We are IntechOpen, the world's leading publisher of Open Access books Built by scientists, for scientists

6,300

170,000

185M

Downloads

Our authors are among the

154

TOP 1%

12.2%

most cited scientists

Contributors from top 500 universities



WEB OF SCIENCE

Selection of our books indexed in the Book Citation Index in Web of Science™ Core Collection (BKCI)

Interested in publishing with us? Contact book.department@intechopen.com

Numbers displayed above are based on latest data collected.

For more information visit www.intechopen.com



Chapter

A Study for Coupled Systems of Nonlinear Boundary Value Problem

Noureddine Bouteraa and Habib Djourdem

Abstract

This chapter deals with the existence and uniqueness of solutions for a coupled system of fractional differential equations with coupled nonlocal and integral boundary conditions and for the system of two-point boundary value problem when we take the case of integer derivative. The existence results for the fist problem are obtained by using Leray-Shauder nonlinear alternative and Banach contraction principle and for the second problem, we derive explicit eigenvalue intervals of λ for the existence of at least one positive solution by using Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem. An illustrative examples is presented at the end for each problem to illustrate the validity of our results.

Keywords: positive solution, uniqueness, Green's function, system of fractional differential equations, system of differential equations, existence, nonlocal boundary value problem, fixed point theorem

1. Introduction

In this chapter, we are interested in the existence of solutions for the nonlinear fractional boundary value problem (BVP)

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}D^{\alpha}u(t) = f(t, u(t), v(t)), & t \in [0, 1], \ 2 < \alpha \le 3, \\ {}^{c}D^{\beta}v(t) = g(t, u(t), v(t)), & t \in [0, 1], \ 2 < \beta \le 3, \\ \lambda u(0) + \gamma u(1) = u(\eta), & \lambda v(0) + \gamma v(1) = v(\eta), \\ u(0) = \int_{0}^{\eta} u(s)ds, & v(0) = \int_{0}^{\eta} v(s)ds, \\ \lambda^{c}D^{p}u(0) + \gamma^{c}D^{p}u(1) = {}^{c}D^{p}u(\eta), & 1 < p \le 2. \end{cases}$$

$$(1)$$

We also study the integer case of problem

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) = \lambda a(t)f(v(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ v^{(4)}(t) = \lambda b(t)g(u(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ u(0) = 0, u'(0) = 0, u''(1) = 0, & u'''(1) = 0, \\ v(0) = 0, v'(0) = 0, v''(1) = 0, & v'''(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2)

1 IntechOpen

where $D_{0^+}^{\alpha}$, $D_{0^+}^{\beta}$ are the standard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α and β , the functions $f,g \in C((0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^2,\mathbb{R})$, the functions $f,g \in C((0,1) \times \mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$ in the second problem and $\lambda > 0$, $a,b \in C([0,1],[0,\infty))$.

The first definition of fractional derivative was introduced at the end of the nine-teenth century by Liouville and Riemann, but the concept of non-integer derivative and integral, as a generalization of the traditional integer order differential and integral calculus, was mentioned already in 1695 by Leibniz and L'Hospital. In fact, fractional derivatives provide an excellent tool for the description of memory and hereditary properties of various materials and processes. The mathematical modeling of systems and processes in the fields of physics, chemistry, aerodynamics, electrodynamics of complex medium, polymer rheology, Bode's analysis of feedback amplifiers, capacitor theory, electrical circuits, electro-analytical chemistry, biology, control theory, fitting of experimental data, involves derivatives of fractional order. In consequence, the subject of fractional differential equations is gaining much importance and attention. For more details we refer the reader to [1–6] and the references cited therein.

Boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations arise in a variety of areas of applied mathematics, physics and variational problems of control theory. A point of central importance in the study of nonlinear boundary value problems is to understand how the properties of nonlinearity in a problem influence the nature of the solutions to the boundary value problems. The multi-point boundary conditions are important in various physical problems of applied science when the controllers at the end points of the interval (under consideration) dissipate or add energy according to the sensors located, at intermediate points, see [7, 8] and the references therein. We quote also that realistic problems arising from economics, optimal control, stochastic analysis can be modelled as differential inclusion. The study of fractional differential inclusions was initiated by EL-Sayad and Ibrahim [9]. Also, recently, several qualitative results for fractional differential inclusion were obtained in [10–13] and the references therein.

The techniques of nonlinear analysis, as the main method to deal with the problems of nonlinear differential equations (DEs), nonlinear fractional differential equations (FDEs), nonlinear partial differential equations (PDEs), nonlinear fractional partial differential equations (FPDEs), nonlinear stochastic fractional partial differential equations (SFPDEs), plays an essential role in the research of this field, such as establishing the existence, uniqueness and multiplicity of solutions (or positive solutions) and mild solutions for nonlinear of different kinds of FPDEs, FPDEs, SFPDEs, inclusion differential equations and inclusion fractional differential equations with various boundary conditions, by using different techniques (approaches). For more details, see [14-37] and the references therein. For example, iterative method is an important tool for solving linear and nonlinear Boundary Value Problems. It has been used in the research areas of mathematics and several branches of science and other fields. However, Many authors showed the existence of positive solutions for a class of boundary value problem at resonance case. Some recent devolopment for resonant case can be found in [38, 39]. Let us cited few papers. Zhang et al. [40] studied the existence of two positive solutions of following singular fractional boundary value problems:

$$\begin{cases}
D_{0+}^{\alpha}u(t) + f(t, u(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1) \\
u(0) = 0, & D_{0+}^{\beta}u(0) = 0, & D_{0+}^{\beta}u(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} D_{0+}^{\beta}u(\eta_{j}),
\end{cases}$$
(3)

where D_{0+}^{α} , D_{0+}^{β} are the stantard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (2,3]$, $\beta \in [1,2]$, $f \in C([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $a_j, \eta_j \in (0,1)$, $\alpha - \beta \ge 1$ with $\sum_{\infty}^{i=0} a_j \eta_j^{\alpha-\beta-1} < 1$.

In [41], the authors studied the boundary value problems of the fractional order differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} D_{0+}^{\alpha}u(t) = f(t, u(t)) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = 0, & D_{0+}^{\beta}u(1) = aD_{0+}^{\beta}u(\eta), \end{cases}$$
(4)

where $1 < \alpha \le 2$, $0 < \eta < 1$, $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$, $f \in C([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R})$ and D_{0+}^{α} , D_{0+}^{β} are the stantard Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order α . They obtained the multiple positive solutions by the Leray-Schauder nonlinear alternative and the fixed point theorem on cones.

In 2015, Alsulami et al. [42] studied the existence of solutions of the following nonlinear third-order ordinary differential inclusion with multi-strip boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u^{(3)}(t) \in F(t, u(t)), & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = 0, & u'(0) = 0, \\ u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \alpha_{i\zeta_{i}}^{\eta_{i}} u(s) ds, \\ 0 < \zeta_{i} < \eta_{i} < 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2, n \ge 3. \end{cases}$$
(5)

In 2017, Resapour et al. [43] investigated a Caputo fractional inclusion with integral boundary condition for the following problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}D^{\alpha}u(t) \in F(t, u(t), {}^{c}D^{\beta}u(t), u'(t)), \\ u(0) + u'(0) + {}^{c}D^{\beta}u(0) = \int_{0}^{\eta} u(s)ds, \\ u(1) + u'(1) + {}^{c}D^{\beta}u(1) = \int_{0}^{\nu} u(s)ds, \end{cases}$$
(6)

where $1 < \alpha \le 2$, $\eta, \nu, \beta \in (0,1)$, $F : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a compact valued multifunction and ${}^cD^{\alpha}$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order α .

Inspired and motivated by the works mentioned above, The goal of this chapter is to establish the existence and uniqueness results for the nonlocal boundary value problem system (1) by using some well-known tools of fixed point theory such as Banach contraction principle and Leray-Shauder nonlinear alternative and the existence of at least one positive solution for the system of two-point boundary value problem (2) by using Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem. The aim of the last results is to establish some simple criteria for the existence of single positive solutions of the BVPs (2) in explicit intervals for λ . The chapter is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some preliminary facts that we need in the sequel, for more details; see [44] and we give main results of problem (1). Finally, we give an example to illustrate our result. In Section 3, deals with main results of problem (2) and we give an example to illustrate our results.

2. Existence and uniqueness results for problem (1)

2.1 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some definitions and lemmas, see [2, 4, 44–46]. Definition 2.1. Let $\alpha > 0$, $n - 1 < \alpha < n$, $n = [\alpha] + 1$ and $u \in C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$. The Caputo derivative of fractional order α for the function u is defined by

$${}^{c}D^{\alpha}u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)_{0}}^{t} (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1}u^{(n)}(s)ds,$$
(7)

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Eleur Gamma function.

