

University of St. Thomas, Minnesota

## UST Research Online

---

Justice and Peace Studies Faculty Publications

Justice and Peace Studies (SEE Justice &  
Society Studies)

---

2020

### Working Upstream

Mary Owen

Michael Westerhaus

Amy Finnegan

*University of St. Thomas, Minnesota*

Laalitha Surapaneni

Winona LaDuke

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ir.stthomas.edu/cas\\_jps\\_pub](https://ir.stthomas.edu/cas_jps_pub)

---

#### Recommended Citation

Owen, Mary; Westerhaus, Michael; Finnegan, Amy; Surapaneni, Laalitha; and LaDuke, Winona, "Working Upstream" (2020). *Justice and Peace Studies Faculty Publications*. 7.

[https://ir.stthomas.edu/cas\\_jps\\_pub/7](https://ir.stthomas.edu/cas_jps_pub/7)

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Justice and Peace Studies (SEE Justice & Society Studies) at UST Research Online. It has been accepted for inclusion in Justice and Peace Studies Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UST Research Online. For more information, please contact [asle4660@stthomas.edu](mailto:asle4660@stthomas.edu).

## Canada is no global health leader on COVID-19 vaccine equity

In the 2018 *Lancet* Series on Canada's global leadership on health, the authors reported on measures such as the Feminist International Assistance Policy and concluded that "the world, more than ever, needs Canada's leadership on health".<sup>1</sup> Unfortunately, Canadian leadership on vaccine equity was an early casualty of COVID-19. A year into the pandemic, Canada's international image is that of a country who secured over ten doses of scarce vaccine per capita.<sup>2</sup>

Weeks after its vaccine portfolio made headlines worldwide, Canada remained silent on what would happen to its extra few hundred million projected doses; Prime Minister Justin Trudeau finally made a vague commitment to share surplus, not in an official policy but in a television interview.<sup>3</sup> Little has happened since. Canada has not responded to calls for immediate donations for health-care workers and the most susceptible abroad; despite releasing official timelines outlining when Canada will have more doses than it needs, Canada has not yet announced a corresponding timeline for sharing its excess supply.<sup>4</sup>

Canada's defenders will correctly note that the country has been a major financial contributor to the COVAX initiative and the Access to COVID-19 Tools Accelerator. However, COVAX would have worked far better had Canada (and other countries) not actively subverted the initiative through bilateral deals with vaccine suppliers, thereby pushing COVAX towards the back of the line for scarce global supplies. Writing a generous cheque is less meaningful when there is little to purchase.

Actions speak louder than Canadian officials' frequent recitation that no one is safe until everyone is safe. In February, 2021, despite explicit

entreaties by WHO, Canada signed yet another bilateral agreement (its eighth), this time with the Serum Institute of India, a primary COVAX supplier. Around the same time, Canada also requested vaccines directly from COVAX in the first round of distribution, the only G7 country to do so. Although legally entitled to this request, bolstering its bilateral deals by drawing on this low supply at a time when countries dependent on COVAX had yet to receive a single dose should not be considered global health leadership. Canada's obfuscatory stance to the World Trade Organization on temporarily waiving intellectual property rights during the pandemic, even as the country rapidly amended its own domestic laws along similar lines, has also done little to burnish its global image.<sup>5</sup> The sad conclusion is that although the world desperately needs more vaccines, it does not need more of this kind of Canadian leadership.

ARH works for Doctors Without Borders/Médecins Sans Frontières Canada in a role relating to access to medicines. SM declares no competing interests.

\*Adam R Houston, Srinivas Murthy  
ahous062@uottawa.ca

Faculty of Law, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON K1N 6N6, Canada (ARH); University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada (SM)

