



AperTO - Archivio Istituzionale Open Access dell'Università di Torino

# A deep learning model to discern indoor from outdoor environments based on data recorded by a tri-axial digital magnetic sensor

This is a pre print version of the following article:				
Original Citation:				
Availability:				
This version is available http://hdl.handle.net/2318/1891473 since 2023-02-09T10:16:03Z				
Published version:				
DOI:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2022.09.015				
Terms of use:				
Open Access				
Anyone can freely access the full text of works made available as "Open Access". Works made available under a Creative Commons license can be used according to the terms and conditions of said license. Use of all other works requires consent of the right holder (author or publisher) if not exempted from copyright protection by the applicable law.				

(Article begins on next page)

A deep learning model to discern indoor from outdoor environments based on data recorded by a tri-axial digital magnetic sensor

V. Marcianò<sup>1</sup>, S. Bertuletti<sup>2</sup>, T. Bonci<sup>3</sup>, C. Mazzà<sup>3</sup>, N. Ireson<sup>3</sup>, F. Ciravegna<sup>3</sup>, S. Del Din<sup>4</sup>, E. Gazit<sup>5</sup>, A. Cereatti<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy; <sup>2</sup> University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy; <sup>3</sup> The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; <sup>4</sup> Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; <sup>5</sup> Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel.

## INTRODUCTION

The increased use of wearable devices (WDs) for monitoring daily-life activities has led to the development of different location-driven applications. The first fundamental distinction is between indoor and outdoor environments. The most intuitive approach is the analysis of GPS coordinates or Wi-Fi signals [1] but both solutions are power consuming. In this study, we proposed and tested the use of deep learning techniques for indoor/outdoor discrimination based on local magnetic field properties of the specific environment during free-living activities.

### METHODS

Eight participants were recruited in four different centres (Turin, Italy; Sheffield, UK; Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; Tel Aviv, Israel) and were equipped with the INDIP system [2] (including four magneto-inertial units attached to each foot, lower back, and non-dominant wrist), a smartphone (running the Aeqora mobile application) and were monitored during 2.5-hours of daily free-living activities. Magnetometer data was used to train a deep learning model, while indoor/outdoor probability based on GPS coordinates was provided by the Aeqora app and used as a reference. For each WD, the following features were extracted: x, y, z components and norm of the magnetometer and the 10-sample moving average (0.1s window) of the latter features as a "contextual" rating. A bi-layer long short-term memory structure with a linear layer as a tail and with a gaussian error linear unit as activation unit has been implemented [3]. To achieve a lower-bias training and a more robust model, the network has been validated by exploiting a leave one subject out validation approach. In addition, the classification is based on two different observation timeframes: windows of length equal to the magnetometer data acquisition period (0.01s) and 1s windows.

#### RESULTS

The average accuracy of the model, across participants, in the classification of indoor/outdoor environments while using as input one WD at a time and all WDs together is reported in Table 1. **Table 1**. Summary of the model performance metrics in the indoor/outdoor

	classification.				
DISCUSSION			Average Accuracy (%)		
Based on this			0.01s window	1s window	
preliminary analysis, the model seems suitable for discerning indoor from outdoor environments with an average accuracy	Wearable device	Wrist	88.3	89.3	
		Lower back	91.7	92.2	
		Left foot	90.8	92.1	
		Right foot	90.0	91.5	
		All	93.4	94.1	

score higher than 88.3% in participants spread through three countries (different morphology of the territory, culture, lifestyle, etc.). With this respect, considering a longer observation time (1s vs. 0.01s) has resulted in increasing of the accuracy for all conditions. Overall, the best performances were obtained by using the whole INDIP system, with a 94.1% score. However, very similar performances were obtained when only one WD is considered. The effect of the experimental setup (*e.g.*, the number and position of the WDs) and the input of the model (*e.g.*, the length of the time-observation window) on the performance metrics require further investigations.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Mobilise-D project (IMI2 JU grant agreement No. 820820, this JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 and EFPIA).

# REFERENCES

[1] Panja AK, et al. Engineering Application of Artificial Intelligence 2022, 107:1-12.

[2] Salis F, et al. Gait Posture 2019; 74:34-34.

[3] Hochreiter S, et al. Neural Computation 1997; 9(8):1735-80.

This is the last version of the manuscript that was accepted for publication