Washington University School of Medicine Digital Commons@Becker

2020-Current year OA Pubs

Open Access Publications

6-1-2022

Toll-like receptor and cytokine expression throughout the bone marrow differs between patients with low- and high-risk myelodysplastic syndromes

Luana Chiquetto Paracatu Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Darlene A Monlish Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Zev J Greenberg Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Daniel A C Fisher Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Matthew J Walter Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

See next page for additional authors Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4

Part of the Medicine and Health Sciences Commons Please let us know how this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Paracatu, Luana Chiquetto; Monlish, Darlene A; Greenberg, Zev J; Fisher, Daniel A C; Walter, Matthew J; Oh, Stephen T; and Schuettpelz, Laura G, "Toll-like receptor and cytokine expression throughout the bone marrow differs between patients with low- and high-risk myelodysplastic syndromes." Experimental Hematology. 110, 47 - 59. (2022). https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4/1120

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by the Open Access Publications at Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2020-Current year OA Pubs by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact vanam@wustl.edu.

Authors

Luana Chiquetto Paracatu, Darlene A Monlish, Zev J Greenberg, Daniel A C Fisher, Matthew J Walter, Stephen T Oh, and Laura G Schuettpelz

This open access publication is available at Digital Commons@Becker: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/oa_4/1120

ARTICLE

Toll-like receptor and cytokine expression throughout the bone marrow differs between patients with low- and high-risk myelodysplastic syndromes



Luana Chiquetto Paracatu^a, Darlene A. Monlish^a, Zev J. Greenberg^a, Daniel A.C. Fisher^b, Matthew J. Walter^c, Stephen T. Oh^b, and Laura G. Schuettpelz^{a1}

^aDepartment of Pediatrics, Division of Hematology/Oncology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO; ^bDepartment of Medicine, Division of Hematology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO; ^cDepartment of Medicine, Division of Oncology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO

Myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) are hematopoietic stem cell disorders, the pathogenesis of which involves enhanced immune signaling that promotes or selects for mutant hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs). In particular, toll-like receptor (TLR) expression and signaling are enhanced in MDS, and their inhibition is an attractive therapeutic strategy. Although prior studies have reported increased expression of TLR2 and its binding partners TLR1 and TLR6 in the CD34⁺ cells of patients with MDS (especially those with low-risk disease), TLR expression in other cell types throughout the bone marrow is largely unknown. To address this, we used mass cytometry to assess the expression of TLR1, TLR2, and TLR6 and cytokines in the bone marrow hematopoietic cells of six low/intermediate-risk and six high-risk unmatched MDS bone marrow samples, as well as healthy controls, both at baseline and in response to TLR agonists. We observed several consistent differences between the groups. Most notably, TLR expression was upregulated in multiple cell populations in the low/intermediate-risk, but not high-risk, patients. In addition, many cytokines, including interleukin-6, interleukin-8, tumor necrosis factor α , transforming growth factor β , macrophage inflammatory protein 1 β , and granzyme B, were highly expressed from various cell types in low/ intermediate-risk patients. However, these same cytokines, with the exception of transforming growth factor β, were expressed at lower levels in high-risk MDS. Together, these findings highlight the differential role of inflammation, and specifically TLR expression, in low/intermediate- versus high-risk MDS, and suggest that elevated TLR expression and cytokine production in multiple cell types likely influences the pathogenesis of MDS in lower-risk patients. © 2022 ISEH - Society for Hematology and Stem Cells. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/

HIGHLIGHTS

- Bone marrow cell populations differ between low/intermediateand high-risk MDS.
- TLRs are highly expressed in multiple cell types in low/intermediate-risk MDS.
- Cytokines were produced by these same cell types in low/intermediate-risk MDS.
- Further exposure to TLR agonists did not enhance cytokine production in most MDS.

Affecting up to 20,000 people per year in the United States, the myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) are a heterogeneous group of hematopoietic stem cell disorders characterized by ineffective hematopoiesis and a high risk of transformation to acute leukemia [1,2]. The pathogenesis of MDS involves enhanced innate immune signaling that promotes or selects for mutant hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs). In particular, the expression of multiple toll-like receptors (TLRs), especially TLR2, is increased in the CD34⁺ HSPCs of patients with MDS [3-7]. TLRs are a family of pattern recognition receptors that recognize pathogens as well as endogenous byproducts of cellular damage, and signaling through the TLRs leads to the production of proinflammatory cytokines. Exposure to TLR ligands influences the differentiation, cycling, and survival of HSPCs, and chronic TLR signaling impairs normal HSPC function [8-13]. We and others have reported that TLR signaling regulates these processes in HSPCs via both cell autonomous and cell non-autonomous mechanisms [14,15]. The association between elevated TLR2 and low-risk MDS has prompted enthusiasm for targeting TLR2 signaling therapeutically, with a TLR2 inhibitory antibody (Tomaralimab,

0301-472X/© 2022 ISEH – Society for Hematology and Stem Cells. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exphem.2022.03.011

Offprint requests to: Laura G. Schuettpelz, Department of Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine, 600 South Euclid Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63110; E-mail: schuettpelz_l@wustl.edu.

Opsona Therapeutics, Dublin, Ireland) now having completed a phase I/II trial for the treatment of low-risk MDS patients that have failed front-line therapy [16].

