

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

The chimera of method

Boomgaard, J.

Publication date
2011

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

See it again, say it again: the artist as researcher

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Boomgaard, J. (2011). The chimerá of method. In J. Wesseling (Ed.), See it again, say it again: the artist as researcher (pp. 57-71). (Antennae series; No. 6). Valiz.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)

See it Again, Say it Again The Artist as Researcher

Janneke Wesseling (ed.)

The Chimera of Method

Jeroen Boomgaard

Research in the arts is making great strides and seems to be heading towards a glittering future. Nevertheless there is still enough opposition from those who believe that art ought to maintain a healthy distance from the formalisation that is typical of the path to a doctorate, as well as from sceptics who think that artists have always carried out research, thus making a PhD is a meaningless endeavour. To a large extent these sceptics and objectors are correct: the combination of art and formal research is troublesome and perhaps even superfluous. It is therefore worth considering what is feasible and whether this involves a new manner of research that actually yields something meaningful.

on the question of the method. If artistic research wants to establish itself as a recognised discipline, then a clear-cut and distinctive method seems necessary. But if art really wants to remain art it can never surrender to a straitjacket that seems to constrict each and every basic principle, method of working and outcome *a priori*. In short, the method is the hallmark of true science, while its absence or avoidance, or indeed its subversion, is the hallmark of true art. This contradistinction is, however, overly simple. Though scholars rely on established methods to gain recognition for their findings, the methods they employ are never undisputed.

The primary concern of the theories of Popper, Kuhn and Feyerabend was the need to establish

a broadly recognised basis for research as well as the impossibility of fixing that basis for the longer term. While Popper wanted to provide science with a dependable basis with his 'principle of falsification' (i.e. a theory can only be regarded as truly proven when it is in principle possible to prove that it is incorrect), Kuhn demonstrated that scientific principles are constructs (paradigms) which stand until they are replaced by another outlook, often after a long and bitter struggle.

you would say, for art to resist this with might and writing that by definition structures the research and optimistic about that, because every researcher is still doing research but also a manner of speaking and/or something to do with power as well; it is a manner of sis of a difference of opinion in this sphere, academics ways in which research can be conducted. On the baognised method; usually there are several conflicting arching procedure. Feyerabend was somewhat overly that he could actually dispose of every form of overturnishes it with its power base. All the more reason ject each other's research conclusions. So method has within the selfsame discipline can whole-heartedly rethere is often no question of a single, generally recdom employed unquestioningly. Within a discipline meticulously, even though the chosen method is selexpected to account for his or her working methods With his Against Method, Feyerabend believed

The only way in which art would be able to maintain its unconditional and a-methodical charac-

evitably leads to new dogmas, which will in turn be only reinforced that self-critical tendency. It has long seriously reflects on its own modi operandi. It is thereof the arts. Every branch of learning that takes itself critical stance is not, however, the exclusive preserve aspects than is the case in existing disciplines. Such a search method that is much more open, much more all the other methods. Research in the arts would of working or the artist's method calls into question ting-edge research. questioned and stretched by a new generation of cutlines Foucault's analysis of the power of discourse has Marxist scholarship of the 1960s, and in most discipwork are called into question on the other. That has premises and the effects of embedding it in a frameguiding principle and a guarantee, while its implicit then primarily distinguish itself by employing a remodus operandi. The artist chooses his or her own way ter in a formal research environment must therefore been accepted that there is a critical tradition that inbeen the basic assumption since the critical, neothat on the one hand the method is employed as a fore a fundamental hallmark of any scholarship focused on questioning the method and its limiting lie in the very emphasis of this rejection of a fixed

Research in art is in turn not as a-methodical as is sometimes suggested. Since the advent of conceptual art in the 1960s, more or less every work of art has been the product of rules that the artist personally formulates in order to subsequently carry them

or combinations thereof, rather than by the timeare therefore shaped by these often implicit systems etical basis, another proceeds more from traditional courses turn out different kinds of students who base ship and exclusion emerge. The country's various MA the rules are formulated, groups or systems of kinin the sciences. For no matter how idiosyncratically and is the basis for schools and movements which can citly, it is a system that is peremptorily present a priori undefined and the rules are rarely formulated explias a work of art. Though the method often remains performance — a result that therefore manifests itself cess, a course of action, possibly even a discussion or a of rules, a system of guiding principles and procedural methods combined means that the method for the arts aura of freedom and arbitrariness. All these specific and even a decision such as 'returning to landscape every artistic production follows a rigid method. forms of artistic practice. The disciplines in the arts For example, while one academy prioritises a theortheir practice on highly diverse forms of rule-making being created — a painting, an installation, a proprecepts chosen by the artist that lead to 'something' basis of the fact that the work of art is the result of a set is general. Art is identified and acknowledged on the artist determines that method for himself and the painting' inevitably falls into this category. Yet every through to their ultimate consequence. In that sense be as at odds with each other as the various methods idiosyncratic character of the rules lends art the

honoured division into painting, photography, video art and so on. However, this does not mean that this system can automatically form the basis for artistic research: this is the method for the creation and acceptance of art; for artistic research more is needed. In exactly the same way scientific disciplines renew themselves by critically examining the tenets of their own research, research in the arts that takes itself seriously will have to reflect on these regulatory systems.

