

Article New Triazine Derivatives as Serotonin 5-HT₆ Receptor Ligands

Dorota Łażewska ^{1,*}⁰, Małgorzata Więcek ¹, Grzegorz Satała ², Paulina Chałupnik ¹⁰, Ewa Żesławska ³⁰, Ewelina Honkisz-Orzechowska ¹D, Monika Tarasek ¹, Gniewomir Latacz ¹D, Wojciech Nitek ⁴, Ewa Szymańska 1 [a](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3025-7002)nd Jadwiga Handzlik 1[,* 0](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3674-3581)

- ¹ Department of Technology and Biotechnology of Drugs, Faculty of Pharmacy, Jagiellonian University Medical College in Kraków, Medyczna 9,30-688 Kraków, Poland
- Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Maj Institute of Pharmacology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Smetna 12, 31-343 Kraków, Poland
- 3 Institute of Biology, Pedagogical University of Krakow, Podchorążych 2, 30-084 Kraków, Poland
- 4 Faculty of Chemistry, Jagiellonian University in Kraków, Gronostajowa 2, 30-387 Kraków, Poland
- * Correspondence: dorota.lazewska@uj.edu.pl (D.Ł.); j.handzlik@uj.edu.pl (J.H.)

Abstract: Since the number of people with Alzheimer's disease (AD) continues to rise, new and **effective drugs are urgently needed to not only slow dow n the progression of the disease, but to stop** or even prevent its development. Serotonin 5-HT₆ receptor (5-HT₆R) ligands are still a promising therapeutic target for the treatment of AD. 1,3,5-Triazine derivatives, as novel structures lacking an indole or a sulfone moiety, have proven to be potent ligands for this receptor. In present work, new derivatives of the compound MST4 (4-((2-isopropyl-5-methylphenoxy)methyl)-6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine), the potent $5-HT_6R$ antagonist ($K_i = 11$ nM) with promising ADMET and in vivo properties, were designed. The synthesized compounds were tested for their affinity towards 5-HT₆R and other receptor (off)targets (serotonin 5-HT_{2A}, 5-HT₇ and dopamine D₂). Based **on the new results, 4-(2-tert-butylphenoxy)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (**3**) w as** selected for extended in vitro studies as a potent and selective 5-HT₆R ligand (K_i = 13 nM). Its **ability to perm eate the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and its hepatotoxicity w ere evaluated. In addition,** X-ray crystallography and solubility studies were also performed. The results obtained confirm that **6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine derivatives, especially com pound** 3**, are prom ising** structures for further pharmacological studies as 5-HT₆R ligands.

Keywords: serotonin 5-HT₆ receptor; 5-HT₆R ligands; 1,3,5-triazine derivatives; solubility; crys**tal structure**

1. Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a progressive, neurodegenerative disorder with a complex etiology. It is currently estimated that the number of people with the disease in Europe will double by 2050 compared to today [\[1\].](#page-19-0) The largest increase is expected in poor and middleincome countries. The average time from the onset of the disease, which is not noticeable, through the onset of cognitive impairment to dementia is between 15 and 25 years. AD most often affects people over 65 years of age and depends on genetic predisposition (60-80%). Moreover, women are more likely to develop this disease than men. The search for effective drugs for AD is not easy. There are currently three acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (donepezil, rivastigmine and galantamine) and one NMDA antagonist (memantine) in therapeutic use. Memantine was, for 18 years (from 2003), the last new chemical drug registered for the treatment of this disease $[2]$. Quite recently, in 2021, the FDA approved, through a fast-track approval pathway and despite doubts about its efficacy, a new biological drug to fight AD—aducanumab (Aduhelm[®]), which is a monoclonal antibody [\[3\].](#page-19-2) It is the first drug to slow the progression of the disease by acting on beta-amyloid deposits. The deposition of beta-amyloid plaques is one of the characteristic features of AD. The plaques

Citation: Łazewska, D.; Wiecek, M.; Satała, G.; Chałupnik, P.; Żesławska, E.; Honkisz-Orzechowska, E.; Tarasek, M.; Latacz, G.; Nitek, W.; Szymańska, E.; et al. New Triazine Derivatives as Serotonin 5-HT₆ Receptor Ligands. Molecules 2023, 28, 1108[. https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28031108) [molecules28031108](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28031108)