Although multiple prior studies have found increased expression of TLRs, particularly TLR2 and its binding partners, TLR1 and TLR6, in the CD34⁺ cells of patients with MDS [3, 5-7], the expression of these TLRs in other cell types throughout the bone marrow is largely unknown. TLRs are normally expressed in a variety of hematopoietic cells [11,17-19], and their expression can be upregulated by inflammatory cytokines and TLR ligands [20-22]. Given that circulating levels of inflammatory cytokines and TLR ligands are enhanced in patients with MDS [23,24]. and inflammatory stimuli can upregulate TLR expression on various bone marrow cell types, we hypothesized that TLR expression may be broadly increased throughout the bone marrow of patients with MDS. Further, studies have found that MDS-driving mutations may involve both myeloid and lymphoid cell lineages [25-27]. Next, as TLR expression in CD34⁺ cells is generally more robust in lower-risk patients [3,7], we predicted that the expression of TLRs throughout the marrow would similarly differ in lowerrisk versus high-risk patients. Finally, TLR signaling promotes the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines [28], and we thus predicted that such cytokines would be produced by multiple cell types throughout the marrow in patients with MDS, particularly those with lower-risk disease.

Herein, we used mass cytometry by time of flight (CyTOF) to assess the expression of TLR1, TLR2, TLR6, and cytokines in bone marrow hematopoietic cells, both at baseline and in response to TLR agonists, of patients with low/intermediate- or high-risk MDS compared with healthy controls. As predicted, TLR expression was widely upregulated in multiple bone marrow populations in the low/intermediate-risk patients, including monocytes, granulocytes, B cells, T cells, natural killer (NK) cells, and stem and progenitor cells. In contrast, TLR expression was relatively low throughout the marrow in high-risk patients. Furthermore, multiple cytokines, including interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8, tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α), transforming growth factor β (TGF β), macrophage inflammatory protein 1 β (MIP1 β), and granzyme B, were highly expressed from various cell types in low/intermediate-risk patients. These same cytokines, however, with the exception of TGF β , were generally expressed at lower levels in patients with high-risk MDS. Given that TLR signaling regulates HSPCs via both cell autonomous and cell non-autonomous mechanisms, these new data suggest that elevated TLR expression and cytokine production in multiple cell types likely influence the pathogenesis of MDS in low/intermediate-risk patients.

METHODS

Patient Samples

Patient and healthy donor bone marrow samples were obtained with written informed consent per protocols approved by the Washington University Human Studies Committee. Samples from six high-risk MDS patients, six low- or intermediate-risk (based on the Revised International Prognostic Scoring System [IPSS-R] score) MDS patients, and five controls (healthy adult volunteers) were analyzed (Supplementary Table E1, online only, available at www.exphem. org). MDS samples were selected based on having not had prior treatment and availability of sufficient cells for CyTOF analysis. Bone marrow mononuclear cells were obtained by Ficoll gradient

extraction and cryopreserved before analysis. Plasma was obtained from the same MDS patients. Healthy donor plasma was obtained from different donors than those used for mass cytometry (Supplementary Table E1).

Mass Cytometry

Samples were processed for surface staining and intracellular staining as described previously [29-31]. EQ Four Element Calibration Beads were used during collection, according to the manufacturer's instructions (Fluidigm, South San Francisco, CA). Metal-tagged antibodies were purchased from Fluidigm or custom conjugated using the Maxpar X8 Antibody Labeling Kit (Fluidigm), according to the manufacturer's instructions. All custom antibodies were titrated with primary human bone marrow cells. Three million whole bone marrow cells from healthy donors and MDS patients were incubated with PAM₂CSK₄ (1 µg/mL, InvivoGen, San Diego, CA), PAM₃CSK₄ (1 μ g/mL, InvivoGen), or vehicle control for 4 hours at 37°C in a 5% CO_2 incubator, adding a 500 × secretion inhibitor after 2 hours of stimulation. For staining, 3×10^6 bone marrow cells were stained with surface antibodies (Supplementary Table E2, online only, available at www.exphem.org) for 1 hour at 4°C in CyFACS buffer (0.1% bovine serum albumin [BSA], 0.02% NaN2, 2 mmol/L ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA] in CyPBS; Rockland Immunochemicals, Gibertsville, PA). After surface staining, cells were washed with CyPBS before staining for viability with 2.5 μ mol/L cisplatin (Sigma-Aldrich, Burlington, MA), followed by a wash with CyFACS, and fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde for 15 minutes at room temperature. For intracellular staining, cells were washed and resuspended with 1 \times eBioscience Perm Buffer (0.1% saponin and 0.009% sodium azide) followed by intracellular staining for 1 hour at 4°C. Cells were washed and stained with a Cell-ID intercalator according to the manufacturer's directions (Fluidigm). Samples were analyzed on a CyTOF2 mass cytometer (Fluidigm) and data were analyzed using Cytobank (cytobank.org) for both conventional cell population gating and viSNE analysis.

Cytokine Analysis by Proteome Profiler Human Cytokine Array

Peripheral blood plasma collected under standard protocols, from one healthy donor, three low/intermediate-risk MDS patients, and six high-risk MDS patients, was stored at -80°C. Plasma from the same MDS patients as analyzed with CyTOF were used. Samples were assessed using the Proteome Profiler Human Cytokine Array (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Densitometry was performed using ImageJ (National Institutes of Health [NIH], Bethesda, MD).

Cytokine Analysis by ELISA

Peripheral blood plasma from four healthy donors, as well as the same six low/intermediate-risk and six high-risk MDS patients from the CyTOF studies, was stored at -80° C. IL-6 (Human IL-6 DuoSet ELISA), IL-8 (DuoSet ELISA lenzyme-linked immunosorbent assayl Human IL-8/CXCL8), TGF β (human TGF β 1), and TNF α (Quanti-kine ELISA human TNF α) were measured according to kit instructions (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) and read at 450 nm with a SpectraMax 340PC plate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).

Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as the mean \pm SEM, unless stated otherwise. Statistical significance was assessed using an unpaired, two-tailed Student *t* test or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA) was used for all analyses. In all cases, **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001, and *****p* < 0.001.

