

Missouri University of Science and Technology Scholars' Mine

**Chemistry Faculty Research & Creative Works** 

Chemistry

01 May 2022

# Construction and Demonstration of a 6–18 GHz Microwave Three-Wave Mixing Experiment using Multiple Synchronized Arbitrary Waveform Generators

Nicole T. Moon

Klaus Woelk Missouri University of Science and Technology, woelk@mst.edu

Garry S. Grubbs Missouri University of Science and Technology, grubbsg@mst.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/chem\_facwork

Part of the Chemistry Commons

### **Recommended Citation**

N. T. Moon et al., "Construction and Demonstration of a 6–18 GHz Microwave Three-Wave Mixing Experiment using Multiple Synchronized Arbitrary Waveform Generators," *Symmetry*, vol. 14, no. 5, article no. 848, MDPI, May 2022.

The definitive version is available at https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14050848



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

This Article - Journal is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Faculty Research & Creative Works by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.



Article



## **Construction and Demonstration of a 6–18 GHz Microwave Three-Wave Mixing Experiment Using Multiple Synchronized Arbitrary Waveform Generators**

Nicole T. Moon, Klaus Woelk and Garry S. Grubbs II \*

Department of Chemistry, Missouri University of Science and Technology, 400 W. 11th St., Rolla, MO 65409, USA; nmzn2@mst.edu (N.T.M.); woelk@mst.edu (K.W.)

\* Correspondence: grubbsg@mst.edu; Tel.: +1-573-341-6281

**Abstract:** This manuscript details the construction and demonstration of the first known microwave three-wave mixing (M3WM) experiment utilizing multiple arbitrary waveform generators (AWGs) completely operable in the 6–18 GHz frequency range for use in chirality determination and quantification. Many M3WM techniques, which involve two orthogonal, subsequent Rabi  $\pi/2$  and  $\pi$  microwave pulses, suffer from flexibility in pulse types and timings as well as frequency due to most instruments only using one, one-channel AWG and the M3WM probability decreasing with an increasing quantum number, *J*. In this work, we presented an M3WM instrument that allows that flexibility by introducing multiple, synchronized AWGs and adheres to the high probability transition loop pathways in carvone. The functionality and reliability of the instrument were demonstrated using a series of experiments and mixtures of the R and S enantiomers and determined to be of similar accuracy to other reported M3WM setups with the additional benefit of flexibility in pulsing schemes.

Keywords: microwave three-wave mixing; chirality determination; carvone

#### 1. Introduction

Chirality determination in science and technology is one of the largest fundamental molecular challenges in existence today [1]. This is because the enantiomers that arise from chiral centers have almost identical physical and chemical properties, but biological processes often produce, need, or use one enantiomer in large preference to another. Synthetic processes, though, often end in a mixture of enantiomeric products, even when the chemistry utilized is selectively targeted to result in specific stereochemistry. Therefore, it is of large importance to be able to detect and quantify these mixtures quickly and accurately, especially when trying to produce these chemicals on a large scale, as is often the case in the pharmaceuticals industry.

In 2013, it was demonstrated that chiral gas molecules could be distinguished via a microwave three-wave mixing (M3WM) experiment [2]. Later, this experiment was extended to a modified CP-FTMW-type experiment [3]. No matter the setup, M3WM involves exciting, in succession, a pair of linked rotational transitions of different types (*a-*, *b-*, or *c*-type) and then listening to/collecting the free induction decay (FID) from a third type of transition, completing a transition loop [4]. Transitions in microwave rotational spectroscopy occur through a coupling of a molecule's electric dipole moment to an imposed electric field. Transition types arise from a specific component of that electric dipole moment with a nonzero magnitude. M3WM loops are allowed, then, because chiral species, by definition, possess electric dipole moments where all three components are nonzero in magnitude. Moreover, by definition, each enantiomer possesses one dipole moment component that is the same in magnitude but completely opposite in sign. It is this difference that is leveraged in the M3WM experiment and results in FIDs of each



Citation: Moon, N.T.; Woelk, K.; Grubbs, G.S., II. Construction and Demonstration of a 6–18 GHz Microwave Three-Wave Mixing Experiment Using Multiple Synchronized Arbitrary Waveform Generators. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 848. https://doi.org/10.3390/ sym14050848

Academic Editors: Cristóbal Pérez, Sérgio R. Domingos and Amanda Steber

Received: 21 March 2022 Accepted: 15 April 2022 Published: 19 April 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). enantiomer being exactly 180° out-of-phase with one another, allowing absolute geometry determination and providing a pathway for the quantitative analysis of mixtures.

