

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Cytomegalovirus-specific T-cell dynamics in HIV infection

Bronke, C.

Publication date 2005

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Bronke, C. (2005). *Cytomegalovirus-specific T-cell dynamics in HIV infection*. [, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Chapter 1

General Introduction

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

T cells are well known to play a key role in the adaptive immune response to pathogens. Some pathogens, such as viruses, (myco-) bacteria, and parasites infect the host intracellularly, whereas worms, fungi, other parasites and bacteria do not enter cells and remain extracellularly. Both scenarios require a different response. Defence against extracellular pathogens depends upon T helper 2 cells and a strong B-cell response, where antibodies coat the pathogen, allowing for recognition and killing by phagocytosis, or activation of the complement pathway. Antibodies also play a role in intracellular infections by neutralisation of viruses and blocking viral entry. In addition, a T helper 1 cell response is required to control intracellular pathogens by inducing CD8⁺ T cells that recognise the infected cells, and either kill by CTL-mediated cell death or inhibit for instance viral replication by production of cytokines, such as TNF α and IFN γ .

Cytomegalovirus infection

Human cytomegalovirus (CMV) is a double stranded DNA virus of the β -herpes virus family [1]. Depending on socio-economic circumstances, 50 to 100% of the human population is infected. Primary infection is established most likely in mucosal epithelial cells through saliva, but can also occur through blood contact. Since the virus uses many immune evasion strategies, it gets eliminated rarely, if ever [2]. The virus disseminates throughout the body, and remains latently present in many tissues and cell types of the host, most importantly in bone marrow precursors of monocytic peripheral blood cells [3]. Although asymptomatic in immunocompetent hosts, CMV (re-) infection or reactivation can cause serious clinical complications in case of congenital infection, and in immunocompromised individuals such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected subjects and transplant recipients. The possible clinical presentation of CMV end-organ disease is diverse, ranging from retinitis, gastrointestinal disease, pneumonitis, meningo-encephalitis, radiculomyelitis, to a severe life-threatening illness with multi-organ failure (reviewed by Pass et al [3]).

T-cell immunity to CMV

Cellular immunity is thought to play a crucial role in controlling cytomegalovirus replication and prevention of disease [3]. With the development of class I HLA-peptide tetrameric complexes [4-6] and cytokine flow cytometry-based methods [7,8] to quantitate virus-specific CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T-cell frequencies, together with the identification of highly immunodominant proteins and peptides [9,10], it became possible to study CMV-specific T-cell responses, and their role in protection from disease in detail. Stimulation with CMV lysate *in vitro* is widely used to measure IFN γ -producing CMV-specific CD4⁺ T cells [11]. Recent developments in class II HLA-peptide tetrameric complexes will further enable detailed analysis of the CD4⁺ T-cell response to CMV [12-15]. To further characterise T cells, various cell-surface

molecules have been proposed as phenotypic markers with a link to differentiation state and functional properties. CD45RA and CD45RO (isoforms of leukocyte common antigen CD45) are being used in most models, in combination with a number of receptors involved in co-stimulation, activation or lymphocyte homing [16-20]. A commonly used combination is CD45RA or RO in combination with costimulatory receptor CD27, a member of the TNF α super family. T-cell activation induces a shift from CD45RA to CD45RO expression that can be reversed under certain conditions. Since research described in this thesis focused on chronic CMV infection, we chose to use CD45RO. CD27 expression becomes down regulated irreversibly after interaction with its ligand CD70. Based on these two markers, CD8⁺ T cells can be divided into naive CD45RO⁻CD27⁺, memory CD45RO⁺CD27⁺, memory/effector CD45RO⁺CD27⁻ and effector CD45RO⁻CD27⁻ CD8⁺ T cells [16,21]. CD4⁺ T-cell nomenclature differs from CD8⁺ T-cell subsets in that the $CD4^{+}CD45RO^{+}CD27^{-}$ subset is defined as the effector population [22], and the fully differentiated CD4⁺CD45RO⁻CD27 is a rarely observed subset in healthy individuals. Although pathways of T-cell differentiation have been studied extensively, their lineage relationship is still not fully understood. Several models have been proposed based on linear or divergent pathways, and pathways with formation of different memory (and effector) T-cell subsets (reviewed in [23]). During chronic viral infections in human, based on the CD45RO/CD27 nomenclature, T cells have been reported to differentiate from memory towards effector phenotype [21].

