

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Focus on Recent Advances in Electrical Impedance Tomography

Citation for published version:

Bayford, R & Polydorides, N 2019, 'Focus on Recent Advances in Electrical Impedance Tomography', *Physiological Measurement*, vol. 40, no. 10, 100401. https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6579/ab42cd

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1088/1361-6579/ab42cd

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Physiological Measurement

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Physiological Measurement





5 September 2019

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 9 September 2019

PUBLISHED

EDITORIAL

Focus on Recent Advances in Electrical Impedance Tomography

Richard Bayford¹ and Nick Polydorides²

- Middlesex University, United Kingdom
- ² University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom

E-mail: xxxx

This focus collection introduces recent advances in electrical impedance tomography (EIT) in algorithms, hardware developments and clinical applications. It is an exciting time for the EIT community as the number of commercial EIT systems and clinical trials evaluating this technology continues to grow, which is a key drive to enable EIT to become a routine tool of choice. Though EIT is beginning to gain recognition as a useful tool, there are still many challenges left. For example, the EU has funded a major project (CRADL, H2020, 5.5 million Euros; cradlproject.org), focusing on the devastating effects of respiratory failure in infants and children. Each year 15 million babies are born prematurely, and many suffer from respiratory failure due to immaturity of the lung (respiratory distress syndrome (RDS)) and lack of control of breathing. Although respiratory support, especially mechanical ventilation, can improve their survival, it also causes severe injury to the vulnerable lung, resulting in severe and chronic pulmonary morbidity lasting into adulthood. These risks are also present in older infants and children admitted for respiratory failure caused by bronchiolitis and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Addressing this problem is essential in order to progress on the millennium development goal (MDG) for child survival by 2015 and beyond. Studies have shown that heterogeneity of lung aeration, resulting in areas of lung over-inflation and lung collapse, plays a crucial part in the risk of mortality and morbidity due to respiratory failure. Avoiding heterogeneity is considered the key to attenuating the detrimental effects of respiratory failure. However, this distribution of lung aeration cannot be detected by currently available bedside monitoring tools and imaging techniques. Therefore, an imaging modality suitable for continuous non-invasive bedside monitoring of infant lung function is urgently needed. Electrical impedance tomography (EIT) is the only technology that is available to address this need.

The challenge was to create a device that is suitable for routine monitoring in intensive care units (ICUs), for which the choices are currently limited mainly because the control software and user interface are designed for experienced operators and off-line analysis, rather than real-time presentation of the key clinical information. Another is the need for CE compliance so it can be used in the clinical environment.

A key problem for EIT is the translation of novel hardware and algorithms into clinically useful tools. Many novel ideas are not translated, and the resulting clinical system achieves merely a subset of the performance promised by the new technologies.

Another key need to EIT's translation is maintaining imaging standards (e.g. DICOM), for EIT image reconstruction algorithms. For clinical applications a number of publications in this area (Adler et al 2009, 2012, Hulskamp et al 2009, Fawke et al 2010) have been produced but more work is needed on this.

This year's focus volume is indicative of recent progress in the modality, which shifts the focus of the developments to new and exciting biomedical applications and clinical studies. Among the collection we note a series of papers on artificial intelligence-inspired approaches in EIT image reconstruction and classification, a trend that resonates with the broader picture of healthcare diagnostics. We mention in particular the works that combine D-bar reconstruction with deep convolutional networks (Hamilton et al 2019a) and targeted studies exploring the utility of machine learning tools for bladder state classification (Dunne et al 2019) and cardiac monitoring (Murphy et al 2019). Although still in their infancy, these approaches have shown promising signs in alleviating some of the effects of the ill-posed inverse problem (Hamilton et al 2019b, McDermott et al 2019). On the modelling, signal processing and image reconstruction front the contributions of this volume span also to multifrequency EIT (Menden et al 2019), filtering biological (heart) noise (Murphy et al 2019), compressed sensing in thoracic acquisition (Shiraz et al 2019) and joint EIT-EEG inversion (Avery et al 2019), sensitivity studies on the effect of nerve dispersion (Tarotin et al 2019), and an investigation on the impact of reconstruction settings on physiological parameters (Thürk et al 2019). Complementary to these developments was a study on multifrequency sensing for EIT (Rao et al 2019). Thematically the clinical applications are still dominated by brain and chest (ventilation-perfusion monitoring) (Grychtol et al 2019) with several focused studies on neuronal depo-

© 2019 Institute of Physics and Engineering in Medicine

JNL:PMEA PIPS: AB42CD TYPE: EDT TS: NFWGFN DATE: 10/10/19 FDITOR: IOP SPELLING: UK AO₂

AO1

AQ3

larisation imaging (Hope *et al* 2019), and neural activity on the peripheral nerve and pulmonary oedema (Hannan *et al* 2019, Stowe *et al* 2019). Overall, the papers report some successes but also challenges in the practical-clinical application of the modality, offering suggestions for further development in improving the robustness of these methods (Frerichs *et al* 2019, Zhao *et al* 2019).

As there are now several commercialised, clinically approved EIT systems for ICU monitoring, hardware specifications tend to be discussed very briefly in research papers, complying with commercial confidentiality and IP restrictions. However, the CRADL project has broken the world record for the most clinical data collected (200 subjects for 72 h at 48 frames a second, approximately 100 terabytes), which should keep researchers occupied for many years to come.

