



## On $S_w^*$ - Regular Spaces

Laila S. Abdullah<sup>1</sup>

1 College of Computer and Information Technology, University of Garmian. Kurdistan Region, Iraq  
\*Corresponding author email: laila.saadallah@garmian.edu.krd

### $S_w^*$ - حول الفضاءات المنتظمة من النمط

ليلى سعدالله عبدالله

كلية الحاسوب وتكنولوجيا المعلومات، جامعة كرميان

laila.saadallah@garmian.edu.krd، اقليم كردستان، العراق

Received:

15 /2/2022

Accepted:

23 /5/2022

Published:

30/6/2022

#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to present and investigate a new class of topological spaces known as  $S_w^*$ -regular spaces, by utilizing the concept of  $S_w$ -regular sets and some of its properties. which is introduced in 2009 by L. S. Abdullhah and A. B. Khalaf [1], the new class is properly contained in  $S^*$ -regular space [2], [3], means that  $S_w^*$ -regular spaces is a stronger form to the space  $S^*$ -regular. Several characterizations, properties and relationships of  $S_w^*$ -regular space with other spaces such as,  $S_w$ -compact, extremally disconnected, regular, semi-regular,  $S_w$ - $T_2$  and Urysohn spaces has been studied. Furthermore, several properties of  $S_w^*$ -regular spaces with some functions such as, continuous, strongly continuous, open, clopen and Somewhat open functions are also explored. In addition we investigate that  $S_w^*$ -regular space has a topological property, while it has not a hereditary property, only by adding certain conditions such as, a subspace is open or, if the subspace of an  $S_w^*$ -regular submaximal space is preopen, then it becomes an  $S_w^*$ -regular.

#### Key words:

$S_w$ -regular set,  $S_w$ -open set,  $S^*$ -regular space, Semi-regular space and Somewhat open function.

#### الخلاصة

الهدف من هذا البحث هو تقديم ودراسة فئة جديدة من الفضاءات التوبولوجية والتي اسميناها بالفضاءات المنتظمة من النمط  $S_w^*$ - باستخدام مفهوم المجموعة المنتظمة -  $S_w$  وبعض خصائصها والتي قدمت من قبل L. S. Abdullhah, A. B. Khalaf [1] في عام 2009، حيث ان هذا الفضاء هو فضاء جزئي من الفضاء المنتظم  $S^*$  [2], [3]. اي ان الفضاء المنتظم  $S_w^*$  - تكون اقوى من الفضاء المنتظم  $S^*$ . تمت دراسة العديد من خصائص هذا الفضاء وعلاقة الفضاء المنتظم  $S_w^*$  مع الفضاءات الاخرى كالفضاءات المتراسة -  $S_w$ ، غير متصل للغاية، المنتظمة، شبه المنتظمة،  $S_w$ - $T_2$  و يوريسون. علاوة على ذلك تم دراسة العديد من الصفات للفضاء المنتظم  $S_w^*$  مع بعض الدوال كالدوال المستمرة، المستمرة بقوة، الدوال المفتوحة، الدوال المفتوحة المغلقة والدوال المفتوحة إلى حد ما. بالإضافة إلى ذلك، قمنا بالتحقق من ان الفضاء المنتظم  $S_w^*$  لها خاصية توبولوجية، في حين أنها لا تمتلك الخاصية الوراثية، الا بإضافة شروط معينة كأن يكون الفضاء الجزئي مفتوح أو إذا كان الفضاء الجزئي من الفضاء المنتظم  $S_w^*$  دون الحد الأقصى مفتوح قبلا وعندها يصبح الفضاء الجزئي فضاء منتظم من النمط  $S_w^*$ .

**الكلمات المفتاحية:** المجموعة المنتظمة -  $S_w$ ، المجموعة المفتوحة -  $S_w$ ، الفضاء المنتظم  $S^*$ ، الفضاء شبه المنتظم والدالة المفتوحة

الى حد ما..





Definition 2.15 [14]: “A function  $f$  from a space  $X$  into a space  $Y$  is said to be somewhat open function provided that for  $E \in \tau$  and  $E \neq \emptyset$ , there exists a set  $F$  which is open in  $Y$  such that  $F \neq \emptyset$  and  $F \subset f(E)$ ”.

Theorem 2.16 [15]: A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is somewhat open if and only if for each  $G \subset X$  and  $\text{Int}(G) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\text{Int}(f(G)) \neq \emptyset$ .

Theorem 2.17[16]: A function  $f$  from a space  $X$  into a space  $Y$  is closed iff ;  $\forall$  subset  $F$  of  $X$  ,  $\text{Cl}(f(F)) \subset f(\text{Cl}(F))$ .

Definition 2.18 [17]: Let  $f$  be a function from a space  $X$  into a space  $Y$ , if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is clopen in  $X$  for each subset  $U$  in  $Y$ ,  $f$  is said to be strongly continuous.

Theorem 2.19 [1]:  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is Sw-irresolute, if it is a surjective continuous function.

Theorem 2.20 [1]: The following statements are equivalent for a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,

$f$  is Sw-irresolute.

There is an inverse Sw-open set in  $X$ ; for every Sw-open set in  $Y$ .

There is an inverse Sw-closed set in  $X$  for every Sw-closed set in  $Y$ .

### Sw\*- Regular Spaces

Definition 3.1: A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called Sw\*- regular, if for every Sw-regular set  $E$  and each  $a \notin E$  in  $X$ , there exist open sets  $L$  and  $K$  in  $X$  that are disjoint; such that;  $a \in L$  and  $E \subset K$ .

It is important to note that all discrete and indiscrete spaces are Sw\*- regular spaces. It is also clear from the preceding definition that the class of Sw\*- regular spaces is contained in the class of S\*-regular spaces., mean that every Sw\*- regular is S\*- regular , however, as shown in the following example. In general, the reverse is not true.

Ex. 3.2: Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with a topology  $\tau_X = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$  on  $X$ . Then  $(X, \tau_X)$  is an S\*- regular space but not Sw\*- regular.

The following theorem is a characterization of an Sw\*- regular spaces:

Theorem 3.3: The following propositions are equivalent for a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ :

- $(X, \tau)$  is an Sw\*- regular space.
- For each  $a \in X$  and each Sw-regular set  $V$  containing  $a$ ,  $\exists$  an open set  $U$ , s.t.  
 $a \in U \subset \text{Cl}(U) \subset V$ .
- The intersection of all closed neighborhoods of a Sw-regular set  $E$  is  $E$  itself.
- For each non-empty set  $E$ ; and each Sw-regular set  $F$  of  $X$ ; s.t.  $E \cap F \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\exists$  an open subset  $U$  of  $X$  s.t.  $E \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{Cl}(U) \subset F$ .
- For any non-empty subset  $E$  and Sw –regular set  $F$  of  $X$ , s.t.  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , there are open sets  $C$  and  $D$  of  $X$ ; that are disjoint s.t.  $E \cap C \neq \emptyset$  and  $F \subset D$ .



