

Characterizing the secretome of licensed hiPSC-derived MSCs

Ramos, Y.F.M.; Tertel, T.; Shaw, G.; Staubach, S.; Almeida, R.C. de; Suchiman, E.; ... ; Meulenbelt, I.

Citation

Ramos, Y. F. M., Tertel, T., Shaw, G., Staubach, S., Almeida, R. C. de, Suchiman, E., ... Meulenbelt, I. (2022). Characterizing the secretome of licensed hiPSC-derived MSCs. *Stem Cell Research And Therapy*, *13*(1). doi:10.1186/s13287-022-03117-2

Version:Publisher's VersionLicense:Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 licenseDownloaded from:https://hdl.handle.net/1887/3512781

Note: To cite this publication please use the final published version (if applicable).

SHORT REPORT

Open Access

Characterizing the secretome of licensed hiPSC-derived MSCs



Yolande F. M. Ramos^{1*}, Tobias Tertel², Georgina Shaw³, Simon Staubach², Rodrigo Coutinho de Almeida¹, Eka Suchiman¹, Thomas B. Kuipers⁴, Hailiang Mei⁴, Frank Barry³, Mary Murphy³, Bernd Giebel², and Ingrid Meulenbelt¹

Abstract

Although mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) from primary tissues have been successfully applied in the clinic, their expansion capabilities are limited and results are variable. MSCs derived from human-induced pluripotent stem cells (hiMSCs) are expected to overcome these limitations and serve as a reproducible and sustainable cell source. We have explored characteristics and therapeutic potential of hiMSCs in comparison to hBMSCs. RNA sequencing confirmed high resemblance, with average Pearson correlation of 0.88 and Jaccard similarity index of 0.99, and similar to hBMSCs the hiMSCs released extracellular vesicles with in vitro immunomodulatory properties. Potency assay with TNFa and IFNy demonstrated an increase in well-known immunomodulatory genes such as *IDO1, CXCL8/IL8*, and *HLA-DRA* which was also highlighted by enhanced secretion in the media. Notably, expression of 125 genes increased more than 1000-fold. These genes were predicted to be regulated by NFKB signaling, known to play a central role in immune response. Altogether, our data qualify hiMSCs as a promising source for cell therapy and/or cell-based therapeutic products. Additionally, the herewith generated database will add to our understanding of the mode of action of regenerative cell-based therapies and could be used to identify relevant potency markers.

Keywords: Cell therapy, hiPSCs, Induced mesenchymal stromal cells, Immunomodulation, Extracellular vesicles, Exosomes, RNA sequencing

Introduction

The lack of effective treatments for inflammatory diseases as well as major age-related diseases, e.g., chronic Inflammatory Bowel Disease, neurodegenerative disease and osteoarthritis, imposes a huge economic burden on individual patients and health care systems [1, 2]. In that respect, caretakers have high hopes for the application of cell therapy in the clinic using human adult mesenchymal stromal cells (hMSCs) [3]. Upon exposure to signals associated with the in vivo injured environment hMSCs

*Correspondence: y.f.m.ramos@lumc.nl

¹ Department of Biomedical Data Sciences, Section Molecular Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, LUMC Postzone S-05-P, P.O. Box 9600, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

are known to respond with a process named licensing. Cell licensing is characterized by increased secretion of immunomodulatory factors, including growth factors and cytokines, and extracellular vesicles (EVs), having trophic properties and establishing a regenerative environment [4-6].

The application of hMSCs has been explored for many indications with results suggesting promise for clinical efficacy. Nonetheless, results also exposed important restrictions. Among others, the availability and expansion capacity of hMSCs is limited and their therapeutic capacities are donor-dependent. Accordingly, therapeutic products based on primary hMSCs have reduced batch sizes and reveal considerable variation. This restrains the crucial standardization of therapeutic potency of hMSC products [7], and highlights the necessity to optimize production modalities for the generation of therapeutic cell products. For that matter, it is critical to characterize the hMSC-secretome and demonstrate potency and consistency in response to licensing with common licensing factors such as IFN γ and TNF α . The response of hMSC to licensing is currently widely applied to predict potency, hence immunomodulatory efficacy.

