Implementation of a neuropsychological intervention in secondary school: Targeting executive problems in young adolescent boys

Citation for published version (APA):

Dekker, S., Krabbendam, L., Gemmink, M., De Groot, R., & Jolles, J. (2011). *Implementation of a neuropsychological intervention in secondary school: Targeting executive problems in young adolescent boys.* Poster session presented at Biennial Conference of the International Mind, Brain, and Education Society, San Diego, United States.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/06/2011

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between *A submitted trial discript is the version of the article dpoin submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
 * The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
 * The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

Link to publication

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

https://www.ou.nl/taverne-agreement

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Downloaded from https://research.ou.nl/ on date: 15 Feb. 2023



Implementation of a neuropsychological intervention in secondary school: Targeting executive problems in young adolescent boys

Sanne Dekker¹ (presenting author), Lydia Krabbendam¹, Michelle Gemmink¹,
Renate de Groot^{1,2} & Jelle Jolles^{1,2}

Contact information: sj.dekker@psy.vu.nl

POSTER SUMMARY (590 words, max=500)

Research question and brief review of relevant studies

The aim of our research is to implement and evaluate a recently developed neuropsychological intervention in a school setting. The intervention is specifically designed for young adolescent boys that experience executive problems in school and consequently perform less than expected by teachers and/or parents. There is an increased need for these types of interventions, because underachievement of boys is a widespread concern in many countries. In the Netherlands, boys more often repeat a grade and/or switch to lower educational streams during the early years of secondary school. This has resulted in a lower success rate of boys in the highest educational streams of the Dutch educational system (CBS, 2009).

A potent explanation for the lower achievement of boys compared to girls during the first years of secondary school relates to protracted brain development in brain areas responsible for cognitive control and goal-directed actions (Giedd, 2008). During adolescence, there is a developmental lag in brain maturation of boys (De Bellis et al., 2001) and as a consequence, young adolescent boys experience more difficulties with attention, planning and response inhibition than girls of the same age.

A neuropsychological intervention with psychoeducation, goal management training and exchange of experiences as main components may have potential value for diminishing executive problems. Previous studies in other populations (aging people, adults with ADHD) have shown that this type of intervention reduced cognitive complaints and increased coping skills (Van Hooren et al., 2007; Scholtissen-In de Braek, Dijkstra, Ponds en Jolles, *submitted for publication*). In the current research, we evaluated the neuropsychological intervention relative to a standard homework support intervention.

Participants and methods

¹Research Institute LEARN!, Faculty of Psychology and Education, VU University Amsterdam, The Netherlands

² Centre for Brain & Learning, Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, Maastricht University, The Netherlands

Participants included 46 boys in grade 8 who perform at one of the two highest educational streams in the Dutch educational system. Participants were selected by their teacher based on scores on a checklist for executive difficulties. Participants were randomly assigned to either a neuropsychological or a homework support intervention group. Every group consisted of 8-10 boys, guided by two specially trained teachers. There were 9 meetings of 50 minutes, twice a week after regular school hours. Evaluation took place before (double baseline), directly after and three months after intervention. Measures included objective neuropsychological tests and several multiple informant (parent, teacher, self) cognition questionnaires.

Summary of significant findings

Since data-collection is not completed yet, the first results will be made available at the conference. During the teacher training, teacher reactions were very positive with respect to the content of the neuropsychological intervention. Preliminary data from parent reports shows that participant satisfaction was 51,7% in the neuropsychological intervention, compared to 42% in the homework support group. This between groups difference was not significant (p=.342).

Educational and scientific importance of study

The poor performance of boys in higher educational streams in the Dutch educational system indicates the burgeoning need to develop and evaluate interventions for this population. This may improve school performance in boys in this age range and potentially prevent repeating of a grade and/or switching to lower, more practice based educational streams. Furthermore, this research also contributes to the professionalism of teachers, since the teachers get training in how to deal with boys with executive problems. Finally, this study will be a step towards the development of evidence-based education, as it will underscore the feasibility of a scientific approach to educational methods. In sum, we believe that this kind of research is not only necessary for improving the achievements of young adolescent boys in secondary school, but also paves the way towards a more evidence-based educational system.

References

De Bellis, M.D., Keshavan, M.S., Beers, S.R., Hall, J., Frustaci, K., Masalehdan, A., Noll, J. & Boring, A.M. (2001). Sex differences in brain maturation during childhood and adolescence. *Cerebral Cortex*, 11(6), 552-557.

Giedd, J.N. (2008). The Teen Brain: Insights from Neuroimaging. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 42,* 335–343.

Scholtissen-In de Braek, D., Dijkstra, J., Ponds, R. & Jolles, J. Goal Management Training in adults with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): an intervention study. *Submitted for publication*Van Hooren, S. A., Valentijn, S. A., Bosma, H., Ponds, R. W., van Boxtel, M. P., Levine, B., Robertson, I. & Jolles, J. (2007). Effect of a structured course involving goal management training in older adults: A randomised controlled trial. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 65(2), 205-213.

Implementation of a neuropsychological intervention in secondary school: Targeting executive problems in young adolescent boys

Sanne Dekker¹ (presenting author), Lydia Krabbendam¹, Michelle Gemmink¹,

Renate de Groot^{1,2} & Jelle Jolles^{1,2}

Abstract (283 words; max=250)

A widespread concern about poor performance of boys in secondary education indicates the burgeoning need to develop and evaluate interventions for this population. Due to protracted and delayed brain development in areas responsible for cognitive control (Giedd, 2008, De Bellis et al., 2001), young adolescent boys may experience more difficulties with attention, planning and response inhibition than girls of the same age. These executive difficulties during the early years of secondary school hinder boys' academic achievement. This study describes a new neuropsychological intervention targeting mild executive difficulties in young adolescent boys. The main components of this intervention are psychoeducation, goal management training and exchange of experiences. The intervention focuses on improving metacognitive skills. The aim of the research was to evaluate the effectiveness of the neuropsychological intervention program relative to a standard homework support intervention. The intervention was implemented in three Dutch secondary schools. Participants included 46 boys from grade 8 who experience executive difficulties as rated by their teacher. Participants were randomly assigned to either the neuropsychological or homework support intervention. An intervention group consisted of 8-10 boys guided by two trained teachers. Since data-collection is not completed yet, the first results will be made available at the conference. Initial teacher reactions with respect to the content of the neuropsychological intervention were very positive. Preliminary data shows that participant satisfaction was 51,7% in the neuropsychological intervention, compared to 42% in the homework support group (parent report, p=.342). Participants in both interventions will be compared on objective neuropsychological test performance and multiple informant cognition questionnaires (teacher, parent, self). This research will be a step towards the development of evidence-based education, as it will underscore the feasibility of a scientific approach to educational methods.

¹Research Institute LEARN!, Faculty of Psychology and Education, VU University Amsterdam, The Netherlands

² Centre for Brain & Learning, Faculty of Health, Medicine and Life Sciences, Maastricht University, The Netherlands **Contact information:** sj.dekker@psy.vu.nl