CMV in healthy individuals

The immune response to CMV appears to be dominated by the pp65 lower matrix protein, and in HLA-A2 individuals by the NLVPMVATV epitope of the pp65 lower matrix protein specifically [9,10,24]. In combination with CMV lysate, which is known to express high levels of pp65, the CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cell-response against CMV can be analysed. In healthy CMV-seropositive individuals, numbers of CMVspecific CD8⁺ T cells can be readily detected. They have been shown to be heterogeneous for the capability to express IFNy and perforin [25]. In addition, it has been shown that there is a considerable variability over time in both CMV-specific $IFN\gamma^{+}CD8^{+}$ and $IFN\gamma^{+}CD4^{+}$ T-cell responses [26]. Interestingly, a correlation has been shown between IFNy-producing CMV-specific CD4⁺ versus CD8⁺ T-cell frequencies in healthy donors, but not in asymptomatic CMV-seropositive renal transplant recipients who are on standard immunosuppressive drug therapy [27]. In addition, CMV-specific tetramer⁺CD8⁺ T cells are mainly of CD45RO⁺CD27⁺ memory phenotype in the majority of healthy donors and patients before renal transplantation, although some individuals express predominantly a CD45RO⁻CD27⁻ effector phenotype [27]. Another study has shown that CMV-specific IFNy-producing CD8⁺ T cells however, are found mainly in CD45R0⁺CD27⁻ memory/effector or CD45RO⁻CD27⁻ effector subsets [28].

CMV in transplantation

CMV-specific immune responses are studied in transplantation settings and during HIV infection, because it is in these particular conditions that CMV retinitis or other end-organ disease occurs mostly. In CMV-seronegative renal transplant recipients, specific CD8⁺ cytotoxic T cells do develop upon primary CMV infection (through a CMV-seropositive donor organ), regardless the presence or absence of clinical signs and symptoms. However, unlike asymptomatic patients, the CMV-specific IFNγ-producing CD4⁺ T cell response does not precede the specific CD8⁺ T-cell response in symptomatic patients, but is delayed until after commencing antiviral therapy [29]. During CMV reactivation in immunosuppressed transplant recipients, percentages of CMV-specific CD8⁺ T cells are higher and increased over time, shifting to the CD45R0⁻CD27⁻ effector subset [27]. In CMV-seropositive stem cell transplantation patients, it has been described that the presence of a larger fraction of dysfunctional CMV-specific CD8⁺ T cells, and not lower absolute numbers nor frequencies of CD8⁺ or CD4⁺ T cells, is associated with the risk of CMV-related complications [30].

CMV in HIV-infected patients

In the natural course of HIV infection, CMV retinitis and/or other end-organ disease as an AIDS-defining illness [31,32] occurs particularly when CD4⁺ T-cell counts fall below 50 cells/µl. CMV-specific CD4⁺ T cells can be detected at higher numbers in HIV⁺ compared to HIV⁻ subjects, and show a Th1-type response [8]. Higher T-cell proliferative responses to CMV antigen are associated with decreased risk of CMV retinitis [33,34]. CMV-specific CD8⁺ T cells are also present in high numbers, a large proportion expresses effector cytokines [35,36], and they are mainly CD45RO^{- (+)} CD27⁻ CD28⁻ CCR7⁻ perforin⁺ [37-39]. It is still a point of discussion, whether in humans CD4⁺ T-cell help is associated with the activity of cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs), as has been shown in animal models and suggested in human studies [37-39]. Although CMV-related morbidity and mortality was a major problem in individuals progressing to AIDS before the development of highly active anti-retroviral therapy (HAART), at the time the experimental work of this thesis started, little was known about the CMV-specific immune response. In particular progression to CMV endorgan disease in HIV-infected individuals who are not treated with HAART, and receive no CMV medication, was poorly understood. This thesis provides new insights in CMV-specific T-cell responses in these clinical settings.