Definition 2.2. The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ of a function $u : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$I^{\alpha}u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)_{0}}^{t} (t - s)^{\alpha - 1}u(s)ds, \quad t > 0,$$
 (8)

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Eleur Gamma function, provided that the right side is pointwise defined on $(0, \infty)$.Lemma 2.1. Let $\alpha > 0$, $n-1 < \alpha < n$ and the function $g: [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous for each T > 0. Then, the general solution of the fractional differential equation ${}^cD^{\alpha}g(t) = 0$ is given by

$$g(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + \dots + c_{n-1} t^{n-1}, \tag{9}$$

where $c_0, c_1, ..., c_{n-1}$ are real constants and $n = [\alpha] + 1$.

Also, in [19], authors have been proved that for each T > 0 and $u \in C([0, T])$ we have

$$I^{\alpha c}D^{\alpha}u(t) = u(t) + c_0 + c_1t + \dots + c_{n-1}t^{n-1},$$
(10)

where $c_0, c_1, ..., c_{n-1}$ are real constants and $n = [\alpha] + 1$.

2.2 Existence results

Let $X = \{u(t) : u(t) \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R})\}$ endowed with the norm $||u|| = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |u(t)|$ such

that $||u|| < \infty$. Then (X, ||.||) is a Banach space and the product space $(X \times X, ||(u, v)||)$ is also a Banach space equipped with the norm ||(u, v)|| = ||u|| + ||v||.

Throughout the first section, we let

$$M = \frac{\Gamma(3-p)}{|\gamma - \eta^{2-p}|} \neq 0, |\lambda + \gamma - 1| \neq 0, |\gamma - \eta^{2}| \neq 0, \quad Q = |2(1-\eta)(\gamma - \eta) + \eta^{2}|\lambda + \gamma - 1| \neq 0,$$

$$A(t) = |\Lambda_{1}(t)| = |\lambda + \gamma - 1| (\eta^{2} + 2(1-\eta)t),$$

$$B(t) = |\Lambda_{2}(t)| = (\eta^{3}|\lambda + \gamma - 1| + 3|\gamma - \eta^{2}|(1-\eta)) (\eta^{2} + 2(1-\eta)t) - Q(\eta^{3} + 3(1-\eta)t^{2}),$$
(11)

and

$$Q = 2(1 - \eta)(\gamma - \eta) + \eta^{2}(\lambda + \gamma - 1) \neq 0.$$
 (12)

Lemma 2.2. Let $y \in C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$. Then the solution of the linear differential system

$$\begin{cases}
{}^{c}D^{\alpha}u(t) = y(t), {}^{c}D^{\beta}v(t) = h(t), t \in [0, 1], 2 < \alpha, \beta \le 3 \\
\lambda u(0) + \gamma u(1) = v(\eta), \lambda v(0) + \gamma v(1) = u(\eta), \\
u(0) = \int_{0}^{\eta} v(s)ds, v(0) = \int_{0}^{\eta} u(s)ds, \\
\lambda^{c}D^{p}u(0) + \gamma^{c}D^{p}u(1) = {}^{c}D^{p}v(\eta), 1 < p \le 2, \\
\lambda^{c}D^{p}v(0) + \gamma^{c}D^{p}v(1) = {}^{c}D^{p}u(\eta), 1 < p \le 2,
\end{cases}$$
(13)

is equivalent to the system of integral equations

$$u(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{1-\eta_0} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} h(\tau) d\tau \right) ds$$

$$- \frac{\Lambda_1(t)}{Q(1-\eta)} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} h(\tau) d\tau \right) ds$$

$$- \frac{\Lambda_2(t) M}{6(1-\eta) Q} \left[\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-p-1}}{\Gamma(\beta-p)} h(s) ds - \gamma \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-p)} y(s) ds \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\Lambda_1(t)}{Q(\lambda+\gamma-1)} \left[\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} h(s) ds - \gamma \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} y(s) ds \right],$$

$$(14)$$

and

$$v(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} y(s) ds + \frac{1}{1-\eta} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} h(\tau) d\tau \right) ds$$

$$- \frac{\Lambda_{1}(t)}{Q(1-\eta)} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} h(\tau) d\tau \right) ds$$

$$- \frac{\Lambda_{2}(t) M}{6(1-\eta) Q} \left[\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\alpha-p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-p)} h(s) ds - \gamma \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-p)} y(s) ds \right]$$

$$+ \frac{\Lambda_{1}(t)}{Q(\lambda+\gamma-1)} \left[\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} h(s) ds - \gamma \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} y(s) ds \right],$$

$$(15)$$

where

$$\Lambda_1(t) = (\lambda + \gamma - 1) \left(\eta^2 + 2(1 - \eta)t \right), \tag{16}$$

and

$$\Lambda_2(t) = \left(\eta^3(\lambda + \gamma - 1) + 3\left(\gamma - \eta^2\right)(1 - \eta)\right)\left(\eta^2 + 2(1 - \eta)t\right) - Q\left(\eta^3 + 3(1 - \eta)t^2\right).$$

Proof. It is well known that the solution of equation $^cD^{\alpha}u(t)=y(t)$ can be written as

$$u(t) = I^{\alpha} y(t) + c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2, \tag{17}$$

$$v(t) = I^{\beta}h(t) + d_0 + d_1t + d_2t^2, \tag{18}$$

where $c_0, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and and $d_0, d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary constants.

Then, from (68) we have

$$u'(t) = I^{\alpha - 1}y(t) + c_1 + 2c_2t,$$
(19)

and

$$^{c}D^{p}u(t) = I^{\alpha-p}y(t) + c_{2}\frac{2t^{2-p}}{\Gamma(3-p)}, \quad 1 (20)$$

By using the three-point boundary conditions, we obtain.

$$c_{2} = \frac{M}{2} \left(I^{\beta - p} y(\eta) - \gamma I^{\alpha - p} y(1) \right),$$

$$c_{0} = -\frac{2\eta^{2} (\lambda + \gamma - 1)}{2(1 - \eta)Q} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s - \tau)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} h(\tau) d\tau \right) ds + \frac{1}{1 - \eta} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s - \tau)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} h(\tau) d\tau \right) ds$$

$$-\frac{(\eta^{2} [\eta^{3} (\lambda + \gamma - 1) + 3(\gamma - \eta^{2})(1 - \eta)] - \eta^{3} Q) M}{2(1 - \eta)Q} \left[\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - s)^{\beta - p - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta - p)} h(s) ds \right]$$

$$-\gamma \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - s)^{\alpha - p - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha - p)} y(s) ds + \frac{\eta^{2}}{Q} \left[\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - s)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} h(s) ds - \gamma \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} y(s) ds \right],$$
(21)

and
$$c_{1} = \frac{-2(\lambda + \gamma - 1)}{Q} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s - \tau)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} h(\tau) d\tau \right) ds$$

$$-\frac{(\eta^{3}(\lambda + \gamma - 1) + 3(\gamma - \eta^{2})(1 - \eta))M}{3Q} \left[\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - s)^{\beta - p - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta - p)} h(s) ds - \gamma \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - s)^{\alpha - p - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha - p)} y(s) ds \right]$$

$$+\frac{2(1 - \eta)}{Q} \left[\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - s)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} y(s) ds - \gamma \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} y(s) ds \right]. \tag{22}$$

Substituting the values of constants c_0 , c_1 and c_2 in (68), we get solution (64). Similarly, we obtain solution (65). The proof is complete.