cated on which the research must focus at its disposal, because no single field has been demarmake use of branches of learning which have a more sociated with certain artistic disciplines (art history can artistic research derive its own methodology from system of rules as a means of production for art? How tic research? How can an artist who wishes to gain a tural studies. But actually all branches of learning are umbrella-like character, such as philosophy and cultheatre studies, musicology), but it will more often fall back on the disciplines which have long been as research is involved, this form of research will ofter from other disciplines. To make it patently clear that for the time being primarily borrow its procedures this? Like all nascent disciplines, artistic research wil calls itself into question and in the next breath ad-So what are the implications of this situation for artisvocates a compulsory but individually customisable PhD deal with a scholarly approach that in one breath

As the name already suggests, artistic research

art plays a pivotal role in the research. sions about research in the arts, is in fact axiomatic complish, and that 'something' resides in the fact that come of artistic research can therefore only be a result ology and the rules of art as outlined above. The outcifically by discipline within which the research is proach and not by the presence of a field framed speis primarily characterised by its specific angle of aprenders something visible, or furnishes an insight or it can be judged only on that basis. Artistic research that has been achieved using this specific method and method is that very conjunction of scientific method-And the crux of that as yet undefined or indefinable method, which is often timorously avoided in discusconducted. Artistic research can encompass everyknowledge that another form of research cannot acthat in other fields of scholarship. The question of the thing, because it employs a method that differs from

This may sound self-evident, but it raises issues that go to the very core of the *modi operandi* of artistic research, in asking how a method of research focused on dissemination can be combined with the non-discursive power of the work of art. How can research in the arts meet the need for formulation and generalisation that scholarship requires of it while at the same time carrying out research through works of art that systematically want to avoid a general formulation?

The question is also therefore important because it touches directly on the role that a text, an account or a report fulfils in this form of research.

The question of whether or not the method of artistic research and especially how it is reported requires a textual component sparks heated debate, but questions about the role of text are broached all too rarely. While objectors are of the opinion that writing a research report overly compels artists to step outside their usual territory, its proponents see it as the only possible means of ensuring that artistic research counts as true research.

be, or the breadth of perspective that is expected. are asked and which are ignored, how detailed it must to a large extent how it is conducted, which questions search — the questions and the answers, the process search must or can be communicated thus determines up in the correct manner. The way in which the renition, and not simply because the correct procedure This notation ensures that the research gains recogand the outcome — is written up and disseminated by a working method which prescribes how the re questions in order to find answers — is complemented ment or expectation. The mode of research — asking act sciences in which the formulation coincides with and the written research report. Apart from a few exhas been followed but also because it has been written the research itself, there is in effect a two-fold requirewhen it comes to the relationship between the method Yet there is still something remarkable afoot

As yet, this conclusion does not seem to have prompted much reaction within the praxis of artistic research. It nevertheless has far-reaching consequenc-

of the researcher. inevitably follows that text, albeit contrary to the will that their research proves to be incapable of escaping research according to this formula, but rather the fact that they do not know how they must package their very start. And so the problem for many artists is not in retrospect, yet it is compellingly present from the tion of what was done as well as an appraisal in the the arts and when the textual component is also reis chiefly defined as an investigation in and through es for the textual component. When artistic research longer an elucidation of the work but the work of art this formulation during the process, so the text is no tures the research in advance. It is a text that is drafted that textual notation functions as a precept that struclight of existing studies or other art projects — then garded as a justification of the research — a descrip-

Would it not therefore be appropriate to choose to omit such a text altogether? The research then takes place in and through the work; the work of art is itself the reporting mechanism. The question, however, is how exactly it would then establish itself as research in the public domain. How can it be discussed, received and evaluated as research? How is it different to other process-oriented, open-ended works of art, which may indeed investigate something but do not want to be recognised as research?

