

Article

Estimation of the Quality of the Diet of Mexican University Students Using DQI-I

Diana Espino-Rosales ^{1,2}, Alejandro Lopez-Moro ¹, Leticia Heras-González ¹, Maria Jose Jimenez-Casquet ¹, Fatima Olea-Serrano ¹ and Miguel Mariscal-Arcas ^{1,*}

¹ Department of Nutrition and Food Science, University of Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain

² Faculty of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Autonomous University of Chihuahua, Chihuahua 31009, Mexico

* Correspondence: mariscal@ugr.es

Abstract: The quality of diet can be measured using diet quality indices, based on knowledge of associations between diet and health. The objective of this work was to evaluate whether the International Diet Quality Index is suitable for use as a diet quality index in populations of Mexican university girls. A cross-sectional nutritional survey was conducted at the University of Chihuahua (Mexico), collecting semi-quantitative nutritional information and socio-economic and lifestyle data from a representative sample of 400 women. Mean (Standard Deviation (SD)) age was 21.43 years (SD: 3.72); 59.1% were normal weight, 26.6% overweight, 15.3% obesity. The Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I) was developed according to the method of Kim et al. (2003) and focused on major aspects of a high-quality diet (variety, adequacy, moderation and overall balance). The total score of Diet Quality Index-International reached 53.86% (SD: 11.43), indicating that the general diet of Mexican women a poor-quality diet. Adequacy scored highest, followed by moderation and variety. Overall balance scored the lowest. Variety: 26.3 % consumed less than 4 food groups daily, only 12.8% take more than 1 serving from each food group, and 50.6% consumed only one source of protein daily. Regarding adequacy, a large proportion of the population reported an intake of proteins, vitamin C, calcium, iron, and fruit greater than 50% of recommendation; the vegetables, fiber and grain groups were less 50%. Poor scores were obtained for total fat and SFA consumption (moderation). No statistically significant differences are observed for any of the variables under study and score of the Diet Quality Index-International: body mass index, weight, physical activity level, education level of father and mother, location of lunch, breakfast considered important, knowledge of nutrition, which allows us to consider a relatively uniform population in its eating habits. These people are close to a Westernized diet, and an intervention in nutritional education would be advisable to improve the intake of unprocessed foods, consume a greater variety of protein sources and significantly reduce consumption of sugary foods and soft drinks. Due to different methodological and cultural factors, the proposed Diet Quality Index-International dietary assessment method does not seem to be useful in the assessment of diet quality in the Mexican university population, so further research is needed to develop a diet quality index adapted to the Mexican population.

Keywords: diet; Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I); girls; Mexican university



Citation: Espino-Rosales, D.; Lopez-Moro, A.; Heras-González, L.; Jimenez-Casquet, M.J.; Olea-Serrano, F.; Mariscal-Arcas, M. Estimation of the Quality of the Diet of Mexican University Students Using DQI-I. *Healthcare* **2023**, *11*, 138. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11010138>

Academic Editors: Jesús Francisco García-Gavilán and Paz-Graniel Indira

Received: 16 November 2022

Revised: 25 December 2022

Accepted: 28 December 2022

Published: 1 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Nutritional needs have been the subject of ongoing nutritional studies in the population. An excess of energy and macronutrients in the diet can cause marginal deficiencies in other nutrients. The quality of the diet can be measured using scales called diet quality indices, obtaining a score in a simple way, which compares the quality of one diet to global dietary patterns based on prior knowledge of the associations between diet and health. These instruments quantify the intake of food groups, foods, and nutrients; they also assess factors related to lifestyles and/or determine levels of markers in biological samples with

the aim of associating these components with the risk of developing chronic diseases and nutritional deficiencies [1,2].

Various indices have been proposed to assess the quality of the diet of a previously defined population group. Since Kant [3] published the Dietary Diversity Score (range 0–5) based on the number of the main food groups (dairy, meat, cereals, fruits and vegetables) consumed daily. Several indices and modifications have been developed. Drewnowski [4] designed a modified index of the Dietary Diversity Score that considered 164 foods over a period of over 15 days, calling it the Dietary Variety Score [5–7]. To assess the quality of a population's diet, the lifestyle and cultural characteristics of the country must be considered [8] to understand both the nutritional requirements and the foods consumed in the population under study [9–13]. These indices generally allow the evaluation of a population's eating pattern in relation to whether it adheres to a greater or lesser extent of the recommendations of the dietary guidelines following, as references, widely studied models, as is the case of the Western diet [14–17].

The evaluation of the diet of the young Mexican population with the use of DQI could guide their nutritional habits. Mexico is experiencing a nutritional transition characterized by a decrease in the prevalence of different forms of malnutrition, and a high prevalence of obesity. In 2022, about 74% of the Mexican population was overweight. Mexico has one of the highest rates of obesity in the OECD. In addition, 34% of the obese population are morbidly obese, which is the highest level of obesity. Diets high in energy, saturated fat, sodium, refined carbohydrates or added sugars, but low in fruits, vegetables, or whole grains, are the main causes of obesity and related diseases. Generally, dietary intake and obesity are marked by socioeconomic situations. For example, in Mexico, the intake of fruits, saturated fats, added fats, and sugars are higher among subjects with high socioeconomic status compared to those with low socioeconomic status. The prevalence of obesity was higher in adults with higher socioeconomic status [18–21].

