (Diekhof et al., 2008; Kringelbach and Rolls, 2004; Tremblay and Schultz, 1999). Impaired regulatory function of the OFC could thus manifest as a maladaptively intense drive to procure substances, facilitating greater and more compulsive substance-seeking (Volkow and Fowler, 2000). Indeed, the temperamental variables linked to Type III pattern (e.g. higher negative emotionality, impulsivity, stress reactivity, and sensation-seeking (Nakamura et al., 2007; Whittle et al., 2014)) have been associated with CB use – increased use frequency and risk of lifetime use (Creemers et al., 2010; Creemers et al., 2009). Nevertheless, future studies will be necessary to substantiate the link between OFC neuroanatomy, temperamental variables of behaviour regulation, and substance use.

In our exploratory analyses, we further showed that higher lifetime CB use is associated with higher depressive symptoms, thus mediating

an indirect association between Type III pattern and greater depressive symptoms. This is consistent with previous evidence linking heavy CB use and depressive symptoms (Crane et al., 2015) or risk of developing depressive disorders (Lev-Ran et al., 2014). We suggest that the Type III pattern may reflect poor regulatory control, which underlies the greater level of CB use, and in turn higher CB-use-related depressive symptoms in users. In substantiation of our claim, CB use and greater depressive symptoms have been demonstrated to co-occur in adolescents with low levels of self-control (Otten et al., 2010). Additionally in a study of abstinent substance-dependent users, measures of self-regulation and emotional functioning were directly correlated (Verdejo-García et al., 2007), suggesting regulatory control to be relevant to the manifestation of emotional disturbances such as depressive symptoms. Unfortunately, as we do not have a direct measure of ‘regulatory control’, we are unable to examine the contribution of this trait behaviour in both OFC pattern and related psychopathologies. Our findings contrast previously reported association between right OFC Type I pattern (not Type III) and higher depressive symptoms in a community sample of non-CB-using adolescents (Whittle et al., 2014). This may reflect the specific interaction between CB use and pattern type on emerging depressive symptoms, with higher depressive symptoms in CB users with OFC Type III pattern manifesting as a result of prolonged high levels of use. However in our sample of HCs, we did not find any association between OFC pattern type and depressive symptoms either (analysis not shown, $p = 0.67$ and $p = 0.77$ for left and right hemisphere respectively). We speculate our lack of finding to be due to the age difference between our adult sample and Whittle et al.’s (2014) adolescent sample, as emotional regulation may develop with age (McRae et al., 2012).

It is noteworthy that the association we found between lifetime CB use and OFC pattern was confined to the right hemisphere. The right hemisphere is suggested to be specialised for socioemotional functioning and emotion management (Borod 1992; Schore 2001; Tranel et al. 2002). Greater right over left frontal activation has also been linked to greater negative affective response and difficulties with affective regulation, that may be related to both internalising (e.g. anxious behaviour) and externalising (e.g. aggressive behaviour) problems (Wheeler et al. 1993; Fox et al. 1996). An overwhelming percentage of studies investigating OFC pattern type have found evidence linking pattern Type III and psychopathology in the right hemisphere (Chakirova et al., 2010; Takayanagi et al., 2010; Bartholomeusz et al., 2013). Overall, our study along with the examples above contribute to evidence of the lateralisation of OFC function (Happaney et al. 2004), possibly related to asymmetry in the timeline and functional specialization of cortical development (Toga and Thompson, 2003).

The OFC classification method used in this study is both valid and reliable, and minimally affected by confounders including gender differences and imaging sites. In support of the reliability of our OFC classification, the percentage distribution of OFC pattern found in our sample (Type I:II:III = 49:19:32%) was similar to that of previously examined samples of healthy controls (aggregated means of Type I:II:III = 60:19:20%; $\chi^2(2) = 4.32$, $p = 0.12$) (Bartholomeusz et al., 2013; Chakirova et al., 2010; Chiavaras and Petrides, 2000; Cropley et al., 2015; Ganella et al., 2015; Isomura et al., 2017; Lavoie et al., 2014; Nakamura et al., 2007; Nishikawa et al., 2015; Takahashi et al., 2014, 2015; Takayanagi et al., 2010; Uehara-Aoyama et al., 2011; Watanabe et al., 2014; Whittle et al., 2014). We also did not find any gender-related differences in OFC pattern distribution, consistent with most previous studies (Uehara-Aoyama et al., 2011; Whittle et al., 2014). Finally, we did not observe any imaging site related differences, which points to the reliability of OFC pattern classification across different scanners in our sample. While there is no single conclusive study on the stability of the OFC pattern across countries and populations, it nevertheless appears to be a potentially stable morphological trait marker, useful for understanding temperament, behaviour, and psychopathology.