Proof: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)

Let  $V$  be an  $Sw$ -regular set in  $X$  containing  $a$ , therefore  $a \notin X \setminus V$  and  $X \setminus V$

is also an  $Sw$ -regular set, then by  $Sw^*$ -regularity of  $X$ ,  $\exists$  two disjoint open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  of  $X$  s.t.  $a \in U_1$  and  $X \setminus V \subseteq U_2$ . Since  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are disjoint, then  $U_1 \subseteq X \setminus U_2$  and since  $X \setminus U_2$  is closed, then  $Cl(U_1) \subseteq X \setminus U_2 \subseteq V$ . Thus  $a \in U_1 \subseteq Cl(U_1) \subseteq V$ . This gives (2).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)

Let  $E$  be  $Sw$ -regular set in  $X$ , then  $X \setminus E$  be also  $Sw$ -regular. By the hypothesis, for all  $a \in X \setminus E$ , there is a set which is open  $U_a$  in  $X$  such that  $a \in U_a \subseteq Cl(U_a) \subseteq X \setminus E$ . Then  $\bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} \{a\} \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} U_a \subseteq X \setminus E$ , and so  $X \setminus E \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} U_a$ . That is,

$X \setminus E = \bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} U_a$ . Therefore;  $E = \bigcap_{a \in (X \setminus E)} (X \setminus U_a)$ , where  $X \setminus U_a$  is a closed neighborhood of  $E$ . This completes the proof.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)

Let  $E$  and  $F$  be two non-empty disjoint subsets of  $X$ ; such that  $F$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set. Then, there exists  $a \in E \cap F$ . Thus  $a \notin X \setminus F$  and  $X \setminus F$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set, so by the hypothesis there exists a closed neighborhood  $H$  such that  $a \notin H$  and  $X \setminus F \subseteq H$ , then  $X \setminus F \subseteq G \subseteq H$ ,  $G$  is open. Let  $X \setminus H = U$ , then  $a \in U$  where  $U$  is open. Hence  $E \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , and since  $G$  is open, then  $X \setminus G$  is closed. This implies that  $X \setminus H \subseteq X \setminus G \subseteq F$ , and so  $U \subseteq Cl(U) \subseteq Cl(X \setminus G) = X \setminus G \subseteq F$ . That is  $U \subseteq Cl(U) \subseteq F$ , thus  $Cl(U) \subseteq F$ . This proves (4).

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4)

Let  $E$  be non-empty subset of  $X$ ; and  $F$  be an  $Sw$ -regular set of  $X$ ; s.t.  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , therefore;  $X \setminus F$  is also an  $Sw$ -regular set in  $X$  and  $E \cap X \setminus F \neq \emptyset$ . Using (4)  $\exists$  an open subset  $C$  of  $X$ ; s.t.  $E \cap C \neq \emptyset$  and  $Cl(C) \subseteq X \setminus F$  and then  $F \subseteq X \setminus Cl(C) \subseteq X \setminus C$ . Put  $D = X \setminus Cl(C) \subseteq X \setminus C$ . Thus  $D$  is an open set, s.t.  $F \subseteq D$ . As a result  $C, D$  are open sets with  $E \cap C \neq \emptyset, F \subseteq D$  and  $D \cap C = \emptyset$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (5)

Let  $a \notin E$ , where  $E$  is  $Sw$ -regular set of  $X$  and let  $K = \{a\} \neq \emptyset$ . Then by using (5) there exist two open sets  $C$  and  $D$  of  $X$ , such that  $K \cap C \neq \emptyset, C \cap D = \emptyset$  and  $E \subseteq D$ . Therefore  $a \in C, E \subseteq D$  and  $C \cap D = \emptyset$ . That is,  $X$  is an  $Sw^*$ -regular space.

**Theorem 3.4:** Disjoint open sets  $Sw^*$ -regular space  $X$  can separate each disjoint pair consisting of a compact set  $E$  and an  $Sw$ -regular set  $F$ .

**Proof:** Since  $X$  is an  $Sw^*$ -regular space with  $E \cap F = \emptyset$  in  $X$ , then for every  $x \in E, x \notin F$ , where  $F$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set. Therefore;  $\exists$  disjoint open sets  $L_x$  and  $K_x$  of  $X$ ; s.t.  $x \in L_x$  and  $F \subseteq K_x$ . Obviously, the compact set  $E$  is covered by  $\{L_x: x \in E\}$ . Thus  $\exists$  a finite subfamily  $\{L_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  which covers  $E$ . As a result,  $E \subseteq \bigcup \{L_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $F \subseteq \bigcap \{K_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Put  $L = \bigcup \{L_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $K = \bigcap \{K_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Since  $L \cap K = \emptyset$ , then  $L \subseteq X \setminus K$  and so  $Cl(L) \subseteq Cl(X \setminus K) = X \setminus K$ . That is  $Cl(L) \cap K = \emptyset$ , by the same way  $L \cap Cl(K) = \emptyset$ , so  $L$  and  $K$  are separated. Then the needed disjoint open sets are  $L$  and  $K$ .

**Corollary 3.5:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $Sw^*$ -regular space. If  $E$  is a compact subset of  $X$ , and  $F$  is a  $Sw$ -regular set that contains  $E$ , then  $\exists$  an  $Sw$ -regular set  $K$ , s.t.  $E \subseteq K \subseteq Sw\text{-}Cl(K) \subseteq F$ .



Proof: Since  $F$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set, so  $X \setminus F$  is also  $Sw$ -regular; and  $E \cap X \setminus F = \emptyset$  in  $X$ , where  $E$  is compact, then by Theorem 3.4;  $\exists$  disjoint open sets  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  of  $X$ ; s.t.  $E \subset L_1$  and  $X \setminus F \subset L_2$ . But  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ , so  $L_1 \subset X \setminus L_2$  and since  $L_1$  is open, so it  $Sw$ -open and then by Lemma 2.7  $X \setminus L_2$  is also an  $Sw$ -open set, furthermore,  $X \setminus L_2$  is a closed set and consequently it is an  $Sw$ -closed set, and so  $X \setminus L_2 = Sw-CI(X \setminus L_2)$ . That  $X \setminus L_2$  is  $Sw$ -regular set. Put  $K = X \setminus L_2$ , then  $E \subset L_1 \subset X \setminus L_2 \subset F$ . Means that  $E \subset K \subset Sw-CI(K) = Sw-CI(X \setminus L_2) = X \setminus L_2 \subset F$ . Thus  $E \subset K \subset Sw-CI(K) \subset F$ . This is the end of the proof.

