

CLIVAR 2023: TOWARDS AN INTEGRATED VIEW OF CLIMATE



The MED-CORDEX ensemble future climate projections for the Mediterranean Sea: impacts of the high resolution and ocean-atmosphere coupling

Javier Soto-Navarro^{1,2}, Gabriel Jordà³, Samuel Somot⁴ and Florence Sevault⁴

¹Grupo de Oceanografía Física de la Universidad de Málaga, Málaga, SPAIN.
²Instituto de Ingeniería Oceánica de la Universidad de Málaga, Málaga, SPAIN.
³Instituto Español de Oceanografía, Centre Oceanogràfic de les Balears, Palma, SPAIN.
⁴CNRM, Université de Toulouse, Météo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, FRANCE





Med-CORDEX

International initiative that aims at developing fully coupled high resolution Regional Climate Models (RCMs) for the Mediterranean basin, as part of the global CORDEX initiative.



www.medcordex.eu





Objectives:

- Is the climate change response consistent in all the models?
- > Is there a significant impact of the higher resolution in the RCMs?
- Is there a significant impact of the ocean-atmosphere coupling?

In this presentation: 28 simulations. 14 historical (10 RCM, 4 ARCM), 14 RCP 8.5 scenario runs (10 RCM, 4 ARCM).

Institution	RCM	ARCM	GCM	Scenario	Short Name
CNRM	CNRM-RCSM4		CNRM-CM5	RCP 8.5	CNRM-CM5
	CNRM-RCSM6	CNRM-ALADIN63	CNRM-ESM2-1	SSP 5-85	CNRM-ESM2
GERICS-AWI	GERICS-AWI-ROM25	REMO25	MPI-ESM-LR	RCP 8.5	AWI-25-MPI
	GERICS-AWI-ROM50	REMO50	MPI-ESM-LR	RCP 8.5	AWI-50-MPI
LMD	LMD-LMDZNEMOMED8		IPSL-CM5A-MR	RCP 8.5	LMD-IPSL
			MPI-ESM-MR	RCP 8.5	LMD-MPI
			CNRM-CM5	RCP 8.5	LMD-CNRM
U. Belgrade	EBU-POM2	EBU	MPI-ESM-LR	RCP 8.5	UBEL-MPI
СМСС	CMCC-CCLM4		CMCC-CM	RCP 8.5	CMCC-CMCC
GUF	CLMcom-GUF-CCLM5		EC-EARTH	RCP 8.5	GUF-ECEARTH





Objectives:

Is the climate change response consistent in all the models?

Is there a significant impact of the higher resolution in the RCMs?

Is there a significant impact of the ocean-atmosphere coupling?

In this presentation: 28 simulations. 14 historical (10 RCM, 4 ARCM), 14 RCP 8.5 scenario runs (10 RCM, 4 ARCM).

> Analysis of the climate change signal of SST and atmospheric variables at the surface level

Climate Change (CC) signal computed as the difference between the averages of the last 30 years of the projection (2070-2100) and the last 30 years of the historical period (1976-2005).

CC signal = average(2070-2100) - average(1976-2005)



Models CC response



SST and Air temperature increase



AWI-25-MPI Air T CC signal (°C)



- All the simulations show a warming of the sea surface between 2.5 and 4 °C on average.
- The Air T average increase is around 30% higher than for the SST.



Models CC response





Decrease of the net heat losses towards the atmosphere (heat gain)

AWI-25-MPI Net Surface Heat Flux CC signal (W/m²) Heat flux positive downwards (towards the ocean)

Decrease in the net heat loss (average 0.2 - 4.3 \succ W/m^2) with high spatial variability \rightarrow the atmosphere is cooling less the sea and even starting to warm it for some models.



Models CC response













1.5

0.5

AWI-25-MPI AWI-50-MPI

UBEL-MPI

CNRM-ESM2 CNRM-CM5 LMD-CNRM

LMD-IPSL

LMD-MPI CMCC-CMCCGUF-ECEARTH Ensemble





Consistency among all the models



Net Surface Heat Flux CC signal averaged over de Med Sea







Impact of the resolution









Differences between the RCMs and GCMs CC signals



> The average SST CC signal is slightly stronger in the GCMs (+ 0.2 °C on average).

- Significant differences between RCM and GCM SST in the **spatial structures**.
- The **air T** signal is clearly stronger in the GCMs (**+ 0.4 °C on average**).
- More pronounced differences in specific regions as the Adriatic or the Gulf of Lions, reaching 1 °C on average in these sub-basins.



Air T CC signal averaged over the Adriatic Sea





Impact of the resolution









Differences between the RCMs and GCMs CC signals



The higher SST, air temperature and the consequent increase in the heat gain \succ in the GCMs are translated in a generalized higher reduction of the precipitation over the basin (+0.2 mm/d on average, although very dependent on the model).

AWI-ROM25 vs MPI-ESM-LR Precipitation dCC signals (mm/d)









Impact of the coupling









Differences between the RCMs and ARCMs CC signals

AWI-ROM25 vs REMO25 SST dCC signals (°C)



AWI-ROM25 vs REMO25 Air T dCC signals (°C)



- The average SST CC differences are similar \succ to the differences with the GCMs, as expected because the ARCMs use GCMs as boundary condition.
- > Significant changes in the spatial structures.
- > The air T signal differences are not as pronounce as with the GCMs, but still significant for the spatial structures.



Impact of the coupling

Med CORDEX CORM







Differences between the RCMs and ARCMs CC signals





AWI-ROM25 vs REMO25 HFLS dCC signals (W/m²)



- ARCMs show stronger latent HF \geq signals than both RCMs and GCMs \rightarrow more evaporation to compensate the higher SST increase from the GCMs boundary condition at the sea surface.
- Also higher humidity increase in \geq the ARCMs (not shown) → SST – Air -T gradient and latent heat flux differences.





Impact of the coupling







Differences between the RCMs and ARCMs CC signals



AWI-ROM25 vs REMO25 precipitation dCC signals (mm/d)



Stronger precipitation decrease in \succ ARCM. As a consequence of the differences in the heat gain.

AWI-ROM25 vs REMO25 Air T dCC signals (°C)



Precipitation CC signal averaged over the Med Sea