We acknowledge some limitations of our study. Firstly, while we propose self-regulatory deficits related to OFC Type III pattern to predispose CB users to greater levels of use, we did not have measures of behavioural self-regulation. As such, we were unable to examine the relationship between behavioural regulation and OFC pattern type. This may represent a possible future avenue for investigation. Second, depressive symptoms were only assessed cross-sectionally at the time of assessment. Therefore we cannot discern to what extent depressive symptoms precipitated CB use, and whether OFC pattern may have informed depressive symptoms prior to CB initiation. We also did not examine other measures of affective disturbances such as anxiety, in relation to CB use. We adopted depressive (rather than anxiety) symptoms as an index of affective disturbance due to the link between CB use and subsequent depressive symptoms (Degenhardt et al., 2003), and on grounds of anxiety symptoms possibly precipitating rather than being a consequence of CB use (Stapinski et al., 2016). Furthermore, not all imaging sites collected information on anxiety symptoms. Future longitudinal studies will be necessary to fully explore whether OFC pattern is associated with differences in depressive and anxiety symptoms prior to CB use, or whether such outcomes arose as a result of continual CB exposure. Additionally, as genetic factors may affect cortical folding patterns (Atkinson et al., 2015), genetic or epigenetic factors guiding early neurodevelopment may present as more robust biomarkers of CB use level (Agrawal and Lynskey, 2006; Ystrom et al., 2014), as compared to OFC sulcogyral pattern. Multifactorial models integrating genetic, neurobiological, and behavioural factors will be necessary to provide a more complete account of the causal influences on CB use. Finally, we did not find any association between OFC pattern and alcohol or tobacco use. The specificity of the association between OFC pattern and greater lifetime CB use, rather than other substance (alcohol and tobacco) use may be due to the fact that alcohol and tobacco use were quantified as ‘monthly use’ in our study, rather than ‘cumulative use’. Indeed, we also found no association with current monthly CB use. Given that short-term measures of use (i.e. monthly, compared to lifetime use) may fluctuate with situational changes, these may be less reliably associated with stable trait markers such as OFC pattern. However, further clarification in users of other substances (e.g. alcohol, tobacco) will be necessary.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, while there was no particular OFC pattern Type that conferred risk for CB use versus non-use, OFC Type III pattern in the right hemisphere was associated with greater lifetime use in CB users. Greater lifetime CB use was in turn associated with greater depressive symptoms in CB users. Future longitudinal work is needed to ascertain the extent to which OFC pattern informs pre-existing temperamental features that may contribute towards substance use. Meanwhile, comprehensive examination and integration of various factors including genetic, ethnic, and prenatal factors might provide insight regarding the moderators of OFC pattern distribution across populations. This may in turn support the use of OFC pattern type as a stable trait marker to further understand pre-existing vulnerabilities that influence substance use level among CB and/or other substance users, and mental health problems, in general or specifically associated with substance use.

Ethical standards

The authors assert that all procedures contributing to this work comply with the ethical standards of the relevant national and institutional committees on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.

Financial support and acknowledgement

Original data collection was supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research–Health Research and Development, ZON-Mw [AG, grant #31180002]; an Amsterdam Brain Imaging Platform grant [JC]; Plan Nacional sobre Drogas. Ministerio de Sanidad y Política Social [RMS, grant PNSD:2011/050 and SGR:2014/1114]; the Clive and Vera Ramaciotti Foundation for Biomedical Research [NS]; the Schizophrenia Research Institute with NSW Health [NS]; and the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia Project Grant [NS, #459111].

MY was supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia Fellowship [App#1117188] and the David Winston Turner Endowment Fund. EPG was supported by the University of Melbourne and CRC for Mental Health PhD top-up scholarship.

Conflict of interest

None.