Corollary 3.6: Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $Sw^*$ - regular space and let  $E, F$  be two disjoint subsets of  $X$ , with  $E$  being compact and  $F$  being a  $Sw$ -regular set. Then there exists  $Sw$ -regular sets  $L$  and  $K$  s.t.  $E \subset L, F \subset K$  and  $L \cap K = \emptyset$ .

Proof: By Theorem 3.4;  $\exists$  disjoint open sets  $L$  and  $K$  of  $X$  s.t.  $E \subset L$  and  $F \subset K$ . But  $L \cap K = \emptyset$ , so  $L \subset X \setminus K$  and since  $L$  is open, so it is  $Sw$ -open. Furthermore;  $X \setminus K$  is closed, then it is  $Sw$ -closed. That is,  $CI(X \setminus K) = X$  and since  $L \subset X \setminus K$ , then  $CI(L) = X$ . That is,  $L$  also is an  $Sw$ -closed set. Thus  $L$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set. By the same way  $K$  is also  $Sw$ -regular. Thus  $L$  and  $K$  are the required  $Sw$ -regular sets.

In the following theorem we show that  $Sw^*$ - regular space has not a hereditary property.

Theorem 3.7: If a space  $X$  is an  $Sw^*$ - regular and  $E$  be an open subspace of  $X$ , then  $E$  is  $Sw$   $Sw^*$ - regular space.

Proof: Suppose that  $E$  is an open subspace of the  $Sw^*$ - regular space  $X$ . To demonstrate  $E$ 's  $Sw^*$ - regularity, suppose that  $G$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set in  $E$  and let  $a \notin G$ ; s.t.  $a \in E$ . Since  $G \subset E$ ,  $G$  is  $Sw$ -open in  $E$  and  $E$  is open in  $X$ , then by Proposition 2.5,  $G$  is an  $Sw$ -open set in  $X$ , also since  $G \subset E \subset X$  and  $G$  is  $Sw$ -closed, then from Lemma 2.6  $G$  is  $Sw$ -closed set in  $X$ , such that  $a \notin G$ , so by  $Sw^*$ - regularity of  $X$ ,  $\exists$  two disjoint open sets  $L_a$  and  $K_a$  of  $X$  s.t.  $a \in L_a$  and  $G \subset K_a$ . Let  $L = L_a \cap E$  and  $K = K_a \cap E$ , clearly  $a \in L$  and  $G \subset K$ ; where  $L$  and  $K$  are open sets that are disjoint in  $E$ . Therefore  $E$  is an  $Sw^*$ - regular space.

The following example shows that the condition of openness on  $A$  in Theorem 3.7 is necessarily.

Ex. 3.8: Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ; with  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . So the space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $Sw^*$ -regular, while  $A = \{b, c, d\}$  is not  $Sw^*$ - regular though  $A$  is closed. It follows that  $Sw^*$ - regularity is not a hereditary property.

Recall that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be submaximal [5], if every preopen is open.

Corollary 3.9: Let  $X$  be an  $Sw^*$ - regular submaximal space, then every preopen subspace of  $X$  is  $Sw^*$ -regular.

Proof: Suppose that  $A$  is a preopen subspace of  $X$ , since  $X$  is Submaximal, then  $A$  is open and by Theorem 3.7  $A$  is  $Sw^*$ - regular.

Theorem 3.10: Every Hausdorff  $Sw$ -compact space is  $Sw^*$ - regular.

Proof: Let  $E$  be any  $Sw$ -regular set containing a point say  $b$  in  $X$ , so  $X \setminus E$  is also  $Sw$ -regular set s.t.  $b \notin X \setminus E$ . But  $X$  is a  $T_2$  space, therefore; for every  $a \in X \setminus E$ ,  $\exists$  open sets  $L_a$  and  $K_a$  s.t.  $a \in L_a, b \in K_a$  and  $L_a \cap K_a = \emptyset$ . Obviously  $\{L_a: a \in X \setminus E\}$  is a cover of  $X \setminus E$  by  $Sw$ -open sets of  $X$  and since  $E$  is  $Sw$ -regular, then  $N = \{L_a: a \in X \setminus E\} \cup E$  is an  $Sw$ -open cover of  $X$ , but  $X$  is  $Sw$ -compact space, then  $\exists$  a finite subfamily of  $N$  covers  $X$ . That is,  $X = \bigcup \{L_{a_i}: i=1, 2, \dots, m\} \cup E$ . Therefore  $X \setminus E \subset \bigcup \{L_{a_i}: i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Let  $L = \bigcup \{L_{a_i}: i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $K = \bigcap \{K_{a_i}: i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Then  $b \in K$  and  $X \setminus E \subset L$ , such that  $L$  and  $K$  are open sets in  $X$ . As a result, the space  $X$  is  $Sw^*$ - regular.





Proof : Assume that  $F$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set in  $X$ ,  $a \in X$  with  $a \notin F$ , and so there exists  $b \in Y$  s.t.  $f(a) = b$ . But  $f$  is an  $Sw$ -open function, so  $f(F)$  is  $Sw$ -open in  $Y$ , that is  $\text{Int}(f(F)) \neq \emptyset$ . Also  $F$  is  $Sw$ -closed, then  $\text{Cl}(F) \neq X$ , but  $f$  is closed, then by Theorem 2.17

$\text{Cl}(f(F)) \subset f(\text{Cl}(F))$ . Now  $\text{Cl}(F) \neq X$ , this implies that  $f(\text{Cl}(F)) \neq f(X) = Y$  and so  $\text{Cl}(f(F)) \subset f(\text{Cl}(F)) \neq Y$ . That is  $\text{Cl}(f(F)) \neq Y$ , so  $f(F)$  is  $Sw$ -closed in  $Y$ . So  $f(F)$  is  $Sw$ -regular set in  $Y$  with  $b \notin f(F)$ , then by  $Sw^*$ -regularity of  $Y$ ;  $\exists$  two disjoint open sets  $L$  and  $M$  of  $Y$  s.t.  $b \in L$  and  $f(F) \subset M$ . Then by the continuity of  $f$  [19]  $f^{-1}(L)$  and  $f^{-1}(M)$  are open in  $X$ , such that  $a = f^{-1}(b) \in f^{-1}(L)$ ,  $F \subset f^{-1}(M)$  and  $f^{-1}(L) \cap f^{-1}(M) = \emptyset$ , consequently;  $X$  is an  $Sw^*$ -regular space.

Recall that a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be clopen [2] if it is both open and closed.