For this to be achieved, the experimental setup must contain two crucial components. The first is that the experimental setup consists of antennae optimally oriented to propagate/detect electric fields in each of the three dimensions. The second is that two of those antennae deliver a specialized pulsing scheme consisting of a  $\pi/2$  pulse followed by a  $\pi$ pulse, where  $\pi/2$  and  $\pi$  are the Rabi flip angles. However, in practice, not all rotational transitions can achieve the full  $\pi/2$  condition because the transition moments for the various angular momentum projections on a space-fixed axis are dependent on the projection quantum number,  $M_{\rm I}$  [3,5]. Therefore,  $\pi/2$  and  $\pi$  have become terminology for the microwave pulse duration needed for maximum coherence and double maximum coherence (resulting in no traditional rotational signal), respectively. In previous works, it was also shown that in order to maximize the probability of enantiomeric separation signal with M3WM and minimize spatial degeneracy influences, it is best to follow an RQP-branch (i.e.,  $\Delta J = 1, 0, -1$  loop rather than QQQ or PQR cycle and to minimize loop J-states [6,7]. Lastly, because the chiral signal is proportional to the population difference and the transition dipole moment, but there is generally sufficient microwave power available, it is best to follow a scheme that starts with the largest frequency difference on the weakest dipole moment component and ends with monitoring a transition corresponding to the strongest dipole moment component also at a high-frequency difference [3].

Since the discovery of M3WM, there have been many subsequent experimental and theoretical works showing how such approaches can be utilized to distinguish between enantiomers [8–14], provide enantiomeric excess (ee) information inclusive of mixtures [15], and demonstrate or suggest methodologies which may be carried out in order to selectively choose or build up the population in one chiral species over another (chiral quantum coherent control) [16–20]. In all known M3WM experimental setups using arbitrary waveform generators (AWGs), however, no setup has utilized multiple AWG sources. Multiple AWGs or very fast digital-to-analog converted channels on a single AWG will most likely be needed to pursue chiral quantum coherent control techniques as the microwave pulse schemes are generally complex or involve synchronous pulses. Furthermore, due to the spatial degeneracy influences, microwave powering costs, and required pulse schemes mentioned above, M3WM experiments typically consist of at least one excitation/listening component in the radiofrequency region (i.e., <~3 GHz). In this work, therefore, we present the construction and demonstration of the first known M3WM experiment utilizing multiple, synchronized AWG sources entirely operable in the 6-18 GHz region of the electromagnetic spectrum.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

M3WM experiments were carried out using a modified chirped pulse, Fourier transform microwave (CP-FTMW) spectrometer with multi-antenna detection, which was described elsewhere [21]. A diagram of the instrument setup is presented in Figure 1, with pertinent differences between that and the MAD-CP-FTMW experiment being described here. As described in reference [21], the MAD-CP-FTMW instrument consists of four antennae arranged in a cross pattern exactly facing each other with the supersonic expansion source centered above, pointing at the throat of a diffusion pump. Two of these antennae are located inside the vacuum chamber, and two are located outside. The two external antennae have Teflon windows allowing the microwaves to pass into the chamber where they are broadcast onto the molecular sample. Using the inside or the outside antennae in traditional CP-FTMW experiments showed no observable difference in line centers. For M3WM instruments, three orthogonal microwave fields are required to maximize enantioseparation efficiency. With our single polarized antennae (Steatite® QWH-SL-2-18-S-HG-R), this can be achieved by rotating one of the exterior antennae by  $90^{\circ}$ . The exterior antennae are mounted in such a fashion that they may be rotated up to 270°. The resulting arrangement is similar to that of Lobsinger et al. [3], where three antennae (colored blue,



green, and red in Figure 1) are used for the M3WM experiments, and the fourth antennae may be accessed to quickly shift back to traditional CP-FTMW spectroscopy if desired.