The following rolations hold:

$$|A(t)| \le |\beta + \gamma - 1|(\eta^2 + 2(1 - \eta)) = A_1,$$
 (23)

and

$$|B(t)| \le \left| \left(\eta^3 |\beta + \gamma - 1| + 3 |\gamma - \eta^2| (1 - \eta) \right) \left(\eta^2 + 2(1 - \eta) \right) - Q \left(\eta^3 + 3(1 - \eta) \right) \right| = B_1, \tag{24}$$

For the sake of brevity, we set

$$\Delta_{1} = \frac{\eta^{\beta+1}}{(1-\eta)\Gamma(\beta+2)} + \frac{A_{1}\eta^{\beta+1}}{Q(1-\eta)\Gamma(\beta+2)} + \frac{MB_{1}\eta^{\beta-p}}{(1-\eta)Q\Gamma(\lambda-p+1)} + \frac{A_{1}\eta^{\beta}}{Q|\beta+\gamma-1|\Gamma(\beta+1)} + \frac{A_{1}\eta}{Q|\lambda+\gamma-1|\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$$

$$\Delta_{2} = \frac{MB_{1}\gamma}{6(1-\eta)Q\Gamma(\alpha-p+1)} + \frac{A_{1}\gamma}{Q|\lambda+\gamma-1|\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}$$

$$\Delta_{3} = \frac{\eta^{\alpha+1}}{(1-\eta)\Gamma(\alpha+2)} + \frac{A_{1}\eta^{\alpha+1}}{Q(1-\eta)\Gamma(\alpha+2)} + \frac{MB_{1}\eta^{\alpha-p}}{(1-\eta)Q\Gamma(\alpha-p+1)}$$

$$+ \frac{A_{1}\eta^{\alpha}}{Q|\lambda+\gamma-1|\Gamma(\alpha+1)},$$
(25)

and

$$\Delta_4 = \frac{MB_1\gamma}{6(1-\eta)Q\Gamma(\beta-p+1)} + \frac{A_1\gamma}{Q|\lambda+\gamma-1|\Gamma(\beta+1)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}.$$
 (26)

In view of Lemma 2, we define the operator $T: X \times X \to X \times X$ by

$$T(u,v)(t) = \begin{pmatrix} T_1(u,v)(t) \\ T_2(u,v)(t) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{27}$$

where
$$T_{1}(u,v)(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s,u(s),v(s))ds + \frac{1}{1-\eta} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} g(\tau,u(\tau),v(\tau))d\tau \right) ds$$

$$-\frac{B(t)M}{6(1-\eta)Q} \left[\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-p-1}}{\Gamma(\beta-p)} g(s,u(s),v(s))ds - \gamma \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-p)} f(s,u(s),v(s))ds \right]$$

$$+\frac{A(t)}{Q|\beta+\gamma-1|} \left[\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} g(s,u(s),v(s))ds - \gamma \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s,u(s),v(s))ds \right]$$

$$-\frac{A(t)}{Q(1-\eta)} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} g(\tau,u(\tau),v(\tau))d\tau \right) ds,$$

$$(28)$$

and

$$\begin{split} T_2(u,v)(t) &= \int\limits_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} g(s,u(s),v(s)) ds + \frac{1}{1-\eta} \int\limits_0^\eta \left(\int\limits_0^s \frac{(s-\tau)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(\tau,u(\tau),v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds \\ &- \frac{B(t)M}{6(1-\eta)Q} \left[\int\limits_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\alpha-p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-p)} f(s,u(s),v(s)) ds - \gamma \int\limits_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-p-1}}{\Gamma(\beta-p)} g(s,u(s),v(s)) ds \right] \\ &+ \frac{A(t)}{Q|\beta+\gamma-1|} \left[\int\limits_0^\eta \frac{(\eta-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s,u(s),v(s)) ds - \gamma \int\limits_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} g(s,u(s),v(s)) ds \right] \\ &- \frac{A(t)}{Q(1-\eta)} \int\limits_0^\eta \left(\int\limits_0^s \frac{(s-\tau)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(\tau,u(\tau),v(\tau)) d\tau \right) ds. \end{split}$$

Observe that the boundary value problem (1) has solutions if the operator equation (u, v) = T(u, v) has fixed points.

Now we are in a position to present the first main results of this paper. The existence results is based on Leray-Shauder nonlinear alternative.

Lemma 2.3. [44] (Leray-Schauder alternative). Let E be a Banach space and $T: E \rightarrow E$ be a completely continuous operator (i.e., a map restricted to any bounded set in E is compact). Let

$$\varepsilon = \{(u, v) \in X \times X : (u, v) = \lambda T(u, v), \text{ for some } 0 < \lambda < 1\}.$$
 (30)

Then either the $\varepsilon(T)$ is unbounded or T has at least one fixed point.

Theorem 1.1 Assume that $f,g:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ are a continuous function and. (H_1) there exist a function $k_i\geq 0$ $m_i\geq 0$, i=1,2 and $k_0>0$, $m_0>0$ such that $\forall u\in\mathbb{R}, \forall v\in\mathbb{R}, i=1,2$, we have

$$|f(t,u,v)| \le k_0 + k_1|u| + k_2|v|,$$
 (31)

(29)

and

$$|g(t, u, v)| \le m_0 + m_1 |u| + m_2 |v|. \tag{32}$$

If $(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)k_1 + (\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)m_1 < 1$ and $(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)k_2 + (\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)$, $m_3 < 1$, where Δ_i , i = 1, 2, 3, 4 are given above. Then the boundary value problem (1)–(58) has at least one solution on [0,1].

Proof. It is clear that T is a continuous operator where $T: X \times X \to X \times X$ is defined above. Now, we show that T is completely continuous. Let $\Omega \subset X \times X$ be bounded. Then there exist positive constants L_1 and L_2 such that

$$|f(t, u(t), v(t))| \le L_1, \quad |g(t, u(t), v(t))| \le L_2, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \Omega.$$
 (33)

Then for any $(u, v) \in \Omega$, we have

$$\begin{split} |T_{1}(u,v)(t)| &\leq \frac{L_{2}}{1-\eta} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} d\tau \right) ds \\ &+ \frac{|A(t)|L_{2}}{Q(1-\eta)} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} d\tau \right) ds + L_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \\ &+ \frac{|A(t)|L_{2}}{G(1-\eta)Q} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-p-1}}{\Gamma(\beta-p)} ds + \gamma L_{1} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-p)} ds \right) \\ &+ \frac{|A(t)|}{Q|\lambda+\gamma-1|} \left[L_{2} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds + \gamma L_{1} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \right], \\ &\leq L_{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\eta} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} d\tau \right) ds + \frac{A_{1}}{Q(1-\eta)} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha\beta)} d\tau \right) ds \right. \\ &+ \frac{MB_{1}}{6(1-\eta)Q} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-p-1}}{\Gamma(\beta-p)} ds + \frac{A_{1}}{6|\lambda+\gamma-1|} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds \right\} \\ &+ L_{1} \left\{ \frac{M\gamma B_{1}}{6(1-\eta)Q} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-p)} ds + \frac{A_{1\gamma}}{Q|\lambda+\gamma-1|} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \right\}, \\ &\leq L_{2}\Delta_{1} + L_{1}\Delta_{2}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$||T_1(u,v)|| \le L_2 \Delta_1 + L_1 \Delta_2.$$
 (35)

In the same way, we can obtain that

$$||T_2(u,v)|| \le L_1 \Delta_3 + L_2 \Delta_4.$$
 (36)

Thus, it follows from (78) and (95) that the operator T is uniformly bounded, since $||T(u,v)|| \le L_1(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3) + L_2(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4)$. Now, we show that T is equicontinuous. Let $t_1, t_2 \in [0,1]$ with $t_1 < t_2$. Then we have

$$|T_{1}(u(t_{2}), v(t_{2})) - T_{1}(u(t_{1}), v(t_{1}))| \leq L_{1} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \frac{(t_{2} - s)^{\alpha - 1} - (t_{1} - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds$$

$$+ L_{1} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{(t_{2} - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds + \frac{|A(t_{2}) - A(t_{1})| L_{2}}{Q(1 - \eta)} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s - \tau)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} d\tau \right) ds$$

$$+ \frac{(B(t_{2}) - B(t_{1}))M}{6(1 - \eta)Q} \left[L_{2} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - s)^{\beta - p - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta - p)} ds + \gamma L_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - s)^{\alpha - p - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha - p)} ds \right]$$

$$+ \frac{A(t_{2}) - A(t_{1})}{Q|\lambda + \gamma - 1|} \left[L_{2} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - s)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds - \gamma L_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \right].$$

$$(37)$$

Obviously, the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$. Similarly, we have

$$|T_{2}(u(t_{2}), v(t_{2})) - T_{2}(u(t_{1}), v(t_{1}))| \leq L_{2} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \frac{(t_{2} - s)^{\beta - 1} - (t_{1} - s)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds$$

$$+ L_{2} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{(t_{2} - s)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds + \frac{|A(t_{2}) - A(t_{1})|L_{1}}{Q(1 - \eta)} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s - \tau)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} d\tau \right) ds$$

$$+ \frac{(B(t_{2}) - B(t_{1}))M}{6(1 - \eta)Q} \left[L_{1} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - s)^{\alpha - p - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha - p)} ds + \gamma L_{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - s)^{\beta - p - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta - p)} ds \right]$$

$$+ \frac{A(t_{2}) - A(t_{1})}{Q|\lambda + \gamma - 1|} \left[L_{1} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds - \gamma L_{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - s)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds \right].$$

$$(38)$$

Again, it is seen that the right-hand side of the above inequality tends to zero as $t_2 \rightarrow t_1$. Thus, the operator T is equicontinuous.