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the dietary quality of a specific population (university students in northern Mexico, Chihuahua State) using the Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I) and to relate socioeconomic factors, habits, and body composition to the scores obtained in the index.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Subjects

Out of an initial study population of 420 women, 20 (5%) were excluded for failure to complete the questionnaires, leaving the final sample to include 400 individuals, all who were university students from the School of Social Work (University of Chihuahua, Mex). All of these volunteers signed their informed consent to participation in the study, which was approved by the Science Ethics Committee of the State of Chihuahua (Mexico).

2.2. Methods

The questionnaire was completed through face to face individual interviews in a room at the University of Chihuahua. The questionnaire was applied during an academic year (February to May), prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. Each participant was administered with four questionnaires by a specifically trained interviewer (DER) [15–22]. The first questionnaire collected information on age, schooling, family characteristics, and habits, among others. The second was [23] semi-quantitative Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) covering the previous 12 months. This questionnaire recorded the consumption of foods, the number of times per day, week, or month, and the amount consumed each time in g, mL, or domestic measures (e.g., platefuls, glassfuls, tea/table spoonful, etc.). Daily food and nutrient intake were estimated (in g or mL) by multiplying the standard serving size of items by the consumption frequency classified as: never = 0; 1–3 times/month = 0.07; 1–2 times/week = 0.21; 3–4 times/week = 0.50; 5–6 times/week = 0.80; 1 time/day = 1; and 2–3 times/day = 2.50. The FFQ designed for the study includes 120 foods classified by food groups (dairy, cereals, eggs, pulses, meat, fish, fats, vegetables, fruits, drinks/infusions,

nuts, and others). The third questionnaire was completed in three-day 24 h periods for three non-consecutive days, including one non-working day. It was administered once a month between February and May. At the end, similar information was obtained for three consecutive months. Finally, a questionnaire was used to gather data on anthropometrics and physical activity. Weight (kg) was measured with a floor scale (model SECA 872, Hamburg, Germany), and subjects were barefoot and in light clothes with their height measured with a stadiometer (model SECA 214; 20–207 cm), following the CDC Anthropometry Procedures Manual (<https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/pdf>; accessed on: 10 October 2022), classifying participants as normal weight, overweight or obese according to their body mass index (BMI). The Mexican NutriKAL food nutrient database was used to estimate the intake of nutrients and energy, based on the food intake gathered from the semi-quantitative FFQ and calculating the quantity of each nutrient per 100 g of food [24–26].

2.3. Socio-Demographic Variables

2.3.1. Qualitative Variables

Variables include: weight; physical activity level; education level of father; educational level of mother; location lunch; how important breakfast was considered to be; and knowledge of nutrition.

2.3.2. Quantitative Variables

Age, weight, and height were measured. BMI was calculated through weight and height; the degree of obesity was based on the WHO classification [1].

2.4. Construction of Diet Quality Index-International Briefly

The DQI-I [6] focuses on four aspects of a high-quality diet (variety, adequacy, Moderation, and overall balance). Specific diet components are assessed under each category. These categories help users to identify aspects of their diet that may need improvement. The score for each category is the sum of the scores for each component in that category. The total DQI-I score (range 0–100 points) is the sum of the scores for the four categories.

2.4.1. Variety

Variety was evaluated both as overall variety and as variety of protein sources. The maximum overall variety score was achieved by intake of at least one serving per day from each of the five food groups (meat/poultry/fish/egg, dairy/beans, grains, fruit, and vegetables). The score for the variety of protein sources (meat, poultry, fish, dairy, beans, and eggs) was based on intakes of more than half the serving size per day using data gathered by the FFQ. Portions were based on portion–weight tables for each food group and household measures [25,27].

2.4.2. Adequacy

This category evaluates the adequacy of intake of those dietary elements that are required to protect against under-nutrition and deficiency disorders. The adequacy of fruit, vegetables, grain, and fiber intake is dependent on the energy intake. Thus, for energy intakes of 7118 kJ (1700 kcal), 9211 kJ (2200 kcal), or 11,304 kJ (2700 kcal), the highest score is awarded to the diet that contains 2/3/4 servings of fruit and 3/4/5 of vegetables, respectively. Regarding proteins, intakes were considered adequate when the total energy from protein was >10%. The highest score for grains and fiber was awarded for those intakes/day of >6/9/11 servings of cereals and >20/25/30 g of fiber for the three levels of energy intake, respectively. The highest adequacy scores for iron, calcium, and Vitamin C were derived from the recommendations for the young adult population of Mexicans.

2.4.3. Moderation

Assess the intake of food and nutrients related to chronic diseases, which may need restriction. Total fat intake is assessed with stricter cut-off values than other quality indices.

Cholesterol and sodium intake is also assessed. Table sugar, alcohol, oil, etc. are considered “empty calorie foods”.

2.4.4. Overall Balance

The overall balance evaluates the general balance of the diet considering the proportions of energy sources as well as the composition of fatty acids.

2.4.5. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS version 25.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Means and standard deviations were obtained for each component of the DQI-I. Population percentage values, Student’s *t*-test, and one-way ANOVA were used for associations. Finally, multiple stepwise regressions were also performed (significance of $p = 0.05$).

3. Results

Characteristics of the Study Population

The mean (SD) age of the 400 participants was 21.43 years (SD: 3.72) (range: 18–37 years); 59.1% were normal weight, 26.6% overweight, and 15.3% were classified with type 1 obesity according to the classification of Garrow & Webster [28]. Furthermore, 82.9% do not perform physical activity or do so sporadically, and 16.5% perform physical activity approximately twice a week or more. The studies of the parents are between 35.7% of primary studies, 21.1% of university studies for fathers, 16.9% of primary studies for mothers, and 21.4% of university education.