Scope of the thesis

In this thesis we aimed to determine immunological factors that may contribute to progression to AIDS with CMV end-organ disease. To this end, we analysed dynamics of CMV-specific CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ T cells in terms of number, function and phenotype in the natural course of HIV-1 infection. In chapter 2, dynamics of CMV-specific CD8⁺ T cells, studied longitudinally in terms of numbers, and the expression of functional molecules IFN γ , as well as perforin and granzyme B, are presented. In parallel, analyses of CMV-specific CD4⁺ T-cell response, with IFN γ production as a

read-out, and the measurements of intracellular CMV load in PBMC are described. HIV-infected individuals, who progressed to AIDS with CMV end-organ disease, were compared to progressors to AIDS without CMV end-organ disease and longterm asymptomatics in order to determine which cells may play important roles in protection from disease progression. Chapter 3 describes the results of continued studies of the CD8⁺ CMV-specific T-cell response in more detail by analysis of a panel of frequently used phenotypical markers in order to test if impaired maturation of CMV-specific $CD8^+$ T cells contributed to disease development. In addition, we aimed to define the phenotype of the total CD4 T-cell population in these HIVinfected patients to determine what happens to CMV-driven shaping of the immune response, as described in HIV-negative CMV-seropositive individuals without CMV end-organ disease. Chapter 4 describes the synthesis of MHC class II tetramers in the context of HLA-DR3. Two epitopes from different pathogens were covalently linked to the HLA-DRB1*0301 β-chain and transfected in Drosophila Schneider cells for expression and subsequent protein purification. Since CMV-specific CD4⁺ T cells may play an important role in progression to CMV end-organ disease, the CMVspecific CD4⁺ T-cell response was analysed in detail as described in chapter 5. For the first time CMV-specific HLA-DR3 tetrameric molecules were used in parallel with proliferative capacity, cytokine production (i.e. IFNy and IL-2) and phenotypic analyses. In chapter 6, we present the CMV-specific IFNy-producing T-cell response phenotypically in HIV-infected children with or without continuous CMV shedding. In these children, expansions of the total CD45RA⁺CD27⁻ effector CD8⁺ T cell population correlates with CMV seropositivity as well as CMV shedding. We aimed to define if this expansion was due to CMV-specific CD8⁺ effector T-cell expansions. Chapter 7 presents a longitudinal analysis of HIV-, Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)- and CMV-specific CD8⁺ T cells in rapid (i.e. progressors to AIDS) and slow progressors to AIDS (i.e. long-term asymptomatics), in order to compare virus-specific CD8⁺ T-cell differentiation. Expression of the phenotypic molecule CD27 as well as the effector molecules perforin and granzyme B were measured in relation to viral load. Finally, in chapter 8, the results from the different chapters are discussed in the context of current literature, culminating in some final concluding remarks.

REFERENCES

[1] Mocarski ES, and Coucelle CT. Cytomegaloviruses and their replication. Knipe DM, and Howley PM. Fields Virology, 4th edition. 2001, 76:2629-2673. Philadelphia, USA, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

[2] Reddehase MJ. Antigens and immunoevasins: opponents in cytomegalovirus immune surveillance. Nat Rev Immunol 2002, 2:831-844.

[3] Pass RF. Cytomegalovirus. Knipe DM, and Howley PM. Fields Virology, 4th edition. 2001, 77:2675-2705. Philadelphia, USA, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

[4] Altman JD, Moss PAH, Goulder PJR, Barouch DH, McHeyzer-Williams MG, Bell JI et al. Phenotypic analysis of antigen-specific T lymphocytes. Science 1996, 274:94-96.

[5] McHeyzer-Williams MG, Altman JD, and Davis MM. Tracking antigen-specific helper T cell responses. Curr Opin Immunol 1996, 8:278-284.

[6] Ogg GS, and McMichael A.J. HLA-peptide tetrameric complexes. Curr Opin Immunol. 1998, 10:393-396.

[7] Picker LJ, Singh MK, Zdraveski Z, Treer JR, Waldrop SL, Bergstresser PR et al. Direct demonstration of cytokine synthesis heterogeneity among human memory/effector T cells by flow cytometry. Blood 1995, 86:1408-1419.