Academic Editors: Lee Wei Lim and Luca Aquili

Received: 30 November 2022 Revised: 18 January 2023 Accepted: 19 January 2023 Published: 22 January 2023

Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) 4.0/).

play a role in dam aging nerve cells and causing other undesirable changes in the brain. Aducanumab is registered for patients with an early diagnosis of AD (mild cognitive im pairment and mild dementia) $[4]$. In addition to the search for new drugs, other forms of administration are being sought to facilitate their use while limiting gastrointestinal side effects, such as donepezil transdermal patches (Adlarity®) delivering 5 or 10 mg/day of the drug for seven days, approved in March of this year by the FDA (14 March 2022) [\[5\]](#page-19-4). Although the variety of drugs on the market for the treatment of AD is small, the number of compounds reaching clinical trials is still high. More recently, Cumming et al. conducted an analysis of clinical trials registered on the website [https://clinicaltrials.gov t](https://clinicaltrials.gov)hat were ongoing in January of this year (as of 25 January 2022) in the United States, where the target was AD therapy [6[\].](#page-19-5) In the investigated time, 143 agents were involved in 172 clinical trials belonging to four groups: memory enhancers, biological disease modifiers, smallm olecule disease-modifying compounds and compounds treating neuropsychiatric and behavioral symptoms. Most of the tested compounds were in phase II clinical trials and were classified as disease-modifying substances (83.2%). This ongoing work creates opportunities to bring new therapeutic agents to the market in the future. Currently, the rational design of such compounds based on molecular modelling plays an important role in obtaining new therapeutic products. Furthermore, the use of disease biomarkers facilitates diagnosis and is helpful in assessing the course of the disease. Interestingly, serotonin 5-HT₆ receptor (5-HT₆R) antagonists, i.e., idalopirdine, intepirdine or latrepirdine (Figure [1\),](#page-1-0) were an interesting group of compounds investigated for potential use in the treatment of AD. These compounds reached phase III of clinical trials. However, the studies did not show a positive effect of the tested compounds on cognition when used as adjuncts to cholinesterase inhibitors [\[7\]](#page-19-6). Although these trials have not been successful, 5-HT₆R, with its many promising preclinical studies, continues to be a hopeful therapeutic target for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases. Much research is being performed now to find new potent ligands and to study the role of this receptor in the development and progression of diseases $[7-9]$ $[7-9]$.

Figure 1. Structure and $5-HT_6$ receptor affinity of compounds that reached phase III. Data from [\[8\]](#page-19-8).

 $5-\text{HT}_6R$ is found almost exclusively in the central nervous system, where it particularly moderates GABA and glutamate levels and facilitates the release of neurotransmitters such as dopamine, norepinephrine and acetylcholine, all of which are compromised in AD. A recent in vitro (postmortem) study using the PET radiotracer $[^{18}F]2FNQ1P$ showed a decrease in 5-HT $_6$ R density in AD patients in the caudate nucleus, a region normally rich in this receptor [\[10\]](#page-19-9). Furthermore, a systematic analysis of animal and human studies by Corey and Quednow on the role of serotonin in declarative memory showed that there is a marked decrease in serotonin in the brain and that $5-HT_{1A}R$ antagonists, $5-HT₄R$ agonists and 5-HT₆R antagonists may be helpful in improving this memory [\[11\]](#page-19-10). Over the past several years, our research group has been involved in the search for new $5-HT_6R$

ligands among 1,3,5-triazine derivatives [\[12](#page-19-11)-18]. Such derivatives represent an original chemical group, lacking sulfonic and/or indole moieties, which are characteristic elements of the majority of 5-HT₆R ligands [\[8\]](#page-19-8). As a result of our previous work, we have described many promising compounds; among them was **MST4**, a compound with a high affinity for $5-HT_6R$, promising ADMET (absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity) properties and in vivo pro-cognitive activity (Figure 2) $[16]$. In the present study, this compound was chosen as the lead structure, and the modifications were designed as shown in Figure 2. The synthesized compounds were evaluated in vitro for receptor affinity, cell membrane permeability, and hepatotoxicity. In addition, the most promising molecule was transformed into different salts to check its $5-\text{HT}_6R$ affinity, solubility and crystallographic structure.