RESULTS

Bone Marrow Population Frequencies Differ Between Low-Risk and High-Risk MDS

To assess TLR and cytokine expression, we performed single-cell CyTOF on six low/intermediate-risk and six high-risk MDS bone marrow samples, as well as five healthy adult control marrow samples (Supplementary Table E1). A 38-parameter panel including TLR1, TLR2, TLR6, other surface markers for immunophenotyping, and intracellular cytokines (Supplementary Table E2) was used to identify hematopoietic populations, TLR expression, and cytokine production. Both conventional gating (Figure 1A; Supplementary Figure E1, online only, available at www.exphem.org) and viSNE (Figure 1B) were used to identify T cells, B cells, CD14⁺ monocytes, CD16⁺ granulocytes, CD56^{high} NK cells, CD34⁺CD38⁻ HSPCs, CD34⁺CD61⁺ megakaryoblasts, and both early and late erythroblasts. Comparing the frequency of these populations using manual gating (Figure 1A; Supplementary Figure E1), we noted several differences between the MDS and healthy control samples. Most notably, CD14⁺ monocytes were significantly reduced in high-risk MDS patients compared with controls, and CD19⁺ B cells and CD71⁺CD235⁻ early erythroblasts trended lower in low/intermediate-risk patients compared with healthy controls. In addition, CD34⁺CD38⁻ HSPCs trended higher in high-risk patients with MDS compared with controls. viSNE plots of individual samples from each group illustrating the main differences in the populations are provided in Figure 1B.

TLR Expression Is Increased in Multiple Cell Types in Low/ Intermediate-Risk MDS

Next, we evaluated the expression of TLR1, TLR2, and TLR6 in the aforementioned populations. Overall, expression of all three TLRs was increased in multiple cell types in low/intermediate-risk patients compared with controls, including CD14⁺ monocytes, CD16⁺ granulocytes, B cells, T cells, CD56^{high} NK cells, CD34⁺CD38⁻ HSPCs, erythroblasts, and CD34⁺CD61⁺ megakaryoblasts (Figure 1C–E). In contrast, TLR expression was relatively low in high-risk patients, with the exception of elevated TLR1 or TLR6 in various populations in some of the high-risk samples (Figure 1C–E).

Cytokine production differs between low- and high-risk MDS

Signaling through the TLRs leads to the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, which have been reported to be dysregulated in patients with MDS compared with healthy controls [32]. We therefore next assessed cytokine production throughout the aforementioned bone marrow populations, both at baseline and in response to TLR agonists. Our panel limitations did not allow for assessment of all potentially relevant cytokines, but included many that have been previously implicated in MDS (Supplementary Table E2) [32]. Specifically, we divided each of the patient and control samples into three different conditions, and incubated them with the TLR2/6 agonist PAM₂CSK₄, the TLR1/2 agonist PAM₃CSK₄, or vehicle control for 4 hours at 37°C before preparation for mass cytometry analysis. Overall, baseline cytokine expression was higher in low/intermediate-risk MDS compared with high-risk patients, with multiple cell types throughout the marrow producing elevated levels of IL-6, IL-8, TNF α , MIP1 β , and granzyme B compared with healthy controls (Figure 2A,B; Supplementary Figure E2, online only, available at www.exphem.org). One exception to this finding is TGF β , which was produced at high levels by multiple cell types throughout the marrow in high-risk patients (Figure 2A,B). Notably, in most cases, cytokine production in the MDS samples was not further enhanced by treatment with TLR agonists (Figure 2B).

Focusing on individual cell types, CD14⁺ monocytes produced multiple cytokines, with mean expression higher than that of controls (Figure 3A) and a trend toward a greater percentage of cells producing IL-6, IL-8, and MIP1 β at baseline in low/intermediate-risk patients compared with healthy controls (Figures 3B-E). Although production of these same cytokines (IL-6, IL-8, and MIP1 β) increased in CD14⁺ cells in the control samples in response to the TLR agonists (Figure 3B–G), cytokine production did not change significantly overall in the MDS samples in response to treatment (Figure 3B-G; Supplementary Figure E3, online only, available at www.exphem.org). This is further illustrated in Supplementary Figure E3A-C, where patient and control samples are color-coded. An increased percentage of CD14⁺ cells produced IL-6, IL-8, and MIP1 β in response to PAM₂CSK₄ or PAM₃CSK₄ among all of the healthy controls; however, this response was blunted in the majority of the low/intermediate-risk patient samples (with the exception of LR5, purple square) and the majority of the high-risk patient samples (with the exception of HR1, red dot). Finally, although CD14⁺ cells are reduced in patients with high-risk MDS (Figure 1A,B), they are a source of TGF β in these patients (Figure 3F).

CD8⁺ T cells from low/intermediate-risk patients produced significantly higher baseline levels of IL-6 than those from high-risk patients (Figure 4A,B). CD19⁺ B cells from low/intermediate-risk patients produced higher mean baseline levels of multiple cytokines than those from healthy controls (Figure 5A), and had a higher percentage of cells producing TNF α , IL-6, IL-21, and MIP1 β , than high-risk MDS or healthy controls (Figure 5B–G). CD56^{high} NK cells from low/intermediate-risk patients also had elevated mean expression values for multiple cytokines (Supplementary Figure E4A, online only, available at www.exphem.org), as well as a higher percentage of cells producing IL-6, TNF α , MIP1 β , and interferon γ (IFN γ) than high-risk patients (Supplementary Figure E4B–H). Again, cytokine production in MDS patients was generally not increased in CD8⁺ T cells, CD19⁺ B cells, or NK cells with TLR agonist exposure.