Author contribution

VL and MY designed the study; NS, MY, AB, JC, AEG, RMS, SW, and VL collected the data; YC, AEG, and CB contributed to the data analysis and interpretation; YC wrote the manuscript, while CB, VL, NS, and CS provided critical revision to the manuscript. All authors have approved the final article.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.07.017>.

References

- Agrawal, A., Lynskey, M.T., 2006. The genetic epidemiology of cannabis use, abuse and dependence. *Addiction* 101, 801–812.
- Amico, F., Meisenzahl, E., Koutsouleris, N., Reiser, M., Möller, H.J., Frodl, T., 2011. Structural MRI correlates for vulnerability and resilience to major depressive disorder. *J. Psychiatry Neurosci.* 36, 15–22.
- Armstrong, E., Schleicher, A., Omran, H., Curtis, M., Zilles, K., 1995. The ontogeny of human gyrification. *Cereb. Cortex* 5, 56–63.
- Ashtari, M., Avants, B., Cyckowski, L., Cervellione, K.L., Roofeh, D., Cook, P., Gee, J., Sevy, S., Kumra, S., 2011. Medial temporal structures and memory functions in adolescents with heavy cannabis use. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 45, 1055–1066.
- Atkinson, E.G., Rogers, J., Mahaney, M.C., Cox, L.A., Cheverud, J.M., 2015. Cortical folding of the primate brain: An interdisciplinary examination of the genetic architecture, modularity, and evolvability of a significant neurological trait in pedigree baboons (genus *papio*). *Genetics* 200, 651–665.
- Bartholomeusz, C.F., Whittle, S.L., Montague, A., Ansell, B., McGorry, P.D., Velakoulis, D., Pantelis, C., Wood, S.J., 2013. Sulcogyral patterns and morphological abnormalities of the orbitofrontal cortex in psychosis. *Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 44, 168–177.
- Batalla, A., Soriano-mas, C., López-solà, M., Torrens, M., Crippa, J.A., Bhattacharyya, S., Blanco-hinojo, L., Fagundo, A.B., Harrison, B.J., Nogué, S., Torre, R. De, Farré, M., Pujol, J., Martín-santos, R., 2013. Modulation of brain structure by catechol-O-methyltransferase Val158Met polymorphism in chronic cannabis users. *Addict. Biol.* 19, 722–732.
- Battistella, G., Fornari, E., Annoni, J.-M., Chtioui, H., Dao, K., Fabritius, M., Favrat, B., Mall, J.-F., Maeder, P., Giroud, C., 2014. Long-term effects of cannabis on brain structure. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 39, 2041–2048.
- Beck, A.T., Ward, C.H., Mendelson, M.M., Mock, J.J., Erbaugh, J., 1961. An inventory for measuring depression. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 4, 561–571.
- Beer, J.S., John, O.P., Scabini, D., Knight, R.T., 2006. Orbitofrontal cortex and social behavior: integrating self-monitoring and emotion-cognition interactions. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 18, 871–879.
- Benjamini, Y., Yekutieli, D., 2001. The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. *Ann. Stat.* 29, 1165–1188.
- Borod, J.C., 1992. Interhemispheric and intrahemispheric control of emotion: a focus on unilateral brain damage. *J. Consult. Clin. Psychol.* 60, 339–348.
- Bremner, J.D., Vythilingam, M., Vermetten, E., Nazeer, A., Adil, J., Khan, S., Staib, L.H., Charney, D.S., 2002. Reduced volume of orbitofrontal cortex in major depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 51, 273–279.
- Chakirova, G., Welch, K.A., Moorhead, T.W.J., Stanfield, A.C., Hall, J.A., Skehel, P.,