Figure 2. Design of novel compounds based on promising lead compound MST4.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Synthesis of Compounds

Esters (reaction a; Scheme [1\)](#page-3-0) were prepared and purified as previously described by Ali et al. [\[15\]](#page-19-14). The exact synthetic process and physicochemical data for the selected esters are presented in the Supplementary Material S1. Unfortunately, under these reaction conditions, we could not obtain esters via O -alkylation of various substituted phenols (2isopropyl, 2-isopropyl-5-methyl and 3-methyl) with methyl 3-bromopropionate. TR1 was obtained through the condensation of piperazine dihydrochloride with cyanoguanidine (reaction b; Scheme [1\)](#page-3-0) [\[19\].](#page-19-15) Next, the obtained esters were cyclized with $TR-1$ (reaction c; Scheme [1\).](#page-3-0) Equimolar amounts of reagents were taken for this reaction, and it was carried out in an alkaline medium of sodium methanolate. The course of the reaction was controlled via thin layer chromatography. The final products were obtained as free bases or carried out as hydrochlorides. The purity and identity of the compounds were confirmed using spectral analysis (1 H NMR, 13 C NMR, and LC/MS) (Supplementary Material S2).

Scheme 1. Synthetic way of designed compounds 1-25. (a) Synthesis of esters 1a-25a; (b) Synthesis of TR1; (c) Synthesis of final triazines 1-25. Reagents and conditions: (i) K_2CO_3 , acetone or acetonitrile, reflux 12-24h; (ii) Cs₂CO₃, acetone, reflux 12-24 h; (iii) butanol, temperature gradually increased from 50 to 90 °C during 1 h, 12-24 h reflux; (iv) CH₃ONa (freshly prepared), room temp 12-48 h or reflux 15-30 h.

32 . Pharmacology

2.2.1. In Vitro Affinity for $5-HT_6$ Receptor

The affinity of compounds ($1-25$) for $5-HT_6R$ was evaluated in the radioligand binding assay in HEK293 cells stably expressing human 5 -HT₆R. [³H]-LSD as a radioligand was used [\[12\].](#page-19-11) All compounds showed affinity for $5-HT_6R$, but the strength of the interaction with this receptor depended on the type of substituent in the phenyl ring, the type of substitution (mono- or di-) and the length of the linker between the triazine ring and the phenyl substituent. All results are collected in Tables [1](#page-3-0)-3.

Table 1. Structures and biological activity of tested compounds $1-7$ (series 1)¹.

 1 Tested experimentally in the radioligand binding assay; binding affinity, $\rm K_i$, expressed as the average of at least two independent experiments. Used radioligands: [3H]-LSD (5-HT₆R), [3H]-ketanserin (5-HT_{2A}R), [3H]-5-CT

(5-HT7R) and [3H]-raclopride (D2R); $^{\rm a}$ data from Ali et al. [\[15\]](#page-19-14); $^{\rm b}$ nt: not tested; $^{\rm c}$ data from Kucwaj-Brysz et al. [8[\].](#page-19-8)

Table 2. Structures and biological activity of tested compounds 8-13 (series 2)¹.

Table 1. *Cont.*

Table *2. Coni:.*

 1 Tested experimentally in the radioligand binding assay in human cells stably transfected with a proper receptor; binding affinity, $\rm K_i$, expressed as the average of at least two independent experiments. Used radioligands: [3H]-LSD (5HT₆R), [³H]-ketanserin (5HT_{2A}R), [³H]-5-CT (%HT₇R) and [³H]-raclopride (D₂R); ^a data from Ali et al. [\[15\];](#page-19-14) **b** nt: not tested.

Table 3. Structures and biological activity of tested compounds $14-25$ (series 3)¹.