Both CD34⁺CD61⁺ megakaryoblasts and CD71⁺CD235⁺ erythroblasts from low/intermediate-risk patients generated multiple cytokines at higher mean expression values than healthy controls (Supplementary Figure E5A,F, online only, available at www.exphem. org), with significantly more megakaryoblasts from low/intermediaterisk patients WITH MDS producing IL-6, TNF α , and MIP1 β than those from high-risk patients (Supplementary Figure E5B–E), and more erythroblasts from low/intermediate-risk patients producing IL-6 and MIP1 β than those from high-risk patients (Supplementary Figure E5G–J, online only).

CD16⁺ granulocytes from low/intermediate-risk patients produced multiple cytokines at higher mean expression values than healthy controls (Supplementary Figure E6A, online only, available at



Figure 1 Bone marrow population frequencies and toll-like receptor (TLR0 expression differ between low/intermediate- and high-risk myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). (A) Frequency of populations as manually gated in the bone marrow of patients with low/intermediate-risk MDS, high-risk MDS, and healthy controls. (B) viSNE map of single representative control and low/intermediate-risk and high-risk MDS bone marrow with different populations as indicated. (C) viSNE analysis of single representative samples from each cohort, revealing the expression of TLR1, TLR2, and TL6 in healthy donors and low/intermediate-risk and high-risk MDS bone marrow. (D) Heatmap of TLR1, TLR2, and TLR6 mean staining in the indicated populations in the bone marrow of low/intermediate- versus high-risk MDS. Tile colors represent the arcsinh ratio of the mean signal intensity normalized to healthy controls. Note that the samples in all heatmaps throughout the study are organized as follows, in order from left to right: patients low/intermediate-risk (LR) 1–6 and high-risk (HR) 1–6. The same data as in (D) are represented as bar graphs in (E), with the healthy control samples set at zero. Statistical significance was determined by a two-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. **p < 0.01.



Figure 2 Cytokine production differs between patients with low/intermediate-risk and those with high-risk myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). Shown are results for cytokines with consistent differences in multiple cell types between low/intermediate- and high-risk MDS and controls. (**A**) Heatmaps of the mean staining of the indicated proinflammatory cytokines in the indicated populations in bone marrow of low/intermediate- and high-risk MDS patients. Tile colors represent the arcsinh ratio of the mean signal intensity normalized to healthy controls. (**B**) viSNE analysis of single representative samples from each cohort indicating populations expressing the proinflammatory cytokines at baseline (vehicle) and in response to the TLR2/6 agonist PAM₂CSK₄ or the TLR1/2 agonist PAM₃CSK₄.



Figure 3 Cytokine expression in CD14⁺ monocytes. (**A**) Heatmap of mean staining of the indicated cytokines in CD14⁺ monocytes in low/intermediate-risk and high-risk patients stimulated with PAM₂CSK₄ (TLR2/6 agonist), PAM₃CSK₄ (TLR1/2 agonist), or vehicle controls. Tile colors represent the arcsinh ratio of the mean signal intensity normalized to healthy controls. (**B**-**F**) Quantification of the percentage of CD14⁺ cells expressing the indicated cytokines from the indicated groups, including (**B**) interleukin (IL)-6, (**C**) IL-8, (**D**) tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α), (**E**) macrophage inflammatory protein 1 β (MIP1 β), and (**F**) transforming growth factor β (TGF β). (**G**) Biaxial plot illustrating gating for IL-8 in CD14⁺ monocytes with PAM₂CSK₄ and PAM₃CSK₄ in representative healthy control and low/intermediate- and high-risk MDS samples. Statistical significance was determined by two-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01.



Figure 4 Cytokine expression in CD8⁺ T cells. (**A**) Heatmap of mean staining of the indicated cytokines in CD8⁺ T cells in low/intermediate-risk and high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) patients stimulated with PAM₂CSK₄ (TLR2/6 agonist), PAM₃CSK₄ (TLR1/2 agonist), or vehicle controls. Tile colors represent the arcsinh ratio of the mean signal intensity normalized to healthy controls. (**B**–**D**) Quantification of the percentage of CD8⁺ T cells expressing the indicated cytokines from the indicated groups, including (**B**) interleukin (IL-6), (**C**) transforming growth factor β (TGF β), and (**D**) Granzyme B. Statistical significance was determined by two-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. *p < 0.05. *TLR*=toll-like receptor.

www.exphem.org). Again, cytokine production was not significantly enhanced with TLR agonist treatment (Supplementary Figure E6A –D). Another gated population, CD11b⁺HLA-DR⁺CD14⁻CD16⁻ myeloid cells from low/intermediate-risk patients, similarly generated multiple cytokines (Supplementary Figure E6E), with trends toward more cells producing IL-6 and TNF α than in healthy controls or highrisk patients (Supplementary Figure E6F–H).

Finally, CD34⁺CD38⁻ cells were notable for robust baseline production of TGF β in most of the high-risk patients (Figure 6A,H). Also, unlike most of the other cells studied, production of IL-8 and MIP1 β trended higher overall with TLR agonist treatment in both controls and MDS samples (including high-risk patients in which TLR2 expression was relatively low; Figure 1D), as seen in Figure 6B, D, and F. This is further illustrated with color coding of individual patients in Supplementary Figure E3D–F. Most of the LR patients and two of the HR patients (HR2, *blue dot*; HR5, *purple dot*) produced IL-8 and MIP1 β in response to PAM₂CSK₄ or PAM₃CSK₄ exposure (Supplementary Figure E3D–F). Overall, the percentage of CD34⁺CD38⁻ cells expressing IL-6 and MIP1 β was higher in the low/intermediate-risk MDS patients than in the high-risk patients with MDS (Figure 6C–H).

