

Determination of heavy metals in cosmetic products



Riccardo Destefano, Giulia Costamagna, Stefano Mariotti, Daniela Gaudiello, and Marco Ginepro Department of Chemistry, Analytical Chemistry, University of Turin, Via Giuria 5, 10125-Turin, Italy riccardo.destefano@edu.unito.it

Young Chemists Symposium

The **REACH regulation** and the European cosmetics legislation on cosmetics (EU 1223/2009/EC) prohibit the use of known toxic heavy metals (Cr, Ni, Pb) and suspected toxic heavy metal (AI) in finished products. At the same time, the regulation tolerates their presence in traces provided that it is technically unavoidable. Exception are allowed, as like mineral pigments (Cr_2O_3).

OBJECTIVES:

- Metals evaluation on a large sample population, with special attention to creams detergents and oils, considering REACH regulation and ISS advice.
- Cosmetics have a variety of different matrixes so that it is fundamental to use different approaches.
- Three methods of sample preparation ware used, with different reagents.
 - 1) Wet digestion
 - 2) Dry-ashing

Aleppo Soap - 16%

3) Closed vessel microwave digestion



12

Products categories



Methods



HNO₃ was used to mineralized the samples. Few drops of HCI were added to complex metals as reduced their volatility.

Oil and Soaps



Oils



Ash recovery \rightarrow Aq. REGIA



Table: Cosmetics divided into categories							
Cosmetic Products	Ag 328.068	AI 396.153	Cd 228.802 Cr 267.716 Ni 231.604 Pb 220.353 Zn 206.200				
	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
ye pencil Blue	4.74	5509	0.06	3.41	2.46	n.d.	8
ye pencil Green	n.d.	2935	n.d.	5.42	n.d.	n.d.	33
eap pencil Green	4	1690	n.d.	1.93	4.66	n.d.	16
$Ca(OH)_2$ based shaving mineral powder	n.d.	3077	0.04	0.46	0.16	n.d.	4.5
a(OH) ₂ based shaving mineral powder	n.d.	1811	0.07	0.44	n.d.	0.354	4.7
Ca(OH) ₂ based shaving mineral powder	n.d.	1450	0.07	0.42	0.24	3.215	3.7
Prush shaving foam	n d	n d	0.03	0 078	n d	n d	12
Brush shaving foam	n.d.	33.3	0.02	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Prush shaving foam	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.91
Ŭ							
nti-cellulite oil	n.d.	1.0	0.02	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	15.5
nti-cellulite oil	n.d.	n.d.	0.01	0.06	n.d.	n.d.	15.4
nti-cellulite oil	n.d.	2.4	0.01	n.d.	0.268	n.d.	19
1ix of 10 oils	6.0	6.2	0.1	1.5	1.0	0.7	5.3
lix of 3 oils for hair care	5.3	8.0	0.1	1.3	0.1	0.7	6.6
lemp oil (cosmetic formula)	n.d.	n.d.	0.6	n.d.	0.9	n.d.	0.8
inen oll (cosmetic formula)	n.d.	2.7	0.6	n.d.	0.8 v. d	0.06	10
iun-screan oil	n.a.	1.0	0.04	0.6	n.d.	n.a.	3.9
fter shave cream	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
fter shave gel	n.d.	n.d.	0.04	0.03	n.d.	1.99	2.7
lutrient Body cream	n.d.	n.d.	0.43	n.d.	1.2	n.d.	1.9
ace cream based on borage oil	n.d.	1.6	0.01	0.05	0.13	0.74	2.4
ace cream based on She-ass milk	n.d.	0.4	0.02	0.03	n.d.	n.d.	0.5
ace cream based on She-ass milk	n.d.	1.7	0.04	0.01	n.d.	n.d.	0.7
ace cream based on She-ass milk	n.d.	n.d.	0.03	0.02	n.d.	n.d.	1.6
Deodorant cream	n.d.	19325	0.44	n.d.	1.3	n.d.	2.8
Vater based deodorant	n.d.	8138	0.02	0.07	n.d.	n.d.	4.0
hampoo	n.d.	0.4	0.03	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
hampoo	n.d.	0.15	0.03	0.03	n.d.	n.d.	1.6
ace detergent gel	n.d.	n.d.	0.05	0.4	n.d.	0.3	n.d.
Bubble bath	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Subble bath	n.d.	0.8	0.04	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
ntimate detergent	n.d.	n.d.	0.02	0.05	n.d.	n.d.	1.8
leppo Soap - 5%	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0.27	0.4	n.d.	4.1

n.d.

6.0

0.3

n.d.

1.6

n.d.



CONCLUSIONS

- The method comparison showed, in any case, that microwave assisted allows a better and easier sample preparation.
- DRY and WET digestion are extremely subjected to environment pollution because of broad use of reagents.
- The categorization on the main metal, and on matrix type, helps to set the best sample preparation method.