			K_i [nM]			
Compound	$\bf R$	$\mathbf n$	$5-HT_6R$	$5-HT_{2A}R$	$5-HT_7R$	D_2R
$20\,$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\bf 4$	$43\,$	677	665	5689
21		$\sqrt{4}$	559	952	6254	612
${\bf 22}$		5	282	709	771	837
23		5	189	597	3431	196
24		5	644	854	1886	481
25		$\boldsymbol{6}$	${\bf 78}$	364	1031	149

Table 3. *Coni.*

 1 Tested experimentally in the radioligand binding assay in human cells stably transfected with a proper receptor; binding affinity, K_i , expressed as the average of at least two independent experiments. Used radioligands: $[^3H]$ -LSD $(5-HT_6R)$, ^{[3}H]-ketanserin (5-HT_{2A}R), [³H]-5-CT (5-HT₇R) and [³H]-raclopride (D₂R); ^a data from Ali et al. [15].

First (series 1), modifications were carried out in the **MST4** molecule involving the removal of an isopropyl group from position-2 (compound **1**) and, conversely, the; removal of the methyl group from position-5 (compound 2). These modifications showed that the substituent at position-2 was important, as removal of a 2-isopropyl substituent resulted in a 19-fold decrease in affinity for 5-HT₆R compared to **MST4** (K_i = 207 nM for 1 vs. K_i = 11 nM for **MST4**). In contrast, removal of the methyl group from position-5 (compound *2)* resulted in only a 2-fold decrease in activity ($K_i = 21$ nM). On the other hand, replacing the 2isopropyl substituent with the 2-tert-butyl substituent led to compound 3, which had comparable activity to **MST4** ($K_i = 13$ nM for 3 vs. $K_i = 11$ nM for **MST4**). Furthermore, changing the position in the phenyl ring of both the isopropyl and the *tert*-butyl substituents from position-2 to positions-3 or -4 generally resulted in a decrease in activity (compare 2 vs. **4** vs. **6** and **3** vs. **5** vs. **7**). Compounds **6** and **7** with substituents in position-4 had the lowest affinity ($K_i = 416$ nM and $K_i = 150$ nM, respectively) in this series.

In series 2, disubstituted compounds such as **MST4** (8–13; Table 2) were obtained, w hich had one or two fert-butyl substituents in the phenyl ring (except compound **11** with 2,6-di-isopropyl groups). Compound 11 was the least active in this series (K_i = 825 nM), and its affinity at $5-\text{HT}_6R$ was almost two times weaker than an analogue compound with 2,6-di-tert-butyl substituents (compound 12: K_i = 379 nM). Among compounds **8–10**, where the methyl substituent at position-5 (a direct analogue of **MST4**) was shifted to another position (4 or 6), the affinity for $5-HT_6R$ decreased in the order of the position of the methyl substituent: 5 (compound 8) > 4 (compound 9) > 6 (compound 10) with K_i values of 6 nM vs. 48 nM vs. 236 nM, respectively. Compound 8, the direct analogue of **MST4**, proved to be the most potent 5-HT₆R agent in that series as well as among all designed compounds.

The introduction of the second substituent in position-6 had negative influence on $5-\text{HT}_6R$ affinity, and the increased volume of this substituent (from a methyl to a tert-butyl) decreased affinity; compare 10 (6-methyl; $K_i = 236$ nM) vs. 12 (6-tert-butyl; $K_i = 379$ nM).

In series 3, the influence on elongation of the carbon chain (from two to six) was investigated (Table 3). A variable impact of this effect on activity was observed. An even number of carbon atoms seems to be more favorable than an odd number. The hexyl derivative (compound 25), with a K_i of 78 nM, had the highest affinity in this series. In the group of 2-isopropyl-5-methylphenyl derivatives (compounds 14, 19, 22 and 25), the affinity depending on the number of carbon atoms in the linker is arranged as follows: $6 > 4 > 5 > 3$ atoms. Similarly, in the group of 2-isopropylphenyl (compounds 15, 20 and 23) and 3-methylphenyl (compounds 17, 21 and 24) derivatives, the affinity increase was observed in the order $4 > 5 > 3$ linkers.

