

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Equivariant counts of points of the moduli spaces of pointed hyperelliptic curves

Bergström, J.

Publication date 2009 Document Version Final published version Published in Documenta Mathematica

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Bergström, J. (2009). Equivariant counts of points of the moduli spaces of pointed hyperelliptic curves. *Documenta Mathematica*, *14*, 259-296. http://www.math.uiuc.edu/documenta/vol-14/11.html

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Documenta Math. 259

EQUIVARIANT COUNTS OF POINTS OF THE MODULI SPACES OF POINTED HYPERELLIPTIC CURVES

Jonas Bergström

Received: June 18, 2008 Revised: March 27, 2009

Communicated by Thomas Peternell

ABSTRACT. We consider the moduli space $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}$ of n-pointed smooth hyperelliptic curves of genus g. In order to get cohomological information we wish to make \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant counts of the numbers of points defined over finite fields of this moduli space. We find recurrence relations in the genus that these numbers fulfill. Thus, if we can make \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant counts of $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}$ for low genus, then we can do this for every genus. Information about curves of genus 0 and 1 is then found to be sufficient to compute the answers for $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}$ for all g and for $n \leq 7$. These results are applied to the moduli spaces of stable curves of genus 2 with up to 7 points, and this gives us the \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant Galois (resp. Hodge) structure of their ℓ -adic (resp. Betti) cohomology.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14H10, 11G20 Keywords and Phrases: Cohomology of moduli spaces of curves, curves over finite fields.

1. Introduction

By virtue of the Lefschetz trace formula, counting points defined over finite fields of a space gives a way of finding information on its cohomology. In this article we wish to count points of the moduli space $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}$ of n-pointed smooth hyperelliptic curves of genus g. On this space we have an action of the symmetric group \mathbb{S}_n by permuting the marked points of the curves. To take this action into account we will make \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant counts of the numbers of points of $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}$ defined over finite fields.

For every n we will find simple recurrence relations in the genus, for the equivariant number of points of $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}$ defined over a finite field. Thus, if we can count these numbers for low genus, we will know the answer for every genus. The hyperelliptic curves will need to be separated according to whether the

characteristic is odd or even and the respective recurrence relations will in some cases be different.

When the number of marked points is at most 7 we use the fact that the base cases of the recurrence relations only involve the genus 0 case, which is easily computed, and previously known \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant counts of points of $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$, to get equivariant counts for every genus. If we consider the odd and even cases separately, then all these counts are polynomials when considered as functions of the number of elements of the finite field. For up to five points these polynomials do not depend upon the characteristic. But for six-pointed hyperelliptic curves there is a dependence, which appears for the first time for genus 3.

By the Lefschetz trace formula, the \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant count of points of $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}$ is equivalent to the trace of Frobenius on the ℓ -adic \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}$. But this information can also be formulated as traces of Frobenius on the Euler characteristic of some natural local systems \mathbb{V}_{λ} on \mathcal{H}_g . By Theorem 3.2 in [1] we can use this connection to determine the Euler characteristic, evaluated in the Grothendieck group of absolute Galois modules, of all \mathbb{V}_{λ} on $\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of weight at most 7. These result are in agreement with the results on the ordinary Euler characteristic and the conjectures on the motivic Euler characteristic of \mathbb{V}_{λ} on \mathcal{H}_3 by Bini-van der Geer in [5], the ordinary Euler characteristic of \mathbb{V}_{λ} on \mathcal{H}_2 by Getzler in [16], and the \mathbb{S}_2 -equivariant cohomology of $\mathcal{H}_{g,2}$ for all $g \geq 2$ by Tommasi in [20].

The moduli stack $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ of stable n-pointed curves of genus g is smooth and proper, which implies purity of the cohomology. If the \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant count of points of this space, when considered as a function of the number of elements of the finite field, gives a polynomial, then using the purity we can determine the \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant Galois (resp. Hodge) structure of its individual ℓ -adic (resp. Betti) cohomology groups (see Theorem 3.4 in [2] which is based on a result of van den Bogaart-Edixhoven in [6]). All curves of genus 2 are hyperelliptic and hence we can apply this theorem to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}$ for all $n \leq 7$. These results on genus 2 curves are all in agreement with the ones of Faber-van der Geer in [9] and [10]. Moreover, for $n \leq 3$ they were previously known by the work of Getzler in [14, Section 8].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The method I shall use to count points of the moduli space of pointed hyperelliptic curves follows a suggestion by Nicholas M. Katz. I thank Bradley Brock for letting me read an early version of the article [7], and Institut Mittag-Leffler for support during the preparation of this article. I would also like to thank my thesis advisor Carel Faber.

OUTLINE

Let us give an outline of the paper, where \star denotes the section.

- \star_2 In this section we define \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant counts of points of $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}$ over a finite field k, and we formulate the counts in terms of numbers $a_{\lambda}|_g$, which are connected to the H^1 's of the hyperelliptic curves.
- *3 The hyperelliptic curves of genus g, in odd characteristic, are realized as degree 2 covers of \mathbf{P}^1 given by square-free polynomials of degree 2g+2 or 2g+1. The numbers $a_{\lambda}|_g$ are then expressed in terms of these polynomials in equation (3.2). The expression for $a_{\lambda}|_g$ is decomposed into parts denoted u_g , which are indexed by pairs of tuples of numbers $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$. The special cases of genus 0 and 1 are discussed in Section 3.1.
- *4 A recurrence relation is found for the numbers u_g (Theorem 4.12). The first step is to use the fact that any polynomial can be written uniquely as a monic square times a square-free one. This results in an equation which gives U_g in terms of u_h for h less than or equal to g, where U_g denotes the expression corresponding to u_g , but in terms of all polynomials instead of only the square-free ones. The second step is to use that, if g is large enough, U_g can be computed using a simple interpolation argument.
- \star_5 The recurrence relations for the u_g 's are put together to form a linear recurrence relation for $a_{\lambda}|_g$, whose characteristic polynomial is given in Theorem 5.2.
- \star_6 It is shown how to compute u_0 for any pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$.
- \star_7 Information on the cases of genus 0 and 1 is used to compute, for all g, u_g for tuples $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ of degree at most 5, and $a_{\lambda|g}$ of weight at most 7.
- \star_8 The hyperelliptic curves are realized, in even characteristic, as pairs (h,f) of polynomials fulfilling three conditions. The numbers u_g and U_g are then defined to correspond to the case of odd characteristic.
- \star_9 In even characteristic, a recurrence relation is found for the numbers u_g (Theorem 9.11). Lemmas 9.6 and 9.7 show that one can do something in even characteristic corresponding to uniquely writing a polynomial as a monic square times a square-free one in odd characteristic. This results in a relation between U_g and u_h for h less than or equal to g. Then, as in odd characteristic, a simple interpolation argument is used to compute U_g for g large enough.
- \star_{10} The same amount of information as in Section 7 is obtained in the case of even characteristic. It is noted that $a_{\lambda}|_{g}$ is independent of the characteristic for weight at most 5 (Theorem 10.3). This does not continue to hold for weight 6 where there is dependency for genus at least 3 (see Example 10.6).
- \star_{11} The counts of points of the previous sections are used to get cohomological information. This is, in particular, applied to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}$ for $n \leq 7$.
- \star_{12} In the first appendix, a more geometric interpretation is given of the information contained in all the numbers u_g of at most a certain degree (see Lemma 12.8).
- \star_{13} In the second appendix, we find that for sufficiently large g we can compute the Euler characteristic, with $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -structure, of the part

of the cohomology of sufficiently high weight, of some local systems V_{λ} on \mathcal{H}_q . We will also see that these results are, in a sense, *stable* in g.

2. Equivariant counts

Let k be a finite field with q elements and denote by k_m a degree m extension. Define $H_{g,n}$ to be the coarse moduli space of $\mathcal{H}_{g,n} \otimes \bar{k}$ and let F be the geometric Frobenius morphism.

The purpose of this article is to make \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant counts of the number of points defined over k of $H_{g,n}$. With this we mean a count, for each element $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$, of the number of fixed points of $F\sigma$ acting on $H_{g,n}$. Note that these numbers only depend upon the cycle type $c(\sigma)$ of the permutation σ .

Define \mathcal{R}_{σ} to be the category of hyperelliptic curves of genus g that are defined over k together with marked points (p_1, \ldots, p_n) defined over \bar{k} such that $(F\sigma)(p_i) = p_i$ for all i. Points of $H_{g,n}$ are isomorphism classes of n-pointed hyperelliptic curves of genus g defined over \bar{k} . For any pointed curve X that is a representative of a point in $H_{g,n}^{F\sigma}$, the set of fixed points of $F\sigma$ acting on $H_{g,n}$, there is an isomorphism from X to the pointed curve $(F\sigma)X$. Using this isomorphism we can descend to an element of \mathcal{R}_{σ} (see [17, Lem. 10.7.5]). Therefore, the number of \bar{k} -isomorphism classes of the category \mathcal{R}_{σ} is equal to $|H_{g,n}^{F\sigma}|$.

Fix an element $Y = (C, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ in \mathcal{R}_{σ} . We then have the following equality (see [12] or [17]):

$$\sum_{\substack{[X] \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma}/\cong k \\ X \cong_{\bar{L}} Y}} \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}_k(X)|} = 1.$$

This enables us to go from \bar{k} -isomorphism classes to k-isomorphism classes:

$$|H_{g,n}^{F\sigma}| = \sum_{[Y] \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma}/\cong_{\bar{k}}} 1 = \sum_{[Y] \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma}/\cong_{\bar{k}}} \sum_{\substack{[X] \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma}/\cong_{\bar{k}} \\ X \cong_{\bar{k}} Y}} \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}_k(X)|} = \sum_{[X] \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma}/\cong_{\bar{k}}} \frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}_k(X)|}.$$

For any curve C over k, define $C(\sigma)$ to be the set of n-tuples of distinct points (p_1, \ldots, p_n) in $C(\bar{k})$ that fulfill $(F\sigma)(p_i) = p_i$.

NOTATION 2.1. A partition λ of an integer m consists of a sequence of non-negative integers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\nu}$ such that $|\lambda| := \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} i\lambda_i = m$. We will write $\lambda = [1^{\lambda_1}, \ldots, \nu^{\lambda_{\nu}}]$.

Say that $\tau \in \mathbb{S}_n$ consists of one *n*-cycle. The elements of $C(\tau)$ are then given by the choice of $p_1 \in C(k_n)$ such that $p_1 \notin C(k_i)$ for every i < n. By an inclusion-exclusion argument it is then straightforward to show that

$$|C(\tau)| = \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) |C(k_d)|,$$

where μ is the Möbius function. Say that λ is any partition and that $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{|\lambda|}$ has the property $c(\sigma) = \lambda$. Since $C(\sigma)$ consists of tuples of distinct points it

directly follows that

$$(2.1) |C(\sigma)| = \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \prod_{j=0}^{\lambda_i - 1} \left(\sum_{d|i} \left(\mu(i/d) |C(k_d)| - ji \right) \right).$$

Fix a curve C over k and let X_1, \ldots, X_m be representatives of the distinct k-isomorphism classes of the subcategory of \mathcal{R}_{σ} of elements (D, q_1, \ldots, q_n) where $D \cong_k C$. For each X_i we can act with $\operatorname{Aut}_k(C)$ which gives an orbit lying in \mathcal{R}_{σ} and where the stabilizer of X_i is equal to $\operatorname{Aut}_k(X_i)$. Together the orbits of X_1, \ldots, X_m will contain $|C(\sigma)|$ elements and hence we obtain

$$(2.2) |H_{g,n}^{F\sigma}| = \sum_{[X] \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma}/\cong_k} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}_k(X)|} = \sum_{[C] \in \mathcal{H}_{\sigma}(k)/\cong_k} \frac{|C(\sigma)|}{|\operatorname{Aut}_k(C)|}.$$

We will compute slightly different numbers than $|H_{g,n}^{F\sigma}|$, but which contain equivalent information. Let C be a curve defined over k. The Lefschetz trace formula tells us that for all $m \geq 1$,

$$|C(k_m)| = |C_{\bar{k}}^{F^m}| = 1 + q^m - a_m(C)$$
 where $a_m(C) = \text{Tr}(F^m, H^1(C_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})).$

If we consider equations (2.1) and (2.2) in view of equation (2.3) we find that

$$|H_{g,n}^{F\sigma}| = \sum_{[C] \in \mathcal{H}_g(k)/\cong_k} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}_k(C)|} f_{\sigma}(q, a_1(C), \dots, a_n(C)),$$

where $f_{\sigma}(x_0,\ldots,x_n)$ is a polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} . Give the variable x_i degree i. Then there is a unique monomial in f_{σ} of highest degree, namely $x_1^{\lambda_1}\cdots x_{\nu}^{\lambda_{\nu}}$. The numbers which we will pursue will be the following.

Definition 2.2. For $g \geq 2$ and any partition λ define

(2.4)
$$a_{\lambda}|_{g} := \sum_{[C] \in \mathcal{H}_{q}(k)/\cong_{k}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(C)|} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} a_{i}(C)^{\lambda_{i}}.$$

This expression will be said to have weight $|\lambda|$. Let us also define

$$a_0|_g := \sum_{[C] \in \mathcal{H}_g(k)/\cong_k} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}_k(C)|},$$

an expression of weight 0.

3. Representatives of hyperelliptic curves in odd characteristic

Assume that the finite field k has an odd number of elements. The hyperelliptic curves of genus $g \geq 2$ are the ones endowed with a degree 2 morphism to \mathbf{P}^1 . This morphism induces a degree 2 extension of the function field of \mathbf{P}^1 . If we consider hyperelliptic curves defined over the finite field k and choose an affine coordinate x on \mathbf{P}^1 , then we can write this extension in the form $y^2 = f(x)$, where f is a square-free polynomial with coefficients in k of degree 2g + 1 or 2g + 2. At infinity, we can describe the curve given by the polynomial f in the

coordinate t = 1/x by $y^2 = t^{2g+2} f(1/t)$. We will therefore let $f(\infty)$, which corresponds to t = 0, be the coefficient of f of degree 2g + 2.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let P_g denote the set of square-free polynomials with coefficients in k and of degree 2g+1 or 2g+2, and let $P'_g \subset P_g$ consist of the monic polynomials. Write C_f for the curve corresponding to the element f in P_g .

By construction, there exists for each k-isomorphism class of objects in $\mathcal{H}_g(k)$ an f in P_g such that C_f is a representative. Moreover, the k-isomorphisms between curves corresponding to elements of P_g are given by k-isomorphisms of their function fields. By the uniqueness of the linear system g_2^1 on a hyperelliptic curve, these isomorphisms must respect the inclusion of the function field of \mathbf{P}^1 . The k-isomorphisms are therefore precisely (see [16, p. 126]) the ones induced by elements of the group $G := \mathrm{GL}_2^{\mathrm{op}}(k) \times k^*/D$ where

$$D := \{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, a^{g+1} \right) : a \in k^* \} \subset \operatorname{GL}_2^{\operatorname{op}}(k) \times k^*$$

and where an element

$$\gamma = \left[\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} \right), e \right) \right] \in G$$

induces the isomorphism

$$(x,y) \mapsto \left(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}, \frac{ey}{(cx+d)^{g+1}}\right).$$

This defines a left group action of G on P_g , where $\gamma \in G$ takes $f \in P_g$ to $\tilde{f} \in P_g$, with

(3.1)
$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{(cx+d)^{2g+2}}{e^2} f\left(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}\right).$$

Notation 3.2. Let us put $I := 1/|G| = (q^3 - q)^{-1}(q - 1)^{-1}$.

DEFINITION 3.3. Let $\chi_{2,m}$ be the quadratic character on k_m . Recall that it is the function that takes $\alpha \in k_m$ to 1 if it is a square, to -1 if it is a nonsquare and to 0 if it is 0. With a square or a nonsquare we will always mean a nonzero element.

LEMMA 3.4. If C_f is the hyperelliptic curve corresponding to $f \in P_g$ then

$$a_m(C_f) = -\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{P}^1(k_m)} \chi_{2,m}(f(\alpha)).$$

Proof: The fiber of $C_f \to \mathbf{P}^1$ over $\alpha \in \mathbf{A}^1(k_m)$ will consist of two points defined over k_m if $f(\alpha)$ is a square in k_m , no point if $f(\alpha)$ is a nonsquare in k_m , and one point if $f(\alpha) = 0$. By the above description of f in terms of the coordinate t = 1/x, the same holds for $\alpha = \infty$. The lemma now follows from equation (2.3).