In addition to analyzing bone marrow cytokine production, we assessed peripheral blood plasma cytokine levels in the same patients with MDS compared with different healthy controls. Using a membrane-based antibody array on a subset of these samples, we detected several different chemokines and proteins in the MDS samples (Figure 7A; Supplementary Figure E7, online only, available at www. exphem.org). CCL5 and SerpinE1 were both significantly increased in low/intermediate-risk patients compared with high-risk patients (Figure 7A). In addition, we performed ELISAs for TGF β , IL-8, TNF α , and IL-6. Plasma levels of TGF β were higher in both low/intermediate-risk patients with MDS compared with healthy controls, consistent with the higher levels throughout the marrow seen by CyTOF (Figures 2A and 7B). IL-8 levels trended higher in



Figure 5 Cytokine expression in CD19⁺ B cells. (**A**) Heatmap of mean staining of the indicated cytokines in CD19⁺ B cells in low/intermediate-risk and high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) patients stimulated with PAM₂CSK₄ (TLR2/6 agonist), PAM₃CSK₄ (TLR1/2 agonist), or vehicle controls. Tile colors represent the arcsinh ratio of the mean signal intensity normalized to healthy controls. (**B-G**) Quantification of the percentage of CD19⁺ B cells expressing the indicated cytokines from the indicated groups, including (**B**) interleukin (IL)-8, (**C**) tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α), (**D**) IL-6, (**E**) IL-21, (**F**) macrophage inflammatory protein 1 β (MIP1 β), and (**G**) transforming growth factor β (TGF β). Statistical significance was determined by two-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01. *TLR*=toll-like receptor.



Figure 6 Cytokine expression in CD34⁺CD38⁻ hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs). (**A**) Heatmap of mean staining of the indicated cytokines in CD34⁺CD38⁻ HSPCs in low/intermediate-risk and high-risk myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) patients stimulated with PAM₂CSK₄ (TLR2/6 agonist), PAM₃CSK₄ (TLR1/2 agonist), or vehicle controls. Tile colors represent the arcsinh ratio of the mean signal intensity normalized to healthy controls. (**B**) Biaxial plot illustrating macrophage inflammatory protein 1 β (MIP1 β) expression with PAM₂CSK₄ and PAM₃CSK₄ stimulation in representative samples from each cohort. (**C**–**H**) Quantification of the percentage of CD34⁺CD38⁻ cells expressing the indicated cytokines from the indicated groups, including (**C**) interleukin (IL)-6, (**D**) IL-8, (**E**) tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α), (**F**) macrophage inflammatory protein 1 β (MIP1 β), (**G**) IL-21, and (**H**) transforming growth factor β (TGF β). Statistical significance was determined by two-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01. *TLR*=toll-like receptor.



Figure 7 Plasma cytokine levels differ between low- and high-risk myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). (A) Plasma was analyzed with a membrane cytokine array (Proteome Profiler Human Cytokine Array). Shown are mean pixel densities for each of the indicated cytokines (see also Supplementary Figure E7 [online only] for source blots and full data). (B–E) Peripheral blood plasma from healthy control and low- and high-risk MDS were analyzed for (B) transforming growth factor β (TGF β), (C) interleukin (IL)-8, (D) tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α), and (E) IL-6. (F) viSNE analysis of the indicated patients showing intracellular production of TGF β , TNF α , and IL-8. Each patient is represented with a different color, which coordinates with the same color dots in (B–E). See Supplementary Figure E8 (online only) for population legends. Statistical significance was determined with an unpaired *t* test and two-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey multiple comparison test. *p < 0.05, ***p = 0.0001. LR= low/intermediate-risk; HR= high-risk.

low/intermediate-risk patients than high-risk patients or controls (Figure 7C), and TNF α was significantly increased in low/intermediate-risk patients with MDS compared with high-risk patients (with high-risk patients having a small but significant increase over healthy controls; Figure 7D). IL-6 plasma levels were undetectable by our assays (Figure 7E). As illustrated for several of the patients using viSNE analysis, these cytokines were produced by multiple bone marrow populations (Figure 7F; Supplementary Figure E8, online only, available at www.exphem.org). Of note, plasma and bone marrow cytokine production often did not correlate, with high (or low) plasma levels often corresponding to more restricted (or broad) marrow population production. This is illustrated using color coding for individual patients in Figure 7F, with each patient sample label's color correlating with the same color dots as in Figure 7B-D. One patient (LR5), for example, who had higher plasma levels of TNF α by ELISA (Figure 7D, red dot) exhibited more restricted expression of TNF α in the bone marrow as analyzed in viSNE (Figure 7F), whereas a patient with lower plasma levels of TNF α (LR1; Figure 7D, dark blue dot) exhibited production more broadly throughout the bone marrow (Figure 7F). As another example, patient LR1 had high plasma IL-8 levels (Figure 7C), but more restricted marrow production than patient LR2 (orange dot), who had low plasma levels of IL-8 but broad expression in the marrow (Figure 7C,F).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study to comprehensively evaluate the expression of TLRs and cytokines with single-cell resolution in MDS. Although this study is limited by small sample sizes, we observed several significant and consistent differences between the MDS samples and healthy controls. First, bone marrow cell population frequencies differ between low/ intermediate- and high-risk MDS patients. Second, TLR1, TLR2, and TLR6 are highly expressed in multiple cell types in low/intermediate-risk, but not high-risk, MDS. Third, elevated levels of cytokines were produced by these same cell types in low/intermediate-risk MDS, and further ex vivo exposure to TLR1/2 or TLR2/6 agonists did not enhance cytokine production in most cases. We acknowledge that our healthy controls were younger than the MDS patients, and thus, we cannot rule out the possibility that some of the elevations in TLR or cytokine production in the MDS samples are not age related. However, the low/intermediate- and high-risk MDS patients were of similar age, and therefore, differences between risk groups are not related to age.