2.2.2. In Vitro Affinity at Other Tested Receptors

Affinity for serotonin 5-HT_{2A}, 5-HT₇ and dopamine D_2 receptors (D_2R) as protein off-targets was evaluated in the radioligand binding assays. In CHO-K1 cells, it was stably expressed human 5-HT_{2A}R, whereas human 5-HT₇R and D_2R were stably expressed in HEK 293 cells [\[12\].](#page-19-11) Most compounds showed a much weaker affinity for other receptors than for 5-HT₆R, especially for 5-HT₇R. A particularly weak affinity towards this receptor was shown through compounds of series 1 (compounds 1-7) and series 2 (compounds 8-13). Among series 3 (compounds 14–25), there were compounds that showed submicromolar affinity for this receptor (i.e., compound 19: $K_i = 638$ nM; compound 20: $K_i = 665$ nM; and compound 22: $K_i = 771$ nM). With regards to the affinity for 5-HT_{2A}R, among the tested compounds, there were some that showed good affinity for this receptor with $K_i < 1000$ nM. The largest number of such derivatives was in series 3 (i.e., compounds 15, 19–25). There were also compounds with a good affinity for this receptor with $K_i < 500$ nM, such as compound 6 (K_i = 248 nM), compound 15 (K_i = 489 nM) and compound 25 (K_i = 364 nM).

Concerning the affinity for D_2R , compounds of series 3 also showed the highest affinity (com pounds: 16, 21-25), including com pound 23, w here the potency of the interaction with 5-HT₆R was comparable to that with D_2R : K_i = 196 nM vs. 5-HT₆R: K_i = 189 nM. To sum up, carbon-chain elongation, although having a variable effect on affinity for $5-HT_6R$, definitively causes a decrease in selectivity.

2.3. *Additional Studies for Compound* 3

2.3.1. Permeability of Compound 3

The PAMPA assay was used to test the ability of compound 3 to cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB). This test is a very popular method for assessing BBB penetration via passive transport. Caffeine was used as the high-permeable compound, and results from previous studies [\[16\]](#page-19-13) for **MST4** were added for comparison. All results are summarized in Table [4,](#page-8-0) and they show that compound 3 has the ability to passively penetrate through biological m embranes with P_e of 4.7×10^{-6} cm/s. Although this value is lower than for caffeine (CFN) (study a: $P_e = 9.8 \times 10^{-6}$ cm/s), it is still high. An earlier study for **MST4** (study b) showed that this compound demonstrated high permeability with P_e of 12.3 \times 10⁻⁶ cm/s. The penetration value in that study (study b) for CFN was higher than in the current study (study a). The apparent difference in these values makes it impossible to directly compare the permeation capacity of compound 3 with that of **MST4**. However, it appears to be only a little smaller, which indicates that the modifications that were introduced into the structure did not significantly influence the permeation capacity.

Table 4. Result of BBB penetration of compound 3 evaluated in PAMPA assay.

^a Caffeine; ^b data from ref [\[16\].](#page-19-13)

2.3.2. Hepatotoxicity of Compound 3

Some substances can lead to liver damage. To eliminate this risk, hepatotoxicity tests are carried out as early as the pre-clinical development phase. One of the methods commonly used to assess the adverse effect of tested compounds on cell viability is the MTT assay, and the most popular cell lines are HepG2 and HepaRG (the human hepatocellular carcinoma cells) [\[20\].](#page-19-16) To evaluate the toxic effect of compound 3 , the MTS assay (one-step MTT assay variant) was performed on the HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were incubated with increasing concentrations (0.78–50 μ M) of compound 3 for 48 h. After that time, the MTS reagent was added and incubated for 1 h. Next the absorbance was read at 490 nm. A dose–response effect is shown in Figure 3. The calculated IC₅₀ value was 46.60 μ M, which gave us reason to conclude that compound 3 exhibited moderate hepatotoxicity and was appropriate for further development.

Figure 3. The effect of compound 3 on HepG2 cells' viability. The graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism software for IC_{50} calculations based on MTS data. Each point represents the mean \pm SEM of two independent experiments, each of which consisted of three replicates per treatment group and is expressed as a percentage of control cells treated with 0.1% of IDMSO (Veh). Doxorubicin (DOX) serves as a common chemotherapeutic agent, which induced hepatotoxicity. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software 8.0. Statistical significance was evaluated via oneway ANOVA with a post-hoc Dunnett test at significance level $\alpha = 0.05$ (** $p = 0.003$, *** $p < 0.001$).