Therefore, the operator T is completely continuous.

Finally, it will be verified that the set $\varepsilon =$

 $\{(u,v)\in X\times X: (u,v)=\lambda T(u,v), 0\leq \lambda\leq 1\}$ is bounded. Let $(u,v)\in \varepsilon$, with $(u,v)=\lambda T(u,v)$ for any $t\in [0,1]$, we have

$$u(t) = \lambda T_1(u, v)(t), \quad v(t) = \lambda T_2(u, v)(t).$$
 (39)

Then

$$|u(t)| \le \Delta_2(k_0 + k_1|u| + k_2|v|) + \Delta_1(m_0 + m_1|u| + m_2|v|),$$

= $\Delta_2k_0 + \Delta_1m_0 + (\Delta_2k_1 + \Delta_1m_1)|u| + (\Delta_2k_2 + \Delta_1m_2)|v|,$ (40)

and

$$|v(t)| \le \Delta_3(k_0 + k_1|u| + k_2|v|) + \Delta_4(m_0 + m_1|u| + m_2|v|),$$

$$= \Delta_3k_0 + \Delta_4m_0 + (\Delta_3k_1 + \Delta_4m_1)|u| + (\Delta_3k_2 + \Delta_4m_2)|v|.$$
(41)

Hence we have

$$||u|| = \Delta_2 k_0 + \Delta_1 m_0 + (\Delta_2 k_1 + \Delta_1 m_1) ||u|| + (\Delta_2 k_2 + \Delta_1 m_2) ||v||, \tag{42}$$

and

$$||v|| = \Delta_3 k_0 + \Delta_4 m_0 + (\Delta_3 k_1 + \Delta_4 m_1)|u| + (\Delta_3 k_2 + \Delta_4 m_2)|v|, \tag{43}$$

which imply that

$$||u|| + ||v|| = (\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)k_0 + (\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)m_0 + [(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)k_1 + (\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)m_1]||u|| + [(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)k_2 + (\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)m_2]||v||.$$
(44)

Consequently,

$$\|(u,v)\| = \frac{(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)k_0 + (\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)m_0}{\Delta_0},$$
 (45)

where

$$\Delta_0 = \min \{1 - [(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)k_1 + (\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)m_1], 1 - [(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)k_2 + (\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)m_2]\},$$
(46)

which proves that ε is bounded. Thus, by Lemma 15, the operator T has at least one fixed point. Hence boundary value problem (1) has at least one solution. The proof is complete.

Now, we are in a position to present the second main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.2 Assume that $f,g:[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions and there exist positive constants L_1 and L_2 such that for all $t\in[0,1]$ and $u_i,v_i\in\mathbb{R},i=1,2$, we have.

1.
$$|f(t, u_1, u_2) - f(t, v_1, v_2)| \le L_1(|u_1 - v_1| + |u_2 - v_2|),$$

$$2.|g(t,u_1,u_2)-g(t,v_1,v_2)| \le L_2(|u_1-v_1|+|u_2-v_2|).$$

Then the boundary value problem (1) has a unique solution on [0,1] provided

$$(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3)L_1 + (\Delta_2 + \Delta_4)L_2 < 1. \tag{47}$$

Proof. Let us set $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f(t,0,0)| = N_1 < \infty$ and $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |g(t,0,0)| = N_2 < \infty$.

For $u \in X$, we observe that

$$|f(t, u(t), v(t))| \leq |f(t, u(t)) - f(t, 0, 0)| + |f(t, 0, 0)|,$$

$$\leq L_1(|u(t)| + |v(t)|) + N_1,$$

$$\leq L_1(||u|| + ||v||) + N_1,$$
(48)

and

$$|g(t, u(t), v(t))| \le |g(t, u(t)) - g(t, 0, 0)| + |g(t, 0, 0)| \le L_2||u|| + N_2.$$
 (49)

Then for $u \in X$, we have

$$\begin{split} &|T_{1}(u,v)(t)| \leq \frac{1}{1-\eta} \int\limits_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int\limits_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} [L_{2}||(u,v)|| + N_{2}] d\tau \right) ds \\ &+ \frac{|A(t)|}{Q(1-\eta)} \int\limits_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int\limits_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} [L_{2}||(u,v)|| + N_{2}] d\tau \right) ds + \int\limits_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} [L_{1}||(u,v)|| + N_{1}] ds \\ &+ \frac{M|B(t)|}{6(1-\eta)Q} \left[\int\limits_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-p-1}}{\Gamma(\beta-p)} [L_{2}||(u,v)|| + N_{2}] ds + \gamma \int\limits_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-p)} [L_{1}||(u,v)|| + N_{1}] ds \right] \\ &+ \frac{|A(t)|}{Q|\lambda+\gamma-1|} \left[\int\limits_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} [L_{2}||(u,v)|| + N_{2}] ds + \gamma \int\limits_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} [L_{1}||(u,v)|| + N_{1}] ds, \right] \end{split}$$

Boundary Layer Flows - Modelling, Computation, and Applications of Laminar, Turbulent...

$$\leq (L_{2}||(u,v)|| + N_{2}) \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\eta} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} d\tau \right) ds + \frac{A_{1}}{Q(1-\eta)} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s-\tau)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} d\tau \right) ds \right.$$

$$+ \frac{MB_{1}}{6(1-\eta)Q} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-p-1}}{\Gamma(\beta-p)} ds + \frac{A_{1}}{6|\lambda+\gamma-1|} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{MB_{1}}{6(1-\eta)Q} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-p-1}}{\Gamma(\beta-p)} ds + \frac{A_{1}}{6|\lambda+\gamma-1|} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds \right\}$$

$$+ (L_{1}||(u,v)|| + N_{1}) \left\{ \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \right.$$

$$+ \frac{M\gamma B_{1}}{6(1-\eta)Q} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-p-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha-p)} ds + \frac{A_{1}\gamma}{Q|\lambda+\gamma-1|} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \right\},$$

$$\leq (L_{2}r + N_{2})\Delta_{1} + (L_{1}r + N_{1})\Delta_{2}$$

Hence

$$||T_1(u,v)|| \le (L_2\Delta_1 + L_1\Delta_2)r + N_2\Delta_1 + N_1\Delta_2 \tag{51}$$

In the same way, we can obtain that

$$||T_2(u,v)|| \le (L_1\Delta_3 + L_2\Delta_4)r + N_2\Delta_4 + N_1\Delta_3.$$
 (52)

Consequently,

$$||T(u,v)|| \le ((\Delta_2 + \Delta_3)L_1 + (\Delta_1 + \Delta_4)L_2)r + N_2(\Delta_1 + \Delta_4) + N_1(\Delta_2 + \Delta_3) \le r.$$
 (53)

Now, for (u_1, v_1) , $(u_2, v_2) \in X \times X$ and for each $t \in [0, 1]$, it follows from assumption (H_3) that

$$|T_{1}(u_{2}, v_{2})(t) - T_{1}(u_{1}, v_{1})(t)| \leq L_{2}(||u_{2} - u_{1}|| + ||v_{2} - v_{1}||) \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \eta} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s - \tau)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} d\tau \right) ds + \frac{A_{1}}{Q(1 - \eta)} \int_{0}^{\eta} \left(\int_{0}^{s} \frac{(s - \tau)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} d\tau \right) ds + \frac{A_{1}}{6(1 - \eta)Q_{0}} \frac{\eta(\eta - s)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta - p)} ds + \frac{A_{1}}{6|\lambda + \gamma - 1|} \int_{0}^{\eta} \frac{(\eta - s)^{\beta - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds \right\} + L_{1}(||u_{2} - u_{1}|| + ||v_{2} - v_{1}||) \left\{ \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds + \frac{M\gamma B_{1}}{6(1 - \eta)Q} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - s)^{\alpha - p - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha - p)} ds + \frac{A_{1}\gamma}{Q|\lambda + \gamma - 1|} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - s)^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \right\} \leq (L_{2}\Delta_{1} + L_{1}\Delta_{2})(||u_{2} - u_{1}|| + ||v_{2} - v_{1}||).$$
(54)

Thus

$$||T_1(u_2, v_2) - T_1(u_1, v_1)|| \le (L_2 \Delta_1 + L_1 \Delta_2)(||u_2 - u_1|| + ||v_2 - v_1||).$$
(55)

Similarly,

$$||T_2(u_2, v_2) - T_2(u_1, v_1)|| \le (L_2 \Delta_3 + L_1 \Delta_4)(||u_2 - u_1|| + ||v_2 - v_1||). \tag{56}$$

It follows from (101) and (110) that

$$||T(u_2, v_2) - T(u_1, v_1)|| \le (L_2(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3) + L_1(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4))(||u_2 - u_1|| + ||v_2 - v_1||).$$
 (57)

Since $L_2(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3) + L_1(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4) < 1$, thus T is a contraction operator. Hence it follows by Banach's contraction principle that the boundary value problem (1) has a unique solution on [0,1].