To overcome current limitations in cell therapy, the application of hMSCs derived from induced pluripotent stem cells (hiMSCs) is being explored as sustainable, reproducible, and reliable cell source. Such hiMSCs are GMP-compatible for translation into the clinic [8]. Added value of hiMSCs is their ease of access, since collection of natural hMSCs is an invasive procedure for donors. In our laboratory, we established a protocol to robustly and consistently generate hiMSCs, highly comparable to bone marrow-derived hMSCs (hBMSCs) with respect to characteristics such as morphology, surface markers, and lineage commitment [9]. In the current study, we addressed their potency by characterization of the hiMSC-secretome and the immune-suppressive activity of extracellular vesicles released by hiMSCs in the medium (hiMSC-EVs).

Materials and methods

Sample description and ethics approval

Ethical approval for the generation of hiPSCs from skin fibroblasts of healthy donors was obtained by the Medical Ethical Committee of the LUMC and is available under number P13.080. Control hiPSC line used in the current study was generated by the LUMC iPSC core facility from male skin fibroblasts (LUMC0004iCTRL10 (004) registered at the Human pluripotent stem cell registry. Cells were characterized according to pluripotent potential and spontaneous differentiation capacity by the iPSC core facility [10]. hiPSCs were maintained under standard conditions and are described in more detail in Additional file 1.

Human bone marrow-derived MSCs (hBMSCs) were derived from bone marrow aspirates of two healthy donors with approval from the NUI Galway Research Ethical and Galway University Hospitals Clinical Research Ethics Committees. Third hBMSC line from a healthy donor was commercially acquired (SCC034; Merck Millipore). Collection of hBMSCs from OA patients undergoing total joint replacement surgery is approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of the LUMC within the ongoing RAAK study [11] and available under numbers P08.239 and P19.013.

Differentiation of hiPSC towards hiMSCs

Human iMSCs were generated using the Stemcell Technologies Mesenchymal Progenitor Kit following the manufacturers' instructions with small modifications as described previously [9]. More details are provided in Additional file 1 and Additional file 1: Fig. S1.

Preparation and characterization of EVs from MSC-conditioned cell culture media

Conditioned media from hiMSCs were harvested 48 h after refreshment. MSC-EVs were prepared from plain medium or conditioned media by polyethylene glycol 6000 precipitation followed by ultracentrifugation, as described previously [12–14]. Obtained MSC-EV preparations were diluted in NaCl-HEPES buffer (Sigma-Aldrich) to represent the yield from the conditioned media of approximately 1.6×10^8 cells in 1 mL and stored on -80 °C until usage. Characterization of MSC-EV preparations according to the MISEV criteria [15] is described in Additional file 1.

Multi-donor mixed lymphocyte reaction (mdMLR)

The immunomodulatory potential of MSC-EV preparations was compared in a multi-donor mixed lymphocyte reaction assay (mdMLR) as described previously [16]. For more details see Additional file 1.

Licensing of hMSCs

Three days after seeding hMSCs in culture medium (DMEM high glucose (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS; Biowest), basic FGF (bFGF; 5 ng/ml; Life Technologies; 30,000 cells in each well of a 6-well plate), cells were licensed for a further three days by exposure to a combination of 50 ng/mL TNF α and 50 ng/mL IFN γ . Subsequently, conditioned media were collected for analyses of secreted factors with immunoassays and cells were lysed for RNA isolation and RNA sequencing (hBMSCs: duplicates of three independent donors; hiMSCs: six independent replicates of one cell line). Replication by RT-qPCR was performed for duplicates of two independent hiMSCs differentiations from another hiPSC line and duplicates of four independent hBMSCs.

RNA isolation and gene expression analyses

RNA was isolated using the RNAeasy mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturers' protocol as described previously [17]. Subsequently, total mRNA was outsourced for RNA sequencing by Macrogen using Novaseq 6000 System (Illumina; Additional file 1). Quality control and analyses of generated data was performed with the open-source BioWDL RNAseq pipeline. Details are provided in Additional file 1. Genes were considered differentially expressed (DEGs) upon licensing in comparison to unlicensed controls by a False Discovery Rate (FDR) < 0.05. Replication by RT-qPCR was performed as described [9]. Primer sequences are shown in Additional file 1: Table S1.

Enrichment for biological pathways and interactions among proteins encoded by identified genes was determined with online tools, respectively, DAVID [18, 19] and STRING [20, 21].

