St. Norbert College Digital Commons @ St. Norbert College

Student Presentations

St. Norbert Collaborative Center for Undergraduate Research

2022

DNA Barcoding for Molecular Prospecting of Platyhelminthes

Logan Elkin

WIII Butak

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.snc.edu/collaborative_presentations

DNA Barcoding for Molecular Prospecting of Platyhelminthes

Abstract:

DNA barcoding (Folmer et al. 1994), is an efficient method to distinguish species by short specific DNA sequences from a common region of their genome, such as the cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 (CO1) gene. The early primers of Folmer et al. (1994) were not as universal as desired (Elias et al. 2007). Platyhelminthes (flatworms), being the fourth most speciose animal phylum, is a taxonomic group where universal barcoding primers are not very effective (Vanhove, et al. 2013). Recently, Van Steenkiste et al. (2014) and Elbrecht and Leese (2017) developed by Van Steenkiste et al. (2014), Dice 1F, Dice 11R, and Dice 14R, on a diverse collection of trematodes, a group with a significant number of undescribed taxa. A total of 120 amplifications were performed on 69 trematode samples from 27 genera. Dice 1F/11R and Dice 1F/14R primer sets were tested alongside the JB3/JB5 primer set (Bowles et al. 1992; Derycke et al. 2005). Overall amplification efficacy was notably larger for the Dice 1F/11R primer set. However, the JB3/JB5 primer pair led to a higher percentage of successful sequences, as compared to either of the Dice primers.

Introduction:

DNA barcoding (Folmer et al. 1994), is an efficient method to det and distinguish species by short specific DNA sequences of a comm region of their genome, such as the cytochrome c oxidase subunit (CO1) gene. The early primers of Folmer et al. (1994) were not as universal as desired and were only moderately successful on certain groups of organisms (Elias et al. 2007). Platyhelminthes, being the most speciose animal phylum, is a taxonomic group where universa primers are not very effective (Vanhove, et al. 2013). Recently, Van Steenkiste et al. (2014) and Elbrecht and Leese (2017) developed for parasitic and free-living flatworms that show promise in sequence CO1 barcoding region. The main objectives of this study were to (1) the primers developed by Van Steenkiste et al., namely Dice 1F, Die and Dice 14R for amplification efficacy on a variety of trematodes, a use the resulting amplified barcodes to uncover cryptic species.

Materials and Methods:

In total, 69 extracts from 37 taxa belonging to 27 genera were us this study (Table 1). Specimens had already been extracted prior to study using Qiagen's DNEasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen Inc. CA DNA concentrations were measured using ND-1000 Spectrophoton V3.3 (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc., DE).

The CO1 gene was amplified by PCR, using the following primer (1) Dice 1F/Dice 11R, (2) Dice 1F/14R, and (3) JB3/JB5. (Van Steel et al. 2014; Bowles et al. 1992; Derycke et al. 2005). The JB3/JB5 p set was the comparison, as they are frequently used for obtaining p CO1 genes from platyhelminths (Pinto et al. 2018; Greiman et al. 2018). PCRs had a volume of 50 ul and contained; 25 uL of Phusic Start Flex DNA polymerase in 1X Phusion HF buffer (New England Biolabs, Inc.), 0.5 uM of each primer from the primer set, and 5 ul of template DNA. The thermocycling conditions were slightly modified the Van Steenkiste et al. protocol to accommodate the Phusion Hot Flex DNA polymerase. The thermocycling parameters were; 98 °C 1 s, 94 °C for 90 s; 3 cycles of 94 °C for 40 s, 51 °C for 40 s, 72 °C for min; 5 'touchdown' cycles of 94 °C for 40 s, 50 °C to 46 °C for 40 s (dropping 1 °C per cycle), 72 °C for 1 min; 35 cycles of 94 °C for 40 °C for 40 s, 72 °C for 1 min; and a final extension at 72 °C for 7 min amplified products were run on a 1.5% TAE agarose gel stained wit either ethidium bromide or 6X GelRed® Prestain Loading Buffer wit Tracking Dye (Biotium, Fremont, CA). Samples were purified using ExoSAP-IT PCR Product Cleanup (Affymetrix, Inc. Santa Clara, CA) sent for sequencing to MCLab (South San Francisco, CA). Sequence were assembled and aligned using Clustal W in Mega X (Kumar et 2018).