We construct an example to illustrate the applicability of the results presented. Example 2.1. Consider the following system fractional differential equation

$$\begin{cases} {}^{c}D^{3}u(t) = \frac{t}{8}\left((\cos(t))\sin\left(\frac{|u(t)| + |v(t)|}{2}\right)\right) + \frac{e^{-(u(t) + v(t))^{2}}}{1 + t^{2}}, & t \in [0, 1], \\ {}^{c}D^{3}v(t) = \frac{1}{32}\sin(2\pi u(t)) + \frac{|v(t)|}{16(1 + |v(t)|)} + \frac{1}{2}, & t \in [0, 1], \end{cases}$$
(58)

subject to the three-point coupled boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{1}{100}u(0) + \frac{1}{10}u(1) = u\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \\
u(0) = \int_{0}^{0.5} u(s)ds, \\
\frac{1}{100}^{c}D^{\frac{3}{2}}u(0) + \frac{1}{10}^{c}D^{\frac{3}{2}}u(1) = cD^{\frac{3}{2}}u\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),
\end{cases} (59)$$

where
$$f(t, u, v) = \frac{t}{8} \left((\cos(t)) \sin\left(\frac{|u| + |v|}{2}\right) \right) + \frac{e^{-(u+v)^2}}{1+t^2}, t \in [0, 1], \eta = 0, 5, \lambda = 0, 01, \gamma = 0, 1, p = 1, 5$$
 and $g(t, u, v) = \frac{1}{32\pi} \sin(2\pi u(t)) + \frac{|v(t)|}{16(1+|v(t)|)} + \frac{1}{2}.$

It can be easily found that $M = \frac{20}{3}$ and $Q = \frac{9}{400}$.

Furthermore, by simple computation, for every $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2$, we have

$$|f(t, u_1, u_2) - f(t, v_1, v_2)| \le L(|u_1 - v_1| + |u_2 - v_2|),$$
 (60)

and

$$|g(t, u_1, u_2) - g(t, v_1, v_2)| \le L(|u_1 - v_1| + |u_2 - v_2|),$$
 (61)

where $L_1=L_2=L=\frac{1}{16}$. It can be easily found that $\Delta_1=\Delta_3\cong 0,799562,\ \Delta_2=\Delta_4\cong 1,182808$.

Finally, since $L_1(\Delta_1 + \Delta_3) + L_2(\Delta_2 + \Delta_4) = 2L(\Delta_1 + \Delta_2) \cong 0,247796 < 1$, thus all assumptions and conditions of Theorem 1.2 are satisfied. Hence, Theorem implies that the three-point boundary value problem (58, 59) has a unique solution.

3. Existence result for second problem (2)

We provide another results about the existence of solutions for the problem (2) by using the assumption.

We shall consider the Banach space B = C([0,1]) equipped with usual supermum norm and $B^+ = C^+([0,1])$. In arriving our results, we present some notation and preliminary lemmas. The first is well known.

Lemma 3.1. Let $y(t) \in C[0, 1]$. If $u \in C^{4}[0, 1]$, then the BVP

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) = y(t), & 0 \le t \le 1, \\ u(0) = u'(0) = u''(1) = u'''(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
 (62)

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)y(s)ds,$$
(63)

where

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}t^2(3s-t), & 0 \le t \le s \le 1, \\ \frac{1}{6}s^2(3t-s), & 0 \le s \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$
(64)

Lemma 3.2. *For any* $(t,s) \in [0,1] \times [0,1]$, *we have*

$$0 \le G(t,s) \le G(1,s) = \frac{1}{6}s^2(3-s) = \psi(s). \tag{65}$$

Proof. The derivatives of the function *G* with respect to *t* is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}G(t,s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}s^2 - \frac{1}{2}(s-t)^2, & 0 \le t \le s \le 1\\ \frac{1}{2}s^2, & 0 \le s \le t \le 1. \end{cases}$$
(66)

Since the derivative of the function G with respect to t is nonnegative for all $t \in [0, 1]$, G is nondecreasing function of t that attaints its maximum when t = 1. Then

$$\max_{0 \le t \le 1} G(t, s) = G(1, s) = \frac{1}{2}s^2 - \frac{1}{6}s^3.$$
 (67)

Lemma 3.3. Let $0 < \theta < 1$. Then for $y(t) \in C^+[0,1]$, the unique solution u(t) of BVP (14) is nonnegative and satisfies

$$\min_{t \in [\theta, 1]} u(t) \ge \frac{2\theta^3}{3} ||u||.$$
(68)

Proof. Let $y(t) \in C^+[0,1]$, then from $G(t,s) \ge 0$ we know $u \in C^+[0,1]$. Set $u(t_0) = ||u||, t_0 \in (0,1]$. We first prove that

A Study for Coupled Systems of Nonlinear Boundary Value Problem DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.105428

$$\frac{G(t,s)}{G(t_0,s)} \ge \frac{2}{3}t^3, \quad t, t_0, s \in (0,1].$$
(69)

In fact, we can consider four cases:

1. if $0 < t, t_0 \le s \le 1$, then

$$\frac{G(t,s)}{G(t_0,s)} = \frac{t^2(3s-t)}{t_0^2(3s-t_0)} \ge \frac{t^2(2s)}{3s-t_0} \ge \frac{t^2(2s)}{3} \ge \frac{t^2(2t)}{3} = \frac{2t^3}{3},\tag{70}$$

2. if $0 < t \le s \le t_0 \le 1$, then

$$\frac{G(t,s)}{G(t_0,s)} = \frac{t^2(3s-t)}{t_0^2(3s-t_0)} \ge \frac{t^2(2s)}{3s-t_0} \ge \frac{t^2(2s)}{3} \ge \frac{t^2(2t)}{3} = \frac{2t^3}{3},\tag{71}$$

3. if $0 < s \le t, t_0 \le 1$, then

$$\frac{G(t,s)}{G(t_0,s)} = \frac{s^2(3t-s)}{s^2(3t_0-s)} = \frac{3t-s}{3t_0-s} \ge \frac{3t-s}{3t_0} \ge \frac{3t-s}{3} \ge \frac{2t+t-s}{3} \ge \frac{2t}{3} \ge \frac{2t^3}{3}, \tag{72}$$

4. if $0 < t_0 \le s \le t \le 1$, then

$$\frac{G(t,s)}{G(t_0,s)} = \frac{s^2(3t-s)}{t_0^2(3s-t_0)} \ge \frac{t_0^2(3t-s)}{t_0^2(3t-t_0)} \ge \frac{3t-s}{3t} \ge \frac{3t-t}{3t} \ge \frac{2t}{3} \ge \frac{2t^3}{3},\tag{73}$$

Therefore, for $t \in [\theta, 1]$, we have

$$u(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)y(s)ds = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{G(t,s)}{G(t_{0},s)}G(t_{0},s)y(s)ds \ge \frac{2t^{3}}{3}u(t_{0}) \ge \frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}||u||.$$
 (74)

The proof is complete.

If we let

$$K = \left\{ x \in B : \ x(t) \ge 0 \ on \ [0,1], \ and \ \min_{t \in [\theta,1]} x(t) \ge \frac{2\theta^3}{3} ||x|| \right\}$$
 (75)

then it is easy to see that K a cone in B. We not that a pair (u(t), v(t)) is a solution of BVPs (2) if, and only if

$$u(t) = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)a(s)f\left(\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(s,r)b(r)g(u(r))dr\right)ds, \quad t \in [0,1],$$
 (76)

and

$$v(t) = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s)b(s)g(u(s))ds, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$
 (77)

Now, we define an integral operator $T: K \rightarrow B$ by

$$(Tu)(t) = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)a(s)f\left(\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(s,r)b(r)g(u(r))dr\right)ds, \quad u \in K.$$
 (78)

We adopt the following assumptions:

 (H_1) $a, b \in C((0, 1), [0, \infty))$ and each does not vanish identically on any subinterval.