Table 1 shows the mean intakes calculated from the FFQ results and compares them with international recommendations [2]. The FFQ considered 13 food groups, represented by 120 food items habitually consumed by the general population in Mexico [25]. The nutrients magnesium, iodine, potassium, and folate present average percentages lower than two thirds of the DRI, which represents a nutritional deficit for the population studied.

Table 1. Percentage of daily recommended intake (DRI) of nutrients according to semi-quantitative FFQ result, Herforth et al. (2019) [2].

	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD
Energy (Kcal)	1138.72	3131.27	2170.80	425.63
Energy proteins (%)	8.54	23.19	14.45	2.71
Energy carbohydrate (%)	31.91	73.81	48.50	7.43
Energy lipids (%)	18.13	51.94	35.52	6.38
Fiber %RDA	2.29	214.29	45.79	33.00
% RDA				
Calcium (%)	18.76	115.33	74.02	27.40
Iron (%)	20.02	123.23	67.11	23.51
Magnesium (%)	26.54	139.04	59.63	20.37
Iodine (%)	2.16	77.95	27.25	1.74
Selenium (%)	28.20	142.61	105.69	40.24
Sodium (%)	29.01	158.83	96.79	32.76
Potassium (%)	13.63	75.39	37.18	11.91
Phosphorus (%)	33.99	237.14	109.64	39.54
Zinc (%)	35.78	145.45	87.80	36.03
Niacin (%)	21.39	215.98	101.16	49.84
Thiamine (%)	9.14	209.71	72.29	38.08
Riboflavin (%)	22.34	215.26	111.88	52.47
Pyridoxine (%)	16.36	157.68	80.20	33.14
Folate (%)	13.80	107.52	50.45	20.12
Vitamin C (%)	14.75	446.82	135.52	81.86
Vitamin A (%)	52.90	198.78	83.15	47.78
Vitamin E (%)	60.35	94.83	79.16	3.54
Vitamin D (%)	52.56	95.80	78.13	6.35

The FFQ, stepwise multiple regression analysis was performed to study the intake of each nutrient in relation to the total intake, allowing identification of the most important foods in the diet of the population. Table 2 displays data on the following food groups: cereals and grain-based products; roots and tubers with starch; dry grain legumes; nuts and seeds; vegetables; fruit; sugars, syrups, and sweets; meat and poultry; eggs; fish and shellfish; milk and dairy products; oils and fats; and drinks. The 13 food groups identified included 120 food items, and the FFQ allowed estimation of the intake of 20 nutrients, including energy.

Table 2. Stepwise multiple regression results for selected nutrients. showing the foods included in the semi-quantitative Food Frequency Questionnaire.

Cumulative R ²	Foods	Cumulative R ²	Foods	Cumulative R ²	Foods	Cumulative R ²	Foods	Cumulative R ²
Energy Kcal		Protein		Lipids		Carbohydrate		Ca
Sweets	0.640	Meat	0.772	Fat/Oil	0.679	Cereals	0.646	Dairy product
Cereals	0.817	Dairy product	0.899	Meat	0.862	Soft drinks	0.869	Sweets
Meat	0.893	Cereals	0.940	Dairy product	0.941	Sweets	0.943	Legume
Soft drinks	0.941	Legumes	0.964	Sweets	0.977	Fruit	0.984	
Dairy product	0.967	Fish	0.983					
Mg		Fe		Se		Na		K
Legumes	0.632	Legumes	0.663	Cereals	0.883	Cereals	0.689	Legumes
Cereals	0.861	Cereals	0.780	Meat	0.975	Sweets	0.849	Dairy product
Dairy product	0.948	Meat	0.945	Sweets	0.985	Dairy product	0.952	Cereals
Meat	0.987							
P		I ₂		Thiamine		Riboflavin		Pyridoxine
Dairy product	0.705	Dairy products	0.935	Cereals	0.550	Dairy product	0.655	Cereals
Cereals	0.815	Cereals	0.984	Meat	0.818	Cereals	0.917	Fruit
Sweets	0.854			Legumes	0.970	Fruit	0.973	Dairy product
Eggs	0.870					Meat	0.988	
Folate		Niacin		Vit. C		Vit. A		Vit. E
Legumes	0.886	Cereals	0.954	Fruit	0.766	Dairy product	0.631	Fat/oil
Cereals	0.981	Dairy product	0.991	Cereals	0.935	Fat/oil	0.792	Legumes
Dairy product	0.993			Vegetables	0.989	Eggs	0.878	Dairy product
						Cereals	0.947	

$p < 0.001$ for the cumulative R² value of each nutrient.

The study sample comprised 400 girls' students. The mean total DQI-I score was approximately 53.86% (SD: 11.43) of the possible score (100%). Adequacy obtained the highest score, then moderation and variety, and finally, the general balance that obtained the lowest score. For the variety score, 26.3% daily consumed less than 4 food groups, and only 12.8% take more of 1 serving from each food group/day and 50.6% daily consumed only one source of protein daily (Table 3). Much of the population reported an intake of proteins, vitamin C, calcium, iron, and fruit greater than 50% of recommendation. The vegetables, fiber, and grain groups were less 50% than recommendations (Table 3). The 62.25% score range of the DQI-I is adequate. The ranges do not reach 50% of the recommendation for the consumption of the fruit group (44.9%) or for the vegetables group; the grain group is at the limit of 50% of the recommendation as it is not met by 45% of the population. On

the contrary, the recommendation for protein adequacy (85.6%), Fe (89%), Ca (48.8%), and Vitamin C (76.5%) are above 50% of what is recommended.

Table 3. DQI-I and components [6] in component subcategories (%).