Our findings of different cell population frequencies between different MDS risk groups is largely in accordance with a recent study by Behbehani and colleagues showing an increase in HSPCs, B cells, T cells and erythroblasts, and decreased monocytes and neutrophils, in high-risk patients compared with low-risk patients and healthy controls [33]. We similarly detected a trend toward increased CD34⁺CD38⁻ HSPCs in high-risk patients, as well as a decrease in CD14⁺ monocytes.

Also consistent with prior reports [3,7], we detected relatively higher expression of TLR1, TLR2, and TLR6 in the CD34⁺ cells of patients with low/intermediate-risk MDS compared with those with high-risk MDS and healthy controls. As predicted, we also observed elevated expression of these TLRs in multiple other cell populations throughout the bone marrow, including monocytes, granulocytes, B cells, T cells, NK cells, erythroblasts, and megakaryoblasts. Several of the high-risk MDS samples had increased TLR1 and/or TLR6 in some cell populations; however, they were largely unresponsive to TLR agonist treatment, and the relevance of increased expression of either of these TLRs is unclear given that both TLR1 and TLR6 require heterodimerization (primarily with TLR2) to function [34]. Of note, although other TLRs (e.g., TLR4 and TLR9) [4,6] have been implicated in MDS as well, we focused the present study on TLR2 and its binding partners given their known abundance in the CD34⁺ cells of patients with MDS [3,7] and the interest in targeting TLR2 therapeutically in MDS [16]. Further studies are necessary to determine the expression and ligand responsiveness of other TLRs throughout the bone marrow in MDS.

Interestingly, although control bone marrow cells upregulated multiple cytokines in response to TLR agonist exposure, the cells from patients with MDS were largely unaffected. This finding included low/intermediate-risk MDS cells that exhibited robust expression of TLRs. Chronic exposure to TLR agonists has been reported to induce multiple inhibitory mechanisms to prevent sustained signaling and attenuate the inflammatory response [35,36]. Furthermore, recent studies have indicated that HSPCs bearing mutations associated with MDS employ mechanisms to resist the deleterious effects of inflammation on stem cell function to help them gain a clonal advantage over healthy HSPCs [37-39]. Thus, the lack of enhanced cytokine production in response to TLR agonists could be due to prior chronic exposure (in low/intermediate-risk patients), low TLR expression (in high-risk patients), and/or other cell-intrinsic changes related to the MDS clone. Importantly, CD34⁺ cells from MDS patients were a notable exception to this rule, with trends toward upregulation of IL-8 and MIP1 β in response to TLR stimulation. The reason for the responsiveness of this particular cell type is not clear, but suggests that the regulation of TLR signaling in HSPCs may be distinct from that of other cell types.

A number of studies have identified elevated circulating cytokine levels in patients with MDS compared with healthy controls [24,40 -43]. A recent meta-analysis of these data in patients with MDS (including a combination of studies of peripheral blood serum levels and bone marrow plasma levels) found a trend toward increased levels of TNF α and IFN γ in low-risk compared with high-risk patients and a trend toward higher IL-6 in high-risk compared with low-risk patients [32]. We similarly observed higher plasma TNF α in patients with low/intermediate-risk MDS, as well as several other cytokines, compared with high-risk MDS and controls. Notably, the individual cytokines studied were identified in multiple cell types in the bone marrow samples, and the plasma levels of a given cytokine did not necessarily correlate with the breadth of expression in the marrow (e. g., the patient with the highest plasma levels of TNF α had relatively few cell types expressing high levels in the bone marrow, while a patient with lower plasma levels exhibited broad expression in multiple cell types in the marrow). Thus, the plasma levels of cytokines may not accurately represent their local production in the bone marrow niches where they may influence hematopoiesis.

Finally, we found that unlike most cytokines, TGF β was expressed at high levels in multiple cell types throughout the bone marrow of high-risk MDS patients. Aberrant TGF β signaling has been implicated in the pathogenesis of MDS, with enhanced signaling contributing to myelosuppression and ineffective erythropoiesis [44]. In fact, several clinical trials have tested the efficacy of TGF β pathway inhibitors in patients with low- and intermediate-risk MDS, with promising results toward improving anemia in these patients [45–47]. Although the role of TGF β signaling in high-risk patients is not clear, our data suggest that elevated TGF β is not exclusive to patients with low-risk disease.

Together, the findings in this study highlight the differential role of inflammation, and specifically TLR expression, in low/intermediateversus high-risk MDS. These differences in TLR expression and cytokine production should be considered when selecting patients for TLR signaling-directed therapies. Inhibition of TLR2, for example, may only provide benefit to lower-risk patients in whom this TLR is highly expressed by multiple cell types throughout the marrow. That said, further studies are needed to determine the consequences of elevated TLR expression and cytokine production in various cell types throughout the marrow to the pathogenesis of lower-risk MDS, as well as the role of TGF β in patients with high-risk MDS. Cell typespecific loss of TLRs in mouse models of MDS may help to illuminate the contribution of TLR signaling from different cell populations to the suppression of normal hematopoiesis and progression of the disease. In addition, the mechanisms contributing to elevated TLR and cytokine expression in low/intermediate-risk MDS, as well as the reduced expression in high-risk patients, are not clear and require additional study. Finally, our study was restricted to hematopoietic cells, and thus, further studies are required to address the TLR expression and cytokine production of nonhematopoietic cells within the bone marrow microenvironment (e.g., endothelial cells and mesenchymal stromal cells) that could contribute cell nonautonomously to ineffective hematopoiesis in MDS [48].