**Figure 1.** The standard MAD-CP-FTMW setup for M3WM experiments at Missouri S&T. The setup consists of (1) rubidium clock oscillator (Stanford Research Systems<sup>®</sup> Model FS725 Rubidium Frequency Standard), (2) two synchronized arbitrary waveform generators (Tektronix<sup>®</sup> AWG 70000 Arbitrary Waveform Generator with Tektronix<sup>®</sup> AWGSYNC01 synchronization hub), (3) pulsed nozzle source (Parker Hannifin<sup>®</sup> Series 9 supersonic nozzle), (4) one 40 W power amplifier (Microsemi<sup>®</sup> model L0618-46-T680) and one 1 W amplifier (Avantek<sup>®</sup> APT-18649), (5) three broadband horn antennae (Steatite<sup>®</sup> QWH-SL-2-18-S-HG-R), (6) a pin diode switch (ATM<sup>®</sup> PNR S1517D), (7) a low noise amplifier (RF-Lambda<sup>®</sup> RLNA06G18G45), and (8) an oscilloscope (Tekronix<sup>®</sup> DPO 72304DX Digital Phosphor Oscilloscope). The colors of the three horns indicated what part of the pulse sequence they correspond to, as described in the manuscript. The red horn is rotated 90° in relation to the others.

As shown in Figure 1, two antennae (blue and green) are used for excitation, and one antenna (red) is used for detecting the resultant free induction decay (FID) of the molecular response to the excitation. This is different from traditional CP-FTMW spectroscopy, where only one excitation source is generally utilized. In order to provide the experiment with adequate microwave power, a 1 W microwave power amplifier (Avantek<sup>®</sup> APT-18649) and a 40 W microwave power amplifier (Microsemi<sup>®</sup> model L0618-46-T680) were employed for excitation. On the detection antenna, an SPST switch (ATM<sup>®</sup> PNR S1517D) and a low noise amplifier (RF-Lambda<sup>®</sup> RLNA06G18G45) are used to block out all signals except for those resulting from the FID, which requires amplification in order to be interpreted by the oscilloscope (Tekronix<sup>®</sup> DPO 72304DX Digital Phosphor Oscilloscope).

As mentioned previously, M3WM experiments require microwave pulses occurring in a  $\pi/2$ - $\pi$  coherence scheme. In previous M3WM designs, this was achieved multiple ways, from using a switch on a singular AWG [3], using multi-channel AWGs [14,22], invoking a dual-polarized antenna [19], and switching electric fields [2,11,13,15]. The difference between these designs to the design presented in this work is the implementation of two synchronized AWGs to generate the microwave coherence pulses needed for the experiment simultaneously. This provides a specific advantage not possessed by the other approaches in that now the user may have control over the type of pulse (or pulse profile) while also not being limited to one pulse having to finish before another pulse begins. This arrangement allows for future experiments involving the excitation pulse schemes with greater flexibility as the waveforms are written with code instead of manipulated via hardware. The AWGs are synchronized using a synchronization hub (AWGSYNC01) with one AWG acting as the primary unit and the others acting as secondary units. Up to four units may be controlled



by the synchronization hub at any given time (see Figure 2 for a picture of the setup), but the M3WM experiments performed here only consist of two synchronized AWGs.

**Figure 2.** Picture of the Tektronix<sup>®</sup> AWG 70000 AWGs (bottom) with the Tektronix<sup>®</sup> AWGSYNC01 synchronization hub (top). The synchronization hub works on up to four AWGs at one time.

Another unique aspect of the experimental setup is that the entire instrument operates in the 6–18 GHz region of the electromagnetic spectrum. This is not because of the AWGs, but because this is the optimal region for the antennae and power amplifiers.

In total, four M3WM experiments on enantiomeric mixtures of carvone were carried out. These included (A) 5 mL of pure R-carvone, (B) 5 mL of pure S-carvone, (C) 1:1 mixture of R-Carvone (2.5 mL) and S-carvone (2.5 mL), and finally (D) 3:1 mixture of R-carvone (3 mL) and S-carvone (1 mL). The enantiomers of carvone are presented in Figure 3. The R-carvone (Product No.: A13900, Purity: 98%) and S-carvone (Product No.: L07130, Purity 96%) samples were manufactured by Alfa Aesar<sup>®</sup> and obtained through Thermo Fisher Scientific<sup>®</sup> (Alpha Aesar, Tewksbury, MA, USA 01876). No further purification was performed on the samples after purchase. Quality documentation for the two enantiomers can be found in the Supplementary Materials.