Component	Full Score	Mean	SD	Criteria		%
DQI-I. total	0–100	53.86	11.43			
Variety	0–20	8.82	3.26			
Overall food group variety	0–15	6.60	4.49	≥1 serving from each food group/day	15	12.80
				Any 1 food group missing/day	12	16.60
				Any 2 food groups missing/day	9	22.90
				Any 3 food groups missing/day	6	20.50
				≥4 food groups missing/day	3	26.40
Within-group variety from protein source	0–5	2.22	1.96	≥3 different sources/day	5	26.30
				2 different sources/day	3	23.10
				From 1 source/day	1	50.60
				None	0	0.00
Adequacy	0–40	24.94	4.88			
Vegetable group	0–5	2.03	1.47	≥100% recommendations	5	0.00
				<100–50% recommendations	3	17.00
				<50% recommendations	1	19.90
				0% recommendations	0	63.10
Fruit group	0–5	2.83	2.11	≥100% recommendations	5	38.40
				<50–100% recommendations	3	16.80
				<50% recommendations	1	44.90
				0% recommendations	0	0.70
Grain group	0–5	1.99	1.72	≥100% recommendations	5	15.50
				<100–50% recommendations	3	30.00
				<50% recommendations	1	54.50
				0% recommendations	0	0.00
Fiber	0–5	2.14	1.48	>100% recommendations	5	14.60
				<100–50% recommendations	3	27.40
				<50% recommendations	1	54.90
				0% recommendations	0	0.00
Protein	0–5	4.79	0.67	>100% recommendations	5	89.60
				<100–50% recommendations	3	9.80
				<50% recommendations	1	0.60
				0% recommendations	0	0.00
Iron	0–5	3.21	0.61	>100% recommendations	5	11.9
				<100–50% recommendations	3	89.00
				<50% recommendations	1	0.00
				0% recommendations	0	0.0
Calcium	0–5	3.52	1.33	>100% recommendations	5	39.00
				<100–50% recommendations	3	48.80
				<50% recommendations	1	12.20
				0% recommendations	0	0.00
Vitamin C	0–5	4.44	1.07	>100% recommendations	5	76.50
				<100–50% recommendations	3	19.10
				<50% recommendations	1	4.30
				0% recommendations	0	0.00
Moderation	0–30	17.52	6.25			
Total fat	0–6	1.96	2.36	<20% of total energy/day	6	19.50
				>20–30% of total energy/day	3	26.20
				>30% of total energy/day	0	54.30
Saturated fat	0–6	1.68	2.33	<7% of total energy/day	6	17.70
				>7–10% of total energy/day	3	20.70
				>10% of total energy/day	0	61.60

Table 3. Cont.

Component	Full Score	Mean	SD	Criteria		%
Cholesterol	0–6	4.66	2.13	<300 mg/day	6	68.30
				>300–400 mg/day	3	18.90
				>400 mg/day	0	12.80
Sodium	0–6	5.18	1.57	<2400 mg/day	6	76.20
				>2400–3400 mg/day	3	20.10
				>3400 mg/day	0	3.70
Empty calorie food	0–6	4.04	2.32	<3% of total energy/day	6	54.10
				>3–10% of total energy/day	3	27.90
				>10% of total energy/day	0	18.00
Overall balance						
Macronutrient ratio (carbohydrate:protein:fat)	0–10	2.66	2.26	55–65:10–15:15–25	6	4.90
				52–68:9–16:13–27	4	7.30
				50–70:8–17:12–30	2	56.70
				Otherwise	0	31.10
Fatty acid ratio (PUFA MUFA/SFA)	0–4			PUFA/SFA = 1–1.5 and MUFA/SFA = 1–1.5	4	21.30
				PUFA/SFA = 0.8–1.7 and MUFA/SFA = 0.8 = 1.7	2	1.20
				Otherwise	0	77.50

The population studied obtained results within the limits for fat and saturated fat in the moderation category. Of the population, 61.6% ingested 300 mg/day of cholesterol and 76.2% of the population complied with sodium intake. Furthermore, 31.4% of the population consumed “empty calories food”. Only 4.9% of the population followed the adequate intake of energy from macronutrients, and 28.0% of the subjects have an adequate fatty acid ratio.

No statistically significant differences were observed for any of the studied variables, BMI ($p = 0.571$), accordance to weight ($p = 0.781$), physical activity level ($p = 0.521$), education level of father ($p = 0.555$), educational level of mother ($p = 0.411$), location lunch ($p = 0.154$), consideration of breakfast as important, ($p = 0.711$), and knowledge in nutrition ($p = 0.305$), which allows us to consider a relatively uniform population in its life habits. There is no statistically significant difference after the ANOVA test applied to the grouping in tertiles of the DQI-I and various nutritional variables and social factors (Table 4). Higher intake of vegetables, fruit, fiber, and nutrients such as riboflavin, fat-soluble vitamins (Vit. A, Vit. D, Vit. E), and lower percent of energy intake from fats and SFA are associated with greater follow-up of the DOI-I; however, the upper tertile is negatively associated with the intake of nutrients of interest in the daily diet such as Ca, Se, and folate. The academic training of the parents (% of university students) does not seem to positively influence the follow-up of the diet quality index, however, mothers with a medium level of education are the ones who mostly follow DQI-I (Table 5).

Table 4. Association between Diet Quality Index (DQI-I) and socio-demographic variables.

