Pollutant concentrations and exposure variability in four urban microenvironments of London

Mamatha Tomson, Prashant Kumar, Gopinath Kalaiarasan, Juan C. Zavala-Reyes, Marta Chiapasco, Mark A. Sephton, Gloria Young, Alexandra E. Porter

PII: S1352-2310(23)00050-X

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119624

Reference: AEA 119624

To appear in: Atmospheric Environment

Received Date: 7 September 2022

Revised Date: 13 January 2023

Accepted Date: 27 January 2023

Please cite this article as: Tomson, M., Kumar, P., Kalaiarasan, G., Zavala-Reyes, J.C., Chiapasco, M., Sephton, M.A., Young, G., Porter, A.E., Pollutant concentrations and exposure variability in four urban microenvironments of London, *Atmospheric Environment* (2023), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119624.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



1 Author credits

Mamatha Tomson: Conceptualisation, Writing - original draft, Methodology, Validation, 2 Visualisation, Writing - review and editing; Prashant Kumar: Conceptualisation, 3 Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Visualisation, 4 Writing - Original Draft, Writing - review and editing. Gopinath Kalaiarasan: Data 5 6 Collection; Writing - review and editing. Juan C. Zavala-Reyes: Writing - review and editing; 7 Marta Chiapasco: Data Analysis; Writing - review and editing; Mark A. Sephton: Writing review and editing; Gloria Young: Data Analysis; Writing - review and editing; Alexandra E. 8 9 Porter[:] Data Analysis; Writing - review and editing, Funding acquisition.

Journalprend

Graphical Abstract



| |)ra | nr | | |
|------|-----|----|--|--|
| ouma | | | | |

| 1 | Pollutant concentrations and exposure variability in four |
|----|--|
| 2 | urban microenvironments of London |
| 3 | Mamatha Tomson ^{a,e} , Prashant Kumar ^{a,b, c,d,1} , Gopinath Kalaiarasan ^a , Juan C. |
| 4 | Zavala-Reyes ^{a, f} , Marta Chiapasco ^h , Mark A. Sephton ^g , Gloria Young ^h , Alexandra |
| 5 | E. Porter ^h |
| 6 | ^a Global Centre for Clean Air Research (GCARE), School of Sustainability, Civil and |
| 7 | Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering and Physical Sciences, University |
| 8 | of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, Surrey, United Kingdom |
| 9 | ^b Institute for Sustainability, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, Surrey, United |
| 10 | Kingdom |
| 11 | ^c Department of Civil, Structural & Environmental Engineering, Trinity College Dublin, |
| 12 | Dublin, Ireland |
| 13 | ^d School of Architecture, Southeast University, Nanjing, China |
| 14 | ^e SMART Infrastructure Facility, Faculty of Engineering and Information Science, |
| 15 | University of Wollongong, Wollongong 2522 NSW, Australia |
| 16 | ^f Escuela Nacional de Estudios Superiores–Mérida, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de |
| 17 | México, 97357 Mérida, Yucatán, México |
| 18 | ⁸ Department of Earth Science and Engineering, Prince Consort Road, Imperial College |
| 19 | London, SW72AZ |
| 20 | ^h Department of Materials, Prince Consort Road, Imperial College London, SW72AZ |

¹ Corresponding author. Address as above. E-mail addresses: p.kumar@surrey.ac.uk, prashant.kumar@cantab.net

21 Abstract

22 We compared various pollutant concentrations (PM₁, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, PNC, BC) at four 23 different urban microenvironments (MEs) in London (Indoor, IN; Traffic Intersection, TI; Park, PK; and Street Canyon, SC). The physico-chemical characteristics of particles 24 were analysed, and the respiratory deposition doses (RDD) were estimated. Field 25 measurements were conducted over a period of 121 days. The mean PM2.5 (PNC) 26 27 concentrations were found to be 9.47±7.05 (16366±11815), 8.09±4.57 (10951±6445), 5.11±2.96 (7717±4576), 3.88±3.06 (5672±2934) µg m⁻³ (# cm⁻³) at TI, SC, PK and IN, 28 29 respectively. PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ and PNC exhibited a trend of TI>SC>PK>IN; higher concentrations for PM1 and BC were observed at IN than PK due to the emissions from 30 printers, producing a trend of TI>SC>IN>PK. We observed 12%-30% higher fine PM 31 concentrations at TI and SC sites during morning peak (07:00-09:30) than the evening 32 peak hours (16:00-19:00); while IN showed a smaller variation in fine PM concentrations 33 compared with outdoor TI, PK and SC sites owing to their prevalence in the IN for a 34 longer time. Fine and ultrafine PM containing potentially toxic trace transition metals 35 including Fe, Ti, Cr, Mn, Al and Mg were detected by high resolution electron 36 microscopy at all sites. There was a similar relative abundance of different elements at 37 the TI, IN and PK sites, which suggests a transport of PM between MEs. RDD for PM1 38 was highest $(2.45\pm2.27 \ \mu g \ h^{-1})$ at TI for females during running; PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ were 39 highest at SC (11.23 \pm 6.34 and 37.17 \pm 20.82 µg h⁻¹, respectively). The results show that 40 the RDD variation between MEs does not follow the PM concentration trend. RDD at PK 41 was found to be 39%-53% lower than TI and SC during running for all the PM fractions. 42 Overall, the study findings show the air quality variation at different MEs and reveals the 43 exposure inequalities around the city, which enable the management of personal exposure 44 by selecting appropriate MEs for different activities. 45

46 Keywords: Urban microenvironment; Air quality; Diurnal variation; Particulate matter;

47 Chemical composition; Human exposure

48 1. Introduction

49 Ambient air pollution in both cities and rural areas was estimated to cause 4.2 million premature deaths worldwide in 2016 (WHO, 2018). Air pollutants constitute a 50 complex mixture originating from vehicular emissions, coal combustion and can be from 51 secondary pollution (Kumar et al., 2011a). Policies and investments to reduce sources of 52 outdoor air pollution have been taken by many countries. Particulate matter (PM) is a 53 portion of air pollution that is made up of small particles and liquid droplets containing 54 soot aggregate, secondary organic aerosols, nitrates, sulfates, soil or dust, bioaerosols as 55 well as different trace transition metals (Anderson et al., 2012). Different sources 56 contribute to fine (PM_{2.5}; \leq 2.5µm in aerodynamic diameter) and coarse particles (PM_{2.5}-57 10; between 2.5 and 10 µm) in different microenvironments (MEs). Motor vehicles are 58 major contributors to urban air pollution (Kaur et al., 2005), contributing both primary 59 PM and also noxious gases such as nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and volatile organic 60 compounds (VOCs) including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (Hama et al., 61 2017). Fine particles mostly originate from partial combustion of fuel in engines and 62 coarse particles come from non-exhaust vehicular sources (Harrison et al., 2001; Thorpe 63 and Harrison, 2008). PM with aerodynamic diameters <100 nm are called ultrafine 64 particles (UFP), which are abundant in number but contribute little to the total mass 65 66 (Berghmans et al., 2009). Dominant sources of UFPs are direct emissions from motor vehicles and secondary particles generated by photochemical or physical processes in the 67 68 atmosphere (Berghmans et al., 2009; Fine et al., 2004). High concentrations and longterm exposure to PM_{2.5} are associated with increased pulmonary and cardiovascular 69 mortality (Anderson et al., 2012; Spinazze et al., 2013) and PM_{2.5-10} also affects mortality 70

(Puett et al., 2009). UFPs are more toxic than larger PM since they get deposited in the
bronchioles and alveoli (Berghmans et al., 2009) and can translocate into the bloodstream
(Heinzerling et al., 2016; Qvarfordt et al., 2022).

People move into different MEs in urban areas during their day-to-day life, with different 74 levels of pollution. Assessment of human exposure in different MEs is of great interest 75 as it leads to improved understanding on exposure mitigation and to generate 76 recommendations that protect public health. The variation in concentrations and 77 composition of atmospheric pollutants between different MEs are related to the sources 78 of urban pollutants, seasons, day and time (Spinazze et al., 2015); and the personal 79 exposure varies with proximity to the sources (Spinazze et al., 2013) and with the activity 80 patterns among population groups (Lim et al., 2012). Table 1 summarises the previous 81 studies that have investigated pollutant concentrations along with chemical composition, 82 and personal exposure in different MEs, and describes the chemical composition. (Lonati 83 et al., 2010; Jones et al., 2000; Harrison et al., 2004; Spinazze et al., 2015). 84

Traffic intersections (TIs) are a significant hotspot in urban areas, showing particle 85 86 number concentration (PNC) - defined as the number of particles per unit volume of air and a measure of UFPs - 17-times higher than average roadside PNCs (Goel and Kumar, 87 2014). Street canyons are another most polluted urban microenvironment with 88 accumulation of air pollutants due to limited dispersion of traffic emissions (Abhijith et 89 90 al., 2017). Street canyon geometry, traffic characteristics, atmospheric stability, and turbulence induced by prevailing winds also affects the pollutant concentration in a street 91 92 canyon (Tomson et al., 2021; Voordeckers et al., 2021). Areas without major influences of local roads or point sources are considered to represent the urban background air 93 quality (Bigi and Harrison, 2010; Birmili et al., 2016; Luengo-Oroz et al., 2019). 94

Simultaneous measurements exhibited a high temporal variation for PNCs at street level 95 96 compared with urban background sites (Boogaard et al., 2010). A study in Italy showed 97 highest pollutant exposures to UFPs near motorised traffic and lowest in a park (Cattaneo et al., 2009). Similarly, studies in London and Birmingham reported higher PM 98 99 concentrations in urban roadsides compared to urban and rural backgrounds and are 100 composed of elemental carbon, organic compounds and iron-rich dusts (Harrison et al., 101 2004: Yin and Harrison, 2008). In other works, highest pollutant concentrations occurred 102 at TIs and roadsides, and the least at parks (Brzozowski eta l., 2019; Piotrowicz and 103 Polednik, 2019; Spinazze et al., 2015; Kumar et al., 2021). However, little is known about the composition and structure of the fine or ultrafine PM in these environments owing to 104 the challenge of characterising micro to nanometer-sized particles. It is important to 105 address this knowledge gap as PM_{2.5} can become internalised by cells and cause damage 106 to intracellular organelles (Miao et al., 2019). 107

108 Indoor air quality is also a major concern as this is where most people spend the majority of their time (Miller et al., 2017; Shrestha et al., 2019). Indoor MEs (both residential and 109 non-residential) are the larger contributors to personal exposure compared to 110 transportation and outdoor environments (Lim et al., 2012). The concentration and type 111 of pollutant depends on the proximity of the indoor environment to congested roads 112 alongside building characteristics such as penetration factor, ventilation and infiltration 113 114 (Miller et al., 2017). Indoor PM levels vary also based on variation in air exchange rates and various indoor activities (Jones et al., 2000; WHO, 2018; Kumar et al., 2022a). 115

Previous studies (Table 1) have assessed mostly variability of a single pollutant (e.g. PNC
in Cattaneo et al., 2009) or specific fraction of PM (e.g. PM_{2.5} in Kaur et al., 2005). Others
have focused on the analysis of the variation of exposure to UFPs with different fractions

of PM (e.g., Harrison and Jones, 2005; Borsós et al., 2012) or assessed the personal exposure of people in urban transport MEs in the UK (Rivas et al., 2017b; Kaur et al., 2005). However, limited studies have been performed comparing different urban MEs, particularly including indoor environments; none of which examined UFP counts, composition and morphology, along with other PM components (PM₁, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀) and potential personal exposure (Table 1), due to the practical inconvenience of conducting continuous air quality monitoring in different MEs.