[8] Waldrop SL, Pitcher CJ, Peterson DM, Maino VC, and Picker LJ. Determination of antigen-specific memory/effector CD4⁺ T cell frequencies by flow cytometry: evidence for a novel, antigen-specific homeostatic mechanism in HIV-associated immunodeficiency. J Clin Invest 1997, 99:1739-1750.

[9] McLaughlin-Taylor E, Pande H, Forman SJ, Tanamachi B, Li CR, Zaia JA et al. Identification of the major late human cytomegalovirus matrix protein pp65 as a target antigen for CD8⁺ virus-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes. J Med Virol 1994, 43:103-110.

[10] Wills MR, Carmichael AJ, Mynard K, Jin X, Weekes MP, Plachter B et al. The human cytotoxic T-lymphocyte (CTL) response to cytomegalovirus is dominated by structural protein pp65: frequency, specificity, and T-cell receptor usage of pp65-specific CTL. J Virol 1996, 70:7569-7579.

[11] Kettering JD, Schmidt NJ, and Lennette EH. Improved glycine-extracted complementfixing antigen for human cytomegalovirus. JCM 1977, 6:647-649.

[12] Kozono H, White J, Clements J, Marrack P, and Kappler J. Production of soluble MHC calss II proteins with covalently bound single peptides. Nature 1994, 369:151-154.

[13] Zhu X, Bavari S, Ulrich R, Sadegh-Nasseri S, Ferrone S, McHugh L et al. A recombinant single-chain human class II MHC molecule (HLA-DR1) as a covalently linked heterodimer of alpha chain, beta chain, and antigenic peptide, with immunogenicity in vitro and reduced affinity for bacterial superantigens. Eur J Immunol 1997, 27:1933-1941.

[14] Frayser M, Sato AK, Xu L, and Stern LJ. Empty and Peptide-Loaded Class II Major Histocompatibility Complex Proteins Produced by Expression in Escherchia coli and Folding in Vitro. Protein Expression and Purification 1999, 15:105-114.

[15] Novak EJ, Liu AW, Nepom GT, and Kwok WW. MHC class II teramers identify peptide-specific human CD4⁺ T cell proliferating in response to influenza A antigen. J Clin Invest 1999, 104:R63-R67.

[16] Hamann D, Baars PA, Rep MHG, Hooibrink B, Kerkhof-Garde SR, Klein MR et al. Phenotypic and functional separation of memory and effector human CD8⁺ T cells. J Exp Med 1997, 186:1407-1418.

[17] Sallusto F, Lenig D, Forster R, Lipp M, and Lanzavecchia A. Two subsets of memory T lymphocytes with distinct homing potentials and effector functions. Nature 1999, 401:708-712.

[18] Champagne P, Ogg GS, King AS, Knabenhans C, Ellefsen K, Nobile M et al. Skewed maturation of memory HIV-specific CD8 T lymphocytes. Nature 2001, 410:106-111.

[19] Appay V, Dunbar PR, Callan M, Klenerman P, Gillespie GM, Papagno L et al. Memory $CD8^*$ T cells vary in differentiation phenotype in different persistent virus infections. Nat Med 2002, 8:379-385.

[20] van Baarle D, Kostense S, van Oers MH, Hamann D, and Miedema F. Failing immune control as a result of impaired CD8⁺ T-cell maturation: CD27 might provide a clue. Trends Immunol 2002, 23:586-591.

[21] Hamann D, Roos MThL, and Van Lier RAW. Faces and phases of human CD8⁺ T cell development. Immunol Today 1999, 20:177-180.

[22] Baars PA, Maurice MM, Rep M, Hooibrink B, and Van Lier RAW. Heterogeneity of the circulating human CD4⁺ T-cell population: Further evidence that the CD4⁺CD45RA⁻CD27⁻ T-cell subset contains specialized primed cells. J Immunol 1995, 154:17-25.

[23] Kaech SM, Wherry EJ, and Ahmed R. Effector and memory T-cell differentiation: implications for vaccine development. Nature Rev Immunol 2002, 2:251-262.