Figure 1. White box around target band. (A) Products of Dice 1F/11R amplification. (B) Purified products from Figure A.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank the SNC Collaborative for a Student-Faculty Collaborative Research Grant. References: Bowles J., D. Blair and D.P. McManus. 1992; Mol. and Bioc. Parasit. 54:165-174; Derycke, S., T. Remerie, A. Vierstraete, T. Backeljau, J. Vanfleteren, M. Vincx and T. Moens. 2005; Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 300: 91–103; Elbrecht, V. and F. Leese. 2017; Front. Environ. Sci. 5:11; Elias, M., R.I Hill, K.R. Willmott, K.K. Dasmahapatra, A.V.Z. Brower. 2007; Proc. R. Soc. B. 274: 2881-2889; Folmer R.H.A, M. Nilges, P.J.M. Folkers, R.N.H. Konings and K. Tamura. 2018; Mol. Biol. Evol. 35(6): 1547-1549; Pinto H.A., E.A. E.A. Sc. B. 274: 2881-2889; Folmer R.H.A, M. Nilges, P.J.M. Folkers, R.N.H. Konings and C.W. Hilbers. 1994; Mol. Biol. Evol. 35(6): 1547-1549; Pinto H.A., E.A. E.A. Pulido-Murillo, R.R. Braga, V.L.T. Mati, A.L. Melo, V.V. Tkach. 2013; ZooKeys, 365: 355-379; Van Steenkiste, N.V., S.A. Locke, M. Castelin, D.J. Marcogliese and C.L. Abbott. 2014; Mol. Eco. Reso. 15: 945-952.

Logan Elkin, Will Butak, and Anindo Choudhury Division of Natural Sciences, Saint Norbert College, De Pere, WI

			DNA	JB3/JB	Dice	Dice
		Extract	Concentratio	5	1F/11R	IF/14R
tect	Taxa	ID#	n (ng/uL)	Primer	Primer	Primer
non	Phyllodistomum sp.	X0049	8.3	-	+	+
1	Phyllodistomum sp.	X0051	20.5	-	+	+
•	Phyllodistomum sp.	X0055	6.2	+	+	-
	Crepidostomum cooperi	X0092	5.1	+	N/A	N/A
in	Crepidostomum cooperi	X0095	9.6	+	N/A	N/A
fourth	Lissorchis sp.	X0104	14	+	-M	-
	Lissorchis sp.	X0105	4.8	+	-M	-
al	Lissorchis sp.	X0107	8.2	+	+	-M
	Lissorchis sp. (macropharvnx	X0110	6.3	N/A	+	+
primers	Lissorchis sp. (gullaris)	X0111	4 1	N/A	+	+
ring the	Azvaia longa	X0147	214	+	N/A	N/A
	Azvaia longa	X0148	4 1	N/A	+M	N/A
) test	Azvaia longa	X0149	3.9	+	N/A	N/A
ce 11R,	Azvaia so	X0150	0.4	NI/A	+M	N/A
and (2)	Hysternmornha.sn	X0208	25.8	N/A	+M	N/A
	Crenidostomum sn	X0200	23.0	NI/A	- 141	NI/A
	Creptototomann op.	X0205	2.1	+	+M	DWA
	Daracrontotromatina limi	X0210	1.5	NUA	- M	-
	Cranidactoryum paraansisi	X0220	10.0		- 101	NI/A
	Ashraliaanua auriaulatum	AU227	10.2	NUA NUA		IN/A NUA
sed in	Actifolicatios auticulatum	X0236	0.0	IN/A	-	IN/A NUA
this	Crepidostomum sp.	X0240	3.2	IN/A	+	IN/A
	Crepidostomum sp.	X0244	4	N/A	-	N/A
A).	Crepidostomum metoecus	X0247	3.1	N/A	+M	+
neter	Crepidostomum farionis	X0248	6	N/A	+M	+
	Plagiocirrus sp.	X0255	4.6	-	+M	-
	Masenia sp.	X0338	11.2	-	+M	-
r sets:	Bunodera saculata	X0345	2.3	+	N/A	N/A
nkiste	Strigeidae gen. sp.	X0427	5	N/A	+M	+
orimer	Strigeidae gen. sp.	X0428	5.1	N/A	+M	+
	Strigeidae gen. sp.	X0429	2.3	N/A	-	+
allial	Cryptocotyle sp.	X0436	1.4	-	+	+
	Opegaster sp.	X0504	2.8	-	+	-
on Hot	Crepidostomum sp.	X0514	8.4	+	+	+
	Plagioporus sp.	X0526	6.1	+	+M	-
ſ	Phyllodistomum sp.	X0561	3	+	N/A	N/A
T	Plagioporus sp.	X0566	1.6	N/A	+M	N/A
d from	Nezpercella sp.	X0567	2.4	N/A	+	N/A
Start	Hemiuroidea gen. sp.	X0570	8.1	N/A	+	N/A
for 20	Plagioporus sp.	X0574	9.8	N/A	+M	N/A
101 30	Plagioporus sp	X0579	21	N/A	+M	N/A
or 1	Phyllodistomum sp	X0581	15.4	N/A	+	N/A
	Phyllodistomum sp.	X0593	8.1	N/A	+	N/A
) e 15	Crepidostomum cooperi	X0500	6.4	NI/A	+	N/A
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{b}}$	Bunodera so	X0614	11.9	NI/A	_	NI/A
n. The	Bunodera oucaliae	X0627	10.2	NI/A		NI/A
th	Dunodora inconstans	X0027	2.1	19//5	NUA	NI/A
th	Clinostomum en	V0620	2.1	NUA	DVA	
	Clinestemum en	X0030	22.1	NUA NUA		IN/A NUA
、 .	Uanlanaridaa gan an	VOCOE	0.0	IN//A	- TVI	IN/A NUA
and	Hapioporidae gen. sp.	X0080	1.1	IN/A	+	IN/A
ces	Crassiculus sp.	X0087	1.9	N/A	-	IN/A
al	Hapioporidae gen. sp.	X0088	1.5	N/A	+	IN/A
	Acantnostomum sp.	X0690	0	+	N/A	N/A
	Hapioporidae gen. sp.	X0692	0.8	N/A	+	N/A
	Phyliodistomum sp.	X0698	0.6	N/A	+M	N/A
	Haploporidae gen. sp.	X0703	2	N/A	+M	N/A
	Gauhautiana sp.	X0709	1.7	N/A	+M	N/A
	Masenia sp.	X0710	0.9	N/A	+M	N/A
	Trematode gen. sp.	X0712	1.4	N/A	+M	N/A
	Isoparorchis sp.	X0713	3	N/A	+M	N/A
	Puntiotrema/Macrolecthius sp	X0716	4.3	N/A	+	N/A
	Asymphyladora sp.	X0717	0.7	N/A	-	N/A
	Masenia sp.	X0727	4	+	N/A	N/A
	Cestrahelmins sp.	X0771	3.5	-	-	N/A
	Cestrahelmins sp.	X0772	1.4	-	N/A	N/A
	Cestrahelmins sp.	X0776	2	+	N/A	N/A
	Crepidostomum sp.	X0781	3.3	-	+	N/A
	Crepidostomum sp.	X0785	3	+	N/A	N/A
	Acetodextra sp.	X0793	3.8	+	N/A	N/A
	Acetodextra sp	X0794	6.5	N/A	-	NI/A
		TOTOT	0.0	1.407.3		1.407.5