		N	%	DQI	SD	p^*
BMI (kg/m ²)	<24.99	236.00	59.10	54.61	11.67	0.571
	25.00–29.99	103.00	26.60	53.15	11.53	
	>30.00	61.00	15.30	52.16	10.46	
According to weight	Underweight	52.00	13.00	54.00	10.04	0.781
	Normal	232.00	58.00	55.41	11.42	
	Overweight/obesity	116.00	29.00	54.58	8.31	

Table 4. *Cont.*

		N	%	DQI	SD	<i>p</i> *
Physical activity level	Sedentary	331.00	82.90	54.15	10.97	0.521
	Active	69.00	17.10	52.59	13.79	
Education level of father	Low	143.00	35.70	54.39	10.75	0.555
	Medium	108.00	26.90	55.37	11.16	
	High	84.00	21.10	52.00	12.76	
	Do not know	65.00	16.20	53.16	11.10	
Educational level of mother	Low	68.00	16.90	56.96	11.68	0.411
	Medium	167.00	41.90	52.71	11.63	
	High	86.00	21.40	54.90	11.60	
	Do not know	79.00	19.80	53.20	10.50	
Location lunch	Home	136.00	34.00	55.65	10.86	0.154
	School	264.00	66.00	52.94	11.65	
Breakfast considered important	Yes	180.00	45.00	54.23	10.63	0.711
	No	220.00	55.00	53.56	12.10	
Knowledge in nutrition	Excellent	44.00	11.00	52.75	4.65	0.305
	Well	62.00	15.50	51.69	10.03	
	Medium	250.00	62.50	53.71	10.72	
	Low	44.00	11.00	49.33	12.99	

* Test T/ANOVA. T test, *p* < 0.001.**Table 5.** DQI-I scores and selected food and nutrient intakes by Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I) score category in Mexico.

	Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I)		
	≤49.00	50.00–59.00	60.00+
N	138	130	132
DQI score			
DQI-I. total	41.81	53.81	66.89
Variety score	3.42	9.37	14.10
Adequacy score	21.65	24.97	28.45
Moderation score	14.95	16.79	20.83
Overall balance score	1.79	2.69	3.51
Food and nutrient intake			
Fruit (servings/day)	2.19	5.03	7.59
Vegetable (servings/day)	1.19	2.50	5.46
Dietary fiber (g/day)	14.28	16.62	17.25
Energy from fat (%)	342.11	329.53	287.90
Energy from SFA (%)	132.31	116.15	78.74
Riboflavin (mg/day)	2.81	2.96	4.48
Vitamin C (mg/day)	111.29	118.04	112.46
Vit. A (ug/day)	798.84	753.65	1659.65
Vit. D (ug/day)	3.85	3.57	4.30
Vit. E (mg/day)	10.94	13.95	18.22
Calcium (mg/day)	741.78	770.60	695.99
Iron (mg/day)	9.92	12.62	14.59
Sodium (mg/day)	3503.95	3809.11	5283.35
Zinc (mg/day)	7.06	9.18	14.55
Se (ug/day)	52.32	52.11	48.30
Folate (ug/day)	223.45	244.39	229.60
Father studies			

Table 5. Cont.

N	Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I)		
	≤49.00	50.00–59.00	60.00+
Primary (%)	28.10	34.60	32.10
Media (%)	22.80	28.80	32.10
University (%)	29.80	25.00	22.60
NS/NC (%)	19.30	11.50	13.20
Mother studies			
Primary (%)	15.80	11.50	17.00
Media (%)	47.40	50.00	43.40
University (%)	19.30	17.30	22.60
NS/NC (%)	17.50	21.20	17.00

4. Discussion

DQI-I was used in a population of university students from the School of Social Work (University of Chihuahua, Mex) and estimated nutrients for the population under study, compared to the Mexican RDA [25], showed an imbalance for obtaining energy from macronutrients, with a very high participation of lipids in the total energy to the detriment of carbohydrates that are below the recommendation. Equally lower than 2/3 of the RDA are Mg, I₂, K, and folate.

The foods that contribute the most to the total energy/day are sweets and cereals, followed by meat, soft drinks, and dairy products. This situation coincides with other studies carried out on the young Mexican population [16,29]. Of the population, 50.6% use a single protein source, this makes the diet poor from this point of view; human get proteins in their diet from meat, dairy products, nuts, grains, and beans. The characteristic of various protein sources in the DQI-I as a good, varied diet may be questionable depending on the culture of the population studied. Of the population studied, 26.4% includes fewer than four food groups in their diet, which makes the variety of the diet very poor. These habits coincide with young populations that follow the Western diet model [30,31].

The diet of the studied population was assigned a high adequacy score for protein, iron, Vit. C, and calcium, but low for fruits, vegetables, grains, and fiber that does not meet healthy recommendations [32,33].

According to the scores, the diet is very unbalanced. The DQI-I establishes standards in line with the North American recommendations [34]. Very few subjects meet the DQI-I criteria for energy from fat (<30%). Low scores were also obtained from global balance. More research is needed to establish suitable adaptations of the DQI-I to different populations. Additionally, a poor overall balance follow-up only reaches 25% of the recommended range due to the imbalance in the follow-up of the recommended proportion for macronutrients and for the healthy correlations between MUFA, PUFA, and SFA.

These people are close to consuming a Westernized diet, which is characterized by a high content of proteins (derived from fatty domesticated and processed meats), saturated fats, refined grains, sugar, alcohol, salt, and corn-derived fructose syrup, with an associated reduced consumption of fruits and vegetables. The typical Western (American) diet is low in fruits and vegetables, and high in fat and sodium. Moreover, this diet consists of large portions, high calories, and excess sugar. This excess sugar accounts for more than 13% of the daily caloric intake with beverages constituting 47% of these added sugars [32].