- Brown, V.J., Johnstone, E.C., Owens, D.G.C., Lawrie, S.M., McIntosh, A.M., 2010. Orbitofrontal morphology in people at high risk of developing schizophrenia. *Eur. Psychiatry* 25, 366–372.
- Cheetham, A., Allen, N.B., Whittle, S., Simmons, J.G., Yücel, M., Lubman, D.I., 2011. Orbitofrontal volumes in early adolescence predict initiation of cannabis use: a 4-year longitudinal and prospective study. *Biol. Psychiatry* 71, 684–692.
- Chi, J.G., Dooling, E.C., Gilles, F.H., 1977. Gyral development of the human brain. *Ann. Neurol.* 1, 86–93.
- Chiavaras, M.M., Petrides, M., 2000. Orbitofrontal sulci of the human and macaque monkey brain. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 422, 35–54.
- Churchwell, J.C., Lopez-Larson, M., Yurgelun-Todd, D.A., 2010. Altered frontal cortical volume and decision making in adolescent cannabis users. *Front. Psychol.* 1, 1–8.
- Cousijn, J., Wiers, R.W., Ridderinkhof, K.R., Brink, W. Van Den, Veltman, D.J., Goudriaan, A.E., 2012. Grey matter alterations associated with cannabis use: results of a VBM study in heavy cannabis users and healthy controls. *NeuroImage* 59, 3845–3851.
- Crane, N.A., Langenecker, S.A., Mermelstein, R.J., 2015. Gender differences in the associations among marijuana use, cigarette use, and symptoms of depression during adolescence and young adulthood. *Addict. Behav.* 49, 33–39.
- Crawford, J., Cayley, C., Lovibond, P.F., Wilson, P.H., Hartley, C., 2011. Percentile norms and accompanying interval estimates from an Australian general adult population sample for self-report mood scales (BAI, BDI, CRS-D, CES-D, DASS, DASS-21, STAI-X, STAI-Y, SRDS, and SRAS). *Aust. Psychol.* 46, 3–14.
- Creemers, H.E., Verhulst, F.C., Huizink, A.C., 2009. Temperamental risk factors for adolescent cannabis use: a systematic review of prospective general population studies. *Subst. Use Misuse* 44, 1833–1854.
- Creemers, H.E., Dijkstra, J.K., Vollebergh, W.A.M., Ormel, J., Verhulst, F.C., Huizink, A.C., 2010. Predicting life-time and regular cannabis use during adolescence; the roles of temperament and peer substance use: the TRAILS study. *Addiction* 105, 699–708.
- Cropley, V.L., Bartholomeusz, C.F., Wu, P., Wood, S.J., Proffitt, T., Brewer, W., Desmond, P.M., Velakoulis, D., Pantelis, C., 2015. Investigation of orbitofrontal sulcogyral pattern in chronic schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Res.* 234, 280–283.
- Degenhardt, L., Hall, W., Lynskey, M., 2003. Exploring the association between cannabis use and depression. *Addiction* 98, 1493–1504.
- Diekhof, E.K., Falkai, P., Gruber, O., 2008. Functional neuroimaging of reward processing and decision-making: a review of aberrant motivational and affective processing in addiction and mood disorders. *Brain Res. Rev.* 59, 164–184.
- Drevets, W.C., 2007. Orbitofrontal cortex function and structure in depression. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1121, 499–527.
- Elkins, L.J., King, S.M., McGue, M., Iacono, W.G., 2006. Personality traits and the development of nicotine, alcohol, and illicit drug disorders: prospective links from adolescence to young adulthood. *J. Abnorm. Psychol.* 115, 26–39.
- European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2016. European drug report 2016: trends and developments. In: *European Monitoring of Drugs and Drugs Addiction*.
- Filbey, F.M., Dunlop, J., 2014. Differential reward network functional connectivity in cannabis dependent and non-dependent users. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 140, 101–111.
- Filbey, F.M., Yezhuvath, U., 2013. Functional connectivity in inhibitory control networks and severity of cannabis use disorder. *Am. J. Drug Alcohol Abuse* 39, 382–391.
- Fox, N.A., Schmidt, L.A., Calkins, S.D., Rubin, K.H., Coplan, R.J., 1996. The role of frontal activation in the regulation and dysregulation of social behavior during the preschool years. *Dev. Psychopathol.* 8, 89–102.
- Frodil, T., Bokde, A.L.W., Scheuerecker, J., Liseiecka, D., Schoepf, V., Hampel, H., Möller, H., Brückmann, H., Wiesmann, M., Meisenzahl, E., 2010. Functional connectivity bias of the orbitofrontal cortex in drug-free patients with major depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 67, 161–167.