We will now rephrase equation (2.4) in terms of the elements of P_g . By what was said above, the stabilizer of an element f in P_g under the action of G is equal to $\operatorname{Aut}_k(C_f)$ and hence

$$(3.2) \quad a_{\lambda}|_{g} = \sum_{[f] \in P_{g}/G} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Stab}_{G}(f)|} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} a_{i}(C_{f})^{\lambda_{i}} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{f \in P_{g}} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} a_{i}(C_{f})^{\lambda_{i}} = I \sum_{f \in P_{g}} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \left(-\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{P}^{1}(k_{i})} \chi_{2,i}(f(\alpha))\right)^{\lambda_{i}}.$$

This can up to sign be rewritten as

(3.3)
$$I\sum_{f\in P_g}\sum_{(\alpha_{1,1},\dots,\alpha_{\nu,\lambda_{\nu}})\in S}\prod_{i=1}^{\nu}\prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i}\chi_{2,i}(f(\alpha_{i,j})),$$

where $S := \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \mathbf{P}^1(k_i)^{\lambda_i}$, in other words, $\alpha_{i,j} \in \mathbf{P}^1(k_i)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq \nu$ and $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$. The sum (3.3) will be split into parts for which we, in Section 4, will find recurrence relations in g.

DEFINITION 3.5. For any tuple $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_m) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}^m$, let the set $A(\mathbf{n})$ consist of the tuples $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{P}^1(k_{n_i})$ such that for any $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ and any $s \geq 0$,

$$F^s(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j \implies n_i | s \text{ and } i = j.$$

Let us also define $A'(\mathbf{n}) := A(\mathbf{n}) \cap \prod_{i=1}^m \mathbf{A}^1(k_{n_i})$.

DEFINITION 3.6. Let \mathcal{N}_m denote the set of pairs $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ such that $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_m) \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}^m$ and $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \{1, 2\}^m$.

DEFINITION 3.7. For any $g \geq -1$, $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$ and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in A(\mathbf{n})$ define

$$u_{g,\alpha}^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} := I \sum_{f \in P_g} \prod_{i=1}^m \chi_{2,n_i} (f(\alpha_i))^{r_i}$$

and

$$u_g^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} := \sum_{\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n})} u_{g,\alpha}^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})}.$$

Construction-Lemma 3.8. For each λ , there are positive integers c_1, \ldots, c_s and m_1, \ldots, m_s , and moreover pairs $(\mathbf{n}^{(i)}; \mathbf{r}^{(i)}) \in \mathcal{N}_{m_i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq s$, such that for any finite field k,

$$a_{\lambda}|_g = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i \, u_g^{(\mathbf{n}^{(i)}; \mathbf{r}^{(i)})}.$$

Proof: The lemma will be proved by writing the set S as a disjoint union of parts that only depend upon the partition λ , and which therefore are independent of the chosen finite field k.

For each positive integer i, let $i = d_{i,1} > ... > d_{i,\delta_i} = 1$ be the divisors of i.

- \star For each $1 \leq i \leq \nu$, let $T_{i,1}, \ldots, T_{i,\delta_i}$ be an ordered partition of the set $\{1,\ldots,\lambda_i\}$ into (possibly empty) subsets.
- \star For each $1 \leq i \leq \nu$ and each $1 \leq j \leq \delta_i$, let $Q_{i,j,1}, \ldots, Q_{i,j,\kappa_{i,j}}$ be an unordered partition (where $\kappa_{i,j}$ is arbitrary) of the set $T_{i,j}$ into non-empty subsets.

From such a choice of partitions we define a subset $S' = S(\{T_{i,j}\}, \{Q_{i,j,k}\})$ of S consisting of the tuples $(\alpha_{1,1},\ldots,\alpha_{\nu,\lambda_{\nu}})\in S$ fulfilling the following two

- * If $x \in T_{i,j}$ then: $\alpha_{i,x} \in k_j$ and $\forall s < j, \alpha_{i,x} \notin k_s$.
- \star If $x \in Q_{i,j,k}$ and $x' \in Q_{i',j',k'}$ then:

$$\exists s: F^s(\alpha_{i,x}) = \alpha_{i',x'} \iff (i,j,k) = (i',j',k').$$

Define \mathbf{n} to be equal to the tuple

$$(\overbrace{d_{1,1},\ldots,d_{1,1}}^{\kappa_{1,1}},\overbrace{d_{1,2},\ldots,d_{1,2}}^{\kappa_{1,2}},\ldots,\overbrace{d_{1,\delta_{1}},\ldots,d_{1,\delta_{1}}}^{\kappa_{1,\delta_{1}}},\overbrace{d_{2,1},\ldots,d_{2,1}}^{\kappa_{2,1}},\ldots,\overbrace{d_{\nu,\delta_{\nu}},\ldots,d_{\nu,\delta_{\nu}}}^{\kappa_{\nu,\delta_{\nu}}}).$$

Let $\rho_{i,i,k}$ be equal to 2 if either $i/d_{i,i}$ or $|Q_{i,i,k}|$ is even, and 1 otherwise. Define \mathbf{r} to be equal to

$$(\rho_{1,1,1},\rho_{1,1,2},\ldots,\rho_{1,1,\kappa_{1,1}},\rho_{1,2,1},\ldots,\rho_{1,\delta_1,\kappa_{1,\delta_1}},\rho_{2,1,1},\ldots,\rho_{\nu,\delta_{\nu},\kappa_{\nu,\delta_{\nu}}}).$$

The equality

$$u_g^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} = I \sum_{f \in P_g} \sum_{(\alpha_{1,1},\dots,\alpha_{\nu,\lambda_{\nu}}) \in S'} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \chi_{2,i} (f(\alpha_{i,j}))$$

is clear in view of the following three simple properties of the quadratic char-

- * Say that $\alpha \in \mathbf{P}^1(k_s)$, then if \tilde{s}/s is even we have $\chi_{2,\tilde{s}}(f(\alpha)) =$ $\chi_{2,s}(f(\alpha))^2$ and if \tilde{s}/s is odd we have $\chi_{2,\tilde{s}}(f(\alpha)) = \chi_{2,s}(f(\alpha))$. * If for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{P}^1$ we have $F^s(\alpha) = \beta$ for some s, then $\chi_{2,i}(f(\alpha)) = \beta$
- $\chi_{2,i}(f(\beta))$ for all i.
- * Finally, for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{P}^1$ and any s, we have $\chi_{2,s}(f(\alpha))^r = \chi_{2,s}(f(\alpha))^2$ if r is even and $\chi_{2,s}(f(\alpha))^r = \chi_{2,s}(f(\alpha))$ if r is odd.

The lemma now follows directly from the fact that the sets $S(\{T_{i,j}\}, \{Q_{i,j,k}\})$ S (for different choices of partitions $\{T_{i,j}\}$ and $\{Q_{i,j,k}\}$) are disjoint and cover

The set of data $\{(c_i, (\mathbf{n}^{(i)}; \mathbf{r}^{(i)}))\}$ resulting from the procedure given in the proof of Construction-Lemma 3.8 is, after assuming the pairs $(\mathbf{n}^{(i)}; \mathbf{r}^{(i)})$ to be distinct, unique up to simultaneous reordering of the elements of $\mathbf{n}^{(i)}$ and $\mathbf{r}^{(i)}$ for each i, and it will be called the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_{q}$.

Definition 3.9. For a partition λ , the pair

$$(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) = ((1, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, 2, \dots, \nu); (1, \dots, 1))$$

will appear in the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_{q}$ (corresponding to the partitions $T_{i,1}$ $\{1,\ldots,\lambda_i\}$ for $1\leq i\leq \nu$, and $Q_{i,1,k}=\{k\}$ for $1\leq i,k\leq \nu$) with coefficient equal to 1, and it will be called the *general case*. All other pairs $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ appearing in the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_{q}$ will be referred to as degenerations of the general

DEFINITION 3.10. For any $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$, the number $|\mathbf{n}| := \sum_{i=1}^m n_i$ will be called the *degree* of $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$.

LEMMA 3.11. The general case is the only case in the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_{q}$ which has degree equal to the weight of $a_{\lambda}|_{q}$.

Proof: If $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ appears in the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_g$ and is associated to the partitions $\{T_{i,j}\}$ and $\{Q_{i,j,k}\}$, then $|\mathbf{n}| = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \sum_{j=1}^{\delta_i} \kappa_{i,j} d_{i,j}$. Since $\lambda_i =$ $\sum_{j=1}^{\delta_i} \kappa_{i,j}$ and $1 \leq d_{i,j} \leq i$, the equality $|\lambda| = |\mathbf{n}|$ implies that $\kappa_{i,1} = \lambda_i$ and $\kappa_{i,j} = 0 \text{ if } j \neq 1.$

LEMMA 3.12. If $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ appears in the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_{q}$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i}n_{i} \leq |\lambda|$ and these two numbers have the same parity.

Proof: If $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ appears in the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_g$ and is associated to the partitions $\{T_{i,j}\}$ and $\{Q_{i,j,k}\}$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i n_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \sum_{j=1}^{\delta_i} \sum_{k=1}^{\kappa_{i,j}} \rho_{i,j,k} d_{i,j}$. Let us prove the lemma by induction on m, starting with the case that m = $\sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i$. In this case we must have $|Q_{i,j,k}| = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \nu$, $1 \leq j \leq \delta_i$ and $1 \le k \le \kappa_{i,j}$, and hence $\rho_{i,j,k}$ is only equal to two if $i/d_{i,j}$ is even. This directly tells us that $\rho_{i,j,k}d_{i,j} \le i$, and that these two numbers have the same parity. Since $\lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\delta_i} \kappa_{i,j}$, it follows that $\sum_{i=1}^m r_i n_i \le |\lambda|$ and that these two numbers have the same parity.

Assume now that m = k and that the lemma has been proved for all pairs $(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}; \tilde{\mathbf{r}})$ with $\tilde{m} > k$. Since $m < \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \lambda_i$ we know that there exists numbers i_0, j_0, k_0 such that $|Q_{i_0, j_0, k_0}| \geq 2$. Let us fix an element $x \in Q_{i_0, j_0, k_0}$ and define a new pair $(\mathbf{n}'; \mathbf{r}')$ associated to the partitions $\{T'_{i,j}\}$ and $\{Q'_{i,j,k}\}$ by putting:

- * $T'_{i,j} = T_{i,j}$ for all $1 \le i \le \nu$ and $1 \le j \le \delta_i$,
- $\begin{array}{l} \star \ I_{i,j} = I_{i,j} \text{ and } \frac{1}{x} = \frac{1}{x}, \\ \star \ Q'_{i_0,j_0,k_0} = Q_{i_0,j_0,k_0} \setminus \{x\}, \\ \star \ \kappa'_{i_0,j_0} = \kappa_{i_0,j_0} + 1 \text{ and } Q'_{i_0,j_0,\kappa'_{i_0,j_0}} = \{x\}, \end{array}$
- $\star Q'_{i,j,k} = Q_{i,j,k}$ in all other cases

The pair $(\mathbf{n}', \mathbf{r}')$ thus appears in the decomposition of λ , and m' = k + 1. Moreover, we directly find that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i n_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m'} r_i' n_i'$ and that these two numbers have the same parity. By the induction hypothesis the lemma is then also true for $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$.

EXAMPLE 3.13. Let us decompose $a_{[2^2]}|_q$ starting with the general case:

$$a_{[2^2]}|_g = I \sum_{f \in P_g} \left(-\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{P}^1(k_2)} \chi_{2,2}(f(\alpha)) \right)^2 = I \sum_{f \in P_g} \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathbf{P}^1(k_2)} \chi_{2,2}(f(\alpha)f(\beta)) = u_g^{((2,2);(1,1))} + 2u_g^{((2,1);(1,2))} + 2u_g^{((2);(2))} + u_g^{((1,1);(2,2))} + u_g^{((1);(2))}.$$

EXAMPLE 3.14. The decomposition of $a_{[1^4,2]}|_g$, starting with the general case:

$$\begin{split} a_{[1^4,2]}|_g &= -u_g^{((2,1,1,1,1);(1,1,1,1,1))} - 6u_g^{((2,1,1,1);(1,2,1,1))} - 3u_g^{((2,1,1);(1,2,2))} \\ &- 4u_g^{((2,1,1);(1,1,1))} - u_g^{((2,1);(1,2))} - u_g^{((1,1,1,1,1);(2,1,1,1,1))} - 6u_g^{((1,1,1,1);(2,2,1,1))} \\ &- 4u_g^{((1,1,1,1),(1,1,1,1))} - 3u_g^{((1,1,1);(2,2,2))} - 22u_g^{((1,1,1);(2,1,1))} \\ &- 7u_g^{((1,1);(2,2))} - 8u_g^{((1,1);(1,1))} - u_g^{((1);(2))}. \end{split}$$

3.1. The cases of genus 0 and 1. We would like to have an equality of the same kind as in equation (3.2), but for curves of genus 0 and 1. Every curve of genus 0 or 1 has a morphism to \mathbf{P}^1 of degree 2 and in the same way as for larger genera, it then follows that every k-isomorphism class of curves of genus 0 or 1 has a representative among the curves coming from polynomials in P_0 and P_1 respectively. But there is a difference, compared to the larger genera, in that for curves of genus 0 or 1 the g_2^1 is not unique. In fact, the group G induces (in the same way as for $g \geq 2$) all k-isomorphisms between curves corresponding to elements of P_0 and P_1 that respect their given morphisms to \mathbf{P}^1 (i.e a fixed g_2^1), but not all k-isomorphisms between curves of genus 0 or 1 are of this form. Let us, for all $r \geq 0$, define the category A_r consisting of tuples (C, Q_0, \ldots, Q_r) where C is a curve of genus 1 defined over k and the Q_i are, not necessarily distinct, points on C defined over k. The morphisms of A_r are, as expected, isomorphisms of the underlying curves that fix the marked points. Note that \mathcal{A}_0 is isomorphic to the category $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}(k)$. We also define, for all $r \geq 0$, the category \mathcal{B}_r consisting of tuples (C, L, Q_1, \ldots, Q_r) of the same kind as above, but where L is a g_2^1 . A morphism of \mathcal{B}_r is an isomorphism ϕ of the underlying curves that fixes the marked points, and such that there is an isomorphism τ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
C & \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} & C' \\
\downarrow^{L'} & & \downarrow^{L'} \\
\mathbf{P}^1 & \stackrel{\tau}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbf{P}^1.
\end{array}$$

Consider P_1 as a category where the morphisms are given by the elements of G. To every element of P_1 there corresponds, precisely as for $g \geq 2$, a curve C_f together with a g_2^1 given by the morphism to \mathbf{P}^1 , thus an element of \mathcal{B}_0 . Since every morphism in \mathcal{B}_0 between objects corresponding to elements of P_1 is induced by an element of G, and since for every k-isomorphism class of an element in \mathcal{B}_0 there is a representative in P_1 , the two categories P_1 and \mathcal{B}_0 are equivalent.

For all $r \geq 1$ there are equivalences of the categories A_r and B_r given by

$$(C, Q_0, \dots, Q_r) \mapsto (C, |Q_0 + Q_1|, Q_1, \dots, Q_r),$$

with inverse

$$(C, L, Q_1, \dots, Q_r) \mapsto (C, |L - Q_1|, Q_1, \dots, Q_r).$$

We therefore have the equality

$$\sum_{[X]\in\mathcal{A}_r/\cong_k}\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}_k(X)|}\prod_{i=1}^{\nu}a_i(C)^{\lambda_i}=\sum_{[Y]\in\mathcal{B}_r/\cong_k}\frac{1}{|\mathrm{Aut}_k(Y)|}\prod_{i=1}^{\nu}a_i(C)^{\lambda_i}.$$

The Riemann hypothesis tells us that $|a_r(C)| \leq 2g\sqrt{q^r}$, for any finite field k with q elements and for any curve C defined over k of genus g. For genus 1 this implies that $|C(k)| \geq q+1-2\sqrt{q}>0$, and thus every genus 1 curve has a point defined over k. There is therefore a number s such that $1 \leq |C(k)| \leq s$ for all genus 1 curves C. As in the argument preceding equation (2.2) we can take a representative (C, Q_0, \ldots, Q_r) for each element of \mathcal{A}_r/\cong_k and act with $\mathrm{Aut}_k(C,Q_0)$, respectively for each representative (C,L,Q_1,\ldots,Q_r) of \mathcal{B}_0/\cong_k act with $\mathrm{Aut}_k(C,L)$, and by considering the orbits and stabilizers we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{s} j^{r} \sum_{\substack{[X] \in \mathcal{A}_{0}/\cong_{k} \\ |C(k)|=j}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(X)|} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} a_{i}(C)^{\lambda_{i}} = \sum_{j=1}^{s} j^{r} \sum_{\substack{[Y] \in \mathcal{B}_{0}/\cong_{k} \\ |C(k)|=j}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(Y)|} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} a_{i}(C)^{\lambda_{i}}.$$

Since this holds for all $r \geq 1$ we can, by a Vandermonde argument, conclude that we have an equality as above for each fixed j. We can therefore extend Definition 2.2 to genus 1 in the following way:

$$(3.4) \quad a_{\lambda}|_{1} := \sum_{\substack{[(C,Q_{0})] \in \\ \mathcal{M}_{1,1}(k)/\cong_{k}}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(C,Q_{0})|} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} a_{i}(C)^{\lambda_{i}} =$$

$$= \sum_{[f] \in P_{1}/G} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Stab}_{G}(f)|} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} a_{i}(C_{f})^{\lambda_{i}} = I \sum_{f \in P_{1}} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} a_{i}(C_{f})^{\lambda_{i}},$$

which gives an agreement with equation (3.2).

