### **PAPER • OPEN ACCESS**

Solution of a barrier option Black-Scholes model based on projected differential transformation method

To cite this article: S. O. Edeki and S. E. Fadugba 2021 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1734 012054

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

## You may also like

- Feynman path integral application on deriving black-scholes diffusion equation for european option pricing Briandhika Utama and Acep Purgon
- Convergence of binomial tree methods to Black Scholes model on determining stock option prices Riaman, K Parmikanti, I Irianingsih et al.
- Time-fractional classical Black-Scholes option pricing model via He-separation of variable transformation method for exact solutions S. O. Edeki, G. O. Akinlabi, F. O. Egara et

al.



#### (ECS) 244th Electrochemical Society Meeting

October 8 - 12, 2023 • Gothenburg, Sweden

50 symposia in electrochemistry & solid state science

Abstract submission deadline: April 7, 2023

Read the call for papers & submit your abstract!

This content was downloaded from IP address 165.73.223.226 on 02/02/2023 at 11:23

# Solution of a barrier option Black-Scholes model based on projected differential transformation method

# S.O. Edeki<sup>1\*</sup> and S.E. Fadugba<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Covenant University, Ota, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Ekiti State University, Ado Ekiti, Nigeria

Contact e-mail addresses<sup>:</sup> \*soedeki@yahoo.com, sunday.fadugba@eksu.edu.ng

Abstract. In this article, the solution of the linear variant of a Barrier Option Black-Scholes Model (BOBSM) is considered via a semi-analytical approach referred to as the Projected Differential Transformation Method (PDTM). Similar to the traditional Differential Transformation Method, this new approach demonstrates feasible progress and efficiency of operation. For simplicity of illustrative, the BOBSM is converted to an equivalent heat-like form, and a series-form of the solution (root) is successfully obtained. Hence the PDTM is suggested for both pure and functional sciences for strongly nonlinear differential models with financial applications.

Keywords: Option pricing; Black-Scholes equation; Differential model, Barrier option; closedform solution

#### 1. Introduction

In financial practices, the role of the classical Black-Scholes model (BSM) cannot be overemphasized [1]. This is based on some basic assumptions under which this classical arbitrage pricing theory is built. Nonetheless, some conditions and constraints tell the choice of options to determine the financial transactions. Options trading is a matter of specific relevance and concern owing to its role in the financial system [2-5]. Standard option contracts are exchanged on options markets, and their rates are commonly published. Nonetheless, there is also a need for more tailored option contracts such as exotic options that are structured to adapt to more sophisticated approaches for risk reduction. Among other financial options, the Barrier option is termed contingent upon hitting some stock price, named the barrier, before its expiration [6-9]. The barrier option is either knock-out or knock-in. Here, the barrier path is of great interest since a knock-down becomes worthless in value once the stock price reaches the barrier at any time prior to the specified expiration. On the other hand, a knock-in option only yields a payoff once the barrier is crossed by the stock price. Barrier options are becoming increasingly common, mostly due to the reduced expense of keeping a barrier option as opposed to holding a regular call/put option. Still, exotic options are hard to price as payoff functions rely on the whole direction of the underlying operation, rather than its valuation at a particular time moment [4, 10-13]. It is a path-dependent option, that implies that the payoff depends on the path followed by the

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

International Conference on Recent Trends in Ap	plied Research (ICoRT	AR) 2020	IOP Publishing
Journal of Physics: Conference Series	<b>1734</b> (2021) 012054	doi:10.1088/1742-659	6/1734/1/012054

underlying asset price, which means that the price of the barrier options is extremely sensitive to volatility [5-7]. Barrier options are commonly used in risk management by retail investors, banks, and businesses, and early exercise versatility offers their holders more American-style rights. Since a broad range of exchanged options is of American type, the problem of valuing American options has become an important topic of financial economics [5, 8, 12].

This paper will consider a barrier option pricing model in the Black-Scholes framework with an emphasis on down-and-out call options on a certain barrier term, as follows.