Corollary 3.17: If  $f$  is a bijective continuous and clopen function from a space  $X$  into an  $Sw^*$ -regular space  $Y$ , then  $X$  is also  $Sw^*$ -regular.

Proof : This is a direct result of Theorem 3.16 and the fact that each open function is  $Sw$ -open

Theorem 3.18: If  $X$   $Sw^*$ -regular and  $f$  is a strongly continuous and open function from a space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$ . Then  $Y$  is also  $Sw^*$ -regular.

Proof: Let  $K$  be an  $Sw$ -regular set of  $Y$ , and  $b \in Y$  s.t.  $b \notin K$ , but  $f$  is a surjective function, so  $\exists a \in X$  s.t.  $f(a) = b$ . In addition to  $f$  is strongly continuous function and  $K$  is a subset of  $Y$ , so  $f^{-1}(K)$  is clopen set in  $X$ , that is  $f^{-1}(K)$  is  $Sw$ -regular set in  $X$ , where  $a \notin f^{-1}(K)$ , then by  $Sw^*$ -regularity of  $X$ ,  $\exists$  two disjoint open sets  $A$  and  $B$  in  $X$  whereas  $a \in A$  and  $f^{-1}(K) \subset B$ , but  $f$  is open, so  $f(A)$  and  $f(B)$  are open sets in  $Y$ , s.t.  $b \in f(A)$ ,  $F \subset f(B)$  and  $f(A) \cap f(B) = \emptyset$ . As a result,  $Y$  is an  $Sw^*$ -regular space.

Theorem 3.19: If  $X$  is an  $Sw^*$ -regular space and  $f$  is a bijective  $Sw$ -irresolute and open function from a space  $X$  into space  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is also  $Sw^*$ -regular space

Proof : Suppose that  $F$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set in  $Y$  and  $b \in Y$  s.t.  $b \notin F$ , then  $\exists a \in X$  s.t.  $f(a) = b$ , but  $f$  is  $Sw$ -irresolute, then  $f^{-1}(F)$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set in  $X$ , where

$a \notin f^{-1}(F)$ , then by  $Sw^*$ -regularity of  $X$ ,  $\exists$  two disjoint open sets  $L$  and  $K$  of  $X$  s.t.  $a \in L$  and  $f^{-1}(F) \subset K$ , this implies that  $f(a) = b \in f(L)$ ,  $F = f(f^{-1}(F)) \subset f(K)$ , where  $f(L)$  and  $f(K)$  are open sets in  $Y$  with  $f(L) \cap f(K) = \emptyset$ . As a result,  $Y$  is an  $Sw^*$ -regular space.

Corollary 3.20: Let  $X$  be an  $Sw^*$ -regular space. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an open, bijective and continuous function, then  $Y$  is also  $Sw^*$ -regular.

Proof: Follows directly from Theorem 3.19 and Theorem 2.19.

Corollary 3.21:  $Sw^*$ -regularity is a topological property.

Proof: Follows directly from the concepts of a homeomorphism [12 Theorem 2.4 ] and Corollary 3.20.2. Preliminaries

Recall some basic definitions and results which will be used in the next section.

Definition 2.1[9]: A subset  $H$  of a space  $X$  is said to be semi-regular if it is both semi-open and semi-closed.

Definition 2.2 [1]: Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space, and let  $H \subseteq X$ , then  $H$  together with the empty set is called an  $Sw$ -open set if  $\text{Int}(H) \neq \emptyset$ . An  $Sw$ -closed set is the complement of a  $Sw$ -open set.

Definition 2.3 [1]: If a subset  $H$  of a space  $X$  is both an  $Sw$ -open and an  $Sw$ -closed set, then it is called  $Sw$ -regular.



Theorem 2.4 [1]: Let  $(X, \tau)$  be any topological space; then the family of all Sw-open sets in  $(X, \tau)$  is identical to the family of all Sw-open sets in  $(X, \tau\alpha)$ . That is,  $SwO(X, \tau) = SwO(X, \tau\alpha)$ .

Proposition 2.5 [1]: If  $Y$  is a subspace of a space  $X$ , and if  $H$  is a subset in  $Y$  and  $H$  is an Sw-open set in  $X$ , then  $H$  is Sw-open in  $Y$ . If  $Y$  is open in  $X$ , the converse is also true.

Lemma 2.6 [1]: If  $H \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ , then  $H$  is a Sw-closed set in  $X$  if  $H$  is a proper Sw-closed set of a subspace  $Y$ .

Lemma 2.7 [1]: Every super set of an Sw-open set is Sw-open.

Definition 2.8 [1]: If there are two disjoint Sw-open sets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$  such that (briefly s.t.)  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ , a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a Sw-T<sub>2</sub> space.

Theorem 2.9 [1]: A topological space  $X$  is Sw-T<sub>2</sub>, iff there is an Sw-regular set  $U$  containing one of the points but not the other for each pair of distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$ .

Definition 2.10 [1]: The space  $X$  is Sw-compact if every Sw-open cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover.

Definition 2.11: A space  $X$  is called  $S^*$ -regular [3] (resp., semi-regular [10]) if for each  $a$  in  $X$  and any semi-regular (resp., semi-closed) set  $A$  in  $X$  such that  $a \notin A$ , there exist disjoint open (resp., semi-open) sets  $L$  and  $K$  in  $X$  such that  $a \in L$  and  $A \subseteq K$ .

Theorem 2.12 [11]: A space  $X$ , is semi-regular if and only if there exists a semi-open set  $B$  such that  $x \in B \subseteq \text{Cl}(B) \subseteq A$  for each point  $x \in X$  and each semi-open  $E$  containing  $x$ .

Definition 2.13 [12]: If there exists an open set  $F$  such that  $x \in F \subseteq \text{Cl}(F) \subseteq E$  for each  $x \in X$  and each open set  $E$  containing  $x$ , then the space  $X$  is called regular.

Definition 2.14 [13]: If the closure of each open set in  $X$  is open, or if the interior of each closed set in  $X$  is closed, a space  $X$  is said to be an extremally disconnected.

Definition 2.15 [14]: "A function  $f$  from a space  $X$  into a space  $Y$  is said to be somewhat open function provided that for  $E \in \tau$  and  $E \neq \emptyset$ , there exists a set  $F$  which is open in  $Y$  such that  $F \neq \emptyset$  and  $F \subseteq f(E)$ ".

Theorem 2.16 [15]: A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is somewhat open if and only if for each  $G \subseteq X$  and  $\text{Int}(G) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\text{Int}(f(G)) \neq \emptyset$ .

Theorem 2.17 [16]: A function  $f$  from a space  $X$  into a space  $Y$  is closed iff ;  $\forall$  subset  $F$  of  $X$ ,  $\text{Cl}(f(F)) \subseteq f(\text{Cl}(F))$ .