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

We thank Jin Shao for assistance with sample acquisition. This work was supported, in part, by the Bursky Center for Human Immunology and Immunotherapy Programs at Washington University, Immunomonitoring Laboratory (IML). We thank the Alvin J. Siteman Cancer Center at Washington University School of Medicine and Barnes-Jewish Hospital, St. Louis, Missouri, for the use of the IML, which provided the mass cytometry service. The Siteman Cancer Center is supported in part by National Cancer Institute (NCI) Cancer Center Support Grant No. P30 CA091842. Support for procurement of human MDS samples was provided by the Genomics of AML Program Project of the NCI (P01 CA101937) and the Specialized Program of Research Excellence in AML (P50 CA171963). In addition, this work was supported by grants from the American Cancer Society (RSG-17-166-01-DCC, LGS), the Edward P. Evans Foundation (LGS and MJW), and the NHLBI (1R01 HL134896-01, LGS; 5R01 HL134952-04, STO).

REFERENCES

- Jacobs A. Myelodysplastic syndromes: pathogenesis, functional abnormalities, and clinical implications. J Clin Pathol 1985;38:1201–17.
- Galton DA. The myelodysplastic syndromes. Clin Lab Haematol 1984;6:99–112.
- Wei Y, Dimicoli S, Bueso-Ramos C, et al. Toll-like receptor alterations in myelodysplastic syndrome. Leukemia 2013;27:1832–40.
- Kuninaka N, Kurata M, Yamamoto K, et al. Expression of Toll-like receptor 9 in bone marrow cells of myelodysplastic syndromes is down-

regulated during transformation to overt leukemia. Exp Mol Pathol 2010;88:293-8.

- Dimicoli S, Wei Y, Bueso-Ramos C, et al. Overexpression of the Toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling adaptor MYD88, but lack of genetic mutation, in myelodysplastic syndromes. PloS one 2013;8:e71120.
- Maratheftis CI, Andreakos E, Moutsopoulos HM, Voulgarelis M. Toll-like receptor-4 is up-regulated in hematopoietic progenitor cells and contributes to increased apoptosis in myelodysplastic syndromes. Clin Cancer Res 2007;13(4):1154–60.
- Zeng Q, Shu J, Hu Q, et al. Apoptosis in human myelodysplastic syndrome CD34⁺ cells is modulated by the upregulation of TLRs and histone H4 acetylation via a beta-arrestin 1 dependent mechanism. Exp Cell Res 2016;340:22–31.
- Takizawa H, Fritsch K, Kovtonyuk LV, et al. Pathogen-induced TLR4-TRIF innate immune signaling in hematopoietic stem cells promotes proliferation but reduces competitive fitness. Cell Stem Cell 2017;21:225–40. e225.
- Zhang H, Rodriguez S, Wang L, et al. Sepsis induces hematopoietic stem cell exhaustion and myelosuppression through distinct contributions of TRIF and MYD88. Stem Cell Rep 2016;6:940–56.
- Esplin BL, Shimazu T, Welner RS, et al. Chronic exposure to a TLR ligand injures hematopoietic stem cells. J Immunol 2011;186:5367–75.
- Nagai Y, Garrett KP, Ohta S, et al. Toll-like receptors on hematopoietic progenitor cells stimulate innate immune system replenishment. Immunity 2006;24:8013812.
- Ichii M, Shimazu T, Welner RS, et al. Functional diversity of stem and progenitor cells with B-lymphopoietic potential. Immunol Rev 2010;237: 10–21.
- Schuettpelz LG, Borgerding JN, Christopher MJ, et al. G-CSF regulates hematopoietic stem cell activity, in part, through activation of Toll-like receptor signaling. Leukemia 2014;28:1851–60.
- Herman AC, Monlish DA, Romine MP, Bhatt ST, Zippel S, Schuettpelz LG. Systemic TLR2 agonist exposure regulates hematopoietic stem cells via cell-autonomous and cell-non-autonomous mechanisms. Blood Cancer J 2016;6:e437.
- Megias J, Yanez A, Moriano S, O'Connor JE, Gozalbo D, Gil ML. Direct Toll-like receptor-mediated stimulation of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells occurs in vivo and promotes differentiation toward macrophages. Stem Cells 2012;30:1486–95.
- Gil-Perez A, Montalban-Bravo G. Management of myelodysplastic syndromes after failure of response to hypomethylating agents. Ther Adv Hematol 2019;10:2040620719847059.
- Zarember KA, Godowski PJ. Tissue expression of human Toll-like receptors and differential regulation of Toll-like receptor mRNAs in leukocytes in response to microbes, their products, and cytokines. J Immunol 2002;168:554–61.
- Schmid MA, Takizawa H, Baumjohann DR, Saito Y, Manz MG. Bone marrow dendritic cell progenitors sense pathogens via Toll-like receptors and subsequently migrate to inflamed lymph nodes. Blood 2011;118:4829–40.
- Welner RS, Pelayo R, Nagai Y, et al. Lymphoid precursors are directed to produce dendritic cells as a result of TLR9 ligation during herpes infection. Blood 2008;112:3753–61.
- Diesel B, Ripoche N, Risch RT, Tierling S, Walter J, Kiemer AK. Inflammation-induced up-regulation of TLR2 expression in human endothelial cells is independent of differential methylation in the TLR2 promoter CpG island. Innate Immun 2012;18:112–23.
- Fan J, Frey RS, Malik AB. TLR4 signaling induces TLR2 expression in endothelial cells via neutrophil NADPH oxidase. J Clin Invest 2003;112: 1234–43.
- O'Mahony DS, Pham U, Iyer R, Hawn TR, Liles WC. Differential constitutive and cytokine-modulated expression of human Toll-like receptors in primary neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages. Int J Med Sci 2008;5:1–8.
- 23. Velegraki M, Papakonstanti E, Mavroudi I, et al. Impaired clearance of apoptotic cells leads to HMGB1 release in the bone marrow of patients

with myelodysplastic syndromes and induces TLR4-mediated cytokine production. Haematologica 2013;98:1206–15.