Suppose at time t < T, with S(t) as the stock price at time, t such that K and B are the strike price and barrier option respectively, then the corresponding payoff of a down-and-out call option is defined as:

$$f_0^d(S) = (S_T - K)^+, t < T$$
(1.1)

with *B* being above S(t). The barrier is remarked to be below the initial stock price; otherwise, the option is worthless. The notion of the down-and-out option was nurtured since such goes past the known barrier. The option cannot pay –off anything unless it is guaranteed that  $S_t$  crosses the barrier at some t < T.

#### 2. The Dynamics of the Barrier Option

Let S(t), K, and B be as defined above for t < T. Then, a barrier option is referred to as a traditional option with an additional constraint involving B, such that the following partial Black-Scholes Model (BSM) is satisfied.

$$\begin{cases} M_{t} + rSM_{s} + \frac{1}{2}S^{2}\sigma^{2}M_{ss} - rM = 0\\ M(S,T) = (S-K)^{+}, S \in (0,\infty), \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

where  $M_{(\cdot)}$  denotes partial derivative operator w.r.t. a subscripted variable, M(S,t) is the option value,  $\sigma > 0$ , the volatility parameter, r, the risk-free interest rate, and M(B,t) = 0 is the extra (additional) condition. For simplicity, we intend to reduce (2.1) to its equivalent heat form based on the following change of variables:

$$\begin{cases} w = \ln(SB^{-1}) \Longrightarrow S = Be^{w} \\ \tau = T - t. \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

Thus,

$$\begin{cases} M_{t} = \frac{\partial M}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial M}{\partial \tau} \\ M_{w} = \frac{\partial M}{\partial w} = \frac{\partial M}{\partial S} \frac{\partial S}{\partial w} = S \frac{\partial M}{\partial S} \\ M_{ww} = \frac{\partial^{2} M}{\partial w^{2}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial w} \right) = S \frac{\partial M}{\partial S} + S^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} M}{\partial S^{2}} \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

Putting (2.2) and (2.3) in (2.1), with little algebra, we have:

International Conference on Recent Trends in Applied Research (ICoRTAR) 2020

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

1734 (2021) 012054

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1734/1/012054

$$\begin{cases} M_{\tau} = \left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}\right)M_{w} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}M_{ww} - rM \\ M(w, 0) = \left(Be^{w} - K\right)^{+}, M(0, \tau) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

Suppose we further define:

$$\begin{cases} M(w,\tau) = Be^{\alpha w + \beta \tau} v(w,\tau), \\ \alpha, \beta \ge 0, \end{cases}$$
(2.5)

then, (2.4) yields the heat-like equation of the form:

$$\begin{cases} v_{\tau} = v_{ww}, \\ v(w,0) = \begin{cases} \left(e^{w-\alpha w} - \frac{K}{B}e^{-\alpha w}\right), w > 0, \\ -\left(e^{\alpha w-w} - \frac{K}{B}e^{\alpha w}\right), w < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.6)$$

Note that the classical BSM for European call option is retrievable from (2.4-2.6) for B = 1and  $\alpha = 0$ .

Financial models and the likes are, in most cases, in the form of ordinary or partial differential equations [14-16]. Few of these differential models have known exact solutions. However, obtaining the solutions of some of these seems tedious and time-consuming; this is even when the existence of the solutions is guaranteed [17-25]. Thus, a lot of numerical approaches have been proposed and adopted; notwithstanding, better approaches are anticipated [26-37]. In this regard, the Barrier option model built on the classical BSM is reduced to an equivalent heat-like equation, and a fast and efficient semi-analytical method is proposed [38].

#### 2.1 Remarks on the PDTM

In this section, the basic concepts and procedures regarding the proposed method (PDTM) are presented [38].