Secretome protein levels

Concentration of secreted factors in conditioned culture media was determined with multiplex immunoassays (Luminex, BioRad Laboratories) by combining the Bio-Plex Pro Human Cytokine Th1/Th2 Assay, 9-plex (GM-CSF, IL2, IL4, IL5, IL10, IL12/p70, IL13, IFN γ , and TNF α) concurrently with single-plex assays (IL6, IL8/CXCL8, CXCL10, and MCP1/CCL2) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Statistical analysis was done with paired t test in comparison to concentrations for unlicensed control samples.

Statistics and similarities

RNA sequencing data were corrected for multiple testing to generate a FDR that was considered significant if FDR < 0.05. Similarities between the different cell types were calculated with R statistical language (DESeq2_v.1.30.0 package [22]) based on Pearson correlations and the Jaccard method using normalized and variance-stabilizing transformation (VST) RNA sequencing data. For the mdMLR assay, after confirming normal distribution Shapiro–Wilk, a One-Way ANOVA with the Tukey post hoc test was performed and graphical presentation of MSC-EVs were done with GraphPad version 8.4.3 and mean values \pm standard deviations are provided. *P* values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

hiMSCs are highly comparable to hBMSCs

First, the presence of hiMSC-EVs isolated from collected conditioned media was confirmed with ImageStreamX Flow Cytometry (Fig. 1A, B). Since we recently demonstrated that MSC-EV potency could be more accurately predicted by T cell response as compared to lymphocyte proliferation [23], immunomodulatory capabilities were investigated in a multi donor mixed lymphocyte reaction assay (mdMLR). Following 5 days of culture, either in the presence or absence of EV preparations, the proportions of activated CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells as indicated by the expression of interleukin-2 receptor (CD25) and of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (CD54; Fig. 1C) showed that addition of control EVs from hBMSCs (active control) significantly reduced CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell activation as compared to the non-active control (~sixfold reduction; Fig. 1D, CD4/8[CD25⁺ CD54⁺]). Likewise, we observed significantly reduced activation upon addition of hiMSC-EVs (fivefold reduction), while no reduction was observed for the non-active control and the EV-preparations from unconditioned media (PM). Furthermore, there was no significant difference in the proportions of activated CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells between EV preparations from hBMSCs and hiMSCs. This indicated comparable potential therapeutic relevance for hBMSC- and hiMSC-EVs.

hiMSCs respond similar to licensing as compared to hBMSC

Since the response of hBMSCs to licensing factors TNF α and IFN γ are widely used to predict immunomodulatory potency, we next addressed similarities between hMBSC and hiBMSC in response to TNF α and IFN γ licensing. First, we determined protein concentration of well-known secreted TNF α and IFN γ licensing factors in the culture medium. As illustrated in Fig. 2A (Additional file 1: Fig, S2), the large and significantly increased concentration of secreted factors such as GM-CSF, CXCL8/IL8, and CCL2/MCP1 confirmed response of hiMSCs with immunomodulatory potential. Notably, secretion of GM-CSF, IL6, IL8, and IL13 by the hiMSCs.

Next, we performed an in-depth transcriptome wide analysis of the hiMSC secretome as compared to hBM-SCs upon combined TNF α and IFN γ licensing. In doing so, we showed that the average Pearson correlation increased from 0.85 to 0.88 while Jaccard similarity index of the overall transcriptome wide expression profiles approached to 1.0 (Fig. 2B and Additional file 1: Fig. S3A).

Results showed in total 6675 genes that significantly changed expression upon licensing with TNF α and IFN γ . Of these genes, 3519 showed increased and 3156 showed decreased expression. Table 1A and B presents the 15 most significant up- and downregulated genes, respectively. Comparison of the hiMSC secretome with the hBMSC secretome showed an overlap of 4780 FDRsignificant differentially expressed genes (72%) for the



(plot bottom middle). Subsequently, for both populations fluorescence intensities of CD25⁺ (PE-Cy5.5-labeled) were plotted against CD54⁺ (AF700-labeled; plots bottom right and left, respectively). (**D**) Preparations of hiMSC-EVs (N=3) and respective unconditioned control media (PM) were used in a multi-donor mixed lymphocyte reaction (mdMLR). After 5 days, cells were harvested, labeled with anti-CD4, anti-CD8, anti-CD25, and anti-CD54, and selected as shown. Preparations of hBMSC-EVs with (active control; N=3) or without (non-active control; N=3) immunomodulatory capability were used as controls (graphs show the change compared to untreated controls; *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001)

combined TNF α and IFN γ licensing (Additional file 1: Table S2 and Fig. S3B).