The overall objective of this work is to acquire integrated measurements of PNC, size-126 resolved PM concentration (PM1, PM2.5 and PM10) and black carbon (BC) along with CO 127 and CO₂ as co-pollutants at four sites along with the characterisation of the diameter, 128 morphology and composition (trace metal and PAHs) of PM_{2.5} and their nanoscale 129 substructures. Field measurements were performed to assess the air pollution at four 130 different MEs - indoor (IN), traffic intersection (TI), park (PK) which is an urban 131 background site, and a street canyon (SC). We quantified pollutant concentrations in each 132 ME, to extend our knowledge of the variability in pollutant concentrations and to 133 calculate human exposure to different pollutants in these MEs. To identify the potential 134 sources of the PM and their potential health impacts, we surveyed multiple indicators in 135 each ME that included diurnal concentration variations of PMs with different size 136 distributions, and characterisation of the morphology, and composition of the respirable 137 fraction of PM_{2.5}. This multidisciplinary approach enabled us to generate indicators that 138 fingerprint the potential sources of the PM. The specific aims were to: (i) quantify air 139 140 pollutant concentration variations in different urban MEs, (ii) evaluate the diurnal variation of those pollutants, (iii) compare the PM fractional ratios at different MEs, (iv) 141 142 characterise the morphology and chemistry of metals in individual fine and ultrafine PM 143 pollutants and compare the composition and size distribution of the potentially most toxic

144 components, and (v) provide insight into the exposure assessment in terms of respiratory145 deposition doses (RDD).

146 **2.** Materials and methods

147 **2.1** Site description

Monitoring of airborne concentrations of pollutants was carried out at four 148 different sites (Figure 1) in London to represent various MEs (IN, TI, PK and SC). 149 Different air quality monitoring instruments were used to estimate the pollutant 150 151 concentrations and to collect the particles on filters. The IN measurements were carried out in the Data Science Institute (DSI; William Penney Laboratory) located at South 152 Kensington (latitude: 51.4989, longitude: -0.1771). The office room in DSI has a floor 153 area of 168.53 m², where nearly 15 people used to work (8 to 10 hours) during the 154 campaign period. The TI measurements were carried out at the 4-way TI in front of The 155 Invention rooms located at White city (latitude: 51.5128, longitude: -0.2251), where 156 South Africa road, Depot road and Wood lane road intersect. The TI is 130 m from White 157 158 city and 350 m from Wood lane underground stations.

The PK site measurements were carried out in Secret Gardens (Princes Gate Garden) 159 160 located at Knightsbridge (latitude: 51.5005, longitude: -0.1721). The park is located within an urban area that is not close to busy streets, representing an urban background 161 site. The studied SC site was across the Du Cane road at White City (latitude: 51.5164, 162 longitude: -0.2335), which is a shallow canyon having an aspect ratio (H/W) of 0.42 163 (Tomson et al., 2021), and an average traffic volume of 650 vehicles hour⁻¹ during the 164 165 daytime (Kumar et al., 2022b). None of the measurement sites were near industrial areas, large parking areas or gas stations. 166

167 2.2 Instrumentation

| 168 | We monitored PM ₁₀ , PM _{2.5} , PM ₁ , PNC, CO, CO ₂ and BC concentrations in each |
|-----|--|
| 169 | MEs. All the instruments used for pollutant monitoring were connected to a continuous |
| 170 | electric power supply. GRIMM (model 11-C) optical aerosol monitor (GRIMM |
| 171 | Technologies Inc., Germany) measured PM1, PM2.5, PM10. It captures airborne particles |
| 172 | from a size range of 0.25-32 μ m diameter, with a sensitivity of 0.1 μ g m ⁻³ and is classified |
| 173 | in 31 different size channels. We measured PM concentration with a sampling frequency |
| 174 | of 1 min in all the measurement sites, at a flow rate of 1.21 L min ⁻¹ . P-TRAK 8525 (TSI |
| 175 | Inc., USA) was employed to measure PNC in the size range of 0.02–1 μ m in the 0 to |
| 176 | $5x10^5$ particles cm ⁻³ concentration range. We measured PNC every 1 min with a flow rate |
| 177 | of 0.71 L min ⁻¹ . |

Q-TRAK 7575-X (TSI Inc., USA) was employed to measure temperature, relative 178 humidity, barometric pressure and CO, CO_2 concentrations in the ambient air, at a 179 logging interval of 1 min. Q-TRAK measures CO₂ in the range of 0 to 5000 ppm and CO 180 between 0 to 500 ppm. These instruments have been widely used for pollutant 181 182 concentration measurements in various previous studies (Kumar et al., 2022b; Abhijith and Kumar, 2019; Rivas et al., 2017a; Sharma and Kumar, 2020). BC concentrations 183 were collected using a portable MicroAeth M200 (Aethlabs, USA). MicroAeth measures 184 185 the mass concentration of light absorbing carbonaceous particles in a sampled aerosol. It provides BC concentrations derived from measurements of the rate of change in 186 absorption of transmitted light at 880 nm due to continuous collection of aerosol deposits 187 on the filter. During the monitoring period, the time base was set to 1 min at a flow rate 188 of 0.1 L min⁻¹ to minimise the filter loading. The instrument has a measurement accuracy 189 of $\pm 0.1 \mu g$ BC m⁻³ and a detection limit of 30 ng BC m⁻³. Filter cassette in microAeth was 190 191 changed as required when it was getting saturated. Attenuation in BC data generated due

to instrumental optical and electronic noise is rectified by post-processing the data withthe optimised noise-reduction averaging algorithm (Hagler et al., 2011).

194 2.3 Data collection and processing

Fieldwork was carried out over a total of 121 days between 25 November 2019 195 and 22 September 2020 at four different MEs as detailed in Table 2. All the instruments 196 197 were logging continuously at IN and PK sites, except P-TRAK, producing continuous 198 data over the 24 hours on most days. P-TRAK was logging only during the daytime, due to the inconvenience of changing the alcohol wick in the night. At TI and SC sites, all the 199 200 instruments logged data during the daytime (07:00-19:00 h), because it was not feasible to run the equipment unattended during the night-time for the health and safety of on-site 201 researchers and the risk of vandalism. All the intercomparisons were made on the 202 203 harmonised pollutant concentrations dataset over the same monitored period (07:00-19:00 h). At the IN site, all the instruments were kept in a table at one of the corners of 204 the office space (SI Figure S1). 205

At the TI site, the samplers were placed inside the compound wall of a nearest building, 206 at 4 m from the side of the road. Meteorological data (wind speed (WS), temperature, 207 relative humidity) were obtained from Heathrow airport (51.4700, -0.4542), which is 208 209 located 15 km away and represents the meteorological conditions in the measurement sites (SI Table S1). The same meteorological station data was used in previous studies 210 211 (Chapman et al., 2017; Kolokotroni et al., 2007). The wind rose patterns are presented in Supplementary Information, SI, Figure S2. Traffic counting was performed manually 212 during different periods of measurement (between 07:00h and 19:00h) in the TI site, by 213 categorising the vehicles as two wheelers, cars, bus, LDV and HDV, which was then 214 extrapolated to measure hourly average traffic volume (SI Figure S3). The average traffic 215 volume on the road during the measurement period was 1300 vehicle hour⁻¹. SC site has 216

pavements of 3 m width along the road on both sides, on which the instruments were kept
(SI Figure S1), mounted on a tripod stand at 1.5 m heigh. Field measurements were not
carried out on rainy and windy days considering the safety of the instruments, variation
in measurements and difficulty in attending monitoring. All the instruments (Section 2.2)
used for the monitoring were calibrated prior to measurements.

All the air pollutant concentration data collected were cleaned and analysed using Microsoft Excel and R statistical software (v4.0.3) (R Core Team, 2020) and the openair package (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012). Box plots, windrose diagrams, time plots as well as diurnal variation plots were produced for different pollutant data to investigate the pollutant variations in different MEs. PM data was further used to calculate PM₁/PM_{2.5} and PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratios. RDD calculations performed using Microsoft Excel.

228 2.4 Estimation of RDD

We quantified the variations in RDD for different PM fractions during different 229 physical activities by adults and children at various MEs. The RDD at each ME was 230 calculated using Eq. (1), which is adapted from ICRP (1994) and following the 231 232 methodology described in previous works (Kumar and Goel, 2016; Rivas et al., 2017b; Abhijith and Kumar, 2021). The RDD values are expressed in terms of mass of particles 233 deposited per unit time (μ g h⁻¹). RDD is the product of particle mass concentration 234 235 (PMC), deposition fraction (DF) and ventilation rate (VR). The PMC varies in different 236 MEs, DF is influenced by particle size and is proportional to mass median diameter $(d_p,$ µg) of PM fractions, VR depends on age, sex and the activity of a person. 237

$$238 \quad RDDi = DFi \times PMi \times VR_{j} \tag{1}$$

239 Where i represent different PM fractions (PM₁, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀); DF_i and PM_i represent DF

and PMC for each of the PM fractions. VR is the ventilation rate for the j^{th} individual;

DFs are calculated using Eq. (2) and (3), presented in Hinds (1999). d_p was found out by reading the particle diameter corresponding to a cumulative percentage of 50% PM mass, following the method given in previous studies (Kumar et al., 2018, 2017; Kumar and Goel, 2016; Rivas et al., 2017b; Abhijith and Kumar, 2021)

245
$$DF = IF \left(0.058 + \frac{0.911}{1 + exp(4.77 + 1.485ln \, dp)} + \frac{0.943}{1 + exp(0.508 - 2.58ln \, dp)} \right)$$
 (2)

246
$$IF = 1 - 0.5 \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + 0.00076 dp^{2.8}} \right)$$
 (3)

247 The VRs for the exposure assessment during various activity levels were adopted from248 US-EPA (2009).

249 2.6 Particulate Characterisation

Chemical and morphological characterisation of the PM collected on quartz filters 250 (from IN, TI, PK sites) was conducted using FEI Quanta scanning electron microscope 251 (SEM) and Jeol 2100F transmission electron microscope (TEM) combined with energy 252 dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDXS), using a Bruker XFlash 6/60 detector. The detailed 253 description of collection of particles on filters using MiniVol samplers and Harvard 254 255 impactors are given in SI Section S1. Elemental maps were processed using Feature 256 Analysis for Quantax Esprit 2.1 (Bruker, USA). The TEM data was analysed using the 257 Gatan Digital Micrograph and ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). EDXS maps were 258 collected in STEM mode using a dark field detector and the Oxford Instruments INCA/Aztech EDS 80 mm X-Max detector system. Oxford Instruments AzTec software 259 was used to analyse the EDXS maps. Poly aromatic hydrocarbons were measured by gas 260 261 chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Detailed method descriptions of the electron microscopy, ICP-MS and GC-MS organic compound analysis are given in SI 262 Sections S2-S4. 263

264 **3. Results and Discussion**

265 **3.1** Overview of pollutant concentrations in different MEs

266 Table 3 summaries the data availability, mean, median and standard deviation of pollutant concentration on each of the MEs during daytime (07:00-19:00 h). Mean 267 268 meteorological parameters at the four measurement sites during the field measurement 269 period are summarised in Table S1. Average temperature and relative humidity at SC 270 were different from other two outdoor MEs as the SC measurements were carried out in the summer. As far as WS is concerned, the mean values were closer to each other. The 271 272 highest mean WS was found at the TI site and the lowest was at SC during the measurement period (SI Figure S2). Figure 2 shows the pollutant concentrations at four 273 MEs. The PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ and PNC exhibited a similar trend, showing least average 274 concentration at IN and highest concentration at TI, followed by SC and PK. Common 275 PM trends existed because the major emission sources of TI and SC are associated with 276 road traffic. But PM1 and BC concentrations are higher at IN than PK sites and displayed 277 a trend of TI>SC>IN>PK. 278

The IN considered for this study is an office space in an academic institute (Section 2). 279 The main sources of pollutants in the IN office environment are printers, copy machines, 280 usual office activities (Setyawati et al., 2020), and the infiltration of pollutants from the 281 outside (Section 3.5). These activities emit particles in a UFP size range similar to those 282 characteristic of combustion processes which prevail in the IN environment for a longer 283 time (Gomes et al., 2012). The average PNC value in the office space $(5672\pm2934 \text{ cm}^{-3})$ 284 285 was in the observed range seen in previous studies, even though it was slightly less than in most previous research (Spinazze et al., 2013; Cattaneo et al., 2009; Lonati et al., 286 287 2010). However, studies have also reported very low PNC, which has been interpreted as

the result of an absence of relevant sources of UFPs such as laser printers (Spinazze etal., 2015).