The mean score of the study population was 53.86% of the full score, and lower than the mean DQI-I scores reported in different studies cited by Dalwood [35]. According to the criteria of Kim [6], scores below 60% indicate a poor-quality diet. The highest scores in the present group were for adequacy and moderation. In this population, socio-demographic factors do not sufficiently influence the monitoring of the quality of the diet recommended by DQI-I. No statistically significant differences are observed with

BMI; 58% of the population is satisfied with their weight and does not see it influenced by following a quality diet. Breakfast is important for 45% of the population and 62.5% state that they have an average knowledge of nutrition, but as is the case with other population groups [31], this does not seem to influence healthy monitoring of diet quality. The application of knowledge of social relationships on the youth population could broaden the scope of nutritional interventions to promote health in the physical and psychosocial dimensions [36].

The study of the female university population may present a bias compared to the general population; in the first place, the age range is restricted 21.43 years (SD: 3.72). In addition, being university students at the School of Social Work, it is possible to assume greater knowledge about nutrition and higher cultural level than other groups of similar age and different cultural level, as stated by various studies [37,38]. However, according to the statement of university students, knowledge of nutrition is on average 62.5% of the population and a DQI-I follow-up value of 53.71.

The results of this study suggest that by assessing four major qualities of the diet, the index may also provide useful information for nutrition intervention and education programs in determining which areas of diets require improvement. Because the DQI-I assessed various aspects of diet with a strict set of standards, especially for the fat components, the mean of the DQI-I score in both countries reached only 60% of the highest possible score.

An investigation into the major categories, however, revealed interesting differences between the countries, reflecting each country's nutritional status and concerns. Diets are multidimensional, and there exist quite different strengths and weaknesses of the dietary patterns of each country, which were well conceptualized by the specific major categories of the DQI-I.

The highest variety score and lowest moderation score in developed countries corresponds to what is observed through the stages of the nutritional transition. Economic development allows for greater food availability, as well as greater food security, therefore allowing greater variety and adequacy of diet [39].

DQI-I gives points for eating meat, poultry, egg yolks, and fatty dairy products. It is widely known that eating an excessive amount of meat is not healthy [40]. WHO has classified red meat as potentially carcinogenic, and all other processed meat as carcinogenic as well [40]. Many studies [41,42] have also shown that eating meat (and also other animal products) increases mortality. According to this knowledge of associations between diet and health, it cannot be stated that DQI-I is a good way measure the quality of diet.

5. Conclusions

After the study of nutritional habits, it can be concluded that the diet of the subjects studied is deficient in the consumption of vegetable foods (vegetables, fruits, and grains).

The energy is obtained mainly from carbohydrates and simple sugars (sweets and soft drinks), otherwise known as empty calories. However, the intake of Na, cholesterol, proteins, and Vitamin C are adequate. There are no statistically significant differences between the socioeconomic parameters considered in the study and the DQI-I values.

In general, the diet of this population is monotonous from the point of view of the variety parameter, and it only reaches 44.1% of the parameter defined in DQI-I, and for overall balance, it only meets 25% of the estimated parameter.

An intervention in nutritional education would be advisable, to improve the intake of plant foods, include a greater variety in protein sources (for example, introduce into your diet combinations of grains and legumes, grains and grains seeds and nuts, legumes seeds and nuts), and significantly reduce the consumption of sugary foods and soft drinks. According to this study, it is necessary to extend the results to male university students and to other population ranges to achieve a better perspective regarding the quality of the diet of the Mexican population.

Author Contributions: The study was designed by F.O.-S. and M.M.-A.; data were collected and analyzed by D.E.-R., A.L.-M., L.H.-G., M.J.J.-C. and M.M.-A.; data interpretation and manuscript preparation were undertaken by F.O.-S., A.L.-M., D.E.-R. and M.M.-A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was funded by FEDER-ISCIII (PI14/01040) by the Counselling of Economic Transformation, Industry, Knowledge and Universities-Junta de Andalucía (P18-RT-4247) and by The High Council for Sports (CSD), Spanish Ministry of Culture and Sport (RED GENDASH “Gender and Data Science in Sports and Health” (Ref.02/UPR/21) (RED RDFD “Functional Sports Dynamometry” (Ref.06/UPB/22).

Institutional Review Board Statement: All of these volunteers signed their informed consent to participation in the study, which was approved by the science ethics committee of the state of Chihuahua (Mexico), Identification code: n° 1162/ceih/2020. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Informed Consent Statement: All of these volunteers signed their informed consent to participation in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author M.M.-A. (mariscal@ugr.es).

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Layla Davies-Jimenez and Richard Davies for assistance with the English version. This paper will be part of Diana Espino Rosales’s doctoral thesis. Being completed as part of the “Nutrition and Food Sciences Program” at the University of Granada. Spain.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interest or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