Figure 3. Enantiomers of Carvone.

Each of the four liquid carvone samples was placed into a heated nozzle reservoir and warmed to 95 °C to promote vaporization [23]. Argon was used as a backing gas, and the sample was introduced at 50 psig. A Parker Hannifin<sup>®</sup> (Otsego, MI, USA) Series 9 supersonic nozzle pulsed sample into the chamber at a rate of 3 Hz with 3 FIDs per gas

pulse. In total, 500,000 FIDs, each FID being 20  $\mu$ s in length, were averaged together for each experimental run.

The mixing scheme chosen for all four mixtures is presented in Figure 4. Transitions were selected based on the previous rotational study of carvone by Moreno et al. [24]. This study started by determining, theoretically, the three most stable structures of carvone. These structures are labeled EQ1, EQ2, and EQ3. For another to be submitted work on this molecule, we performed optimization calculations of these conformers at the B3LYP/6-311G++(d,p) level using Gaussian09 [25], and these structures are presented in Figure 5. Of these conformers, EQ2 was determined to be the most stable conformer, with the strongest of these transitions being *b*-type as the dipole moment component ordering is  $\mu_b > \mu_a > \mu_c$ . As a result, it was decided that the optimal mixing scheme would consist of a *c*-type  $\pi/2$  "drive" transition, an *a*-type  $\pi$  "twist" transition, and a *b*-type "listen" transition with antennae colored blue, green, and red corresponding to the transition color scheme in Figure 4. Candidate transition loops fitting this scheme were then determined by utilizing the fitted spectral constants in the Moreno work in SPCAT to predict transition frequencies and quantum numbers.



**Figure 4.** Energy level diagram for the microwave three-wave-mixing transitions of the carvone EQ2 conformation. The  $v_{\text{drive}}$ ,  $v_{\text{twist}}$ , and  $v_{\text{listen}}$  are the *c*-, *a*-, and *b*-type transition frequencies, respectively.



Figure 5. Cont.



**Figure 5.** EQ1, EQ2, and EQ3 conformations of carvone, respectively, as reported by Reference [24]. The conformations are each presented in the principal axis system. EQ2 is the most stable conformer and the one from which the transition cycle of Figure 4 was derived.

The best transition loop for these experiments consisted of a drive pulse centered at 15.8137 GHz, a twist pulse centered at 6.9344 GHz, and a listen transition at 8.8793 GHz. The drive pulse was amplified by a 1 W amplifier for a duration of 7.45  $\mu$ s and broadcast into the chamber via the external horn (again, colored blue) seen in Figure 1. Secondly, the twisting pulse at 6.9344 GHz was amplified with a 40 W power amplifier and broadcast from the antenna labeled green in Figure 1 for a duration of 300 ns. The resultant listening frequency of 8.8793 GHz was received by the red-colored antenna in the schematic in Figure 1, low noise was amplified, and the subsequential FID was recorded. Timings for the drive and twist pulse were achieved by maximizing the coherence signal in a traditional CP-FTMW experiment utilizing the power amplifiers that would be employed in the M3WM experiment. Since maximizing the coherence pulse is assumed to be the  $\pi/2$  condition, this timing was used directly for the drive pulse and doubled for the twist pulse.

#### 3. Results

The results found at the listening frequency of each of the four experiments are presented in Figure 6. For experiments A and B, the "pure" R- (98%) and S-carvone (96%), respectively, the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) was determined to be almost equal: 21:1 and 20:1, respectively. For experiment C, the 1:1 sample mixture, no transition was observed. Lastly, in experiment D, the 3:1 R:S sample mixture resulted in an SNR of 7.8:1.