290 Parks represent an urban background (UB) site which is not close to any sources. The average PNC value observed in the PK site in London during the daytime was low (7717 291 \pm 4576 cm⁻³) compared to the PNC value reported by previous studies (Spinazze et al., 292 2013; Cattaneo et al., 2009). In a study by Aalto et al. (2005), PNC at TI sites were 293 between 2 to 6 times higher than the concentration at the corresponding UB sites in Rome, 294 Barcelona and Stockholm, depending on various factors including distance to the road, 295 traffic volume and sampling height. The average PNC value observed at the TI site in the 296 current study was within the range of previous studies (Spinazze et al., 2015, 2013; 297 Cattaneo et al., 2009; Slezakova et al., 2020), more than 2-times higher compared to the 298 corresponding UB site, supporting the observation from the previous studies. The prime 299 source of pollutants in roadside environments are the vehicular sources (Huang et al., 300 301 2021). Apart from direct vehicular emissions, abrasion of vehicle tyres with road and 302 resuspension of road dust also contribute to higher PNC in TI.

303 The average PM concentration observed in IN, PK, TI and SC sites were less than those 304 reported in previous studies (Wheeler et al., 2000; Harrison et al., 2001; McCreanor et al., 2007; Putaud et al., 2010), even though the traffic levels at SC site were back to 305 306 normal after the COVID-19 pandemic during the sampling period (Kumar at al., 2022). 307 The improved air quality in London MEs compared with previous studies can also be due to the improved fuel standards, which specify lower sulfur content (Li et al., 2020; Hirota, 308 309 2010). We also noted that PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ and CO concentrations in all the outdoor MEs were within the WHO global air quality guidelines limits (WHO, 2021). The contrast for 310 PM₁₀ concentrations was smaller with a ratio of 1.8 between the street locations and the 311

urban background, adding to the evidence that PM_{10} does not reflect the effect of traffic

emissions in the urban MEs (Boogaard et al., 2010).

314 SI Figure S4 shows the CO, CO₂ concentrations and the average temperature and relative humidity (RH) at four MEs during the daytime. SI Figure S5 shows its diurnal variation. 315 CO concentration is higher at the SC site, where the pollutant dispersion is limited (Figure 316 S5a). CO is an indicator of combustion sources which is produced by the incomplete 317 combustion of carbonaceous fuels (Lv et al., 2019), where the highest percentage of CO 318 319 emissions in developed countries is from the transport sector (Kaur et al., 2005). Higher concentration were observed at IN relative to PK, which is due to the infiltration of CO 320 from the outside, most likely due to the proximity to busy traffic (Chaloulakou and 321 322 Mavroidis, 2002) but emissions of heating and cooking activities in the kitchen beside the office may have also had an effect (Roden et al., 2009). However, it is less than the 323 WHO limit for indoor CO concentration (WHO, 2010). The variation of indoor CO was 324 smaller than that of outdoor as the rate of concentration reduction is low, leading to 325 increased residence in enclosed space (Chaloulakou et al., 2003). CO₂ concentration was 326 highest at the IN site, which rises abruptly in the office working hours due to the 327 occupancy (Figure S5b). CO₂ concentration is related to ventilation and indoor air quality 328 (IAQ), since lower ventilation rates elevate CO₂ concentration (Persily, 2015). However, 329 the observed CO₂ concentration was less than the recommended CO₂ indoor 330 concentration throughout the day, indicating proper ventilation to the office space. (Du 331 et al., 2020; Mahyuddin and Awbi, 2012). Time plot showing the concentration variation 332 333 of PM₁, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, PNC and BC, during the whole monitoring period is also given in SI Figure S6 and S7. 334

335 3.2 Diurnal variation of pollutants

Figure 3 shows the diurnal variation of different pollutants at different MEs. The 336 diurnal variation of PM curves resembles the time-activity pattern of people and road-337 338 traffic flow patterns. Different PM fractions (PM₁, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀) show almost similar trends in the four MEs with higher values for PM₁₀. Figure 3a-c clearly shows that the 339 PM curve at the TI site contains two peaks. PM concentration at TI during daytime was 340 2 to 3-times higher than the concentration at night. The morning peak reached its 341 342 maximum between 07:00-9:30 and is associated with the road traffic emissions during morning peak hours. The second peak appeared during the evening rush hours, usually 343 344 between 16:00-19:00, which can also be explained by the road traffic emissions. It is noted that, in the night-time, PM concentrations (PM1, PM2.5 and PM10) at the TI site were 345 always reduced to become lower than the PK site. 346

Figure 3a-c shows that PM concentrations were always higher in the morning peak hours 347 than the evening rush hours in both TI and SC, as reported in previous studies (Paton-348 Walsh et al., 2019). Fine PM fractions (PM1 and PM2.5) at IN did not show much variation 349 with time (Figure 3a-b) as they are difficult to settle, hence always present indoors. But 350 the coarse fraction (PM_{2.5-10}) showed an increase in concentration during the office hours 351 while people were present in the office (Figure 3d), most likely due to the resuspension 352 353 of the coarse particles from movement of people (Persily., 2015). The diurnal profile of PM in PK has an increase in the evening indicating a strong influence of a source with a 354 diurnal pattern of emissions (Harrison and Jones, 2005). The rise in PM concentrations 355 356 for all the PM fractions in the PK, can also be due to the fugitive PM from the nearby roads due to the vehicular emissions, resuspension, road abrasion, brake and tyre wear 357 etc. (Bigi and Harrison, 2010). It is noted that the increase in PM₁ concentration was high 358 359 compared to the increase in $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} concentration at the PK site in the evening. The relatively greater increase in PM₁ could have been related to the relative abundance 360

of fine PM owing to their transport from the nearby roads (Wrobel et al., 2000), and mainly of cooking emissions from the kitchen in the nearby houses (Xiang et al., 2021). It was also observed that the coarse PM (PM_{2.5-10}) concentration increased during the daytime (from 07:00 h) at the PK site (Figure 3d). This rise in coarser PM fraction only during the daytime may have also been caused by roof construction work happening in the nearby building during the measurement period.

PNC trend also closely follows the road-traffic flow pattern (Figure 3e), meaning that the 367 meteorological conditions influencing the PNC changed at a smaller rate than road traffic. 368 Different mechanisms are responsible for the production of UFP (which controls the total 369 370 PNC) and larger particles (which control the mass concentration). So, the relationship 371 between PNC and PMC is not simple, as those mechanisms do not directly co-vary (Harrison and Jones, 2005). Atmospheric residence times for UFP in the boundary layer 372 in cities are relatively short (<1 hour), so their presence in the air can be directly 373 associated with their local emission sources or formation mechanisms (Borsós et al., 374 2012). Temporal variation of PNC was higher at the street locations. PNC value showed 375 a steep rise in the evening at TI, which consistently increased from 15.00 until 18.30 due 376 to the direct exhaust emissions. The morning and evening peaks were separated by a 377 378 broad minimum in TI and SC sites, while the concentration remained almost the same in the IN throughout the daytime. The observed TI diurnal variation behaviour for PNC was 379 consistent with the time variations observed in other European cities (Borsós et al., 2012). 380 New particle formation (which usually occurs around midday) also contributes 381 substantially to the UFP (Salma et al., 2011). Since the measurement happened in winter, 382 poor dispersion of local primary emissions in winter is also associated with high PM₁₀ 383 and PNC (Harrison and Jones, 2005). 384

The PNC profile at the PK showed a diurnal variation with a morning rush hour peak 385 386 typical of an anthropogenic pollutant, which is traffic-related urban pollutants and a PNC 387 rise in the late evening. A number of studies have identified the growth in the number of small particles around midday in urban areas, which can be associated with post noon 388 particle formation with solar radiation (Wehner and Wiedensohler, 2003). The rise in 389 390 PNC at the PK in the evening could also be due to the influence of fugitive dust emissions 391 from different nearby sources (Harrison and Jones, 2005) as mentioned earlier. Harrison et al. (2004) presented that the airborne particle composition at UK urban background 392 393 sites is made up from combustion-generated carbonaceous particles, secondary sulfates and nitrates from regional transport, sodium chloride and coarse dusts. BC concentration 394 was higher at IN than PK opposite to that of other pollutants. This is because of the UFP 395 emitted from the printers and the copy machines, which reside inside the room longer. 396

397 3.3 Fractional variations of PM at different MEs

398 There was appreciable day to night differences between fine and coarse particle concentrations, which are indicative of the activities around the MEs. While considering 399 the PM₁/PM_{2.5} ratios, there was a dominance of PM₁ in PM_{2.5} in all the MEs, as the ratio 400 of PM₁/PM_{2.5}>0.6 (Figure 4a). Similarly, there was a dominance of PM_{2.5} in PM₁₀ in all 401 the MEs. At the TI site, we saw that PM1 dominance in PM2.5 increases after 07:00 in the 402 morning, showing the fine PM fraction coming from the vehicles (Figure 4c). But when 403 we see PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀, the amount of PM_{2.5} decreases from 07:00 in the morning, when road 404 traffic is increasing, showing the dominance of coarse fraction (PM_{2.5-10}) coming from 405 tyre wear and the dust from non-exhaust emissions from the road. Similar increase in 406 coarser particle concentration was observed in a previous study (Harrison et al., 2001), 407 indicating anthropogenic activities. The results showed that the vehicle induced 408

resuspension provides approximately an equal amount of PM equal to that of exhaustemissions.

Similarly, at both IN and PK sites we observed a dominance of fine fraction (PM_{2.5}) in 411 the night and of coarse fraction (PM_{2.5-10}) during the daytime (Figure 4d). This was due 412 413 to the resuspension of coarse particles in the floor due to various office activities on the IN site during the daytime. Similarly, at the PK site there is an increase in PM₁ fraction 414 in the evening, while at the TI and SC sites it is reduced during the same period, and the 415 possible reasons are given in Section 3.2. Figure 4c and SI Figure S13 shows the 416 dominance of PM₁ fraction at PK during the night compared to that of SC and TI sites. 417 418 In PK, the coarse particles during the daytime were made up from non-exhaust emissions 419 generated from the regional transport. In the IN environment, it was observed that the UFP prevailed at nighttime, and the PM_{1-2.5} fraction was increased during the daytime, 420 421 because of office activities (Figure 4c).