Notably, among the 3519 upregulated genes we observed 40 genes with FDR = 0 and 129 genes with over 1000-fold expression level changes upon licensing. Most likely, these genes were turned on or off in response to the licensing. An example is the well-known immunomodulatory gene *IDO1* that showed 1.2×10^8 -fold increased expression levels (FDR = 1.2×10^{-107}). Also, expression levels of *CXCL8/IL8* and *HLA-DRA* increased exceedingly upon licensing with both TNF α and IFN γ (respectively, 6.0×10^4 -fold up and 2.6×10^3 -fold up, both with FDR = 0). Such changes were found to be highly comparable for hiMSCs and hBMSCs, although the fold changes were not identical (Fig. 2C, D and Additional file 1: Fig. S4). More importantly, the same changes were found for

two independent hiMSC lines generated from another hiPSC line (Additional file 1: Fig. S5). Likewise, results for hBMSCs collected from aged OA patients indicated that such cells respond similar to combined TNF α and IFN γ licensing as compared to hBMCs from healthy donors. Together, we demonstrate functionally relevant hiMSC-licensing secretome that has potency hence liability to substitute hBMSCs for therapeutic application.

Pathway analysis of the hiMSC secretome

Pathway analysis of the 125 genes with over 1000fold increase indicated enrichment of genes involved in immune response ($P=6.2 \times 10^{-23}$) and the IFN γ mediated signaling pathway ($P=2.4 \times 10^{-20}$; Table 1C) which is in accordance with the licensing. Additionally, proteins encoded by the 125 extremely upregulated genes



showed significant enrichment for protein–protein interactions ($P < 1.0 \times 10^{-16}$ Fig. 3A). The network revealed three nodes. These nodes were characterized by interactions with HLA-family members exerting MHC class II receptor activity (indicated in blue), CXCL- and CCLfamily members exerting chemokine activity (indicated in red), and GTP-binding proteins (e.g., indicated in green; Fig. 3A).

In contrast to the upregulated genes, among 3414 downregulated genes only four genes showed over 1000-fold decrease (*DNAI3*, *OSR1*, *RASSF9*, and *KIT*). A total of 30 genes showed more than 50-fold decrease. Pathway analysis of these 30 genes indicated enrichment for regulation of MAP kinase activity ($P = 5.0 \times 10^{-3}$) and cellular protein metabolic process ($P = 3.3 \times 10^{-2}$; Table 1D). There was a significant enrichment for interactions among the proteins encoded by the 30 downregulated genes ($P = 4.7 \times 10^{-3}$;

Fig. 3B). The network was characterized by the presence of multiple glycoproteins (indicated in purple).

Of note was the large difference in enrichment for transcription factor binding sites (TFBSs) in the promoters of identified genes, whereas upregulated genes showed enrichment only for Nuclear Factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NFKB; $P=4.4 \times 10^{-2}$; Table 1C), downregulated genes showed enrichment for many different TFBSs (Table 1D). For example, NKX25 ($P=1.5 \times 10^{-5}$), CEBPB ($P=2.1 \times 10^{-4}$), and SOX5 ($P=3.1 \times 10^{-4}$) were amongst the most significant TFBS identified for genes that were downregulated in response to TNFα and IFNγ licensing.

Discussion & conclusion

In this manuscript, we explored the hiMSC-secretome and -potency. As demonstrated by the gene and protein expression as well as the therapeutically active EVs, our
 Table 1
 The licensed hiMSC-secretome and enriched biological pathways

