Title

Effects of exercise training on metabolic syndrome risk factors in post-menopausal women – a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials

Authors

Abbigail Tan^a, Rebecca L. Thomas^b, Matthew D. Campbell^{c,d}, Sarah L. Prior^b, Richard M. Bracken^a, Rachel Churm^a

^a Applied Sports Technology, Exercise and Medicine (A-STEM) Research Centre, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Swansea University, Swansea, UK.

^b Diabetes Research Group, Grove Building, Swansea University, Swansea, UK

^c School of Nursing and Health Sciences, Sciences Complex, University of Sunderland, Sunderland, UK

^d Leeds Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

*Corresponding Author

Abbigail Tan

Applied Sports Technology, Exercise and Medicine (A-STEM) Research Centre

Faculty of Science and Engineering

Swansea University

Swansea

United Kingdom

Email: a.tan.2037244@swansea.ac.uk

1 Abstract

Background & Aims: Alterations in the hormonal profiles as women transition to the 2 menopause predisposes individuals to the metabolic syndrome (MetS). In post-menopausal 3 women, this can be exacerbated by sedentary behaviour and physical inactivity. Physical 4 5 activity can convey many health benefits including improvement in MetS risk factors. However, 6 it remains to be elucidated how differing exercise intensities and its mode of delivery can 7 ameliorate MetS risk factors and resultant progression amongst post-menopausal women. 8 The purpose of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to investigate the effects and 9 efficacy of exercise training on MetS risk factors in post-menopausal women.

10 Methods: Database searches using PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials were conducted from inception to December 2021 for 11 12 randomised controlled studies (RCTs) investigating exercise training (>8 weeks) in at least 13 one of the MetS risk factors in post-menopausal women. Utilising the random-effects model, 14 appropriate standardised mean differences (SMD) or mean differences (MD) with 95% 15 confidence interval (CI) for each MetS risk factor were used to calculate the overall effect size 16 between the exercise and control groups. Sub-group analyses were performed for exercise 17 intensity, modality, and duration for each risk factor. Meta-regression was performed for 18 categorical (health status) and continuous (body mass index) covariates.

19 Results: 39 RCTs (40 studies) involving 2,132 participants were identified as eligible. Overall, 20 the meta-analysis shows that exercise training significantly improved all MetS risk factors: 21 waist circumference (WC) [MD: -2.61 cm; 95% CI: -3.39 to -1.86 cm; p < 0.001; 21 studies]; 22 triglycerides (TG) [SMD: -0.40 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.71 to -0.09 mmol/L; p = 0.01; 25 studies]; 23 high-density lipoprotein (HDL) [SMD: 0.84 mmol/L; (95% CI: 0.41 to 1.27 mmol/L; p < 0.001;24 26 studies]; fasting glucose (BG) [SMD: -0.38 mmol/L (95% CI: -0.60 to -0.16 mmol/L; p < 0.001; 20 studies]; systolic blood pressure (SBP) [MD: -5.95 mmHg (95% CI: -7.98 to -3.92 25 26 mmHg; p < 0.001; 23 studies]; and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) [MD: -4.14 mmHg (95% CI: 27 -6.19 to -2.08 mmHg; p < 0.001; 23 studies]. Furthermore, sub-group analyses identified that

moderate intensity and combined exercise training significantly improved MetS risk factors (p
< 0.05) except for HDL, with combined exercise being the most effective. Long duration (≥12
weeks) training also significantly improved MetS risk factors except for TG. Meta-regression
revealed no moderating effects on any MetS risk variables.

5 Conclusion: This study reinforces the importance of regular physical activity as a non-6 pharmacological tool in the reduction of MetS risk in post-menopausal women, with significant 7 metabolic improvements seen in interventions spanning 8 – 10 weeks. Moderate intensity and 8 combined training significantly benefitted abdominal obesity, dyslipidaemia, dysglycaemia and 9 hypertension in post-menopausal women. Improvements in at least one MetS risk were also 10 seen with other exercise modalities and intensities.

11 Keywords: Cardiometabolic health, Aging, Women's health, Exercise Interventions

12 **1. Introduction**

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is defined by a cluster of risk factors of metabolic origin that is linked to elevated risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD)[1]. These risk factors are increased waist circumference (WC), elevated blood pressure (BP), blood glucose (BG) and triglyceride (TG) levels, and diminished high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL) levels[2]. In individuals with MetS, the risk of CVD events such as stroke and myocardial infarction is twice as high compared to those without MetS[3]. The prevalence of MetS is strongly associated with age[4], and this risk is exacerbated in women following the menopausal transition[5].

The menopausal transition is a significant phase that every woman will experience, commonly occurring between the ages of 45 – 55 years depending on sociodemographic, genetic and lifestyle factors[6,7]. Menopause signifies the permanent cessation of menstrual cycles, identified as twelve months after the last menstrual period[8]. Changes in hormonal milieu during the menopausal transition are associated with weight gain, dysregulated lipid profiles and increased blood glucose levels[9,10]. Furthermore, post-menopausal oestrogen deficiency accentuates metabolic dysfunction, via adipose tissue redistribution resulting in increased abdominal adiposity[11]. Metabolic disturbances associated with the menopause phase including hypertension, abnormalities in blood lipid and glucose profiles and increased visceral adipose tissue (VAT) accumulation can impede normal endothelial function and accelerate vascular ageing, contributing to increased cardiovascular risk[12,13]. Albeit an inevitable part of a woman's life, the cumulative effects of ageing and menopause can affect quality of life, therefore highlighting the need for concern within this population.

7 Unhealthy lifestyle habits such as physical inactivity and sedentary behaviour are contributors 8 to increased MetS prevalence in post-menopausal women[14-16]. Recommendations of 9 regular physical activity in this cohort are ubiquitous across literature. Regular exercise training 10 has been shown to elicit reductions in independent cardiometabolic risk factors in postmenopausal women through improvements in: blood pressure[17-20], inflammatory 11 12 markers[21-25], endothelial function[26–28], body composition[18,29-31], insulin 13 resistance[18,32,33], HDL[34] and cardiorespiratory fitness[35,36]. However, there is limited 14 robust research examining the efficacy of exercise intensity and modality on combined risk factors focused on MetS progression within predisposed post-menopausal women. 15

16 Although physical activity is advised and considered as a non-pharmacological alternative to improve cardiometabolic health, the exercise dosage and the mode of delivery in ameliorating 17 18 MetS risk factors and resultant progression within this cohort still remains unclear. Therefore, 19 the purpose of this study is to systematically review and meta-analyse randomised controlled 20 trials assessing the effect of exercise training on the individual MetS risk factors in post-21 menopausal women. The study aims to assess the magnitude of effectiveness of exercise 22 training on each risk factor of MetS and to determine which exercise intensity, modality and 23 duration have the most beneficial impact on MetS risk factors in post-menopausal women.

24 2. Materials and methods

25 2.1. Registration

This review was registered at PROSPERO (registration number CRD42021283944). This systematic review and meta-analysis was performed in accordance to the Preferred Reporting

Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) statement guidelines and the
 Cochrane Handbook of Systematic Reviews of Interventions[37].

3 2.2. Eligibility criteria

4 The following pre-defined criteria were employed to the study inclusion: 1) randomised-5 controlled trials (RCT); 2) studies explicitly including women who are post-menopausal 6 (defined by at least one year of amenorrhea and/or follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) levels 7 \geq 30 IU/L); 3) peer-reviewed, full-text studies with training program lasting at least 8 weeks, in 8 a pre-post design; 4) studies analysed and reporting the effects of exercise training in at least 9 one variable of MetS (BG, HDL, TG, systolic BP (SBP), diastolic BP (DBP), and/or WC; 5) 10 blood measurements had to be performed in a fasted state categorised as >8 hours without food or after an overnight fast; 6) studies containing an exercise-only arm if the study is a 11 12 multicomponent treatment. If studies included men or pre-/peri-menopausal women, outcome 13 variables of post-menopausal women had to be analysed separately. Papers were excluded 14 if: 1) post-menopausal status was not predefined in the inclusion criteria; 2) women had cancer 15 or non-alcoholic fatty disease (NAFLD); 3) not published in peer-reviewed journals; 4) not 16 written in the English language; 5) conducted in animals; 6) addressing interventions applying 17 novel exercise technologies (e.g., whole-body vibration, exergaming etc.); 7) not of RCT 18 design, review articles, literature reviews, study protocol, abstracts or conference papers.

19 2.3. Search strategy

20 All literature investigating the effect of exercise training on risk factors of MetS in post-21 menopausal women were searched and obtained utilising PubMed, Scopus, web of science 22 and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials from inception to December 2021. The 23 search strategy included various combinations of the keywords and MeSH terms: 24 postmenopausal, exercise training, metabolic syndrome. Boolean search terms (AND, OR) 25 were utilised. A detailed search strategy is presented in Supplementary Materials. These 26 searches were limited to RCTs and human studies. Papers accepted were in English language 27 only. To increase generalisability of results, papers were accepted regardless of the

participants' health status (except cancer or NAFLD). In addition, reference lists of all relevant
 systematic reviews and meta-analysis were searched manually to locate additional relevant
 studies.

Database results were imported into Covidence systematic review software (Veritas Health Innovation, Australia). Abstracts and titles were independently reviewed by two reviewers (A.T and R.C). Papers were initially classified as 'yes', 'no' or 'maybe', of which those classified as 'yes' or 'maybe' proceeded to full-text screening. Full-text papers were then classified as 'yes' or 'no' with subsequent final papers classified as 'yes'. Any disagreements were resolved by reaching a consensus.

10 2.4. Risk of bias and quality assessment

The revised Cochrane Risk of Bias tool (RoB 2) was independently used by two authors (A.T 11 and R.C) to assess risk of bias[38]. The following aspects were evaluated for the quality of the 12 13 studies: 1) bias arising from the randomisation process; 2) bias due to deviations from the 14 intended interventions; 3) bias due to missing outcome data; 4) bias in the measurement of the outcome; 5) bias in the selection of the reported result. The details of the RoB2 assessment 15 are provided in Supplementary Materials Table S1. The overall risk of bias for each study was 16 determined as low risk, some concerns, or high risk. Any disagreements were examined by 17 18 all authors before reaching a consensus. Sensitivity analyses were conducted by omitting 19 each individual study and evaluating the effect on standardised mean differences (SMD) or 20 mean differences (MD), and heterogeneity.

21 2.5. Data extraction

Extraction of data from included studies were performed by a single author (A.T) into an electronic spreadsheet (Excel 2016, Microsoft Corporation USA) according to the following study characteristics: (A) first author; (B) year of publication; (C) study design; (D) characteristics of the participants including health status, mean age, baseline body mass index (BMI) and sample size; (E) exercise training characteristics including exercise modality, duration and frequency; (F) pre- and post-intervention measurements of MetS outcome

variables (BG, HDL, TG, SBP, DBP and/or WC) and corresponding measurements of MetS
outcome variables (BG, HDL, TG, SBP, DBP and/or WC) in the non-exercise control group. If
studies had multi-interventions arms, only data of exercise and control (non-exercise) arms
were included. All data extracted were checked for accuracy by a second author (R.C).

5 Following data extraction, BG, HDL, TG, SBP and DBP were converted to SI units (BG, HDL 6 and TG: mmol/L). For each of the six outcomes of interest, mean change scores were 7 calculated from pre- and post-intervention mean and standard deviation (SD) values in both 8 the exercise and control arms for the meta-analyses. In studies reporting 95% confidence 9 intervals, interquartile range (IQR) or standard error (SE), these were converted to a standard 10 deviation [39]. Additionally, WebPlotDigitizer Version 4.2 (Ankit Rohatgi, USA) was used for the extraction of data from graphs and figures when required. One study was excluded as no 11 12 response was received when the corresponding author was contacted due to insufficient data 13 [40].

14 **2.6. Data synthesis and analysis**

Data synthesis and analysis were performed by one author (A.T), statistical analyses were 15 completed utilising JASP (JASP Software version 0.16.4, JASP, Amsterdam, Netherlands) 16 and Review Manager software (RevMan Version 5.4, Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). 17 18 Using the random-effects model, SMD or MD with 95% confidence (CI) were calculated. Heterogeneity was assessed utilising the l^2 statistic, with >50% indicating large heterogeneity. 19 To establish the magnitude of the effects of exercise training vs control on all MetS risk factors, 20 21 effect sizes were calculated in accordance with Cochrane guidelines using the following: 0.2 22 -0.49, 0.5 - 0.79 and ≥ 0.8 for small, moderate and large effects respectively[41]. Six separate 23 pooled meta-analyses were conducted for each of the MetS risk factors. Sub-group analyses 24 of exercise intensity were performed for all MetS risk factors in accordance with Table 1. 25 Studies that included a combination of intensities used for exercise training were denoted as 26 light-moderate, light-vigorous, and moderate-vigorous. Similarly, exercise modality 27 (continuous, resistance, combined or interval) and intervention duration (short term: <12

weeks; long term: \geq 12 weeks; very long term: \geq 6 months) were included. Meta-regressions were also performed to determine the potential effect of participant characteristics on all MetS risk factors: continuous covariate (BMI) and categorical covariate (health status). Publication bias of included studies for all MetS risk variables were assessed using visual interpretation of funnel plots. Egger's regression test of p < 0.05 was used as a secondary determinant to confirm significant publication bias[42].

	Very light	Light	Moderate	Vigorous	Very
					ngorous
Oxygen uptake (VO₂max)	< 20	20 – 39	40 – 59	60 – 84	≥ 85
(mĽ/kg/min)					
Heart rate reserve (HRR%)	< 20	20 – 39	40 – 59	60 - 84	≥ 85
Maximum heart rate (%)	< 50	50 – 63	64 – 76	77 – 93	≥ 94
Metabolic Equivalent of Task		< 3	3 – 6	> 6	
(METS) (MET UNIT)					
RPE (Borg scale unit)	≤ 10	10 – 12	13 – 14	15 – 16	17 - 18
1-RM (%)		≤ 50	60 - 70	> 70	> 100

Table 1. Criteria for exercise intensity classification in accordance to The American College of
Sports Medicine guidelines[43]. METs: Metabolic Equivalents; RPE: Rate of Perceived
Exertion; RM: Resistance Maximum.