Figure 5 shows the pie chart showing the contribution of different PM fractions (PM₁, 422 423 PM_{2.5-1}, PM_{10-2.5}) to total PM for IN, TI, PK and SC sites during the daytime. The highest 424 PM_1 fraction was observed in the IN environment as it is difficult to settle, and the air is more stagnant compared to the outdoor MEs. Even though the absolute PM concentration 425 at PK was half to that of the TI site, the fractional contribution pattern of PK followed a 426 427 similar pattern of TI (SI Figure S8). This shows that the urban background concentrations are made up mainly of the vehicular emissions from the nearby transport (Harrison et al., 428 2004). 429

430 **3.4 Exposure assessment**

The health risks of individuals depend partly on their daily activities and is alsoassociated with the ambient pollutant concentrations. RDD is the fraction of inhaled

particles deposited in the human respiratory tract. We estimated RDD per hour of exposure for PM₁, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ in different MEs during different physical activities (sitting, walking and running). The ventilation rates of males are usually 23-32% higher than that of females, which gives an equivalent difference in RDD calculations between them. We estimated the RDD for females (31-41 years old) and for children (girls, 3-6 years old) and are referring to them in this section (Figure 6). A similar pattern was obtained in males (31-41 years old) and for children (boys, 3-6 years old), which is

440 included in the SI (SI Figure S9).

RDD for PM₁ is higher at TI followed by SC and PK, and was least at IN. The estimated 441 RDD variation for PM₁ was 0.28-2.45 μ g hr⁻¹ for adult females, 0.35-2.99 μ g hr⁻¹ for adult 442 males and 0.28-1.90 µg hr⁻¹ for girl children while performing various physical activities 443 at different MEs (SI, Table S4). PM₁ concentration was observed to be more at IN than 444 445 PK, while RDD is higher at PK than IN environment, as the deposition fraction depends on the MMD of the particles. Similarly, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ concentrations were greater at 446 TI than SC, while RDD is higher at SC than TI environment, due to the change in 447 deposition fraction. For the PM_{2.5} size fraction, the RDD variation ranged 0.45-11.22 µg 448 hr^{-1} , 0.55-13.73 µg hr^{-1} and 0.44-8.72 µg hr^{-1} for an adult female, an adult male and a girl 449 450 child respectively. The female and male RDD for PM_{2.5} was similar to the average person exposure value reported by Lim et al. (2012). For PM₁₀, the observed RDD variation 451 ranged 2.69-37.17 μ g hr⁻¹, 3.30-45.46 μ g hr⁻¹ and 2.64-28.88 μ g hr⁻¹ for an adult female, 452 an adult male and a girl child respectively during different activities at different MEs (SI 453 Table S4). 454

455 The RDD for fine particles for walking mode at the TI site $(6.63 \ \mu g \ hr^{-1})$ was comparable 456 to that reported by Kumar et al. (2018). Likewise, RDD for PM_{2.5} at TI were comparable

to the RDD rates at a similar 4-way TI at Guildford, UK as reported (7 µg hr⁻¹) by Kumar 457 and Goel (2016). However, the estimated RDD for PM_1 was slightly less and RDD for 458 459 PM_{10} is slightly more, compared to this study. It is noted that the RDD for adult females while walking and running at PK, for PM1, PM2.5 and PM10 was 39%, 43% and 53% 460 lower than that at TI or SC. These overall reductions in RDD for all PM fractions between 461 462 roadsides and parks should be noted while considering the exposure during daily 463 exercises. These findings imply that personal exposures can depend on activity patterns 464 and the microenvironmental concentration. Hence the management of personal exposure 465 should consider potential doses at different MEs during different physical activities for curtailing adverse health effects. 466

467 3.5 Micro and nanoscale imaging and chemical analysis

468 **3.5.1 IN, TI and PK sites**

IN, TI and PK sites were compared first to provide a baseline for intra-site 469 variability by comparing pollution PM_{2.5} collected using the same MiniVol sampler. At 470 these sites, PM with varied diameters and compositions were observed on the quartz 471 filters by ESEM, with EDXS used to produce elemental maps (Figure 7 a, e, i for IN, TI, 472 PK, respectively). The diameters and spectra of selected particles shown for IN (b-d), TI 473 (f-h) and PK (j-l) indicated agglomeration and co-location of different compounds. The 474 PM in all MEs were composed of Na, Ca, C, O, Si, Cl, Ca and Fe, with Na and Cl co-475 located together suggesting they are NaCl salt particles arising from either human sweat 476 or sea brine. Fe is a common crustal element but also arises from brake wear (Dall'Osto 477 et al., 2013). Elevated levels of crustal elements (Si, Ca, Fe) can also be produced by 478 diesel engines (Wang et al., 2003), which still form a significant proportion of vehicles 479 in London. Qualitatively, the SEM-EDXS maps showed that the composition and 480

structure of the particles was similar at all sites, and most probably from traffic-relatedemissions.

To probe the structure and granularity of the individual PM, at the nm-scale, STEM-483 484 EDXS of PM collected at these sites was used. This high-resolution imaging indicated the presence of individual micrometre-sized particles (at all of the IN, TI, PK sites in SI, 485 Figure S10) composed of nanostructures rich in different metals and a composite matrix 486 487 made up most often of C and O. However, at IN, most particles did not contain these metallic nanostructures: high resolution STEM images and EDX maps of the IN pollution 488 (SI, Figure S10e) showed that they were mostly composed of ultrafine ~20 nm diameter 489 carbon and oxygen-rich particles with graphitic layers that are consistent with those seen 490 in soot reported by Pósfai (2003). This confirms the emission of carbonaceous particles 491 from the printers and copy machines in the office room (Setyawati et al., 2020). At TI 492 and PK, some individual particles had possibly formed larger agglomerates, with the 493 smallest observed particles (<500nm) often composed of metals, for example Fe, Ti, Cr, 494 495 Al and Mg (SI, Figure S10h, i, o, t).

496 Interestingly, the STEM-EDX results for PM_{2.5} at the PK and TI sites were made up of hybrid, micrometre-sized particles that contained smaller UFPs, composed of trace 497 498 metals. This particle structure could potentially break down in the lung, releasing these smaller UFPs that will be more bioreactive due to their higher specific surface area. Prior 499 500 work has shown that PAHs are commonly associated with fine particles (Miguel et al., 501 2004), and some types of PAH can adsorb onto PM. Here we identified specific types, such as naphthalene (SI, Table S5), which could be extremely toxic, and carcinogenic to 502 humans, such as those in Group 1, 2A or 2B (IARC, 2010), particularly if they are 503 delivered into the deep lung or even inside lung cells on the surface of a particle. Samples 504

collected from IN, TI and PK sites contain responses from 4 to 7 ring PAH, including benzo(a)pyrene (B[a]P) which is commonly used as a marker for carcinogenic PAHs. In the UK 0.25 ng m⁻³ B[a]P is recommended as an annual average air quality standard (DEFRA, 2007). None of our samples in any of the MEs exceed this limit, however the maximum value of 0.18 ng m⁻³ was found at the IN site. The lower exposure to UV/visible light at IN is likely to mean lower rates of photo-oxidation, which may explain the

511 elevated levels of >4 ring PAHs at IN site.

512 **3.5.2** SC site

At the SC site, PU foams were used to collect and analyse size-fractionated PM 513 using a Harvard impactor, to analyse PM chemistry and morphology across the PM_{0.1-2.5}, 514 $PM_{2.5-10}$ and $PM_{\geq 10}$ fractions. PM collected at the SC site showed a large variation in 515 morphologies and chemistries and UFPs were observed (Figure 8a-c and Figure S11d). 516 Regardless of the nominal size fraction, in each range large >50 µm agglomerates of 517 particles could be observed as well as individual particles of diameter <400 nm. The most 518 519 common elements detected in the particles were C, O, Na, Mg, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, K, Ca, Ti, Cr, Mn and Fe (Figure 8d-g) with some variability in the composition of different 520 particles. TEM showed Ti and Fe rich particles of around 400 nm diameter (Figure S11d, 521 522 e) which are agglomerated into a coarse particle. The composition and structure of the particles was similar to that characterised in the other MEs, and most probably from 523 524 traffic-related emissions, with the exception of Ca-rich particles, observed in the Ca EDX map, which were only observed at the SC site. Ca is a crustal element present in road dust 525 and building materials; it can arise from road surface abrasion (Saracho et al., 2021). A 526 527 construction source at the SC compared to the TI is likely to explain the presence of these particles at the SC. 528

The next step was to perform chemical analysis by ICP-MS of fractionated PM (PM≥10, 529 PM_{2.5-10} and PM_{0.1-2.5}) at SC and PK sites for understanding the source of PM at the PK 530 sites and explaining the diurnal variations in concentrations at these sites (Figures 4c, d). 531 ICP-MS showed that at both locations, fractions were made up of the same elements, but 532 the contribution of metals in the smaller PM_{0.1-2.5} fraction was greater for Na, Al and P at 533 the PK site (SI Figure S12). Fe (1.3 ng m⁻³) was present at the highest proportion in 534 $PM_{\geq 10}$ at SC site and across all size fractions at an order of magnitude larger 535 concentration than at the PK site (0.06-0.03 ng m⁻³). Similarly, Mn and Cr were seen in 536 537 larger concentrations at the SC site. This is consistent with findings of higher total PNC and PM at SC (Figure 2). However, for the fine fraction (PM0.1-2.5) this trend was 538 reversed: this may relate to the broad minimum in the PM1/PM2.5 ratio seen in the SC 539 540 after 18:00h and overnight at the TI, for which the finer sizes PM₁ and PM_{2.5} are lower than that at the PK site overnight (Section 3.3). It is also clear from SI Figure S13 that 541 the relative proportion of PM₁/PM₁₀ increases at the PK site after 17.00 h. The reason for 542 relative increase in the PM₁/PM₁₀ ratio at the PK overnight is unclear but could arise 543 because fine grained materials transport over a longer distance than the coarse fraction 544 545 and increase their relative abundance as they move away from the pollution source such as the busy roads nearby (Wrobel et al., 2000), or because of evening activities, such as 546 547 cooking, in the residential buildings around the park.

To help identify potential sources of the PM collected in each ME and compare the relative abundance of different elements, we subsequently collected SEM-EDXS maps of 100s of particles (n = 121 for IN, n = 300 for PK, n = 260 for TI, and n = 277 for SC sites) at each site and measured the concentration in % and the correlation of the major elements detected in each ME (SI Figure S14). These correlations are discussed in the SI

section S5. A similar relative abundance of elements was measured by SEM-EDXS (Figure S14b) at the TI, PK, and IN which implies either similar particle generation activities at these sites or effective atmospheric communication and mixing between sampling locations. If the latter interpretation is correct, then pollution mitigation strategies at source will have a wide geographical impact. A slightly different elemental fingerprint was measured at the SC site compared to the other sites suggesting different local sources of pollution or relative isolation from atmospheric transport.

Given that the fine fraction of PM can penetrate the respiratory system and deposit in the 560 lung (Sturm et al., 2016; Kumar et al., 2022c), our data suggest that at the concentrations 561 measured, notably the PM sizes and chemistries characterised here (notably Fe and Cr) 562 could cause toxicity following inhalation, particularly in the TI and SC site (Figure 3) 563 (Bhabra et al., 2009; Charrier and Anastasio, 2015). The presence of transition metals 564 such as Fe and Mn within the fine particles is concerning because of their ability to 565 566 generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) which can result in inflammatory responses and cellular damage (Valko et al., 2016). ROS in the airways can react to form hydrogen 567 568 peroxide and hydroxyl radicals which are toxic for the body (Van Klaveren and Nemery, 1999). This may result in arrest of protein translation and increased inflammatory 569 mediator production (Cooper and Loxham, 2019) that leads to oxidative stress linked to 570 diseases such as asthma and COPD (Bathri et al., 2017; McGuinness and Sapey, 2017). 571

572

4.