1. World Health Organization. *Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic: Report of a WHO Consultation*; WHO Technical Report Series; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2000; ISBN 978-92-4-120894-9.
2. Herforth, A.; Arimond, M.; Álvarez-Sánchez, C.; Coates, J.; Christianson, K.; Muehlhoff, E. A global review of food-based dietary guidelines. *Adv. Nutr.* **2019**, *10*, 590–605. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
3. Kant, A.K.; Schatzkin, A.; Ziegler, R.G. Dietary diversity and subsequent cause-specific mortality in the NHANES I epidemiologic follow-up study. *J. Am. Coll. Nutr.* **1995**, *14*, 233–238. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
4. Drewnowski, A.; Henderson, S.A.; Shore, A.; Fischler, C.; Preziosi, P.; Hercberg, S. Diet Quality and Dietary Diversity in France: Implications for the French Paradox. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* **1996**, *96*, 663–669. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Krebs-Smith, S.M.; Pannucci, T.E.; Subar, A.F.; Kirkpatrick, S.I.; Lerman, J.L.; Toozé, J.A.; Wilson, M.M.; Reedy, J. Update of the Healthy Eating Index: HEI-2015. *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet.* **2018**, *118*, 1591–1602. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Kim, S.; Haines, P.S.; Siega-Riz, A.M.; Popkin, B.M. The Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I) Provides an Effective Tool for Cross-National Comparison of Diet Quality as Illustrated by China and the United States. *J. Nutr.* **2003**, *133*, 3476–3484. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Palacin-Arce, A.; Monteagudo, C.; Beas-Jimenez, J.D.D.; Olea-Serrano, F.; Mariscal-Arcas, M. Proposal of a Nutritional Quality Index (NQI) to Evaluate the Nutritional Supplementation of Sportspeople. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0125630. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Monteagudo, C.; Mariscal-Arcas, M.; Rivas, A.; Lorenzo-Tovar, M.L.; Tur, J.A.; Olea-Serrano, F. Proposal of a Mediterranean Diet Serving Score. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0128594. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Malinowska, A.M. Easy Diet Screener: A quick and easy tool for determining dietary patterns associated with lipid profile and body adiposity. *J. Hum. Nutr. Diet.* **2021**, *35*, 590–604. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Akbarzade, Z.; Djafarian, K.; Saeidifard, N.N.; Majd, S.A.; Garousi, N.; Samadi, F.; Jebraeili, H.; Chamari, M.; Clark, C.C.T.; Shab-Bidar, S. The association between lunch composition and obesity in Iranian adults. *Br. J. Nutr.* **2021**, *127*, 1517–1527. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Neilson, L.J.; Macaskill, L.A.; Luk, J.M.H.; Sharma, N.; Salvadori, M.I.; Seabrook, J.A.; Dworatzek, P.D.N. Children’s School-Day Nutrient Intake in Ontario: A Cross-Sectional Observational Study Comparing Students’ Packed Lunches from Two School Schedules. *Nutrients* **2022**, *14*, 1966. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Alalwani, J.; Eljazzar, S.; Basil, M.; Tayyem, R. The impact of health status, diet and lifestyle on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: Narrative review. *Clin. Obes.* **2022**, *12*, e12525. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Trichopoulou, A. Mediterranean diet as intangible heritage of humanity: 10 years on. *Nutr. Metab. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **2021**, *31*, 1943–1948. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Tur, J.A.; Romaguera, D.; Pons, A. The Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I): Is it a useful tool to evaluate the quality of the Mediterranean diet? *Br. J. Nutr.* **2005**, *93*, 369–376. [[CrossRef](#)]