All curves of genus 0 are isomorphic to \mathbf{P}^1 and $a_r(\mathbf{P}^1) = 0$ for all $r \geq 1$. In this trivial case we just let equation (3.2) be the definition of $a_{\lambda}|_{0}$.

4. Recurrence relations for u_g in odd characteristic

This section will be devoted to finding, for a fixed finite field k with an odd number of elements and for a fixed pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$, a recurrence relation for u_g . Notice that we will often suppress the pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ in our notation and for instance write u_g instead of $u_g^{(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})}$.

Fix a nonsquare t in k and an $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in A(\mathbf{n})$. Multiplying with the element t gives a fixed point free action on the set P_q and therefore

$$(4.1) \quad u_{g,\alpha} = I \sum_{f \in P_g} \prod_{i=1}^m \chi_{2,n_i} (f(\alpha_i))^{r_i} = I \sum_{f \in P_g} \prod_{i=1}^m \chi_{2,n_i} (t f(\alpha_i))^{r_i} =$$

$$= I \sum_{f \in P_g} \prod_{i=1}^m \chi_{2,n_i} (t)^{r_i} \chi_{2,n_i} (f(\alpha_i))^{r_i} = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^m r_i n_i} u_{g,\alpha}.$$

This computation and Lemmas 3.8 and 3.12 proves the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1. For any $g \ge -1$, $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$ and $\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n})$, if $\sum_{i=1}^m r_i n_i$ is odd then $u_{g,\alpha} = 0$. Consequently, $a_{\lambda}|_g$ is equal to 0 if it has odd weight.

Thus, the only interesting cases are those for which $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i n_i$ is even.

REMARK 4.2. The last statement of Lemma 4.1 can also be found as a consequence of the existence of the hyperelliptic involution.

We also see from equation (4.1) that

(4.2)
$$u_{g,\alpha} = I(q-1) \sum_{f \in P'_0} \prod_{i=1}^m \chi_{2,n_i} (f(\alpha_i))^{r_i} \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^m r_i n_i \text{ is even.}$$

DEFINITION 4.3. Let Q_g denote the set of all polynomials (that is, not necessarily square-free) with coefficients in k and of degree 2g+1 or 2g+2, and let $Q_g' \subset Q_g$ consist of the monic polynomials. For a polynomial $h \in Q_g$ we let $h(\infty)$ be the coefficient of the term of degree 2g+2 (which extends the earlier definition for elements in P_g). For any $g \geq -1$, $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$ and $\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n})$, define

$$U_{g,\alpha}^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} := I \sum_{h \in Q_g} \prod_{i=1}^m \chi_{2,n_i} (h(\alpha_i))^{r_i},$$

$$U_g^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} := \sum_{\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n})} U_{g,\alpha}^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{U}_g^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} := \sum_{i=-1}^g U_i^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})}.$$

We will find an equation relating U_g to u_i for all $-1 \le i \le g$. Moreover, for g large enough we will be able to compute U_g . Together, this will give us our recurrence relation for u_g .

With the same arguments as was used to prove equation (4.2) one shows that

(4.3)
$$U_{g,\alpha} = I(q-1) \sum_{h \in Q'_q} \prod_{i=1}^m \chi_{2,n_i} (h(\alpha_i))^{r_i} \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^m r_i n_i \text{ is even.}$$

DEFINITION 4.4. For any $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in A'(\mathbf{n})$, let $b_j = b_j^{\mathbf{n}}$ be the number of monic polynomials l of degree j such that $l(\alpha_i)$ is nonzero for all i. Let us also put $\hat{b}_j = \hat{b}_j^{\mathbf{n}} := \sum_{i=0}^j b_i^{\mathbf{n}}$.

LEMMA 4.5. For each $j \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}^m$, we have the equality

$$(4.4) b_j = q^j + \sum_{i=1}^j (-1)^i \sum_{\substack{1 \le m_1 < \dots < m_i \le m \\ \sum_{l=1}^i n_{m_l} \le j}} q^{j - \sum_{l=1}^i n_{m_l}}$$

from which it follows that b_j does not depend upon the choice of $\alpha \in A'(\mathbf{n})$.

Proof: The numbers b_j can be computed by inclusion-exclusion, where the choice of $1 \leq m_1 < \ldots < m_i \leq m$ corresponds to demanding the polynomial to be 0 in the points $\alpha_{m_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m_i}$.

NOTATION 4.6. For any $\alpha \in A'(\mathbf{n})$, let p_{α_i} denote the minimal polynomial of α_i and put $p_{\alpha} := \prod_{i=1}^m p_{\alpha_i}$.

LEMMA 4.7. For any $\alpha \in A'(\mathbf{n})$ there is a one-to-one correspondence between polynomials f defined over k with $\deg(f) \leq |\mathbf{n}| - 1$, and tuples $(f(\alpha_1), \ldots, f(\alpha_m)) \in \prod_{i=1}^m k_{n_i}$.

Proof: For any $\alpha \in A'(\mathbf{n})$ we have $\deg(p_{\alpha_i}) = n_i$ and $\gcd(p_{\alpha_i}, p_{\alpha_j}) = 1$ if $i \neq j$. The lemma now follows from the Chinese remainder theorem, which tells us that the morphism $k[x]/p_{\alpha} \to \prod_{i=1}^m k[x]/p_{\alpha_i} \cong \prod_{i=1}^m k_{n_i}$ given by $f(x) \mapsto (f(\alpha_1), \ldots, f(\alpha_m))$ is an isomorphism.

NOTATION 4.8. Let R_j denote the set of polynomials of degree j and let R'_j be the subset containing the monic polynomials.

We will divide into two cases.

4.1. The case $\alpha \in A'(\mathbf{n})$. Fix an element $\alpha \in A'(\mathbf{n})$. Any nonzero polynomial h can be written uniquely in the form $h = f l^2$ where f is a square-free polynomial and l is a monic polynomial. This statement translates directly into the equality

$$U_{s,\alpha} = I \sum_{j+k=s} \sum_{l \in R'_j} \sum_{f \in P_k} \prod_{i=1}^m \chi_{2,n_i} (f(\alpha_i))^{r_i} \chi_{2,n_i} (l(\alpha_i))^{2r_i} = \sum_{j=0}^{s+1} b_j u_{s-j,\alpha},$$

because for any $\beta \in \mathbf{A}^1(k_s)$, $\chi_{2,s}((fl^2)(\beta)) = \chi_{2,s}(f(\beta))$ if $l(\beta) \neq 0$. Summing this equality over all s between -1 and g gives

(4.5)
$$\hat{U}_{g,\alpha} = \sum_{j=0}^{g+1} \hat{b}_j u_{g-j,\alpha}.$$

If $r_i = 2$ for all i, then it follows from equation (4.3) that

$$U_{s,\alpha} = I(q-1) \sum_{h \in Q'} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \chi_{2,n_i} (h(\alpha_i))^2 = I(q-1)(b_{2s+2} + b_{2s+1}).$$

Summing this equality over all s between -1 and g gives

(4.6)
$$\hat{U}_{g,\alpha} = I(q-1)\hat{b}_{2g+2} \text{ for } g \ge -1 \text{ if } \forall i : r_i = 2.$$

In $\hat{U}_{g,\alpha}$ we are summing over all polynomials h of degree less than or equal to 2g+2, and every h can uniquely be written on the form $h_1+p_\alpha h_2$, with $\deg h_1 \leq |\mathbf{n}|-1$ and $\deg h_2 \leq 2g+2-|\mathbf{n}|$. Hence if $2g+2 \geq |\mathbf{n}|-1$ we find that

$$\hat{U}_{g,\alpha} = I \, q^{2g+3-|\mathbf{n}|} \sum_{s=1}^{|\mathbf{n}|-1} \sum_{h_1 \in R_s} \prod_{i=1}^m \chi_{2,n_i} \big(h_1(\alpha_i) \big)^{r_i}.$$

Using Lemma 4.7 we can reformulate this equality as

$$\hat{U}_{g,\alpha} = I \, q^{2g+3-|\mathbf{n}|} \sum_{(\beta_1,\dots,\beta_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m k_{n_i}} \prod_{i=1}^m \chi_{2,n_i}(\beta_i)^{r_i}.$$

For any j, half of the nonzero elements in k_j are squares and half are nonsquares, and thus if $r_i = 1$ for some i, we can conclude from this equality that

(4.7)
$$\hat{U}_{q,\alpha} = 0 \text{ for } g \ge (|\mathbf{n}| - 3)/2 \text{ if } \exists i : r_i = 1.$$

4.2. The case $\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n}) \setminus A'(\mathbf{n})$. Fix an element $\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n}) \setminus A'(\mathbf{n})$. We can assume that $\alpha_1 = \infty$, and then $\tilde{\alpha} := (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) \in A'(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$ where $\tilde{\mathbf{n}} := (n_2, \dots, n_m)$.

If $h \in Q_g$ and $f \in P_j$ such that $h = f l^2$ for some monic polynomial l (which is then unique), then $h(\infty) = f(\infty)$, because the coefficient of h of degree 2g + 2 must equal the coefficient of f of degree 2j + 2. As in Section 4.1 we get (4.8)

$$U_{g,\alpha} = I \sum_{j+k=g} \sum_{l \in R'_j} \sum_{f \in P_k} f(\infty) \prod_{i=2}^m \chi_{2,n_i} (f(\alpha_i))^{r_i} \chi_{2,n_i} (l(\alpha_i))^{2r_i} = \sum_{j=0}^{g+1} b_j^{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}} u_{g-j,\alpha}.$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i n_i$ is even, equation (4.3) and the definition of $h(\infty)$ shows that

(4.9)
$$U_{g,\alpha} = I(q-1) \sum_{h \in R'_{2n+2}} \prod_{i=2}^{m} \chi_{2,n_i} (h(\alpha_i))^{r_i}.$$

If $r_i = 2$ for all i, then equation (4.9) tells us that

$$(4.10) U_{g,\alpha} = I\left(q-1\right)b_{2g+2}^{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}} \text{for } g \geq -1, \, \forall i: r_i = 2.$$

If $2g+2 \ge |\mathbf{n}|-1$, an element $h \in R'_{2g+2}$ can be written uniquely as $h=h_1+p_{\tilde{\alpha}}h_2$, where $\deg(h_1) \le |\mathbf{n}|-2$, $\deg(h_2) \ge 0$ and h_2 monic. In the same way as in Section 4.1 we can (if $\sum_{i=1}^m r_i n_i$ is even) use this together with equation (4.9) and Lemma 4.7 to conclude that

(4.11)
$$U_{g,\alpha} = 0 \text{ for } g \ge (|\mathbf{n}| - 3)/2, \ \exists i : r_i = 1,$$

which of course also holds if $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i n_i$ is odd by Lemma 4.1 and equation (4.8).

REMARK 4.9. Fix an $\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n})$. If there is an element $\beta \in \mathbf{A}^1(k)$ such that $\beta \notin \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$, then $T(\alpha) := (T(\alpha_1), \ldots, T(\alpha_n))$ is in $A'(\mathbf{n})$, where T is the projective transformation of \mathbf{P}^1_k defined by $x \mapsto \beta x/(x-\beta)$.

In the notation of equation (3.1), $\chi_{2,n_i}(f(T(\alpha_i))) = \chi_{2,n_i}(f(\alpha_i))$ (with e = 1). Since this induces a permutation of P_g , we find that $u_{g,\alpha} = u_{g,T(\alpha)}$ and similarly that $U_{g,\alpha} = U_{g,T(\alpha)}$. So, if $q \geq |\mathbf{n}|$, then equations (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) will also hold for $\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n}) \setminus A'(\mathbf{n})$. By Lemma 4.10 in the next section, we will see that this is true even if $q < |\mathbf{n}|$.

4.3. The two cases joined. In this section we will put the results of the two previous sections together using the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.10. For any
$$\tilde{\mathbf{n}} = (n_2, \dots, n_m)$$
, if $\mathbf{n} = (1, n_2, \dots, n_m)$ then $\hat{b}_j^{\mathbf{n}} = b_j^{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}$.

Proof: Fix any tuple $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_m)$ and put $n := |\mathbf{n}|$. If we let $t_i = q^{n_i}$ in the formula

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} (t_i - 1) = t_1 \cdots t_m + \sum_{i=1}^{m} (-1)^i \sum_{1 \le m_1 < \dots < m_i \le m} t_1 \cdots t_m \frac{1}{t_{m_1}} \cdots \frac{1}{t_{m_i}},$$

then the right hand side is equal to the right hand side of equation (4.4), and hence

(4.12)
$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} (q^{n_i} - 1) = b_n^{\mathbf{n}}.$$

Say that $b_i^{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{i=0}^j c_{i,i}^{\mathbf{n}} q^i$ and $\hat{b}_i^{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{i=0}^j \hat{c}_{i,i}^{\mathbf{n}} q^i$. If $i \leq j$ then equation (4.4) implies that $c_{j,i}^{\mathbf{n}} = c_{n,n+i-j}^{\mathbf{n}}$ and hence $\hat{c}_{j,i}^{\mathbf{n}} = \sum_{s=0}^{j} c_{n,n+i-s}^{\mathbf{n}}$. By equation (4.12) we know that q-1 divides $b_n^{\mathbf{n}}$, and if $b_n^{\mathbf{n}}/(q-1) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i q^i$ then $\hat{c}_{j,i}^{\mathbf{n}} = d_{n-1+i-j}$. So, if $n_1 = 1$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{n}} = (n_2, \dots, n_m)$ then $b_n^{\mathbf{n}}/(q-1) = b_{n-1}^{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}$ and thus $\hat{c}_{j,i}^{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{2}$

So, if
$$n_1 = 1$$
 and $\tilde{\mathbf{n}} = (n_2, \dots, n_m)$ then $b_n^{\mathbf{n}}/(q-1) = b_{n-1}^{\mathbf{n}}$ and thus $\hat{c}_{j,i}^{\mathbf{n}} = c_{n-1,n-1+i-j}^{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}} = c_{j,i}^{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}}$.

NOTATION 4.11. Let us write $J := I(q-1)|A(\mathbf{n})|$.

THEOREM 4.12. For any pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{g+1} \hat{b}_j u_{g-j} = \begin{cases} J \, \hat{b}_{2g+2} & \text{if } \forall i : r_i = 2, \ g \ge -1; \\ 0 & \text{if } \exists i : r_i = 1, \ g \ge \frac{|\mathbf{n}| - 3}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: The theorem follows from combining equations (4.5), (4.6), (4.7) and equations (4.8), (4.10), (4.11), using Lemma 4.10.

Note that with this theorem we can, for any $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$ such that $r_i = 2$ for all i, compute u_g for any g. Moreover, for any pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ we can compute u_g for any g, if we already know u_g for all $g < (|\mathbf{n}| - 3)/2$.

LEMMA 4.13. For any \mathbf{n} , q-1 divides $b_{|\mathbf{n}|}^{\mathbf{n}}$, and if we write $b_{|\mathbf{n}|}^{\mathbf{n}}/(q-1) =$ $\sum_{i=0}^{|\mathbf{n}|-1} d_i q^i \text{ then } \hat{b}_i - q \hat{b}_{i-1} = d_{|\mathbf{n}|-1-i}.$

Proof: The first claim is shown in the proof of Lemma 4.10. Using the notation of that proof we find that $\hat{b}_j - q\hat{b}_{j-1} = \sum_{i=0}^j d_{n-1+i-j}q^i - \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} d_{n+i-j}q^{i+1} = d_{n-1-j}$. Note that d_{n-1-j} only depends upon \mathbf{n} and not on q.

Theorem 4.14. For any pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\min(|\mathbf{n}|-1,g+1)} (\hat{b}_j - q\hat{b}_{j-1}) u_{g-j} = \begin{cases} J\left(\hat{b}_{2g+2} - q\hat{b}_{2g}\right) & \text{if } \forall i: r_i = 2, \ g \geq 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } \exists i: r_i = 1, \ g \geq \frac{|\mathbf{n}|-1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let us temporarily put $F(s) := \sum_{j=0}^{s+1} \hat{b}_j u_{s-j}$. From Lemma 4.13 we find that $\hat{b}_j - q\hat{b}_{j-1} = 0$ if $j > |\mathbf{n}| - 1$. The theorem then follows from applying Theorem 4.12 to the expression F(g) - qF(g-1).