Definition 2.18 [17]: Let  $f$  be a function from a space  $X$  into a space  $Y$ , if  $f^{-1}(U)$  is clopen in  $X$  for each subset  $U$  in  $Y$ ,  $f$  is said to be strongly continuous.

Theorem 2.19 [1]:  $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is Sw-irresolute, if it is a surjective continuous function.

Theorem 2.20 [1]: The following statements are equivalent for a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,

$f$  is Sw-irresolute.

There is an inverse Sw-open set in  $X$ ; for every Sw-open set in  $Y$ .

There is an inverse Sw-closed set in  $X$  for every Sw-closed set in  $Y$ .

Sw\*- Regular Spaces





Definition 3.1: A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $Sw^*$ - regular, if for every  $Sw$ -regular set  $E$  and each  $a \notin E$  in  $X$ , there exist open sets  $L$  and  $K$  in  $X$  that are disjoint; such that;  $a \in L$  and  $F \subset K$ .

It is important to note that all discrete and indiscrete spaces are  $Sw^*$ - regular spaces. It is also clear from the preceding definition that the class of  $Sw^*$ - regular spaces is contained in the class of  $S^*$ -regular spaces., mean that every  $Sw^*$ - regular is  $S^*$ - regular , however, as shown in the following example. In general, the reverse is not true.

Ex. 3.2: Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with a topology  $\tau_X = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$  on  $X$ . Then  $(X, \tau_X)$  is an  $S^*$ - regular space but not  $Sw^*$ - regular.

The following theorem is a characterization of an  $Sw^*$ - regular spaces:

Theorem 3.3: The following propositions are equivalent for a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ :

- $(X, \tau)$  is an  $Sw^*$ - regular space.
- For each  $a \in X$  and each  $Sw$ -regular set  $V$  containing  $a$ ,  $\exists$  an open set  $U$ , s.t.  
 $a \in U \subset Cl(U) \subset V$ .
- The intersection of all closed neighborhoods of a  $Sw$ -regular set  $E$  is  $E$  itself.
- For each non-empty set  $E$ ; and each  $Sw$ -regular set  $F$  of  $X$ ; s.t.  $E \cap F \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\exists$  an open subset  $U$  of  $X$  s.t.  $E \cap U \neq \emptyset$  and  $Cl(U) \subset F$ .
- For any non-empty subset  $E$  and  $Sw$ -regular set  $F$  of  $X$ , s.t.  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , there are open sets  $C$  and  $D$  of  $X$ ; that are disjoint s.t.  $E \cap C \neq \emptyset$  and  $F \subset D$ .

Proof: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)

Let  $V$  be an  $Sw$ -regular set in  $X$  containing  $a$ , therefore  $a \notin X \setminus V$  and  $X \setminus V$

is also an  $Sw$ -regular set, then by  $Sw^*$ - regularity of  $X$ ,  $\exists$  two disjoint open sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  of  $X$  s.t.  $a \in U_1$  and  $X \setminus V \subseteq U_2$ . Since  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are disjoint, then  $U_1 \subseteq X \setminus U_2$  and since  $X \setminus U_2$  is closed, then  $Cl(U_1) \subseteq X \setminus U_2 \subseteq V$ . Thus  $a \in U_1 \subseteq Cl(U_1) \subseteq V$ . This gives (2).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)

Let  $E$  be  $Sw$ -regular set in  $X$ , then  $X \setminus E$  be also  $Sw$ -regular. By the hypothesis, for all  $a \in X \setminus E$ , there is a set which is open  $U_a$  in  $X$  such that  $a \in U_a \subset Cl(U_a) \subset X \setminus E$ . Then  $\bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} \{a\} \subset \bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} U_a \subset X \setminus E$ , and so  $X \setminus E \subset \bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} U_a$ . That is,

$X \setminus E = \bigcup_{a \in (X \setminus E)} U_a$ . Therefore;  $E = \bigcap_{a \in (X \setminus E)} (X \setminus U_a)$ , where  $X \setminus U_a$  is a closed neighborhood of  $E$ . This completes the proof.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)

Let  $E$  and  $F$  be two non-empty disjoint subsets of  $X$ ; such that  $F$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set. Then, there exists  $a \in E \cap F$ . Thus  $a \notin X \setminus F$  and  $X \setminus F$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set, so by the hypothesis there exists a closed neighborhood



$H$  such that  $a \notin H$  and  $X \setminus F \subset H$ , then  $X \setminus F \subset G \subset H$ ,  $G$  is open. Let  $X \setminus H = U$ , then  $a \in U$  where  $U$  is open. Hence  $E \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , and since  $G$  is open, then  $X \setminus G$  is closed. This implies that  $X \setminus H \subset X \setminus G \subset F$ , and so  $U \subset Cl(U) \subset Cl(X \setminus G) = X \setminus G \subset F$ . That is  $U \subset Cl(U) \subset F$ , thus  $Cl(U) \subset F$ . This proves (4).

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4)

Let  $E$  be non-empty subset of  $X$ ; and  $F$  be an  $Sw$ -regular set of  $X$ ; s.t.  $E \cap F = \emptyset$ , therefore;  $X \setminus F$  is also an  $Sw$ -regular set in  $X$  and  $E \cap X \setminus F \neq \emptyset$ . Using (4)  $\exists$  an open subset  $C$  of  $X$ ; s.t.  $E \cap C \neq \emptyset$  and  $Cl(C) \subset X \setminus F$  and then  $F \subset X \setminus Cl(C)$ . Put  $D = X \setminus Cl(C) \subset X \setminus C$ . Thus  $D$  is an open set, s.t.  $F \subset D$ . As a result  $C, D$  are open sets with  $E \cap C \neq \emptyset, F \subset D$  and  $D \cap C = \emptyset$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (5)

Let  $a \notin E$ , where  $E$  is  $Sw$ -regular set of  $X$  and let  $K = \{a\} \neq \emptyset$ . Then by using (5) there exist two open sets  $C$  and  $D$  of  $X$ , such that  $K \cap C \neq \emptyset, C \cap D = \emptyset$  and  $E \subset D$ . Therefore  $a \in C, E \subset D$  and  $C \cap D = \emptyset$ . That is,  $X$  is an  $Sw^*$ - regular space.

**Theorem 3.4:** Disjoint open sets  $Sw^*$ - regular space  $X$  can separate each disjoint pair consisting of a compact set  $E$  and an  $Sw$ -regular set  $F$ .