To return to what is set out above, how can the rules for creating art be distinguished from a method of research? A confusion of these two systems is

any coherent statement is unforthcoming. discussed as a form of art. It thus becomes part of a research serves as an illustration of the work of art but text this is the converse of what was described: the and forms of research are deployed in a more or less as a form of research, but primarily to be seen and the work of art from its solipsistic perspective and its indiscriminate manner to create art. In relation to the recently tormulated system of rules in which methods isolation, in my opinion it is sooner an instance of the art. Although these works involve an attempt to save and reports, then form part and parcel of the work of research, such as text, diagrams, statistics, documents evident in works that display forms of research while 'rhetoric of research'. The work wants to be visible remaining within the artistic domain. Hallmarks of

The work of students following the MA in Artistic Research at the University of Amsterdam (UvA) provides an example of the way in which you can try to avoid these two pitfalls and maintain a balance between the two aspects — science and art — that together form the core of the artistic research. The students following this MA, which is open to creators from the worlds of dance, music, theatre and the visual arts, are from the very start primarily interested in the questions and problems that are intrinsic to fundamental aspects of their respective disciplines. While the visual arts students are keen to explore notions of representation and visibility, for the students

from the worlds of theatre and dance it is more about performativity and embodiment, while for the musicians representation plays no part whatsoever and their focus is on temporality and displacement. This means that the methods they choose for their research are directly linked to this presentation of a question, being the ways of working that best allow them to answer the questions their artistic discipline raises. The students therefore generally 'borrow' their research methodology from the discipline which concerns itself with the art that they produce, as well as from disciplines which enable them to reflect upon their practice at a more philosophical or theoretical level.

are thereby set within a clear-cut framework. On the tion which can be investigated with the aid of existing their personal research. Formulating a research quesmust establish a link between these two aspects in ditions of the humanities and on the other there is the one hand there is the research within the existing traformulated rules. The two aspects of their research is stimulated and evaluated as a system of personally ities, while on the other hand their artistic practice accepted as accounts of research within the humantrained to write texts which can be discussed and knowledge of existing research methods and are research. That is why they on the one hand acquire tion of scholarship and art that is typical of artistic MA in Artistic Research are trained is the combinaframework of existing forms of art production. They The only 'method' in which the students of the

scholarly disciplines as well as by means of their own artistic production is a way of preventing one of the two approaches predominating. In order to clarify why the whole is indeed greater than the sum of the parts and what the added value of artistic research can represent, I will outline a couple of graduation projects.

phatically incomplete, that non-solution-tocused, is a unsaid. The danger of such an investigation is that it onstrated that such explanatory texts must leave a locrucial quality of research in the arts. fail to live up to their promise. But perhaps that emis over-ambitious and that some of the tacit intentions scribed, but in an installation it simultaneously demthe non-specificity of spaces to which Smithson subresearch itself. The thesis clarified the theory about ation project also constituted a reflection on artistic tion spaces. However, in a certain sense the graduwhich he had called into question the effect of exhibi-'70s by the American artist Robert Smithson, texts in or SKOR), several posters that were distributed in the tion on several texts and projects from the 1960s and Robert Smithson). The whole project formed a reflecturen. Het onbepaalde in het werk van Robert Smithson venue's vicinity, and a text bearing the title Nulstruc-Public Space (Stichting Kunst en Openbare Ruimte, an exhibition at the Dutch Foundation for Art and with the Zero Panorama project, which consisted of (Zero structures. The non-specific in the work of Maartje Fliervoet completed her MA in 2010

More complex still is the shift that Johannes

of music by being part of it. All these elements are with something resembling an upturned bucket above eight objects that most closely resemble the walksic installation with the title Inside Mount Lu for his of the research. analysis of a composition or you experience a piece of experience to another is impossible. You reflect on ing to demonstrate that the transition from one field of the composer Brian Ferneyhough. In this project, says. One essay is a philosophical reflection, another units produced sound, the loudness and pitch modtheir heads and frosted goggles before their eyes. by extension, as just as many irreconcilable outcomes transposition, you read a literary text, you follow the too, the artistic research itself takes centre stage, but portant part of the thesis is an analysis of the work is a text that strikes one as literary, but the most imand 'transposition' under the title 'Verplaatsingen' accompanying thesis does not address this complex ified by the distance from other units. Westendorp's When the participants started to move around the in these walking frames, surrounded by electronics. final project, for which he collaboratively developed brought together as different forms of experience and Westendorp is more emphatic than Fliervoet in want-(Iranspositions), exploring these notions in five esinstallation but examines the notions of 'territory' Participants in the project had to install themselves ers in which toddlers learn to take their first steps. Westendorp's project set in motion. He created a mu-

In the model I propose here, the different knowledge systems continue to exist alongside one another. The basic premise is that the academic research and research through art can complement or even comment on each other, but they cannot converge. Scholarly research is always reflexive and draws conclusions; it always reports on 'something' that is itself not present in the account, and no matter how self-critical the methodology may be, the text of the research almost always reads like a final destination.

Researchers in the field of artistic research have a double-edged-problem: they not only investigate an 'object', but they also investigate with the aid of the 'object'. In addition, they first of all investigate with the means that their artistic discipline makes available to them. The research is pursued with the aid of photography, with the body or with a musical instrument, and thus takes the form of an image, a choreography or a piece of music. However, a work of art is never conclusive. The work of art presents itself as a straight fact, as a given, and in that sense you might term it affirmative, but it is at the same time it is always open in character: the path that the work has taken is not yet fully travelled, and the beholders must pursue that path further for themselves.