10 3. Results

11 3.1. Study selection

A total of 5,452 papers were initially identified from database searches. After removal of 888 duplicates, title and abstract screening excluded 4,413 studies. 151 papers were sought for retrieval for full-text versions, of which 7 were removed due to no full-text available. Of the remaining 144 full-text papers retrieved, 105 were excluded (28 had no MetS variables analysed, 16 had inappropriate study design, 12 had duplicated data, 15 reported inadequate outcomes, 2 did not analyse post-menopausal women separately, 2 were non-English language, 28 did not predefine post-menopause status in their inclusion criteria and 2 did not state that blood measurements were taken in a fasted state/following an overnight fast). 39
final papers were identified to be eligible for inclusion in the review and metaanalysis[18,21,22,24,26,28,29,32,36,44–73]. 1 paper[21] conducted multiple studies of the
same intervention in two different cohorts of interest each, a total of 40 separate studies were
included in the analysis. The PRISMA diagram of the selection process is detailed in Figure
1.

7 3.2. Study characteristics

8 Characteristics of the exercise interventions and participants are described in Table 2. The 9 mean \pm SD age and BMI of the participants in the studies ranged from 52.9 \pm 1.9 years[45] to 76.0 \pm 5.0 years[65], and 22.2 \pm 2.0 kg/m²[59] to 34.0 \pm 1.3 kg/m²[72], respectively. All 10 11 participants were post-menopausal (defined by at least one year of amenorrhea and/or follicle 12 stimulating hormone (FSH) levels \geq 30 IU/L). Many of the studies were performed in overweight 13 or obese individuals with no additional MetS risk factors (27 studies) 14 [18,21,22,24,29,32,36,44–47,49,50,52,54–58,61,62,64,67–69,72,73]. There were a total of 7 studies conducted in women with hypertension [18,26,53,60,65,70,71], 1 study in women with 15 16 dyslipidaemia[21], 1 study in women with osteopenia[51], and 1 study in women with 17 dynapenia[63]. The remaining 3 studies were in healthy women of normal weight[28,48,59].

A total of 2,132 participants were included, with 1,069 and 1,023 participants in the exercise and control groups respectively. Each MetS variable encompassed the following number of studies and total participants: waist circumference: 21 studies, 1,198 participants; triglycerides: 25 studies, 1,064 participants; HDL: 26 studies, 1,035 participants; glucose: 20 studies, 1,103 participants; SBP: 23 studies, 877 participants; DBP: 23 studies, 877 participants. In 1 study[21], two different cohorts of women (women with or without dyslipidaemia) were analysed separately.

The exercise interventions were diverse amongst the studies. They consisted of a range of intensities classified by Table 1. Exercise modalities were categorised into continuous, resistance, combined (continuous and resistance) and interval training. The duration of the

interventions ranged from 8 weeks to 12 months. The intensity of the exercise sessions
 increased periodically over the course of the program, with measurements of heart rate and
 intensity regularly monitored.

4 3.3. Risk of bias

5 The risk of bias for selected studies are provided in Supplementary Materials Table S2. 6 Overall, 3 studies were reported as low risk, 22 studies as some concerns and 15 studies as 7 high risk of bias. Blinding of participants to their allocation of exercise intervention is not 8 possible in exercise-related studies. Hence, allocation concealment under the domain "bias 9 from randomisation process" was not described in detail in all studies. We therefore evaluated this aspect as "some concerns". 10 studies reported acceptable method of random sequence 10 generation (i.e. computer generated), whilst the remaining 30 studies were judged as "some 11 concerns" due to insufficient detail reported for randomisation method. 12



2 Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram of the study selection process.

	Participants	A = (v = v =), DMI (l = l = 2)	No. of		Exercise Intervention					
Study (Country)	characteristics	Age (years); Bivil (kg/m²)	Participants	Duration	Frequency	Modality (intensity)	Factors			
Akwa et al., 2017[73] (Ghana)	Healthy	EX: 61.3 ± 7.5; 31.2 ± 7.5 CON: 61.3 ± 7.8; 29.0 ± 5.4	EX: 8 CON: 10	8 weeks	3 days	Continuous (light- moderate intensity)	HDL, TG, SBP, DBP			
Azadpour et al., 2017[26] (Turkey)	Obese with prehypertension	EX: 57.6 ± 4.3; 32.2 ± 1.8 CON: 56.6 ± 4.2; 31.3 ± 1.4	EX: 12 CON: 8	10 weeks	3 days	Continuous (moderate-vigorous intensity)	SBP, DBP, WC			
Bergström et al., 2009[44] (Sweden)	Healthy overweight	EX: 58.5 ± 4.2; 24.2 ± 2.5 CON: 59.4 ± 3.6; 25.0 ± 2.2	EX: 48 CON: 44	12 months	4-5 days	Continuous (moderate intensity)	HDL, SBP, DBP, WC			
Biteli et al., 2021ª[21] (Brazil)	Dyslipidaemic obese	EX: 62.3 ± 6.7; N/A CON: 59.3 ± 6.2; N/A	EX: 24 CON: 22	20 weeks	3 days	Combined (moderate intensity)	BG, HDL, TG, WC			
Biteli et al., 2021 ^b [21] (Brazil)	Obese	EX: 58.5 ± 6.5; N/A CON: 61.2 ± 7.7; N/A	EX: 11 CON: 13	20 weeks	3 days	Combined (moderate intensity)	BG, HDL, TG, WC			
Chagas et al., 2017[22] (Brazil)	Healthy obese	EX: 61.3 ± 6.4; 30.6 ± 5.0 CON: 59.8 ± 7.1; 32.8 ± 4.9	EX: 35 CON: 35	20 weeks	3 days	Combined (moderate intensity)	BG, HDL, TG, WC			
Church et al., 2007[36] (USA)	Overweight/obese	EX: 56.6 ± 6.6; 31.3 ± 3.6 CON: 57.2 ± 5.8; 32.3 ± 3.9	EX: 103 CON: 102	6 months	3-5 days	Continuous (Moderate intensity)	BG, HDL, TG, SBP, DBP, WC			
Colado et al., 2009[45] (Spain)	Healthy	EX: 54.0 ± 2.8; 29.5 ± 3.3 CON: 52.9 ± 1.9; 27.5 ± 3.3	EX: 21 CON: 10	24 weeks	3 days	Resistance (Moderate intensity)	BG, HDL, TG, SBP, DBP, WC			
Conceição et al., 2013[46] (Brazil)	Healthy	EX: 53.4 ± 4.0; 26.2 ± 3.3 CON: 53.0 ± 5.7; 25.3 ± 1.8	EX: 10 CON: 10	16 weeks	3 days	Resistance (moderate-vigorous intensity)	BG, HDL, TG, SBP, DBP, WC			
Dalleck et al., 2009[47] (USA)	Healthy	EX: 55.4 ± 3.2; 28.1 ± 4.5 CON: 57.4 ± 4.6; 30.0 ± 8.7	EX: 8 CON: 10	12 weeks	5 days	Continuous (moderate intensity)	BG, HDL, TG, SBP, DBP, WC			
Figueroa et al., 2011[48] (Korea)	Healthy	EX: 54.0 ± 2.0; 24.2 ± 0.7 CON: 54.0 ± 1.0; 23.1 ± 0.7	EX: 12 CON:12	12 weeks	3 days	Combined (moderate intensity)	SBP, DBP			
Frank et al., 2005[49] (USA)	Overweight	EX: 60.7 ± 6.7; 30.4 ± 4.1 CON: 60.6 ± 6.8; 30.5 ± 3.7	EX: 87 CON: 86	12 months	5 days	Continuous (moderate intensity)	BG, TG			
Friedenreich et al., 2011[29] (Canada)	Healthy	EX: 61.2 ± 5.4; 29.1 ± 4.5 CON: 60.6 ± 5.7; 29.2 ± 4.3	EX: 160 CON: 160	12 months	5 days	Continuous (moderate-vigorous intensity)	WC			

Table 2. Summary of characteristics of participants and interventions in 40 studies.

Table 2 (continued)							
Gomez-Tomas et al., 2018[50] (Spain)	Healthy	EX: 70.9 ± 4.4; 28.7 ± 4.5 CON: 70.5 ± 5.4; 30.2 ± 5.6	EX: 18 CON: 20	12 months	3 days	Resistance (light- moderate intensity)	HDL, TG, WC
Hettchen et al., 2021[51] (Germany)	Osteopenic	EX: 53.6 ± 2.0; 23.7 ± 3.4 CON: 54.5 ± 1.6; 24.9 ± 4.8	EX: 27 CON:27	13 months	3 days	Continuous (vigorous intensity)	BG, HDL, TG, WC
Jaime et al., 2019[28] (USA)	Healthy	EX: 64.0 ± 1.0; 24.0 ± 0.6 CON: 67.0 ± 1.0; 22.5 ± 0.9	EX: 21 CON: 14	12 weeks	N/A	Resistance (light intensity)	SBP, DBP
Kim and Kim., 2012[18] (Korea)	Obese	EX: 53.4 ± 2.4; 25.0 ± 1.3 CON: 54.5 ± 2.8; 25.1 ± 1.5	EX: 15 CON: 15	16 weeks	3 days	Continuous (moderate-vigorous intensity)	BG, HDL, TG, SBP, DBP, WC
Keyhani et al., 2020[52] (Iran)	Healthy	EX: 54.9 ± 1.0; 27.9 ± 1.3 CON: 56.2 ± 0.7; 27.8 ± 1.2	EX: 10 CON: 10	8 weeks	3 days	Interval (vigorous intensity)	HDL, TG, SBP, DBP
Latosik et al., 2014[53] (N/A)	Hypertensive	EX: N/A; 28.2 ± 5.8 CON: N/A; 28.2 ± 4.5	EX: 15 CON: 10	8 weeks	N/A	Continuous (light- vigorous)	HDL, TG, SBP, DBP, WC
Lee et al., 2012[54] (Korea)	Obese	EX: 54.8 ± 2.8; 25.1 ± 1.6 CON: 54.3 ± 2.9; 25.2 ± 1.7	EX: 8 CON: 8	16 weeks	3 days	Continuous (light intensity)	BG, HDL, TG, SBP, DBP, WC
Lee et al., 2021[55] (Korea)	Obese	EX: 56.0 ± 2.9; 25.8 ± 2.0 CON: 57.5 ± 2.9; 25.5 ± 1.7	EX: 12 CON:12	16 weeks	5 days	Continuous (light- vigorous intensity)	HDL, TG
Lesser et al., 2016[56] (Canada)	Healthy	EX: 56.4 ± 6.9; 29.9 ± 3.5 CON: 57.7 ± 6.1; 28.9 ± 3.5	EX: 23 CON: 26	12 weeks	3 days	Continuous (light- vigorous intensity)	BG, WC
Libardi et al., 2012[57] (Brazil)	Healthy	EX: 53.7 ± 3.7; 26.1 ± 3.0 CON: 51.2 ± 6.4; 25.9 ± 2.3	EX: 12 CON: 12	16 weeks	3 days	Resistance (moderate-vigorous intensity)	HDL, TG
Marcus et al., 2009[58] (USA)	Healthy	EX: 56.3 ± 6.4; 28.5 ± 3.7 CON: 53.2 ± 6.5; 32.2 ± 4.0	EX: 10 CON: 6	12 weeks	3 days	Resistance (light- moderate)	WC
Miyaki et al., 2012[59] (Japan)	Healthy	EX: 60.0 ± 6.0; 22.2 ± 2.0 CON: 60.0 ± 7.0; 22.4 ± 2.6	EX: 11 CON: 11	8 weeks	3-5 days	Continuous (light- moderate intensity)	HDL, TG, SBP, DBP
Moreau et al., 2001[60] (USA)	Borderline to stage 1 hypertensive	EX: 53.0 ± 7.7; N/A CON: 55.0 ± 3.0; N/A	EX: 15 CON: 9	24 weeks	7 days	Continuous (moderate intensity)	BG, SBP, DBP
Neves et al., 2017[61] (Brazil)	Healthy	EX: 58.6 ± 3.9; 27.1 ± 3.7 CON: 57.7 ± 4.8; 27.5 ± 4.6	EX: 27 CON: 19	16 weeks	3 days	Combined (moderate intensity)	BG, TG

Table 2 (continued)							
Nunes et al., 2016[24] (Brazil)	Healthy	EX: 62.0 ± 10.8; 27.4 ± 7.7 CON: 60.0 ± 7.8; 32.4 ± 6.3	EX: 11 CON: 11	16 weeks	3 days	Resistance (moderate intensity)	HDL, TG, WC
Rezende Barbosa et al., 2019[62] (Brazil)	Healthy	EX: 60.0 ± 4.5; 27.3 ± 4.2 CON: 58.5 ± 4.8; 27.6 ± 4.8	EX: 19 CON: 20	18 weeks	3 days	Continuous (moderate intensity)	SBP, DBP
Senechal et al., 2012[63] (Canada)	Dynapenic-obese	62.6 ± 4.1*; N/A	EX: 10 CON: 10	12 weeks	3 days	Resistance (vigorous intensity)	BG, HDL, TG, SBP, DBP, WC
Seo et al., 2010[64] (Korea)	Healthy	EX: 54.0 ± 3.6; 24.0 ± 1.9 CON: 58.0 ± 4.2; 24.0 ± 2.6	EX: 8 CON: 7	12 weeks	3 days	Continuous (vigorous intensity)	BG, HDL, TG, SBP, DBP, WC
Son and Park, 2021[32] (Korea)	Obese	EX: 68.2 ± 1.6; 26.7 ± 3.2 68.2 ± 1.4; 27.1 ± 1.4	EX: 18 CON: 17	12 weeks	3 days	Resistance (light- moderate intensity)	BG, HDL, TG, SBP, DBP, WC
Son et al., 2017[65] (Korea)	Stage 1 hypertensive	EX: 76.0 ± 5.0; 22.8 ± 0.7 CON: 74.7 ± 2.0; 24.1 ± 0.2	EX: 10 CON:10	12 weeks	3 days	Combined (light- moderate intensity)	SBP, DBP
Staffileno et al., 2001[66] (USA)	Hypertensive	EX: 57.1 ± 8.7; 31.1 ± 4.8 CON: 62.3 ± 8.7; 31.9 ± 5.7	EX: 9 CON: 9	8 weeks	5 days	Continuous (moderate intensity)	SBP, DBP
Trabka et al., 2013[67] (N/A)	Obese	EX: N/A; 31.6 ± 4.1 CON: N/A; 31.7 ± 4.9	EX: 23 CON: 21	10 weeks	3 days	Combined (moderate- vigorous)	HDL, TG, WC
van Gemert et al., 2014[68] (Netherlands)	Healthy	EX: 58.9 ± 4.6; 26.6 ± 2.9 CON: 58.4 ± 4.2; 27.3 ± 3.6	EX: 96 CON: 93	12 months	2 days	Combined (moderate -vigorous)	BG
Ward et al., 2020[69] (Sweden)	Healthy	EX: 55.7 ± 5.1; 28.1 ± 3.9 CON: 55.4 ± 5.0; 26.7 ± 3.6	EX: 26 CON: 29	15 weeks	3 days	Resistance (moderate intensity)	HDL, TG
Wong et al., 2018[70] (Korea)	Stage II hypertensive	EX: 59.0 ± 1.0; 24.2 ± 0.8 CON: 59.0 ± 1.0; 23.8 ± 0.8	EX: 21 CON: 20	12 weeks	5 days	Combined (light- moderate intensity)	SBP, DBP
Wong et al., 2019[71] (Korea)	Stage II hypertensive	EX: 74.0 ± 4.0; 26.0 ± 2.8 CON: 73.0 ± 4.0; 26.9 ± 2.9	EX: 52 CON: 48	20 weeks	3-4 days	Continuous (light- moderate intensity)	SBP, DBP
Wooten et al., 2011[72] (USA)	Obese	EX: 64.4 ± 0.7; 31.0 ± 0.5 CON: 67.0 ± 0.6; 34.0 ± 1.3	EX: 12 CON: 9	12 weeks	3 days	Resistance (moderate intensity)	HDL, TG

Data expressed as mean ± SD. ^{a,b} denotes sub-studies; *denotes combined value of participants; N/A: not applicable as not mentioned; BMI: body mass index; EX: exercise group; CON: control group; MetS: Metabolic Syndrome; T2D: type 2 diabetes; BG: blood glucose; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; TG: triglycerides; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure.

1 3.4. Meta-analysis

2 3.4.1. Waist circumference

The pooled meta-analysis of the 21 studies that included WC as an outcome suggest a large 3 effect size of exercise training significantly reducing WC by 2.62 cm (95% CI: -3.39 to -1.86 4 5 cm; p < 0.001). l^2 demonstrated large heterogeneity present between studies ($l^2 = 74\%$, p < 6 0.001) (Figure 2). Sub-group analyses for exercise training intensities, modalities and duration 7 were conducted and are presented in Table 3. The different exercise training intensities 8 showed significant reductions in WC for light-moderate intensity (MD: -3.49 cm; 95% CI: -5.15 9 to -1.82 cm; p < 0.001; n = 3), moderate intensity (MD: -3.66 cm; 95% CI: -5.61to -1.72 cm; p 10 < 0.001; n = 8), light-vigorous intensity (SMD: -4.00 cm; 95% CI: -6.91 to -1.10 cm; p = 0.007; n = 2). Likewise, the different exercise training modalities showed significant reductions for 11 12 continuous training (MD: -1.74cm; 95% CI: -2.36 to -1.12 cm; p < 0.001; n = 8), resistance 13 training (MD: -3.37 cm; 95% CI: -5.83 to -0.91 cm; p = 0.007; n = 6) and combined training (MD: -2.84 cm; 95% CI: -3.88 to -1.80 cm; p < 0.001; n = 7). Exercise training duration showed 14 significant reductions with short term (MD: -2.18 cm; 95% CI: -4.15 to -0.21 cm; p = 0.03; n = 15 16 3), long term (MD: -2.77 cm; 95% CI: -3.83 to -1.71 cm; p < 0.001; n = 12) and very long-term exercise training (MD: -2.55 cm; 95% CI: -3.99 to -1.12 cm; p < 0.001; n = 6). l^2 was 17 significantly reduced after sub-group analyses (intensity: 42.0%; modality: 53.0%; duration: 18 0%). 19

20 3.4.2. Triglycerides

Of the 25 studies including measurements of TG, the pooled meta-analysis showed exercise training had a small effect reducing TG by 0.40 mmol/L (95% CI: -0.71 to -0.09 mmol/L; p = 0.01). f^2 demonstrated large heterogeneity present between studies ($f^2 = 81\%$, p < 0.001) (Figure 3). The different exercise training intensities showed reductions in TG for moderate intensity (SMD: -0.54 mmol/L; 95% CI: -1.05 to -0.02 mmol/L; p = 0.04; n = 10). In addition, different exercise training modalities showed reductions for combined training (SMD: -1.08 mmol/L; 95% CI: -1.86 to -0.30 mmol/L; p = 0.007; n = 6) and exercise training duration showed reductions with short term (SMD: -0.96 mmol/L; 95% CI: -1.66 to -0.26 mmol/L; p = 0.007; n = 5). Sub-group analyses revealed no heterogeneity for intensity ($l^2 = 0\%$), a slight increase for modality ($l^2 = 81.8\%$) and slight decrease for duration ($l^2 = 71.1\%$).

4 3.4.3. HDL

5 Of the 26 studies that included HDL, the pooled meta-analysis showed exercise training had 6 a large effect increasing HDL by 0.84 mmol/L (95% CI: 0.41 to 1.27 mmol/L; p < 0.001). l^2 demonstrated large heterogeneity present between studies ($l^2 = 90\%$, p < 0.001) (Figure 4). 7 8 The different exercise training intensities showed increases in HDL for light-moderate intensity 9 (SMD: 1.97 mmol/L; 95% CI: 0.46 to 3.48 mmol/L; p = 0.01; n = 5). In addition, different exercise training modalities showed increases in HDL for continuous training (SMD: 1.12 10 mmol/L; 95% CI: 0.20 to 2.03 mmol/L; p = 0.02; n = 9) and resistance training (SMD: 0.96) 11 mmol/L; 95% CI: 0.07 to 1.84 mmol/L; p = 0.04; n = 9). Exercise training duration showed 12 13 reductions with short term (SMD: 1.04 mmol/L; 95% CI: 0.00 to 2.07 mmol/L; p = 0.05; n = 5) and long term (SMD: 0.81 mmol/L; 95% CI: 0.29 to 1.33 mmol/L; p = 0.002; n = 16). Sub-14 group analyses revealed no heterogeneity for intensity and duration ($l^2 = 0\%$), and a slight 15 decrease for modality ($l^2 = 87.7\%$) 16

17 3.4.4. Glucose

18 Of the 20 studies including glucose, the pooled meta-analysis showed exercise training had a small effect decreasing glucose by -0.38 mmol/L (95% CI: -0.60 to -0.16 mmol/L; p < 0.001). 19 l^2 demonstrated large heterogeneity present between studies ($l^2 = 63\%$, p < 0.001) (Figure 5). 20 The different exercise training intensities showed a reduction in glucose with moderate 21 22 intensity (SMD: -0.54 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.85 to -0.24 mmol/L; p < 0.001; n = 9), In addition, 23 different exercise training modalities showed a significant reduction in glucose with combined 24 training (SMD: -0.59 mmol/L; 95% CI: -1.01 to -0.16 mmol/L; p = 0.007; n = 7) and exercise 25 training duration showed reductions with long term (SMD: -0.60 mmol/L; 95% CI: -0.90 to -0.31 mmol/L; p < 0.001; n = 13). Sub-group analyses revealed no heterogeneity for intensity 26 $(l^2 = 0\%)$, slight decrease for modality $(l^2 = 62.7\%)$ and an increase for duration $(l^2 = 83.4\%)$. 27

1 3.4.5. SBP

2 Of the 23 studies including SBP, the pooled meta-analysis showed exercise training had a large effect decreasing SBP by 5.95 mmHg (95% CI: -7.98 to -3.92 mmHg; p < 0.001). l^2 3 demonstrated large heterogeneity present between studies ($l^2 = 99\%$, p < 0.001) (Figure 6). 4 5 The different exercise training intensities showed significant reductions in SBP with lightmoderate intensity (MD: -822 mmHg; 95% CI: -11.79 to -4.65 mmHg; p < 0.001; n = 7) and 6 7 moderate intensity (MD: -5.44; 95% CI: -8.38 to -2.50 mmHg; p < 0.001; n = 9). In addition, 8 different exercise training modalities showed reductions in SBP with continuous training (MD: 9 -7.53 mmHg; 95% CI: -9.95 to -5.10 mmHg; p < 0.001; n = 13) and combined training (MD: -10 7.28 mmHg: 95% CI: -10.14 to -4.41 mmHg; p < 0.001; n = 4). Exercise training duration showed reductions with short term (MD: -6.10 mmHg; 95% CI: -7.96 to -4.24 mmHg; p < 0.001; 11 12 n = 6) and long term (MD: -6.90 mmHg; 95% CI: -9.60 to -4.21 mmHg; p < 0.001; n = 13). l^2 13 was significantly decreased after sub-group analyses (intensity: 0%; modality: 54.1%; duration: 0%). 14

15 **3.4.6. DBP**

16 Of the 23 studies including DBP, the pooled meta-analysis showed exercise training had a 17 large effect decreasing DBP by 4.14 mmHg (95% CI: -6.19 to -2.08 mmHg; p < 0.001). l^2 demonstrated large heterogeneity present between studies ($l^2 = 100\%$, p < 0.001) (Figure 7). 18 The different exercise training intensities showed reductions in DBP with light-moderate 19 20 intensity (MD: -5.98; 95% CI: -9.86 to -2.11 mmHg; p = 0.002; n = 7) and moderate intensity (MD: -3.70; 95% CI: -5.42 to -1.98 mmHg; p < 0.001; n = 9). In addition, different exercise 21 training modalities showed reductions in DBP with continuous training (MD: -4.78 mmHg; 95% 22 CI: -7.41 to -2.16 mmHg; p < 0.001; n = 13) and combined training (MD: -4.16 mmHg: 95%) 23 CI: -7.03 to -1.29 mmHg; p = 0.005; n = 4). Exercise training duration showed reductions with 24 25 short term (MD: -4.61 mmHg; 95% CI: -7.82 to -1.39 mmHg; p = 0.005; n = 6) and long term (MD: -4.41 mmHg; 95% CI: -7.35 to -1.46 mmHg; p = 0.003; n = 13). l^2 decreased significantly 26

after sub-group analyses for intensity (11.0%) and duration (0%), and slightly decreased for
modality (90.6%).

3 3.5. Meta-regression

Across the six meta-analyses, random-effects meta-regression revealed no significant
moderator effects of BMI or health status (Supplementary Materials Table 3).

6 **3.6.** Publication bias and sensitivity analysis

7 To ascertain publication bias, we used funnel plots and Egger's test. Visual inspection of the 8 funnel plots reveal asymmetry, denoting a certain degree of publication bias (Supplementary 9 Materials Figure S1). Egger's test found no evidence of publication bias in waist circumference (p = 0.157), triglycerides (p = 0.688), SBP (p = 0.316) and DBP (p = 0.826), except for HDL (p = 0.157)10 < 0.001) and BG (p = 0.15) (Supplementary Materials Figures S1a-f). Trim-fill analysis were 11 performed, although no significant changes were found to the data. Sensitivity analysis for 12 pooled analyses revealed that no single trial affected the significance of the SMD, MD or 13 heterogeneity. 14

15

16

17

18

	Exerci	se Trair	ning	Control Mear				Mean Difference	rence Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI				
Azadpour 2017	-1.4	4.4	12	0.5	3.66	12	3.5%	-1.90 [-5.14, 1.34]					
Bergström 2009	-1.6	3.61	48	-0.2	3.35	44	7.1%	-1.40 [-2.82, 0.02]					
Biteli 2021a	-3.5	5.9	24	-0.7	6.23	22	3.2%	-2.80 [-6.31, 0.71]					
Biteli 2021b	-7.3	6.71	11	0.3	6.21	13	1.8%	-7.60 [-12.81, -2.39]					
Chagas 2017	-4.7	0.92	35	-0.3	5.85	35	5.9%	-4.40 [-6.36, -2.44]					
Church 2007	-1.4	6.42	103	-1.4	6.59	102	6.3%	0.00 [-1.78, 1.78]					
Colado 2009	-3.6	4.19	21	2.3	4.08	10	3.7%	-5.90 [-9.00, -2.80]					
Conceicao 2013	1.5	2	10	-0.3	5.02	10	3.4%	1.80 [-1.55, 5.15]					
Dalleck 2009	-2.5	6.99	8	0.7	5.58	10	1.4%	-3.20 [-9.15, 2.75]					
Friedenreich 2011	-2.2	4.8	160	0.1	5.12	160	8.0%	-2.30 [-3.39, -1.21]					
Gomez-Tomas 2018	-2.7	4.5	18	3.3	5.81	20	3.5%	-6.00 [-9.29, -2.71]					
Hettchen 2021	-2.9	3.9	27	-0.4	3.6	27	5.8%	-2.50 [-4.50, -0.50]					
Kim and Kim 2012	-1.2	0.72	15	0.9	0.84	15	9.0%	-2.10 [-2.66, -1.54]	-				
Latosik 2014	-3.8	5.77	15	1	4.65	10	2.6%	-4.80 [-8.90, -0.70]					
Lee 2012	-1.3	0.72	8	0.4	0.96	8	8.5%	-1.70 [-2.53, -0.87]					
Lesser 2016	-4	7.37	23	-0.8	7.34	26	2.5%	-3.20 [-7.33, 0.93]					
Nunes 2016	-4	5.44	11	4	5.31	11	2.2%	-8.00 [-12.49, -3.51]					
Senechal 2012	-0.7	4.9	10	-1.7	0.3	10	3.8%	1.00 [-2.04, 4.04]	_ 				
Seo 2010	-4.3	2.58	8	-0.9	2.38	7	4.7%	-3.40 [-5.91, -0.89]	_ -				
Son and Park 2021	-3.4	0.3	18	0.5	1.1	17	9.0%	-3.90 [-4.44, -3.36]	+				
Trabka 2014	-1	4.82	23	0.1	4.71	21	4.2%	-1.10 [-3.92, 1.72]					
T / 1/054/ 00						500			•				
Total (95% CI)			608			590	100.0%	-2.62 [-3.39, -1.86]	•				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1	.62; Chi²	= 76.49	, df = 20) (P < 0.	00001); I ² = 7	4%		-10 -5 0 5 10				
Test for overall effect: Z	= 6.70 (F	< 0.001 	001)						Favours [exercise] Favours [control]				

Figure 2. Forest plot of randomised controls trials investigating the effect of exercise training
vs control on waist circumference using the random effects model. There are a total of 21
studies reporting changes in waist circumference (cm). Negative values favour exercise
intervention on the left side. 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; MD: mean difference; SD:
standard deviation.

	Exerci	se Traii	ning	C	ontrol		1	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Wooten 2011	0	0.44	12	0.3	0.44	9	3.7%	-0.65 [-1.55, 0.24]	
Ward 2020	0.2	0.4	26	0	0.3	29	4.6%	0.56 [0.02, 1.10]	
Trabka 2014	-0.2	0.2	23	0.1	0.31	21	4.4%	-1.14 [-1.78, -0.50]	_ —
Son and Park 2021	-0.1	0.03	18	0	0.26	17	4.3%	-0.54 [-1.21, 0.14]	
Seo 2010	-0.2	0.12	8	0	0.35	7	3.3%	-0.74 [-1.80, 0.32]	
Senechal 2012	0.2	0.41	10	-0.4	0.45	10	3.5%	1.33 [0.34, 2.33]	
Nunes 2016	-0.1	0.28	11	-0.1	0.4	11	3.9%	0.00 [-0.84, 0.84]	
Miyaki 2012	-0.1	0.26	11	0.2	0.31	11	3.7%	-1.01 [-1.91, -0.11]	
Libardi 2012	0.4	0.5	12	-0.2	0.77	12	3.8%	0.89 [0.05, 1.74]	
Lee 2021	-0.1	0.3	12	-0.1	0.25	12	3.9%	0.00 [-0.80, 0.80]	
Lee 2012	0	0.16	8	0.1	0.15	8	3.4%	-0.61 [-1.62, 0.40]	
Latosik 2014	-0.2	0.34	15	0.2	0.25	10	3.7%	-1.26 [-2.14, -0.37]	
Kim and Kim 2012	0	0.16	15	0.1	0.15	15	4.1%	-0.63 [-1.36, 0.11]	
Keyhani 2020	-0.1	0.05	10	0	0.05	10	3.2%	-1.92 [-3.01, -0.82]	
Hettchen 2021	0.1	0.09	27	0.1	0.09	27	4.6%	0.00 [-0.53, 0.53]	
Gomez-Tomas 2018	-0.1	0.56	18	0	0.15	20	4.4%	-0.24 [-0.88, 0.39]	
Frank 2005	-0.1	0.39	87	-0.1	0.36	86	5.1%	0.00 [-0.30, 0.30]	+
Dalleck 2009	-0.3	0.64	8	0	0.55	10	3.6%	-0.48 [-1.43, 0.46]	
Conceicao 2013	0.4	0.46	10	0.1	0.36	10	3.7%	0.70 [-0.21, 1.60]	+
Colado 2009	0	0.26	15	0.1	0.2	10	3.9%	-0.41 [-1.22, 0.40]	
Church 2007	0	0.36	103	0	0.36	102	5.1%	0.00 [-0.27, 0.27]	+
Chagas 2017	-0.3	0.35	35	0.7	0.4	35	4.3%	-2.63 [-3.28, -1.98]	
Biteli 2021b	0	0.11	11	0.2	0.25	13	3.8%	-0.97 [-1.83, -0.11]	
Biteli 2021a	-0.1	0.2	24	0.1	0.2	22	4.4%	-0.98 [-1.60, -0.37]	_
Akwa 2017	0.1	0.32	8	-0.2	0.71	10	3.6%	0.50 [-0.45, 1.45]	
Total (95% CI)			537			527	100.0%	0.40 [0.71 0.00]	
Hotorogonoity Tou? = 0	17. OF	- 107 0	JJI Z dfr: (N /D ~ 1			010	-0.40 [-0.71, -0.09]	▼
Test for everall effect 7	047, UNE - 0.5475	= 127.3 = 0.043	/,ui=.	24 (٣ < 1	J.UUUL	n),r=	0170		-4 -2 0 2 4
restior overall effect. Z	= 2.94 (F	r = 0.01;	,						Favours [exercise] Favours [control]

Figure 3. Forest plot of randomised controls trials investigating the effect of exercise training
vs control on triglycerides using the random effects model. There are a total of 25 studies
reporting changes in triglycerides (mmol/L). Negative values favour exercise intervention on
the left side. 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; SMD: standardised mean difference; SD:
standard deviation.

	Exerci	se Trair	ning	C	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Akwa 2017	0.3	0.22	8	0	0.22	10	3.6%	1.30 [0.25, 2.34]	
Bergström 2009	1	0.26	48	0	0.27	44	4.1%	3.74 [3.06, 4.43]	
Biteli 2021a	0	0.16	24	0	0.11	22	4.2%	0.00 [-0.58, 0.58]	_ _
Biteli 2021b	0	0.11	11	-0.2	0.17	13	3.8%	1.32 [0.42, 2.23]	
Chagas 2017	0	1.06	35	-0.1	0.16	35	4.3%	0.13 [-0.34, 0.60]	-
Church 2007	0	0.25	103	0	0.25	102	4.5%	0.00 [-0.27, 0.27]	+
Colado 2009	0.2	0.28	21	0.1	0.21	10	4.0%	0.37 [-0.39, 1.13]	
Conceicao 2013	0.2	0.16	10	-0.1	0.11	10	3.5%	2.09 [0.96, 3.23]	
Dalleck 2009	0.1	0.11	8	-0.1	0.11	10	3.5%	1.73 [0.60, 2.86]	
Gomez-Tomas 2018	0	0.21	18	0	0.21	20	4.2%	0.00 [-0.64, 0.64]	
Hettchen 2021	0	0.22	27	0.1	0.22	27	4.3%	-0.45 [-0.99, 0.09]	
Keyhani 2020	0.2	0.05	10	0	0.05	10	2.8%	3.83 [2.24, 5.42]	
Kim and Kim 2012	0.1	0.16	15	-0.1	0.17	15	4.0%	1.18 [0.39, 1.96]	— .
Latosik 2014	0	0.42	15	0.2	0.42	10	3.9%	-0.46 [-1.27, 0.35]	
Lee 2012	0.1	0.16	8	-0.1	0.17	8	3.6%	1.15 [0.06, 2.23]	
Lee 2021	0	0.21	12	-0.1	0.21	12	3.9%	0.46 [-0.35, 1.27]	+
Libardi 2012	0	0.29	12	-0.1	0.17	12	3.9%	0.41 [-0.40, 1.22]	
Miyaki 2012	0.2	0.16	11	0	0.21	11	3.8%	1.03 [0.13, 1.93]	
Neves 2017	-0.1	0.22	27	-0.1	0.22	19	4.2%	0.00 [-0.59, 0.59]	
Nunes 2016	0	0.11	11	0	0.13	11	3.9%	0.00 [-0.84, 0.84]	
Senechal 2012	0.1	0.17	10	-0.1	0.13	10	3.7%	1.27 [0.29, 2.25]	—
Seo 2010	0.1	0.21	8	0.1	0.13	7	3.7%	0.00 [-1.01, 1.01]	
Son and Park 2021	0.2	0.02	18	-0.1	0.05	17	2.3%	7.78 [5.74, 9.83]	•
Trabka 2014	0.1	0.22	23	0	0.38	21	4.2%	0.32 [-0.28, 0.92]	+
Ward 2020	0.1	0.33	26	0.4	0.43	29	4.3%	-0.77 [-1.32, -0.22]	_ _
Wooten 2011	0.2	0.21	12	0.2	0.21	9	3.9%	0.00 [-0.86, 0.86]	
Total (95% CI)			531			504	100.0%	0.84 [0.41, 1.27]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1	.06; Chi ²	= 237.8	8, df = 3	25 (P < I	0.0000	1); l² =	89%		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.81 (F	9 = 0.000	01)						-4 -2 U 2 4 Favours [control] Favours [exercise]

2 Figure 4. Forest plot of sub-analysis on the effects of exercise training intensities on HDL using

3 the random effects model. There are a total of 26 studies reporting changes in HDL (mmol/L).

4 Positive values favour exercise intervention on the right side. Data are reported as SMD (95%

5 CI). HDL: high-density lipoprotein; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; SMD: standardised mean

6 *difference; SD: standard deviation.*

7

	Exerci	se Trair	ning	C	Control			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI			
Biteli 2021a	-0.2	0.54	24	0.7	1.09	22	5.5%	-1.04 [-1.66, -0.42]				
Biteli 2021b	0.4	0.49	11	0.9	0.77	13	4.1%	-0.73 [-1.57, 0.10]				
Chagas 2017	0.1	0.78	35	0.9	0.73	35	6.4%	-1.05 [-1.55, -0.55]				
Church 2007	-0.1	0.3	103	0	0.37	102	8.3%	-0.30 [-0.57, -0.02]				
Colado 2009	0.1	0.3	21	0.4	0.25	10	4.3%	-1.02 [-1.82, -0.22]	_			
Conceicao 2013	-0.8	0.45	10	-0.1	0.25	10	2.9%	-1.84 [-2.93, -0.76]				
Dalleck 2009	-0.2	0.32	8	0.1	0.51	10	3.5%	-0.65 [-1.61, 0.31]				
Frank 2005	0.1	3.04	87	0.1	2.66	86	8.1%	0.00 [-0.30, 0.30]	+			
Hettchen 2021	0.2	0.45	27	0.2	0.46	27	6.2%	0.00 [-0.53, 0.53]	_ 			
Kim and Kim 2012	-0.3	0.36	15	-0.3	0.38	15	4.8%	0.00 [-0.72, 0.72]				
Lee 2012	-0.3	0.36	8	-0.3	0.38	8	3.4%	0.00 [-0.98, 0.98]				
Lesser 2016	-0.3	0.68	23	-0.1	0.54	26	5.9%	-0.32 [-0.89, 0.24]				
Marcus 2009	0	0.24	10	-0.1	0.25	6	3.2%	0.39 [-0.64, 1.41]				
Miyaki 2012	0.2	0.24	11	0	0.25	11	3.9%	0.79 [-0.09, 1.66]				
Moreau 2001	-0.1	0.74	15	-0.1	0.72	9	4.1%	0.00 [-0.83, 0.83]				
Neves 2017	-0.1	1.05	27	0.4	0.91	19	5.7%	-0.49 [-1.09, 0.10]				
Senechal 2012	0.1	0.42	10	0.1	0.3	10	3.9%	0.00 [-0.88, 0.88]	_			
Seo 2010	-0.3	0.24	8	0.1	0.32	7	2.7%	-1.35 [-2.50, -0.19]				
Son and Park 2021	-0.2	0.12	18	0.1	0.5	17	5.0%	-0.82 [-1.51, -0.12]				
van Gemert 2015	0	0.25	96	0	0.25	93	8.2%	0.00 [-0.29, 0.29]	+			
Total (95% CI)			567			536	100.0%	-0.38 [-0.60, -0.16]	•			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.14: Ch	i ^z = 51.1	3. df = 1	19 (P < I	0.0001); ² = 6	3%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.33 ((P = 0.0	009)				-		-4 -2 0 2 4			
			/						Favours (exercise) Favours (control)			

Figure 5. Forest plot of randomised controls trials investigating the effect of exercise training
vs control on blood glucose using the random effects model. There are a total of 20 studies
reporting changes in glucose (mmol/L). Negative values favour exercise intervention on the
left side. 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; SMD: standardised mean difference; SD: standard
deviation.

	Exerc	ise Trai	ning	0	Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Akwa 2017	-9	13.97	8	5.8	10.03	10	2.0%	-14.80 [-26.30, -3.30]	
Azadpour 2017	-5.8	2.46	12	0.1	2.06	12	5.4%	-5.90 [-7.72, -4.08]	
Bergström 2009	-2	11.93	48	-2	9.64	44	4.5%	0.00 [-4.42, 4.42]	
Church 2007	-3.3	11.16	103	-1.7	11.11	102	5.0%	-1.60 [-4.65, 1.45]	-++
Colado 2009	-2.3	8.36	21	-5.1	7.64	10	3.8%	2.80 [-3.13, 8.73]	_
Conceicao 2013	-7.6	8.46	10	1.5	4.33	10	3.8%	-9.10 [-14.99, -3.21]	
Dalleck 2009	-5.1	8.97	8	1.9	6.89	10	3.2%	-7.00 [-14.54, 0.54]	
Figueroa 2011	-6	6.58	12	0.2	7.27	12	4.0%	-6.20 [-11.75, -0.65]	
Jaime 2019	-2	9.26	12	-8	5.57	8	3.6%	6.00 [-0.51, 12.51]	+
Keyhani 2020	-6.1	2.03	10	1	1.41	10	5.5%	-7.10 [-8.63, -5.57]	
Kim and Kim 2012	-8.6	2.65	15	2.2	1.91	15	5.5%	-10.80 [-12.45, -9.15]	-
Latosik 2014	-10.2	8.49	15	-6.6	4.7	10	4.1%	-3.60 [-8.79, 1.59]	
Lee 2012	-8.3	2.63	8	2.7	1.91	8	5.3%	-11.00 [-13.25, -8.75]	- -
Miyaki 2012	3	7.78	11	3	7.29	11	3.7%	0.00 [-6.30, 6.30]	
Moreau 2001	-11	6.46	15	1	4.93	9	4.4%	-12.00 [-16.59, -7.41]	
Rezende Barbosa 2019	-18.7	12.48	19	-6	6.44	20	3.7%	-12.70 [-18.98, -6.42]	
Senechal 2012	-2.2	7.44	10	-9.5	7.48	10	3.6%	7.30 [0.76, 13.84]	
Seo 2010	-7.7	7.82	8	-2.1	7.24	7	3.2%	-5.60 [-13.22, 2.02]	
Son 2017	-13.5	5	10	-1.8	5.14	10	4.5%	-11.70 [-16.14, -7.26]	
Son and Park 2021	-3.9	0.3	18	0.5	0.7	17	5.7%	-4.40 [-4.76, -4.04]	•
Staffileno 2001	-6.8	6.12	9	1.2	5.27	9	4.1%	-8.00 [-13.28, -2.72]	
Wong 2018	-7	0.54	21	-1	0.54	20	5.7%	-6.00 [-6.33, -5.67]	•
Wong 2019	-11	0.54	52	1	0.54	48	5.7%	-12.00 [-12.21, -11.79]	· ·
Total (05% CI)			466			422	100.0%	F 0 F [7 0 9 2 0 3]	
Total (95% CI)			400			422	100.0%	-5.95 [-7.98, -5.9 Z]	▼
Heterogeneity: I auf = 18.8	shi; Chiffe	1854.3	6, at = 2	2 (P < L	0.00001); in = 9:	9%	-	-20 -10 0 10 20
Test for overall effect: $Z = 5$	5.75 (P <	0.00001)						Favours [exercise] Favours [control]

Figure 6. Forest plot of randomised controls trials investigating the effect of exercise training
vs control on SBP using the random effects model. There are a total of 23 studies reporting
changes in SBP (mmHg). Negative values favour exercise intervention on the left side. 95%
CI: 95% confidence interval; MD: mean difference; SBP: systolic blood pressure; SD: standard
deviation.

	Exerc	ise Trai	e Training Control Mean Difference Mean Difference								
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI		
Akwa 2017	-8.7	6.08	8	2.5	4.02	10	3.9%	-11.20 [-16.09, -6.31]			
Azadpour 2017	-2	1.14	12	0.5	0.7	12	5.0%	-2.50 [-3.26, -1.74]	+		
Bergström 2009	0	4.88	48	1	4.64	44	4.8%	-1.00 [-2.95, 0.95]			
Church 2007	-0.4	6.09	103	-0.5	6.06	102	4.8%	0.10 [-1.56, 1.76]	+		
Colado 2009	-4.8	2.59	21	0.8	3.11	10	4.7%	-5.60 [-7.82, -3.38]			
Conceicao 2013	-1.6	3.02	10	-0.4	2.96	10	4.6%	-1.20 [-3.82, 1.42]			
Dalleck 2009	-2.2	5.1	8	1.5	3.5	10	4.2%	-3.70 [-7.85, 0.45]			
Figueroa 2011	-4.8	5.89	12	0.5	5.2	12	4.0%	-5.30 [-9.75, -0.85]			
Jaime 2019	-1	5.35	12	-1	2.18	8	4.4%	0.00 [-3.38, 3.38]			
Keyhani 2020	-7.6	0.44	10	0.2	0.41	10	5.0%	-7.80 [-8.17, -7.43]	•		
Kim and Kim 2012	-7.6	3.32	15	1.8	1.2	15	4.8%	-9.40 [-11.19, -7.61]			
Latosik 2014	-2	5.53	15	0.6	2.67	10	4.4%	-2.60 [-5.85, 0.65]			
Lee 2012	-1	2.77	8	1.9	1.2	8	4.7%	-2.90 [-4.99, -0.81]			
Miyaki 2012	-1	2.76	11	-1	4.11	11	4.5%	0.00 [-2.93, 2.93]			
Moreau 2001	-3	1.3	15	1	4.11	9	4.6%	-4.00 [-6.76, -1.24]			
Rezende Barbosa 2019	-9.4	5.33	19	5	11.96	20	3.6%	-14.40 [-20.16, -8.64]			
Senechal 2012	14.1	25.23	10	-0.8	8.72	10	1.2%	14.90 [-1.65, 31.45]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Seo 2010	-3.5	4.44	8	-2.5	3.8	7	4.1%	-1.00 [-5.17, 3.17]			
Son 2017	-13.2	4.24	10	-2.2	9.21	10	3.4%	-11.00 [-17.28, -4.72]			
Son and Park 2021	-0.1	0.1	18	0.3	0.3	17	5.0%	-0.40 [-0.55, -0.25]	1		
Staffileno 2001	-3.4	4.13	9	1.2	4.42	9	4.2%	-4.60 [-8.55, -0.65]			
Wong 2018	-3	0.38	21	0	0.38	20	5.0%	-3.00 [-3.23, -2.77]	•		
Wong 2019	-9	0.38	52	0	0.38	48	5.0%	-9.00 [-9.15, -8.85]	•		
Total (95% CI)			455			422	100.0%	-4.14 [-6.19, -2.08]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 22 (M: Chi≊=	5 8808 S	1 df = 7	2 (P < 0	00001	v 12 - 11	0.0%				
Teet for overall effect: 7 - 3	2 9 <i>4 (</i> P ~	0.000.0	r, ur – 2	.20 -0			00.0		-20 -10 Ó 1º 20		
restion overall effect. Z = 3	7.04 (F N	0.0001)							Favours [exercise] Favours [control]		

Figure 7. Forest plot of randomised controls trials investigating the effect of exercise training
vs control on DBP using the random effects model. There are a total of 23 studies reporting
changes in DBP (mmHg). Negative values favour exercise intervention on the left side. 95%
CI: 95% confidence interval; MD: mean difference; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; SD:
standard deviation.

Groups		WC (ci	m)			TG (mm		HDL (mmol/L)				
	n	MD (95% CI)	Р	² (%)	n	SMD (95% CI)	Р	l² (%)	n	SMD (95% CI)	Р	l² (%)
Intensity		· · ·				· · ·						
Light	1	-1.70 [-2.53, -0.87]	<0.001*	N/A	1	-0.61 [-1.62, 0.40]	0.24	N/A	1	1.15 [0.06, 2.23]	0.04*	N/A
Light-to-moderate	3	-3.49 [-5.15, -1.82]	<0.001*	92%	5	-0.41 [-0.82, 0.01]	0.06	33%	5	1.97 [0.46, 3.48]	0.01*	92%
Moderate	8	-3.66 [-5.61, -1.72]	<0.001*	75%	10	-0.54 [-1.05, -0.02]	0.04*	88%	11	0.56 [-0.09, 1.21]	0.09	92%
Light-to-vigorous	2	-4.00 [-6.91, -1.10]	0.007*	0%	3	-0.11 [-1.30, 1.07]	0.85	83%	2	-0.00 [-0.90, 0.90]	1	59%
Moderate-to-vigorous	4	-1.29 [-2.93, 0.36]	0.12	45%	2	-0.25 [-2.05, 1.55]	0.78	90%	3	0.83 [-0.10, 1.77]	0.08	74%
Vigorous	3	-1.82 [-4.13, 0.49]	0.12	61%	4	-0.30 [-1.45, 0.85]	0.61	85%	4	1.03 [-0.47, 2.53]	0.18	90%
Modality												
Continuous	8	-1.74 [-2.36, -1.12]	<0.001*	10%	9	-0.29 [-0.59, 0.02]	0.06	51%	9	1.12 [0.20, 2.03]	0.02*	90%
Resistance	6	-3.37 [-5.83, -0.91]	0.007*	82%	9	0.16 [-0.28, 0.59]	0.48	65%	9	0.96 [0.07, 1.84]	0.04*	90%
Combined	7	-2.84 [-3.88, -1.80]	<0.001*	42%	6	-1.08 [-1.86, -0.30]	0.007*	87%	7	0.12 [-0.21, 0.46]	0.47	49%
Interval	0	Not Estimable	N/A	N/A	1	-1.92 [-3.01, -0.82]	<0.001*	N/A	1	3.83 [2.24, 5.42]	<0.001*	N/A
Duration												
< 12 weeks	3	-2.18 [-4.15, -0.21]	0.03*	7%	5	-0.96 [-1.66, -0.26]	0.007*	69%	5	1.04 [0.00, 2.07]	0.05*	85%
≥ 12 weeks	12	-2.77 [-3.83, -1.71]	<0.001*	79%	15	-0.33 [-0.86, 0.19]	0.22	85%	16	0.81 [0.29, 1.33]	0.002*	85%
≥ 6 months	6	-2.55 [-3.99, -1.12]	<0.001*	72%	5	-0.04 [-0.21, 0.14]	0.67	0%	5	0.72 [-0.52, 1.96]	0.26	96%

Table 3. Sub-group analysis of 40 studies.

	Table	э3	continued.
--	-------	----	------------

Groups		BG (mmol/L)				SBP (mmHg)				DBP (mmHg)			
		SMD	D	1 2		MD		12 (0/)		MD		12 (0()	
	n	(95% CI)	Р	(%)	n	(95% CI)	Р	I² (%)	n	(95% CI)	Р	I² (%)	
Intensity													
Light	1	0.00	1	NI/A	2	-2.79	0.74	060/	2	-1.77	0.25	E10/	
Light	1	[-0.98, 0.98]	I	IN/A	2	[-19.44, 13.86]	0.74	90%	Z	[-4.54, 1.01]	0.55	51%	
Light-to-moderate	1	0.04	0.01	66%	7	-8.22	~0 001*	100%	7	-5.98	0 002*	100%	
Light-to-moderate	4	[-0.66, 0.74]	0.91	00 /0	'	[-11.79, -4.65]	<0.001	100 /6	'	[-9.86, -2.11]	0.002	10070	
Moderate	0	-0.54	<0.001*	64%	9	-5.44	<0.001*	76%	9	-3.70	~0 001*	80%	
	9	[-0.85, -0.24]				[-8.38, -2.50]				[-5.42, -1.98]	<0.001	0070	
Light-to-vigorous	1	-0.32	0.26	N/A	1	-3.60	0.17	N/A	1	-2.60	0.12	NI/A	
Light to vigorous		[-0.89, 0.24]				[-8.79, 1.59]				[-5.85, 0.65]	0.12		
Moderate-to-	3	-0.51	0.3	81%	1	-9.10	0 002*	N/A	1	-1.20	0.37	N/A	
vigorous	0	[-1.48, 0.46]				[-14.99, -3.21]	0.002			[-3.82, 1.42]	0.07	1.1/7	
Vigorous	2	-0.57	0.39	77%	3	-2.06	0.65	89%	3	-1.81	0.64	88%	
rigerede	-	[-1.87, 0.73]	0.00		Ũ	[-10.98, 6.87]	0.00	0070	Ũ	[-9.40, 5.78]	0101	0070	
Modality													
Continuous	8	-0.12	0.24	15%	13	-7.53	<0.001*	92%	13	-4.78	<0.001*	98%	
••••••••••	U U	[-0.32, 0.08]	0.2.			[-9.95, -5.10]		0270		[-7.41, -2.16]		00,0	
Resistance	5	-0.65	0.06	66%	5	0.15	0.96	87%	5	-1.42	0.29	84%	
rtoolotanoo	-	[-1.33, 0.02]	0.00		•	[-5.42, 5.72]			Ū.	[-4.08, 1.23]			
Combined	7	-0.59	0.007*	74%	4	-7.28	<0.001*	52%	4	-4.16	0.005*	63%	
•••••••		[-1.01, -0.16]				[-10.14, -4.41]				[-7.03, -1.29]			
Interval	0	Not estimable	N/A	N/A	1	-7.10	<0.001*	N/A	1	-7.80	<0.001*	N/A	
Dentification						[-8.63, -5.57]				[-8.17, -7.43]			
Duration		0.70				7.40				4.04			
< 12 weeks	1	0.79	0.08	N/A	6		<0.001*	42%	6		0.005*	97%	
		[-0.09, 1.66]				[-8.63, -5.57]				[-7.82, -1.39]			
≥ 12 weeks	13	-0.00	<0.001*	47%	13	-6.90	<0.001*	99%	13		0.003*	100%	
		[-0.90, -0.31]				[-9.60, -4.21] 2.80				[-7.35, -1.46] 2.52			
≥ 6 months	6		0.19	38%	4		0.34	86%	4	-2.32 [5 19 0 12]	0.06	84%	
		1-0.33, 0.07				[-0.00, Z.90]				1-0.10, 0.13			

SMD: standardised mean difference; MD: mean difference; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; N/A: not applicable; WC: waist circumference; TG: triglycerides; HDL: high-density lipoprotein; BG: blood glucose; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure.

1 4. Discussion

2 This systematic review and meta-analysis evaluated 40 studies, involving 2,132 participants, 3 producing novel exploration to assess the mediating impact of exercise training duration, 4 modality and intensity on MetS risk factors in post-menopausal women. Studies that evaluated exercise training of ≥8 weeks i post-menopausal women who reported at least one MetS risk 5 6 variable were meta-analysed. Sub-group analyses of exercise intensity, modality and duration 7 were employed to assess the effectiveness of exercise dosing in ameliorating MetS risk. 8 Overall, exercise training was reported to significantly improve MetS risk factors in post-9 menopausal women, with the largest effect prevalent on SBP and DBP and smallest on BG. 10 This review also concluded that long term training significantly benefited MetS risk factors except for TG, and moderate intensity and combined exercise training significantly reduced 11 MetS risk factors, except for HDL. 12

13 It is well understood that regular exercise can be used as a non-pharmacological tool to 14 improve metabolic health. The World Health Organisation (WHO)[74], American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM)[43] and the UK Chief Medical Officers (CMO)[75] recommend at 15 least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity or 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity 16 physical activity per week for healthy adults to maintain or improve health[76,77]. Our findings 17 18 support current guidance, based on the favourable effects of moderate intensity exercise on MetS risk variables except for HDL, with largest effect on WC, SBP and DBP. However, results 19 for vigorous intensity training were inconclusive due to limited studies. Various studies 20 21 conducted have evaluated the benefit of exercise training on MetS and cardiovascular risk 22 parameters in middle-aged adults. A meta-analysis by Ashton et al. found that medium term (7 – 24 weeks) resistance exercise training can be effective in improving cardiometabolic 23 24 health markers in middle-aged adults, specifically in SBP, DBP, HDL, TG and BG[78]. Ashton et al. indicates greater benefit is reported in those with elevated cardiometabolic risk, yet our 25 26 findings present significant benefit in WC and HDL only in post-menopausal women. However,

these inconsistencies could be attributed to population of interest and the limited studies
 evaluating resistance training.

3 Endurance training (any activity that utilises large muscle groups that can be continuously 4 maintained) with supplementation of occasional resistance training is recommended by the ACSM for adults with hypertension[79]. It has been shown that a 10 mmHg reduction in SBP 5 6 is associated with a 20% risk reduction in major CVD events[80]. This meta-analysis evaluated 7 7 studies (17.5%) which included post-menopausal women with clinical hypertension, 8 supporting that exercise modalities of continuous and combined exercise training elicited a 9 large effect on SBP and DBP improvements and supports previous published findings[19]. Similarly, this positive effect was consistent in published literature conducted in both 10 menopausal and post-menopausal women[81]. Interestingly, our results showed significant 11 12 improvements in BP; reductions of 8 mmHg and 6 mmHg for SBP and DBP respectively, even 13 with light-moderate intensity training. Furthermore, we saw benefits in BP with exercise 14 training in just 8 – 10 weeks. This is supported by a meta-analysis that found hypotensive 15 effects with just a single bout of resistance exercise in healthy adults[82]. This further 16 highlights the benefits of exercise in controlling BP in a relatively short duration in post-17 menopausal women, and for those who may find a lower intensity of exercise more tolerable.

18 VAT deposition is known to increase during the menopausal transition due to the decline in 19 oestrogen, which contributes to increased WC and consequently elevates cardiovascular risk[11,83]. Collectively, findings indicate that exercise training show effectiveness in reducing 20 21 WC, with the largest effect particularly with intensities of light-moderate and moderate, 22 modalities of resistance and combined exercise training, and durations of ≥12 weeks. The 23 effects of exercise training dosage on WC or VAT in post-menopausal women are limited and 24 inconclusive across literature. However, findings are further supported by the only other meta-25 analysis conducted in post-menopausal women, showing significant reductions in WC with 26 aerobic exercise training of ≥12 weeks[84]. These findings share similarities with other 27 previous meta-analyses conducted in adults, where they found aerobic exercise of at least 1 moderate intensity[31,85,86] was effective in reducing VAT and WC[87], specifically three times per week for 12 – 16 weeks[86]. It is understood that WC is surrogate marker for VAT 2 3 and cannot depict true representation of VAT reductions within this study, which warrants further research required to ascertain the effects of exercise training on VAT in post-4 5 menopausal women. Nevertheless, VAT as well as subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) are contributors to abdominal obesity which is reflected through WC[88]. The ability for exercise 6 7 to decrease WC are potentially owed to improvements in insulin sensitivity, BG and lipid 8 profiles. Since excess VAT is strongly correlated with impaired glucose and lipid 9 metabolism[89], we theorise to see mediation in these parameters.

10 TG and HDL collectively and independently are known to be associated with CVD risk. Hence, the use of TG to HDL ratio, particularly a ratio >3.5, is used to predict heart disease mortality 11 12 [90]. Additionally, for every 0.26 mmol/L increment in HDL, it has been found to be associated 13 with a 2 – 3% decrease in coronary artery disease risk[91]. We found favourable changes in 14 MetS related blood lipids markers that were most apparent with HDL, and the least with TG. 15 Overall, this is supported and consistent with a review by Wang and Xu, who found HDL 16 sensitivity to aerobic exercise to be higher than that of TG[92]. A meta-analysis by Wood et 17 al. have shown HIIT to be superior to moderate intensity continuous training (MICT) in 18 improving HDL levels[93]. Contrastingly, they found no differences in HIIT nor MICT on the 19 influence of TG. Although there were limited studies included in our meta-analysis for HIIT, 20 our results were dissimilar for the effects of moderate intensity and continuous training on TG and HDL levels. We observed a significant decrease in TG but none in HDL with moderate 21 intensity, of which this was contrasted with continuous training. Moreover, reductions in TG 22 were seen with combined training but not for HDL. It has been proposed through previous 23 studies that exercise duration, intensity and volume positively correlate with exercise-induced 24 25 changes in dyslipidaemia, particularly if reductions in TG are to be achieved[92,94-96]. Interestingly, sub-group analysis for duration contradicts and showed that improvements in 26 these parameters were diminished for exercise training conducted for ≥12 weeks for TG, and 27

≥6 months for HDL. This may be contributed mainly by the high heterogeneity and limited
 studies, resulting in the inconclusion to ascertain the effect of exercise training on these blood
 lipids measures.

Exercise training of moderate intensity and combined training can have small to moderate 4 mediation in glycaemia, reflected also with exercise training durations of ≥ 12 weeks. 5 6 Combined exercise training comprises of resistance exercises which contribute to muscle 7 strength and hypertrophy[97]. Promotion of glucose cell uptake from skeletal muscle during 8 exercise have been proposed to increase insulin sensitivity[98], and this was seen with aerobic 9 exercise of 3 – 4 months in post-menopausal women[84]. However, continuous exercise training did not elicit reductions in BG. Furthermore, caution is required in the interpretation of 10 11 these findings as participants of included studies for this meta-analysis had no declarations of 12 having impaired glucose or insulin resistance. We hypothesise that this modality of exercise 13 training is associated with significant improvements in other MetS parameters and may 14 mediate glycaemic regulation through the prevention of insulin resistance development. 15 Further studies are warranted to elucidate exercise training dosage on insulin sensitivity in 16 post-menopausal women.

17 4.1 Strengths and limitations

This systematic review and meta-analysis contribute novel findings to literature on the 18 19 metabolic benefits of exercise training in post-menopausal women. There are many 20 strengths in this study, which are attributed to the inclusion of RCTs only relevant to the meta-analysis and utilising studies with an "intention-to-treat" approach or with ≥80% 21 22 adherence rate. Sub-group analyses based on exercise training intensities, modalities and 23 duration were also conducted to assess the efficacy of exercise training type on MetS risk 24 variables. However, there are some limitations. Firstly, despite being able to ascertain 25 heterogeneity sources through performing sub-group analyses and meta-regression, there 26 was still a lack of homogeneity across the studies. Participants physical activity status was 27 not included in the meta-regression due to the lack of reporting across numerous studies.

1 Other confounding factors such as diversity in participants' demographics may be a 2 contributing source of heterogeneity. Further work investigating the effects of exercise on 3 MetS risk factors should look to prioritise the influence of participants' characteristics to 4 evaluate the response of exercise on different sub-populations of post-menopausal women. 5 Secondly, due to discrepancies in exercise intervention frequency across the studies, with 6 several studies not fully reporting the frequency, this was therefore not included in the sub-7 analyses. Thirdly, we acknowledge the exclusion of a considerably large body of research 8 that have investigated exercise training in post-menopausal women. Post-menopause 9 occurs after menopause and is defined by the cessation of menstruation for at least 1 year. 10 However, to encapsulate the effects of exercise training in post-menopausal women, we only included studies with specific pre-defined post-menopausal status and excluded studies that 11 12 were ambiguous or did not specify. It was unexpected that this resulted in the loss of a third 13 of eligible studies for inclusion in this meta-analysis (Figure 1). It is crucial to specify parameters for certain cohorts of interest in research to draw conclusive findings for these 14 populations. Lastly, certain outcomes of interests were underreported in numerous studies, 15 16 of which there were no response from contacted authors. To allow future researchers to 17 ascertain the full effects of exercise training in future meta-analyses, we express our concurrence with Hurst et al. and Straight et al. in the standardisation of reportion exercise 18 training protocols[99,100]. This encompass mainly training modality, intensity, volume, 19 frequency, duration, adherence rate and fidelity. Consequently, this present review is 20 underpowered and inconclusive for detection of effects for several sub-groups analyses of 21 22 interest. Therefore, future work that continues to develop precise exercise doses in the prevention or amelioration of MetS risk factors across different populations of post-23 24 menopausal women is warranted.

25 5. Conclusion

Physical inactivity and sedentary activity are precursors to metabolic dysfunction that can
progress into a plethora of cardiometabolic conditions. The menopausal transition in women

1 results in hormonal imbalances that can further exacerbate these metabolic risks. There is no 2 "one-size fits all" approach; however this review reinforces the importance of regular physical 3 activity as a non-pharmacological tool in the improvement of MetS risk parameters within post-4 menopausal women, with significant improvements seen in interventions spanning 8 - 10 5 weeks. Our novel findings further extend the evidence of moderate intensity and combined 6 training in significantly benefitting abdominal obesity, dyslipidaemia, dysglycaemia and 7 hypertension in post-menopausal women. This review demonstrates that other modalities and 8 intensities can elicit benefits in at least one aspect of metabolic risk and should not be 9 overlooked. Due to disparities within technical and publication methodologies, there was 10 insufficient data to determine if this effect was a result of total exercise dose or independent factors. We hypothesise that benefits with light-moderate and combined training are prevalent 11 12 because they are sustainable methods for delivering exercise in post-menopausal women. 13 Giving the nature of studies included, findings from this study should be interpreted with caution as the generalisability of these results do not encompass the wider population of post-14 menopausal women who are elderly or have chronic conditions. Further work is needed to 15 investigate non-pharmacological therapeutic interventions within these population groups. 16

17 **DECLARATIONS**

Authorship contributions. A.T and R.C performed database searches, screening and assessment quality of studies. A.T extracted data and performed statistical analyses. A.T, R.T and R.C interpreted the data from the result. All authors (A.T, R.T, M.S, S.P, R.B, R.C) reviewed and contributed to the drafting of the final version of the manuscript. All authors (A.T, R.T, M.S, S.P, R.B, R.C) read and approved on the final version of the manuscript.

23 **Funding.** No funding was used to support this manuscript.

24 **Conflicts of interest.** There are no conflicts of interest.

25

1 References

2 1. Tune JD, Goodwill AG, Sassoon DJ, Mather KJ. Cardiovascular Consequences of

3 Metabolic Syndrome. Transl Res [Internet]. NIH Public Access; 2017 [cited 2022 Jun

4 13];183:57. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC5393930/

5 2. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, et al. Diagnosis

6 and management of the metabolic syndrome: An American Heart Association/National

7 Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute scientific statement. Circulation. 2005.

8 3. Mottillo S, Filion KB, Genest J, Joseph L, Pilote L, Poirier P, et al. The Metabolic

9 Syndrome and Cardiovascular Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Am Coll

- 10 Cardiol. Elsevier; 2010;56:1113–32.
- 4. Hildrum B, Mykletun A, Hole T, Midthjell K, Dahl AA. Age-specific prevalence of the

12 metabolic syndrome defined by the International Diabetes Federation and the National

13 Cholesterol Education Program: The Norwegian HUNT 2 study. BMC Public Health. 2007;

14 5. Torréns JI, Sutton-Tyrrell K, Zhao X, Matthews K, Brockwell S, Sowers MF, et al. Relative

15 androgen excess during the menopausal transition predicts incident metabolic syndrome in

16 midlife women: study of Women's Health Across the Nation. Menopause [Internet].

17 Menopause; 2009 [cited 2022 Aug 18];16:257–64. Available from:

18 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18971793/

19 6. Gold EB. The Timing of the Age at Which Natural Menopause Occurs. Obstet Gynecol

20 Clin North Am [Internet]. NIH Public Access; 2011 [cited 2022 Sep 1];38:425. Available from:

- 21 /pmc/articles/PMC3285482/
- 22 7. Ceylan B, Özerdoğan N. Factors affecting age of onset of menopause and determination
- 23 of quality of life in menopause. Turkish J Obstet Gynecol [Internet]. Turkish Society of

24 Obstetrics and Gynecology; 2015 [cited 2022 Sep 1];12:43. Available from:

25 /pmc/articles/PMC5558404/

1 8. Davis SR, Lambrinoudaki I, Lumsden M, Mishra GD, Pal L, Rees M, et al. Menopause.

2 Nat Rev Dis Prim 2015 11 [Internet]. Nature Publishing Group; 2015 [cited 2022 Sep 1];1:1-

3 19. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/nrdp20154

4 9. Karvinen S, Jergenson MJ, Hyvärinen M, Aukee P, Tammelin T, Sipilä S, et al.

5 Menopausal status and physical activity are independently associated with cardiovascular

6 risk factors of healthy middle-aged women: Cross-sectional and longitudinal evidence. Front

7 Endocrinol (Lausanne). Frontiers Media S.A.; 2019;10:589.

8 10. Otsuki M, Kasayama S, Morita S, Asanuma N, Saito H, Mukai M, et al. Menopause, but

9 not age, is an independent risk factor for fasting plasma glucose levels in nondiabetic

10 women. Menopause [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2022 Jun 13];14:404–7. Available from:

11 https://journals.lww.com/menopausejournal/Fulltext/2007/14030/Menopause,_but_not_age,_

12 is_an_independent_risk.15.aspx

13 11. Lovejoy JC, Champagne CM, De Jonge L, Xie H, Smith SR. Increased visceral fat and

14 decreased energy expenditure during the menopausal transition. Int J Obes. 2008;

15 12. Rosano GMC, Vitale C, Marazzi G, Volterrani M. Menopause and cardiovascular

disease: the evidence. http://dx.doi.org/101080/13697130601114917 [Internet]. Taylor &

17 Francis; 2009 [cited 2022 Aug 18];10:19–24. Available from:

18 https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13697130601114917

19 13. Moreau KL, Hildreth KL. Vascular Aging across the Menopause Transition in Healthy

- 20 Women. 2014 [cited 2022 Aug 18]; Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/204390
- 21 14. Ra JS, Kim H. Combined Effects of Unhealthy Lifestyle Behaviors on Metabolic

22 Syndrome among Postmenopausal Women. Healthc (Basel, Switzerland) [Internet].

Healthcare (Basel); 2021 [cited 2022 Jun 23];9. Available from:

24 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34356226/

15. Chang YJ, Bellettiere J, Godbole S, Keshavarz S, Maestas JP, Unkart JT, et al. Total

1	Sitting Time and Sitting Pattern in Postmenopausal Women Differ by Hispanic Ethnicity and
2	are Associated With Cardiometabolic Risk Biomarkers. J Am Heart Assoc [Internet].
3	American Heart Association Inc.; 2020 [cited 2022 Jun 23];9. Available from:
4	https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/JAHA.119.013403
5	16. Remie CME, Janssens GE, Bilet L, van Weeghel M, Duvivier BMFM, de Wit VHW, et al.
6	Sitting less elicits metabolic responses similar to exercise and enhances insulin sensitivity in
7	postmenopausal women. Diabetologia [Internet]. Diabetologia; 2021 [cited 2022 Sep
8	14];64:2817–28. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34510226/
9	17. Swift DL, Earnest CP, Katzmarzyk PT, Rankinen T, Blair SN, Church TS. The effect of
10	different doses of aerobic exercise training on exercise blood pressure in overweight and
11	obese postmenopausal women. Menopause. 2012;19:503–9.
12	18. Kim JW, Kim DY. Effects of aerobic exercise training on serum sex hormone binding
13	globulin, body fat index, and metabolic syndrome factors in obese postmenopausal women.
14	Metab Syndr Relat Disord [Internet]. Metab Syndr Relat Disord; 2012 [cited 2022 Sep
15	14];10:452–7. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22989086/
16	19. Xi H, He Y, Niu Y, Sui X, Zhang J, Zhu R, et al. Effect of combined aerobic and
17	resistance exercise on blood pressure in postmenopausal women: A systematic review and
18	meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Exp Gerontol [Internet]. Exp Gerontol; 2021
19	[cited 2022 Jun 29];155. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34560198/
20	20. Lin YY, Lee S Da. Cardiovascular Benefits of Exercise Training in Postmenopausal
21	Hypertension. Int J Mol Sci 2018, Vol 19, Page 2523 [Internet]. Multidisciplinary Digital
22	Publishing Institute; 2018 [cited 2022 Aug 18];19:2523. Available from:
23	https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/19/9/2523/htm
24	21. Biteli P, Barbalho SM, Detregiachi CRP, dos Santos Haber JF, Chagas EFB.
25	Dyslipidemia influences the effect of physical exercise on inflammatory markers on obese

26 women in post-menopause: A randomized clinical trial. Exp Gerontol [Internet]. Exp

- 1 Gerontol; 2021 [cited 2022 Jun 29];150. Available from:
- 2 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33865923/

22. Chagas EFB, Bonfim MR, Turi BC, Brondino NCM, Monteiro HL. Effect of ModerateIntensity Exercise on Inflammatory Markers Among Postmenopausal Women. J Phys Act
Health [Internet]. J Phys Act Health; 2017 [cited 2022 Jun 29];14:479–85. Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28253046/

23. Khalafi M, Malandish A, Rosenkranz SK. The impact of exercise training on inflammatory
markers in postmenopausal women: A systemic review and meta-analysis. Exp. Gerontol.
2021.

10 24. Nunes PRP, Barcelos LC, Oliveira AA, Furlanetto Júnior R, Martins FM, Orsatti CL, et al.

11 Effect of resistance training on muscular strength and indicators of abdominal adiposity,

12 metabolic risk, and inflammation in postmenopausal women: controlled and randomized

13 clinical trial of efficacy of training volume. Age (Dordr) [Internet]. Age (Dordr); 2016 [cited

14 2022 Sep 13];38. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26984105/

15 25. Fedewa M V., Hathaway ED, Ward-Ritacco CL. Effect of exercise training on C reactive

16 protein: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised and non-randomised

17 controlled trials. Br J Sports Med [Internet]. BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and British

18 Association of Sport and Exercise Medicine; 2017 [cited 2022 Jun 29];51:670–6. Available

19 from: https://bjsm.bmj.com/content/51/8/670

20 26. Azadpour N, Tartibian B, Koşar ŞN. Effects of aerobic exercise training on ACE and

ADRB2 gene expression, plasma angiotensin II level, and flow-mediated dilation: a study on

22 obese postmenopausal women with prehypertension. Menopause [Internet]. Menopause;

23 2017 [cited 2022 Jun 29];24:269–77. Available from:

24 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28231078/

25 27. Swift DL, Earnest CP, Blair SN, Church TS. The effect of different doses of aerobic

26 exercise training on endothelial function in postmenopausal women with elevated blood

1 pressure: results from the DREW study. Br J Sport Med [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2022 Jun

2 29];46:753–8. Available from: http://bjsm.bmj.com/

3 28. Jaime SJ, Maharaj A, Alvarez-Alvarado S, Figueroa A. Impact of low-intensity resistance 4 and whole-body vibration training on aortic hemodynamics and vascular function in postmenopausal women. Hypertens Res [Internet]. Hypertens Res; 2019 [cited 2022 Jun 5 29];42:1979–88. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31515507/ 6 7 29. Friedenreich CM, Woolcott CG, McTiernan A, Terry T, Brant R, Ballard-Barbash R, et al. 8 Adiposity changes after a 1-year aerobic exercise intervention among postmenopausal 9 women: a randomized controlled trial. Int J Obes (Lond) [Internet]. Int J Obes (Lond); 2011 10 [cited 2022 Jun 29];35:427-35. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20820172/ 11 30. Irwin ML, Yasui Y, Ulrich CM, Bowen D, Rudolph RE, Schwartz RS, et al. Effect of 12 exercise on total and intra-abdominal body fat in postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA [Internet]. JAMA; 2003 [cited 2022 Jun 29];289:323–30. Available 13 14 from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12525233/ 15 31. Ismail I, Keating SE, Baker MK, Johnson NA. A systematic review and meta-analysis of

the effect of aerobic vs. resistance exercise training on visceral fat. Obes Rev. 2012;13:68–
91.

18 32. Son WM, Park JJ. Resistance Band Exercise Training Prevents the Progression of

19 Metabolic Syndrome in Obese Postmenopausal Women. J Sports Sci Med [Internet]. Dept.

of Sports Medicine, Medical Faculty of Uludag University; 2021 [cited 2022 Sep 14];20:291.

21 Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC8219266/

33. Bueno-Notivol J, Calvo-Latorre J, Alonso-Ventura V, Pasupuleti V, Hernandez A V.,

23 Pérez-López FR. Effect of programmed exercise on insulin sensitivity in postmenopausal

24 women: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Menopause.

Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2017;24:1404–13.

1 34. Kodama S, Tanaka S, Saito K, Shu M, Sone Y, Onitake F, et al. Effect of aerobic

2 exercise training on serum levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol: a meta-analysis.

3 Arch Intern Med [Internet]. Arch Intern Med; 2007 [cited 2022 Jun 28];167:999–1008.

4 Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17533202/

5 35. Arsenault BJ, Côté M, Cartier A, Lemieux I, Després JP, Ross R, et al. Effect of exercise

6 training on cardiometabolic risk markers among sedentary, but metabolically healthy

7 overweight or obese post-menopausal women with elevated blood pressure.

8 Atherosclerosis. Elsevier Ireland Ltd; 2009;207:530–3.

9 36. Church TS, Earnest CP, Skinner JS, Blair SN. Effects of different doses of physical

10 activity on cardiorespiratory fitness among sedentary, overweight or obese postmenopausal

11 women with elevated blood pressure: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA [Internet]. JAMA;

12 2007 [cited 2022 Jun 29];297:2081–91. Available from:

13 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17507344/

14 37. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JPA, et al. The

15 PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that

16 evaluate health care interventions: Explanation and elaboration. PLoS Med. 2009.

17 38. Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, Elbers RG, Blencowe NS, Boutron I, et al. RoB 2: A

18 revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2019;

19 39. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al. Obtaining

20 standard deviations from standard errors. Cochrane Handb Syst Rev Interv Version 510

21 [updated March 2011]. 2011;

40. Adams-Campbell LL, Taylor T, Hicks J, Lu J, Dash C. The Effect of a 6-Month Exercise

23 Intervention Trial on Allostatic Load in Black Women at Increased Risk for Breast Cancer:

24 the FIERCE Study. J RACIAL Ethn Heal DISPARITIES [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Jul 7];

25 Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40615-021-01145-x

1 41. Cohen J. Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences. Stat Power Anal Behav

2 Sci [Internet]. Routledge; 2013 [cited 2022 Jul 7]; Available from:

- 3 https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9780203771587/statistical-power-
- 4 analysis-behavioral-sciences-jacob-cohen
- 5 42. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple,
- 6 graphical test. BMJ Br Med J [Internet]. BMJ Publishing Group; 1997 [cited 2022 Jul
- 7 19];315:629. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC2127453/?report=abstract
- 8 43. American College of Sports Medicine. ACSM Guidelines for Exercise Testing and
- 9 Prescription 10th Edition. Am. Coll. Sport. Med. 2014.
- 10 44. Bergström I, Lombardo C, Brinck J. Physical training decreases waist circumference in
- 11 postmenopausal borderline overweight women. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand [Internet]. Acta
- 12 Obstet Gynecol Scand; 2009 [cited 2022 Sep 13];88:308–13. Available from:
- 13 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19165639/
- 14 45. Colado JC, Triplett NT, Tella V, Saucedo P, Abellán J. Effects of aquatic resistance
- 15 training on health and fitness in postmenopausal women. Eur J Appl Physiol [Internet]. Eur J
- 16 Appl Physiol; 2009 [cited 2022 Sep 13];106:113–22. Available from:
- 17 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19205723/
- 18 46. Conceição MS, Bonganha V, Vechin FC, Berton RP de B, Lixandrão ME, Nogueira FRD,
- 19 et al. Sixteen weeks of resistance training can decrease the risk of metabolic syndrome in
- 20 healthy postmenopausal women. Clin Interv Aging [Internet]. Clin Interv Aging; 2013 [cited
- 21 2022 Sep 13];8:1221–8. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24072967/
- 47. Dalleck LC, Allen BA, Hanson BA, Borresen EC, Erickson ME, De Lap SL. Dose-
- 23 response relationship between moderate-intensity exercise duration and coronary heart
- 24 disease risk factors in postmenopausal women. J Womens Health (Larchmt) [Internet].
- 25 2009;18:105-113. Available from: internal-pdf://166.186.241.220/jwh.2008.0790.pdf

1	48. Figueroa A, Park SY, Seo DY, Sanchez-Gonzalez MA, Baek YH. Combined resistance
2	and endurance exercise training improves arterial stiffness, blood pressure, and muscle
3	strength in postmenopausal women. Menopause [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2022 Sep
4	13];18:980–4. Available from:
5	https://journals.lww.com/menopausejournal/Fulltext/2011/09000/Combined_resistance_and_
6	endurance_exercise.11.aspx
7	49. Frank LL, Sorensen BE, Yasui Y, Tworoger SS, Schwartz RS, Ulrich CM, et al. Effects of
8	exercise on metabolic risk variables in overweight postmenopausal women: a randomized
9	clinical trial. Obes Res [Internet]. 2005;13:615-625. Available from: internal-
10	pdf://238.55.222.176/oby.2005.66.pdf
11	50. Gómez-Tomás C, Chulvi-Medrano I, José Carrasco J, Alakhdar Y. Effect of a 1-year
12	elastic band resistance exercise program on cardiovascular risk profile in postmenopausal
13	women. Menopause [Internet]. Menopause; 2018 [cited 2022 Sep 14];25:1004-10. Available
14	from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29787478/
15	51. Hettchen M, von Stengel S, Kohl M, Murphy MH, Shojaa M, Ghasemikaram M, et al.
16	Changes in Menopausal Risk Factors in Early Postmenopausal Osteopenic Women After 13
17	Months of High-Intensity Exercise: The Randomized Controlled ACTLIFE-RCT. Clin Interv

- Aging [Internet]. Clin Interv Aging; 2021 [cited 2022 Sep 14];16:83–96. Available from:
- 19 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33469276/

20 52. Keyhani D, Tartibian B, Dabiri A, Teixeira AMB. Effect of High-Intensity Interval Training

- 21 Versus Moderate-Intensity Aerobic Continuous Training on Galectin-3 Gene Expression in
- 22 Postmenopausal Women: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Aging Phys Act [Internet]. J
- 23 Aging Phys Act; 2020 [cited 2022 Sep 14];28:987–95. Available from:
- 24 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32679568/

53. Latosik E, Zubrzycki IZ, Ossowski Z, Bojke O, Clarke A, Wiacek M, et al. Physiological

26 Responses Associated with Nordic-walking training in Systolic Hypertensive

- 1 Postmenopausal Women. J Hum Kinet [Internet]. De Gruyter; 2014 [cited 2022 Sep
- 2 14];43:185. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC4332179/
- 3 54. Lee JA, Kim JW, Kim DY. Effects of yoga exercise on serum adiponectin and metabolic
- 4 syndrome factors in obese postmenopausal women. Menopause [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2022
- 5 Sep 14];19:296–301. Available from:
- 6 https://journals.lww.com/menopausejournal/Fulltext/2012/03000/Effects_of_yoga_exercise_o
- 7 n_serum_adiponectin_and.10.aspx
- 55. Lee YK, Cho SY, Roh HT. Effects of 16 Weeks of Taekwondo Training on the Cerebral
- 9 Blood Flow Velocity, Circulating Neurotransmitters, and Subjective Well-Being of Obese
- 10 Postmenopausal Women. Int J Environ Res Public Health [Internet]. Multidisciplinary Digital
- 11 Publishing Institute (MDPI); 2021 [cited 2022 Sep 14];18. Available from:
- 12 /pmc/articles/PMC8535195/
- 13 56. Lesser IA, Singer J, Hoogbruin A, Mackey DC, Katzmarzyk PT, Sohal P, et al.
- 14 Effectiveness of Exercise on Visceral Adipose Tissue in Older South Asian Women. Med Sci
- 15 Sports Exerc [Internet]. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2016 [cited 2022 Sep 14];48:1371–
- 16 8. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/acsm-
- 17 msse/Fulltext/2016/07000/Effectiveness_of_Exercise_on_Visceral_Adipose.19.aspx
- 18 57. Libardi CA, Bonganha V, Conceição MS, De Souza GV, Bernardes CF, Secolin R, et al.
- 19 The periodized resistance training promotes similar changes in lipid profile in middle-aged
- 20 men and women. J Sports Med Phys Fitness [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2022 Sep 14];52:286–92.
- 21 Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/search/
- 58. Marcus RL, Lastayo PC, Dibble LE, Hill L, McClain DA. Increased strength and physical
- 23 performance with eccentric training in women with impaired glucose tolerance: a pilot study.
- J Womens Health (Larchmt) [Internet]. J Womens Health (Larchmt); 2009 [cited 2022 Sep
- 25 14];18:253–60. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19183097/
- 59. Miyaki A, Maeda S, Choi Y, Akazawa N, Tanabe Y, Ajisaka R. Habitual aerobic exercise

1 increases plasma pentraxin 3 levels in middle-aged and elderly women.

2 https://doi.org/101139/h2012-069 [Internet]. NRC Research Press ; 2012 [cited 2022 Sep

3 14];37:907–11. Available from: https://cdnsciencepub.com/doi/10.1139/h2012-069

4 60. Moreau KL, Degarmo R, Langley J, McMahon C, Howley ET, Bassett J, et al. Increasing

5 daily walking lowers blood pressure in postmenopausal women. Med Sci Sports Exerc

6 [Internet]. Med Sci Sports Exerc; 2001 [cited 2022 Sep 14];33:1825–31. Available from:

7 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11689731/

8 61. Neves LM, Fortaleza AC, Rossi FE, Diniz TA, Codogno JS, Gobbo LA, et al. Functional

9 training reduces body fat and improves functional fitness and cholesterol levels in

10 postmenopausal women: a randomized clinical trial. J Sports Med Phys Fitness [Internet]. J

11 Sports Med Phys Fitness; 2017 [cited 2022 Sep 14];57:448–56. Available from:

12 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26684437/

13 62. Rezende Barbosa MPC, Vanderlei LCM, Neves LM, Takahashi C, Torquato PRS, Silva

14 AKF, et al. Functional training in postmenopause: Cardiac autonomic modulation and

15 cardiorespiratory parameters, a randomized trial. Geriatr Gerontol Int [Internet]. John Wiley &

16 Sons, Ltd; 2019 [cited 2022 Sep 14];19:823–8. Available from:

17 https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/ggi.13690

18 63. Sénéchal M, Bouchard DR, Dionne IJ, Brochu M. The effects of lifestyle interventions in

19 dynapenic-obese postmenopausal women. Menopause [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2022 Sep

20 14];19:1015–21. Available from:

https://journals.lww.com/menopausejournal/Fulltext/2012/09000/The_effects_of_lifestyle_int
erventions_in.13.aspx

23 64. Seo D II, Jun TW, Park KS, Chang H, So WY, Song W. 12 Weeks of Combined Exercise

24 Is Better Than Aerobic Exercise for Increasing Growth Hormone in Middle-Aged Women. Int

J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab [Internet]. Human Kinetics, Inc.; 2010 [cited 2022 Sep 14];20:21–6.

Available from: https://journals.humankinetics.com/view/journals/ijsnem/20/1/article-p21.xml

- 1 65. Son WM, Sung KD, Cho JM, Park SY. Combined exercise reduces arterial stiffness,
- 2 blood pressure, and blood markers for cardiovascular risk in Postmenopausal women with
- 3 hypertension. Menopause [Internet]. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2017 [cited 2022 Sep

4 14];24:262–8. Available from:

- 5 https://journals.lww.com/menopausejournal/Fulltext/2017/03000/Combined_exercise_reduce
- 6 s_arterial_stiffness,.6.aspx
- 7 66. Staffileno BA, Braun LT, Rosenson RS. The Accumulative Effects of Physical Activity in
- 8 Hypertensive Post-Menopausal Women. J Cardiovasc Risk [Internet]. Oxford Academic;
- 9 2001 [cited 2022 Sep 14];8:283–90. Available from:
- 10 https://academic.oup.com/eurjpc/article/8/5/283/5933976
- 11 67. Trabka B, Zubrzycki IZ, Ossowski Z, Bojke O, Clarke A, Wiacek M, et al. Effect of a
- 12 MAST Exercise Program on Anthropometric Parameters, Physical Fitness, and Serum Lipid
- 13 Levels in Obese Postmenopausal Women. J Hum Kinet [Internet]. De Gruyter; 2014 [cited
- 14 2022 Sep 14];42:149. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC4234753/
- 15 68. Van Gemert WA, Monninkhof EM, May AM, Peeters PH, Schuit AJ. Effect of exercise on
- 16 insulin sensitivity in healthy postmenopausal women: the SHAPE study. Cancer Epidemiol
- 17 Biomarkers Prev [Internet]. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev; 2015 [cited 2022 Sep
- 18 14];24:81–7. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25342388/
- 19 69. Ward LJ, Hammar M, Lindh-Åstrand L, Berin E, Lindblom H, Rubér M, et al. Does
- 20 resistance training have an effect on levels of ferritin and atherogenic lipids in
- 21 postmenopausal women? A pilot trial. Sci Reports 2020 101 [Internet]. Nature Publishing
- 22 Group; 2020 [cited 2022 Sep 14];10:1–8. Available from:
- 23 https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-020-60759-z
- 24 70. Wong A, Figueroa A, Son WM, Chernykh O, Park SY. The effects of stair climbing on
- arterial stiffness, blood pressure, and leg strength in postmenopausal women with stage 2
- hypertension. Menopause [Internet]. Menopause; 2018 [cited 2022 Jul 4];25:731–7.

1 Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29438269/

2 71. Wong A, Kwak YS, Scott SD, Pekas EJ, Son WM, Kim JS, et al. The effects of swimming 3 training on arterial function, muscular strength, and cardiorespiratory capacity in 4 postmenopausal women with stage 2 hypertension. Menopause [Internet]. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2019 [cited 2022 Sep 14];26:653-8. Available from: 5 6 https://journals.lww.com/menopausejournal/Fulltext/2019/06000/The_effects_of_swimming_t 7 raining on arterial.13.aspx 8 72. Wooten JS, Phillips MD, Mitchell JB, Patrizi R, Pleasant RN, Hein RM, et al. Resistance 9 Exercise and Lipoproteins in Postmenopausal Women. Int J Sports Med [Internet]. NIH 10 Public Access; 2011 [cited 2022 Sep 14];32:7. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC3354704/ 11 73. Akwa LG, Moses MO, Emikpe AO, Baffour-Awuah B, Asamoah B, Addai-Mensah O, et 12 al. Lipid profile, cardiorespiratory function and quality of life of postmenopausal women improves with aerobic exercise. J Hum Sport Exerc [Internet]. University of Alicante; 2017 13 [cited 2022 Sep 13];12:698-709. Available from: https://www.jhse.ua.es/article/view/2017-14 15 v12-n3-lipid-profile-cardiorespiratory-function-quality-life-postmenopausal-women-aerobic-16 exercise/remote 17 74. World Health Organization (WHO). Global recommendations on physical activity for health. Geneva World Heal Organ. 2010; 18 19 75. Davies DSC, Atherton F, McBride M, Calderwood C. UK Chief Medical Officers' Physical 20 Activity Guidelines. Dep Heal Soc Care. 2019; 21 76. Norton K, Norton L, Sadgrove D. Position statement on physical activity and exercise

- 22 intensity terminology. J. Sci. Med. Sport. 2010.
- 23 77. Borg GAV. Psychophysical bases of perceived exertion. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1982;
- 24 78. Ashton RE, Tew GA, Aning JJ, Gilbert SE, Lewis L, Saxton JM. Effects of short-term,
- 25 medium-term and long-term resistance exercise training on cardiometabolic health outcomes

1 in adults: systematic review with meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med [Internet]. BMJ Publishing

2 Group Ltd and British Association of Sport and Exercise Medicine; 2020 [cited 2022 Jun

3 28];54:341–8. Available from: https://bjsm.bmj.com/content/54/6/341

- 4 79. Pescatello, Linda S.; Franklin, Barry A.; Fagard, Robert; Farquhar, William B.; Kelley,
- 5 George A.; Ray CA. Exercise and Hypertension. Med Sci Sports Exerc [Internet]. American
- 6 College of Sports Medicine; 2004 [cited 2022 Aug 18];36:533–53. Available from:
- 7 https://journals.lww.com/acsm-
- 8 msse/Fulltext/2004/03000/Exercise_and_Hypertension.25.aspx
- 9 80. Ettehad D, Emdin CA, Kiran A, Anderson SG, Callender T, Emberson J, et al. Blood
- 10 pressure lowering for prevention of cardiovascular disease and death: A systematic review
- 11 and meta-analysis. Lancet [Internet]. Lancet Publishing Group; 2016 [cited 2022 Sep
- 12 12];387:957–67. Available from:
- 13 http://www.thelancet.com/article/S0140673615012258/fulltext
- 14 81. Loaiza-Betancur AF, Chulvi-Medrano I, Díaz-López VA, Gómez-Tomás C. The effect of
- 15 exercise training on blood pressure in menopause and postmenopausal women: A
- systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Maturitas. Elsevier; 2021;149:40–55.
- 17 82. Casonatto J, Goessler KF, Cornelissen VA, Cardoso JR, Polito MD. The blood pressure-
- 18 lowering effect of a single bout of resistance exercise: A systematic review and meta-
- 19 analysis of randomised controlled trials. Eur J Prev Cardiol [Internet]. Oxford Academic;
- 20 2016 [cited 2022 Aug 24];23:1700–14. Available from:
- 21 https://academic.oup.com/eurjpc/article/23/16/1700/5927938
- 83. Samargandy S, Matthews KA, Brooks MM, Barinas-Mitchell E, Magnani JW, Janssen I,
- 23 et al. Abdominal visceral adipose tissue over the menopause transition and carotid
- 24 atherosclerosis: the SWAN heart study. Menopause [Internet]. Menopause; 2021 [cited 2022
- Aug 24];28:626–33. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33651741/
- 26 84. Bueno-Notivol J, Calvo-Latorre J, Alonso-Ventura V, Pasupuleti V, Hernandez A V.,

1 Pérez-López FR. Effect of programmed exercise on insulin sensitivity in postmenopausal

2 women: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Menopause

3 [Internet]. Menopause; 2017 [cited 2022 Jun 29];24:1404–13. Available from:

4 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28654627/

5 85. Vissers D, Hens W, Taeymans J, Baeyens JP, Poortmans J, Van Gaal L. The Effect of

6 Exercise on Visceral Adipose Tissue in Overweight Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-

7 Analysis. PLoS One [Internet]. Public Library of Science; 2013 [cited 2022 Jun 28];8:e56415.

8 Available from: https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0056415

9 86. Chang YH, Yang HY, Shun SC. Effect of exercise intervention dosage on reducing

10 visceral adipose tissue: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized

11 controlled trials. Int J Obes. Springer Nature; 2021;45:982–97.

12 87. Lemes ÍR, Turi-Lynch BC, Cavero-Redondo I, Linares SN, Monteiro HL. Aerobic training

13 reduces blood pressure and waist circumference and increases HDL-c in metabolic

14 syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Am Soc

15 Hypertens. Elsevier Ireland Ltd; 2018;12:580–8.

16 88. Kuk JL, Lee SJ, Heymsfield SB, Ross R. Waist circumference and abdominal adipose

17 tissue distribution: influence of age and sex. Am J Clin Nutr [Internet]. Am J Clin Nutr; 2005

18 [cited 2022 Aug 24];81:1330–4. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15941883/

19 89. Shuster A, Patlas M, Pinthus JH, Mourtzakis M. The clinical importance of visceral

20 adiposity: a critical review of methods for visceral adipose tissue analysis.

21 http://dx.doi.org/101259/bjr/38447238 [Internet]. The British Institute of Radiology. 36

22 Portland Place, London, W1B 1AT; 2014 [cited 2022 Aug 24];85:1–10. Available from:

23 https://www.birpublications.org/doi/10.1259/bjr/38447238

24 90. Zhan Y, Yu J, Ding R, Sun Y, Hu D. Triglyceride to high density lipoprotein cholesterol

25 ratio, total cholesterol to high density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio and low ankle brachial

index in an elderly population. Vasa [Internet]. Vasa; 2014 [cited 2022 Sep 12];43:189–97.

1 Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24797050/

2 91. Gordon DJ, Probstfield JL, Garrison RJ, Neaton JD, Castelli WP, Knoke JD, et al. High-

3 density lipoprotein cholesterol and cardiovascular disease. Four prospective American

- 4 studies. Circulation [Internet]. Circulation; 1989 [cited 2022 Sep 12];79:8–15. Available from:
- 5 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2642759/
- 6 92. Wang Y, Xu D. Effects of aerobic exercise on lipids and lipoproteins. Lipids Health Dis
- 7 [Internet]. BioMed Central; 2017 [cited 2022 Aug 25];16. Available from:
- 8 /pmc/articles/PMC5498979/
- 9 93. Wood G, Murrell A, Van Der Touw T, Smart N. HIIT is not superior to MICT in altering
- 10 blood lipids: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med [Internet].
- 11 BMJ Specialist Journals; 2019 [cited 2022 Aug 24];5:e000647. Available from:
- 12 https://bmjopensem.bmj.com/content/5/1/e000647
- 13 94. Dunn AL, Marcus BH, Kampert JB, Garcia ME, Kohl HW, Blair SN. Reduction in
- 14 cardiovascular disease risk factors: 6-month results from Project Active. Prev Med (Baltim)
- 15 [Internet]. Prev Med; 1997 [cited 2022 Aug 25];26:883–92. Available from:
- 16 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9388801/
- 17 95. Kraus WE, Houmard JA, Duscha BD, Knetzger KJ, Wharton MB, McCartney JS, et al.
- 18 Effects of the amount and intensity of exercise on plasma lipoproteins. N Engl J Med
- 19 [Internet]. N Engl J Med; 2002 [cited 2022 Aug 25];347:1483–92. Available from:
- 20 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12421890/
- 21 96. O'Donovan G, Owen A, Bird SR, Kearney EM, Nevill AM, Jones DW, et al. Changes in
- 22 cardiorespiratory fitness and coronary heart disease risk factors following 24 wk of
- 23 moderate- or high-intensity exercise of equal energy cost. J Appl Physiol [Internet]. J Appl
- 24 Physiol (1985); 2005 [cited 2022 Aug 25];98:1619–25. Available from:
- 25 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15640382/

1 97. Krzysztofik M, Wilk M, Wojdała G, Gołaś A. Maximizing Muscle Hypertrophy: A

2 Systematic Review of Advanced Resistance Training Techniques and Methods. Int J Environ

3 Res Public Health [Internet]. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI); 2019 [cited

4 2022 Aug 25];16. Available from: /pmc/articles/PMC6950543/

5 98. Sylow L, Kleinert M, Richter EA, Jensen TE. Exercise-stimulated glucose uptake —

6 regulation and implications for glycaemic control. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2016 133 [Internet].

7 Nature Publishing Group; 2016 [cited 2022 Aug 25];13:133–48. Available from:

8 https://www.nature.com/articles/nrendo.2016.162

9 99. Hurst C, Weston KL, McLaren SJ, Weston M. The effects of same-session combined

10 exercise training on cardiorespiratory and functional fitness in older adults: a systematic

11 review and meta-analysis. Aging Clin Exp Res 2019 3112 [Internet]. Springer; 2019 [cited

12 2022 Aug 22];31:1701–17. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40520-

13 019-01124-7

14 100. Straight CR, Lindheimer JB, Brady AO, Dishman RK, Evans EM. Effects of Resistance

15 Training on Lower-Extremity Muscle Power in Middle-Aged and Older Adults: A Systematic

16 Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Sport Med [Internet]. Springer

17 International Publishing; 2016 [cited 2022 Aug 22];46:353–64. Available from:

18 https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s40279-015-0418-4