- Ganella, E.P., Burnett, A., Cheong, J., Thompson, D., Roberts, G., Wood, S., Lee, K., Duff, J., Anderson, P.J., Pantelis, C., Doyle, L.W., Bartholomeusz, C., 2015. Abnormalities in orbitofrontal cortex gyrification and mental health outcomes in adolescents born extremely preterm and/or at an extremely low birth weight. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 36, 1138–1150.
- Hamilton, M., 1960. Hamilton depression rating scale (HDRS). *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 23, 56–62.
- Happaney, K., Zelazo, P.D., Stuss, D.T., 2004. Development of orbitofrontal function: Current themes and future directions. *Brain Cogn.* 55, 1–10.
- Hayes, A.F., 2009. Beyond Baron and Kenny: statistical mediation analysis in the new millennium. *Commun. Monogr.* 76, 408–420.
- Hayes, A.F., 2013. *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach*. The Guilford Press, New York.
- Hayes, A.F., 2016. Regression-based statistical mediation and moderation analysis in clinical research: observations, recommendations, and implementation. *Behav. Res. Ther.* 1–24.
- Isomura, S., Hashimoto, R., Nakamura, M., Hirano, Y., Yamashita, F., Jimbo, S., Yamamori, H., Fujimoto, M., Yasuda, Y., Mears, R.P., Onitsuka, T., 2017. Altered sulcogyral patterns of orbitofrontal cortex in a large cohort of patients with schizophrenia. *NPJ Schizophr.* 3. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41537-016-0008-y>.
- Jacobus, J., Castro, N., Squeglia, L.M., Meloy, M.J., Brumback, T., Huestis, M.A., Tapert, S.F., 2016. Adolescent cortical thickness pre- and post marijuana and alcohol initiation. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 57, 20–29.
- Klimes-Dougan, B., Garber, J., 2016. Regulatory control and depression in adolescents: findings from neuroimaging and neuropsychological research. *J. Clin. Child Adolesc. Psychol.* 4416, 1–5.
- Kringelbach, M.L., Rolls, E.T., 2004. The functional neuroanatomy of the human orbitofrontal cortex: evidence from neuroimaging and neuropsychology. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 72, 341–372.
- Krueger, R.F., 1999. Personality traits in late adolescence predict mental disorders in early adulthood: a prospective-epidemiological study. *J. Pers.* 67, 39–65.
- Kühn, S., Witt, C., Banaschewski, T., Barbot, A., Barker, G.J., Büchel, C., Conrod, P.J., Flor, H., Garavan, H., Ittermann, B., Mann, K., Martinot, J.-L., Paus, T., Rietschel, M., Smolka, M.N., Ströhle, A., Brühl, R., Schumann, G., Heinz, A., Gallinat, J., 2016. From mother to child: orbitofrontal cortex gyrification and changes of drinking behaviour during adolescence. *Addict. Biol.* 21, 700–708.
- Lacerda, A.L.T., Keshavan, M.S., Hardan, A.Y., Yorbik, O., Brambilla, P., Sassi, R.B., Nicoletti, M., Mallinger, A.G., Frank, E., Kupfer, D.J., Soares, J.C., 2004. Anatomic evaluation of the orbitofrontal cortex in major depressive disorder. *Biol. Psychiatry* 55, 353–358.
- Lavoie, S., Bartholomeusz, C.F., Nelson, B., Lin, A., McGorry, P.D., Velakoulis, D., Whittle, S.L., Yung, A.R., Pantelis, C., Wood, S.J., 2014. Sulcogyral pattern and sulcal count of the orbitofrontal cortex in individuals at ultra high risk for psychosis. *Schizophr. Res.* 154, 93–99.
- Lev-Ran, S., Roerecke, M., Le Foll, B., George, T.P., McKenzie, K., Rehm, J., 2014. The association between cannabis use and depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychol. Med.* 44, 797–810.
- Lorenzetti, V., Solowij, N., Yücel, M., 2016. The role of cannabinoids in neuroanatomic alterations in cannabis users. *Biol. Psychiatry* 79, e17–e31.
- Matochik, J.A., Eldreth, D.A., Cadet, J.-L., Bolla, K.I., 2005. Altered brain tissue composition in heavy marijuana users. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 77, 23–30.
- McRae, K., Gross, J.J., Weber, J., Robertson, E.R., Sokol-Hessner, P., Ray, R.D., Gabrieli, J.D.E., Ochsner, K.N., 2012. The development of emotion regulation: an fMRI study of cognitive reappraisal in children, adolescents and young adults. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 7, 11–22.
- Nakamura, M., Nestor, P.G., McCarley, R.W., Levitt, J.J., Hsu, L., Kawashima, T., Niznikiewicz, M., Shenton, M.E., 2007. Altered orbitofrontal sulcogyral pattern in schizophrenia. *Brain* 130, 693–707.