For $g \ge (|\mathbf{n}| - 1)/2$, Theorem 4.14 presents us with a linear recurrence relation for u_q which has coefficients that are independent of the finite field k.

EXAMPLE 4.15. If $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) = ((2, 1, 1, 1); (1, 2, 1, 1))$ then $b_5^{\mathbf{n}}/(q-1) = (q^2-1)(q-1)^2 = q^4-2q^3+2q-1$. Applying Lemma 4.13 and then Theorem 4.14 we get

$$u_g - 2u_{g-1} + 2u_{g-3} - u_{g-4} = 0$$
 for $g \ge 3$.

EXAMPLE 4.16. Let us compute u_g , for all $g \ge -1$, when $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) = ((1,1,1),(2,2,2))$. We have that $u_{-1} = J = 1$ and since $r_i = 2$ for all i, Theorem 4.14 gives the equality $u_0 = 2u_{-1} + J(q^2 - 3q + 1) = q^2 - 3q + 3$. Applying Theorem 4.14 again we get

$$u_g - 2u_{g-1} + u_{g-2} = q^{2g-1}(q-1)^3$$
 for $g \ge 1$.

Solving this recurrence relation gives

$$u_g^{((1,1,1);(2,2,2))} = \frac{q^{2g+3}(q-1) - (2g+2)(q^2-1) + 3q + 1}{(q+1)^2} \quad \text{for } g \ge -1.$$

5. Linear recurrence relations for $a_{\lambda}|_{a}$

REMARK 5.1. From a sequence v_n that fulfills a linear recurrence relation with characteristic polynomial C we can, for any polynomial D, in the obvious way construct a linear recurrence relation for v_n with characteristic polynomial CD. Thus, from two sequences v_n and w_n that each fulfill linear recurrence relation with characteristic polynomial C and D respectively, we can construct a linear recurrence relation for the sequence $v_n + w_n$ with characteristic polynomial lem(C, D).

THEOREM 5.2. By applying Theorem 4.14 to each pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ appearing in the decomposition (given by Lemma 3.8) of $a_{\lambda}|_{g}$, we get a linear recurrence relation for $a_{\lambda}|_{g}$. The characteristic polynomial C(X) of this linear recurrence relation equals

(5.1)
$$\frac{1}{X-1} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} (X^i - 1)^{\lambda_i}.$$

Proof: Fix any pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ in the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_g$ and put $n = |\mathbf{n}|$. Lemma 4.13 tells us that $\hat{b}_j - q\hat{b}_{j-1}$ is equal to the coefficient of q^{n-1-j} in $b_n/(q-1)$. If $g \geq n-1$, then these numbers are also the coefficients in the recurrence relation given by Theorem 4.14. By equation (4.12), the characteristic polynomial $C_{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})}$ of this linear recurrence relation is equal to $(\prod_{j=1}^m (X^{n_i}-1))/(X-1)$.

We find that the linear recurrence relation in the general case (see Definition 3.9) will have characteristic polynomial equal to C. Moreover, we find (by their construction in the proof of Lemma 3.8) that if $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ is a degenerate case then $C_{(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})}|C$. The theorem now follows from Remark 5.1.

Theorem 5.2 tells us that if we can compute $a_{\lambda}|_g$ for $g < |\lambda| - 1$ then we can compute it for every g. But note that by considering the individual cases in the

decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_g$ we will do much better in Section 7, in the sense that we will be able to use information from curves of only genus 0 and 1 to compute $a_{\lambda}|_g$ for any λ such that $|\lambda| \leq 6$.

EXAMPLE 5.3. For $\lambda = [1^4, 2]$ the characteristic polynomial equals $(X-1)^4(X+1)$, so if V_g is a particular solution to the linear recurrence relation for $a_{[1^4,2]}|_g$ then

$$a_{[1^4,2]}|_g = V_g + A_3 g^3 + A_2 g^2 + A_1 g + A_0 + B_0 (-1)^g,$$

where A_0 , A_1 , A_2 , A_3 and B_0 do not depend upon g.

6. Computing u_0

In this section we will see that we can compute u_0 for any choice of a pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$. This is due to the fact that if C is a curve of genus 0 then, for all r, $|C(k_r)| = 1 + q^r$ or equivalently $a_r(C) = 0$.

Construction-Lemma 6.1. For each $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$, there are numbers c_1, \ldots, c_s and pairs $(\mathbf{n}^{(1)}; \mathbf{r}^{(1)}), \ldots, (\mathbf{n}^{(s)}; \mathbf{r}^{(s)})$, where $\mathbf{r}^{(i)} = (2, \ldots, 2)$ for all i, such that for any finite field k,

$$u_0^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} = \sum_{i=1}^s c_i u_0^{(\mathbf{n}^{(i)};\mathbf{r}^{(i)})}.$$

Proof: Fix a pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$. We will use induction over the number $n := |\mathbf{n}|$, where the base case n = 0 is trivial.

Let us put $(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}; \tilde{\mathbf{r}}) = ((n_2, \dots, n_m); (r_2, \dots, r_m))$. For an $\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) \in A(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$ let $\hat{\mathbf{P}}^1_{\tilde{\alpha}}(k_i)$ be the set of all points in $\mathbf{P}^1(k_i) \setminus \{\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m\}$ that are not defined over a proper subfield of k_i . The set of $\alpha_1 \in \mathbf{P}^1(k_{n_1})$ such that $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in A(\mathbf{n})$ then equals

(6.1)
$$\mathbf{P}^{1}(k_{n_{1}}) \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i|n_{1}} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{1}(k_{i}) \bigcup_{n_{i}|n_{1}} \{\alpha_{i}, \dots, F^{n_{i}-1}\alpha_{i}\}\right).$$

Assume now that the lemma has been proved for all pairs of degree strictly less than n. By reordering the elements of the pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ we can assume that $r_1 = 1$, because otherwise $\mathbf{r} = (2, \ldots, 2)$ and we are done. By applying equation (6.1) we get

(6.2)
$$I \sum_{\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n})} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \chi_{2,n_i} (f(\alpha_i))^{r_i} = I \sum_{\tilde{\alpha} \in A(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})} \prod_{i=2}^{m} \chi_{2,n_i} (f(\alpha_i))^{r_i} \cdot \left(-a_{n_1}(C_f) - \sum_{i|n_1} \sum_{\beta \in \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^1(k_i)} \chi_{2,n_1} (f(\beta)) - \sum_{n_i|n_1} n_i \chi_{2,n_1} (f(\alpha_i)) \right).$$

Let us put $(\mathbf{n}^{(i)}; \mathbf{r}^{(i)}) = ((i, n_2, \dots, n_m); (n_i/i, r_2, \dots, r_m))$ for all i that divides n_i and $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^{(i)} = (r_2, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_i \, n_1/n_i, r_{i+1}, \dots, r_m)$ for all n_i that divides n_1 .

Summing both sides of equation (6.2) over polynomials $f \in P_0$ and using that $a_{n_1}(C_f) = 0$ then gives

(6.3)
$$u_0^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} = -\sum_{i|n_1} u_0^{(\mathbf{n}^{(i)};\mathbf{r}^{(i)})} - \sum_{n_i|n_1} n_i u_0^{(\tilde{\mathbf{n}};\tilde{\mathbf{r}}^{(i)})}.$$

Since $|\tilde{\mathbf{n}}| < n$ and $|\mathbf{n}^{(i)}| < n$, the lemma follows by induction from equation (6.3).

EXAMPLE 6.2. In the case $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) = ((6, 6, 3, 1, 1); (1, 1, 2, 2, 2))$, the first step in the procedure in the proof of Lemma 6.1 equals

$$\begin{split} u_0^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} &= -u_0^{((6,3,3,1,1);(1,2,2,2,2))} - u_0^{((6,3,2,1,1);(1,2,1,2,2))} - u_0^{((6,3,1,1);(1,2,2,2,2))} \\ &\quad - 5u_0^{((6,3,1,1);(1,2,2,2))} - 6u_0^{((6,3,1,1);(2,2,2,2))}. \end{split}$$

EXAMPLE 6.3. In the case $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) = ((4, 1, 1, 1); (1, 2, 1, 1))$, the procedure in the proof of Lemma 6.1 gives

$$u_0^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} = u_0^{((2,1,1);(2,2,2))} + u_0^{((1,1,1);(2,2,2))} + u_0^{((1,1);(2,2))} - u_0^{((2,1);(2,2))} - u_0^{((1);(2))}$$

7. Results for weight up to 7 in odd characteristic

We will in this section show that we, for any number g and any finite field k of odd characteristic, can compute all $a_{\lambda}|_{g}$ of weight at most 7. This is achieved by decomposing $a_{\lambda}|_{g}$ using Lemma 3.8 and employing the recurrence relation of Theorem 4.12 on the different parts. This involves finding the necessary base cases for the recurrence relations and that will be possible with the help of results on genus 0 curves obtained in Section 6, and on genus 1 curves obtained in the article [1].

We will write $a_{\lambda}|_{g,odd}$ and $u_{g,odd}$ to stress that all results are in the case of odd characteristic. See Section 10 for results in the case of even characteristic.

EXAMPLE 7.1. Theorem 4.12 is applicable even if the degree is 0 (if considered as a case when $r_i=2$ for all i) and with $\hat{b}_j=\sum_{i=0}^j q^i$. From Theorem 4.12 we find that $a_0|_{0,odd}=Jq^2=q/(q^2-1)$ and again from Theorem 4.12 that

$$a_0|_{q,odd} = J(q^{2g+2} - q^{2g}) = q^{2g-1}$$
 for $q > 1$.

This result can also be found in [7, Proposition 7.1].

7.1. Degree at most 3. When the degree of the pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ is at most 3 we find using Theorem 4.12 that we do not need any base cases to compute u_g for every g.

EXAMPLE 7.2. Let us consider $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) = ((2); (1))$. We have $u_{-1} = J = 1/(q+1)$ and applying Theorem 4.12 we get $u_0 = -(q+1)u_{-1} = -1$. Theorem 4.14 tells us that $u_g = -u_{g-1}$ for $g \ge 1$ and thus

$$u_{g,odd}^{((2);(1))} = (-1)^{g+1}$$
 for $g \ge 0$.

EXAMPLE 7.3. The result for $a_{[2]}|_{g,odd}$ is

$$a_{[2]}|_{g,odd} = -u_q^{((2);(1))} - u_q^{((1);(2))} = (-1)^g - q^{2g}$$
 for $g \ge 0$.

Example 7.4. The result for $a_{[1^2]}|_{g,odd}$ is

$$a_{[1^2]}|_{g,odd} = u_g^{((1,1);(1,1))} + u_g^{((1);(2))} = -1 + q^{2g}$$
 for $g \ge 0$.

REMARK 7.5. The result for $(q^2+1) a_0|_{g,odd} - a_{[2]}|_{g,odd}$ can be found in lecture notes by Bradley Brock and Andrew Granville from 28 July 2003.

EXAMPLE 7.6. Consider the case $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) = ((1,1,1); (2,1,1))$. We have $u_{-1} = J = 1$ and from Theorem 4.12 we get $u_0 = -(q-2)u_{-1} = -q+2$. Theorem 4.14 gives the recurrence relation $u_g = 2u_{g-1} - u_{g-2}$ for $g \ge 1$ and hence

$$u_{g,odd}^{((1,1,1);(2,1,1))} = g(-q+1) - q + 2.$$

7.2. DEGREE 4 OR 5. From Theorem 4.12 we find that when the degree of the pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ is 4 or 5 we need the base case of genus 0. But the genus 0 case is always computable using Lemma 6.1 and then Theorem 4.12, and hence the same is true for u_q for all g.

EXAMPLE 7.7. For $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) = ((2,1,1); (1,1,1))$ we have $u_{-1} = q$ and from Lemma 6.1 it follows that

$$u_0^{((2,1,1);(1,1,1))} = -u_0^{((2,1);(1,2))} = u_0^{((1,1);(2,2))} + u_0^{((1);(2))} = q.$$

Using Theorem 4.12 we get $u_1 = -(q-1)u_0 - (q^2 - q - 1)u_{-1} = -q^3 + 2q$. Solving the recurrence relation $u_g = u_{g-1} - u_{g-2} - u_{g-3}$ for $g \ge 2$, coming from Theorem 4.14, gives

$$u_{g,odd}^{((2,1,1);(1,1,1))} = \frac{1}{4} (q^3 - q)(-2g + (-1)^g - 1) + q.$$

EXAMPLE 7.8. The result for $a_{[1^2,2]}|_{g,odd}$ is

$$\begin{split} a_{[1^2,2]}|_{g,odd} &= -u_g^{((2,1,1);(1,1,1))} - u_g^{((2,1);(1,2))} - u_g^{((1,1,1);(2,1,1))} \\ &- u_g^{((1,1);(2,2))} - 2u_g^{((1,1);(1,1))} - u_g^{((1);(2))} = \\ &= -\frac{q^{2g+2}-1}{q+1} - q^{2g} + \frac{1}{2} \, g(q^3+q-2) + \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} 2q & \text{if } g \equiv 0 \bmod 2 \\ q^3-q-2 & \text{if } g \equiv 1 \bmod 2 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

7.3. Weight 6. We will not be able to compute u_g for all pairs $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ of degree 6. But we will be able to compute u_g for all pairs $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ that are general cases in the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_g$ for λ 's of weight 6. This will be sufficient to compute all $a_{\lambda}|_g$ of weight 6, because we saw in Lemma 3.11 that only the general case will have degree 6 and therefore all degenerate cases are covered in Sections 7.1 and 7.2.

Let u_g be the general case in the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_g$. When the degree is equal to 6 we see from Theorem 4.12 that we need the base cases of genus 0 and 1 to compute u_g for all g. As we know, we can always compute u_0 using Lemma 6.1. For genus 1, the numbers $a_{\lambda}|_1$ have been computed for weight up

to 6 by the author. This was done by embedding every genus 1 curve with a given point as a plane cubic curve, see [1, Section 15]. Since we know all the degenerate cases in the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_{1}$ we can then compute the general case u_{1} .

EXAMPLE 7.9. Let us deal with $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) = ((6); (1))$ which is the generic case in the decomposition of $a_{[6]}|_{g,odd}$ and for which we have $u_{-1} = J = q^3 + q - 1$. Using Lemma 6.1 we get

$$u_0^{((6);(1))} = -u_0^{((3);(2))} - u_0^{((2);(1))} - u_0^{((1);(2))} = -u_0^{((3);(2))} = -q^2.$$

Using the results of [1, Section 15] we find that $a_{[6]}|_1=q-1$. Decomposing $a_{[6]}|_g$ gives $a_{[6]}|_1=-u_1^{((6);(1))}-u_1^{((3);(2))}-u_1^{((2);(1))}-u_1^{((1);(2))}$. Thus, using Example 7.2, we get $u_1=-(q-1)-(q^4-q^2-q-1)-1-q^2=-q^4+1$. We can now apply Theorem 4.12 which gives $u_2=-(q+1)u_1-(q^2+q+1)u_0-(q^3+q^2+q+1)u_{-1}=-q^6+q^2-q$, $u_3=-u_2-u_1-u_0-u_{-1}=q^6+q^4-q^3$ and $u_4=-u_3-u_2-u_1-u_0-u_{-1}=0$. If we then multiply the characteristic polynomial for the linear recurrence relation of u_g by X-1 we get $u_g=u_{g-6}$ for all $g\geq 5$.

EXAMPLE 7.10. The result for $a_{[6]}|_{g,odd}$ is

$$a_{[6]}|_{g,odd} = -u_g^{((6);(1))} - u_g^{((3);(2))} - u_g^{((2);(1))} - u_g^{((1);(2))} = -q^{2g} - \frac{q^{2g+3}(q-1)}{q^2 - q + 1} + \frac{1}{q^2 - q + 1} \begin{cases} q^2 & \text{if } g \equiv 0 \bmod 3 \\ -q^2 - 1 & \text{if } g \equiv 1 \bmod 3 \\ 1 & \text{if } g \equiv 2 \bmod 3 \end{cases} \begin{cases} q^2 + 1 & \text{if } g \equiv 0 \bmod 6 \\ q^4 - 2 & \text{if } g \equiv 1 \bmod 6 \\ q^6 - q^2 + q + 1 & \text{if } g \equiv 2 \bmod 6 \\ 1 - q^6 - q^4 + q^3 - 1 & \text{if } g \equiv 3 \bmod 6 \\ 1 - q^3 - q & \text{if } g \equiv 5 \bmod 6 \end{cases}$$

REMARK 7.11. For any choice of λ and g, consider $a_{\lambda}|_{g,odd}$ as a function of the number q of elements of the finite field k of odd characteristic. If λ is of weight at most 7 it follows from our computations that this function is a polynomial in the variable q.