**Figure 6.** Spectra of the four samples of the enantiomers of carvone were obtained at the listening frequency of 8.8793 GHz. (**A**) Ninety-eight percent R-carvone produced a signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of 21:1. (**B**) Ninety-eight percent S-carvone produced an SNR of 20:1. (**C**) A 1:1 mixture of the R-and S-carvone samples. No transition was observed. (**D**) a 3:1 mixture of R- to S-carvone samples produced an SNR of 7.8:1.

#### 4. Discussion

The results of experiments A, B, and C follow precisely what was observed in previous M3WM experiments, as the "pure" R- and S-carvone are almost identical in SNR, and no signal is observed in the racemic mixture after 500,000 averages. The only difference between the two values may be attributed to the fact that 98% and 96% are minimum purities. To validate this further, we obtained a Certificate of Analysis of the samples from the supplier (found in the Supplementary Materials). The R-carvone sample was determined to be 99.3% pure, while the S-carvone sample was 98.9% pure. This slight difference in purity can easily explain the small difference in SNR (21:1 vs. 20:1) between the two samples.

To explain the results of Experiment D, we must first try to understand the specific SNR expected in a 3:1 mixture and then check if these results match with what would be expected. In order to achieve this, we first present some well-understood principles regarding the enantiomeric excess (*ee*) of a mixture.

The *ee* of a mixture is defined by the following:

$$\% ee = \frac{|R-S|}{R+S} \times 100\%$$
(1)

where *R* and *S* are masses (or volumes) of the R and S enantiomers. Defined for optical rotation, this value is given as:

$$\% \ ee = \frac{|\alpha_{observed}|}{|\alpha_{pure}|} \times 100\%$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Equation (2) comes from the observation that when an absolute racemic mixture is present, the optical rotation of the light is  $0^{\circ}$ . Similarly, it was shown that M3WM experiments exhibit no signal for a racemic mixture because the FID signals deconstructively interfere due to being  $180^{\circ}$  out-of-phase with one another. By taking this similarity into account, then, the same equation may be used to determine the *ee* of a mixture using SNR:

$$\% ee = \frac{|\text{SNR}_{observed}|}{|\text{SNR}_{pure}|} \times 100\%$$
(3)

However, we should note that our "pure" samples are not pure but 99.3% and 98.9% for R- and S-carvone, respectively, resulting in slight differences in our observed pure SNRs. A 3:1 mixture of R-to-S taking the purities into account, should then give a 50.2% *ee* of R-carvone (50% just assuming absolutely pure). By using Equation (3) for the 3:1 mixture and using the results of Experiments A and B, disregarding the small discrepancies in purities give % *ee* of 39% and 37%, respectively.

At first, this result would seem very concerning as the values are almost 25% off from the accepted certificate of analysis. However, the results of experiments A, B, and C, along with some of the literature results, provide the basis for the conclusion that the instrument, as constructed, is fully operational. The first is that the uncertainty in *ee* in previous studies was shown to be approximately  $\pm 5\%$  across 10 experiments [22]. Our experiment falls easily within the 3 s, 95% confidence interval of this work being 11% and 13% off, respectively. Furthermore, the SNR signals of 7.8:1 and 21:1 or 20:1 are lower, to begin with, and it was also shown that chirality determination is greatly increased when signals are above 50:1 in SNR.

However, this result on its own is not satisfactory, so we investigated the FID and FFT information more to understand these results. The first item undertaken was to look at the real and imaginary FFT portions of the pure R- and S-carvone species. They are presented in Figure 7. If the FID signals are more than 90° out-of-phase, they will be opposite in sign in the imaginary part of the FFT. This is exactly the case; however, there are dispersion signals in the real part of the FIDs, indicating that the signals may not be 180° out-of-phase with

one another. The raw FID data, however, contains many manmade signals that overwhelm the traditional microwave signals, even in a common CP-FTMW arrangement. In order to understand the signal, we were only interested in the listen transition at 8.8793 GHz. Thus, we put the signal through a Fourier bandpass filtering process of only allowing  $\pm 0.5$  MHz around the real and imaginary signal at 8.8793 GHz while blanking the rest of the spectrum. From there, an inverse FFT was employed to reconstruct the time domain FID signal in which we were interested. Those FIDs are presented in Figure 8.