Summary and conclusions

573 This study presented the air pollutant concentration data from four different MEs 574 (IN, TI, PK and SC) in London. It provided an overview of the variability of different 575 pollutants among these MEs. The following conclusions are drawn:

| 576 | • PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀ and PNC exhibited the following trend: TI>SC>PK>IN. Higher |
|-----|---|
| 577 | concentrations for PM_1 and BC were observed at IN than PK due to the emissions |
| 578 | from printers and the trend changed to TI>SC>IN>PK. The mean PM _{2.5} (PNC) values |
| 579 | observed at IN, TI, PK and SC were 3.88±3.06 (5672±2934), 9.47±7.05 |
| 580 | (16366±11815), 5.11±2.96 (7717±4576), 8.09±4.57 (10951±6445) μ g m ⁻³ (# cm ⁻³), |
| 581 | respectively. We observed slightly less pollutant concentrations in different MEs in |
| 582 | London compared to old studies, which might be due to the improved fuel quality. |

The fine PM concentrations at TI and SC sites were 12%-30% higher during the
morning peak hours compared to that in the evening peak hours.

The observed pollutant concentrations at the IN followed the office time and work
pattern. However, the variation in fine PM concentration at IN was smaller than that
of outdoor MEs. This variation can be presumably due to the internal sources as well
as ingress of outdoor PM. Fractionated PM results at TI show that the vehicle-induced
resuspension of coarse particles provides approximately an equal amount of PM equal
to that of fine exhaust emissions.

Fine and ultrafine PM containing soot, trace metals, and metal mixtures from crustal and anthropogenic sources, including Fe, Ti, Cr, Mn, Al and Mg were detected at all sites. A chemical fingerprint of the PM, at the TI and SC sites indicated the presence of transition metals and other elements that are normally found in particles generated by abrasion and resuspension at the roadside as well as crustal and gasoline emissions. A similar relative abundance of different elements was measured in the PK, TI and IN sites, which suggests, more generally, a transport of PM between MEs.

RDD for PM₁ was highest (2.45±2.27 µg h⁻¹) at TI for females during running, while
for PM_{2.5} (11.23±6.34 µg h⁻¹) and PM₁₀ (37.17±20.82 µg h⁻¹) were highest at SC. RDD
variation between the MEs did not follow the PM concentration trend, as the

deposition fraction depends on MMD. RDD at PK was found to be 39%-53% lower
compared with TI and SC during running for all the PM fractions. Therefore,
appropriately managed places and routes should be selected for physical activities, to
lower the individual personal PM exposures in an urban environment.

605 The following recommendations are drawn:

Since higher PM concentrations were observed during the morning peak hours
 than those during evening rush hours at both the TI and SC sites, and potentially
 toxic metals including Fe, Ni, Mn, and Cr were measured at these sites, busy roads
 should be avoided during such peak hours. Policies should target reducing the
 traffic in these MEs, as well as providing lower-pollution modes of transport.

Policies to reduce the traffic-related pollution at TI and SC sites could include the
 following strategies: i) prioritise policies that encourage better pedestrian paths
 and cycle paths, ii) prioritise measures to encourage a more rapid transition to low
 and zero tailpipe emission vehicles, iii) including the tightening of fuel efficiency,
 fuel quality and emission standards, and iv) address non-exhaust emissions, such
 as raised dust and tyre and wear particles to reduce airborne particles.

PM was composed of the same potentially toxic metals in the IN site as at the TI site, indicating the transport of PM from road traffic to IN. Therefore, we recommend that building designs should provide windows and other openings of the buildings away from busy roads and traffic zones to prevent the ingress of vehicle exhaust as well as provide ventilation systems which efficiently filter out PM, where possible.

Although the goal of this work was achieved by understanding the typical air pollution
characteristics of specific sites, simultaneous monitoring can offer additional
opportunities for a direct comparison of concentration among several sites. However, the

constraints of multi-site simultaneous measurements can include the unavailability of 626 627 multiple sets of similar research-grade instruments and the manpower to transport and 628 oversee them in parallel. Our study showed that the exposure concentrations vary with different MEs in urban environments, and pollutant concentration also changes 629 depending on the time of the day. We also quantified the metals and PAHs in aerosol 630 631 particles to allow risk assessment due to these toxic species. Similar studies are 632 recommended to build a comparable database around various cities and different MEs that can allow the risk assessment and the opportunities to reduce exposure inequalities 633 634 and design exposure mitigation measures.

635

5. Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the EPSRC funded project INHALE (Health 636 assessment across biological length scales for personal pollution exposure and its 637 mitigation; EP/T003189/1). The authors thank the INHALE project team from Imperial 638 College London and the University of Edinburgh for valuable discussions, the INHALE 639 project Manager (Claire Dilliway) for helping with the access from the Data Science 640 Institute (DSI), The invention rooms, Secret gardens and from the Council Borough of 641 Hammersmith and Fulham to carry out our fieldworks. We thank Hammersmith Hospital 642 Authorities of Clement Danes House for providing power supply and personal access, 643 and Darsi Wickham for providing access to Imperial Medical Campus for storage, 644 personal access and facilitation. We thank Sean Burke, Dan Sim, Bogdan and Luqman 645 Jalloh from Imperial college for instrument set-up, access and power supply. Prashant 646 Kumar and Mamatha Tomson also acknowledge the support received by the UGPN's 647 dual PhD Studentship Award (2019-22) by the Universities of Surrey and Wollongong 648 to undertake MT's PhD programme. The authors also thank the GCARE team members 649 (Dr. Arvind Tiwari, Dr. Sarkawt Hama, Dr. Abhijith KV) for their help during the early 650

discussion and during the campaign, Rosella Arcucci, César Quilodrán Casas and Michal
Klosowski from Imperial College London for aiding during field measurements and SEM
images collection, Jonathan S. Watson for helping the chemical analysis and Dr. Rebekah
Moore and Dr. Alex Griffiths at the London Metallomics Facility, funded by the
Wellcome Trust (grant 963 reference 202902/Z/16/Z), for ICP-MS measurements.

- 656 **5.** References
- Aalto, P., Hämeri, K., Paatero, P., Kulmala, M., Bellander, T., Berglind, N., Bouso, L.,
 Castano-Vinyals, G., Sunyer, J., Cattani, G., Marconi, A., 2005. Aerosol particle
 number concentration measurements in five European cities using TSI-3022
 condensation particle counter over a three-year period during health effects of air
 pollution on susceptible subpopulations. J Air & Waste Manag Assoc. 55, 10641076.
- Abhijith, K.V., Kumar, P., 2021. Evaluation of respiratory deposition doses in the
 presence of green infrastructure. Air Qual. Atmos. Health. 14, 911-924.
- Abhijith, K. V, Kumar, P., 2019. Field investigations for evaluating green infrastructure
 effects on air quality in open-road conditions. Atmos. Environ. 201, 132–147.
- 667 Abhijith, K.V., Kumar, P., Gallagher, J., McNabola, A., Baldauf, R., Pilla, F., Broderick,
- B., Di Sabatino, S., Pulvirenti, B., 2017. Air pollution abatement performances of
 green infrastructure in open road and built-up street canyon environments a
 review. Atmos. Environ. 162, 71–86.
- Air Quality Expert Group. Particulate matter in the UK: summary. 2005. Available at:
- 672 <u>https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/assets/documents/reports/aqeg/pm-summary.pdf</u>
- 673 (accessed: 11 June 2020).

- Anderson, J.O., Thundiyil, J.G., Stolbach, A., 2012. Clearing the air: a review of the
- effects of particulate matter air pollution on human health. J Med toxicol. 8, 166-175.
- Bathri, R., Bose, P., Gujar, V.S., Kumar, L., 2017. The role of ROS in COPD progression
 and therapeutic strategies. React. Oxyg. Species. 4, 237-250.
- Berghmans, P., Bleux, N., Panis, L.I., Mishra, V.K., Torfs, R., Van Poppel, M., 2009.
- Exposure assessment of a cyclist to PM₁₀ and ultrafine particles. Sci. Total Environ.
 407, 1286-1298.
- Bigi, A., Harrison, R.M., 2010. Analysis of the air pollution climate at a central urban
 background site. Atmos. Environ. 44, 2004-2012.
- Birmili, W., Weinhold, K., Rasch, F., Sonntag, A., Sun, J., Merkel, M., Wiedensohler,
- A., Bastian, S., Schladitz, A., Löschau, G., Cyrys, J., Pitz, M., Gu, J., Kusch, T.,
- 686 Flentje, H., Quass, U., Kaminski, H., Kuhlbusch, T.A.J., Meinhardt, F., Schwerin,
- A., Bath, O., Ries, L., Gerwig, H., Wirtz, K., Fiebig, M., 2016. Long-term
 observations of tropospheric particle number size distributions and equivalent black
 carbon mass concentrations in the German Ultrafine Aerosol Network (GUAN).
- 690 Earth Syst. Sci. Data. 8, 355–382.
- Boogaard, H., Montagne, D.R., Brandenburg, A.P., Meliefste, K., Hoek, G., 2010.
 Comparison of short-term exposure to particle number, PM₁₀ and soot
 concentrations on three (sub) urban locations. Sci. Total Environ. 408, 4403–4411.
- Borsós, T., Řimnáčová, D., Ždímal, V., Smolík, J., Wagner, Z., Weidinger, T., Burkart,
- J., Steiner, G., Reischl, G., Hitzenberger, R., Schwarz, J., Salma, I., 2012.
 Comparison of particulate number concentrations in three Central European capital
- 697 cities. Sci. Total Environ. 433, 418–426.

- 698 Brzozowski, K., Ryguła, A., Maczyński, A., 2019. The use of low-cost sensors for air
- quality analysis in road intersections. Transp. Res. Part D: Trans. Environ. 77, 198–
 211.
- Carslaw, D.C., Ropkins, K., 2012. Openair—an R package for air quality data analysis.
 Environ. Model. Sofw. 27, 52-61.
- 703 Cattaneo, A., Garramone, G., Taronna, M., Peruzzo, C., Cavallo, D.M., 2009. Personal
- exposure to airborne ultrafine particles in the urban area of Milan. J. Phys. Conf.Ser. 151, 012039.
- 706 Chaloulakou, A., Mavroidis, I., 2002. Comparison of indoor and outdoor concentrations
- of CO at a public school. Evaluation of an indoor air quality model. Atmos.
 Environ. 36, 1769-1781.
- Chaloulakou, A., Mavroidis, I., Duci, A., 2003. Indoor and outdoor carbon monoxide
 concentration relationships at different microenvironments in the Athens area.
 Chemosphere, 52, 1007-1019.
- Chapman, L., Bell, C., Bell, S., 2017. Can the crowdsourcing data paradigm take
 atmospheric science to a new level? A case study of the urban heat island of London
 quantified using Netatmo weather stations. Int. J Climatol. 37, 3597-3605.
- Cooper, D. M., Loxham, M., 2019. Particulate matter and the airway epithelium: the
 special case of the underground?. Eur. Respir. Rev. 28, 153.
- 717 Dall'Osto, M., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Minguillon, M. C., Alier, M., Amato, F., Brines,
- 718 M., Cusack, M., Grimalt, J. O., Karanasiou, A., Moreno, T., Pandolfi, M., Pey, J.,
- 719 Reche, C., Ripoll, A., Tauler, R., Van Drooge, B. L., Viana, M., Harrison, R. M.,
- Gietl, J., Beddows, D., Bloss, W., O'Dowd, C., Ceburnis, D., Martucci, G., Ng, N.
- L., Worsnop, D., Wenger, J., Mc Gillicuddy, E., Sodeau, J., Healy, R., Lucarelli,
- F., Nava, S., Jimenez, J. L., Gomez Moreno, F., Artinano, B., Prévôt, A. S. H.,