2.4. Salts of Compound 3

2.4.1. Pharmacological Evaluation

The salt form in which a drug is present also influences its physicochemical and biological properties. The right salt form can either have a beneficial effect on the pharmacological action or, on the contrary, be detrimental $[21]$.

Compound 3 has been converted into two salts, hydrochloride (3-HCl) and succinate (3-SA). The resulting compounds were tested for affinity to 5-HT₆R and 5-HT₇R. The data are presented in Table 5. The results showed that the type of salt influenced pharmacological

activity. Both salts had a lower affinity for $5-HT_6R$ than the free base, although in the case of hydrochloride (3-HCl), the decrease was only 3-fold (K_i = 35 nM vs. K_i = 13 nM for 3), and in the case of succinate (3-SA), as much as 10-fold ($K_i = 135$ nM). In contrast, the affinity for 5-HT₇R was different. It was still weak in the micromole range, but in the case of succinate (3-SA), an increase in activity was observed $(K_i = 3.67 \mu M \text{ vs. } K_i = 6.34 \mu M \text{ for }$ 3). Hydrochloride (3-HCl), on the other hand, showed an even greater decrease in activity at 5-HT₇R (K_i = 15.50 μ M) than compound 3.

 K_i [nM] a $5-HT_6R$ 5-HT₇R 3 13 6336 **3-HCl** 35 15,500 **3-SA** 135 3674

Table 5. Affinity results for the serotonin 5-HT₆ and 5-HT₇ receptors of salts of compound 3.

 a Tested experimentally in the radioligand binding assay in human cells stably transfected with 5-HT₆ or 5-HT₇ receptors; binding affinity, \rm{K}_{i} , expressed as the average of at least two independent experiments. Used radioligands: [$^3\rm H$]-LSD (5-HT $_6$ R) and [$^3\rm H$]-5-CT (5-HT $_7$ R).

2.4.2. Salts of Compound 3—Solubility Evaluation

The aqua solubility of compound 3 and its salts (3-HCl and 3-SA) was assessed experimentally using the UV spectroscopic method described previously [\[22](#page-19-18)[,23\]](#page-20-0) (See Supplementary S1, pp. $16-17$ $16-17$ $16-17$). Results are shown in Table 6. Under neutral pH conditions (pH = 7 \pm 1), the piperazine moiety undergoes protonation and exists as a mixture of cation and free base, w hich can affect the solubility of the tested derivatives. The experimental results clearly indicate that the conversion of compound 3 into its salt form results in an improvement in solubility. In the case of the hydrochloric acid salt (3-HCl), the increase is quite significant $(>1 \text{ mg/mL})$ compared to the parent compound. Meanwhile, the determined solubility value of the succinic acid salt (3-SA) was noticeably higher compared to compound 3, but still relatively low $\ll 1$ mg/mL). Furthermore, when analyzing the in vitro pharm acological results, no clear correlation betw een the affinity and the determined solubility of the tested compounds was found. However, these preliminary results showed that, if the final product had to be carried into the salt, the formation of hydrochlorides could be beneficial, as increasing solubility had only a slight effect on affinity.

Table 6. Water solubility of tested compounds.

2.4.3. Crystallographic Studies of Compound 3 and Its Salts

Attempts were made to crystallize compound 3 and its salts to obtain suitable crystals for X-ray analysis. Crystals were successfully obtained for compound 3 and its succinic acid salt $(3-SA)$. Unfortunately, for the hydrochloride of compound 3 $(3-HCl)$, the attempts were unsuccessful.

The projections of molecular geometry in the crystals of compound 3 and its succinic acid salt (3-SA) with atom-numbering schemes are presented in Figure 4. Compound 3 crystallizes with two molecules in the asymmetric unit (labeled A and B). The salt 3-SA crystallizes with one cation derived from protonation of the N4 atom of compound 3, one molecule of succinic acid and half of the succinate anion in the asymmetric unit.

Figure 4. The contents of the asymmetric units of (a) compound 3 and (b) compound 3-SA (the whole anion is drawn), showing the atom-numbering schemes. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The charge-assisted hydrogen bond in compound 3-SA is depicted in orange.