**Figure 7.** Real and Imaginary parts of the "pure" (**top**) R-carvone and (**bottom**) S-carvone FFTs at the listening frequency. Notice that the real signal has some dispersion in both samples, but the imaginary part is exactly opposite in sign showing that the signals are uniquely out-of-phase with one another.

"Pure" R-carvone FID



**Figure 8.** The full R-carvone (**top**) and S-carvone (**bottom**) FID signal after math filtering (see text). There is not much phase information that can be gathered from the signal at this level.

The full FIDs of Figure 8, however, do not provide the resolution to see the oscillations of the 8.8793 GHz signal. Therefore, a representative "zoom-in" of each FID is presented in Figure 9, along with an overlay of the two signals. From the overlay, it is apparent that the signals are not, in fact, 180° out-of-phase as our Real and Imaginary FT data implied. In order to show that this spectrometer is fundamentally equivalent to previous M3WM experiments, we need to show that this signal can be shown to give more accurate *ee* measurements than those from the magnitude spectrum alone.





The first determination, then, is to understand how the *ee* measurement depends on the phase in an M3WM experiment. For this, Shubert et al. provide the proportionality [10]:

$$s \propto ee \cdot \cos\left(2\pi vt + \Phi_{MW} + \Phi_{RF} \pm \frac{\pi}{2}\left(1 + \frac{\mu_X \mu_Y \mu_Z}{|\mu_X \mu_Y \mu_Z|}\right)\right)$$
 (4)

where  $\Phi_{MW}$  and  $\Phi_{RF}$  are the phases of the microwave and radiofrequency pulses typically used for the drive and twist pulses, respectively. This leads to an observed phase of the enantiomers,  $\Phi_{obs}$ , at the start of the FID,  $t_r$ , as [10]:

$$\Phi_{obs} = -2\pi v t_r + \Phi_{MW} + \Phi_{RF} \text{ if } \mu_X \mu_Y \mu_Z < 0 \tag{5}$$

$$\Phi_{obs} = -2\pi v t_r + \Phi_{MW} + \Phi_{RF} \pm \pi \text{ if } \mu_X \mu_Y \mu_Z > 0 \tag{6}$$

However, if the drive and twist phases are out-of-phase, then the  $\pm \pi$  of Equation (6) will readjust to the observed phase of the enantiomers. Using Equations (5) and (6) into Equation (4), we can arrive at a new proportionality:

$$s \propto ee \cdot \left| \cos(\Phi_{OBS1} - \Phi_{OBS2}) \right|$$
 (7)

where  $\Phi_{OBS1} - \Phi_{OBS2}$  is the phase difference between the enantiomers.

Using sine function mathematical fitting tools on the pure R- and S-carvone FIDs, we determined the  $\Phi_{OBS1} - \Phi_{OBS2}$  to be 136.6° out-of-phase. The sine fitting tool utilizes a specific number of points (128 in our experiments) of the Fourier-filtered FID and fits them to the function  $f(t) = a \sin(bt + c)$ , where *a* is the amplitude of the FID, *b* is the frequency of the signal, and *c* is the phase. The fitting tool utilizes a Marquart–Levenberg algorithm using the sum of the least-squares deviations as the maximum-likelihood criterion. It should be noted here that all fits had an R<sup>2</sup> value > 0.99999. The mathematically derived 136.6° was in excellent agreement with a much less rigorous Lissajous plot method employed (135.1°). Previous experience with FID averaging in the CP-FTMW experiment shows that there is an uncertainty of  $\pm 0.5$  ps in the time domain. By using this, we can now establish a calibration phase discrepancy of 136.6  $\pm 0.3°$  for the determination of any resultant SNR mixtures. This means we need to adjust Equation (3) to:

$$\% ee = \frac{|\text{SNR}_{observed}|}{|\text{SNR}_{pure}||\cos(\Phi_{OBS1} - \Phi_{OBS2})|} \times 100\%$$
(8)

Using Equation (8), then, for the 3:1 mixture and using the results of Experiments A and B give %*ee* of  $53.7 \pm 0.3\%$  and  $50.9 \pm 0.2\%$ , respectively. These values are in much better agreement with the exact value of 50.2% *ee* given earlier using the certified values and certainly agree with the reported 5% *ee* uncertainty in an M3WM experiment (using S-carvone SNR values, we are <1% off the accepted) [22].