A				
	hiMSCs			
Upreglated Genes	P value	FDR	FC	
CXCL8/IL8	0.00	0.00	60,398	
ICAM1	0.00	0.00	30,931	
IFI44L	0.00	0.00	10,724	
SAMD9L	0.00	0.00	7551	
MX1	0.00	0.00	6487	
GBP1	0.00	0.00	4186	
IL6	0.00	0.00	3208	
HLA-DRA	0.00	0.00	2552	
GCH1	0.00	0.00	2528	
HLA-B	0.00	0.00	2257	
APOL6	0.00	0.00	1689	
CTSS	0.00	0.00	1011	
TNFAIP3	0.00	0.00	969	
SERPINB2	0.00	0.00	934	
C15orf48	0.00	0.00	793	
10-				
В				

	hiMSCs		
Downregulated Genes	P value	FDR	FC
TNFRSF10D	0.00	0.00	-109.1
THBS2/TSP2	1.3 × 10 ⁻²⁹³	3.4×10^{-291}	-57.8
IGFBP5	4.2 × 10 ⁻²⁶⁸	9.5 × 10 ⁻²⁶⁶	-98.2
PRPS1	1.8 × 10 ⁻²⁴⁶	3.4×10^{-244}	-30.5
SFRP1	2.6 × 10 ⁻²³⁷	4.5×10^{-235}	-17.8
LRRC17	6.8 × 10 ⁻²²⁸	1.1 × 10 ⁻²²⁵	-129.5
PDE1C	1.5 × 10 ⁻²²⁶	2.3×10^{-224}	-31.3
LAMA4	2.2 × 10 ⁻¹⁹⁵	2.9×10^{-193}	-15.6
DUSP4	1.5 × 10 ⁻¹⁸³	1.9×10^{-181}	-21.5
PSD3	9.8 × 10 ⁻¹⁷²	1.1×10^{-169}	-14.7
AK5	5.3 × 10 ⁻¹⁵⁷	5.3×10^{-155}	-28.7
SESN3	6.8 × 10 ⁻¹³⁶	6.0×10^{-134}	-62.8
GALNT5	1.6 × 10 ⁻¹³³	1.4×10^{-131}	-20.7
PAMR1	2.2 × 10 ⁻¹³³	1.9×10^{-131}	-53.2
CPA4	9.0×10^{-122}	7.1×10^{-120}	-20.2
c			
Biological Pathways (upregulated genes)	P Value	Fold Enr	
GO:0006955 ~ immune response	6.2×10^{-23}	11.7	
GO:0060333~interferon-gamma mediated signaling pathway	a- 2.4 × 10 ⁻²⁰	41.3	
GO:0006954~inflammatory response	1.2×10^{-17}	11.1	
GO:0071346~cellular response 1 interferon-gamma	to 1.5 × 10 ⁻¹⁶	28.2	
GO:0030593 ~ neutrophil chemo	3.4×10^{-16}	32.2	

taxis

Table 1 (continued)

c		
Biological Pathways (upregulated genes)	<i>P</i> Value	Fold Enr
GO:0070098~chemokinx10- mediated signaling pathway	2.2 × 10 ⁻¹⁵	34.6
GO:0051607~defense response to virus	4.9×10^{-14}	14.2
GO:0060337~type l interferon signaling pathway	8.0×10^{-14}	32.4
GO:0006935 ~ chemotaxis	9.6×10^{-14}	21.2
GO:0019882 ~ antigen processing and presentation	2.8 × 10 ⁻¹²	39.6
TFBS	P Value	Fold Enr
NFKAPPAB	4.4×10^{-02}	1.3
D		
Biological Pathways (downregulated genes)	<i>P</i> Value	Fold Enr
GO:0043406 ~ positive regula- tion of MAP kinase activity	5.0×10^{-03}	27.2
GO:0044267 ~ cellular protein metabolic process	1.7×10^{-02}	14.3
GO:0007507 ~ heart develop- ment	3.3×10^{-02}	10.0
GO:0007155 ~ cell adhesion	3.7×10^{-02}	5.2
GO:0048565 ~ digestive tract development	3.8×10^{-02}	49.4
GO:0048863~stem cell dif- ferentiation	4.3×10^{-02}	43.4
TFBS	P Value	Fold Enr
NKX25	1.45E-05	1.7
TAL1BETAITF2	9.37E-05	1.8
CEBPB	2.05E-04	1.8
SOX5	3.07E-04	1.9
IRF7	5.19E-04	1.9
BRN2	6.38E-04	1.8
NKX3A	7.05E-04	1.9
POU6F1	751F-04	20
NKX61	1.00E-03	19
RP58	1 12E-03	17
1 HX3	1 55E-03	2.0
NF1	1.86E-03	2.0
НОХАЗ	2.11E-03	19
HSF2	2.1.12 03 2.18E-03	19
SRV	2.10E-03	1.9
	2.55E-03	1.7
CDP	2.55C 05	1.7
UNE1	3 505-02	1.0
CADT1	2.57E 02	1.0
	2.01E.02	1./
ICDE	7.3E-02	1./
	4.2JL-UJ	1.0