- 24. Pardanani A, Finke C, Lasho TL, et al. IPSS-independent prognostic value of plasma CXCL10, IL-7 and IL-6 levels in myelodysplastic syndromes. Leukemia 2012;26:693–9.
- Liu T, Ahmed T, Krysiak K, et al. Haploinsufficiency of multiple del(5q) genes induce B cell abnormalities in mice. Leuk Res 2020;96:106428.
- 26. Nilsson L, Astrand-Grundstrom I, Arvidsson I, et al. Isolation and characterization of hematopoietic progenitor/stem cells in 5q-deleted myelodysplastic syndromes: evidence for involvement at the hematopoietic stem cell level. Blood 2000;96:2012–21.
- Mortera-Blanco T, Dimitriou M, Woll PS, et al. SF3B1-initiating mutations in MDS-RSs target lymphomyeloid hematopoietic stem cells. Blood 2017;130:881–90.
- Ozato K, Tsujimura H, Tamura T. Toll-like receptor signaling and regulation of cytokine gene expression in the immune system. Biotechniques 2002(Suppl):66–8. 70, 72 passim.
- Bendall SC, Simonds EF, Qiu P, et al. Single-cell mass cytometry of differential immune and drug responses across a human hematopoietic continuum. Science 2011;332:687–96.
- Lin D, Gupta S, Maecker HT. Intracellular cytokine staining on PBMCs using CyTOF mass cytometry. Bio Protoc 2015;5:e1370.
- Fisher DAC, Miner CA, Engle EK, et al. Cytokine production in myelofibrosis exhibits differential responsiveness to JAK-STAT, MAP kinase, and NFkappaB signaling. Leukemia 2019;33:1978–95.
- Shi X, Zheng Y, Xu L, Cao C, Dong B, Chen X. The inflammatory cytokine profile of myelodysplastic syndromes: A meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2019;98:e15844.
- Behbehani GK, Finck R, Samusik N, et al. Profiling myelodysplastic syndromes by mass cytometry demonstrates abnormal progenitor cell phenotype and differentiation. Cytometry B Clin Cytom 2020;98:131–45.
- 34. Ozinsky A, Underhill DM, Fontenot JD, et al. The repertoire for pattern recognition of pathogens by the innate immune system is defined by cooperation between toll-like receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000;97:13766–71.
- Anwar MA, Basith S, Choi S. Negative regulatory approaches to the attenuation of Toll-like receptor signaling. Exp Mol Med 2013;45:e11.
- Kondo T, Kawai T, Akira S. Dissecting negative regulation of Toll-like receptor signaling. Trends Immunol 2012;33:449–58.

- 37. Muto T, Walker CS, Choi K, et al. Adaptive response to inflammation contributes to sustained myelopoiesis and confers a competitive advantage in myelodysplastic syndrome HSCs. Nat Immunol 2020;21:535–45.
- Avagyan S, Henninger JE, Mannherz WP, et al. Resistance to inflammation underlies enhanced fitness in clonal hematopoiesis. Science 2021;374:768–72.
- Hormaechea-Agulla D, Matatall KA, Le DT, et al. Chronic infection drives Dnmt3a-loss-of-function clonal hematopoiesis via IFNgamma signaling. Cell Stem Cell 2021;28:1428–42. e6.
- 40. de Matos AG, Ribeiro Junior HL, de Paula Borges D, et al. Interleukin-8 and nuclear factor kappa B are increased and positively correlated in myelodysplastic syndrome. Med Oncol 2017;34:168.
- **41.** Alexandrakis MG, Passam FH, Dambaki K, et al. The assessment of proliferating cell nuclear antigen immunostaining in myelodysplastic syndromes and its prognostic significance. Eur J Histochem 2005;49:27–32.
- **42.** Alexandrakis MG, Passam FH, Pappa CA, et al. Relation between bone marrow angiogenesis and serum levels of angiogenin in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. Leuk Res 2005;29:41–6.
- 43. Kittang AO, Sand K, Brenner AK, Rye KP, Bruserud O. The systemic profile of soluble immune mediators in patients with myelodysplastic syndromes. Int J Mol Sci 2016;17:1080.
- Bewersdorf JP, Zeidan AM. Transforming growth factor (TGF)-beta pathway as a therapeutic target in lower risk myelodysplastic syndromes. Leukemia 2019;33:1303–12.
- 45. Platzbecker U, Germing U, Gotze KS, et al. Luspatercept for the treatment of anaemia in patients with lower-risk myelodysplastic syndromes (PACE-MDS): A multicentre, open-label phase 2 dose-finding study with longterm extension study. Lancet Oncol 2017;18:1338–47.
- **46**. Komrokji R, Garcia-Manero G, Ades L, et al. Sotatercept with long-term extension for the treatment of anaemia in patients with lower-risk myelodysplastic syndromes: a phase 2, dose-ranging trial. Lancet Haematol 2018;5:e63–72.
- 47. Fenaux P, Platzbecker U, Mufti GJ, et al. Luspatercept in patients with lower-risk myelodysplastic syndromes. N Engl J Med 2020;382:140–51.
- **48.** Li AJ, Calvi LM. The microenvironment in myelodysplastic syndromes: niche-mediated disease initiation and progression. Exp Hematol 2017;55:3–18.