15. Mariscal-Arcas, M.; Romaguera, D.; Rivas, A.; Feriche, B.; Pons, A.; Tur, J.A.; Olea-Serrano, F. Diet quality of young people in southern Spain evaluated by a Mediterranean adaptation of the Diet Quality Index-International (DQI-I). *Br. J. Nutr.* **2007**, *98*, 1267–1273. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
16. López-Olmedo, N.; Carriquiry, A.L.; Rodríguez-Ramírez, S.; Ramírez-Silva, I.; Espinosa-Montero, J.; Hernández-Barrera, L.; Campirano, F.; Martínez-Tapia, B.; Rivera, J.A. Usual Intake of Added Sugars and Saturated Fats Is High while Dietary Fiber Is Low in the Mexican Population. *J. Nutr.* **2016**, *146*, 1856S–1865S. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
17. Kroker-Lobos, M.F.; Pedroza-Tobías, A.; Pedraza, L.S.; Rivera, J.A. The double burden of undernutrition and excess body weight in Mexico. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2014**, *100*, 1652S–1658S. [CrossRef]
18. Lim, S.S.; Vos, T.; Flaxman, A.D.; Danaei, G.; Shibuya, K.; Adair-Rohani, H.; Amann, M.; Anderson, H.R.; Andrews, K.G.; Aryee, M.; et al. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990–2010: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* **2012**, *380*, 2224–2260. [CrossRef]
19. Pedroza-Tobías, A.; Rivera-Dommarco, J.A. Prevalencia de obesidad en adultos Mexicanos, ENSANUT 2012. *Salud Publica Mex.* **2013**, *55*, S151–S160.
20. Aburto, T.C.; Pedraza, L.S.; Sánchez-Pimienta, T.G.; Batis, C.; Rivera, J.A. Discretionary Foods Have a High Contribution and Fruit, Vegetables, and Legumes Have a Low Contribution to the Total Energy Intake of the Mexican Population. *J. Nutr.* **2016**, *146*, 1881S–1887S. [CrossRef]
21. López-Olmedo, N.; Popkin, B.M.; Taillie, L.S. Association between socioeconomic status and diet quality in Mexican men and women: A cross-sectional study. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0224385. [CrossRef]
22. Hamdan, M.; Monteagudo, C.; Lorenzo-Tovar, M.-L.; Tur, J.-A.; Olea-Serrano, F.; Mariscal-Arcas, M. Development and validation of a nutritional questionnaire for the Palestine population. *Public Health Nutr.* **2013**, *17*, 2512–2518. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Mariscal-Arcas, M.; Caballero-Plasencia, M.L.A.; Monteagudo, C.; Hamdan, M.; Pardo-Vasquez, M.I.; Olea-Serrano, F. Validation of questionnaires to estimate adherence to the Mediterranean Diet and life habits in older individuals in Southern Spain. *J. Nutr. Health Aging* **2011**, *15*, 739–743. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
24. NHANES. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Anthropometry Procedures Manual. January 2016. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes_15_16/2016_anthropometry_procedures_manual.pdf (accessed on 10 October 2022).
25. Bonvecchio Arenas, A.; Fernández-Gaxiola, A.C.; Plazas-Belausteguigoitia, M.; Kaufer-Horwitz, M.; Perez-Lizaur, A.B.; Rivera-Dommarco, J.A. *Guías Alimentarias y de Actividad Física en Contexto de Sobrepeso y Obesidad en la Población Mexicana*. Academia Nacional de Medicina; CONACYT, Ed.; Intersistemas (Mexico D.F., Mexico): Mexico City, Mexico, 2015; ISBN 978-607-443-515-3.
26. Marván, L.; Pérez, A.B. NutrirKcal VO. 2005. Available online: www.nutrikcal.com.mx (accessed on 6 October 2022).
27. Rivera-Dommarco, J.A.; Colchero-Aragón, M.A.; Fuentes, M.L.; González de Cosío Martínez, T.; Aguilar-Salinas, C.A.; Hernández-Licona, G.; Barquera, S. *La Obesidad en México. Estado de la Política Pública y Recomendaciones Para su Prevención y Control*; Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública: Cuernavaca, Mexico, 2019; ISBN 978-607-511-179-7.
28. Garrow, J.S.; Webster, J. Quetelet's index (W/H²) as a measure of fatness. *Int. J. Obes.* **1985**, *9*, 147–153. [PubMed]
29. Sánchez-Pimienta, T.G.; Batis, C.; Lutter, C.K.; Rivera, J.A. Sugar-Sweetened Beverages Are the Main Sources of Added Sugar Intake in the Mexican Population. *J. Nutr.* **2016**, *146*, 1888S–1896S. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
30. Cordain, L.; Eaton, S.B.; Sebastian, A.; Mann, N.; Lindeberg, S.; Watkins, B.A.; O'Keefe, J.H.; Brand-Miller, J. Origins and evolution of the Western diet: Health implications for the 21st century. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2005**, *81*, 341–354. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
31. Betancourt-Núñez, A.; Márquez-Sandoval, F.; González-Zapata, L.I.; Babio, N.; Vizmanos, B. Unhealthy dietary patterns among healthcare professionals and students in Mexico. *BMC Public Health* **2018**, *18*, 1246. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
32. Rakhra, V.; Galappaththy, S.L.; Bulchandani, S.; Cabandugama, P.K. Obesity and the Western Diet: How We Got Here. *Mo. Med.* **2020**, *117*, 536–538.
33. Aburto, T.C.; Batis, C.; Pedroza-Tobías, A.; Pedraza, L.S.; Ramírez-Silva, I.; Rivera, J.A. Dietary intake of the Mexican population: Comparing food group contribution to recommendations, 2012–2016. *Salud Publica Mex.* **2022**, *64*, 267–279. [CrossRef]
34. Eilander, A.; Harika, R.K.; Zock, P.L. Intake and sources of dietary fatty acids in Europe: Are current population intakes of fats aligned with dietary recommendations? *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *117*, 1370–1377. [CrossRef]
35. Dalwood, P.; Marshall, S.; Burrows, T.L.; McIntosh, A.; Collins, C.E. Diet quality indices and their associations with health-related outcomes in children and adolescents: An updated systematic review. *Nutr. J.* **2020**, *19*, 118. [CrossRef]
36. Neely, E.; Walton, M.; Stephens, C. Young people's food practices and social relationships. A thematic synthesis. *Appetite* **2014**, *82*, 50–60. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
37. Lozano Marroquín, C.; Calvo Díaz, G.; Armenta Hurtarte, C.; Pardo, R. The influence of the social groups on the diet of Mexican university students. *Psicumex* **2021**, *11*, e346. [CrossRef]
38. Rippin, H.L.; Hutchinson, J.; Greenwood, D.C.; Jewell, J.; Breda, J.J.; Martin, A.; Rippin, D.M.; Schindler, K.; Rust, P.; Fagt, S.; et al. Inequalities in education and national income are associated with poorer diet: Pooled analysis of individual participant data across 12 European countries. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0232447. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
39. Walls, H.L.; Johnston, D.; Mazalale, J.; Chirwa, E.W. Why we are still failing to measure the nutrition transition. *BMJ Glob. Health* **2018**, *3*, e000657. [CrossRef]

40. Bouvard, V.; Loomis, D.; Guyton, K.Z.; Grosse, Y.; El Ghissassi, F.; Benbrahim-Tallaa, L.; Straif, K. International Agency for Research on Cancer Monograph Working Group. Carcinogenicity of consumption of red and processed meat. *Lancet Oncol.* **2015**, *16*, 1599–1600. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Segovia-Siapco, G.; Sabaté, J. Health and sustainability outcomes of vegetarian dietary patterns: A revisit of the EPIC-Oxford and the Adventist Health Study-2 cohorts. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2019**, *72* (Suppl. 1), 60–70. [[CrossRef](#)]
42. Wang, M.; Ma, H.; Song, Q.; Zhou, T.; Hu, Y.; Heianza, Y.; Manson, J.E.; Qi, L. Red meat consumption and all-cause and cardiovascular mortality: Results from the UK Biobank study. *Eur. J. Nutr.* **2022**, *61*, 2543–2553. [[CrossRef](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.