Table 1	(continued)
---------	-------------

TFBS	<i>P</i> Value	Fold Enr
GATA	5.33E-03	1.7
PBX1	5.86E-03	1.6
GATA6	6.08E-03	2.7
FOXO1	6.26E-03	1.8
EVI1	6.30E-03	1.3
EN1	6.32E-03	1.6
OCT	7.79E-03	1.7
LMO2COM	7.85E-03	1.5
POU3F2	9.31E-03	1.5
AP1	9.51E-03	1.5
TBP	1.03E-02	1.9
FAC1	1.07E-02	1.6
NKX22	1.39E-02	1.7
FREAC7	1.47E-02	1.6
FOXJ2	1.51E-02	1.4
STAT5A	1.61E-02	1.5
CDC5	1.64E-02	1.6
HTF	1.85E-02	1.5
LUN1	1.85E-02	1.6
BACH1	2.03E-02	1.6
USF	2.20E-02	1.5
CEBP	2.24E-02	1.3
ER	2.45E-02	1.5
MRF2	2.53E-02	1.5
ZIC1	2.66E-02	2.5
RORA2	2.75E-02	1.6
E4BP4	2.88E-02	1.6
PAX4	2.92E-02	1.3
CHOP	3.08E-02	1.5
CEBPA	3.20E-02	1.9
GFI1	3.37E-02	1.6
TCF11MAFG	3.41E-02	1.5
HLF	3.49E-02	1.6
MEF2	3.53E-02	1.3
OCT1	3.54E-02	1.2
AP2REP	3.65E-02	1.6
HFH1	3.69E-02	1.6
МҮВ	4.03E-02	1.5
CHX10	4.31E-02	1.6
HOX13	4.33E-02	1.5
NFAT	4.44E-02	1.6

Top 15 upregulated (A) or downregulated (B) genes. Biological pathways and transcription factor binding sites enriched among the 125 genes with over 1000-fold increase (C) or among the 30 genes with over 50-fold decrease (D). Legend: *FC*: fold change; *Fold Enr*: fold enrichment; *FDR*: False Discovery Rate; *TFBS*: Transcription Factor Binding Sites

findings for hiMSCs reflect the average response of hBM-SCs. Therefore, in contrast to autologous hMSCs that are subject to invasive collection procedure and donor variability, we advocate that hiMSCs may provide a promising "off the shelf," reproducible and sustainable, cell source for cell therapy or as a tool for the production of clinically relevant EVs.

We found that, at the gene expression level, hiMSCs and hBMCs were highly comparable as denoted by Jaccard similarity index of 0.99 which was even further increased to 1.0 upon licensing with a combination of TNFα and IFNy. Such uniformization upon licensing of hMSCs was observed before and Pittenger and colleagues [6] suggested that it could be employed to erase donor variability in cell therapy. However, enhanced efficacy of such priming remains to be established. Notably, many genes were extremely upregulated upon licensing (over 1000-fold), while observed decreased expression was typically more modest (10-50 fold). Upregulated genes showed enrichment for functions in immune response and IFNy-mediated signaling pathways, which is in accordance with the licensing method applied (TNFa and IFN_Y).

Given the large numbers of DEGs associated with our licensing conditions, the generated database represents a valuable source for identification of relevant and sensitive potency markers of hiMSCs or hBMSCs. The increased gene expression was in line with increased secretion of immunomodulatory factors and could, as such, be exploited to develop improved assays to indicate hMSCs' therapeutic potential prior to their use in the clinic [24]. In addition, our data add to understanding the mode of action of regenerative cell-based therapies. For example, upregulated genes were suggested to be regulated by NFKB signaling. It could be explored whether application of NFKB-activating or -inhibitory pharmacological compounds could reinforce effects of stem cell therapy.

Taken together, our results indicate that hiMSCs may help to overcome the current limitations of primary hMSCs and products thereof, *e.g.*, their very small batch sizes. Further studies in appropriate preclinical models are warranted to demonstrate therapeutic potential of the hiMSC secretome in addition to the demonstrated immune-suppressive activity of the released hiMSC-EVs in vitro.