**Proof:** Since  $X$  is an  $Sw^*$ - regular space with  $E \cap F = \emptyset$  in  $X$ , then for every  $x \in E, x \notin F$ , where  $F$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set. Therefore;  $\exists$  disjoint open sets  $L_x$  and  $K_x$  of  $X$ ; s.t.  $x \in L_x$  and  $F \subset K_x$ . Obviously, the compact set  $E$  is covered by  $\{L_x: x \in E\}$ . Thus  $\exists$  a finite subfamily  $\{L_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  which covers  $E$ . As a result,  $E \subset \cup \{L_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $F \subset \cap \{K_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Put  $L = \cup \{L_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $K = \cap \{K_{x_i}: i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Since  $L \cap K = \emptyset$ , then  $L \subset X \setminus K$  and so  $Cl(L) \subset Cl(X \setminus K) = X \setminus K$ . That is  $Cl(L) \cap K = \emptyset$ , by the same way  $L \cap Cl(K) = \emptyset$ , so  $L$  and  $K$  are separated. Then the needed disjoint open sets are  $L$  and  $K$ .

**Corollary 3.5:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $Sw^*$ - regular space. If  $E$  is a compact subset of  $X$ , and  $F$  is a  $Sw$ -regular set that contains  $E$ , then  $\exists$  an  $Sw$ -regular set  $K$ , s.t.  $E \subset K \subset Sw-Cl(K) \subset F$ .

**Proof:** Since  $F$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set, so  $X \setminus F$  is also  $Sw$ -regular; and  $E \cap X \setminus F = \emptyset$  in  $X$ , where  $E$  is compact, then by Theorem 3.4;  $\exists$  disjoint open sets  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  of  $X$ ; s.t.  $E \subset L_1$  and  $X \setminus F \subseteq L_2$ . But  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ , so  $L_1 \subset X \setminus L_2$  and since  $L_1$  is open, so it  $Sw$ -open and then by Lemma 2.7  $X \setminus L_2$  is also an  $Sw$ -open set, furthermore,  $X \setminus L_2$  is a closed set and consequently it is an  $Sw$ -closed set, and so  $X \setminus L_2 = Sw-Cl(X \setminus L_2)$ . That  $X \setminus L_2$  is  $Sw$ -regular set. Put  $K = X \setminus L_2$ , then  $E \subset L_1 \subset X \setminus L_2 \subset F$ . Means that  $E \subset K \subset Sw-Cl(K) = Sw-Cl(X \setminus L_2) = X \setminus L_2 \subset F$ . Thus  $E \subset K \subset Sw-Cl(K) \subset F$ . This is the end of the proof.

**Corollary 3.6:** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $Sw^*$ - regular space and let  $E, F$  be two disjoint subsets of  $X$ , with  $E$  being compact and  $F$  being a  $Sw$ -regular set. Then there exists  $Sw$ -regular sets  $L$  and  $K$  s.t.  $E \subset L, F \subset K$  and  $L \cap K = \emptyset$ .

**Proof:** By Theorem 3.4;  $\exists$  disjoint open sets  $L$  and  $K$  of  $X$  s.t.  $E \subset L$  and  $F \subset K$ . But  $L \cap K = \emptyset$ , so  $L \subset X \setminus K$  and since  $L$  is open, so it is  $Sw$ -open. Furthermore;  $X \setminus K$  is closed, then it is  $Sw$ -closed. That is,  $Cl(X \setminus K) = X \setminus K$  and since  $L \subset X \setminus K$ , then  $Cl(L) \subset X \setminus K$ . That is,  $L$  also is an  $Sw$ -closed set. Thus  $L$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set. By the same way  $K$  is also  $Sw$ -regular. Thus  $L$  and  $K$  are the required  $Sw$ -regular sets.

In the following theorem we show that  $Sw^*$ - regular space has not a hereditary property.

**Theorem 3.7:** If a space  $X$  is an  $Sw^*$ - regular and  $E$  be an open subspace of  $X$ , then  $E$  is  $Sw$   $Sw^*$ - regular space.

**Proof :** Suppose that  $E$  is an open subspace of the  $Sw^*$ - regular space  $X$ . To demonstrate  $E$ 's  $Sw^*$ - regularity, suppose that  $G$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set in  $E$  and let  $a \notin G$ ; s.t.  $a \in E$ . Since  $G \subset E$ ,  $G$  is  $Sw$ -open in  $E$  and  $E$  is



open in  $X$ , then by Proposition 2.5,  $G$  is an  $Sw$ -open set in  $X$ , also since  $G \subset E \subset X$  and  $G$  is  $Sw$ -closed, then from Lemma 2.6  $G$  is  $Sw$ -closed set in  $X$ , such that  $a \notin G$ , so by  $Sw^*$ -regularity of  $X$ ,  $\exists$  two disjoint open sets  $L_a$  and  $K_a$  of  $X$  s.t.  $a \in L_a$  and  $G \subset K_a$ . Let  $L = L_a \cap E$  and  $K = K_a \cap E$ , clearly  $a \in L$  and  $G \subset K$ ; where  $L$  and  $K$  are open sets that are disjoint in  $E$ . Therefore  $E$  is an  $Sw^*$ -regular space.

The following example shows that the condition of openness on  $A$  in Theorem 3.7 is necessarily.

Ex. 3.8 : Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ; with  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ . So the space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $Sw^*$ -regular, while  $A = \{b, c, d\}$  is not  $Sw^*$ -regular though  $A$  is closed. It follows that  $Sw^*$ -regularity is not a hereditary property.

Recall that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be submaximal [5], if every preopen is open.

Corollary 3.9: Let  $X$  be an  $Sw^*$ -regular submaximal space, then every preopen subspace of  $X$  is  $Sw^*$ -regular.

Proof : Suppose that  $A$  is a preopen subspace of  $X$ , since  $X$  is Submaximal, then  $A$  is open and by Theorem 3.7  $A$  is  $Sw^*$ -regular.

Theorem 3.10: Every Hausdorff  $Sw$ -compact space is  $Sw^*$ -regular.

Proof: Let  $E$  be any  $Sw$ -regular set containing a point say  $b$  in  $X$ , so  $X \setminus E$  is also  $Sw$ -regular set s.t.  $b \notin X \setminus E$ . But  $X$  is a  $T_2$  space, therefore; for every  $a \in X \setminus E$ ,  $\exists$  open sets  $L_a$  and  $K_a$  s.t.  $a \in L_a$ ,  $b \in K_a$  and  $L_a \cap K_a = \emptyset$ . Obviously  $\{L_a: a \in X \setminus E\}$  is a cover of  $X \setminus E$  by  $Sw$ -open sets of  $X$  and since  $E$  is  $Sw$ -regular, then  $N = \{L_a: a \in X \setminus E\} \cup E$  is an  $Sw$ -open cover of  $X$ , but  $X$  is  $Sw$ -compact space, then  $\exists$  a finite subfamily of  $N$  covers  $X$ . That is,  $X = \bigcup \{L_{a_i}: i=1, 2, \dots, m\} \cup E$ . Therefore  $X \setminus E \subset \bigcup \{L_{a_i}: i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Let  $L = \bigcup \{L_{a_i}: i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $K = \bigcap \{K_{a_i}: i=1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Then  $b \in K$  and  $X \setminus E \subset L$ , such that  $L$  and  $K$  are open sets in  $X$ . As a result, the space  $X$  is  $Sw^*$ -regular.