[24] Kern F, Faulhaber N, Frommel C, Khatamzas E, Prosch S, Schonemann C et al. Analysis of CD8 T cell reactivity to cytomegalovirus using protein-spanning pools of overlapping pentadecapeptides. Eur J Immunol 2000, 30:1676-1682.

[25] Gillespie GMA, Wills MR, Appay V, O'Callaghan C, Murphy M, Smith N et al. Functional heterogeneity and high frequencies of cytomegalovirus-specific $CD8^+$ T lymphocytes in healthy seropositive donors. J Virol 2000, 74:8140-8150.

[26] Dunn HS, Haney DJ, Ghanekar SA, Stepick-Biek P, Lewis DB, and Maecker HT. Dynamics of CD4 and CD8 T cell responses to cytomegalovirus in healthy human donors. J Infect Dis 2002, 186:15-22.

[27] Gamadia LE, Rentenaar RJ, Baars PA, Remmerswaal EBM, Surachno S, Weel JFL et al. Differentiation of cytomegalovirus-specific, CD8⁺ T cells in healthy and immunosuppressed virus carriers. Blood 2001, 98:754-761.

[28] Tomiyama H, Takata H, Matsuda T, and Takiguchi M. Phenotypic classification of human CD8⁺ T cells reflecting their function: inverse correlation between quantitative expression of CD27 and cytotoxic effector function. Eur J Immunol 2004, 34:999-1010.

[29] Gamadia LE, Remmerswaal EBM, Weel JF, Bemelman F, Van Lier RAW, and Ten Berge IJM. Primary immune responses to human CMV: a critical role for IFN-gamma - producing CD4⁺ T cells in protection against CMV disease. Blood 2003, 101:2686-2692.

[30] Özdemir E, St John LS, Gillespie G, Rowland-Jones S, Champlin RE, Molldrem JJ et al. Cytomegalovirus reactivation following allogeneic stem cell transplantation is associated with the presence of dysfunctional antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cells. Blood 2002, 100:3690-3697.

[31] Sison RF, Holland GN, MacArthur LJ, Wheeler NC, and Gottlieb MS. Cytomegalovirus retinopathy as the initial manifestation of the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. Am J Ophthalmol 1991, 112:243-249.

[32] Pertel P, Hirschtick R, Phair J, Chmiel J, Poggensee L, and Murphy R. Risk of developing cytomegalovirus retinitis in persons infected with the human immunodeficiency virus. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1992, 5:1069-1074.

[33] Schrier RD, Freeman WR, Wiley CA, McCutchan JA, and the NHRC group. Immune predispostions for cytomegalovirus retinitis in AIDS. J Clin Invest 1995, 95:1741-1746.

[34] Torriani FJ, Freeman WR, Macdonald JC, Karavellas MP, Durand DM, Jeffrey DD et al. CMV retinitis recurs after stopping treatment in virological and immunological failures of potent antiretroviral therapy. AIDS 2000, 14:173-180.

[35] Appay V, Nixon DF, Donahoe SM, Gillespie GM, Dong T, King A et al. HIV-specific CD8⁺ T cells produce antiviral cytokines but are impaired in cytolytic function. J Exp Med 2000, 192:63-76.

[36] Komanduri KV, Donahoe SM, Moretto WJ, Schmidt DK, Gillespie G, Ogg GS et al. Direct measurement of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell responses to CMV in HIV-1 infected subjects. Virology 2001, 279:459-470.

[37] Matloubian M, Concepcion RJ, and Ahmed R. CD4⁺ T cells are required to sustain CD8⁺ cytotoxic T-cell responses during chronic viral infection. J Virol 1994, 68:8056-8063.

[38] Kostense S, Otto SA, Knol GJ, Manting EH, Nanlohy NM, Jansen C et al. Functional restoration of human immunodeficiency virus and Epstein-Barr virus-specific CD8⁺ T cells during highly active antiretroviral therapy is associated with an increase in CD4⁺ T cells. Eur J Immunol. 2002, 32:1080-1089.

[39] Papagno L, Appay V, Sutton J, Rostron T, Gillespie GM, Ogg GS et al. Comparison between HIV- and CMV-specific T cell responses in long-term HIV infected donors. Clin Exp Immunol 2002, 130:509-517.