Table 1. Summative table of all extracts with corresponding taxa, DNA concentration, and amplification results.



Results:

Of the 120 amplifications, 86 successfully amplified regions of the CO1 gene; 37.2% of the amplifications showed mispriming (Table 2). We were unable to amplify the CO1 gene from the genera Asymphyladora and Crassicuttis, but the amplifications were only attempted with the Dice 1F/11R set. Amplification efficacy for JB3/JB5 and Dice 1F/11R primer sets were similar, 76.6% and 73.5%, respectively. The Dice 1F/14R set was less successful at 57.1% efficiency (Table 2). The overall sequencing efficiency (i.e., the number of readable sequences over total sequencing reactions ran) was 52.6% (Table 3). Sequencing efficiency varied greatly by primer set; the Dice primer sets were less effective compared to the JB3/JB5 set (Table 3).

	# of	F Amplified Primer les Target Dimer		Hogyior	
Primer Set	Samples				
	Amplified	Gene	Complex		
JB3/JB5	30	23	0	0	
Dice 1F/11R	69	51	18	12	
Dice 1F/14R	21	12	6	0	

Table 2. Frequency table of all amplification reactions after
 correct thermocycling conditions were established. Four amplifications for Dice 1F/11R had both lighter and heavier mispriming.

Primer Set	# of Sequencing Attempts	# of Successful Sequences	Forward Primer Sequence	F Se			
JB3/JB5	19	13	0				
Dice 1F/11R	13	5	0				
Dice 1F/14R	6	2	2				
Table 3 Frequency table of all sequencing reactions							

Table 3. Frequency table of all sequencing reactions.

Discussion:

Dice 1F/11R was the most effective amplification and sequencing primer set from VanSteenkiste et al. (2014) for trematodes in this study. It should be noted that Phusion Hot Start Flex DNA polymerase in 1X Phusion HF buffer protocol recommends 50-250ng of template DNA per 50uL reaction (New England Biolabs, Inc.). A majority of our amplifications contained less than 50 nanograms of template and were still effective (Table 1).

However, when the Dice 1F/11R primer set was compared to the JB3/JB5

primer set, the sequencing efficiency was notably lower without gel extraction (Table 3). Although the JB3/JB5 primer set produced successful sequences at a higher rate, the sequences generated using JB3/JB5 were 360-375 bp. Using the Dice 1F/11R primer set produced sequences that were 550-580 bp in length. VanSteenkiste et al. (2014) were able to isolate sequences from non-misprimed bands without performing gel extractions. However, most of our amplifications resulted in variable levels of mispriming (Tables 1 and 2). Purifying these amplified products using ExoSAP-IT did not eliminate misprimed bands (Figure 1). Given the high frequency of mispriming with Dice primer sets requires the additional gel extraction step to acquire sequences effectively. Considering the need for gel extraction using the Dice primers, our study suggests the JB3/JB5 primer set may be the better primer set for obtaining a partial CO1 sequence, if used with the previously described thermocycling conditions.

In future studies, touchdown cycles will be selectively narrowed to produce more specific annealing. We also intend to gel extract successful but misprimed amplifications and obtain sequences from them.