This will not continue to hold when considering for instance $a_{[1^6]}|_3$, that is, also including finite fields of *even* characteristic, see Example 10.6. But it will also not hold for instance for $a_{[1^{10}]}|_{1,odd}$, which for prime fields will be a polynomial function minus the Ramanujan τ -function, compare [15, Corollary 5.4].

8. Representatives of hyperelliptic curves in even characteristic

Let k be a finite field with an even number of elements. We will again describe the hyperelliptic curves of genus $g \geq 2$ defined over k by their degree 2 morphism to \mathbf{P}^1 . If we choose an affine coordinate x on \mathbf{P}^1 we can write the induced degree 2 extension of the function field of \mathbf{P}^1 in the form $y^2 + h(x)y + f(x) = 0$,

where h and f are polynomials defined over k that fulfill the following conditions:

$$(8.1) 2g + 1 \le \max(2\deg(h), \deg(f)) \le 2g + 2;$$

(8.2)
$$\gcd(h, f'^2 + fh'^2) = 1;$$

(8.3)
$$t \nmid \gcd(h_{\infty}, f_{\infty}^{\prime 2} + f_{\infty}h_{\infty}^{\prime 2}).$$

The last condition comes from the nonsingularity of the point(s) in infinity, around which the curve can be described in the variable t=1/x as $y^2+h_{\infty}(t)y+f_{\infty}(t)=0$, where $h_{\infty}:=t^{g+1}h(1/t)$ and $f_{\infty}:=t^{2g+2}f(1/t)$. We therefore define $h(\infty)$ and $f(\infty)$ to be equal to the degree g+1 and 2g+2 coefficient respectively. For a reference see for instance [19, p. 294].

DEFINITION 8.1. Let P_g denote the set of pairs (h, f) of polynomials defined over k, where h is nonzero, that fulfill all three conditions (8.1), (8.2) and (8.3). Write $C_{(h,f)}$ for the curve corresponding to the element (h, f) in P_g .

To each k-isomorphism class of objects in $\mathcal{H}_g(k)$ there is a pair (h, f) in P_g such that $C_{(h,f)}$ is a representative. All k-isomorphisms between the curves represented by elements of P_g are given by k-isomorphisms of their function fields, and since the g_2^1 of a hyperelliptic curve is unique the k-isomorphisms must respect the inclusion of the function field of \mathbf{P}^1 .

Identify the set of polynomials l(x) defined over k and of degree at most g+1 with k^{g+2} , and define the group homomorphism

$$\phi_g : \operatorname{GL}_2^{\operatorname{op}}(k) \times k^* \to \operatorname{Aut}(k^{g+2}), \ \phi_g(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, e)(l(x)) := e^{-1}(cx+d)^{g+1}l(\frac{ax+b}{cx+d}).$$

Now define the group $G_g:=\left(k^{g+2}\rtimes_{\phi_g}(\mathrm{GL}_2^{\mathrm{op}}(k)\times k^*)\right)/D$ where

$$D:=\{(0,\left(\begin{array}{cc}a&0\\0&a\end{array}\right),a^{g+1}):a\in k^*\}\subset k^{g+2}\rtimes_{\phi_g}(\operatorname{GL}_2^{\operatorname{op}}(k)\times k^*).$$

The k-isomorphisms between curves corresponding to elements of P_g are then precisely the ones induced by elements of G_g by letting

$$\gamma = [(l(x), \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, e)] \in G_g$$

induce the isomorphism

$$(x,y)\mapsto\left(rac{ax+b}{cx+d},rac{eig(y+l(x)ig)}{(cx+d)^{g+1}}
ight).$$

This defines a left group action of G_g on P_g , where $\gamma = [(l, \Lambda, e)] \in G_g$ takes $(h, f) \in P_g$ to $(\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}) \in P_g$, with

$$(\tilde{h}, \tilde{f}) = (\phi_g(\Lambda, e)(h), e^{-1}\phi_{2g}(\Lambda, e)(f) + l\phi_g(\Lambda, e)(h) + l^2).$$

Documenta Mathematica 14 (2009) 259–296

DEFINITION 8.2. Let τ_m be the function that takes $(a,b) \in k_m^2$ to 1 if the equation $y^2 + ay + b$ has two roots defined over k_m , 0 if it has one root and -1 if it has none.

LEMMA 8.3. If $C_{(h,f)}$ is the hyperelliptic curve corresponding to $(h,f) \in P_g$ then

$$a_m(C_{(h,f)}) = -\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{P}^1(k_m)} \tau_m(h(\alpha), f(\alpha)).$$

Proof: Follows in the same way as Lemma 3.4.

Notation 8.4. Let us put $I_q := 1/|G_q| = q^{-(g+2)}(q^3 - q)^{-1}(q-1)^{-1}$.

In the same way as in the case of odd characteristic we get the equality

$$a_{\lambda}|_g = I_g \sum_{(h,f) \in P_g} \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} \left(-\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{P}^1(k_i)} \tau_i \left(h(\alpha), f(\alpha) \right) \right)^{\lambda_i}.$$

All results of Section 3.1 are independent of the characteristic and hence we extend the definition of $a_{\lambda}|_{g}$ to genus 0 and 1 in the same way as in that section.

Definition 8.5. For any $g \geq -1$, $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$ and $\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n})$ define

$$u_{g,\alpha}^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} := I_g \sum_{(h,f)\in P_g} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} (h(\alpha_i), f(\alpha_i))^{r_i}$$

and

$$u_g^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} := \sum_{\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n})} u_{g,\alpha}^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})}.$$

Construction-Lemma 8.6. For each λ we have (in even characteristic) the same decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_g$ as given by Construction-Lemma 3.8.

Proof: The following properties of τ_m for $(h, f) \in P_g$ correspond precisely to the ones for the quadratic character.

- * Say that $\alpha \in \mathbf{P}^1(k_s)$, then $\tau_{\tilde{s}}(h(\alpha), f(\alpha)) = \tau_s(h(\alpha), f(\alpha))^2$ if \tilde{s}/s is even, and $\tau_{\tilde{s}}(h(\alpha), f(\alpha)) = \tau_s(h(\alpha), f(\alpha))$ if \tilde{s}/s is odd. * If for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{P}^1$ we have $F^s(\alpha) = \beta$ for some s, then
- * If for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{P}^1$ we have $F^s(\alpha) = \beta$ for some s, then $\tau_i(h(\alpha), f(\alpha)) = \tau_i(h(\alpha), f(\beta))$ for all i.
- * Finally, for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{P}^1$ and any s, $\tau_{,s}(h(\alpha), f(\alpha))^r = \tau_s(h(\alpha), f(\alpha))^2$ if r is even and $\tau_s(h(\alpha), f(\alpha))^r = \tau_s(h(\alpha), f(\alpha))$ if r is odd.

With this established we can use the same proof as for Construction-Lemma 3.8.

Since the decompositions are the same, Lemmas 3.11 and 3.12 also hold in even characteristic.

Documenta Mathematica 14 (2009) 259–296

9. Recurrence relations for u_q in even characteristic

Analogously to Section 4, this section will be devoted to finding for a fixed pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$, a recurrence relation for u_g . Fix an $s \in k$ which does not lie in the set $\{r^2 + r : r \in k\}$, that is, such that $\tau_1(1, s) = -1$. We define an involution on P_g sending (h, f) to $(h, f + s h^2)$. This involution is fixed point free and hence

$$u_{g,\alpha} = I_g \sum_{(h,f) \in P_g} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} (h(\alpha_i), f(\alpha_i))^{r_i} =$$

$$= I_g \sum_{(h,f) \in P_g} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} (h(\alpha_i), f(\alpha_i) + s h^2(\alpha_i))^{r_i} = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^m r_i n_i} u_{g,\alpha}.$$

Thus, Lemma 4.1 also holds in the case of even characteristic.

DEFINITION 9.1. Let Q_g denote the set of pairs (h, f) of polynomials over k, where h is nonzero and h, f are of degree at most g+1, 2g+2 respectively. Extending the definition for P_g above to a pair $(h, f) \in Q_g$, let $h(\infty)$ and $f(\infty)$ be equal to the degree g+1 and 2g+2 coefficient of h and f respectively. For any $g \geq -1$, $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$ and $\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n})$ define

$$\hat{U}_{g,\alpha}^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} := I_g \sum_{(h,f) \in Q_g} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} \big(h(\alpha_i), f(\alpha_i) \big)^{r_i}$$

and

$$\hat{U}_g^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})} := \sum_{\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n})} \hat{U}_{g,\alpha}^{(\mathbf{n};\mathbf{r})}.$$

REMARK 9.2. The connection between the sets Q_g and P_g which we will present below is due to Brock and Granville and can be found in an early version of [7]. There the connection is used to count the number of hyperelliptic curves in even characteristic, which is $a_0|_{g,even}$ in our terminology.

Lemma 9.3. Let h and f be polynomials over k. For any irreducible polynomial m over k, the following two statements are equivalent:

- $\star m | \gcd(h, f'^2 + fh'^2)$:
- * there is a polynomial l over k, such that m|h and $m^2|f + hl + l^2$.

Proof: Say that $\alpha \in k_n$ is a root of an irreducible polynomial m and of the polynomial $\gcd(h, f'^2 + f{h'}^2)$. Let l be equal to $f^{q^n/2}$. Working modulo $(x-\alpha)^2$ we then get

$$f + hl + l^2 = f + hf^{q^n/2} + f^{q^n}$$

$$\equiv f(\alpha) + f'(\alpha)(x - \alpha) + h'(\alpha)f(\alpha)^{q^n/2}(x - \alpha) + f(\alpha)^{q^n}$$

$$\equiv (x - \alpha)(f'(\alpha) + h'(\alpha)f(\alpha)^{q^n/2}) \equiv (x - \alpha)(f'(\alpha)^2 + h'(\alpha)^2 f(\alpha))^{1/2} = 0,$$

which tells us that $m^2|f+hl+l^2$. For the other direction, assume that we have an irreducible polynomial m and a polynomial l such that m|h and $m^2|f+hl+l^2$.

Differentiating the polynomial $f + hl + l^2$ gives $m^2|f' + h'l + hl'$, and thus m|f' + h'l. Taking squares we get $m^2|f'^2 + h'^2l^2$ and then it follows that $m^2|f'^2 + h'^2(f + hl)$ and hence $m|f'^2 + h'^2f$.

Let (h, f) be an element of Q_g . In the first part of the proof of Lemma 9.3, we may take for l any representative of $f^{q^n/2}$ modulo h, because for these l we have $f + hl + l^2 \equiv f + hf^{q^n/2} + f^{q^n}$ modulo $(x - \alpha)^2$. In the second part it does not matter which degree l has. We conclude from this that Lemma 9.3 also holds if we assume that l is of degree at most g + 1.

Choose $g \ge -1$ and let $(h, f) \in Q_g$. Lemma 9.3 gives the following alternative formulation of the conditions (8.1), (8.2) and (8.3). For all polynomials l of degree at most q + 1:

(9.1)
$$m|h, m^2|f + hl + l^2 \implies \deg(m) = 0;$$

(9.2)
$$\deg(h) = g + 1 \text{ or } \deg(f + hl + l^2) \ge 2g + 1.$$

Here we used that $t|\gcd(h_\infty,f_\infty'^2+f_\infty h_\infty'^2)$ if and only if $t|h_\infty$ and there exists a polynomial l_∞ such that $\deg(l_\infty)\leq g+1$ and $t^2|f_\infty+h_\infty l_\infty+l_\infty^2$. In turn, this happens if and only if $\deg(h)\leq g$ and there exists a polynomial l of degree at most g+1 such that $\deg(f+hl+l^2)\leq 2g$, where we connect l and l_∞ using the definitions $l:=x^{g+1}l_\infty(1/x)$ and $l_\infty:=t^{g+1}l(1/t)$.

This reformulation leads us to making the following definition.

DEFINITION 9.4. Let \sim_g be the relation on Q_g given by $(h,f)\sim_g (h,f+hl+l^2)$ if l is a polynomial of degree at most g+1. This is an equivalence relation and since $(h,f)=(h,f+hl+l^2)$ if and only if l=0 or l=h, the number of elements of each equivalence class $[(h,f)]_g$ is $q^{g+2}/2$. If $(h,f)\in P_g\subset Q_g$ then $[(h,f)]_g\subset P_g$ and we get an induced equivalence relation on P_g which we also denote \sim_g .

We will now construct all \sim_g equivalence classes of elements of Q_g in terms of the \sim_i equivalence classes of the elements in P_i , where i is between -1 and g. This is the counterpart of factoring a polynomial into a square-free part and a squared part in the case of odd characteristic.

DEFINITION 9.5. For $z:=[(h,f)]_i\in P_i/\sim_i$ let V_z be the set of all equivalence classes $[(mh,m^2f)]_g$ in Q_g for all monic polynomials m of degree at most g-i. This is well defined since if $(h_1,f_1)\sim_i (h_2,f_2)$ then $(mh_1,m^2f_1)\sim_g (mh_2,m^2f_2)$.

LEMMA 9.6. The sets V_z for all $z \in P_i / \sim_i$ where $-1 \le i \le g$ are disjoint.

Proof: Say that for some z_1 and z_2 the intersection $V_{z_1} \cap V_{z_2}$ is nonempty. That is, there exist $(h_1, f_1) \in P_{i_1}$, $(h_2, f_2) \in P_{i_2}$ and monic polynomials m_1 , m_2 such that $m_1h_1 = m_2h_2$ and $m_1^2f_1 = m_2^2f_2 + m_2h_2l + l^2$. If for some irreducible polynomial r we have $r|m_1$ but $r \nmid m_2$, it follows that $r|h_2$ and $r^2|m_2^2f_2 + m_2h_2l + l^2$. By the equivalence of conditions (8.2) and (9.1), this implies that $r|(m_2^2f_2)'^2 + m_2^2f_2(m_2h_2)'^2$ which in turn implies that $r|f_1'^2 + f_2h_2'^2$. Since $(h_2, f_2) \in P_{i_2}$ we see that r must be constant. Hence every irreducible

factor of m_1 is a factor of m_2 . The situation is symmetric and therefore the converse also holds.

So far we have not ruled out the possibility that a factor in m_1 appears with higher multiplicity than in m_2 , or vice versa. Let m be the product of all irreducible factors of m_1 and put $\tilde{m}_1 := m_1/m$, $\tilde{m}_2 := m_2/m$ and $\tilde{l} := l/m$. We are then in the same situation as above, that is $\tilde{m}_1 h_1 = \tilde{m}_2 h_2$ and $\tilde{m}_1^2 f_1 = \tilde{m}_2^2 f_2 + \tilde{m}_2 h_2 \tilde{l} + \tilde{l}^2$. Thus, if r is an irreducible polynomial such that $r | \tilde{m}_1$ but $r \nmid \tilde{m}_2$ we can argue as above to conclude that r is constant. By a repeated application of this line of reasoning we can conclude that m_1 and m_2 must be equal.

It now follows that $h_1 = h_2$ and that $m_2|l$, thus $(h_1, f_1) \sim_{i_1} (h_2, f_2)$. This tells us that $V_{z_1} \cap V_{z_2}$ is only nonempty when $z_1 = z_2$.

LEMMA 9.7. The sets V_z for all $z \in P_i / \sim_i$ where $-1 \le i \le g$ cover Q_q / \sim_q .

Proof: Pick any element $(h_1, f_1) \in Q_g$ and put $g_1 := g$. We define a procedure, where at the *i*th step we ask if there are any polynomials m_i and l_i such that $\deg(m_i) > 0$, $\deg(l_i) \le g_i + 1$, $m_i | h_i$ and $m_i^2 | f_i + h_i l_i + l_i^2$. If so, take any such polynomials m_i , l_i and define $h_{i+1} := h_i / m_i$, $f_{i+1} := (f_i + h_i l_i + l_i^2) / m_i^2$ and $g_{i+1} := g_i - \deg(m_i)$. This procedure will certainly stop. Assume that the procedure has been carried out in some way and that it has stopped at the *j*th step, leaving us with some pair of polynomials (h_i, f_i) .