Let q(x, t) defined on a given domain, G, be an analytic function, at a specified point  $(x_0, t_0)$ , such that the Taylor series expansion of q(x,t), is ascertained. Then, the projected differential transform of q(x,t) and its inverse projected differential transform are defined and represented respectively as:

$$Q(x, l) = \frac{1}{l!} \left[ \frac{\partial^{l} q(x, t)}{\partial t^{l}} \right]_{t=t_{0}}$$

$$q(x, t) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} Q(x, l) (t-t_{0})^{l}$$
(2.7)

The following properties (P1-P5) and theorems associated with the method of solution are noted as follows in Table 1:

Table 1: Some Basic Properties of the PDTM			
Property	Original function form	Projected Transform form	
<i>P1</i>	$q(x, t) = \alpha q_a(x, t) + \beta q_b(x, t)$	$Q(x, \dot{h}) = \alpha Q_a(x, l) + \beta Q_b(x, l)$	
P2	$q(x, t) = \alpha \frac{\partial^n q_*(x, t)}{\partial t^n},$	$l!z(x, l) = \alpha(l+n)!Q_*(x, l+n)$	
<i>P3</i>	$q(x, t) = \alpha \frac{\partial q_*(x, t)}{\partial t}$	$l!Q(x, l) = \alpha(l+1)!Q_*(x, l+1)$	
P4	$q(x, t) = f(x) \frac{\partial^{n} q_{*}(x, t)}{\partial x^{n}}$	$Q(x, l) = f(x) \frac{\partial^{n} Q_{*}(x, l)}{\partial x^{n}}$	
P5	$q(x, t) = f(x)q_*^2(x, t),$	$Q(x, l) = f(x) \sum_{i=0}^{l} Q_*(x, i) Q_*(x, l-i).$	

Table 1. Come Desis Dregenties of the DDTM

#### 3. Applications

In this section, the proposed method is applied to the derived model in (2.6) for w > 0, hence, we have: c

$$\begin{cases} v_{\tau} = v_{ww}, \\ v(w,0) = \left(e^{w-\alpha w} - \frac{K}{B}e^{-\alpha w}\right), w > 0. \end{cases}$$

$$(3.1)$$

As such, taking the PDT of (3.1) gives:

$$PDT\left(v_{\tau} = v_{ww}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \left(V\left(w, l+1\right) = \frac{1}{l+1}V_{ww}\left(w, l\right)\right), \ l = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \\ V\left(w, 0\right) = \left(e^{(1-\alpha)w} - \frac{K}{B}e^{-\alpha w}\right) \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

such that:

$$v(w,\tau) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} V(w, l)\tau^{l}.$$
(3.3)

From (3.2), for  $l = 0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots$ , the following are respectively obtained:

$$V(w,l+1) = \frac{1}{l+1} V_{ww}(w,l)$$

$$V(w,1) = V_{ww}(w,0) = \left( \left(1-\alpha\right)^2 e^{(1-\alpha)w} - \frac{K}{B} \alpha^2 e^{-\alpha w} \right)$$

$$V(w,2) = \frac{1}{2} V_{ww}(w,1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \left(1-\alpha\right)^4 e^{(1-\alpha)w} - \frac{K}{B} \alpha^4 e^{-\alpha w} \right)$$

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

1734 (2021) 012054

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1734/1/012054

$$V(w,3) = \frac{1}{3} V_{ww}(w,2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} \left( (1-\alpha)^{6} e^{(1-\alpha)w} - \frac{K}{B} \alpha^{6} e^{-\alpha w} \right)$$

$$V(w,4) = \frac{1}{4} V_{ww}(w,3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left( (1-\alpha)^{8} e^{(1-\alpha)w} - \frac{K}{B} \alpha^{8} e^{-\alpha w} \right)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$V(w,j) = \frac{1}{j} \left( (1-\alpha)^{2j} e^{(1-\alpha)w} - \frac{K}{B} \alpha^{2j} e^{-\alpha w} \right), j = 1,2,3, \cdots$$

$$\therefore v(w,\tau) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} V(w,l)\tau^{l}$$

$$= v(w,0) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} V(w,j)\tau^{j} \qquad (3.4)$$

$$= \left( e^{(1-\alpha)w} - \frac{K}{B} e^{-\alpha w} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{j} \left( (1-\alpha)^{2j} e^{(1-\alpha)w} - \frac{K}{B} \alpha^{2j} e^{-\alpha w} \right) \right] \tau^{j}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{cases} M(w,\tau) = Be^{\alpha w + \beta \tau} v(w,\tau) \\ = Be^{\alpha w + \beta \tau} \left\{ \left( e^{(1-\alpha)w} - \frac{K}{B} e^{-\alpha w} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{j} \left( \left(1-\alpha\right)^{2j} e^{(1-\alpha)w} - \frac{K}{B} \alpha^{2j} e^{-\alpha w} \right) \right] \tau^{j} \right\}.$$
(3.5)

Hence, for  $\tau = T - t$ , and  $w = \ln(SB^{-1})$  the option value, M(S,t), is obtained.