 (H_2) $f,g \in C([0,\infty),[0,\infty))$ and each to be singular at t=0 or t=1.

$$(H_3) \text{ All of } f_0 = \lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{f(x)}{x}, \ g_0 = \lim_{x \to 0^+} \frac{g(x)}{x}, \ f_\infty = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{f(x)}{x}, \ \text{ and } \ g_\infty = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{g(x)}{x} \text{ exist}$$

as real numbers.

 $(H_4) g(0) = 0$ and f is increasing function.

Lemma 3.4 Let λ be positive number and K be the cone defined above.

- i. If $u \in B^+$ and $v : [0,1] \to [0,\infty)$ is defined by (77), then $v \in K$.
- ii. If *T* is the integral operator defined by (78), then $T(K) \subset K$.
- iii. Assume that (H_1) , (H_2) hold. Then $T: K \to B$ is completely continuous.

Proof. Let $u \in B^+$ and v be defined by (77).

i. By the nonnegativity of G, b and g it follows that $v(t) \ge 0$, $t \in [0, 1]$. In view of (H_1) , (H_2) , we have

$$\int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)b(s)g(u(s))ds \ge \int_{0}^{1} \min_{t \in [\theta,1]} G(t,s)b(s)g(u(s))ds,$$
(79)

from which, we take

$$\min_{t \in [\theta, 1]} \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) b(s) g(u(s)) ds \ge \int_{0}^{1} \min_{t \in [\theta, 1]} G(t, s) b(s) g(u(s)) ds.$$
 (80)

Consequently, employing (68) and for $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)b(s)g(u(s))ds \geq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \min_{t \in [\theta,1]} G(t,s)b(s)g(u(s))ds$$

$$\geq \frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t_{0},s)b(s)g(u(s))ds$$

$$\geq \frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}v(t_{0}), t_{0} \in (0,1]$$

$$\geq \frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\|v\|.$$
(81)

Therefore

$$\min_{t \in [\theta, 1]} v(t) \ge \frac{2\theta^3}{3} ||v||. \tag{82}$$

Which give that $v \in K$.

ii. Obviously, for $v \in K$, $T(u) \in C^{+}[0,1]$. For $t \in [0,1]$, we have

$$||Tu(t)|| = \max_{0 \le t \le 1} \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) a(s) f(v(s)) ds$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(1, s) a(s) f(v(s)) ds,$$
(83)

and

$$Tu(t) = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)a(s)f(v(s))ds$$

$$= \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \frac{G(t,s)}{G(1,s)}G(1,s)a(s)f(v(s))ds$$

$$\geq \frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(1,s)a(s)f(v(s))ds$$

$$\geq \frac{2}{3}\theta^{3}||Tu(t)||.$$
(84)

Which give that $Tu \in K$. Therefore $T: K \to K$.

iii. By using standard arguments it is not difficult to show that the operator $T: K \to B$ is completely continuous.

The key tool in our approach is the following Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem of cone expansion-compression type.

Theorem 1.3 (See [47]) Let *B* be a Banach space and $K \subset B$ be a cone in *B*. Assume Ω_1 and Ω_2 are open subset of *B* with $0 \in \Omega_1$ and $\overline{\Omega_1} \subset \Omega_2$,

 $T: K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \backslash \Omega_1) \to K$ be a completely continuous operator such that.

- i. $||Tu|| \le ||u||$, $\forall u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_1$ and $||Tu|| \ge ||u||$, $\forall u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$; or.
- ii. $||Tu|| \ge ||u||$, $\forall u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_1$ and $||Tu|| \le ||u||$, $\forall u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$.

Then, T has a fixed point in $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$. Throughout this section, we shall use the following notations:

$$L_{1} = \max \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{2\theta^{3}}{3} \right)^{2} \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r) a(r) f_{\infty} dr \right]^{-1}, \left[\left(\frac{2\theta^{3}}{3} \right)^{2} \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r) a(r) g_{\infty} dr \right]^{-1} \right\}$$
(85)

and

$$L_{2} = \min \left\{ \left[\int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)a(r)f_{0}dr \right]^{-1}, \left[\int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)g_{0}dr \right]^{-1} \right\}.$$

$$L_{3} = \max \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{2\theta^{3}}{3} \right)^{2} \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r)a(r)f_{0}dr \right]^{-1}, \left[\left(\frac{2\theta^{3}}{3} \right)^{2} \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r)a(r)g_{0}dr \right]^{-1} \right\}$$
(86)

and

$$L_{4} = \min \left\{ \left[\int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r)a(r)f_{\infty}dr \right]^{-1}, \left[\int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)g_{\infty}dr \right]^{-1} \right\}.$$
 (88)

4. Existence results

In this section, we discuss the existence of at least one positive solution for BVPs (2). We obtain the following existence results, by applying the positivity of Green's function G(t,s) and the fixed-point of cone expansion-compression type.

Theorem 1.4 Assume conditions (H_1) , (H_2) and (H_3) are satisfied. Then, for each λ satisfying $L_1 < \lambda < L_2$ there exists a pair (u, v) satisfying BVPs (2) such that u(t) > 0 and v(t) > 0 on (0, 1).

Proof. Let $L_1 < \lambda < L_2$. And let $\varepsilon > 0$ be chosen such that

$$\max \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{2\theta^{3}}{3} \right)^{2} \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r) a(r) \left(f_{\infty} - \varepsilon \right) dr \right]^{-1}, \left[\left(\frac{2\theta^{3}}{3} \right)^{2} \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r) a(r) \left(g_{\infty} - \varepsilon \right) dr \right]^{-1} \right\} \leq \lambda, \tag{89}$$

and

$$\lambda \le \min \left\{ \left[\int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r)a(r) (f_0 + \varepsilon) dr \right]^{-1}, \left[\int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r)b(r) (g_0 + \varepsilon) dr \right]^{-1} \right\}. \tag{90}$$

From the definitions of f_0 and g_0 there exists an $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$f(u) \le (f_0 + \varepsilon)u, \quad 0 < u \le R_1, \tag{91}$$

and

$$g(u) \le (g_0 + \varepsilon)u, \quad 0 < u \le R_1,$$
 (92)

Let $u \in K$ with $||u|| = R_1$. From (65) and choice of ε , we have

$$\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)b(r)g(u(r)) \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)g(u(r))dr$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)(g_{0} + \varepsilon)u(r)dr$$

$$\leq \|u\|\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)dr(g_{0} + \varepsilon)dr$$

$$\leq R_{1} = \|u\|.$$
(93)

Consequently, from (65) and choice of ε , we have

$$Tu(t) = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)a(s)f\left(\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(s,r)b(r)g(u(r))dr\right)ds$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(s)a(s)f\left(\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(s,r)b(r)g(u(r))dr\right)ds$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(s)a(s)\left(f_{0} + \epsilon\right)\left[\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(s,r)b(r)g(u(r))dr\right]ds$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(s)a(s)\left(f_{0} + \epsilon\right)R_{1}ds$$

$$\leq R_{1} = \|u\|.$$

$$(94)$$

So,
$$||Tu|| \le ||u||$$
. If we set $\Omega_1 = \{u \in B : ||u|| < R_1\}$, then

$$||Tu|| \le ||u||, \text{ for } u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$$
 (95)

Considering the definitions of f_{∞} and g_{∞} there exists an $\overline{R}_2 > 0$ such that

$$f(u) \ge (f_{\infty} - \varepsilon)u, \quad 0 < u \le \overline{R}_2,$$
 (96)

and

$$g(u) \ge (g_{\infty} - \varepsilon)u, 0 < u \le \overline{R}_2.$$
 (97)

Let $u \in K$ and $R_2 = \max \left\{ 2R_1, \frac{3\overline{R}_2}{2\theta^3} \right\}$ with $||u|| = R_2$, then

$$\min_{s \in [\theta, 1]} u(s) \ge \frac{2}{3} \theta^3 ||u|| \ge \overline{R}_2$$
(98)

Thus, from (68) and choice of ε , we have

$$\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)b(r)g(u(r)) \ge \frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(1,r)b(r)g(u(r))dr$$

$$\ge \frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)(g_{\infty} - \varepsilon)u(r)dr$$

$$\ge \|u\| \left(\frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\right)^{2}\lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)dr(g_{\infty} - \varepsilon)dr$$

$$\ge R_{2} = \|u\|.$$

$$(99)$$

Consequently, from (77) and choice of ε , we have

$$Tu(t) \geq \frac{2\theta}{3} \lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(s)a(s)f\left(\lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} G(s,r)b(r)g(u(r))dr\right)ds$$

$$\geq \frac{2\theta^{3}}{3} \lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(s)a(s)\left(f_{\infty} - \varepsilon\right)\left[\lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} G(s,r)b(r)g(u(r))dr\right]ds$$

$$\geq \frac{2\theta^{3}}{3} \lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(s)a(s)\left(f_{\infty} - \varepsilon\right)H_{2}ds$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\right)^{2} \lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(s)a(s)\left(f_{\infty} - \varepsilon\right)H_{2}ds$$

$$\geq R_{2} = \|u\|.$$

$$(100)$$

So, $||Tu|| \ge ||u||$. If we set $\Omega_2 = \{u \in B : ||u|| < R_2\}$, then

$$||Tu|| \ge ||u||, \text{ for } u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2.$$
 (101)

Applying (i) of Theorem 3.1 to (95) and (101), yields that T has a fixed point $u^* \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2/\Omega_1)$. As such and with v defined by

$$v(t) = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)b(s)g(u(s))ds,$$
(102)

the pair (u,v) is a desired solution of BVPs (2) for the given λ . The proof is complete. Theorem 1.5 Assume conditions (H_1) , (H_2) , (H_3) and (H_4) are satisfied. Then, for each λ satisfying $L_3 < \lambda < L_4$ there exists a pair (u,v) satisfying BVPs (2) such that u(t) > 0 and v(t) > 0 on (0,1).