A niche area that still attracts considerable interest is that of breast and prostate cancer screening and imaging where EIT offers some advantages over the ionising modalities. As there is a growing urgency to detect cancer at the early pre-symptomatic stage, the latest developments in EIT and impedance-based hybrid modalities have a critical role to play.

This focus issue of *Physiological Measurement* follows a successful international conference of biomedical applications of EIT held in Edinburgh, in June 2018, attracting an audience of clinicians, engineers, physicists and mathematicians from around the globe.

AQ7 ORCID iDs

Nick Polydorides https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7188-7321

AQ8 References

Adler A et al 2009 GREIT: a unified approach to 2D linear EIT reconstruction of lung images Physiol. Meas. 30 S35–55

Adler A et al 2012 Whither lung EIT: where are we, where do we want to go and what do we need to get there? Physiol. Meas. 33 679–94

Avery J, Dowrick T, Withowska-Wrobel A, Faulkner M, Aristovich K and Holder D 2019 Simultaneous EIT and EEG using frequency division multiplexing Physiol. Meas. 40 034007

Dunne E, Santorelli A, McGinley B, Leader G, O'Halloran M and Porter E 2019 Image-based classifiction of bladder state using electrical impedance tomography *Physiol. Meas.* 39 124001

Fawke J, Lum S, Kirkby J, Hennessy E, Marlow N, Rowell V, Thomas S and Stocks J 2010 Lung function and respiratory symptoms at 11 years in children born extremely preterm the EPI cure study *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 182 237–45

Frerichs I and Becher T 2019 Chest electrical impedance tomography measures in neonatology and paediatrics—a survey on clinical usefulness *Physiol. Meas.* 40 054001

Grychtol B, Schramel J P, Braun F, Riedel T, Auer U, Mosing M, Braun C, Waldmann A D, Böhm S H and Adler A 2019 Thoracic EIT in 3D: experiences and recommendations *Physiol. Meas.* 40 074006

Hamilton S J, Hanninen A, Hauptmann A and Kolehmainen V 2019a Beltrrami-net: domain independent deep D-bar learning for absolute imaging with electrical impedance tomography (a-EIT) *Physiol. Meas.* 40 074002

Hamilton S J, Lionheart W R B and Adler A 2019b Comparing D-bar and common regularization-based methods for electrical impedance tomography *Physiol. Meas.* 40 044004

Hannan S, Faulkner M, Aristovich K, Avery J and Holder D 2019 Investigating the safety of fast neural electrical impedance tomography in the rat brain *Physiol. Meas.* 40 034003

Hope J, Aristovich K, Chapman C A R, Volschenk A, Vanholsbeeck F and McDaid A 2019 Extracting impedance changes from a frequency multiplexed signal during neural activity in sciatic nerve of rat: preliminary study *in vitro Physiol. Meas.* 40 034006

Hulskamp G, Lum S, Stocks J, Wade A, Hoo A F, Costeloe K, Hawdon J, Deeptha K and Pillow J J 2009 Association of prematurity, lung disease and body size with lung volume and ventilation inhomogeneity in unsedated neonates: a multicentre study *Thorax* 64 240–5

McDermott B, Avery J, O'Halloran M, Aristovich K and Porter E 2019 Bi-frequency symmetry difference electrical impedance tomography—a novel technique for perturbation detection in static scenes *Physiol. Meas.* 40 044005

Menden T, Orschulik J, Dambrun S, Matuszczyk J, Aguiar Santos S, Leonhardt S and Walter M 2019 Reconstruction algorithm for frequency-differential EIT using absolute values *Physiol. Meas.* 40 034008

Murphy E K, Amoh J, Arshad S H, Halter R J and Odame K M 2019 Noise-robust bioimpedance approach for cardiac output measurement *Physiol. Meas.* 40 074004

Rao A J, Murphy E K, Shahghasemi M and Odame K M 2019 Current-conveyor-based wide-band current driver for electrical impedance tomography Physiol. Meas. 40 034005

Shiraz A, Khodadad D, Nordebo S, Yerworth R, Frerichs I, van Kaam A, Kallio M, Papadouri T, Bayford R and Demosthenous A 2019 Compressive sensing in electrical impedance tomography for breathing monitoring *Physiol. Meas.* 40 034010

Stowe S, Boyle A, Sage M, See W, Praud J-P, Fortin-Pellerin E and Adler A 2019 Comparison of bolus- and filtering-based EIT measures of lung perfusion in an animal model *Physiol. Meas.* 40 054002

Tarotin I, Aristovich K and Holder D 2019 Effect of dispersion in nerve on compound action potential and impedance change: a modelling study *Physiol. Meas.* 40 034001

Thürk F, Elenkov M, Waldmann A D, Boehme S, Braun C, Adler A and Kaniusas E 2019 Influence of reconstruction settings in electrical impedance tomography on figures of merit and physiological parameters *Physiol. Meas.* 40

Zhao Z, He H, Luo J, Adler A, Zhang X, Liu R, Lan Y, Lu S, Luo X and Lei Y 2019 Detection of pulmonary oedema by electrical impedance tomography: validation of previously proposed approaches in a clinica setting *Physiol. Meas.* 40 054008

AO10