| 723 | Pfaffenberger, L., Frey, S., Wilsenack, F., Casabona, D., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., |
|-----|---|
| 724 | Gross, D., Cots, N., 2013. Presenting SAPUSS: Solving Aerosol Problem by Using |
| 725 | Synergistic Strategies in Barcelona, Spain, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8991–9019. |
| 726 | DEFRA, 2018. Clean Air Strategy; Department for Environment Food and Rural Affairs: |
| 727 | London, UK. Available at: <u>https://consult.defra.gov.uk/environmental-</u> |
| 728 | quality/clean-air-strategy-consultation/user_uploads/clean-air-strategy-2018- |
| 729 | consultation.pdf (accessed: 04 April 2022). |
| 730 | DEFRA, 2007. The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern |
| 731 | Ireland. |
| 732 | Du, B., Tandoc, M.C., Mack, M.L., Siegel, J.A., 2020. Indoor CO2 concentrations and |
| 733 | cognitive function: a critical review. Indoor air, 30, 1067-1082. |
| 734 | Fine, P.M., Chakrabarti, B., Krudysz, M., Schauer, J.J., Sioutas, C., 2004. Diurnal |
| 735 | variations of individual organic compound constituents of ultrafine and |
| 736 | accumulation mode particulate matter in the Los Angeles basin. Environ. Sci. |
| 737 | Technol. 38, 1296-1304. |
| 738 | Goel, A., Kumar, P., 2014. A review of fundamental drivers governing the emissions, |
| 739 | dispersion and exposure to vehicle-emitted nanoparticles at signalised traffic |
| 740 | intersections. Atmos. Environ. 97, 316–331. |
| 741 | Gomes, J.F.P., Bordado, J.C.M., Albuquerque, P.C.S., 2012. On the assessment of |
| 742 | exposure to airborne ultrafine particles in urban environments. J. Toxicol. Environ. |
| 743 | Heal Part A Curr. Issues 75, 1316–1329. |
| 744 | Hagler, G.S.W., Yelverton, T.L.B., Vedantham, R., Hansen, A.D.A., Turner, J.R., others, |
| 745 | 2011. Post-processing method to reduce noise while preserving high time |
| 746 | resolution in aethalometer real-time black carbon data. Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 11, |
| 747 | 539–546. |

- Hama, S.M.L., Cordell, R.L., Monks, P.S., 2017. Quantifying Primary and Secondary
- Source Contributions to Ultrafine Particles in the UK Urban Background. Atmos.Environ. 166, 62-78.
- Harrison, R.M., Jones, A.M., 2005. Multisite study of particle number concentrations in
 urban air. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 6063–6070.
- Harrison, R.M., Jones, A.M., Lawrence, R.G., 2004. Major component composition of
- PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} from roadside and urban background sites. Atmos. Environ. 38,
 4531–4538.
- Harrison, R.M., Yin, J., Mark, D., Stedman, J., Appleby, R.S., Booker, J., Moorcroft, S.,
- 2001. Studies of the coarse particle (2.5-10 μm) component in UK urban
 atmospheres. Atmos. Environ. 35, 3667–3679.
- Heinzerling, A., Hsu, J., Yip, F., 2016. Respiratory health effects of ultrafine particles in
 children: A literature review. Water Air Soil Pollut. 227, 1-14.
- Hinds, W.C., 1999. Aerosol Technology: Properties, Behavior, and Measurement of
 Airborne Particles, second ed. Ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Hirota, K., 2010. Comparative studies on vehicle related policies for air pollution
 reduction in ten Asian countries. Sustainability, 2, 145-162.
- Huang, Y., Lei, C., Liu, C.H., Perez, P., Forehead, H., Kong, S. and Zhou, J.L., 2021. A
- review of strategies for mitigating roadside air pollution in urban street canyons.Environ. Pollut. 280, 116971.
- 768 IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, 2010. Some
- non-heterocyclic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and some related exposures.
- 770 IARC Monogr. Eval. Carcinog. Risks Hum. 92, 1-853.

- 771 ICRP, 1994. Human respiratory tract model for radiological protection: a report of a task
- group of the International Commission on Radiological Protection. ICRP
 Publication, 66 0080411541, 1-482.
- Jones, N.C., Thornton, C.A., Mark, D., Harrison, R.M., 2000. Indoor/outdoor
 relationships of particulate matter in domestic homes with roadside, urban and rural
 locations. Atmos. Environ. 34, 2603–2612.
- Kaur, S., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Colvile, R., 2005. Personal exposure of street canyon
 intersection users to PM_{2.5}, ultrafine particle counts and carbon monoxide in Central
 London, UK. Atmos. Environ. 39, 3629-3641.
- Kolokotroni, M., Zhang, Y., Watkins, R., 2007. The London Heat Island and building
 cooling design. J. Sol. Energy. 81, 102-110.
- Kumar, P., Goe, I A., 2016. Concentration dynamics of coarse and fine particulate matter
 at and around signalised traffic intersections. Environ. Sci. Process Impacts.
 18,1220–1235.
- Kumar, P., Gurjar, B.R., Nagpure, A. S., Harrison, R.M., 2011a. Preliminary Estimates
 of Nanoparticle Number Emissions from Road Vehicles in Megacity Delhi and
 Associated Health Impacts. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 5514–5521.
- Kumar, P., Hama, S., Abbass, R.A., Nogueira, T., Brand, V.S., Wu, H.W., Abulude, F.O.,
- Adelodun, A.A., Anand, P., de Fatima Andrade, M., Apondo, W., 2022a. In-kitchen
 aerosol exposure in twelve cities across the globe. Environ. Int. 162, 107155.
- 791 Kumar, P., Kalaiarasan, G., Porter, A.E., Pinna, A., Kłosowski, M.M., Demokritou, P.,
- Chung, K.F., Pain, C., Arvind, D.K., Arcucci, R., Adcock, I.M., 2021. An overview
 of methods of fine and ultrafine particle collection for physicochemical
- characterisation and toxicity assessments. Sci. Total Environ. 756.

| | | | 10 | n | 12 | \sim | |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|--------|--|
| | uI | aı | | U. | | U | |

- Kumar, P., Rivas, I., Sachdeva, L., 2017. Exposure of in-pram babies to airborne particles
 during morning drop-in and afternoon pick-up of school children. Environ. Pollut.
 224, 407–420.
- Kumar, P., Rivas, I., Singh, A. P., Ganesh, V. J., Ananya, M., Frey, H. C., 2018.
 Dynamics of coarse and fine particle exposure in transport microenvironments.
 Clim. Atmos. Sci. 11, 1–12.
- Kumar, P., Zavala-Reyes, J.C., Tomson, M., Kalaiarasan, G., 2022b. Understanding the
 effects of roadside hedges on the horizontal and vertical distributions of air
 pollutants in street canyons. Environ. Int. 158, 106883.
- 804 Kumar, P., Zavala-Reyes, J.C., Kalaiarasan, G., Abubakar-Waziri, H., Young, G.,
- 805 Mudway, I., Dilliway, C., Lakhdar, R., Mumby, S., Klosowski, M. K., Pain, C.,
- Adcock, I. M., Watson, J. S., Sephton, M. A., Chung, K.F., Porter, A.E, 2022c.
- 807 Characteristics of Fine and Ultrafine Aerosols in the London Underground. Under808 review.
- Li, P., Lu, Y., Wang, J., 2020. The effects of fuel standards on air pollution: Evidence
 from China. J. Dev. Econ. 146, 102488.
- Lim, S., Kim, J., Kim, T., Lee, K., Yang, W., Jun, S., Yu, S., 2012. Personal exposures
- to PM_{2.5} and their relationships with microenvironmental concentrations. Atmos.
 Environ. 47, 407–412.
- Lonati, G., Ozgen, S., Luraghi, I., Giugliano, M., 2010. Particle number concentration at
 urban microenvironments, in: Chemical Engineering Transactions. Ital. Assoc.
 Chem. Eng AIDIC. 137–142.
- Luengo-Oroz, J., Reis, S., 2019. Assessment of cyclists' exposure to ultrafine particles
 along alternative commuting routes in Edinburgh. Atmos. Pollut. Res. 10, 11481158.

- 820 Lv, W., Hu, Y., Li, E., Liu, H., Pan, H., Ji, S., Hayat, T., Alsaedi, A., Ahmad, B., 2019.
- Evaluation of vehicle emission in Yunnan province from 2003 to 2015. J. Clean.
 Prod. 207, 814-825.
- Mahyuddin, N., Awbi, H., 2012. A review of CO₂ measurement procedures in ventilation
 research. Int. J. Vent. 10, 353-370.
- 825 Mazaheri, M., Clifford, S., Yeganeh, B., Viana, M., Rizza, V., Flament, R., Buonanno,
- G., Morawska, L., 2018. Investigations into factors affecting personal exposure to
 particles in urban microenvironments using low-cost sensors. Environ. Int. 120,
 496-504.
- McCreanor, J., Cullinan, P., Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., Stewart-Evans, J., Malliarou, E.,
 Jarup, L., Harrington, R., Svartengren, M., Han, I.K., Ohman-Strickland, P.,
- Chung, K.F., Zhang, J. 2007. Respiratory effects of exposure to diesel traffic in
 persons with asthma. N. Engl. J. Med. 357, 2348–2358.
- McGuinness, A.J.A., Sapey, E., 2017. Oxidative stress in COPD: sources, markers, and
 potential mechanisms. J. Clin. Med. 6, 21.
- Miao, X., Li, W., Niu, B., Li, J., Sun, J., Qin, M., Zhou, Z., 2019. Mitochondrial
 dysfunction in endothelial cells induced by airborne fine particulate matter (<2.5
 µm). J Appl Toxicol. 39, 1424–1432.
- Miguel, A.H., Eiguren-Fernandez, A., Jaques, P.A., Froines, J.R., Grant, B.L., Mayo,
 P.R., Sioutas, C., 2004. Seasonal variation of the particle size distribution of
 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and of major aerosol species in Claremont,
 California. Atmos. Environ. 38, 3241–3251.
- Miller, S.L., Facciola, N.A., Toohey, D., Zhai, J., 2017. Ultrafine and fine particulate
 matter inside and outside of mechanically ventilated buildings. Int. J. Environ. Res.
 Public Health, 14, 128.

- 845 Mohammadyan, M., Keyvani, S., Bahrami, A., Yetilmezsoy, K., Heibati, B., Godri
- Pollitt, K.J., 2019. Assessment of indoor air pollution exposure in urban hospital

847 microenvironments. Air Qual. Atmos. Health, 12, 151-159.