Proof. Let $L_3 < \lambda < L_4$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be chosen such that

$$\max\left\{\left[\left(\frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\right)^{2}\int_{\theta}^{1}\psi(r)a(r)\left(f_{0}-\varepsilon\right)dr\right]^{-1},\left[\left(\frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\right)^{2}\int_{\theta}^{1}\psi(r)a(r)\left(g_{0}-\varepsilon\right)dr\right]^{-1}\right\}\leq\lambda,\quad(103)$$

and

$$\lambda \leq \min \left\{ \left[\int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)a(r) (f_{\infty} + \varepsilon) dr \right]^{-1}, \left[\int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)b(r) (g_{\infty} + \varepsilon) dr \right]^{-1} \right\}. \tag{104}$$

From the definitions of f_0 and g_0 there exists an $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$f(u) \ge (f_0 - \varepsilon)u, \quad 0 < u \le R_1,$$
 (105)

and

$$g(u) \ge (g_0 - \varepsilon)u, \quad 0 < u \le R_1, \tag{106}$$

Now g(0) = 0 and so there exists $0 < R_2 \le R_1$ such that

$$\lambda g(u) \le \frac{R_1}{\int\limits_0^1 \psi(r)b(r)dr}, \quad 0 \le u \le R_2. \tag{107}$$

Let $u \in K$ with $||u|| = R_2$. Then

$$\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)b(r)g(u(r)) \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)g(u(r))dr$$

$$\leq \frac{\int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)R_{1}dr}{\int_{0}^{1} \psi(s)b(s)ds}$$

$$\leq R_{1} = ||u||.$$
(108)

Therefore, by (68), we have

$$Tu(t) = \lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)a(s)f\left(\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(s,r)b(r)g(u(r))dr\right)ds$$

$$\geq \frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(s)a(s)f\left(\frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)g(u(r))dr\right)ds$$

$$\geq \frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(s)a(s)\left(f_{0} - \varepsilon\right)\left[\left(\frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\right)^{2}\lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)\left(g_{0} - \varepsilon\right)||u||dr\right]ds \qquad (109)$$

$$\geq \frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r)a(r)\left(f_{0} - \varepsilon\right)||u||$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{2\theta^{3}}{3}\right)^{2}\lambda \int_{\theta}^{1} \psi(r)a(r)\left(f_{0} - \varepsilon\right)||u||$$

$$\geq ||u||.$$

So, $||Tu|| \ge ||u||$. If we set $\Omega_1 = \{u \in B : ||u|| < R_2\}$, then

$$||Tu|| \ge ||u||, \quad u \in K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$$
 (110)

Considering the definitions of f_{∞} and g_{∞} there exists $\overline{R}_1 > 0$ such that

$$f(u) \le (f_{\infty} + \varepsilon)u, \quad u \ge \overline{R}_1,$$
 (111)

and

$$g(u) \le (g_{\infty} + \varepsilon)u, \quad u \ge \overline{R}_1.$$
 (112)

We consider two cases: *g* is bounded or *g* is unbounded.

Case (*i*). Suppose *g* is bounded, say $g(u) \le N$, N > 0 for all $0 < u < \infty$. Then, for $u \in K$

$$\lambda \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)b(r)g(u(r)) \le \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)g(u(r))dr$$

$$M = \max \left\{ f(u): 0 \le u \le N\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)dr \right\}$$
(113)

and let

$$R_3 > \max \left\{ 2R_2, \ M\lambda \int_0^1 \psi(s)a(s)ds \right\}. \tag{114}$$

Then, for $u \in K$ with $||u|| = R_3$, we have

$$Tu(t) \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(s)a(s)Mds$$

$$\leq R_{3} = ||u||.$$
(115)

So that $||Tu|| \le ||u||$. If we set $\Omega_2 = \{u \in B : ||u|| \le R_3\}$, then, for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$:

$$||Tu|| \le ||u||, \quad u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2 \tag{116}$$

Case(*ii*). *g* is unbounded, there exists $R_3 > max \{2R_2, \overline{R}_1\}$ such that $g(u) \le g(R_3)$, for $0 < u \le R_3$.

Similarly, there exists $R_4 > max \left\{ 2R_3, \ M\lambda \int_0^1 \psi(r)b(r)g(R_3)ds \right\}$ such that $f(u) \le f(R_4)$, for $0 < u \le R_4$.

Let $u \in K$ with $||u|| = R_4$, from (H_4) , we have

$$Tu(t) \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(s)a(s)f\left(\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)b(r)g(R_{3})dr\right)ds$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)a(r)f(R_{4})ds$$

$$\leq \lambda \int_{0}^{1} \psi(r)a(r)\left(f_{\infty} + \varepsilon\right)R_{4}ds$$

$$\leq R_{4} = \|u\|.$$

$$(117)$$

So, $||Tu|| \le ||u||$. If we set $\Omega_2 = \{x \in C[0, 1] | ||x|| \le R_4\}$, then

$$||Tu|| \le ||u||, \quad for \quad u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2.$$
 (118)

In either of cases, application of part (ii) of Theorem 3.1 yields a fixed point u^* of T belonging to $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2/\Omega_1)$, which in turn yields a pair (u,v) satisfying BVPs (2) for the chosen value of λ . The proof is complete.

We construct an example to illustrate the applicability of the results presented. Example 4.1. *Consider the two-point boundary value problem*

$$\begin{cases} u^{(4)}(t) = \lambda t v(t) \left(v(t) e^{-v(t)} + \frac{v(t) + K}{1 + \eta v(t)} \right), & 0 < t < 1, \\ v^{(4)}(t) = \lambda t u(t) \left(u(t) e^{-u(t)} + \frac{u(t) + K}{1 + \eta u(t)} \right), & 0 < t < 1, \end{cases}$$
(119)

and satisfying two-point boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(0) = 0, u'(0) = 0, u''(1) = 0, u'''(1) = 0, \\ v(0) = 0, v'(0) = 0, v''(1) = 0, v'''(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(120)

where
$$a(t) = b(t) = t$$
, $f(v) = v\left(ve^{-v} + \frac{v+K}{1+\eta v}\right)$, $g(u) = u\left(1 + \frac{u+K}{1+\eta u}\right)$.

By simple calculations, we find g(0) = 0, $f_{\infty} = g_{\infty} = \frac{1}{\eta}$, $f_{0} = g_{0} = K$.

Choosing $\theta = \frac{1}{3}$, $\eta = 100$, and $K = 10^4$, we obtain $L_3 \cong 1$, 1817237, $L_4 \cong 9$, 1666667.

By Theorem 4, it follows that for every λ such that 1, 1817237 $< \lambda < 9$, 1666667, there exists a pair (u, v) satisfying BVPs (25–2526).

5. Conclusions

This chapter concerns the boundary value problem of a class of fractional differential equations involving the Caputo fractional derivative with nonlocal

boundary conditions. By using the Leray-Shauder nonlinear alternative and Banach contraction principle, one shows that the problem has at least one positive solutions and has unique solution. Secondly, we derive explicit eigenvalue intervals of λ for the existence of at least one positive solution for the second problem by using Krasnosel'skii fixed point theorem. The results of the present chapter are significantly contribute to the existing literature on the topic.