The triazine ring is planar, with an r.m.s. deviation from the planarity of the fitted atoms of 0.0031 Å and 0.004 Å for molecules A and B of compound 3, respectively. In the structure of salt 3-SA , this ring is less planar; an r.m.s. deviation from the planarity of the fitted atoms is 0.0189. The values of the bond lengths of $C₂-N₆$ and $C₄-N₂$ suggest conjugation of nitrogen atoms with the triazine ring (Table 7). The piperazine ring adopts chair conformation with an equatorial position of the methyl group for molecule A of compound 3 and the cation of compound 3-SA, while for molecule B of compound 3, the axial position is observed. This is the first time we have noticed such an arrangement of the N-methylpiperazine moiety in the so-far determined crystal structures containing $(4'-$ methylpiperazin-1'-yl)-1,3,5-triazine moiety [\[17](#page-19-19)[,24\]](#page-20-1). Therefore, we searched the Cam-bridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.43; [\[25\]\)](#page-20-2) for crystal structures containing the N-methylpiperazine moiety. The search resulted in 311 hits, with all structures containing the methyl group in the equatorial position. The presented crystal structure of compound 3 is the first structure containing in the axial position a methyl group at nitrogen atom of the piperazine ring.

	Compound 3 Molecule A	Compound 3 Molecule B	Compound 3-SA
$C2-N6$	1.345(3)	1.347(3)	1.329(2)
$C4-N2$	1.355(3)	1.355(3)	1.364(1)
C6-C12-O1-C13	168.4(2)	$-174.5(2)$	$-172.2(2)$
C ₁₂ -O ₁ -C ₁₃ -C ₁₈	30.4(3)	$-19.2(3)$	$-6.0(2)$

Table 7. The comparison of selected bond lengths $[\tilde{A}]$ and torsion angles $[\degree]$ in compounds 3 and 3-SA.

The 4-(piperazin-1'-yl)-1,3,5-triazine moiety shows similar geometry in two molecules of compound 3, while in compound 3-SA it is different. The interplanar angle between the triazine and piperazine rings is $36.5(1)^\circ$ (molecule A of 3), $39.5(1)^\circ$ (molecule B of 3) and 81.92(4) \degree (3-SA) (Figure 5). More diverse geometries are observed in 2-tert-butylphenoxy fragment. Thus, these differences are best illustrated by the values of torsion angles C6-C12-O1-C13 and C12-O1-C13-C18 (Table [7\).](#page-10-0) The oxygen atom stabilizes the geometry of molecules in both structures using intramolecular hydrogen bonds $C-H \cdots O$ with two m ethyl groups of the 2 -*tert*-butyl substituent.

Figure 5. The overlap of the triazine rings of molecule *A* (light green) and molecule B (green) of compound 3 and the cation of salt 3-SA (purple). H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

The intermolecular interactions of the crystals of compound 3 are dominated by N - $H\cdots N$ and $C-H\cdots N$ intermolecular hydrogen bonds. A greater diversity of intermolecular interactions is observed in the crystals of salt **3-SA**. Due to the protonation of the N4 atom by proton transfer from molecules of succinic acid, this atom is involved in the charge-assisted $N + H \cdots O^{-1}$ hydrogen bond (Figure 4). Furthermore, the N-H \cdots O, O-H \cdots N, O-H \cdots O and C-H \cdots O intermolecular hydrogen bonds are observed.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Chemistry

Reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers (Sigma–Aldrich, Alfa Aesar). Reactions were carried out in an air atmosphere and monitored using thinlayer chromatography (Merck silica gel 60 F254 plates). Visualization of the spots was achieved using a UV lamp and Dragendorff reagent (solvent system: methylene chloride or methylene chloride: methanol 1:1). Melting points (mp) were determined using MEL-TEMP II apparatus (LD Inc., Long Beach, CA, USA) or a Büchi M-565 apparatus (Büchi Labortechnik AG, Switzerland), and are uncorrected. The purity of the compounds was confirmed using an NMR spectra (1 H and 13 C) in DMSO-d₆ using a M ercury 300 MHz PFG spectrometer (Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA) or FTNMR 500 MHz spectrometer (Joel Ltd., Akishima, Tokyo, Japan). Chemical shifts (δ) are given with respect to the solvent signal, and the coupling constants (J) are expressed in Hz. Multiplicities of signals are given as br s (broad singlet), d (dublet), dd (doublet of doublets), def t (deformated triplet), m (multiplet), quin (quintet), s (singlet), spt (septet), and t (triplet). The follow ing abbreviations are used to report data: a (axial), e (equatorial), def (deformed), Pp (piperazine), Tr (triazine) (as shown in the Supplementary Material S2). Mass spectra (LC/MS) were performed using a Waters TQ Detector mass spectrometer (Water Corporation, Milford, CT, USA). Retention times (t_R) are given in minutes. UPLC/MS analysis confirmed the purity of the compounds $\geq 95\%$.