- Nakamura, M., Nestor, P.G., Levitt, J.J., Cohen, A.S., Kawashima, T., Shenton, M.E., McCarley, R.W., 2008. Orbitofrontal volume deficit in schizophrenia and thought disorder. *Brain* 131, 180–195.
- Nelson, H.E., 1982. National Adult Reading Test. NFER-Nelson, Windsor, UK.
- Nishikawa, Y., Takahashi, T., Takayanagi, Y., Furuichi, A., Kido, M., Nakamura, M., Sasabayashi, D., Noguchi, K., Suzuki, M., 2015. Orbitofrontal sulcogyral pattern and olfactory sulcus depth in the schizophrenia spectrum. *Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.*
- Otten, R., Barker, E.D., Maughan, B., Arseneault, L., Engels, R.C.M.E., 2010. Self-control and its relation to joint developmental trajectories of cannabis use and depressive mood symptoms. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 112, 201–208.
- Pagliaccio, D., Barch, D.M., Bogdan, R., Wood, P.K., Lynskey, M.T., Heath, A.C., Agrawal, A., 2015. Shared predisposition in the association between cannabis use and subcortical brain structure. *JAMA Psychiatry* 63130, 1–8.
- Schmand, B., Bakker, D., Saan, R., Louman, J., 1991. The Dutch reading test for adults: a measure of premorbid intelligence level. *Tijdschr. Gerontol. Geriatr.* 22, 15–19.
- Schore, A.N., 2001. The effects of early relational trauma on right brain development, affect regulation, and infant mental health. *Infant Ment. Health J.* 22, 201–269.
- Sled, J.G., Zijdenbos, A.P., Evans, A.C., 1998. A nonparametric method for automatic correction of intensity nonuniformity in MRI data. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 17, 87–97.
- Solowij, N., Walterfang, M., Lubman, D.I., Whittle, S., Lorenzetti, V., Styner, M., Velakoulis, D., Pantelis, C., Yücel, M., 2013. Alteration to hippocampal shape in cannabis users with and without schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* 143, 179–184.
- Stapinski, L.A., Montgomery, A.A., Araya, R., 2016. Anxiety, depression and risk of cannabis use: examining the internalising pathway to use among Chilean adolescents. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 166, 109–115.
- Takahashi, T., Nakamura, M., Nakamura, Y., Aleksic, B., Takayanagi, Y., Furuichi, A., Kido, M., Nakamura, M., Sasabayashi, D., Ikeda, M., Noguchi, K., Kaibuchi, K., Iwata, N., Ozaki, N., Suzuki, M., 2014. The polymorphism of YWHAE, a gene encoding 14-3-3epsilon, and orbitofrontal sulcogyral pattern in patients with schizophrenia and healthy subjects. *Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacology Biol. Psychiatry* 51, 166–171.
- Takahashi, T., Nakamura, M., Nakamura, Y., Aleksic, B., Kido, M., Sasabayashi, D., Takayanagi, Y., Furuichi, A., Nishikawa, Y., Noguchi, K., Ozaki, N., Suzuki, M., 2015. The disrupted-in-schizophrenia-1 Ser704Cys polymorphism and brain neurodevelopmental markers in schizophrenia and healthy subjects. *Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacology Biol. Psychiatry* 56, 11–17.
- Takayanagi, Y., Takahashi, T., Orikabe, L., Masuda, N., Mozue, Y., Nakamura, K., Kawasaki, Y., Itokawa, M., Sato, Y., Yamasue, H., Kasai, K., Okazaki, Y., Suzuki, M., 2010. Volume reduction and altered sulco-gyral pattern of the orbitofrontal cortex in first-episode schizophrenia. *Schizophr. Res.* 121, 55–65.
- Toga, A.W., Thompson, P.M., 2003. Mapping brain asymmetry. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 4, 37–48.
- Toro, R., Burnod, Y., 2005. A morphogenetic model for the development of cortical convolutions. *Cereb. Cortex* 15, 1900–1913.
- Tranel, D., Bechara, A., Denburg, N.L., 2002. Asymmetric functional roles of right and left ventromedial prefrontal cortices in social conduct, decision-making, and emotional processing. *Cortex* 38, 589–612.
- Tremblay, L., Schultz, W., 1999. Relative reward preference in primate orbitofrontal cortex. *Nature* 398, 704–708.
- Uehara-Aoyama, K., Nakamura, M., Asami, T., Yoshida, T., Hayano, F., Roppongi, T., Fujiwara, A., Inoue, T., Shenton, M.E., Hirayasu, Y., 2011. Sexually dimorphic distribution of orbitofrontal sulcogyral pattern in schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 65, 483–489.
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2015. *World Drug Report 2015*. United Nations publicationpp. 6 (Sales No. E.15.XI.).
- Verdejo-García, A., Rivas-Pérez, C., Vilar-López, R., Pérez-García, M., 2007. Strategic self-

