

Editorial

# Special Issue on Enhancement of Titanium Dental Implant/Abutment Surfaces

Paolo Pesce <sup>1,\*</sup> , Francesco Pera <sup>2</sup> and Maria Menini <sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Division of Prosthodontics and Implant Prosthodontics, Department of Surgical Sciences (DISC), University of Genoa, 16132 Genoa, Italy

<sup>2</sup> CIR Dental School, University of Turin, 10124 Turin, Italy

\* Correspondence: paolo.pesce@unige.it

In the last decades, there has been an increasing effort in enhancing the surface of dental implants and abutments, as a challenge to improve the osseointegration process and implant rehabilitation success. Nowadays several methods and innovative technologies are available to modify titanium surfaces and they might provide useful tools for clinicians [1–4].

The aim of the present Special Issue was to gather the latest studies in the field of titanium implant/abutment surfaces and cleaning protocols, in order to shed light on the biological and clinical implications of implant/abutment surface modifications and their impact in clinical practice.

A total of five papers (four research papers and one review paper) are presented in this Special Issue.

Graiff et al. [5] analyzed how structural and geometric configurations of an abutment influence the resistance of a nano-ceramic resin crown (NCRC). Their results suggested that the shape of the abutment influences the fatigue strength more so than the static tensile strength.

The study by Delucchi et al. [6] is a post-trial follow-up. Previously published papers reported clinical outcomes on the same patient sample for shorter follow-up periods [7–10], while long term randomized clinical trials on this topic are lacking. The study herein published presents a split-mouth design and reports, over a 16-year period, the clinical outcomes of an implant with a dual acid-etched (DAE) surface in the apical portion and a machined coronal part versus the test implant with a DAE surface up to its coronal portion. The conclusion was that minimally rough titanium surfaces favor peri-implant bone maintenance and their effect is greater in the first year post implant insertion.

The *in vitro* investigation by Gianfreda et al. [11] evaluated the osteogenic response of pre-osteoblast cell lines to a dry bioactivated surface. The results showed that sandblasted and dual acid-etched surface determines a slighter but significant increase in cell adhesion and proliferation in a shorter time compared to a surface with bioactive dry salt technology.

Menini et al. [12] evaluated five modern commercially available dental implants with different macro- and micro-structures [13], including one zirconia implant, in order to evaluate their possible pro-inflammatory effect during early inflammatory response applying an innovative *in vitro* study design. Macrophages were placed into contact with the implants, and the expression of genes that code for typical proteins of the inflammatory processes (cytokines and chemokines) were measured.

All the implants analysed showed optimal outcomes with low or no pro-inflammatory stimulus on macrophagic cells, suggesting that all the implants underwent a careful and effective cleaning and decontamination process.

Since titanium surfaces might be significantly affected by plaque accumulation and cleaning methods [14–16], a paper regarding professional oral hygiene techniques in implant dentistry has been included in the present special issue.



**Citation:** Pesce, P.; Pera, F.; Menini, M. Special Issue on Enhancement of Titanium Dental Implant/Abutment Surfaces. *Appl. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 8747. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app12178747>

Received: 16 August 2022

Accepted: 26 August 2022

Published: 31 August 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

The systematic review by Baldi et al. [17] analyzed the efficacy of different professional oral hygiene techniques described in the literature in the last 10 years in patients rehabilitated with dental implants. The authors concluded that, taking in mind that the ideal method should be effective in deposits removal without damaging the implant components surface, air polishing appears to be a viable alternative to traditional instruments. In particular, glycine air polishing has proven to be effective in reducing peri-implant inflammation and plaque around implants.