Abbreviations

DEG: Differentially expressed gene; EVs: Extracellular vesicles; FDR: False discovery rate; hBMSC: Human bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stromal cell; hiMSC: HiPSC-derived mesenchymal stromal cell; hiPSC: Human-induced pluripotent stem cell; mdMLR: Multi-donor mixed lymphocyte reaction; MSC: Mesenchymal stromal cell; NFKB: Nuclear Factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; TFBS: Transcription factor binding site.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13287-022-03117-2.

Additional file 1: Supplementary Materials and Methods, Figures, Tables.

Acknowledgements

We thank drs. Van Beelen for expert support with the immunoassays. We thank the patients of the RAAK study, and all members of the MolEpi Osteoarthritis group for their valuable discussion and feedback.

Author contributions

YFMR, TT, SS, GS and ES were involved in collection and/or assembly of data. YFMR was involved in manuscript writing. YFMR, TT, SS, GS, ES, RCA, TBK, HM and IM were involved in data analysis. YFMR, GS, FB, MM, BG and IM were involved in conception and design. YFMR, GS, TT, SS and IM were involved in interpretation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (under grant agreement No 874671 and 667932; the materials presented and views expressed here are the responsibility of the authors only; the EU Commission takes no

responsibility for any use made of the information set out). Data is generated within the scope of the Medical Delta programs Regenerative Medicine 4D ("Generating complex tissues with stem cells and printing technology and Improving Mobility with Technology").

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Medical Ethics Committee of the LUMC gave approval for generation of hiPSCs from skin fibroblasts of healthy donors (P13.080). The Clinical Research Ethical Committee at University College Hospital, Galway, Ireland, provided approval for collection of bone marrow aspirates from healthy donors. The Medical Ethical Committee of the LUMC provided approval for collection of hBMSCs within the ongoing RAAK study and is available under numbers P08.239 and P19.013. Informed consent was obtained from all donors.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

BG is a scientific advisory board member of Innovex Therapeutics SL and Mursla Ltd. and a founding director of Exosla Ltd. None of the other authors report conflicts of interest.

Author details

¹Department of Biomedical Data Sciences, Section Molecular Epidemiology, Leiden University Medical Center, LUMC Postzone S-05-P, P.O. Box 9600, 2300 RC Leiden, The Netherlands. ²Institute for Transfusion Medicine, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany. ³National University of Ireland Galway, Galway, Ireland. ⁴LUMC, Sequencing Analysis Support Core, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Received: 18 May 2022 Accepted: 4 August 2022 Published: 2 September 2022