We remarked here that (3.5) would serve as a benchmark for comparison using alternative methods for further researches.

#### 4. Conclusions

This research initiated the formulation of the Projected Differential Transformation Method (PDTM) for approximate-analytical approaches to the linear form of the Barrier Option Pricing Model in the framework of the classical Black-Scholes equation. The problem has been solved without a variablediscretizing call. The result obtained suggested that the PDTM was efficient and accurate. The results were presented in a series form with fewer interventions in the computational period. Therefore the method is recommended for application in applied sciences for strongly nonlinear differential and other associated financial models.

#### Acknowledgment

CUCRID department of Covenant University is sincerely appreciated for the provision of a good working environment and all forms of support.

#### References

F. Black and M. Scholes, The pricing of options and corporate liabilities, J. Political [1] *Economics*, **81**, (1973), 637-354.

- [2] G. Barone-Adesi, R. Whaley, An efficient analytic approximation of American option values, J. Finance 42 (1987) 301–320.
- [3] M. Broadie, J. Detemple, American options valuations: new bounds, approximations and a comparison of existing methods, Rev. Financ. Stud. 9 (1996) 1211–1250.
- [4] C.N de Ponte, Pricing barrier options with numerical methods, Unpublished Dissertation in Applied Mathematics at the Potchefstroom campus of the North-West University.
- [5] D. Juna, HyejinKu, Analytic solution for American barrier options with two barriers, J. Math.Anal.Appl.422(2015)408–423.
- [6] S. Meena, and J. Vernold Vivin, Solution of Black-Scholes Equation on Barrier Option, Journal of Informatics and Mathematical Sciences, 9,3, 775–780, 2017.
- [7] P. Carr, D.B. Madan, Option valuation using the fast Fourier transform, J. Comput. Finance 3 (1999) 463–520.
- [8] S.L. Chung, M.W. Hung, J.Y. Wang, Tight bounds on American option prices, J. Bank. Finance 34 (2010) 77–89.
- [9] J.E. Ingersoll Jr., Digital contracts: simple tools for pricing complex derivatives, J. Business 73 (2000) 67–88.
- [10] S.D. Jacka, Optimal stopping and the American put, Math. Finance 1 (1991) 1–14.
- [11] H.E. Johnson, An analytic approximation for the American put price, J. Financ. Quant. Anal. 18 (1983) 141–148.
- [12] D. Jun, H. Ku, Cross a barrier to reach barrier options, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 389 (2012) 968– 978.
- [13] F.A. Longstaff, E.S. Schwartz, Valuing American options by simulation: a simple least-squares approach, Rev. Financ. Stud. 14 (2001) 113–147.
- [14] S.O. Edeki, O.O. Ugbebor, and E.A. Owoloko, He's polynomials for analytical solutions of the Black-Scholes pricing model for stock option valuation, *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering* 2016, Vol II, WCE 2016, June 29 - July 1, 2016, London, U.K.
- [15] D. Kaya, S. M. El-Sayed, A numerical solution of the Klein-Gordon equation and convergence of the decomposition method, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 156 (2004), 341-353.
- [16] S. Dong, M. Lozada-Cassou, Exact solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation with scalar and vector ring-shaped potentials, *Physica Scripta*, 74 (2), (2006), 285-287.
- [17] O. Abu Arqub, A. EI-Ajou, Z. Al Zhour, S. Momani, Multiple solutions of nonlinear boundary value problems of fractional order: A new analytic iterative technique, *Entropy* (16), (2014): 471–493.
- [18] G.-C. Wu, D. Baleanu, S.-D. Zeng, W.-H. Luo, Mittag-Leffler function for discrete fractional Modelling, *Journal of King Saud University*-Science, (28), (2016): 99–102.
- [19] G.O. Akinlabi., R.B. Adeniyi, Sixth-order and fourth-order hybrid boundary value methods for systems of boundary value problems, WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics, vol. 17, pp. 258-264, 2018.
- [20] R.K. Gazizov, N.H. Ibragimov, Lie Symmetry Analysis of Differential Equations in Finance, *Nonlinear Dynam*, **17** (1998):387-407.
- [21] A.A. Obayomi, A new monotonically stable discrete model for the solution of differential equations emanating from the evaporating raindrop, *Journal of Mathematical and Computational Science*, vol. 10, pp. 40-50, 2020.
- [22] G. O. Akinlabi, R.B. Adeniyi, E.A. Owoloko, The solution of boundary value problems with mixed boundary conditions via boundary value methods, *International Journal of Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 12, pp. 1-6, 2018.
- [23] S.E. Fadugba, and J.T., Okunlola, Solution of the Black-Scholes partial differential equation for the vanilla options via the reduced differential transform method, *International Journal of Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 30, pp. 76-85, 2019.