Theorem 3.11: A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is regular if it is semi-regular and  $Sw^*$ -regular.

Proof: Let  $L$  be any open set of  $X$  and  $a \in L$ . But  $X$  is semi-regular, so by

Theorem 2.12;  $\exists$  a semi-open set  $M$  in  $X$  s.t.  $a \in M \subset sCl(M) \subset L$ . But  $Sw-Cl(M) \subset sCl(M) \subset Cl(M)$  for any  $M \subset X$ . So  $a \in M \subset Sw-Cl(M) \subset sCl(M) \subset L$ , and since  $M$  is semi-open, then it is  $Sw$ -open. That is,  $Sw-Cl(M)$  is  $Sw$ -regular set and since  $X$  is  $Sw^*$ -regular space, thus by Theorem 3.3,  $\exists$  an open set  $E$  s.t.  $a \in E \subset Cl(E) \subset Sw-Cl(M) \subset L$ . Thus,  $a \in E \subset Cl(E) \subset L$ . As a result,  $X$  is a regular space.

Proposition 3.12: If a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $Sw^*$ -regular, then it is extremally disconnected.

Proof: Let  $K$  be any non-empty open subset of  $X$ , so  $Cl(K)$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set and since  $X$  is an  $Sw^*$ -regular space, then by Theorem 3.3(2) for each  $a \in Cl(K)$ , there exists an open set  $L_a$  such that  $a \in L_a \subset Cl(L_a) \subset Cl(K)$ . Thus  $Cl(K) = \bigcup \{L_a: a \in Cl(K)\}$  which it is open. Therefore  $X$  is extremally disconnected.

The following theorem give another characterization of an  $Sw^*$ -regular space.

Theorem 3.13: Let  $(X, \tau)$  be any topological space. Then  $X$  is  $Sw^*$ -regular iff for all  $Sw$ -regular set  $M$  and a point  $p \in X$  such that  $p \notin M$ , there exist open sets  $R$  and  $S$  of  $X$  such that  $p \in R$ ,  $M \subset S$  and  $Cl(R) \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$ .

Proof : Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $Sw^*$ -regular space; and  $p \notin M$ , s.t.  $M$  is an  $Sw$ -regular set in  $X$ , so  $\exists$  two disjoint open sets  $R_0$  and  $S$  such that  $p \in R_0$  and  $M \subset S$ , further  $R_0 \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$ , if not suppose that  $R_0 \cap Cl(S) \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $a \in R_0 \cap Cl(S)$ , so  $a \in R_0$  and  $a \in Cl(S)$ , then for all open set  $K$  of  $X$  and  $a \in K$ ,  $K \cap S \neq \emptyset$



and since  $R_0$  is an open set which containing  $a$ , then  $R_0 \cap S \neq \emptyset$  which is contradiction, thus  $R_0 \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$  and  $Cl(S)$  is an Sw-regular set and since  $p \in R_0$ , then  $p \notin Cl(S)$ , again by Sw\*-regular of  $X$ , there exist open sets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  such that  $p \in A$ ,  $Cl(S) \subset B$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , hence  $Cl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ . Put  $R = R_0 \cap A$ , then  $R$  is open s.t.  $p \in R$ ,  $M \subset S$  and  $Cl(R) \cap Cl(S) = Cl(R_0 \cap A) \cap Cl(S) \subset Cl(R_0) \cap Cl(A) \cap Cl(S) \subset Cl(R_0) \cap Cl(A) \cap B = \emptyset$ . Thus  $Cl(R) \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$ .

Conversely; suppose that  $p \notin M$ , with  $M$  is an Sw-regular set in  $X$ , so  $\exists$  two open sets  $R$  and  $S$  such that  $p \in R$ ,  $M \subset S$  and  $Cl(R) \cap Cl(S) = \emptyset$ , means that  $R \cap S = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $X$  is an Sw\*-regular space.

Recalling that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a Urysohn [18] if there are neighbours  $K$  of  $a$  and  $L$  of  $b$  with  $Cl(K) \cap Cl(L) = \emptyset$ , whenever  $a \neq b$  in  $X$ .

Proposition 3.14: A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is Urysohn, if it is Sw\*-regular and Sw-T2 space.

Proof: Assume that  $a, b$  are any two different points in  $X$ , but  $X$  is Sw-T2 space, then by Theorem 2.9 there exists Sw-regular set  $A$  of  $X$  contains  $a$  but not  $b$ , or contains  $b$  but not  $a$ , say  $a \in A$  and  $b \notin A$ , so by Theorem 3.13, there exists two open set  $K$  and  $L$  of  $X$  s.t.  $b \in K$ ,  $A \subset L$  and  $Cl(K) \cap Cl(L) = \emptyset$ . That is  $a \in A \subset L$ , means  $a \in L$ ,  $b \in K$  and  $Cl(K) \cap Cl(L) = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $X$  is a Urysohn Space.

Proposition 3.15: If  $(X, \tau)$  is an Sw\*-regular space, then so is  $(X, \tau_\alpha)$ .

Proof: This follows from Theorem 2.4 and the fact that every open set is  $\alpha$ -open.

Theorem 3.16: Let  $Y$  be an Sw\*-regular space, if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a bijective, continuous, closed and Sw-open function, then  $X$  is also an Sw\*-regular space.

Proof : Assume that  $F$  is an Sw-regular set in  $X$ ,  $a \in X$  with  $a \notin F$ , and so there exists  $b \in Y$  s.t.  $f(a) = b$ . But  $f$  is an Sw-open function, so  $f(F)$  is Sw-open in  $Y$ , that is  $Int(f(F)) \neq \emptyset$ . Also  $F$  is Sw-closed, then  $Cl(F) \neq X$ , but  $f$  is closed, then by Theorem 2.17

$Cl(f(F)) \subset f(Cl(F))$ . Now  $Cl(F) \neq X$ , this implies that  $f(Cl(F)) \neq f(X) = Y$  and so  $Cl(f(F)) \subset f(Cl(F)) \neq Y$ . That is  $Cl(f(F)) \neq Y$ , so  $f(F)$  is Sw-closed in  $Y$ . So  $f(F)$  is Sw-regular set in  $Y$  with  $b \notin f(F)$ , then by Sw\*-regularity of  $Y$ ;  $\exists$  two disjoint open sets  $L$  and  $M$  of  $Y$  s.t.  $b \in L$  and  $f(F) \subset M$ . Then by the continuity of  $f$  [19]  $f^{-1}(L)$  and  $f^{-1}(M)$  are open in  $X$ , such that  $a = f^{-1}(b) \in f^{-1}(L)$ ,  $F \subset f^{-1}(M)$  and  $f^{-1}(L) \cap f^{-1}(M) = \emptyset$ , consequently;  $X$  is an Sw\*-regular space.