Next, we take (h_j, f_{j+1}) to be any element of the set $[(h_j, f_j)]_{g_j}$ for which $\deg(f_{j+1})$ is minimal. Say that $f_{j+1} = f_j + h_j l_j + l_j^2$ where $\deg(l_j) \leq g_j + 1$ and let us define g_{j+1} to be the number such that $2g_{j+1} + 1 \leq \max\left(2\deg(h_j), \deg(f_{j+1})\right) \leq 2g_{j+1} + 2$. The claim is now that $(h_j, f_{j+1}) \in P_{g_{j+1}}$. By definition, condition (8.1) holds for (h_j, f_{j+1}) . If there were polynomials m_{j+1} and l_{j+1} such that $m_{j+1}|h_j$ and $m_{j+1}^2|f_{j+1} + h_j l_{j+1} + l_{j+1}^2$ then the pair of polynomials m_{j+1} and $l_j + l_{j+1}$ would contradict that the process above stopped at the jth step. Hence condition (9.1) is fulfilled for (h_j, f_{j+1}) . Condition (9.2) is fulfilled if $2\deg(h_j) \geq \deg(f_{j+1})$ because then $\deg(h_j) = g_{j+1} + 1$. On the other hand, if $2\deg(h_j) < \deg(f_{j+1})$ and there were a polynomial l_{j+1} such that $\deg(l_{j+1}) \leq g_{j+1} + 1$ and $\deg(f_{j+1} + h_j l_{j+1} + l_{j+1}^2) \leq 2g_{j+1}$ then this would contradict the minimality of $\deg(f_{j+1})$. We conclude that $(h_j, f_{j+1}) \in P_{g_{j+1}}$.

Finally we see that if we put $\hat{m}_r := \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} m_i$ and $l := \sum_{i=1}^{j} \hat{m}_i l_i$, then $\deg(l) \le g+1$, $h_1 = \hat{m}_j h_j$ and $f_1 = \hat{m}_j^2 f_{j+1} + h_1 l + l^2$. This shows that V_z contains $[(h_1, f_1)]_g$ where $z := [(h_j, f_{j+1})]_{g_{j+1}} \in P_{g_{j+1}} / \sim_{g_{j+1}}$.

Using the lemmas above we will be able to write \hat{U}_g in terms of u_i for i between -1 and g. After this we will determine \hat{U}_g for large enough values of g. We divide into two cases.

NOTATION 9.8. Let S_j denote all polynomials of degree at most j, and let $S'_i \subset S_j$ consist of the monic polynomials.

9.1. The case $\alpha \in A'(\mathbf{n})$. Fix an element $\alpha \in A'(\mathbf{n})$. It follows from Lemma 9.6 and Lemma 9.7 that

$$(9.3) \hat{U}_{g,\alpha} = \frac{I_g}{2} \sum_{l \in S_{g+1}} \sum_{j=-1}^g \sum_{z \in P_i/\sim_i} \sum_{[(h,f)]_i \in V_i} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} (h(\alpha_i), (f+hl+l^2)(\alpha_i))^{r_i}.$$

LEMMA 9.9. Choose any $s \ge 1$ and t_1, t_2 in k_s . We then have

$$\tau_s(vt_1, v^2t_2) = \tau_s(t_1, t_2)$$
 for all $v \neq 0 \in k_s$;
 $\tau_s(t_1, t_2 + vt_1 + v^2) = \tau_s(t_1, t_2)$ for all $v \in k_s$.

Proof: Clear.
$$\Box$$

Fix elements $z = [(h_0, f_0)]_i \in P_i / \sim_i$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{A}^1(k_s)$ and define V_z' to be the subset of V_z of classes $[(\tilde{m}h_0, \tilde{m}^2f_0)]_g$, where \tilde{m} is a monic polynomial with $\tilde{m}(\beta) \neq 0$. Lemma 9.9 shows that $\tau_s(h(\beta), f(\beta))$ is constant for all s and (h, f) such that $[(h, f)]_g \in V_z'$. Applying this to equation (9.3) after recalling Definition 4.4 we find that

$$(9.4) \quad \hat{U}_{g,\alpha} = I_g \frac{q^{g+1}}{2} \sum_{j=-1}^g \sum_{z \in P_j/\sim_j} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in S'_{g-j}} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} \left((\tilde{m}h)(\alpha_i), (\tilde{m}^2 f)(\alpha_i) \right)^{r_i} =$$

$$= I_g \frac{q^{g+1}}{2} \sum_{j=-1}^g \hat{b}_{g-j} u_{j,\alpha} \frac{2}{q^{j+1} I_j} = \sum_{i=0}^{g+1} \hat{b}_i u_{g-i,\alpha},$$

where we have taken into account that the group of isomorphisms depends upon g and that the numbers of elements of the equivalence classes of the relations \sim_{g-j} and \sim_g differ by a factor q^j . From the definitions we see that $q^{g-j} I_g/I_j = 1$.

For any $g \ge -1$ and any $h_0 \in S_{g+1}$ it is clear that (9.5)

$$\sum_{(h_0, f) \in Q_q} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} (h_0(\alpha_i), f(\alpha_i))^{r_i} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \forall i : r_i = 2, \ \exists j : h_0(\alpha_j) = 0; \\ q^{2g+3} & \text{if } \forall i : r_i = 2, \ \forall j : h_0(\alpha_j) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

For any g such that $2g + 2 \ge |\mathbf{n}| - 1$, and any nonzero polynomial h_0 of degree at most g + 1, Lemma 4.7 tells us that

(9.6)
$$\sum_{(h_0, f_1 + p_{\alpha} f_2) \in Q_g} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} (h_0(\alpha_i), (f_1 + p_{\alpha} f_2)(\alpha_i))^{r_i} =$$

$$= q^{2g+3-|\mathbf{n}|} \sum_{f_1 \in S_{|\mathbf{n}|-1}} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} (h_0(\alpha_i), f_1(\alpha_i))^{r_i} =$$

$$= q^{2g+3-|\mathbf{n}|} \sum_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m k_{n_i}} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} (h_0(\alpha_i), \beta_i)^{r_i} = 0 \quad \text{if } \exists i : r_i = 1,$$

because for all $a \in k_s$ there are as many $b \in k_s$ for which $\tau_s(a, b) = 1$ as there are $b \in k_s$ for which $\tau_s(a, b) = -1$.

Summing equations (9.5) and (9.6) over all $h_0 \in S_{g+1}$ and using that $q^{2g+3}I_g = Iq^{g+1}$ we get

(9.7)
$$\hat{U}_{g,\alpha} = \begin{cases} I(q-1)q^{g+1}\hat{b}_{g+1} & \text{if } \forall i : r_i = 2, g \ge -1; \\ 0 & \text{if } \exists i : r_i = 1, g \ge \frac{|\mathbf{n}| - 3}{2}. \end{cases}$$

9.2. The case $\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n}) \setminus A'(\mathbf{n})$. Fix an $\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n}) \setminus A'(\mathbf{n})$. We can assume that $\alpha_1 = \infty$, and then $\tilde{\alpha} := (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m) \in A'(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$ where $\tilde{\mathbf{n}} := (n_2, \dots, n_m)$.

LEMMA 9.10. For any element $(h, f) \in P'_i$ and any monic polynomial m of degree g - i,

$$\tau_s((mh)(\infty), (m^2f)(\infty)) = \tau_s(h(\infty), f(\infty));$$

$$\tau_s((mh)(\infty), (f+lh+l^2)(\infty)) = \tau_s(h(\infty), f(\infty)).$$

Proof: Clear.
$$\Box$$

For any $(h,f) \in Q_g$ it holds that if $\deg(h) < g+1$ then $\tau_s(h(\infty),f(\infty))=0$ for all s. Define therefore P'_g and Q'_g to be the subsets of P_g and Q_g respectively, that consist of pairs (h,f) such that $\deg(h)=g+1$. We get an induced relation \sim_i on P'_i and Q'_i and we let V''_z be the set of all equivalence classes $[(mh,m^2f)]_g$ in Q'_g for all monic polynomials m of degree g-i, where $z:=[(h,f)]_i\in P'_i/\sim_i$. In the same way as in Lemma 9.6 and 9.7 we see that the sets V''_z for all $z\in P'_i/\sim_i$, where $-1\leq i\leq g$, are disjoint and cover Q'_g/\sim_g . Using this together with Lemma 9.10 and the arguments showing equation (9.4) we find that

$$(9.8) \quad \hat{U}_{g,\alpha} = \frac{I_g}{2} \sum_{l \in S_{g+1}} \sum_{z \in Q'_g/\sim_g} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} \left(h(\alpha_i), (f+hl+l^2)(\alpha_i) \right)^{r_i} =$$

$$= I_g \frac{q^{g+1}}{2} \sum_{j=-1}^g \sum_{z \in P'_j/\sim_j} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in R'_{g-j}} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} \left((\tilde{m}h)(\alpha_i), (\tilde{m}^2 f)(\alpha_i) \right)^{r_i} = \sum_{i=0}^{g+1} b_i^{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}} u_{g-i,\alpha}.$$

If we choose g such that $2g + 2 \ge |\mathbf{n}| - 1$, $h_0 \in R_{g+1}$ and we put $p_{\alpha}(x) := x p_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, then we find in the same way as for equation (9.6) that

(9.9)
$$\sum_{(h_0, f_1 + p_{\alpha} f_2) \in Q_g} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} (h_0(\alpha_i), (f_1 + p_{\alpha} f_2)(\alpha_i))^{r_i} =$$

$$= q^{2g+3-|\mathbf{n}|} \sum_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m k_{n_i}} \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{n_i} (h_0(\alpha_i), \beta_i)^{r_i} = 0 \quad \text{if } \exists i : r_i = 1.$$

Since equation (9.5) also hold for $\alpha \in A(\mathbf{n}) \setminus A'(\mathbf{n})$ we find, by summing over all polynomials $h_0 \in R_{g+1}$, that

$$(9.10) \qquad \hat{U}_{g,\alpha} = \begin{cases} I(q-1)q^{g+1}b_{g+1}^{\tilde{\mathbf{n}}} & \text{if } \forall i: r_i = 2, \ g \ge -1; \\ 0 & \text{if } \exists i: r_i = 1, \ g \ge \frac{|\mathbf{n}| - 3}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Documenta Mathematica 14 (2009) 259–296

9.3. The two cases joined. Recall that $J=(q-1)\,I\,|A(\mathbf{n})|.$

Theorem 9.11. For any pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{g+1} \hat{b}_j u_{g-j} = \begin{cases} J q^{g+1} \hat{b}_{g+1} & \text{if } \forall i : r_i = 2, \ g \ge -1; \\ 0 & \text{if } \exists i : r_i = 1, \ g \ge \frac{|\mathbf{n}| - 3}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: The theorem follows from combining equations (9.4), (9.7), (9.8) and (9.10), using Lemma 4.10. $\hfill\Box$

THEOREM 9.12. For any pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\min(|\mathbf{n}|-1,g+1)} (\hat{b}_j - q\hat{b}_{j-1})u_{g-j} = \begin{cases} J\,q^{g+1}(\hat{b}_{g+1} - \hat{b}_g) & \text{if } \forall i: r_i = 2, \ g \geq 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } \exists i: r_i = 1, \ g \geq \frac{|\mathbf{n}|-1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof: In the notation of the proof of Theorem 4.14, the theorem follows from applying Theorem 9.11 to the expression F(g) - qF(g-1).

THEOREM 9.13. By applying Theorem 9.12 to each pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ appearing in the decomposition (given by Lemma 8.6) of $a_{\lambda|g,even}$ we get a linear recurrence relation for $a_{\lambda|g,even}$. The characteristic polynomial of this linear recurrence relation equals (5.1).

Proof: We know that the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_g$ is independent of characteristic, and since the left hand side of the equation in Theorem 9.12 is the same as the left hand side of the equation of Theorem 4.14 this theorem follows in the same way as Theorem 5.2.

10. Results for weight up to 7 in even characteristic

In this section we compute, for any number g and any finite field k of even characteristic, all $a_{\lambda}|_{g,even}$ of weight at most 7. First we will exploit the similarities of Theorems 4.12 and 9.11.

Lemma 10.1. If $g \ge n-2$ then $\hat{b}_{2g+2} = q^{g+1}\hat{b}_{g+1}$.

Proof: Fix a pair
$$(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$$
. Lemma 4.13 tells us that $\hat{b}_j = q\hat{b}_{j-1} + d_{|\mathbf{n}|-1-j}$, so if $j \geq |\mathbf{n}|$ then $\hat{b}_j = q\hat{b}_{j-1}$ and thus $\hat{b}_j = q^{j+1-|\mathbf{n}|}\hat{b}_{|\mathbf{n}|-1}$.

REMARK 10.2. If $r_i = 1$ for some i and $g \ge (|\mathbf{n}| - 3)/2$, then the recursive relations of Theorems 9.11 and 4.12 are equal. On the other hand, if $r_i = 2$ for all i we see from Lemma 10.1 that the recursive relations of Theorems 9.11 and 4.12 are equal if $g \ge |\mathbf{n}| - 2$.

THEOREM 10.3. For weight less than or equal to 5, $a_{\lambda}|_{g,even} = a_{\lambda}|_{g,odd}$ as functions (in this case polynomials) in q.

Proof: Consider any $a_{\lambda}|_g$ with $|\lambda| \leq 5$. By Lemma 3.12 it suffices to show that u_g is independent of characteristic when $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$ is such that $\sum_{i=1}^m n_i r_i \leq 5$. Clearly $u_{-1} = J$ is always independent of characteristic. Clearly, Lemma 6.1 also holds in even characteristic. We can therefore assume that $r_i = 2$ for all i in the case of genus 0. But if $r_i = 2$ for all i then $|\mathbf{n}| \leq 2$ and hence, by Remark 10.2, u_0 will be independent of characteristic.

This takes care of the base cases of the recurrence relations for u_g when $g \ge 1$, given by Theorems 4.12 and 9.11. Again by Remark 10.2 we see that (both in the case when $r_i = 2$ for all i, and when $r_i = 1$ for some i) when $g \ge 1$ these recurrence relations are the same. We can therefore conclude that u_g is independent of characteristic for all g.

We will now compute $a_{\lambda}|_{g,even}$ for weight 6 in the same way as in Section 7.3. To compute u_g of degree at most 5 using Theorem 9.11 we need to find the base case u_0 . But when the genus is 0 we can use Lemma 6.1 (which also holds in even characteristic) to reduce to the case that $r_i = 2$ for all i, which is always computable using Theorem 9.11.

What is left is the general case of the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_{g,even}$. We then need the base cases of genus 0 and 1. Again, the genus 0 part is no problem. The computation of $a_{\lambda}|_1$ in [1] is independent of characteristic. We can therefore compute the genus 1 part (compare Section 7.3).

REMARK 10.4. As in the case of odd characteristic, for all g and all λ such that $|\lambda| \leq 7$, $a_{\lambda}|_{g,even}$ is a polynomial when considered as a function in the number q (compare Remark 7.11) of elements of the finite field k of even characteristic. In Theorem 10.3 we saw that the polynomial functions $a_{\lambda}|_{g,odd}$ and $a_{\lambda}|_{g,even}$ are equal (for a fixed g), if $|\lambda| \leq 5$. But for weight 6 there are λ such that the two polynomials are different, this occurs for the first time for genus 3, see Example 10.6.

EXAMPLE 10.5. Let us compute $u_{g,even}$ when $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) = ((1,1); (2,2,2))$. We see that $u_{-1} = 1$ and Theorem 9.11 gives $u_0 = q^2 - 3q + 2$. This result is different from the 1 in the case of odd characteristic, see Example 4.16. Continued use of Theorem 9.11 gives $u_1 = q^4 - 3q^3 + 5q^2 - 6q + 3$ and then Theorem 9.12 gives

$$u_q = 2u_{q-1} - u_{q-2} + q^{2g-1}(q-1)^3$$
 for $g \ge 2$.

Solving this leaves us with

$$u_{g,even}^{((1,1,1);(2,2,2))} = \frac{(q-1)(q^{2g+3} + g(q^2-1) - 3q - 2)}{(q+1)^2}.$$

EXAMPLE 10.6. The result for $a_{[1^6]}|_{g,even}$ is

$$a_{[1^6]}|_{g,even} = a_{[1^6]}|_{g,odd} - \frac{5}{8}g(g-1)(g-2)((g-3)(q-1)-4).$$

EXAMPLE 10.7. The result for $a_{[1^2,4]}|_{q,even}$ is

$$a_{[1^2,4]}|_{g,even} = a_{[1^2,4]}|_{g,odd} - \frac{1}{4} \begin{cases} g(q-1) & \text{if } g \equiv 0 \bmod 4; \\ (g-1)(q-1) & \text{if } g \equiv 1 \bmod 4; \\ (g-2)(q-1) & \text{if } g \equiv 2 \bmod 4; \\ (g-3)(q-1)-4 & \text{if } g \equiv 3 \bmod 4. \end{cases}$$

11. Cohomological results

11.1. Cohomological results for $\mathcal{H}_{g,n}$. Define the local system $\mathbb{V}:=R^1\pi_*(\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ where $\pi:\mathcal{M}_{g,1}\to\mathcal{M}_g$ is the universal curve. For every partition (note that in this section we use a different notation for partitions) $\lambda=(\lambda_1\geq\ldots\geq\lambda_g\geq0)$ there is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GSp}(2g)$ with highest weight $(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\gamma_1+\ldots+\lambda_g\gamma_g-|\lambda|\eta$, where the γ_i are suitable fundamental roots and η is the multiplier representation, and we define \mathbb{V}_λ to be the corresponding local system. Let us also denote by \mathbb{V}_λ its restriction to \mathcal{H}_g . In Lemma 13.5 below we will see that making an $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{n}}$ -equivariant count of points of $\mathcal{H}_{g,\tilde{n}}$ over a finite field k, for all $\tilde{n}\leq n$, is equivalent to computing the trace of Frobenius on the compactly supported ℓ -adic Euler characteristic $\mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{H}_g\otimes\bar{k},\mathbb{V}_\lambda)$, for every λ with $|\lambda|\leq n$ (where $\ell\nmid |k|$). For more details, see [14] and [15].