- 1 Clark J, Horton R. Canada's time to act. *Lancet* 2018; **391**: 1643–45.
- 2 Government of Canada. Public Services and Procurement Canada has signed agreements with seven companies to secure access to seven COVID-19 vaccine candidates. Nov 19, 2020. <https://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/medias-media/2020-11-19-00-eng.html> (accessed March 30, 2021).
- 3 Aiello R. If Canada has excess COVID-19 vaccines they 'absolutely' will be shared: PM. Dec 17, 2020. <https://www.ctvnews.ca/politics/if-canada-has-excess-covid-19-vaccines-they-absolutely-will-be-shared-pm-1.5236745> (accessed March 30, 2021).
- 4 Government of Canada. Canada negotiates accelerated delivery schedule for Pfizer-BioNTech's COVID-19 vaccine. Feb 12, 2021. <https://www.canada.ca/en/public-services-procurement/news/2021/02/canada-negotiates-accelerated-delivery-schedule-for-pfizer-biontechs-covid-19-vaccine.html> (accessed March 30, 2021).
- 5 Doctors Without Borders Canada. WTO COVID-19 TRIPS Waiver Briefing Note. March 9, 2021. [https://www.doctorswithoutborders.ca/sites/default/files/msf\\_canada\\_briefer\\_on\\_trips\\_waiver.pdf](https://www.doctorswithoutborders.ca/sites/default/files/msf_canada_briefer_on_trips_waiver.pdf) (accessed March 30, 2021).

## Working upstream

Health-care workers often conceptualise addressing the social and structural determinants of health as working upstream.<sup>1</sup> In response to the racial disparities of the COVID-19 pandemic and the Movement for Black Lives, health systems are acknowledging systemic racism, promoting implicit bias training, and screening for the social determinants of health. Although welcome, these changes will not achieve the social transformation necessary to eliminate health inequities. We must move even further upstream.

Water Protectors, working upstream on the Mississippi River in northern Minnesota, USA, provide a model of what this work entails. They are a mix of Indigenous and environmental activists who are resisting construction of the Line 3 tar sands pipeline. Line 3 will be able to move up to 915 000 barrels of tar sands oil per day across hundreds of water bodies and wild rice beds, a nutritious grain integral to the Ojibwe people (also known as the Anishinaabe people) that does not grow anywhere else in the world. The pipeline will traverse sovereign treaty territory where Ojibwe people maintain the rights to hunt, fish, gather, and practise cultural traditions. Chronic disease, carcinogenic pollutants, and climate change are the possible downstream consequences of Line 3 on the health of humans, land, and water.<sup>2,3</sup>

In response, Water Protectors are acting to directly obstruct the flow of capital and challenge endless resource extraction. They lobby congress, protest in the streets, testify in courtrooms, implore divestment from banks funding pipelines, create camps on the basis of mutual aid, and physically impede construction.

Health-care workers and health systems seeking to erase health inequities can learn a great deal



Michael Westerbans

For more on the **Movement for Black Lives** see <https://m4bl.org/>

For more on **Line 3** see <https://www.stopline3.org/#intro>

Submissions should be made via our electronic submission system at <http://ees.elsevier.com/thelancet/>

from the Water Protectors. First, addressing the social determinants of health requires dismantling the upstream systems of power that structure society, such as racial capitalism and settler colonialism.<sup>4</sup> Second, working upstream requires a collective, longitudinal pursuit of justice. The movement to resist Line 3 has been organising for 13 years, building restorative communities and germinating relationships of trust among multisectoral coalitions. Third, human and natural ecosystem health is inter-related and we must prioritise addressing climate change as essential health work. Fourth, just as some Water Protectors risk arrest or danger to their bodies, so too must health-care workers risk confrontation with power holders in our health-care and political systems.

In our efforts to deeply engage with the social determinants of health, Water Protectors, who prevent the destruction of life and assert the sovereignty of Indigenous people, are an exemplar of truly upstream health and healing.

WL is the executive director of Honor the Earth. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Mary Owen, \*Michael Westerhaus, Amy Finnegan, Lalitha Surapaneni, Winona LaDuke  
west0591@umn.edu

Center of American Indian and Minority Health, University of Minnesota, Duluth, MN, USA (MO); Global Medicine (MW) and Division of General Internal Medicine (LS), University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, MN, USA; University of St Thomas, St Paul, MN, USA (AF); Honor the Earth, MN, USA (WL); Center for International Health, St Paul, MN 55104, USA (MW)

- 1 McKinlay J. The case for refocusing upstream: the political economy of illness. In: Conrad P, ed. *The sociology of health and illness: critical perspectives*, 7th edn. New York, NY: Worth Publishers, 2005: 551–64.
- 2 Schulz LO, Bennett PH, Ravussin E, et al. Effects of traditional and western environments on prevalence of type 2 diabetes in Pima Indians in Mexico and the U.S. *Diabetes Care* 2006; **29**: 1866–71.
- 3 King M, Smith A, Gracey M. Indigenous health part 2: the underlying causes of the health gap. *Lancet* 2009; **374**: 76–85.
- 4 Link BG, Phelan J. Social conditions as fundamental causes of disease. *J Health Soc Behav* 1995; **35**: 80–94.