Recall that a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be clopen [2] if it is both open and closed.

Corollary 3.17: If  $f$  is a bijective continuous and clopen function from a space  $X$  into an Sw\*-regular space  $Y$ , then  $X$  is also Sw\*-regular.

Proof : This is a direct result of Theorem 3.16 and the fact that each open function is Sw-open

Theorem 3.18: If  $X$  Sw\*-regular and  $f$  is a strongly continuous and open function from a space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$ . Then  $Y$  is also Sw\*-regular.

Proof: Let  $K$  be an Sw-regular set of  $Y$ , and  $b \in Y$  s.t.  $b \notin K$ , but  $f$  is a surjective function, so  $\exists a \in X$  s.t.  $f(a) = b$ . In addition to  $f$  is strongly continuous function and  $K$  is a subset of  $Y$ , so  $f^{-1}(K)$  is clopen set in  $X$ , that is  $f^{-1}(K)$  is Sw-regular set in  $X$ , where  $a \notin f^{-1}(K)$ , then by Sw\*-regularity of  $X$ ,  $\exists$  two disjoint open sets  $A$  and  $B$  in  $X$  whereas  $a \in A$  and  $f^{-1}(K) \subset B$ , but  $f$  is open, so  $f(A)$  and  $f(B)$  are open sets in  $Y$ , s.t.  $b \in f(A)$ ,  $F \subset f(B)$  and  $f(A) \cap f(B) = \emptyset$ . As a result,  $Y$  is an Sw\*-regular space.

Theorem 3.19: If  $X$  is an Sw\*-regular space and  $f$  is a bijective Sw-irresolute and open function from a space  $X$  into space  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is also Sw\*-regular space



Proof : Suppose that  $F$  is an Sw-regular set in  $Y$  and  $b \in Y$  s.t.  $b \notin F$ , then  $\exists a \in X$  s.t.  $f(a) = b$ , but  $f$  is Sw-irresolute, then  $f^{-1}(F)$  is an Sw-regular set in  $X$ , where

$a \notin f^{-1}(F)$ , then by Sw\*-regularity of  $X$ ,  $\exists$  two disjoint open sets  $L$  and  $K$  of  $X$  s.t.  $a \in L$  and  $f^{-1}(F) \subset K$ , this implies that  $f(a) = b \in f(L)$ ,  $F = f(f^{-1}(F)) \subset f(K)$ , where  $f(L)$  and  $f(K)$  are open sets in  $Y$  with  $f(L) \cap f(K) = \emptyset$ . As a result,  $Y$  is an Sw\*-regular space.

Corollary 3.20: Let  $X$  be an Sw\*-regular space. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an open, bijective and continuous function, then  $Y$  is also Sw\*-regular.

Proof: Follows directly from Theorem 3.19 and Theorem 2.19.

Corollary 3.21: Sw\*-regularity is a topological property.

Proof: Follows directly from the concepts of a homeomorphism [12 Theorem 2.4 ] and Corollary 3.20.

### Conflict of interests.

There are non-conflicts of interest.

### References

1. L. S. Abdullh and A. B. Khalaf, "An Extension of Semi-Open Sets with its Applications on Spaces and Functions", Ph.D. dissertation, University of Sulaimaniya, Sulaimani, Iraq, 2009.
2. M. Khan , "Weak forms of continuity, compactness and connectedness", Ph. D. dissertation, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan, 1997.
3. S. Hussain and B. Ahmad, "On  $\gamma$ -s\*-regular spaces and almost  $\gamma$ -s-continuous functions", Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 263-268, 2009.
4. N. Levine, "Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces", Amer. Math. Monthly, vol. 70, pp. 36-41, 1963.
5. J. Dontchev , "Survey on preopen sets", ArXiv: Math., vol. 1, pp. 1-18, 1998.
6. J. H. Park, "Almost p-normal, mildly p-normal spaces and some functions", Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, vol.18, Issue 2, pp. 267-274, October 2003.
7. O. Njastad , "On some classes of nearly open sets", Pacific J. Math., vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 961-970, 1965.
8. H. Z. Ibrahim , "Bc-Open Sets in Topological Spaces", Advances in Pure Mathematics, vol. 3, pp. 34-40, January 2013.
9. A. Sabaha , M. Din Khanb and L. Kocinac, "Covering properties defined by semi-open sets", J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. , pp. 1-10, Feb. 2016.
10. J. Dontchev and M. Ganster , "On covering spaces with semi-regular sets\*", Ricerche di Matematica, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 229-245, 1996.
11. G. D. Maio and T. Noiri , "On s-closed spaces", India J. Pure Appl. Math., vol. 18, pp. 226-233, 1987.
12. J. N. Sharma, Topology. Krishna Prakashna Mandir, 1977.
13. M. Mirmiran, "A survey on extremally disconnected spaces", Math. Dept., Isfahan Univ., Iran, pp. 1-3, 2000.



14. K.Rekha and T.Indira, “Somewhat  $*b$ -continuous and Somewhat  $*b$ -open Functions in Topological spaces “,Intern. J. Fuzzy Mathematical, vol. 2, pp. 17-25, July 2013.
15. C. W. Baker , “Weak forms of openness based upon denseness”, Tr. J. of Mathematics, no. 20, pp. 389-394, 1996.
16. N. S. Noorie and R. Bala, “Some Characterizations of Open, Closed, and Continuous Mappings”, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, vol. 2008, pp. 1-5, January 2008.
17. R. S. Wali, B. B. Mathad and N. Laxmi, “ Some Semi-Regular Weakly Continuous Functions in Topological Spaces”, International Journal of Advanced Research (IJAR), vol. 5, no. 7 , pp. 2261-2270, July 2017.
18. V. Popa , "Characterizations of H-almost continuous functions", Glas. Mat. Ser., vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 157-161, 1987.
19. Sidney A. Morris, Topology Without Tears, version of June 28, 2020.:pp.87–89.

Journal of University of Babylon  
For Pure and Applied Sciences (JUBPAS)  
Volume 30, No. 2, 2022