Thus, we can use the results of Section 7 together with Theorem 3.2 in [1] to compute the ℓ -adic Euler characteristic $\mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda})$ in $K_0(\mathsf{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, the Grothendieck group of $\mathsf{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -representations, for every λ with $|\lambda| \leq 7$. Specifically, Theorem 3.2 in [1] tells us that if there is a polynomial P such that $\mathsf{Tr}(F, \mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \overline{k}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda})) = P(q)$ for all finite fields k, possibly with the exception of a finite number of characteristics, then $\mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}) = P(\mathbf{q})$, where \mathbf{q} is the class of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(-1)$ in $K_0(\mathsf{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. By excluding even characteristic, Section 7 (see Remark 7.11) and Lemma 13.5 shows that there is indeed such a polynomial for all g and all $|\lambda| \leq 7$.

Example 11.1. For g = 8 and $\lambda = (5, 1)$ we have

$$\mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{H}_a \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}) = 5\mathbf{q}^5 - 28\mathbf{q}^4 + 4\mathbf{q}^3 + 96\mathbf{q}^2 - 34\mathbf{q} - 88.$$

11.2. COHOMOLOGICAL RESULTS FOR $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}$ AND $\mathcal{M}_{2,n}$. Using the stratification of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ we can make an \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant count of its number of points using the \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant counts of the points of $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{g},\tilde{n}}$ for all $\tilde{g} \leq g$ and $\tilde{n} \leq n+2(g-\tilde{g})$ (see [13, Thm 8.13] and also [2]). Since all curves of genus 2 are hyperelliptic, $\mathcal{M}_{2,n}$ is equal to $\mathcal{H}_{2,n}$. Above, we have made \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant counts of $\mathcal{H}_{2,n}$ for $n \leq 7$ and they were all found to be polynomial in q. These \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant counts can now be complemented with ones of $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$ for $n \leq 9$ (see [1, Section 15]) and of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ for $n \leq 11$ (see [18, Prop 2.7]), which are also found to be polynomial in q. We can then apply Theorem 3.4 in [2] to conclude, for all $n \leq 7$, the \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant $\mathsf{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (resp. Hodge) structure of the ℓ -adic (resp. Betti) cohomology of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}$.

In the theorems below we give the \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant Hodge Euler characteristic (which by purity is sufficient to conclude the Hodge structure) in terms of the Schur polynomials and \mathbf{L} , the class of the Tate Hodge structure of weight 2 in $K_0(\mathsf{HS}_\mathbb{Q})$, the Grothendieck group of rational Hodge structures. That is, the action of \mathbb{S}_n on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}$ induces an action on its cohomology, and hence $H^i(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}\otimes\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q})$ may be written as a direct sum of $H^i_\lambda(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}\otimes\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q})$, which correspond to the irreducible representations of \mathbb{S}_n indexed by $\lambda \vdash n$ and with characters χ_λ . In terms of this, the coefficient of the Schur polynomial s_λ is equal to $1/\chi_\lambda(id) \cdot \sum_i (-1)^i [H^i_\lambda(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}\otimes\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q})]$. The results for $n \leq 3$ were previously known by the work of Getzler in [14, Section 8].

THEOREM 11.2. The \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant Hodge Euler characteristic of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,4}$ is equal to

$$(\mathbf{L}^{7} + 8\mathbf{L}^{6} + 33\mathbf{L}^{5} + 67\mathbf{L}^{4} + 67\mathbf{L}^{3} + 33\mathbf{L}^{2} + 8\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{1})s_{4}$$

$$+ (4\mathbf{L}^{6} + 26\mathbf{L}^{5} + 60\mathbf{L}^{4} + 60\mathbf{L}^{3} + 26\mathbf{L}^{2} + 4\mathbf{L})s_{31}$$

$$+ (2\mathbf{L}^{6} + 12\mathbf{L}^{5} + 28\mathbf{L}^{4} + 28\mathbf{L}^{3} + 12\mathbf{L}^{2} + 2\mathbf{L})s_{22}$$

$$+ (3\mathbf{L}^{5} + 10\mathbf{L}^{4} + 10\mathbf{L}^{3} + 3\mathbf{L}^{2})s_{21^{2}}$$

THEOREM 11.3. The \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant Hodge Euler characteristic of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,5}$ is equal to

$$(\mathbf{L}^{8} + 9\mathbf{L}^{7} + 49\mathbf{L}^{6} + 128\mathbf{L}^{5} + 181\mathbf{L}^{4} + 128\mathbf{L}^{3} + 49\mathbf{L}^{2} + 9\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{1})s_{5}$$

$$+(6\mathbf{L}^{7} + 48\mathbf{L}^{6} + 156\mathbf{L}^{5} + 227\mathbf{L}^{4} + 156\mathbf{L}^{3} + 48\mathbf{L}^{2} + 6\mathbf{L})s_{41}$$

$$+(3\mathbf{L}^{7} + 31\mathbf{L}^{6} + 106\mathbf{L}^{5} + 159\mathbf{L}^{4} + 106\mathbf{L}^{3} + 31\mathbf{L}^{2} + 3\mathbf{L})s_{32}$$

$$+(8\mathbf{L}^{6} + 42\mathbf{L}^{5} + 65\mathbf{L}^{4} + 42\mathbf{L}^{3} + 8\mathbf{L}^{2})s_{31^{2}}$$

$$+(6\mathbf{L}^{6} + 26\mathbf{L}^{5} + 43\mathbf{L}^{4} + 26\mathbf{L}^{3} + 6\mathbf{L}^{2})s_{2^{2}1}$$

$$+(\mathbf{L}^{5} + 3\mathbf{L}^{4} + \mathbf{L}^{3})s_{21^{3}}$$

THEOREM 11.4. The \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant Hodge Euler characteristic of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,6}$ is equal

$$\begin{split} (\mathbf{L}^9 + 11\mathbf{L}^8 + 68\mathbf{L}^7 + 229\mathbf{L}^6 + 420\mathbf{L}^5 + 420\mathbf{L}^4 + 229\mathbf{L}^3 + 68\mathbf{L}^2 + 11\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{1})s_6 \\ + (7\mathbf{L}^8 + 75\mathbf{L}^7 + 317\mathbf{L}^6 + 641\mathbf{L}^5 + 641\mathbf{L}^4 + 317\mathbf{L}^3 + 75\mathbf{L}^2 + 7\mathbf{L})s_{51} \\ + (5\mathbf{L}^8 + 62\mathbf{L}^7 + 292\mathbf{L}^6 + 615\mathbf{L}^5 + 615\mathbf{L}^4 + 292\mathbf{L}^3 + 62\mathbf{L}^2 + 5\mathbf{L})s_{42} \\ + (\mathbf{L}^8 + 21\mathbf{L}^7 + 108\mathbf{L}^6 + 236\mathbf{L}^5 + 236\mathbf{L}^4 + 108\mathbf{L}^3 + 21\mathbf{L}^2 + \mathbf{L})s_{3^2} \\ + (17\mathbf{L}^7 + 118\mathbf{L}^6 + 278\mathbf{L}^5 + 278\mathbf{L}^4 + 118\mathbf{L}^3 + 17\mathbf{L}^2)s_{41^2} \\ + (16\mathbf{L}^7 + 115\mathbf{L}^6 + 277\mathbf{L}^5 + 277\mathbf{L}^4 + 115\mathbf{L}^3 + 16\mathbf{L}^2)s_{321} \\ + (3\mathbf{L}^7 + 22\mathbf{L}^6 + 53\mathbf{L}^5 + 53\mathbf{L}^4 + 22\mathbf{L}^3 + 3\mathbf{L}^2)s_{2^3} \\ + (9\mathbf{L}^6 + 29\mathbf{L}^5 + 29\mathbf{L}^4 + 9\mathbf{L}^3)s_{31^3} \\ + (6\mathbf{L}^6 + 21\mathbf{L}^5 + 21\mathbf{L}^4 + 6\mathbf{L}^3)s_{2^21^2} \end{split}$$

THEOREM 11.5. The \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant Hodge Euler characteristic of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,7}$ is equal to

$$\begin{split} (\mathbf{L}^{10} + 12\mathbf{L}^9 + 90\mathbf{L}^8 + 363\mathbf{L}^7 + 854\mathbf{L}^6 + 1125\mathbf{L}^5 + 854\mathbf{L}^4 + 363\mathbf{L}^3 + 90\mathbf{L}^2 + \dots)s_7 \\ + (9\mathbf{L}^9 + 109\mathbf{L}^8 + 580\mathbf{L}^7 + 1529\mathbf{L}^6 + 2109\mathbf{L}^5 + 1529\mathbf{L}^4 + 580\mathbf{L}^3 + 109\mathbf{L}^2 + 9\mathbf{L})s_{61} \\ + (6\mathbf{L}^9 + 100\mathbf{L}^8 + 606\mathbf{L}^7 + 1728\mathbf{L}^6 + 2430\mathbf{L}^5 + 1728\mathbf{L}^4 + 606\mathbf{L}^3 + 100\mathbf{L}^2 + 6\mathbf{L})s_{52} \\ + (3\mathbf{L}^9 + 58\mathbf{L}^8 + 389\mathbf{L}^7 + 1153\mathbf{L}^6 + 1647\mathbf{L}^5 + 1153\mathbf{L}^4 + 389\mathbf{L}^3 + 58\mathbf{L}^2 + 3\mathbf{L})s_{43} \\ + (28\mathbf{L}^8 + 258\mathbf{L}^7 + 831\mathbf{L}^6 + 1221\mathbf{L}^5 + 831\mathbf{L}^4 + 258\mathbf{L}^3 + 28\mathbf{L}^2)s_{51^2} \\ + (34\mathbf{L}^8 + 331\mathbf{L}^7 + 1133\mathbf{L}^6 + 1675\mathbf{L}^5 + 1133\mathbf{L}^4 + 331\mathbf{L}^3 + 34\mathbf{L}^2)s_{421} \\ + (12\mathbf{L}^8 + 140\mathbf{L}^7 + 489\mathbf{L}^6 + 738\mathbf{L}^5 + 489\mathbf{L}^4 + 140\mathbf{L}^3 + 12\mathbf{L}^2)s_{321} \\ + (8\mathbf{L}^8 + 91\mathbf{L}^7 + 335\mathbf{L}^6 + 502\mathbf{L}^5 + 335\mathbf{L}^4 + 91\mathbf{L}^3 + 8\mathbf{L}^2)s_{32^2} \\ + (28\mathbf{L}^7 + 143\mathbf{L}^6 + 228\mathbf{L}^5 + 143\mathbf{L}^4 + 28\mathbf{L}^3)s_{41^3} \\ + (34\mathbf{L}^7 + 170\mathbf{L}^6 + 275\mathbf{L}^5 + 170\mathbf{L}^4 + 34\mathbf{L}^3)s_{321^2} \\ + (10\mathbf{L}^7 + 47\mathbf{L}^6 + 77\mathbf{L}^5 + 47\mathbf{L}^4 + 10\mathbf{L}^3)s_{231} \\ + (4\mathbf{L}^6 + 7\mathbf{L}^5 + 4\mathbf{L}^4)s_{31^4} \\ + (2\mathbf{L}^6 + 6\mathbf{L}^5 + 2\mathbf{L}^4)s_{2^21^3} \end{split}$$

In Table 1 we present the nonequivariant information (remember that all cohomology is Tate) in the form of Betti numbers of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}$ for all $n \leq 7$. Notice that the table only contains as many numbers as we need to be able to fill in the missing ones using Poincaré duality. These results agree with Table 2 of ordinary Euler characteristics for $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n}$ for $n \leq 6$ found in [4].

Table 1. Dimensions of $H^i(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,n} \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q})$ for $n \leq 7$.

	H^0	H^2	H^4	H^6	H^8	H^{10}
$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$	1	2				
$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,1}$	1	3	5			
$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,2}$	1	6	14			
$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,3}$	1	12	44	67		
$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,4}$	1	24	144	333		
$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,5}$	1	48	474	1668	2501	
$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,6}$	1	96	1547	8256	18296	
$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{2,7}$	1	192	4986	39969	129342	189289

The theorem used above also gives the corresponding results for $\mathcal{M}_{2,n}$ for $n \leq 7$, which we will present in terms of local systems \mathbb{V}_{λ} defined as above, but starting from $\mathbb{V} := R^1 \pi_* \mathbb{Q}$. See [14, Section 8] for the results on $\mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{M}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda})$, for all λ of weight at most 3.

THEOREM 11.6. The Hodge Euler characteristics of the local systems \mathbb{V}_{λ} on $\mathcal{M}'_2 := \mathcal{M}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of weight 4 or 6 are equal to

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{M}_2', \mathbb{V}_{(4,0)}) &= \mathbf{0}, \ \, \mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{M}_2', \mathbb{V}_{(3,1)}) = \mathbf{L}^2 - \mathbf{1}, \ \, \mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{M}_2', \mathbb{V}_{(2,2)}) = -\mathbf{L}^4, \\ \mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{M}_2', \mathbb{V}_{(6,0)}) &= -\mathbf{1}, \ \, \mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{M}_2', \mathbb{V}_{(5,1)}) = \mathbf{L}^2 - \mathbf{L} - \mathbf{1}, \\ \mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{M}_2', \mathbb{V}_{(4,2)}) &= \mathbf{L}^3, \ \, \mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{M}_2', \mathbb{V}_{(3,3)}) = -\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{1}. \end{split}$$

12. Appendix: Introducing b_i , c_i and r_i

This section will give an interpretation of the information carried by the u_g 's. It will be in terms of counts of hyperelliptic curves together with prescribed inverse images of points on \mathbf{P}^1 under their unique degree 2 morphism.

DEFINITION 12.1. Let C_{φ} be a curve defined over k together with a separable degree 2 morphism φ over k from C to \mathbf{P}^1 . We then define

$$b_i(C_{\varphi}) := |\{\alpha \in A(i) : |\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)| = 2, \varphi^{-1}(\alpha) \subseteq C(k_i)\}|,$$

$$c_i(C_{\varphi}) := |\{\alpha \in A(i) : |\varphi^{-1}(\alpha)| = 2, \varphi^{-1}(\alpha) \nsubseteq C(k_i)\}|$$

and put
$$r_i(C_{\varphi}) := b_i(C_{\varphi}) + c_i(C_{\varphi}).$$

The number of ramification points of f that lie in A(i) is then equal to $|A(i)| - r_i(C_{\varphi})$. Let λ_i denote the partition of i consisting of one element. We then find that

$$|C_{\varphi}(\lambda_i)| = |A(i)| + b_i(C_{\varphi}) - c_i(C_{\varphi}) + \begin{cases} 2c_{i/2}(C_{\varphi}) & \text{if } i \text{ is even;} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

and thus

$$a_n(C_{\varphi}) = \sum_{i|n:2i\nmid n} \left(c_i(C_{\varphi}) - b_i(C_{\varphi}) \right) + \sum_{i:2i|n} \left(-b_i(C_{\varphi}) - c_i(C_{\varphi}) \right).$$

DEFINITION 12.2. For partitions μ and ν , $g \geq 2$ and odd characteristic, define

$$b_{\mu}c_{\nu}|_{g} := \sum_{[C_{f}] \in \mathcal{H}_{g}(k)/\cong_{k}} \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(C_{f})|} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} b_{i}(C_{f})^{\mu_{i}} \prod_{j=1}^{l(\nu)} c_{j}(C_{f})^{\nu_{j}}.$$

The number $|\mu| + |\nu|$ will be called the weight of this expression.

REMARK 12.3. We can, in the obvious way, also define $a_{\lambda}b_{\mu}c_{\nu}|_{g}$, but from the relation between $a_{i}(C_{f})$, $b_{i}(C_{f})$ and $c_{i}(C_{f})$ we see that this gives no new phenomena.

Directly from the definitions we get the following lemma.

