

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

The utilization and perceived usefulness of health care and other support services by people exposed to traumatic events related to the war in the Balkans

Frančišković, T.; Šuković, Z.; Tovilović, Z.; Ajduković, D.; Bogić, M.; Kučukalić, A.; Lečić-Toševski, D.; Morina, N.; Popovski, M.; Priebe, S.

DOI

10.5644/ama2006-124.65

Publication date 2013

Document Version
Final published version
Published in
Acta Medica Academica

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

Frančišković, T., Šuković, Z., Ťovilóvić, Z., Ajduković, D., Bogić, M., Kučukalić, A., Lečić-Toševski, D., Morina, N., Popovski, M., & Priebe, S. (2013). The utilization and perceived usefulness of health care and other support services by people exposed to traumatic events related to the war in the Balkans. *Acta Medica Academica*, *42*(1), 4-14. https://doi.org/10.5644/ama2006-124.65

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the Library of th

The utilization and perceived usefulness of health care and other support services by people exposed to traumatic events related to the war in the Balkans

Tanja Frančišković¹, Zoran Šuković², Zdravko Tovilović¹, Dean Ajduković³, Marija Bogić⁴, Abdulah Kučukalić⁵, Dušica Lečić-Tosevski⁶, Nexhmedin Morina⁷, Mihajlo Popovski⁸, Stefan Priebe⁴

¹School of Medicine, University of Rijeka Croatia ²Psychiatric Clinic KBC Rijeka, Croatia ³Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Zagreb, Croatia ⁴Unit for Social and Community Psychiatry, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, UK ⁵School of Medicine, University of Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina ⁶School of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Serbia ⁷Department of Clinical Psychology, University of Amsterdam, Netherlands 8Faculty of Philosophy, University of Skopje, FYR Macedonia

Zoran Šuković Psychiatric Clinic KBC Rijeka Krešimirova 42 51000 Rijeka Croatia

*sukovic@net.hr*Tel.: + 385 51 311 057
Fax.: + 385 51 311 062

Corresponding author:

Received: 2 January 2013 Accepted: 20 April 2013

Copyright © 2013 by Academy of Sciences and Arts of Bosnia and Herzegovina. E-mail for permission to publish: amabih@anubih.ba Objective. To explore which health care and other support services people exposed to traumatic events related to the war use, how helpful they perceive them in the course of their post-war adaptation and whether utilization and perceived usefulness depend on the mental health status of participants. Methods. A community sample of 3304 adults exposed to at least one war-related traumatic event was randomly selected in different regions in the former Yugoslavia. A specifically designed instrument, the Matrix for the Assessment of Community and Healthcare Services, was used to record service utilization and their perceived usefulness. The mental health status of participants was assessed using the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview. **Results.** Primary health care was the most frequently used type of service (80.5%). Services providing help with leisure activities, social support and social contacts were perceived as most helpful. Participants with current post-traumatic stress disorder used all types of health care services and employment support services significantly more often than participants without mental disorders and participants with other mental disorders. They were more satisfied with primary health care services than participants without mental disorders and less satisfied with financial and material support services as compared to participants with other mental disorders. Conclusions. The frequency of utilization of different types of services varies greatly in war affected communities. Medical services are widely used and therefore have a central role in the care provision following a war. Services providing help with leisure activities and social support are most appreciated and may be more widely established.

Key words: Survivors of war, Support services utilization, PTSD.

Introduction

War and war traumatization have a negative impact on many aspects of human functioning, from different aspects of social life to physical and mental health. The effects of war can last long after the war has ended (1-3). War survivors need organized forms of help in many domains of their lives, from accommodation support, to support in overcoming traumatic experiences. Depending on the context, societies after war usually respond by setting up various forms of interventions and services aimed at meeting needs and supporting the traumatized population (4-7).

Providing accommodation and meeting basic human needs is considered to be of primary importance (7-11). However, there are various concepts of defining priorities, forms of help and ways of organizing the provision of services needed in war and post-war environments. These concepts arise from various theories on the mental health effects of war traumatization (12-14).

Studies on the long-term utilization of these services are relatively scarce. The existing studies have mostly been carried out on veteran populations, with the focus on health care utilization (15-17). Measures of quality assessment, (i.e. usefulness of programmes and services) were not adequately defined (18) and conducted by service providers; consequently, there is a lack of information on which services are perceived as the most useful by the users themselves.

The negative impact of traumatic stress on overall health status has been well documented. Several studies have identified a positive association between combat related post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and increased healthcare utilization (19-22). Kartha et al (23) found that trauma exposure was associated with greater mental healthcare utilization among patients presenting to primary care clinics, and the existence of PTSD explained only part of this association.

The war that started in 1991 after the disintegration of Yugoslavia was the largest military confrontation in Europe after the World War II. War activities of different intensity and duration were taking place in

ex-Yugoslavia until 2001, resulting in a large number of human victims and causing considerable mental health problems, material damage, and migrations of the population (24, 25). The capacity of the formerly welldeveloped and accessible local health structures (26-28) was significantly reduced. As a priority, international assistance supported the local health system in ex-Yugoslavia by strengthening primary health care and providing necessary supplies to hospitals and health centres (26). A range of services and activities were organized during and after the war, aimed at helping people. Many of the services were provided within existing governmental organizations, and some were established by various NGOs, which had not previously existed in the countries of former Yugoslavia (9, 29).

In this study, we examined the utilization as well as the perceived usefulness of services provided not only in the combatrelated PTSD population but also in wider war-affected community samples. The primary objective of this study was to explore which health care and other support services war-affected people use and how helpful they perceive them to be in the course of their post-war adaptation. A second objective was to examine whether there is a difference in frequency of use and perception of the usefulness of certain types of services depending on the mental health status of participants.

Methods

The research was a part of a larger epidemiological study entitled "Components, Organization, Costs and Outcomes of Health Care and Community Based Interventions for People with Posttraumatic Stress Following War and Conflict in the Balkans" (CONNECT). The study was conducted in five sites in the Balkans (Serbia, Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Macedonia and Kosovo).

Details on the rationale, methodology and other findings of CONNECT have been published elsewhere (30).

Participants

We selected households for interviews in each of the Balkan sites based on a combination of regional clusters and random walk technique. First, we determined all the administrative units (regions, counties) that were exposed to the war and then randomly selected approximately 20% of these units. Of each of the selected administrative units, we randomly chose three towns, with a minimum of 3,000 inhabitants, for the interviews. The researchers randomly selected an

address as a starting point for the interviews and then selected every fourth household from the random starting point.

The interviews were carried out with adults in the identified households whose birthday was the closest to the date of the interview and who met all the inclusion criteria. If the potential participant was not present, the researchers returned to the household at different times of the day and/or different days of the week. When, even after three visits, no contact was established, the researchers selected another household following the same procedure.

The inclusion criteria were the following: participants were born in the former Yugoslavia, were between 18 and 65 years of

Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics of the sample

Characteristics	Without disorder	Current PTSD	Other mental disorders	Total
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
Number of participants	1724 (52.2)	665 (20.1)	915 (27.7)	3304 (100)
Women	868 (48.8)	355 (20.0)	554 (31.2)	1777 (53.2)
Education level				
No education/primary school	456 (26.4)	256 (38.5)	295 (32.2)	1007(30.5)
Secondary school	862 (50.0)	312 (46.9)	439 (48.0)	1613 (48.8)
Higher	406 (23.6)	97 (14.6)	181 (19.8)	684 (20.7)
Total	1724 (100)	665 (100)	915 (100)	3304 (100)
Marital status				
Married/cohabiting	1250 (72.5)	460 (69.2)	613 (67.0)	2323 (70.3)
Single	341 (19.8)	82 (12.3)	181 (19.8)	604 (18.3)
Divorced	62 (3.6)	60 (9.0)	58 (6.3)	180 (5.4)
Widowed	71 (4.1)	63 (9.5)	63 (6.9)	197 (6.0)
Total	1724 (100)	665 (100)	915 (100)	3304 (100)
Employment status				
Employed	734 (42.5)	164 (24.7)	294 (32.1)	1192 (36.1)
Unemployed	724 (42.0)	339 (51.0)	473 (51.7)	1536 (46.5)
Retired	182 (10.6)	149 (22.4)	104 (11.4)	435 (13.1)
Educated/trained	84 (4.9)	13 1.9)	44 (4.8)	141 (4.3)
Total	1724 (100)	665 100)	915 (100)	3304 (100)
Age of participants	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD
Age of participants	42.5 (12.0)	41.5 (12.1)	45.6(10.9)	42.5(12.0)

age on the day of the interview, had been exposed to at least one war-related traumatic event and had been at least 16 years old at the time of the last war-related traumatic event. Mental retardation and/or organically caused mental disturbances were exclusion criteria. The potentially traumatic experience was established using a screening list containing 20 stressful events that people may have experienced during wartime (e.g. shelling, sexual assault, or combat). Participants gave written informed consent for the interviews.

Across all sites 70.1% of the eligible participants were interviewed. Of 5,330 people contacted, 603 (11.3%) did not meet the inclusion criteria and 1414 (26.5%) refused to participate. The final sample consisted of 3304 participants (62.0%). The average age of participants was 42.5±12.0 years. Basic socio-demographic characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Instruments and procedure

The data were obtained through face-to-face interviews conducted in the native language of the participants from January 2005 to November 2006. The interviews were done at places according to participants' preferences – in their homes, community organizations, or research center facilities.

The research teams consisted of qualified psychologists and psychiatrists. They were all trained in using the assessment instruments. The socio-demographic characteristics of participants, including, age, sex, education level, employment status, marital and housing status and information relating to war experience (active or passive participation in the war, staying at home or being a refugee) were obtained from a short structured questionnaire.

The utilization of health care and other support services were assessed using the Matrix for the Assessment of Community and Healthcare Services (MACSI) – an instrument developed within the CONNECT project. The instrument records details of utilization of health care and a wide range of specific and non-specific forms of help (30). It records details of services and forms of help specific for war and post-war periods (accommodation in refugee camps, home reconstruction, humanitarian aid, PTSD treatment programs, compensations and benefits, etc.).

The MACSI is structured in the form of nine tables, each of which stands for a category of services/forms of help: Primary health care, Mental health care, Specialist physical health care, Accommodation support, Employment support, Leisure activities – social contacts, Financial and material help, Legal support, Informing and advocacy,

The categories contain details on services used by participants from the beginning of the war (for instance, a visit to the family doctor, psychotherapy, a surgery operation, humanitarian aid in form of food or clothes, material for house reconstruction, etc.) until the present date. They also contain information about the year when a service was used for the first time, the number of times it was used and the period of use (if applicable). Finally, participants rated the usefulness of each service or intervention on a 10-point scale (1 – not helpful at all, 10 – very helpful).

Mental health status of the participants was assessed using the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) (31). The MINI is a short, structured, diagnostic interview based on the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM) IV (32). It is divided into modules, each corresponding to a diagnostic category with DSM Axis-I disorders (32). Modules consist of precise questions about psychological problems or symptoms, and the clinical assessment consists of evaluation of the participants' answers in terms of clinically relevant dimensions (ie, time frame, fre-

quency, severity). Clinical judgment of each symptom is then registered in the form of yes (symptom was clinically relevant) or no (symptom was not clinically relevant) answers. At the end of each module there is a diagnostic frame, which documents if the diagnostic criteria were met for each disorder. Compared with more extensive and time-consuming clinical interviews (such as the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders), MINI has similar metrical characteristics and satisfying levels of sensitivity and specificity (31, 33).

Statistical analysis

The results are shown in the form of frequencies (percentages) and arithmetic means ± standard deviations. We first conducted descriptive statistical analysis of the sociodemographic characteristics of the sample, and then presented the frequency of utilization of a particular service category. Due to the large number of missing data (e.g., the number of visits to the physician, duration and period of treatment, etc.), the utilization of particular services was assessed in terms of dichotomous outcomes ("used" or "did not use" a particular service). The variables of service categories were also dichotomized. If the respondent used at least one service from a particular group of services, the category was coded as "used" (e.g., if the respondent visited the cardiologist, the "specialist physical health care" category was coded as 1, i.e. "used"). In order to test differences in the frequency of use and the perceived usefulness of certain services according to the mental health status, the participants were divided into three groups:

current PTSD, other mental disorders, or without disorders. To test the differences in frequency of use among the three groups, the chi square test was preformed. One-way analysis of variance was used to test the difference in perceived satisfaction, followed by the Scheffe post-hoc test in order to test the significance of differences between the three groups. Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 11.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The significance level was set at p<0.05.

Results

The frequency of services used and perceived usefulness

People exposed to traumatic events related to the war used a wide range of services during and after the war, as summarized in Table 2. Primary health care and specialist physical health care were the most frequently used forms of help. The frequency of using other forms of interventions varied widely. Participants found health care services and help with leisure activities, social support and contact the most helpful.

Participants suffering from PTSD were significantly more likely to use support in employment and all types of health care interventions compared to the other participants (Table 2). The Scheffe post-hoc test showed that participants with PTSD were significantly more satisfied with the interventions of primary health care compared to participants without a mental disorder, and reported significantly lower satisfaction with financial and material support compared with the participants with other mental disorders (Table 3).

Table 2 Differences in the frequency of utilization of services according to mental status (current PTSD, other mental disorders, or without disorders) of participates assessed

		Utilization of s				
Service categories	Groups	Yes No		Total	— Chi square	
		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)		
	Without disorders	1345 (78.0)	379 (22.0)	1724 (100.0)	p <0.05	
Primary health care	Current PTSD	570 (85.7)	95 (14.3)	665 (100.0)		
	Other mental disorders	745 (81.4)	170 (18.6)	915 (100.0)		
	Total	2660 (80.5)	664 (19.5)	3304 (100.0)		
	Without disorders	242 (14.0)	1482 (86.0)	1724 (100.0)	p <0.05	
	Current PTSD	175 (26.3)	490 (73.7)	665 (100.0)		
Mental health care	Other mental disorders	166 (18.1)	749 (81.9)	915 (100.0)		
	Total	583 (17.6)	2721 (84.2)	3304 (100.0)		
Specialist physical	Without disorders	959 (55.6)	765 (44.4)	1724 (100.0)	p <0.05	
	Current PTSD	456 (68.6)	209 (31.4)	665 (100.0)		
health care	Other mental disorders	554 (60.5)	361 (39.5)	915 (100.0)		
	Total	1969 (59.5)	1335 (40.4)	3304 (100.0)		
	Without disorders	468 (27.1)	1256 (72.9)	1724 (100.0)	NS	
Accommodation	Current PTSD	203 (30.5)	462 (69.5)	665 (100.0)		
support	Other mental disorders	231 (25.2)	684 (74.8)	915 (100.0)		
	Total	902 (27.3)	2402 (72.7)	3304 (100.0)		
Employment	Without disorders	295 (17.1)	1429 (82.9)	1724 (100.0)		
	Current PTSD	136 (20.5)	529 (79.5)	665 (100.0)	p <0.05	
support	Other mental disorders	138 (15.1)	777 (84.9)	915 (100.0)		
	Total	569 (17.2)	2735 (82.8)	3304 (100.0)		
	Without disorders	127 (7.4)	1597 (92.6)	1724 (100.0)		
Leisure, social	Current PTSD	54 (8.1)	611 (91.9)	665 (100.0)	NS	
support and contacts	Other mental disorders	64 (7.0)	851 (93.0)	915 (100.0)		
	Total	245 (7.4)	3059 (92.6)	3304 (100.0)		
Financial and material support	Without disorders	690 (40.0)	1034 (60.0)	1724 (100.0)	NS	
	Current PTSD	288 (43.3)	377 (56.7)	665 (100.0)		
	Other mental disorders	405 (44.3)	510 (55.7)	915 (100.0)		
	Total	1383 (41.9)	1921 (58.1)	3304 (100.0)		
Legal support	Without disorders	67 (3.9)	1657 (96.1)	1724 (100.0)	NS	
	Current PTSD	23 (3.5)	642 (96.5)	665 (100.0)		
	Other mental disorders	32 (3.5)	883 (96.5)	915 (100.0)		
	Total	122 (3.7)	3182 (96.3)	3304 (100.0)		
	Without disorders	19 (1.1)	1705 (98.9)	1724 (100.0)		
Informing and advocacy	Current PTSD	5 (0.8)	660 (99.2)	665 (100.0)	NS	
	Other mental disorders	10 (1.1)	905 (98.9)	915 (100.0)		
	Total	34 (1.0)	3270 (99.0)	3304 (100.0)		

PTSD = post-traumatic stress disorder; NS = not significant.

Table 3 Differences in the perceived usefulness of certain categories of intervention between groups of participants (current PTSD, other mental disorders, or without disorders) assessed with one-way analysis of variance and results of the Scheffe post-hoc test

SERVICE CATEGORIES	Groups	N	М	SD	F	Р	Scheffe	р
Primary health care	Without disorders	1345	7.78	2.25			1stand 2nd group	0.041*
	Current PTSD	570	8.06	2.15	_ 2 24	0.039*	1st and 3rd group	0.871
	Other mental disorders	745	7.84	2.14	- 3.34		2nd and 3rd group	0.185
	Total	2660	7.89	2.18				
Mental health care	Without disorders	242	7.91	2.43	_		1stand 2nd group	0.345
	Current PTSD	175	8.26	2.34	- 2.45 0.0	0.007	1st and 3rd group	0.660
	Other mental disorders	166	7.69	2.34		0.067	2nd and 3rd group	0.002
	Total	583	7.95	2.37	_		Zila alia sia gioap	0.093
Specialist physical health care	Without disorders	959	8.10	2.16		1stand 2nd group	0.775	
	Current PTSD	456	8.19	2.08	- 1.64 0.194 -		1st and 3rd group	0.419
	Other mental disorders	554	7.95	2.23			2nd and 3rd group	0.214
	Total	1969	8.08	2.16				
Accommodation	Without disorders	468	6.18	2.83		1stand 2nd group	0.624	
	Current PTSD	203	5.95	2.88		1st and 3rd group	0.370	
support	Other mental disorders	231	5.85	3.02	- 1.15	0.317		0.046
	Total	902	6.00	2.91	_	2nd and 3rd group	0.946	
Employment support	Without disorders	295	5.56	3.29	- 1.52 0.220	1st and 2nd group	0.409	
	Current PTSD	136	5.10	3.33		0.220	1st and 3rd group	0.817
	Other mental disorders	138	5.78	3.42	- 1.52 0.220 -		2nd and 3rd group	0.241
	Total	569	5.48	3.35				
Leisure, social support and contacts	Without disorders	127	8.41	2.34		1st and 2nd group	0.930	
	Current PTSD	54	8.26	2.51	- - 0.120	0.007	1st and 3rd group	0.986
	Other mental disorders	64	8.47	2.25	- 0.120	0.887		0.893
	Total	245	8.38	2.37	_		2nd and 3rd group	U.U23
Financial and material support	Without disorders	690	5.73	2.93	- - 4.02		1st and 2nd group	0.674
	Current PTSD	288	5.55	2.87			1st and 3rd group	0.080
	Other mental disorders	405	6.13	2.71			2-112-1	0.031*
	Total	1383	5.80	2.84			2nd and 3rd group	
Legal support	Without disorders	67	6.06	3.72			1st and 2nd group	0.227
	Current PTSD	23	4.54	3.01	- 2 27	0.100	1st and 3rd group	0.247
	Other mental disorders	32	4.75	3.80	- 2.27	0.108	2	0.070
	Total	122	5.12	3.51	-		2nd and 3rd group	0.978
Informing and advocacy	Without disorders	19	7.47	2.87			1st and 2nd group	0.995
	Current PTSD	5	7.63	2.89	- 0.220	0.700	1st and 3rd group	0.821
	Other mental disorders	10	6.73	3.35	- 0.238	0.789	and and and areas	0.863
	Total	34	7.28	3.04	_		2nd and 3rd group	

 $1st\ group = without\ disorder;\ 2nd\ group = current\ PTSD;\ 3rd\ group = other\ mental\ disorder;\ *p<0.05.$

Discussion

This study showed that the war-affected population used a wide range of organized forms of help and services during and after the war. Primary health care was the most frequently used form of help and overall health care services were perceived as more helpful compared with other support services. However, when specific interventions were compared, services providing help with leisure activities, social support and social contacts had the highest average values of perceived usefulness.

Participants with current PTSD were significantly more frequent users of all types of health care services and employment support services than participants without mental disorders and participants with other mental disorders. They were significantly more satisfied with primary health care services as compared to participants without mental disorders, and significantly less satisfied with financial and material support services as compared with participants with other mental disorders.

The study used strict selection criteria and a rigorous probabilistic sampling method. However, it also has several limitations. Since this is a retrospective study covering a long period of time (in some countries up to 15 years), many data are missing simply because the participants could no longer remember all events (for instance, the number of visits to the doctor, medications they had been taking, the sum of financial support they received, etc). Furthermore, it is very likely that some of the data were not accurate due to memory bias. This may imply that the frequency of utilization of some or all services has been underestimated, which would put the data established in this study at the lower end of the true service utilization.

The frequency of utilization of primary health care services was 80.5%, followed by specialist physical health care with 59.5% utilization. In the five countries of the former Yugoslavia, the primary health care system was relatively well developed and organized, and therefore more accessible than other forms of help (34, 35). Furthermore, the primary health care system has a gate keeping function of referring patients to other specialist health care systems so that patients intending to receive specialist care still need to see a primary care doctor first. Bearing in mind that primary health care in the region functioned continuously even during the most difficult periods of the war, it may have been the safest and most reliable service to obtain some form of help (36). The frequent utilization and high appreciation of the usefulness of health care services demonstrate the importance of a functioning health care system in war and post-war conditions.

The relatively unfavorable perception of the usefulness of services helping with accommodation and employment, and of financial support might reflect that the provider organizations of services had not been prepared and equipped to cope with the extraordinary challenges they faced at the beginning of the war. Besides, compared to the health care system, which had a well established funding arrangement, organizations providing other services lacked the material resources to provide better and more popular support.

Services providing help with leisure activities, social support and social contacts were used by a relatively small number of participants. These services were mostly organized by NGOs through projects initiated and funded from abroad, and their number was therefore limited (5, 37). One reason for the highest perceived usefulness of such services may be that they were seen as an increased social support and expansion of social networks (5).

The highly perceived usefulness of this type of service may indicate their impor-

tance for recovery from war traumatization. This is inconsistent with the opinion of some professionals that the role of community-based services is less important or even ineffective (6). In any case, we should take the perceived usefulness of these services into consideration when designing, organizing and implementing services in the future.

Legal support, informing and advocacy were used by a small number of participants. These services were mostly organized by governmental organizations, but to a lesser extent and only in some areas was the organization of such services practically feasible. Moreover, these services, for the most part, did not actively search for people in need and had not been commonly used in the pre-war period, which might have resulted in these services not being recognized by potential users.

The significantly more frequent use of health care by persons with PTSD was also reported in previous studies (19-22). Due to their mental health problems, persons with PTSD are more likely to need specialized health care, such as psychiatric care. They may also have a number of physical complaints that require medical care (38, 39). Recent studies have shown a link between PTSD and cardiovascular disorders (40, 41), frequent painful syndromes (42-44), increased use of tobacco, alcohol and other addictive substances (45) and difficult social and family functioning (46), all of which can contribute to utilizing primary and specialized health services. To avoid the stigmatization associated with seeking help from a psychiatrist, individuals with symptoms of PTSD may decide to seek help through primary health care.

Although there is some data on the utilization (22, 39, 47, 48) and treatment cost (49) of medical services for people with PTSD in the literature, to our knowledge

patients' perception of the usefulness of such services has not been systematically studied. In our study, we found that people with PTSD were highly satisfied with the health care they received. This might be explained in the context of the organization of the health care system, which can respond quickly and efficiently to patients' needs, unlike other forms of assistance (primarily services providing financial and material support), where the system is much slower and dependent on bureaucratic procedures and the various requirements that people have to meet before obtaining help. This may have led to the dissatisfaction of traumatized people with such services.

Conclusion

People exposed to traumatic events related to the war from the areas of the former Yugoslavia used a broad range of services. The frequency of utilization varied significantly, but in general, medical services were the most frequently used and the participants were relatively satisfied with the care provided. Participants were most satisfied with services providing help with leisure activities and structuring their free time. Whilst health care services play a central role in providing care to war affected communities - and are particularly appreciated by patients with PTSD - services helping with leisure activities and social contacts may be more widely established so they can meet the needs of people in post-conflict areas.

Authors' contributions: Conception and design: TF, ZŠ, MB, SP; Acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data: TF, ZŠ, ZT, DA, MB, AK, DLT, NM, MP, SP; Drafting the article: TF, ZŠ, ZT; Revising it critically for important intellectual content: TF, ZŠ, ZT, DA, MB, AK, DLT, NM, MP, SP.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Batniji R, Van Ommeren M, Saraceno B. Mental and social health in disasters: Relating qualitative social science research and the Sphere standard. Soc Sci Med. 2006;62:1853-64.
- Katz CL, Pellegrino L, Pandya A, Ng A, DeLisi Le. Research on psychiatric outcomes and interventions subsequent to disasters: a review of the literature. Psychiatry Res. 2002;110:201-17.
- Bryant RA. Early predictors of posttraumatic stress disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2003;53:789-95.
- Agger A. Balancing skills' transmission and indigenous understandings: A conceptual framework for planning support for trauma recovery. In: Ajduković D, editor. Trauma recovery training: lessons learned. Zagreb: Društvo za psihološku pomoć; 1997. p. 73-81.
- Agger I. Psycho-social projects under war conditions. Zagreb: ECHO and ECTF; 1995.
- Summerfield D. The invention of post-traumatic stress disorder and the social usefulness of a psychiatric category. BMJ. 2001;322:95-8.
- Summerfield D. A critique of seven assumptions behind psychological trauma programmes in waraffected areas. Soc Sci Med. 1999;48:1449-62.
- 8. Kocijan-Hercigonja D, Knezović Z, Grgurić J, Stuvland R, Lagerkvist B. Psychosocial support in war areas using community-based rehabilitation strategy. Scand J Soc Med. 1997;25:14-6.
- The Government of the Republic of Croatia. National program of psychosocial help for the victims in the Homeland War (in Croatian). Zagreb: Ministry of War Veterans; 1999.
- Knežević M, Jovančević M. Model of providing psycho-social aid to refugees and displaced persons records of the Croatian psychiatric association. European Journal of Psychiatry. 2001;15:33-47.
- 11. World Health Organization: Mental health in emergencies. Geneva (Switzerland): WHO; 2003.
- Bracken PJ, Giller JE, Summerfield D. Psychological responses to war and atrocity: the limitations of current concepts. Soc Sci Med. 1995;40:1073-82.
- Pupavac V. Therapeutic governance: psycho-social intervention and trauma risk management. Disasters. 2001;25:358-72.
- 14. van Ommeren M, Saxena S, Saraceno B. Mental and social health during and after acute emergencies: emerging consensus? Bull World Health Organ. 2005;83:71-5.
- 15. McFall M, Malte C, Fontana A, Rosenheck RA. Effects of an Outreach intervention on use of mental health services by veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. Psychiatr Serv. 2000;51:369-74.

- Rosenheck RA, Stolar M. Access to public mental health services: determinants of population coverage. Med Care. 1998;36:503-12.
- Rosner R, Powell S, Butollo W. Why do people in Bosnia-Herzegovina go into treatment? The role of posttraumatic stress disorder in psycho-therapy service utilization. European Psychotherapy. 2002;3:119-29.
- Duncan J, Arnston L. Children in crisis: good practices in evaluating psychosocial programming. Westport, CT: Save the Children Federation, Inc.; 2004.
- Kulka RA, Schlenger WE, Fairbank JA, Hough RL, Jordan BK, Marmar CR, Weiss DS, editors. Trauma and the Vietnam War generation. New York: Brunner/Mazel; 1990.
- Litz BT, Keane TM, Fisher L, Marx B, Monaco V. Physical health complaints in combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder: a preliminary report. J Trauma Stress. 1992;5:131-5.
- Schnurr PP, Friedman MJ, Sengupta A, Jankowski K, Holmes T. PTSD and utilization of medical treatment services among male Vietnam veterans. The Nerv Men Dis. 2000;188:496-504.
- Deykin EY, Keane TM, Kaloupek D, Fincke G, Rothendler J, Siegfried M, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder and the use of health services. Psychosom Med. 2001;63:835-41.
- 23. Kartha A, Brower V, Saitz R, Samet JH, Keane TM, Liebschutz J. The impact of trauma exposure and post-traumatic stress disorder on healthcare utilization among primary care patients. Med Care. 2008;46:388-93.
- 24. Nation RC. War in the Balkans, 1991-2002. Carlisle, PA: Strategic Studies Institute, U.S. Army War College; 2003.
- 25. Priebe S, Bogic M, Ajdukovic D, Franciskovic T, Galeazzi GM, Kucukalic A, et al. Mental disorders following war in the Balkans: a study in 5 countries. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2010;67:518-28.
- 26. Weekers J, Bollini P, Siem H, Dean B. Medical evacuations from the region of former Yugoslavia-The experience of 2 years. Eur J Public Health. 1996;6:257-61.
- Kazic S. Health system in Yugoslavia. Lancet. 2001;357:1369.
- Meei-Shia C, Mastilica M. Health Care Reform in Croatia: For Better or for Worse? Am J Public Health. 1998;88:1156-60.
- 29. Morina N, Rushiti F, Salihu, M, Ford JD. Psychopathology and well-being in civilian survivors of war seeking treatment. A follow-up study. Clin Psychol Psychother. 2010;17:79-86.

- 30. Priebe S, Gavrilović J, Schützwohl M, Galeazzi GM, Lecic-Tosevski D, Ajdukovic D, et al. A study of long-term clinical and social outcomes after war experiences in ex-Yugoslavia Methods of the "CONNECT" project. Psychiatry Today. 2004;36:101-22.
- 31. Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E, et al. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSMIV and ICD-10. J Clin Psychiatry. 1998;59:22-33.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association: 1994.
- 33. Lecrubier Y, Sheehan DV, Weiller E, Amorim P, Bonora I, Sheehan KH, et al. The Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI): A short diagnostic structured interview: reliability and validity according to the CIDI. Eur Psychiatry. 1997;12:224-31.
- 34. Shain M. Health Services in Yugoslavia. Med Care. 1969;7:481-6.
- Himmelstein DU, Lang S, Woolhandler S. The Yugoslav health system. J Public Health Policy. 1984;5:423-31.
- Jakovac D. Primary health care in the Homeland war [In Croatian]. Rijeka: Županija primorskogoranska; 1997.
- Havelka M. Non-governmental humanitarian organizations in Croatia today - state, problems and prospects. Revija socijalne politike. 1996;2:127-133.
- Schnurr PP, Green BL. Understanding relationships among trauma, post-tramatic stress disorder, and health outcomes. Adv Mind Body Med. 2004;20:18-29.
- 39. Calhoun PS, Bosworth HB, Grambow SC, Dudley TK, Beckham JC. Medical service utilization by veterans seeking help for posttraumatic stress disorder. Am J Psychiatry. 2002;159:2081-6.

- Boscarino JA, Chang J. Electrocardiogram abnormalities among men with stress-related psychiatric disorders: implications for coronary heart disease and clinical research. Ann Behav Med. 1999;21:227-34.
- 41. Buckley TC, Holohan D, Greif JL, Bedard M, Suvak M. Twenty-four-hour ambulatory assessment of heart rate and blood pressure in chronic PTSD and non-PTSD veterans. J Trauma Stress. 2004;17:163-71.
- Baker DG, Mendenhall CL, Simbartl LA, Magan LK, Steinberg JL. Relationship between posttraumatic stress disorder and self-reported physical symptoms in Persian Gulf war veterans. Arch Intern Med. 1997;157:2076-8.
- Boscarino JA. Diseases among men 20 years after exposure to severe stress: implications for clinical research and medical care. Psychosom Med. 1997;59:605-14.
- 44. Shalev A, Bleich A, Ursano RJ. Posttraumatic stress disorder: somatic comorbidity and effort tolerance. Psychosomatics. 1990;31:197-203.
- Pfefferbaum B, Doughty DE. Increased alcohol use in a treatment sample of Oklahoma City bombing victims. Psychiatry. 2001;64:296-303.
- Solomom Z. PTSD and social functioning. A three year prospective study. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 1989;24:127-33.
- Schnurr PP, Friedman MJ, Sengupta A, Jankowski K, Holmes T. PTSD and utilization of medical treatment services among male Vietnam veterans. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2000,188:496-504.
- 48. Frančišković T, Tovilovic Z, Sukovic Z, Stevanovic A, Ajdukovic D, Kraljevic R, et al. Health Care and Community-based Interventions for War-traumatized People in Croatia: Community-based Study of Service Use and Mental Health. Croat Med J. 2008;49:483-90.
- 49. Priebe S, Jankovic-Gavrilovic J, Matanov A, Franciskovic T, Knezevic G, Ljubotina D, et al. Treatment outcomes and costs at specialized centers for the treatment of PTSD after the war in former Yugoslavia. Psychiatric Services. 2010;61:598-604.