The last question that requires addressing is how is the relatively large twist frequency possible? Patterson and Doyle explain that the twist frequency,  $v_{twist} \leq c/4L$ , where c is the speed of light and L is the characteristic length of the sample [26]. The solenoid valve employed for the supersonic beam is 0.8 mm in diameter. Moreover, it was shown that multiple nozzle beams interact when placed within 20.5 cm of each other. This gives 0.08 cm  $\leq L \leq 20.5$  cm for a scenario with one beam. This beam is not skimmed or columnated in any way. Using  $c = 3.0 \times 10^{10}$  cm/s, 0.366 GHz  $\leq v_{twist} \leq 93.75$  GHz. However, it was shown that the 180° signal persists up to 4 GHz, as has been shown by both Schnell [10] and Pate [3], but starts to become considerably out-of-phase with our value of 6.9344 GHz, perhaps starting to show that the characteristic length of the sample with one supersonic nozzle in the interaction zone is on the order of 10 cm or less. This, along with the tracking of the explicit phase of the pure signals using a calibrant, really allows for any twist frequency to be used as long as the phase discrepancies are tracked, as it is well documented that  $\Phi_{listen} = \Phi_{drive} + \Phi_{twist}$  [27].

We conclude, therefore, that this instrument, although different in multiple ways from previous M3WM experiments, was fully developed and demonstrated to be comparable to or better than other known M3WM techniques when Fourier-filtering techniques are leveraged.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/ 10.3390/sym14050848/s1, Certificate of Analysis of R-(+)-carvone and Certificate of Analysis for S-(-)-carvone.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, N.T.M. and G.S.G.II; methodology, N.T.M.; software, N.T.M.; validation, N.T.M.; formal analysis, N.T.M. and K.W.; investigation, N.T.M.; resources, G.S.G.II; data curation, N.T.M.; writing—original draft preparation, G.S.G.II; writing—review and editing, G.S.G.II, K.W. and N.T.M.; visualization, N.T.M.; supervision, G.S.G.II; project administration, G.S.G.II; funding acquisition, G.S.G.II All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant no. CHE-MRI-2019072.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant no. CHE-MRI-2019072. G.S.G.II would like to thank the guest editors for the invitation to this Special Issue.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Moskvitch, K. Detecting Chirality. Chem. World 2014, Feature Article 7566. Available online: https://www.chemistryworld.com/ features/detecting-chirality/7566 (accessed on 18 March 2022).
- Patterson, D.; Schnell, M.; Doyle, J.M. Enantiomer-specific detection of chiral molecules via microwave spectroscopy. *Nature* 2013, 497, 475–478. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Lobsinger, S.; Perez, C.; Evangelisti, L.; Lehmann, K.K.; Pate, B.H. Molecular Structure and Chirality Detection by Fourier Transform Microwave Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2015**, *6*, 196–200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hirota, E. Triple resonance for a three-level system of a chiral molecule. *Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. B* 2012, *88*, 120–128. [CrossRef]
   [PubMed]
- 5. Gordy, W.; Cook, R.L. Microwave Molecular Spectra. In *Techniques of Chemistry*, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1984; Volume XVIII.
- Lehmann, K.K. Influence of spatial degeneracy on rotational spectroscopy: Three-wave mixing and enantiomeric state separation of chiral molecules. J. Chem. Phys. 2018, 149, 094201. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Leibscher, M.; Giesen, T.F.; Koch, C.P. Principles of enantio-selective excitation in three-wave mixing spectroscopy of chiral molecules. J. Chem. Phys. 2019, 151, 014302. [CrossRef]
- 8. Patterson, D.; Schnell, M. New studies on molecular chirality in the gas phase: Enantiomer differentiation and determination of enantiomeric excess. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*, 11114–11123. [CrossRef]
- 9. Torosov, B.T.; Drewsen, M.; Vitanov, N.V. Efficient and robust chiral resolution by composite pulses. *Phys. Rev. A* **2020**, *101*, 063401. [CrossRef]