- regulation, decision-making and emotion processing in poly-substance abusers in their first year of abstinence. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 86, 139–146.
- Volkow, N.D., Fowler, J.S., 2000. Addiction, a disease of compulsion and drive: involvement of the orbitofrontal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 10, 318–325.
- Wagner, D.D., Altman, M., Boswell, R.G., Kelley, W.M., Heatherton, T.F., 2013. Self-regulatory depletion enhances neural responses to rewards and impairs top-down control. *Psychol. Sci.* 24, 2262–2271.
- Watanabe, H., Nakamura, M., Ohno, T., Itahashi, T., Tanaka, E., Ohta, H., Yamada, T., Kanai, C., Iwanami, A., Kato, N., Hashimoto, R., 2014. Altered orbitofrontal sulcogyral patterns in adult males with high-functioning autism spectrum disorders. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 9, 520–528.
- Wechsler, D., 1997. *WAIS-III Administration and Scoring Manual*. The Psychological Corporation, San Antonio, TX.
- Wechsler, D., 1999. *Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence (WASI) Manual*. Psychological Corporation, San Antonio, TX.
- Wheeler, R.E., Davidson, R.J., Tomarken, A.J., 1993. Frontal brain asymmetry and emotional reactivity: a biological substrate of affective style. *Psychophysiology* 30, 82–89.
- White, T., Su, S., Schmidt, M., Kao, C.Y., Sapiro, G., 2010. The development of gyrification in childhood and adolescence. *Brain Cogn.* 72, 36–45.
- Whittle, S., Bartholomeusz, C., Yücel, M., Dennison, M., Vijayakumar, N., Allen, N.B., 2014. Orbitofrontal sulcogyral patterns are related to temperamental risk for psychopathology. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 9, 232–239.
- Ystrom, E., Reichborn-Kjennerud, T., Neale, M.C., Kendler, K.S., 2014. Genetic and environmental risk factors for illicit substance use and use disorders: joint analysis of self and co-twin ratings. *Behav. Genet.* 44, 1–13.
- Yücel, M., Solowij, N., Respondek, C., Whittle, S., Fornito, A., Pantelis, C., Lubman, D.I., 2008. Regional brain abnormalities associated with long-term heavy cannabis use. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 65, 694–701.
- Yücel, M., Lorenzetti, V., Suo, C., Zalesky, A., Fornito, A., Takagi, M.J., Lubman, D.I., Solowij, N., 2016. Hippocampal harms, protection and recovery following regular cannabis use. *Transl. Psychiatry* 6, e710.
- Zheng, W., Chee, M.W.L., Zagorodnov, V., 2009. NeuroImage improvement of brain segmentation accuracy by optimizing non-uniformity correction using N3. *NeuroImage* 48, 73–83.
- Zilles, K., Schleicher, A., Langemann, C., Amunts, K., Morosan, P., Palomero-Gallagher, N., Schormann, T., Mohlberg, H., Bürgel, U., Steinmetz, H., Schlaug, G., Roland, P.E., 1997. Quantitative analysis of sulci in the human cerebral cortex: development, regional heterogeneity, gender difference, asymmetry, intersubject variability and cortical architecture. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 5, 218–221.
- Zimmerman, M., Chelminski, I., Posternak, M., 2004. A review of studies of the Hamilton depression rating scale in healthy controls. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* 192, 595–601.