## Vaccine scarcity in LMICs is a failure of global solidarity and multilateral instruments

To address COVID-19 vaccine scarcity, Ivan Sisa and colleagues<sup>1</sup> justify placebo-controlled trials in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs), arguing that these countries have “less capacity to negotiate and purchase vaccines than do high-income countries” and that the global shortage can be overcome with more vaccine producers coming from such trials. We are concerned that this reasoning sets the wrong precedent because approving such a trial should show that evidence can only be reached with this design.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, LMICs should not ignore the urgent need to increase production and distribution<sup>3</sup> of already efficacious vaccines.

In the interest of saving people’s lives, vaccine development demands working towards improved capacities on the road from discovery (free of patent restrictions) to manufacturing and equitable distribution. Therefore, clinical trials should be done simultaneously, engaging volunteers and researchers across a broad range of LMICs and high-income countries. Furthermore, study protocols should provide robust assurances that participants will have access to the vaccine when their priority group is eligible in the general population. Finally, emphasis should be made on other pressing issues, such as adopting low dead space syringes to prevent discarding residues, thus improving vaccine volume.<sup>4</sup>

Ensuring efficacious vaccines are made widely available and at fair cost, when high-income countries are hoarding up to five times what they need<sup>5</sup> and prices are speculative, would require making alliances with countries (eg, Brazil or India) with the capacity to produce generic vaccines, alongside efficient syringes, and

means of storage and transportation. Notwithstanding, LMICs will need support from additional partners in other regions of the world.

We declare no competing interests.

\*Irene Torres, Daniel Lopez-Cevallos, Osvaldo Artaza, Barbara Profeta, JaHyun Kang, Cristiani Vieira Machado  
irene.torres@octaedro.edu.ec

Fundación Octaedro, Quito 170505, Ecuador (IT); School of Language, Culture, and Society, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, USA (DL-C); Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Universidad de las Américas, Santiago de Chile, Chile (OA); Fribourg, Switzerland (BP); College of Nursing and Research Institute of Nursing Science, Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea (JK); Oswaldo Cruz Foundation-Fiocruz, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (CVM)

- 1 Sisa I, Noblecilla E, Orozco F. Rationale to continue approving placebo-controlled COVID-19 vaccine trials in LMICs. *Lancet* 2021; **397**: 878.
- 2 Krause PR, Fleming TR, Longini IM, et al. Placebo-controlled trials of COVID-19 vaccines—why we still need them. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **384**: e2.
- 3 Torres I, Artaza O, Profeta B, Alonso C, Kang J. COVID-19 vaccination: returning to WHO’s Health For All. *Lancet Glob Health* 2020; **8**: e1355–56.
- 4 Jara CP, Velloso LA, de Araújo EP. Optimizing COVID-19 vaccine usage. *medRxiv* 2020; published online Jan 1. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.04.21249167> (preprint).
- 5 UN Security Council. Secretary-General calls vaccine equity biggest moral test for global community, as Security Council considers equitable availability of doses. Feb 17, 2021. <https://www.un.org/press/en/2021/sc14438.doc.htm> (accessed Feb 24, 2021).

## Global COVID-19 vaccine roll-out: time to randomise vaccine allocation?

The global COVID-19 vaccine roll-out might be the largest public health exercise ever done. COVAX, the vaccines access pillar of the COVID-19 Tools Accelerator, supported by WHO, UNICEF, and others, expects to deliver two billion doses to 190 countries in 1 year. At present, 13 vaccines have received approval in various jurisdictions. The roll-out provides an opportunity, unparalleled in human history, to learn about vaccines.