This open-ended quality of art leads to the stock remark that the work of art 'provides no answers but poses questions'. That formulation does little justice to art, as it reduces art's implicit meaning to an explicit intention. The work of art does, however, com-

ing the respective strengths of these domains. reflection on science as well as on art, without denyagain, with the work of art's complexity forcing open again in the work of art. This causes the conclusions search in and through the arts is in this sense a game can never take it to a conclusion. The method of re-Artistic research is a method that facilitates critica isting research tradition provides a proof of exigency the hermetic methodology of science. For its part, the that were apparently drawn in the text to be suspended on the other the experience of that insight is laid bare report in which a novel insight is formulated, while each other. On the one hand this results in a research in which different systems can be played off against tore prompt an investigative direction of travel, but bine a closed form with an open end, and it can therelinking of art's arbitrary system of rules with an ex-

See it Again, Say it Again: The Artist as Researcher Editor Janneke Wesseling Antennae Series n° 6 by Valiz, Amsterdam

www.valiz.nl Published by Valiz, Amsterdam, 2011 Els Brinkman Snick, Barbara Visser, Janneke Typeface Janson Text & Riccione Paper cover Bioset 240 gr hv satinated mc 115 gr Paper inside Munken Print 100 gr Translation Dutch-English Andrew May Hilde Van Gelder, Philippe Van Stephan Dillemuth, Irene Fortuyn, Jeremiah Day, Siebren de Haan, Printer Bariet, Ruinen Design Metahaven Copy editing Rowan Hewison, Antennae Series editor Astrid Vorstermans Assistant to editor Tamara de Groot Wesseling, Kitty Zijlmans, Italo Zuffi Toebosch, Lonnie van Brummelen, Ohlraun, Graeme Sullivan, Moniek Mik, Ruchama Noorda, Vanessa Gijs Frieling, Hadley+Maxwell, Konrad, Frank Mandersloot, Aernout Henri Jacobs, WJM Kok, Aglaia Contributors Jeroen Boomgaard,

Acknowledgments

Aemout Mik- photographs of his work by Florian Braun Aglaia Konrad: photographs visual contribution are also published in: Aglaia Konrad, Desert Cities (Zurich: JRP | Ringier, 2008).

© All rights reserved for the images.

All rights reserved for the text of WJM Kok.

The texts in this book are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivativeWorks license.



The user is free to share - to copy, distribute and transmit the work under the

- following conditions:

 Attribution You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or publisher/licensor (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work), for details ask: info@valiz.nl
- Noncommercial You may not use this work for commercial purposes
- No Derivative Works You may not alter, transform, or build upon this work.

With the understanding that:

- Waiver Any of the above conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder.
- copyright holder.

 Other Rights In no way are any of the following rights affected by the license:
- 1 Your fair dealing or fair use rights;
- 2 The author's moral rights;
- 3 Rights other persons may have either in the work itself or in how the work is used, such as publicity or privacy rights.
- Notice For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work. The best way to do this is with a link to this web page.
 The full license text can be found at

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by - nc - nd/3.0/nl/deed.en_GB

Distribution

USA: D.A.P., www.artbook.com

GB/IE: Art Data, www.artdata.co.uk
NL/BE/LU: Coen Sligting, www.coensligting

NL/BE/LU: Coen Sligting, www.coensligtingbookimport.nl Europe/Asia/Australia: Idea Books, www.ideabooks.nl

This publication was made possible through the generous support of University of the Arts, The Hague, the Netherlands Mondriaan Foundation



Mondriagn Stichting (Mondriagn Foundation)



H K Hageschool der Kunsten Den Haag University of the Arts The Hague

ISBN 978-90-78088-53-0, NUR 646 Printed and bound in the Netherlands, 2011

Other titles in the Antennae Series:

The Fall of the Studio: Artists at Work edited by Wouter Davidts & Kim Paice Amsterdam: Valiz, 2009, ISBN 978-90-78088-29-5

Take Place: Photography and Place from Multiple Perspectives edited by Helen Westgeest Amsterdam: Valiz, 2009, ISBN 978-90-78088-35-6

The Murmuring of the Artistic Multitude: Global Art, Memory and Post-Fordism
Pascal Gielen (author)
Amsterdam: Valiz, 2009, ISBN 978-90-78088-34-9

Locating the Producers: Durational Approaches to Public Art
edited by Paul O'Neill & Claire Doherty
Amsterdam: Valiz, 2011, ISBN 978-90-78088-51-6

Community Art: The Politics of Trespassing edited by Paul De Bruyne, Pascal Gielen Amsterdam: Valiz, 2011, ISBN 978-90-78088-50-9