- Paton-Walsh, C., Rayner, P., Simmons, J., Fiddes, S.L., Schofield, R., Bridgman, H.,
- Beaupark, S., Broome, R., Chambers, S.D., Chang, L.T.C., Cope, M., 2019. A clean
 air plan for Sydney: An overview of the special issue on air quality in New South
- 851 Wales. Atmosphere, 10, 774.
- Persily, A.K., 2015. Indoor carbon dioxide concentrations in ventilation and indoor air
 quality standards. 36th AIVC Conference Effective Ventilation in High
 Performance Buildings, Madrid, Spain, 810-819.
- Piotrowicz, A., Polednik, B. 2019. Exposure to aerosol particles on an urban road. J. Ecol.
 Eng. 20, 27–34.
- Pósfai, M., Simonics, R., Li, J., Hobbs, P. V., Buseck, P. R., 2003. Individual aerosol
 particles from biomass burning in southern Africa: 1. Compositions and size
 distributions of carbonaceous particles. J. Geophys. Res. 108, 8483.
- 860 Puett, R.C., Hart, J.E., Yanosky, J.D., Paciorek, C., Schwartz, J., Suh, H., Speizer, F.E.,
- Laden, F., 2009. Chronic fine and coarse particulate exposure, mortality, and
 coronary heart disease in the Nurses' Health Study. Environ. Health Perspect. 117,
 1697–1701.
- Putaud, J.-P., Van Dingenen, R., Alastuey, A., Bauer, H., Birmili, W., Cyrys, J., Flentje,
- 865 H., Fuzzi, S., Gehrig, R., Hansson, H.C., Harrison, R.M., Herrmann, H.,
- Hitzenberger, R., Hüglin, C., Jones, A.M., Kasper-Giebl, A., Kiss, G., Kousa, A.,
- Kuhlbusch, T.A.J., Löschau, G., Maenhaut, W., Molnar, A., Moreno, T., Pekkanen,
- J., Perrino, C., Pitz, M., Puxbaum, H., Querol, X., Rodriguez, S., Salma, I.,
- 869 Schwarz, J., Smolik, J., Schneider, J., Spindler, G., ten Brink, H., Tursic, J., Viana,

- 870 M., Wiedensohler, A., Raes, F., 2010. A European aerosol phenomenology 3:
- Physical and chemical characteristics of particulate matter from 60 rural, urban, and
 kerbside sites across Europe. Atmos. Environ. 44, 1308 -1320.
- 873 Qvarfordt, M., Anderson, M., Sanchez-Crespo, A., Diakopoulou, M., Svartengren, M.,
- 874 2022. Pulmonary translocation of ultrafine carbon particles in COPD and IPF875 patients. Inhal. Toxicol. 34,14-23.
- 876 Rivas, I., Kumar, P., Hagen-Zanker, A., de Fatima Andrade, M., Slovic, A.D., Pritchard,
- J.P., Geurs, K.T., 2017a. Determinants of black carbon, particle mass and number
 concentrations in London transport microenvironments. Atmos. Environ. 161, 247–
 262.
- Rivas, I., Kumar, P., Hagen-Zanker, A., 2017b. Exposure to air pollutants during
 commuting in London: are there inequalities among different socio-economic
 groups? Environ. Int. 101, 143–157.
- Roden, C.A., Bond, T.C., Conway, S., Pinel, A.B.O., MacCarty, N., Still, D., 2009.
 Laboratory and field investigations of particulate and carbon monoxide emissions
 from traditional and improved cookstoves. Atmos. Environ. 43, 1170-1181.
- Sahu, V., Gurjar, B.R., 2020. Spatial and seasonal variation of air quality in different
 microenvironments of a technical university in India. Build. Environ. 185, 107310.
- 888 Salma, I., Borsós, T., Weidinger, T., Aalto, P., Hussein, T., Maso, M.D., Kulmala, M.,
- 2011. Production, growth and properties of ultrafine atmospheric aerosol particles
 in an urban environment. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11, 1339-1353.
- Samet, J.M., Chen, H., Pennington, E.R., Bromberg, P.A., 2020. Non-redox cycling
 mechanisms of oxidative stress induced by PM metals. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 151,
 26-37.

- 894 Saracho, A.C., Lucherini, L., Hirsch, M., Peter, H.M., Terzis, D., Amstad, E., Laloui, L.,
- 895 2021. Controlling the calcium carbonate microstructure of engineered living
 896 building materials. J. Mater. Chem. A. 9, 24438-24451.
- Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S., Eliceiri, K.W., 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of
 image analysis. Nat. Methods. 9, 671-675.
- Setyawati, M.I., Singh, D., Krishnan, S.P., Huang, X., Wang, M., Jia, S., Goh, B.H.R.,
- Ho, C.G., Yusoff, R., Kathawala, M.H., Poh, T.Y., 2020. Occupational inhalation
 exposures to nanoparticles at six Singapore printing centers. Environ. Sci. Technol.
 54, 2389-2400.
- Sharma, A., Kumar, P., 2020. Quantification of air pollution exposure to in-pram babies
 and mitigation strategies. Environ. Int. 139, 105671.
- 905 Shrestha, P.M., Humphrey, J.L., Carlton, E.J., Adgate, J.L., Barton, K.E., Root, E.D.,
- Miller, S.L., 2019. Impact of outdoor air pollution on indoor air quality in lowincome homes during wildfire seasons. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health, 16,
 3535.
- Slezakova, K., Pereira, M.C., Morais, S., 2020. Ultrafine particles: Levels in ambient air
 during outdoor sport activities. Environ. Pollut. 258, 113648.
- 911 Spinazzè, A., Cattaneo, A., Garramone, G., Cavallo, D.M., 2013. Temporal variation of
 912 size-fractionated particulate matter and carbon monoxide in selected
 913 microenvironments of the Milan urban area. J. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 10, 652–662.
- 914 Spinazzè, A., Cattaneo, A., Scocca, D.R., Bonzini, M., Cavallo, D.M., 2015. Multi-metric
- 915 measurement of personal exposure to ultrafine particles in selected urban
 916 microenvironments. Atmos. Environ. 110, 8–17.
- Sturm, R., 2016. Total deposition of ultrafine particles in the lungs of healthy men and
 women: experimental and theoretical results. Ann. Transl. Med. 4, 234.

919 Thorpe, A., Harrison, R.M., 2008. Sources and properties of non-exhaust particulate

920 matter from road traffic: A review. Sci. Total Environ. 400, 270–282.

- 921 Tomson, M., Kumar, P., Barwise, Y., Perez, P., Forehead, H., French, K., Morawska, L.,
- Watts, J.F., 2021. Green infrastructure for air quality improvement in streetcanyons. Environ. Int. 146, 106288.
- US-EPA, 2009. Metabolically derived human ventilation rates: a revised approach based
 upon oxygen consumption rates (Washington, DC).
- 926 Usmani, O.S., Biddiscombe, M.F., Yang, S., Meah, S., Oballa, E., Simpson, J.K., Fahy,
- W.A., Marshall, R.P., Lukey ,P.T., Maher, T.M., 2018. The topical study of inhaled
 drug (salbutamol) delivery in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Respir. Res. 19, 25.
- 929 Valko, M., Jomova, K., Rhodes, C.J., Kuča, K., Musílek, K., 2016. Redox-and non-
- 930 redox-metal-induced formation of free radicals and their role in human disease.931 Arch. Toxicol. 90, 1-37.
- Van Klaveren, R.J., Nemery, B., 1999. Role of reactive oxygen species in occupational
 and environmental obstructive pulmonary diseases. Curr. Opin. Pulm. Med. 5, 118–
 118.
- Voordeckers, D., Meysman, F. J. R., Billen, P., Tytgat, T., Van Acker, M., 2021. The
 impact of street canyon morphology and traffic volume on NO2 values in the street
 canyons of Antwerp. Build. Environ. 197, 107825.
- 938 Wang, Y. F., Huang, K. L., Li, C. T., Mi, H. H., Luo, J. H., Tsai, P. J., 2003. Emissions
- of fuel metals content from a diesel vehicle engine. Atmos. Environ. 37, 4637-4643.
- 940 Wehner, B., Wiedensohler, A., 2003. Long term measurements of submicrometer urban
- 941 aerosols: statistical analysis for correlations with meteorological conditions and942 trace gases. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 3, 867-879.

| | urn | |) 1 10 | n | \mathbf{r} | |
|---|-----|--|---------------|---|--------------|--|
| U | un | | | | ιU | |

- 943 Wheeler, A.J., Williams, I., Beaumont, R.A., Hamilton, R.S., 2000. Characterisation of
- particulate matter sampled during a study of children's personal exposure to
 airborne particulate matter in a UK urban environment. Environ. Monit. Assess. 65,
 69-77.
- World Health Organization, 2010. WHO guidelines for indoor air quality: selected
 pollutants. World Health Organization. Regional Office for Europe.
- World Health Organization, 2018. Available at: <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-</u>
 sheets/detail/ambient-(outdoor)-air-quality-and-health (accessed: 18 July 2020).
- 951 World Health Organization, 2021. WHO Global Air Quality Guidelines: Particulate
- Matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), Ozone, Nitrogen Dioxide, Sulfur Dioxide and Carbon
 Monoxide. Available at: <u>https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/345329</u> (accessed:
- 954 12.07.2022).
- Wrobel, A., Rokita, E., Maenhaut, W., 2000. Transport of traffic-related aerosols in urban
 areas. Sci. Total Environ. 257, 199-211.
- Xiang, J., Hao, J., Austin, E., Shirai, J., Seto, E., 2021. Characterization of cookingrelated ultrafine particles in a US residence and impacts of various intervention
 strategies. Sci. Total Environ., 798, 149236.
- 960 Yan, C., Zheng, M., Yang, Q., Zhang, Q., Qiu, X., Zhang, Y., Fu, H., Li, X., Zhu, T.,
- 961 Zhu, Y., 2015. Commuter exposure to particulate matter and particle-bound PAHs
- in three transportation modes in Beijing, China. Environ. Pollut. 204, 199–206.
- Yin, J., Harrison, R.M., 2008. Pragmatic mass closure study for PM_{1.0}, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀
 at roadside, urban background and rural sites. Atmos. Environ. 42, 980–988.

965 List of Tables

| Study type | Study details | City (Country) | Observed MEs | Pollutant s measure | Instruments used | Reference |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | | | | u | | |
| Assessment | Indoor activities, | Roorkee | Indoor | PM _{2.5} , | GRIMM, | Sahu and |
| of indoor air | ventilation and | (India) | (lecture | PM10 | TG-503L | Gurjar |
| quality in ten | occupancy, were | | hall, | and | | (2020) |
| different | responsible for | | office, | TVOC | | |
| indoor | seasonal and | | laboratory | 2 | | |
| microenviro | spatial variability | | etc.) | | | |
| nments | among indoor | | | | | |
| | MEs. | | | | | |
| Determinatio | -Indoor PM ₁ and | Kashan | Indoor | PM_1 , | GRIMM | Mohamma |
| n of | PM2.5 | (Iran) | (hospital), | PM2.5 | | dyan et al. |
| pollutant | concentrations | | Outdoor | and | | (2019) |
| concentratio | were positively | | | PM ₁₀ | | |
| n in two | associated with | | | | | |
| hospitals and | outdoor | | | | | |
| outdoors | concentrations, | | | | | |
| | but no | | | | | |
| | relationship was | | | | | |
| | observed with | | | | | |
| | PM10. | | | | | |
| Assessment | - Highest | Brisbane | Indoor | PM2.5 | Low-cost | Mazaheri |
| of personal | personal PM _{2.5} | (Australi | (home), | | sensors | et al. |
| PM _{2.5} | exposure was | a) | Transport | | (Airbeam | (2018) |
| exposures | | | MEs | | personal air | |

Table 1. Summary of relevant past studies assessing pollutant concentrations in various MEs.