Acknowledgements

The authors want to thank the anonymous referee for the thorough reading of the manuscript and several suggestions that help us improve the presentation of the chapter.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author details

Noureddine Bouteraa 1,2*† and Habib Djourdem 1,3*†

- 1 Laboratory of Fundamental and Applied Mathematics of Oran (LMFAO), University of Oran1, Ahmed Benbella, Algeria
- 2 Oran Graduate School of Economics, Oran, Algeria
- 3 University of Ahmed Zabbana, Relizane, Algeria
- *Address all correspondence to: bouteraa-27@hotmail.fr and djourdem.habib7@gmail.com
- † These authors contributed equally.

IntechOpen

© 2022 The Author(s). Licensee IntechOpen. This chapter is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. CC) BY

References

- [1] Agarwal RP, Baleanu D, Hedayati V, Rezapour SH. Two fractional derivative inclusion problems via integral boundary condition. Applied Mathematics and Computation. 2015;257:205-212
- [2] Kac V, Cheung P. Quantum Calculus. New-York: Springer; 2002
- [3] Lakshmikantham V, Vatsala AS. General uniqueness and monotone iterative technique for fractional differential equations. Applied Mathematics Letters. 2008;**21**(8): 828-834
- [4] Miller S, Ross B. An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations. New-York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.; 1993
- [5] Rudin W. Functional analysis. In: International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. 2nd ed. New York: Mc Graw-Hill; 1991
- [6] Samko SG, Kilbas AA, Marichev OI. Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Applications. Yverdon: Gordon & Breach; 1993
- [7] Jarad F, Abdeljaw T, Baleanu D. On the generalized fractional derivatiives and their Caputo modification. Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Applications. 2017;**10**(5):2607-2619
- [8] Tian Y. Positive solutions to m-point boundary value problem of fractional differential equation. Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series. 2013;**29**:661-672
- [9] EL-Sayed AMA, NESREEN FM, EL-Haddade. Existence of integrable solutions for a functional integral inclusion. Differential Equations & Control Processes. 2017;3:15-25

- [10] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S. Existence of solutions for nonlocal boundary value problem for Caputo nonlinear fractional differential inclusion. Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Modelling. 2018;1(1):45-55
- [11] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S. Existence results for fractional differential inclusion with nonlocal boundary conditions. Ri. Mat. Parma. 2020;**11**:181-206
- [12] Cernia A. Existence of solutions for a certain boundary value problem associated to a fourth order differential inclusion. International Journal of Analysis and Applications. 2017;14:27-33
- [13] Ntouyas SK, Etemad S, Tariboon J, Sutsutad W. Boundary value problems for Riemann-Liouville nonlinear fractional diffrential inclusions with nonlocal Hadamard fractional integral conditions. Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics. 2015;2015:16
- [14] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S. Triple positive solutions of higher-order nonlinear boundary value problems. Journal of Computer Science and Computational Mathematics. 2017;7(2):25-31
- [15] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S. Existence of solutions for three-point boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional equations, Analele Universitatii Oradia Fasc. Mathematica. 2017; **Tom XXIV**(2): 109-119
- [16] Benaicha S, Bouteraa N. Existence of solutions for three-point boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equations. Bulltin of the Transilvania University of Brasov, Serie III: Mathematics, Informtics, Physics. 2017;10(59):2
- [17] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S. Existence of solutions for third-order three-point

- boundary value problem. Mathematica. 2018;**60**(83):21-31
- [18] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S. The uniqueness of positive solution for higher-order nonlinear fractional differential equation with nonlocal boundary conditions. Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear and it Application. 2018;2(2):74-84
- [19] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S, Djourdem H. Positive solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equation with nonlocal boundary conditions. Universal Journal of Mathematics and Applications. 2018;**1**(1):39-45
- [20] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S. The uniqueness of positive solution for nonlinear fractional differential equation with nonlocal boundary conditions. Analele universitatii Oradia Fasc. Matematica. 2018;**Tom XXV**(2):53-65
- [21] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S, Djourdem H, Benatia N. Positive solutions of nonlinear fourth-order twopoint boundary value problem with a parameter. Romanian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science. 2018;8(1):17-30
- [22] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S. Positive periodic solutions for a class of fourth-order nonlinear differential equations. Siberian Journal of Numerical Mathematics. 2019;22(1):1-14
- [23] Bouteraa N. Existence of Solutions for Some Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems [thesis]. Ahmed Benbella, Algeria: University of Oran 1; 2018
- [24] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S, Djourdem H. ON the existence and multiplicity of positive radial solutions for nonlinear elliptic equation on bounded annular domains via fixed point index. Maltepe Journal of Mathematics. 2019;**I**(1):30-47

- [25] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S, Djourdem H. Positive solutions for systems of fourth-order two-point boundary value problems with parameter. Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Modeling. 2019;**2**(1):30-38
- [26] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S. Existence and multiplicity of positive radial solutions to the Dirichlet problem for the nonlinear elliptic equations on annular domains. Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai. 2020;65(1):109-125
- [27] Benaicha S, Bouteraa N, Djourdem H. Triple positive solutions for a class of boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions. Bulletin of Transilvania University of Brasov, Series III: Mathematics, Informatics, Physics. 2020;13(62):51-68
- [28] Bouteraa N, Djourdem H, Benaicha S. Existence of solution for a system of coupled fractional boundary value problem. Proceedings of International Mathematical Sciences. 2020;**II**(1):48-59
- [29] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S. Existence results for second-order nonlinear differential inclusion with nonlocal boundary conditions. Numerical Analysis and Applications. 2021;**14**(1): 30-39
- [30] Bouteraa N, In M, Akinlar MA, Almohsen B. Mild solutions of fractional PDE with noise. Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences. 2021:1-15. DOI: 10.1002/mma.7139
- [31] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S. A study of existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for nonlinear fractional differential equations with nonlocal boundary conditions. Universitatis Babeş-Bolyai. 2021;**66**(2):361-380

- [32] Djourdem H, Benaicha S. Existence of positive solutions for a nonlinear three-point boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions. Acta Mathematica Universitatis Comenianae. 2018;87(2):167-177
- [33] Djourdem H, Benaicha S, Bouteraa N. Existence and iteration of monotone positive solution for a fourthorder nonlinear boundary value problem. Fundamental Journal of Mathematics and Applications. 2018; 1(2):205-211
- [34] Djourdem H, Benaicha S, Bouteraa N. Two positive solutions for a fourth-order three-point BVP with signchanging Green's function. Communications in Advanced Mathematical Sciences. 2019;**II**(1):60-68
- [35] Djourdem H, Bouteraa N. Mild solution for a stochastic partial differential equation with noise. WSEAS Transactions on Systems. 2020;**19**:246-256
- [36] Ghorbanian R, Hedayati V, Postolache M, Rezapour SH. On a fractional differential inclusion via a new integral boundary condition. Journal of Inequalities and Applications. 2014; **2014**:319. 20 pages
- [37] Inc M, Bouteraa N, Akinlar MA, Chu YM, Weber GW, Almohsen B. New positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic PDEs. Applied Sciences. 2020;**10**:4863. DOI: 10.3390/app10144863. 17 pages
- [38] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S. Nonlinear boundary value problems for higher-order ordinary differential equation at resonance. Romanian Journal of Mathematic and Computer Science. 2018;8(2):83-91
- [39] Bouteraa N, Benaicha S. A class of third-order boundary value problem with integral condition at resonance.

- Maltepe Journal of Mathematics. 2020; II(2):43-54
- [40] Zhang X, Zhong Q. Multiple positive solutions for nonlocal boundary value problems of singular fractional differential equations. Boundary Value Problems. 2016;**2016**:65
- [41] Lin X, Zhao Z, Guan Y. Iterative Technology in a Singular Fractional Boundary Value Problem With q-Difference. Applications of Mathematics. 2016;7:91-97
- [42] Alsulami HH, Ntouyas SK, Ahmad B, Alsaedi A. A study of third-order single-valued and multi-valued problems with integral boundary conditions. Boundary Value Problems. 2015:20
- [43] Rezapour SH, Hedayati V. On a Caputo fractional differential inclusion with integral boundary condition for convex-compact and nonconvex-compact valued multifunctions.

 Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics.
 2017;41:143-158
- [44] Kilbas AA, Srivastava HM, Trijull JJ. Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science B. V; 2006
- [45] Annaby MH, Mansour ZS. q-Fractional calculus and equations. In: Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Vol. 2056. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 2012
- [46] Agrawal O. Some generalized fractional calculus operators and their applications in integral equations. Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis. 2012;**15**:700-711
- [47] Krasnosel'skii MA. Positive Solutions of Operator Equations. Groningen: Noordhoff; 1964