- Shubert, V.A.; Schmitz, D.; Medcraft, C.; Krin, A.; Patterson, D.; Doyle, J.M.; Schnell, M. Rotational spectroscopy and three-wave mixing of 4-carvomenthenol: A technical guide to measuring chirality in the microwave regime. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2015, 142, 214201. [CrossRef]
- 11. Shubert, V.A.; Schmitz, D.; Schnell, M. Enantiomer-sensitive spectroscopy and mixture analysis of chiral molecules containing two stereogenic centers—Microwave three-wave mixing of menthone. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **2014**, *300*, 31–36. [CrossRef]
- Domingos, S.R.; Perez, C.; Schnell, M. Sensing Chirality with Rotational Spectroscopy. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2018, 69, 499–519. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Satterthwaite, L.; Perez, C.; Steber, A.L.; Finestone, D.; Broadrup, R.L.; Patterson, D. Enantiomeric Analysis of Chiral Isotopomers via Microwave Three-Wave Mixing. *J. Chem. Phys. A* **2019**, *123*, 3194–3198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Holdren, M.S.; Wu, A.; Smart, T.; Pate, B. WL03. Quantitative determination of enantiomeric excess by microwave three-wave mixing. In Proceedings of the 74th International Symposium on Molecular Spectroscopy University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, IL, USA, 17–21 June 2019.
- 15. Koumarianou, G.; Wang, I.; Satterthwaite, L.; Patterson, D. Assignment-free chirality detection in unknown samples via microwave three-wave mixing. *Commun. Chem.* **2022**, *5*, 31. [CrossRef]
- Guo, Y.; Gong, X.; Ma, S.; Shu, C.-C. Cyclic three-level-pulse-area theorem for enantioselective state transfer of chiral molecules. *Phys. Rev. A* 2022, 105, 013102. [CrossRef]
- 17. Eibenberger, S.; Doyle, J.; Patterson, D. Enantiomer-Specific State Transfer of Chiral Molecules. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2017**, *118*, 123002. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Pratt, D.W.; Pate, B.H. Chiral Imprinting in the Gas Phase. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 16122–16124. [CrossRef]
- Perez, C.; Steber, A.L.; Domingos, S.R.; Krin, A.; Schmitz, D.; Schnell, M. Coherent Enantiomer-Selective Population Enrichment Using Tailored Microwave Fields. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, *56*, 12512–12517. [CrossRef]
- Vitanov, N.V.; Drewsen, M. Highly Efficient Detection and Separation of Chiral Molecules through Shortcuts to Adiabaticity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 2019, 122, 173202. [CrossRef]
- 21. Duerden, A.; Marshall, F.E.; Moon, N.; Swanson, C.; Donnell, K.M.; Grubbs II, G.S. A chirped pulse Fourier transform microwae spectrometer with multi-antenna detection. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **2021**, *376*, 111396. [CrossRef]
- 22. Domingos, S.R.; Pérez, C.; Marshall, M.D.; Leung, H.O.; Schnell, M. Assessing the performance of rotational spectroscopy in chiral analysis. *Chem. Sci.* 2020, *11*, 10863–10870. [CrossRef]
- 23. Sedo, G.; Marshall, F.E.; Grubbs, G.S. Rotational spectra of the low energy conformers observed in the (1R)-(–)-myrtenol monomer. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **2019**, *356*, 32–36. [CrossRef]
- 24. Moreno, J.R.A.; Huet, T.R.; González, J.J.L. Conformational relaxation of S-(+)-carvone and R-(+)-limonene studied by microwave Fourier transform spectroscopy and quantum chemical calculations. *Struct. Chem.* **2013**, *24*, 1163–1170. [CrossRef]
- 25. Frisch, M.J.; Trucks, G.W.; Schlegel, H.B.; Scuseria, G.E.; Robb, M.A.; Cheeseman, J.R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G.A.; et al. *Gaussian 09, Revision A.1*; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2009.

- 26. Patterson, D.; Doyle, J.M. Sensitive Chiral Analysis via Microwave Three-Wave Mixing. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2013**, *111*, 023008. [CrossRef]
- 27. Grabow, J.-U. Fourier Transform Microwave Spectroscopy: Handedness Caught by Rotational Coherence. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 11698–11700. [CrossRef] [PubMed]