- [24] S.E. Fadugba, and A.O. Ajayi, Alternative approach for the solution of the Black-Scholes partial differential equation for European call option, *Open Access Library Journal*, **2**, 1-8, 2015.
- [25] A.M. Wazwaz, M.S. Mehanna, The combined Laplace–Adomian method for handling singular integral equation of heat transfer, *Int J Nonlinear Sci.*, 10 (2010), 248-52.
- [26] S. O. Edeki, and G. O. Akinlabi, Coupled method for solving time-fractional navier stokes equation, *International Journal of Circuits, Systems and Signal Processing*, 12 (2017), 27-34.
- [27] S.O. Edeki, and G.O. Akinlabi, Zhou Method for the Solutions of System of Proportional Delay Differential Equations, *MATEC Web of Conferences* 125, 02001 (2017).
- [28] G.O. Akinlabi and S.O. Edeki, On Approximate and Closed-form Solution Method for Initialvalue Wave-like Models, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 107(2), (2016), 449-456.
- [29] H. K. Mishra and A. K. Nagar, He-Laplace Method for Linear and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, (2012), 1-16.
- [30] M.S.H. Chowdhury, I. Hashim, Application of homotopy-perturbation method to Klein–Gordon and sine-Gordon equations, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 39 (2009), 1928–1935.
- [31] S.O. Edeki, G.O. Akinlabi, and S. A. Adeosun, On a modified transformation method for exact and approximate solutions of linear Schrödinger equations, *AIP Conference Proceedings* 1705, 020048 (2016); doi: 10.1063/1.4940296.
- [32] B. Batiha, A variational iteration method for solving the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation, *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 3(4), (2009), 3876-3890.
- [33] K.C. Basak, P.C. Ray and R.K. Bera, Solution of nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation with a quadratic nonlinear term by Adomian decomposition method. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 14 (3), (2009), 718-723.
- [34] Y. Keskin, S. Servi, G. Oturanc, Reduced differential transform method for solving Klein-Gordon equations, Proceedings of the World Congress on engineering 2011 Vol 1, WCE 2011, July 6-8, 2011, London, U.K.
- [35] O.P. Ogundile, S.O. Edeki, Approximate analytical solutions of linear stochastic differential models based on Karhunen-Loéve expansion with finite series terms, *Communications in Mathematical Biology and Neuroscience*. 2020 (2020)
- [36] O.P. Ogundile, S.O. Edeki, Karhunen-Loéve expansion of Brownian motion for approximate solutions of linear stochastic differential models using Picard iteration, *Journal of Mathematics and Computational Science.*, 10 (2020), 1712-1723
- [37] S.A. Ahmed and T.M. Elzaki, A Comparative Study of Sumudu Decomposition Method and Sumudu Projected Differential Transform Method, *World Applied Sciences Journal*, **31**(10), (2014): 1704-1709.
- [38] S.O. Edeki, O.O. Ugbebor, E.A. Owoloko, Analytical Solutions of the Black–Scholes Pricing Model for European Option Valuation via a Projected Differential Transformation Method, *Entropy*, **17** 11), (2015): 7510-7521.