LEMMA 12.4. Let the characteristic be odd and let f be an element of P_g . We then have

$$b_i(C_f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in A(i)} \left(\chi_{2,i} (f(\alpha))^2 + \chi_{2,i} (f(\alpha)) \right)$$

and

$$c_i(C_f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in A(i)} \left(\chi_{2,i} \left(f(\alpha) \right)^2 - \chi_{2,i} \left(f(\alpha) \right) \right).$$

If the characteristic is odd we then use the same arguments as in Section 3 to conclude that

$$b_{\mu}c_{\nu}|_{g} = \frac{I}{2^{|\mu|+|\nu|}} \sum_{f \in P_{g}} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\mu)} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A(i)} \chi_{2,i}(f(\alpha)) + \chi_{2,i}(f(\alpha))^{2} \right)^{\mu_{i}} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{l(\nu)} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in A(j)} \chi_{2,j}(f(\alpha)) - \chi_{2,j}(f(\alpha))^{2} \right)^{\nu_{j}}.$$

Note that this expression is defined for all $g \ge -1$. It can be decomposed in terms of u_g 's (that is, we can find a result corresponding to Lemma 3.8) for tuples $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r}) \in \mathcal{N}_m$ such that

$$|\mathbf{n}| \le |\mu| + |\nu|.$$

REMARK 12.5. The corresponding results clearly hold for elements (h, f) in P_g in even characteristic and the decomposition of $b_\mu c_\nu|_g$ is independent of characteristic.

Example 12.6. For each N we have the decomposition:

$$b_{[N]}|_g = \frac{1}{2}(u_g^{((N);(2))} + u_g^{((N);(1))})$$
 and $c_{[N]}|_g = \frac{1}{2}(u_g^{((N);(2))} - u_g^{((N);(1))}).$

EXAMPLE 12.7. Let us decompose $b_{[1^2]}c_{[2]}|_g$ into u_g 's:

$$b_{[1^2]}c_{[2]}|_g = \frac{1}{8}(u_g^{((2,1,1);(2,2,2))} + u_g^{((2,1,1);(2,1,1))} + 2u_g^{((2,1);(2,2))} - u_g^{((2,1,1);(1,2,2))} - u_g^{((2,1,1);(1,2,2))} - 2u_g^{((2,1,1);(1,2,2))}).$$

In this expression we have removed the u_g 's for which $\sum_{i=1}^m r_i n_i$ is odd, since they are always equal to 0.

Lemma 12.8. For each N, the following information is equivalent:

- (1) all u_q 's of degree at most N;
- (2) all $b_{\mu}c_{\nu}|_{g}$ of weight at most N.

Proof: From property (12.1) of the decomposition of $b_{\mu}c_{\nu}|_{g}$ into u_{g} 's we directly find that if we know (1) we can compute (2). For the other direction we note on the one hand that

(12.2)
$$I \sum_{f \in P_n} \prod_{i=1}^{j} (b_i(C_f) - c_i(C_f))^{s_i} (b_i(C_f) + c_i(C_f))^{t_i}$$

can be formulated in terms of $b_{\mu}c_{\nu}|_{g}$'s of weight at most

$$S := \sum_{i=1}^{J} i \left(s_i + t_i \right).$$

Documenta Mathematica 14 (2009) 259–296

If we on the other hand decompose (12.2) into u_g 's we find that there is a unique u_g of degree S. The corresponding pair $(\mathbf{n}; \mathbf{r})$ contains, for each i, precisely s_i entries of the form i^1 and t_i entries of the form i^2 . Every u_g of degree S can be created in this way and hence if we know (2) we can compute (1).

REMARK 12.9. From the definitions of $a_i(C_f)$ and $r_i(C_f)$ we see that knowing (1) and (2) in Lemma 12.8 is also equivalent to knowing

(3) all $a_{\lambda}r_{\xi}|_{q}$ of weight at most N,

where $a_{\lambda}r_{\xi}|_{g}$ is defined in the obvious way. Moreover, $a_{\lambda}r_{\xi}|_{g}=0$ if $|\lambda|$ is odd.

13. APPENDIX: THE STABLE PART OF THE COUNTS

Remark 13.1. All results in this section are independent of characteristic.

DEFINITION 13.2 ([8, Def. 1.2.1, 1.2.2]). Let \mathcal{F} be a constructible (ℓ -adic) sheaf on a scheme X of finite type over \mathbb{Z} . The sheaf \mathcal{F} is said to be pure of weight m if, for every closed point x in X and eigenvalue α of Frobenius F (relative to k=k(x)) acting on \mathcal{F}_x , α is an algebraic integer of weight equal to m, i.e., such that all its conjugates have absolute value equal to $q^{m/2}$. The sheaf \mathcal{F} is said to be mixed of weight $\leq m$ if there exists a filtration $0=\mathcal{F}_{-1}\subset\mathcal{F}_0\subset\ldots\subset\mathcal{F}_m=\mathcal{F}$ of constructible subsheaves such that, for all j, $\mathcal{F}_j/\mathcal{F}_{j-1}$ is pure of weight j.

THEOREM 13.3 ([8, Cor. 3.3.3, 3.3.4]). Let $X \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}$ be a scheme of finite type, and \mathcal{F} a constructible sheaf mixed of weight $\leq m$. Then $R^i f_! \mathcal{F}$ is mixed of weight $\leq m+i$. Thus, for every finite field k, there is a filtration $0=W_{-1} \subset W_0 \subset \ldots \subset W_{i+m} = H^i_c(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{F})$ of $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -representations such that, for all $j, W_j/W_{j-1}$ is pure of weight j.

DEFINITION 13.4. Let $K_0(\mathsf{Gal}_k)$ be the Grothendieck group of $\mathsf{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -representations. In this category, and with the notation of Theorem 13.3, we have $[H^i_c(X_{\bar{k}},\mathcal{F})] = \sum_{j=0}^{i+m} [W_j/W_{j-1}]$. For any $w \geq 0$, let us define $[H^i_c(X_{\bar{k}},\mathcal{F})]^w := \sum_{j=w}^{i+m} [W_j/W_{j-1}]$ and $\mathbf{e}^w_c(X_{\bar{k}},\mathcal{F}) := \sum_{i\geq 0} (-1)^i [H^i_c(X_{\bar{k}},\mathcal{F})]^w$ in $K_0(\mathsf{Gal}_k)$. We make the corresponding definition of $\mathbf{e}^w_c(X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}},\mathcal{F})$ in $K_0(\mathsf{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Recall the definition in Section 11.1, for a prime $\ell \nmid q$, of the ℓ -adic local system \mathbb{V}_{λ} on \mathcal{H}_g . If τ is the canonical morphism from $\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \bar{k}$ to H_g , we put $\mathbb{V}'_{\lambda} = \tau_* \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}$. This is a constructible sheaf pure of weight $|\lambda|$.

In this section we will see that if g and w are large enough we can compute the trace of Frobenius on $\mathbf{e}_c^w(\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \bar{k}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda})$, which by definition (cf. Section 2 in [3]) is equal to $\mathbf{e}_c^w(H_g, \mathbb{V}'_{\lambda})$. We first make the connection to \mathbb{S}_n -equivariant counts of points of $H_{g,n}$ explicit.

LEMMA 13.5. Let the symmetric polynomial $\mathbf{s}_{<\lambda>}$ be the Schur polynomial in the symplectic case (see [11, A.45]), and \mathbf{p}_{λ} the power sum. If $\mathbf{s}_{<\lambda>} = \sum_{|\mu| \leq |\lambda|} m_{\mu} \, \mathbf{p}_{\mu}$ then

(13.1)
$$\operatorname{Tr}(F, \mathbf{e}_c(\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \bar{k}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}')) = \sum_{|\mu| \leq |\lambda|} m_{\mu} q^{\frac{1}{2}(|\lambda| - |\mu|)} a_{\mu}|_g.$$

From Theorems 4.14 and 9.12 we see that only the u_g 's with all $r_i = 2$ have inhomogeneous recurrence relations. Theorem 5.2 dealt with the homogeneous part of the linear recurrence relations for $a_{\lambda}|_g$. The following lemma, which is a direct consequence of Theorems 4.14, 9.12 and 5.2, deals with the "inhomogeneities".

LEMMA 13.6. Denote by $t_{\mathbf{n}}$ the coefficient of $u_g^{(\mathbf{n};(2,...,2))}$ in the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_g$ (given in Construction-Lemma 3.8). Each value of $|\mathbf{n}|$ for a pair $(\mathbf{n};(2,\ldots,2))$ appearing in this decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_g$ is at most equal to $|\lambda|/2$. Define the polynomial

$$f_{\mathbf{n}}(x) := \left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} (x^{n_i} - 1)\right) / (x - 1).$$

For $g \geq 0$, let $R_{\lambda}(q)|_{g}$ be the sum, over the pairs $(\mathbf{n}; (2, \ldots, 2))$ that occur in the decomposition of $a_{\lambda}|_{g}$, of the polynomial quotients of,

(13.2)
$$t_{\mathbf{n}} q^{2g+|\mathbf{n}|} J(q-1) f_{\mathbf{n}}(q) \quad by \quad f_{\mathbf{n}}(q^2),$$

which is of degree at most $(|\lambda|+4g-2)/2$. The polynomial $R_{\lambda}(q)|_g$ is a particular solution to the recurrence relation, described in Section 5, for $a_{\lambda}|_g$.

Since the power sums form a rational basis of the ring of symmetric polynomials, equation (13.1) and Theorem 13.3 show that $a_{\lambda}|_g$ is of the form $\sum_j z_j \alpha_j$ for a finite set of rational numbers z_j and distinct algebraic integers α_i of weight at most $|\lambda| + 4g - 2$ (note that 2g - 1 is the dimension of H_g). If our base field k is replaced by an extension k_m of degree m then $a_{\lambda}|_g$ is equal to $\sum_j z_j \alpha_j^m$. For $g \geq |\lambda| - 1$, the linear recurrence relation for $a_{\lambda}|_g$ (see Section 5) shows that it can be written as the particular solution $R_{\lambda}(q)|_g$ plus the homogeneous part, an integer sum of $a_{\lambda}|_{\tilde{g}} - R_{\lambda}(q)|_{\tilde{g}}$ for $\tilde{g} \leq |\lambda| - 2$. We then see that if $g \geq |\lambda| - 1$ and $w = 5 |\lambda| - 9$, the homogeneous part of the solution to the linear recurrence relation for $a_{\lambda}|_g$ does not contribute to $\text{Tr}(F, \mathbf{e}_c^w(\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \bar{k}, \mathbb{V}'_{\lambda}))$. To conclude this we used the fact that $\sum_i z_i \alpha_i^m = 0$ for all m implies that $z_i = 0$ for all i, where the z_i and α_i are complex numbers and the α_i are distinct and nonzero. We can now summarize using Theorem 3.2 in [1].

Definition 13.7. For a polynomial $f(x) = \sum_i f_i x^i$ put $f^w(x) := \sum_{i \geq w} f_i x^i$.

THEOREM 13.8. Let \mathbf{q} denote the class of $\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(-1)$ in $K_0(\mathsf{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. For $g \geq |\lambda| - 1$ and $w = 5 |\lambda| - 9$ we have an equality in $K_0(\mathsf{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}})$,

$$\mathbf{e}_{c}^{w}(\mathcal{H}_{g}\otimes\overline{\mathbb{Q}},\mathbb{V}_{\lambda}) = \sum_{|\mu|\leq |\lambda|} m_{\mu}\,\mathbf{q}^{\frac{1}{2}(|\lambda|-|\mu|)}\,R_{\mu}^{w-|\lambda|+|\mu|}\big(\mathbf{q}\big)|_{g}.$$

EXAMPLE 13.9. In the case $\lambda=(4,2,2)$, for w=31 and $g\geq 7$, we find that $\mathrm{Tr}\big(F,\mathbf{e}_c^w(\mathcal{H}_g\otimes \bar{k},\mathbb{V}_\lambda)\big)$ is equal to $f_g^w(q)$, where f_g is the polynomial quotient of $q^{2g+4}(3q^2+3q+2)$ by $(q^2+1)^2(q+1)^3$.

REMARK 13.10. By Poincaré duality (cf. Section 2 in [3]) we find that there is a filtration $0 = W'_{i+|\lambda|-1} \subset W'_{i+|\lambda|} \subset \ldots \subset W'_{2(2g-1+|\lambda|)} = H^i(\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \bar{k}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda})$

of $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ -representations such that W'_j/W'_{j-1} is pure of weight j. Let us define $[H^i(\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \bar{k}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda})]_w := \sum_{j=i+|\lambda|}^w [W'_j/W'_{j-1}]$ and $\mathbf{e}_w(\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \bar{k}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}) := \sum_{i\geq 0} (-1)^i [H^i(\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \bar{k}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda})]_w$ in $K_0(\operatorname{\mathsf{Gal}}_k)$ and similarly $\mathbf{e}_w(\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda})$. Theorem 13.8 shows that, for $g \geq \tilde{g} \geq |\lambda| - 1$ and $w = 4\tilde{g} - 3|\lambda| + 7$, one has that $\mathbf{e}_w(\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda})$ is stable, in the sense that it is independent of g.

Computations for λ 's of low weight lead us to make a conjecture, which is true for $|\lambda| \leq 30$.

Conjecture 13.11. For $g \ge |\lambda| - 1$ and $w = 5 |\lambda| - 9$, we have $\mathbf{e}_c^w(\mathcal{H}_g \otimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}) = 0$ for all λ such that $\lambda_1 > |\lambda|/2$.

References

- [1] Jonas Bergström. Cohomology of moduli spaces of curves of genus three via point counts. J. Reine Angew. Math., 622:155–187, 2008.
- [2] Jonas Bergström and Orsola Tommasi. The rational cohomology of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_4$. *Math. Ann.*, 338(1):207–239, 2007.
- [3] Jonas Bergström and Gerard van der Geer. The Euler characteristic of local systems on the moduli of curves and abelian varieties of genus three. J. Topol., 1(3):651–662, 2008.
- [4] Gilberto Bini and John Harer. Euler characteristics of moduli spaces of curves. Available at arXiv:math.AG/0506083.
- [5] Gilberto Bini and Gerard van der Geer. The Euler characteristic of local systems on the moduli of genus 3 hyperelliptic curves. *Math. Ann.*, 332(2):367–379, 2005.
- [6] Theo van den Bogaart and Bas Edixhoven. Algebraic stacks whose number of points over finite fields is a polynomial. In *Number fields and function fields—two parallel worlds*, volume 239 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 39–49. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005.
- [7] Bradley W. Brock and Andrew Granville. More points than expected on curves over finite field extensions. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 7(1):70–91, 2001.
- [8] Pierre Deligne. La conjecture de Weil. II. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (52):137–252, 1980.
- [9] Carel Faber and Gerard van der Geer. Sur la cohomologie des systèmes locaux sur les espaces de modules des courbes de genre 2 et des surfaces abéliennes. I. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 338(5):381–384, 2004.
- [10] Carel Faber and Gerard van der Geer. Sur la cohomologie des systèmes locaux sur les espaces de modules des courbes de genre 2 et des surfaces abéliennes. II. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 338(6):467–470, 2004.
- [11] William Fulton and Joe Harris. Representation theory, volume 129 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [12] Gerard van der Geer and Marcel van der Vlugt. Supersingular curves of genus 2 over finite fields of characteristic 2. *Math. Nachr.*, 159:73–81, 1992.
- [13] E. Getzler and M. M. Kapranov. Modular operads. *Compositio Math.*, 110(1):65–126, 1998.

- [14] Ezra Getzler. Topological recursion relations in genus 2. In *Integrable systems and algebraic geometry (Kobe/Kyoto, 1997)*, pages 73–106. World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1998.
- [15] Ezra Getzler. Resolving mixed Hodge modules on configuration spaces. $Duke\ Math.\ J.,\ 96(1):175-203,\ 1999.$
- [16] Ezra Getzler. Euler characteristics of local systems on \mathcal{M}_2 . Compositio Math., 132(2):121–135, 2002.
- [17] Nicholas M. Katz and Peter Sarnak. Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues, and monodromy, volume 45 of AMS Colloquium Publications. AMS, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [18] Mark Kisin and Gus Lehrer. Equivariant Poincaré polynomials and counting points over finite fields. J. Algebra, 247(2):435–451, 2002.
- [19] Qing Liu. Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves, volume 6 of Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. Translated from the French by Reinie Erné, Oxford Science Publications.
- [20] Orsola Tommasi. Geometry of discriminants and cohomology of moduli spaces. PhD thesis, Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen, November 2005.

Jonas Bergström Korteweg-de Vries Instituut Universiteit van Amsterdam Postbus 94248 1090 GE, Amsterdam The Netherlands o.l.j.bergstrom@uva.nl