Although submissions for this Special Issue have been closed, more in-depth research in the field continues to address its challenges.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Acknowledgments:** Thanks to all the authors and peer reviewers for their valuable contributions to this Special Issue. We would also like to express our gratitude to all the staff and people involved in this Special Issue.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Canullo, L.; Menini, M.; Santori, G.; Rakic, M.; Sculean, A.; Pesce, P. Titanium abutment surface modifications and peri-implant tissue behavior: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Oral. Investig.* **2020**, *24*, 1113–1124. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Pesce, P.; Menini, M.; Santori, G.; Giovanni, E.; Bagnasco, F.; Canullo, L. Photo and Plasma Activation of Dental Implant Titanium Surfaces. A Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis of Pre-Clinical Studies. *J. Clin. Med.* **2020**, *9*, 2817. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Conserva, E.; Lanuti, A.; Menini, M. Cell behavior related to implant surfaces with different microstructure and chemical composition: An in vitro analysis. *Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Implants* **2010**, *25*, 1099–1107. [[PubMed](#)]
4. Conserva, E.; Menini, M.; Ravera, G.; Pera, P. The role of surface implant treatments on the biological behavior of SaOS-2 osteoblast-like cells. An in vitro comparative study. *Clin. Oral Implants Res.* **2013**, *24*, 880–889. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Graiff, L.; Savio, G.; Di Fiore, A.; Rosso, S.; Meneghello, R.; Uccheddu, F. Evaluation of the Fatigue Strength of a CAD-CAM Nanoceramic Resin Crown on Titanium and Zirconia-Titanium Abutments. *Appl. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 1365. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Delucchi, F.; Pozzetti, E.; Bagnasco, F.; Pesce, P.; Baldi, D.; Pera, F.; Di Tullio, N.; Pera, P.; Menini, M. Peri-Implant Tissue Behaviour Next to Different Titanium Surfaces: 16-Year Post-Trial Follow-Up. *Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 9625. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Baldi, D.; Menini, M.; Pera, F.; Ravera, G.; Pera, P. Plaque accumulation on exposed titanium surfaces and peri-implant tissue behavior. A preliminary 1-year clinical study. *Int. J. Prosthodont* **2009**, *22*, 447–455.
8. Menini, M.; Dellepiane, E.; Chvartzaid, D.; Baldi, D.; Schiavetti, I.; Pera, P. Influence of Different Surface Characteristics on Peri-implant Tissue Behavior: A Six-Year Prospective Report. *Int. J. Prosthodont* **2015**, *28*, 389–395. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Menini, M.; Dellepiane, E.; Baldi, D.; Longobardi, M.G.; Pera, P.; Izzotti, A. Microarray expression in peri-implant tissue next to different titanium implant surfaces predicts clinical outcomes: A split-mouth study. *Clin. Oral. Implants Res.* **2017**, *28*, e121–e134. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Menini, M.; Pesce, P.; Pera, F.; Baldi, D.; Pulliero, A.; Izzotti, A. MicroRNAs in Peri-implant Crevicular Fluid Can Predict Peri-implant Bone Resorption: Clinical Trial with a 5-Year Follow-up. *Int. J. Oral Maxillofac. Implants* **2021**, *36*, 1148–1157. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Gianfreda, F.; Raffone, C.; Antonacci, D.; Mussano, F.; Genova, T.; Chinigò, G.; Canullo, L.; Bollero, P. Early Biological Response of an Ultra-Hydrophilic Implant Surface Activated by Salts and Dry Technology: An In-Vitro Study. *Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 6120. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Menini, M.; Delucchi, F.; Baldi, D.; Pera, F.; Bagnasco, F.; Pesce, P. Macrophagic Inflammatory Response Next to Dental Implants with Different Macro- and Micro-Structure: An In Vitro Study. *Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 5324. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Menini, M.; Pera, F.; Bagnasco, F.; Delucchi, F.; Morganti, E.; Canullo, L.; Pesce, P. Morphological and Chemical Characterization of Titanium and Zirconia Dental Implants with Different Macro- and Micro-Structure. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 7520. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Menini, M.; Piccardo, P.; Baldi, D.; Dellepiane, E.; Pera, P. Morphological and chemical characteristics of different titanium surfaces treated by bicarbonate and glycine powder air abrasive systems. *Implant Dent.* **2015**, *24*, 47–56. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Menini, M.; Setti, P.; Dellepiane, E.; Zunino, P.; Pera, P.; Pesce, P. Comparison of biofilm removal using glycine air polishing versus sodium bicarbonate air polishing or hand instrumentation on full-arch fixed implant rehabilitations: A split-mouth study. *Quintessence Int.* **2019**, *50*, 722–730. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
16. Menini, M.; Delucchi, F.; Bagnasco, F.; Pera, F.; Di Tullio, N.; Pesce, P. Efficacy of air-polishing devices without removal of implant-supported full-arch prostheses. *Int. J. Oral Implantol. (Berl)* **2021**, *14*, 401–416.
17. Baldi, D.; De Giorgis, L.; Menini, M.; Motta, F.; Colombo, J. Efficacy of Instruments for Professional Oral Hygiene on Dental Implants: A Systematic Review. *Appl. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 26. [[CrossRef](#)]