| indoors, outdoors and when commuting | observed at home. | | | | quality and environment al monitor) | |
|--|---|------------------|---|-----------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Measuremen t of personal exposure to UFPs in different urban MEs and modes of transportatio n | - Concentration variation depends on sources of urban pollutants, proximity to source and time of the day | Como (Italy) | Indoor (office), Traffic intersectio n | PNC | Diffusion size classifier (DSC) (10nm- 700nm), Condensatio n particle counter (P- TRAK) | Spinazze et al. (2015) |
| Study on temporal variation of concentratio n of size- fractionated PM and CO in different MEs | Atmospheric concentration measurements of UFPs, PM and CO were divided on MEs, seasons, days and time. Concentration pattern related to sources of urban pollutants (traffic), proximity to source and time of the day | Milan (Italy) | Park, indoor (office), multi-road intersectio n, metro, walk, car, bus | UFPs, PM and CO | Optical particle counter (OPC), CPC (P-TRAK) and CO analyzer | Spinazze et al. (2013) |
| Determinatio n of PM _{2.5} | - Impact of activity pattern | Seoul (Korea) | Residentia 1 indoor, | PM2.5 | Photometric aerosol | Lim et al. (2012) |

| exposure in different MEs | and the contribution of each ME to personal PM _{2.5} exposure | | non- residential indoor (office, restaurant, bar etc), transportat ion, outdoor | | monitor (SidePak TSI model AM510) | |
|--|--|------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Comparison of exposure to PNC, PM ₁₀ and soot concentratio ns | Simultaneous measurement at three sites PNC and soot concentration at street was 3 times of background locations Mean PNC was poorly correlated with PM₁₀ and soot High PNC temporal variation at street; and less variation at background locations | Utrecht (Netherl ands) | Busy street, urban and a suburban backgroun d location | PNC, PM ₁₀ | Harvard impactor, Condensatio n particle counter (CPC) | Boogaard et al. (2010) |
| Determinatio n of PNC in different indoor MEs | - Higher concentration in kitchen, which varies with cooking activity | Milan (Italy) | Residentia l house, office, printer room, | PNC | P-TRAK | Lonati et al. (2010) |

| r | | | | | | 1 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| and transport | - According to | | cafe, | | | |
| MEs | time-weighted | | church, | | | |
| | exposure | | hairdresser | | | |
| | scenario, daily | | , | | | |
| | average exposure | | supermark | | | |
| | is 16000 particles | | et, | | | |
| | cm ⁻³ for people in | | pedestrian | | | |
| | Milan | | route, car, | | | |
| | | | subway, | | | |
| | | | train | X | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Measuremen | - Highest | Milan | Park, | PNC | Condensatio | Cattaneo et |
| t of personal | exposure near | (Italy) | indoor | | n particle | al. (2009) |
| exposure to | motorised traffic | | (office), | | counters (P- | |
| UFPs | - Lowest | | metro, | | TRAK) | |
| in different | exposure at parks | | walk, car, | | | |
| urban MEs | and in office | | bus | | | |
| and modes | | | | | | |
| of | | | | | | |
| transportatio | | | | | | |
| n | | | | | | |
| Comparison | - PM | Birming | Urban | \mathbf{PM}_{1} , | Partisol- | Yin and |
| of PM ₁ , P _{2.5} | concentration: | ham | roadside, | PM _{2.5} | Plus | Harrison |
| and PM ₁₀ at | urban roadside > | (UK) | park | and | sequential | (2008) |
| an urban | urban background | | (urban | PM ₁₀ | air samplers | |
| roadside, | > rural | | backgroun | | (Model | |
| urban | background; | | d) and a | | 2025) | |
| background | except for PM ₁ | | rural | | | |
| and rural | | | location | | | |
| location | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Evaluation | - Major chemical | 3 pairs | Roadside, | PM10, | Dichotomou | Harrison et |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| of major | components are: | in | urban | PM2.5 | s Partisol | al. (2004) |
| chemical | sulfate, nitrate, | London | backgroun | | samplers | |
| component | chloride, organic | and 1 | d location | | | |
| composition | carbon, elemental | pair in | (pedestrian | | | |
| of urban | carbon, iron and | Birming | area, | | | |
| background | calcium. | ham | garden, | | | |
| PM in UK | - Roadside | (UK) | park, quiet | | | |
| and | particle increment | | suburban | C. | | |
| determinatio | consists mainly of | | road) | | | |
| n of roadside | elemental carbon, | | | | | |
| increment in | organic | | | \mathbf{C} | | |
| PM _{2.5} and | compounds and | | | | | |
| PM ₁₀ | iron-rich dusts. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Study on | - Considered five | Birming | Urban | PM ₁₀ , | TEOM | Harrison et |
| coarse | different sites. | ham, | street | PM _{2.5} , | instruments | al. (2001) |
| fraction of | - Noted a | London | canyon, | NOx | | |
| PM and | remarkable | (UK) | urban | | | |
| insights into | weekday-to- | | backgroun | | | |
| its sources in | weekend and day- | | d (park) | | | |
| the UK | to-night | | | | | |
| | differences | | | | | |
| | between coarse | | | | | |
| | particle | | | | | |
| | concentration at | | | | | |
| | the urban sites, | | | | | |
| | representing | | | | | |
| | anthropogenic | | | | | |
| | activities (vehicle | | | | | |
| | activities (venicie | | | | | |

| | induced | | | |
|---|----------------|--|--|--|
| : | resuspension). | | | |

967

Table 2. Description of number of days of field measurements in different MEs.

| Type of ME | Campaign (start – end) | Total days | Remarks / Breaks in monitoring |
|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|---|
| IN | 25.11.2019 - 24.01.2020 | 43 | 19.12.2019 - 07.01.2020; Christmas holidays |
| TI | 28.01.2020 - 21.02.2020 | 17 | 09.02.2020; Storm Ciara 15.02.2020 - 16.02.2020; Storm Dennis |
| РК | 24.02.2020 - 19.03.2020 | 25 | Continuous monitoring |
| SC | 06.08.2020 - 22.09.2020 | 36 | 13.08.2020 - 14.08.2020; Rain 18.08.2020; Rain 21.08.2020; Wind alert |

969

970 **Table 3.** Descriptive statistics showing the data availability, mean, standard deviation (SD) and 971 median of pollutant concentration on each of the MEs during daytime. n = number of 60 972 seconds averaged data points.

| | | IN | TI | РК | SC |
|---------------------------------------|--------|-------|------|-------|------|
| РМ | n | 20815 | 8005 | 12586 | 6650 |
| PM ₁ (μg m ⁻³) | Mean | 3.19 | 6.19 | 3.17 | 4.94 |
| | SD | 2.95 | 5.76 | 2.46 | 3.65 |
| | Median | 2.1 | 3.6 | 2.5 | 4.0 |

| PM _{2.5} (µg m ⁻³) | Mean | 3.88 | 9.47 | 5.11 | 8.09 |
|--|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| | SD | 3.06 | 7.05 | 2.96 | 4.57 |
| | Median | 2.8 | 6.7 | 4.6 | 6.9 |
| PM ₁₀ (µg m ⁻³) | Mean | 5.74 | 14.56 | 7.97 | 15.17 |
| | SD | 4.04 | 11.88 | 4.51 | 8.5 |
| | Median | 4.6 | 10.5 | 7.2 | 13.3 |
| PM _{2.5-10} (µg m ⁻³) | Mean | 1.86 | 5.1 | 2.85 | 7.08 |
| | SD | 2.36 | 5.8 | 2.73 | 5.48 |
| | Median | 1.1 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 6.00 |
| PM1/PM2.5 | Mean | 0.77 | 0.62 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| | SD | 0.41 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 |
| | Median | 0.77 | 0.61 | 0.58 | 0.64 |
| PM _{2.5} /PM ₁₀ | Mean | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.67 | 0.56 |
| | SD | 0.26 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.13 |
| | Median | 0.76 | 0.71 | 0.67 | 0.56 |
| PNC (# cm ⁻³) | n | 9867 | 6128 | 6676 | 4340 |
| | Mean | 5672 | 16366 | 7717 | 10951 |
| | SD | 2934 | 11815 | 4576 | 6445 |
| | Median | 4844 | 12841 | 6312 | 9202 |
| BC (ng m ⁻³) | n | 20540 | 5825 | 7110 | 7698 |
| | Mean | 771.4 | 1912.7 | 521.4 | 1387.4 |
| | SD | 811.3 | 1649.9 | 355.26 | 1532 |

| | Median | 484 | 1334 | 424 | 1000 |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|
| CO ₂ (ppm) | n | 20509 | 6120 | 8169 | 1738 |
| | Mean | 583.12 | 416.2 | 373.62 | 367.96 |
| | SD | 101.21 | 36.79 | 11.46 | 18.07 |
| | Median | 596 | 407 | 372 | 364 |
| CO (ppm) | Mean | 0.9 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 1.58 |
| | SD | 2.79 | 0.52 | 0.08 | 1.22 |
| | Median | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 |

Johnalbrerk

974 List of Figures



Figure 1. (a) Map showing the location of pollutant measurement sites in two London boroughs
(Westminster, and Hammersmith and Fulham). (b) Westminster sites: Indoor (IN) and park
(PK); (c) Hammersmith and Fulham sites: Traffic Intersection (TI) and Street Canyon (SC).



Figure 2. Boxplots of average pollutant concentration of (a) PM₁, (b) PM_{2.5}, (c) PM₁₀, (d)
PM_{2.5-10}, (e) PNC, (f) BC measured at IN, TI, PK and SC sites for the daytime (07:00-19:00).
Diamond symbols refer to arithmetic mean concentrations while the median values are shown
by horizontal lines within the bars.



Figure 3. Diurnal variation of pollutant concentration of (a) PM₁, (b) PM_{2.5}, (c) PM₁₀, (d)
PM_{2.5-10}, (e) PNC, (f) BC measured at IN, TI, PK and SC sites. The shaded area indicates 95%

987 confidence intervals.



Figure 4. Boxplots showing the ratios of (a) PM₁/PM_{2.5}, (b) PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ for the daytime (07:0019:00) and (c), (d) show their diurnal variation at IN, TI, PK and SC sites. The shaded area
indicates 95% confidence intervals.



992

Figure 5. Pie charts showing the contribution of PM fractions (PM1, PM1-2.5, PM2.5-10) to total
PM for IN, TI, PK and SC sites during the daytime.



996 Figure 6. Respiratory Deposition Doses (RDD) for (a) PM1, (b) PM2.5, (c) PM10 for females997 and (d), (e), (f) for children during three different activities at four different MEs.

998



Figure 7. ESEM images of PM_{2.5} from (a) IN, with corresponding EDXS maps of C, O, Na,
Si, S, Cl, Ca, Fe; spectra and Feret diameters of selected particles indicated by arrows (b), (c)
and (d). Similarly (e-h) from TI and (i-l) from PK.



1003

Figure 8. A series of SEM images at increasing magnifications showing PM collected on PUF at the SC site. The size fractions are (a) $PM_{0.1-2.5}$, (b) $PM_{2.5-10}$ and (c) $PM_{\geq 10}$. (d) High magnification SEM image of $PM_{0.1-2.5}$ with corresponding EDXS maps of C, O, Na, Al, Si, Cl, K, Ca, Ti, Fe and Cu. All maps are sorted by atomic number. (e), (f), (g) Characteristic EDX spectra and diameters of selected particles marked in (d).

Research Highlights

- Assessed air quality in four microenvironments; comparisons in exposure variations made.
- PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, PNC trend: traffic intersection, TI> street canyon, SC> park, PK> indoor, IN.
- Fine PM has smaller diurnal variation indoors compared to TI, PK and SC.
- Carcinogenic PAH do not breach relevant air quality standards in any microenvironment.
- RDD for all PM factions during running at PK is 39-53% lower compared to TI and SC.

Journal Prevention

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: