



Monaldi Archives for Chest Disease

eISSN 2532-5264

<https://www.monaldi-archives.org/>

Publisher's Disclaimer. E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. The **Early Access** service lets users access peer-reviewed articles well before print / regular issue publication, significantly reducing the time it takes for critical findings to reach the research community.

These articles are searchable and citable by their DOI (Digital Object Identifier).

The **Monaldi Archives for Chest Disease** is, therefore, e-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that undergone a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication, but have not been through the typesetting, pagination and proofreading processes, which may lead to differences between this version and the final one.

The final version of the manuscript will then appear on a regular issue of the journal.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

Monaldi Arch Chest Dis 2023 [Online ahead of print]

To cite this Article:

Amore D, Caterino U, Casazza D, et al. **Persistent alveolar air leak following pulmonary lobectomy: an old problem in a modern era.** *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis* doi: 10.4081/monaldi.2023.2474

 ©The Author(s), 2023
Licensee [PAGEPress](https://www.pagepress.org/), Italy

Note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



Persistent alveolar air leak following pulmonary lobectomy: an old problem in a modern era

Dario Amore¹, Umberto Caterino², Dino Casazza¹, Riccardo Ievoli³, Pasquale Imitazione²,
Alessandro Saglia², Alessandro Izzo⁴, Marco Rispoli⁵, Carlo Curcio¹

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Monaldi Hospital, Naples, Italy

²Department of Respiratory Diseases, Monaldi Hospital, Naples, Italy

³Department of Chemical, Pharmaceutical and Agricultural Sciences, University of Ferrara, Italy

⁴Department of Respiratory Diseases, San Giuseppe Moscati Hospital, Avellino, Italy

⁵Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Monaldi Hospital, Naples, Italy

Corresponding author: Dario Amore, Monaldi Hospital, 1 Leonardo Bianchi St, 80131 Naples, Italy. e-mail: darioamoremd@gmail.com

Acknowledgements: none

Authors' Contributions: D. Amore, U. Caterino, D. Casazza and C. Curcio conceived the study. P. Imitazione, A. Saglia, A. Izzo and M. Rispoli acquired the data, which R. Ievoli analyzed. D. Amore and D. Casazza wrote the article, which all authors critically revised. All authors approved the final version to be published.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: no Ethical Committee approval was required for this retrospective study. Informed consent was obtained from the patients included in this study.

Funding: none

Availability of data: The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Abstract

Persistent alveolar air leak (PAAL) after major lung resection remains a common complication in thoracic surgery. The aim of this study was to identify a subset of patients with high risk of developing PAAL after pulmonary lobectomy. Another objective was to evaluate the influence of PAAL on postoperative complications and length of hospital stay. A retrospective analysis on 895 patients undergoing pulmonary lobectomy from January 2014 to December 2019 was performed. PAAL was defined as air leak lasting more than 5 days after lung surgery. Univariate analyses and logistic regressions were performed to identify the predictors of PAAL. A backward selection algorithm was used to identify the optimal set of predictors. The incidence of PAAL was 8.2% (74/895). Male gender ($p=0.017$), BMI ($p<0.001$), transient ischemic attack ($p=0.031$), FEV₁ ($p=0.018$), lobectomy combined with adjacent subsegmentectomy ($p=0.018$), partial and extended pleural adhesions ($p=0.033$ and $p=0.038$, respectively) were identified as independent risk factors for PAAL through logistic regression. A weak positive correlation was found between video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) and PAAL following pulmonary lobectomy ($p=0.100$). PAAL was found to be associated with higher risk of postoperative morbidity ($p=0.002$) and with longer hospital stay ($p<0.001$). Both preoperative and intraoperative risk factors may be responsible for PAAL after pulmonary lobectomy. VATS does not appear to prevent this postoperative complication. An alveolar air leak lasting beyond 5 days after pulmonary lobectomy is associated with worse postoperative outcomes.

Key words: pulmonary lobectomy; VATS lobectomy; lung cancer; postoperative air leak.

Introduction

Alveolar air leak is caused by an abnormal communication between the pulmonary parenchyma distal to a segmental bronchus and the pleural space [1]. A persistent alveolar-pleural fistula is one of the most common complications after pulmonary resection and may be associated with increased postoperative morbidity, as pneumonia, pleural empyema, causing distress to both the patient and the thoracic surgeon [2]. An incidence of persistent alveolar air leak (PAAL) between 4 and 25% has been reported in patients with benign or malign tumors undergoing pulmonary resections and even if in the literature there is no single agreed definition of such complication, in most investigations recently an air leak has been defined as prolonged if it lasts more than 5 days after lung surgery, in line with modern recommendations and fast-tracking pulmonary resections protocols [3,7-9]. The objective of this study is to identify a subset of patients with high risk of developing PAAL following pulmonary lobectomy and assess whether this complication is associated with worse postoperative outcomes.

Materials and Methods

From January 2014 to December 2019, 1,010 consecutive patients underwent pulmonary lobectomy via open thoracotomy or video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) at our institution for the treatment of lung cancer or suspected tumor. Exclusion criteria included: lobectomy with chest wall resection (15 patients), lobectomy and lung decortication (5 patients), lobectomy combined with volume reduction of one additional lobe (7 patients), ipsilateral reoperation for completion lobectomy (5 patients), after prior lobectomy (3 patients) and for management of postoperative hemothorax (7 patients), contralateral reoperation after prior lobectomy (8 patients), bronchopleural fistula (6 patients), in-hospital postoperative mortality (9 patients), incomplete data (50 patients). A total of 895 patients (583 males, 312 females) were retrospectively analyzed. The preoperative work-up for lung resection included: computed tomography (CT) scan, positron emission tomography (PET) scan, bronchoscopy, pulmonary function studies, cardiac assessment, basic laboratory tests and other evaluations as indicated by anamnestic information and physical examination. The patients were divided into two groups based on the absence or presence of persistent alveolar air leak (PAAL). The presence of peripheral air leak after pulmonary resection for more than 5 consecutive days was defined as persistent. Several variables were analyzed including demographic factors and preoperative factors such as: forced expiratory volume at first second (FEV₁), carbon monoxide lung diffusion capacity (DLCO), maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂max), predicted postoperative (ppo) values of FEV₁, DLCO and VO₂max, neoadjuvant chemotherapy, body mass index (BMI), diabetes mellitus, chronic ischemic cardiomyopathy and so. In all patients, pulmonary function tests were done with measurement of both FEV₁ and DLCO expressed as percentages of predicted values for age, gender and height. Predicted postoperative values of FEV₁ and DLCO, expressed as percentage of predicted values, were obtained through split function studies based on lung segment counting. The formal high tech cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) was performed to assess exercise limitation, expressed as maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂max), in patients with either FEV₁ or DLCO lower than 80% of predicted values. VO₂max and ppoVO₂max were expressed as ml/kg/min. The following intraoperative factors were considered: type of resection (lobectomy vs larger parenchymal resections), site of resection (upper vs lower), side (access) (right vs left), surgical access (open vs VATS), conversion from VATS to open lobectomy, pleural adhesions, fissure status and fissure dissection technique. Five hundred fifty-nine patients (62.4%) had preoperative comorbidity. We performed VATS lobectomy, using a standardized three-port anterior approach, in 680 patients (76%) and the conversion rate was 5.3%. Forty patients (4.5%) underwent lobectomy with wedge resection of other lobe; 48 patients (5.4%) underwent lobectomy combined with adjacent subsegmentectomy. Right side was involved in 61.2% of cases. Absent fissure and extended adhesions obliterating the

pleural cavity were found respectively in 13.9% and 7.9% of patients. By absent fissure and extended pleural adhesions we mean complete fusion between interlobar planes and diffuse symphysis between the two pleural layers involving more than half of the pleural cavity respectively. At the end of all procedures the remaining lung was reinflated under direct vision and a water submersion test was used to detect air leaks from the lung parenchyma; intraoperative techniques to treat the areas with visible leaks included use of simple or pledgeted sutures, stapling devices and surgical sealants. More than half of the patients (59.6%) had two chest tubes (28Fr and 32Fr). Underwater seal drains were used for management of postoperative air leaks and suction of -10 cm H₂O was applied only in case of gradually enlarging pneumothorax or extensive subcutaneous emphysema developed on water seal. The tubes were removed when the chest drainage was less than 200 ml in 24 hours and when air leak was resolved.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables were expressed as mean plus/minus standard deviation (SD) or through median and interquartile range (in brackets) if normality could not be assumed, i.e. after rejection of the null hypothesis for the Shapiro-Wilk normality test. Differences between PAAL+ and PAAL- groups were tested using Student's *t*- or Mann-Whitney-test (the latter used when normality could not be assumed). Qualitative variables were expressed as raw numbers and percentages (in brackets). Differences between PAAL+ and PAAL- groups were detected by the Chi-Square test or Fisher's exact test if the conditions for Cochran's theorem were not met. We remark that variable VO₂max was registered for only 459 patients (52.3%). A logistic regression was performed to identify the determinants of PAAL using both preoperative and operative information, discarding variables resulted totally not associated with PAAL in the univariate analysis ($p=1$). Histology was not considered due to multicollinearity problem. A full model was estimated using 17 covariates, while a backward selection algorithm was performed to select the "optimal" set of regressors. The reference sample included 893 patients, due to missing data on parameters of two patients. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were estimated. R Software (R Core Team, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2016) was used to perform the statistical analyses. Multicollinearity of proposed models (full and backward) was checked through Generalized Variance Inflated Factors (GVIFs).

Results

Five hundred eighty-three men and 312 women, with a median age of 65,7 years (range, 19 to 88 years), were included in this analysis. Characteristics of these patients were listed in Table 1. PAAL

occurred in 74 patients (8.2%) and in all cases was managed conservatively with chest tube drainage. Eight hundred and twelve lobectomies (90.8%) were done for primary malignant lung tumor, 37 (4.1%) for lung metastasis and 46 (5.1%) for benign lung disease. According to the 8th edition of the TNM staging system for lung cancer, 499 patients (55.8%) were pathologically diagnosed as stage I, 195 (21.8%) as stage II, and 118 (13.2%) as stage IIIA. In patients with pathological tumor node metastasis (TNM) stage III, PAAL wasn't observed more frequently compared to those with TNM stage I/II. Male gender ($p<0.001$), BMI ($p<0.001$), FEV₁ ($p=0.002$), age ($p=0.032$), TIA ($p=0.029$), pleural adhesions ($p<0.001$), fissure status ($p=0.020$) and operation time ($p<0.001$) were identified as potential risk factors for PAAL through univariate analysis (Tables 1 and 2). Moreover, the results of this analysis showed association between postoperative prolonged alveolar air leak and lobectomy with wedge resection of other lobe ($p=0.040$) or lobectomy combined with adjacent subsegmentectomy ($p=0.013$). Multivariate analysis was performed to assess the overall risk factors for PAAL excluding operation time due to its strong relationship with adhesions (perfect collinearity): higher lengths of operation (in minutes), in summary, are always associated with cases of pleural adhesions. Logistic regression analysis indicated that significant independent predictors of PAAL following pulmonary lobectomy were male gender ($p=0.017$), BMI ($p<0.001$), transient ischemic attack (TIA) comorbidity ($p=0.031$), FEV₁ ($p=0.018$), lobectomy combined with adjacent subsegmentectomy ($p=0.018$), partial and extended pleural adhesions ($p=0.033$ and $p=0.038$, respectively) (Table 3). The probability of air leak decreased on average by about 13% for each additional point of BMI (OR: 0.87), while males were on average more than twice as likely to develop an air leak. Finally, for each (percentage) additional point of FEV₁, the probability of air leaks decreased on average by 12%, going from 3% to 30% in the 95% of the samples. In the backward selection procedure, main results were substantially in line with the full model. PAAL occurred in 59 of 680 patients (8.7%) and in 15 of 215 patients (7%) undergoing respectively VATS and open lobectomy. When considered in the multiple logistic regression models, VATS was weakly correlated with PAAL after lung resection ($p=0.100$). The postoperative course was uneventful in 780 patients. The incidence of postoperative complications was 18.9% in patients with PAAL and 12.3% in those without. PAAL was associated with higher risk of postoperative morbidity ($p: 0.002$) and significantly prolonged the length of hospital stay (14 vs 4 days; $p<0.001$) (Table 4).

Discussion

PAAL remains a frequent complication after major lung resection and represents an important cause of morbidity. Most recently it has been defined as an air leak lasting beyond the postoperative fifth day according to enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) programs and The Society of Thoracic

Surgeons General Thoracic Surgery Database (STS GTSD) queried to assess the risk of this postoperative complications in patients undergoing elective lung cancer resection [10,11] Taking this definition into consideration, the incidence of PAAL after lobectomy in our study is 8.2% and it is slightly lower than previously reported [2-4]. In this investigation, logistic regression analysis procedure demonstrates that significant preoperative predictors of PAAL are low BMI, reduced FEV₁ and male gender. The latter, among variables associated with persistent pleuropulmonary air leak after lobectomy, was found even by the European Society of Cardiovascular and Thoracic Surgery database [12,13]. A low BMI is considered a marker of malnutrition and some authors have estimated cut-off values, for predicting increased risk of PAAL, less than or equal to 25 kg/m² [7,10]. In our study 50% of patients with PAAL have a BMI below 25,5 Kg/m². A reduced FEV₁, expression of increased airways resistance and pathologic parenchymal changes, has been frequently associated with the occurrence of PAAL [7,12,14] Prolonged air leak scores have reported values of FEV₁ lower than 86%, 80% or 70% [8,10,15]. In our investigation 50% of patients with PAAL have a preoperative FEV₁ less than 83% and 25% a preoperative FEV₁ below 68%. In multivariate analysis we identify, as independent operative risk factor of PAAL, lobectomy combined with adjacent subsegmentectomy: a surgical procedure that, due to a roughly division of the pulmonary parenchyma, may potentially create a source for peripheral air leak. In our work, both partial and extended pleural adhesions are factors significantly associated with PAAL: in such instances, maneuvers of traction and blunt dissection may lead to lung parenchyma injuries. This result is in line with previous articles focusing on the contribution of the pleural adhesions to increase the hospital stay after pulmonary resection [4,7,13,15,16]. Our data show a weak positive correlation between VATS and PAAL following pulmonary lobectomy but conversion to thoracotomy surprisingly has no clinical impact on postoperative prolonged air leak. As many authors, we found that an alveolar air leak lasting beyond 5 days after pulmonary lobectomy was associated with greater pulmonary morbidity, including pleural empyema or atelectasis, and that the incidence of total complications was significantly higher in patients with PAAL than those without [2,17]. Persistent alveolar air leak after lung resection has been shown to be one of the main reasons for prolonged hospital stay [8,18,19]. Our results are similar to the findings of previous studies: we found that PAAL increased length of hospital stay (LOS) by 11,7 days. We haven't reported nonsurgical options to induce pleurodesis in the management of PAAL because, in such instance, we are reluctant to follow this procedure. Although recent literature suggests that autologous blood patch is an effective option to resolve air leaks, the procedure-related complications rate reported is relatively low, the few studies published contain small numbers of patients and there is no consensus regarding the optimal volume of blood necessary to seal the air leak or the optimal timing for pleurodesis [20].

Limitations

This paper adopted two models in the analysis (full and backward model) that share an accuracy of 92% and 91% respectively, a very high specificity which is close to 100% but a very low or null sensitivity (6% and 0%, respectively). This can be considered a limitation of the present study. Further studies should be conducted to improve the predictive ability of the model, especially out of sample. Additional limitations include the lack of information regarding surgeons' procedural skills and individual surgeons' case volume. The missing information about the intraoperative management of air leak, due to significant heterogeneity in our database on the type of sutures or sealants used, is another important aspect of this study.

Conclusions

Considering preoperative risk factors analysis for PAAL following pulmonary lobectomy, low BMI, low FEV₁ and male gender appear to be statistically significant parameters and this research validates preoperative indicators included in prolonged air leak predictive scores [8,10,12,13]. Although a strong correlation between upper lobectomy and postoperative prolonged air leak has been emphasized repeatedly, in our study a strong intraoperative risk factor of PAAL following lung resection is lobectomy combined with adjacent subsegmentectomy [7,10,13]. One of the key findings of this research is that VATS does not appear to prevent PAAL in patients undergoing pulmonary lobectomy. In such patients, an alveolar air leak lasting longer than 5 days leads to a more complicated postoperative course.

References

1. Cerfolio RJ. Early postoperative complications. In: Patterson GA, Cooper JD, Deslauriers J, et al. editors. *Pearson's Thoracic & Esophageal Surgery* 3rded. Churchill Livingstone, Philadelphia: 2008. pp. 160-165.
2. Varela G, Jimenez MF, Novoa N, Aranda JL. Estimating hospital costs attributable to prolonged air leak in pulmonary lobectomy. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2005;27:329-33.
3. Keagy BA, Lores ME, Starek PJ, et al. Elective pulmonary lobectomy: factors associated with morbidity and operative mortality. *Ann Thorac Surg* 1985;40:349-52.
4. Zhao K, Mei J, Xia C, et al. Prolonged air leak after video-assisted thoracic surgery lung cancer resection: risk factors and its effect on postoperative clinical recovery. *J Thorac Dis* 2017; 9:1219-25.
5. Rice TW, Kirby TTJ. Prolonged air leak. *Chest Surg Clin North Am* 1992;2:803-11.

6. Clark JM, Cooke DT, Brown LM. Management of complications after lung resection: prolonged air leak and bronchopleural fistula. *Thorac Surg Clin* 2020;30:347-58.
7. Brunelli A, Monteverde M, Borri A, et al. Predictors of prolonged air leak after pulmonary lobectomy. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2004;77:1205-10.
8. Brunelli A, Varela G, Refai M, et al. A scoring system to predict the risk of prolonged air leak after lobectomy. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2010;90:204-9.
9. Cerfolio RJ, Pickens A, Bass C, Katholi C. Fast-tracking pulmonary resections. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2001;122:318-24.
10. Seder CW, Basu S, Ramsay T, et al. A prolonged air leak score for lung cancer resection: an analysis of the Society of Thoracic Surgeons General Thoracic Surgery Database. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2019;108:1478-83.
11. French DG, Plourde M, Henteleff H, et al. Optimal management of postoperative parenchymal air leaks. *J Thorac Dis* 2018;10:S3789-98.
12. Pompili C, Falcoz PE, Salati M, et al. A risk score to predict the incidence of prolonged air leak after video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy: an analysis from the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons database. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2017;153:957-65.
13. Rivera C, Bernard A, Falcoz PE, et al. Characterization and prediction of prolonged air leak after pulmonary resection: a nationwide study setting up the index of prolonged air leak. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011;92:1062-8.
14. Lee L, Hanley SC, Robineau C, et al. Estimating the risk of prolonged air leak after pulmonary resection using a simple scoring system. *J Am Coll Surg* 2011;212:1027-32.
15. Viti A, Soggi L, Congregado M, et al. The everlasting issue of prolonged air leaks after lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer: A data-driven prevention planning model in the era of minimally invasive approaches. *J Surg Oncol* 2018;118:1285-91.
16. Elsayed H, McShane J, Shackcloth M. Air leaks following pulmonary resection for lung cancer: is it a patient or surgeon related problem? *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2012;94:422-7.
17. Okereke I, Murthy SC, Alster JM, et al. Characterization and importance of air leak after lobectomy. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2005;79:1167-73.
18. Bardell T, Petsikas D. What keeps postpulmonary resection patients in hospital? *Can Respir J* 2003;10:86-9.
19. Irshad K, Feldman LS, Chu VF, et al. Causes of increased length of hospitalization on a general thoracic surgery service: a prospective observational study. *Can J Surg* 2002;45:264-8.

20. Lang-Lazdunski L, Coonar AS. A prospective study of autologous 'blood patch' pleurodesis for persistent air leak after pulmonary resection. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2004;26:897-900.

Table 1. Comparison of preoperative variables between patients with (PAAL+) and without (PAAL-) persistent alveolar air leak (n=895).

Variables	Summary	PAAL+	PAAL-	P-value
<i>Male gender</i>	583 (65.1)	63 (85.1)	520 (63.3)	<0.001***
<i>Age</i>	67 (11)	70 (11)	67 (12)	0.032*
<i>BMI</i>	27 (6)	25.5 (4)	27 (6)	<0.001***
Comorbidities				
None	336 (37.5)	21 (24.8)	315 (34.8)	0.115
Other	31 (3.5)	2 (2.7)	29 (3.5)	1.000
Hypertension	475 (53.1)	43 (58.1)	432 (52.6)	0.433
Chronic ischemic heart disease	107 (12.0)	10 (13.5)	97 (11.8)	0.807
Chronic atrial fibrillation	25 (2.8)	2 (2.7)	23 (2.8)	1.000
Diabetes mellitus	145 (16.2)	18 (24.3)	127 (15.5)	0.069.
Peripheral artery disease	40 (4.5)	3 (4.1)	37 (4.5)	1.000
Transient ischemic attack	15 (1.7)	4 (5.4)	11 (1.3)	0.029*
Histology				0.379
Metastasis	37 (4.1)	1 (1.4)	36 (4.4)	
Benign disease	46 (5.1)	5 (6.8)	41 (5.0)	
Primary malignant tumor	812 (90.8)	68 (91.9)	744 (90.6)	
Pathological stage				0.334
I	499 (55.8)	46 (62.2)	453 (55.2)	
II	195 (21.8)	17 (23.0)	178 (21.7)	
III	118 (13.2)	5 (6.8)	113 (13.8)	
Neoadjuvant chemotherapy	33 (3.7)	3 (4.1)	30 (3.7)	1.000
FEV₁ (%)	89.5±20.5	82.2±19.9	90.1±20.5	0.002**
DLCO (%)	80 (25)	79.5 (20)	80 (26)	0.306
VO₂max*	16 (3)	17 (3)	16 (3)	0.177
ppoFEV₁ (%)	70.9±16.9	65 (15.9)	71.4 (16.8)	0.006**
ppoDLCO (%)	62 (21)	61.5 (16)	63 (22)	0.249
ppoVO₂max*	13 (3)	13 (3)	13 (3)	0.194

PAAL: persistent alveolar air leak; BMI: body mass index; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume at first second; DLCO: carbon monoxide lung diffusion capacity; VO₂max: maximal oxygen consumption; ppo: predicted postoperative; *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001

Table 2. Comparison of operative variables between patients with (PAAL+) and without (PAAL-) persistent alveolar air leak (n. 895).

Variables	Summary	PAAL+	PAAL-	P-value
<i>Operation type</i>				0.143
RUL	317 (35.4)	34 (45.9)	283 (34.5)	
ML	66 (7.4)	2 (2.7)	64 (7.8)	
RLL	163 (18.2)	9 (12.2)	154 (18.8)	
LUL	199 (22.2)	18 (24.3)	181 (22.0)	
LLL	150 (16.8)	11 (14.9)	139 (16.9)	
<i>Lobectomy + WR</i>	40 (4.5)	7 (9.5)	33 (4.0)	0.040*
<i>Lobectomy + AS</i>	48 (5.4)	9 (12.2)	39 (4.8)	0.013*
<i>VATS</i>	680 (74.0)	59 (79.7)	621 (75.6)	0.518
<i>Conversion</i>	36 (5.3)	3 (5.1)	33 (5.3)	1.000
<i>Pleural adhesions</i>				<0.001***
Absent	609 (68.1)	35 (47.3)	574 (70.0)	
Partial	214 (23.9)	27 (36.5)	187 (22.8)	
Extended	71 (7.9)	12 (16.2)	59 (7.2)	
<i>Fissure status</i>				0.020*
Complete	135 (15.1)	6 (8.1)	129 (15.7)	
Incomplete	635 (70.1)	63 (85.1)	572 (69.8)	
Absent	124 (13.9)	5 (6.8)	119 (14.5)	
<i>Fissure dissection</i>				0.625
Blunt+ED	181 (20.2)	12 (16.2)	169 (20.6)	
ED+Stapler	406 (45.5)	34 (45.9)	372 (45.4)	
Stapler	307 (34.3)	28 (37.8)	279 (34.0)	
<i>Operation time (min)</i>	150 (40.0)	190 (47.5)	150 (40.0)	<0.001***

PAAL: persistent alveolar air leak; RUL: right upper lobectomy; ML: middle lobectomy; RLL: right lower lobectomy; LUL: left upper lobectomy; LLL: left lower lobectomy; WR: wedge resection; AS: adjacent subsegmentectomy; VATS: video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery; ED: energy device; *p<0.05; ***p<0.001

Table 3. Multivariate logistic-regression analysis of risk factors of PAAL.

Variables	<i>Full Model</i>			<i>Backward Model</i>		
	OR	95% CI's	p-value	OR	95% CI's	p-value
Intercept	2.667	(0.067; 101.561)	0.599	1.069	(0.088; 12.826)	0.958
Male gender	2.395	(1.209; 5.138)	0.017*	2.859	(1.487; 5.987)	0.003**
Age	1.005	(0.973; 1.040)	0.770			
BMI	0.868	(0.802; 0.935)	<0.001***	0.885	(0.822; 0.948)	0.001**
Comorbidity: None	0.735	(0.298; 1.905)	0.512			
Comorbidity: Hypertension	0.921	(0.428; 2.139)	0.839			
Comorbidity: Diabetes mellitus	1.367	(0.693; 2.629)	0.356			
Comorbidity: TIA	4.347	(1.030; 15.702)	0.031*	5.264	(1.313; 17.813)	0.011*
Pathological stage: I	0.856	(0.337; 2.512)	0.758			
Pathological stage: II	0.742	(0.258; 2.366)	0.593			
Pathological stage: III	0.368	(0.094; 1.399)	0.140			
FEV ₁	0.983	(0.969; 0.997)	0.018*	0.985	(0.972; 0.997)	0.016*
DLCO	1.004	(0.988; 1.020)	0.605			
Operation type: ML	0.239	(0.034; 1.004)	0.084°			
Operation type: RLL	0.410	(0.145; 1.088)	0.081°			
Operation type: LUL	0.625	(0.267; 1.407)	0.267			
Operation type: LLL	0.505	(0.180; 1.348)	0.181			
Lobectomy + WR	2.502	(0.918; 6.108)	0.055°	2.385	(0.891; 5.682)	0.063°
Lobectomy + AS	2.880	(1.142; 6.725)	0.018*	2.159	(0.890; 4.800)	0.071°
VATS	1.898	(0.905; 4.193)	0.100°	1.701	(0.932; 3.294)	0.097°
Pleural adhesions: Partial	1.852	(1.044; 3.255)	0.033*	1.867	(1.064; 3.246)	0.028*
Pleural adhesions: Extended	2.299	(1.014; 4.942)	0.038*	2.692	(1.224; 5.614)	0.010*
Fissure status: Incomplete	3.336	(0.893; 12.733)	0.071°	1.798	(0.792; 4.860)	0.197
Fissure status: Absent	1.163	(0.202; 6.330)	0.862	0.612	(0.166; 2.167)	0.443
Fissure dissection:	0.454	(0.162; 1.404)	0.147			
ED+stapler						
Fissure dissection: Stapler	0.383	(0.095; 1.595)	0.179			

OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; BMI: body mass index; TIA: transient ischemic attack; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume at first second; DLCO: carbon monoxide lung diffusion capacity; ML: middle lobectomy; RLL: right lower lobectomy; LUL: left upper lobectomy; LLL: left lower lobectomy; WR: wedge resection; AS: adjacent subsegmentectomy; VATS: video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery; ED: energy device; °p<0.1; *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.

Table 4. Postoperative length of stay and postoperative complications according to presence or absence of PAAL (n=895).

Variables	Summary	PAAL+	PAAL-	p-value
<i>LOS (days)</i>	4 (2)	14 (7)	4 (2)	<0.001***
<i>Complications</i>				0.002**
None	780 (87.2)	60 (81.1)	720 (87.7)	
Pleural empyema	3 (0.3)	3 (4.1)	0 (0.0)	
Atelectasis	24 (2.7)	4 (5.4)	20 (2.4)	
AF	4 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	4 (0.5)	
Atelectasis + AF	48 (5.4)	4 (4.4)	44 (5.4)	
Other	36 (4.0)	3 (4.1)	33 (4.0)	

PAAL: persistent alveolar air leak; LOS: length of stay; AF: atrial fibrillation.