References

- 1. Partridge L, Fuentealba M, Kennedy BK. The quest to slow ageing through drug discovery. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2020;19(8):513–32.
- Wang S, Lei B, Zhang E, Gong P, Gu J, He L, Han L, Yuan Z. Targeted therapy for inflammatory diseases with mesenchymal stem cells and their derived exosomes: from basic to clinics. Int J Nanomedicine. 2022;17:1757–81.
- Jovic D, Yu Y, Wang D, Wang K, Li H, Xu F, Liu C, Liu J, Luo Y. A brief overview of global trends in MSC-based cell therapy. Stem Cell Rev Rep. 2022;18:1525–45.
- Krampera M, Le Blanc K. Mesenchymal stromal cells: putative microenvironmental modulators become cell therapy. Cell Stem Cell. 2021;28(10):1708–25.
- Andrzejewska A, Lukomska B, Janowski M. Concise review: mesenchymal stem cells: from roots to boost. Stem Cells. 2019;37(7):855–64.
- Pittenger MF, Discher DE, Peault BM, Phinney DG, Hare JM, Caplan AI. Mesenchymal stem cell perspective: cell biology to clinical progress. NPJ Regen Med. 2019;4:22.
- Levy O, Kuai R, Siren EMJ, Bhere D, Milton Y, Nissar N, De Biasio M, Heinelt M, Reeve B, Abdi R, et al. Shattering barriers toward clinically meaningful MSC therapies. Sci Adv. 2020;6(30):eaba6884.
- McGrath M, Tam E, Sladkova M, AlManaie A, Zimmer M, de Peppo GM. GMP-compatible and xeno-free cultivation of mesenchymal progenitors derived from human-induced pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cell Res Ther. 2019;10(1):11.
- Rodriguez Ruiz A, Dicks A, Tuerlings M, Schepers K, van Pel M, Nelissen R, Freund C, Mummery CL, Orlova V, Guilak F, et al. Cartilage from humaninduced pluripotent stem cells: comparison with neo-cartilage from chondrocytes and bone marrow mesenchymal stromal cells. Cell Tissue Res. 2021;386:309–20.
- Dambrot C, van de Pas S, van Zijl L, Brandl B, Wang JW, Schalij MJ, Hoeben RC, Atsma DE, Mikkers HM, Mummery CL, et al. Polycistronic lentivirus induced pluripotent stem cells from skin biopsies after long term storage, blood outgrowth endothelial cells and cells from milk teeth. Differentiation. 2013;85(3):101–9.
- Ramos YF, den Hollander W, Bovee JV, Bomer N, van der Breggen R, Lakenberg N, Keurentjes JC, Goeman JJ, Slagboom PE, Nelissen RG, et al. Genes involved in the osteoarthritis process identified through genome wide expression analysis in articular cartilage; the RAAK study. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(7):e103056.
- Kordelas L, Rebmann V, Ludwig AK, Radtke S, Ruesing J, Doeppner TR, Epple M, Horn PA, Beelen DW, Giebel B. MSC-derived exosomes: a novel tool to treat therapy-refractory graft-versus-host disease. Leukemia. 2014;28(4):970–3.
- Ludwig AK, De Miroschedji K, Doeppner TR, Borger V, Ruesing J, Rebmann V, Durst S, Jansen S, Bremer M, Behrmann E, et al. Precipitation with polyethylene glycol followed by washing and pelleting by ultracentrifugation enriches extracellular vesicles from tissue culture supernatants in small and large scales. J Extracell Vesicles. 2018;7(1):1528109.
- Borger V, Staubach S, Dittrich R, Stambouli O, Giebel B. Scaled isolation of mesenchymal stem/stromal cell-derived extracellular vesicles. Curr Protoc Stem Cell Biol. 2020;55(1): e128.
- Thery C, Witwer KW, Aikawa E, Alcaraz MJ, Anderson JD, Andriantsitohaina R, Antoniou A, Arab T, Archer F, Atkin-Smith GK, et al. Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines. J Extracell Vesicles. 2018;7(1):1535750.
- Tertel T, Schoppet M, Stambouli O, Al-Jipouri A, James PF, Giebel B: Imaging flow cytometry challenges the usefulness of classically used EV labelling dyes and qualifies that of a novel dye, named Exoria[™] for the labelling of MSC-EV preparations. BioRXiv 2021.

Page 9 of 9

- Bomer N, den Hollander W, Ramos YF, Bos SD, van der Breggen R, Lakenberg N, Pepers BA, van Eeden AE, Darvishan A, Tobi EW, et al. Underlying molecular mechanisms of DIO2 susceptibility in symptomatic osteoarthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2015;74(8):1571–9.
- Sherman BT, Hao M, Qiu J, Jiao X, Baseler MW, Lane HC, Imamichi T, Chang W. DAVID: a web server for functional enrichment analysis and functional annotation of gene lists (2021 update). Nuclc Acids Res. 2022;50:W216–21.
- Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc. 2009;4(1):44–57.
- Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Nastou KC, Lyon D, Kirsch R, Pyysalo S, Doncheva NT, Legeay M, Fang T, Bork P, et al. The STRING database in 2021: customizable protein-protein networks, and functional characterization of useruploaded gene/measurement sets. Nucl Acids Res. 2021;49(D1):D605–12.
- Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, Junge A, Wyder S, Huerta-Cepas J, Simonovic M, Doncheva NT, Morris JH, Bork P, et al. STRING v11: protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. Nucl Acids Res. 2019;47(D1):D607–13.
- 22. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15(12):550.
- 23. Madel RJ, Börger V, Dittrich R, Bremer M, Tertel T, Thi Phuong NN, Baba HA, Kordelas L, Buer J, Horn PA et al, Independent human mesenchymal stromal cell-derived extracellular vesicle preparations differentially affect symptoms in an advanced murine Graft-versus-Host-Disease model. The J Extracell Vesicles in prep.
- Jayaraman P, Lim R, Ng J, Vemuri MC. Acceleration of translational mesenchymal stromal cell therapy through consistent quality GMP manufacturing. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9: 648472.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