3.1.1. Synthesis of Esters

Esters were obtained according to the method described previously [\[19\].](#page-19-15) More information can be found in the Supplementary Material S1.

3.1.2. Synthesis of 1,3,5-Triazines

1,3,5-Triazines were obtained according to the method described previously [\[19\].](#page-19-15)

General procedure: To a freshly prepared sodium methanolate solution (10 mL) was added TR1 (5 mmol), which was stirred at room temperature for 1 to 3 h, and then the appropriate ester (5 mmol) was added in one portion and stirred at room temperature for

12 to 48 h (3, 5, 7–10, 12, 13, 17, 22–25) or heated to boiling for 15 to 30 h (2, 4, 6, 11, 14-16, 18-21). The solvent was evaporated, and 10 mL of water was added to the residue and stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The precipitate was isolated by filtration and purified via crystallization. In the case of a lack of desirable precipitate in the water solution, the product was extracted using dichloromethane and converted into a hydrochloric salt form using a solution of HCl in diethyl ether.

4-((2-Isopropylphenoxy)m ethyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (2)

Crystallization: ethanol, white solid, yield 29%, mp 140-142 °C. $C_{18}H_{26}N_6O$ (MW 342.45). LC/MS⁺: purity: 100%, $t_R = 4.18$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 343.25.¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ: 7.16 (d, *J* = 7.03 Hz, 1H, Ph-3-H), 7.06 (t, *J* = 7.62 Hz, 1H, Ph-4-H), 6.85 (m, 3H, Ph-2,6-H, NH₂), 4.78 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 3.64 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 3.32 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂ + H_2O), 2.23 (br s, 4H, Pp-2,6-H), 2.15 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.17 (d, $J = 6.45$ Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 173.7, 167.3, 164.9, 156.1, 136.7, 127.0, 126.3, 121.1, 112.4, 70.2, 54.8, 46.3, 27.0, 23.0.

4-((2-(tert-Butyl)phenoxy)metyl)-6-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine (3)

Crystallization: acetonitrile, white solid, yield 42%, mp 107-109 °C. C₁₉H₂₈N₆O (MW) 356.47). LC/MS⁺: purity: 100%, $t_R = 4.57$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 357.28.¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ: 7.20 (d, *J* = 7.62 Hz, 1H, Ph-3-H), 7.12 (def t, 1H, Ph-5-H), 6.77–7.00 (m, 4H, NH_2 + Ph-4,6-H), 4.77 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 3.68 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 2.25 (br s, 4H, Pp-2,6-H), 2.15 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.37 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 173.5, 167.2, 16 5 .0 .1 5 7 .5 .1 3 7 .8 .1 2 7 .6 .1 2 6 .7 .1 2 0 .8 .1 1 2 .9 .6 9 .9 .5 4 .8 .4 6 .3 .4 3 .1 .1 .35 .1 .30 .2 .30 .1

4-((3-Isopropylphenoxy)m ethyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (4)

White solid, yield 44%, mp 103-105 °C. $C_{18}H_{26}N_6O$ (MW 342.45). LC/MS⁺: purity: 100%, $t_R = 5.08$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 343.20. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 7.12 (t, *J* = 7.88 Hz, 1H, Ph-5-H), 6.80–7.04 (m, 2H, NH₂) 6.73–6.79 (m, 2H, Ph-4,6-H), 6.67 (dd, *J* = 8.02, 2.00 Hz, 1H, Ph-2-H), 4.71 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 3.62 (d, *J* = 4.30 Hz, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 2.78 (spt, $J = 6.87$ Hz, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 2.23 (br s, 4H, Pp-2,6-H), 2.14 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.12 (d, $J = 6.87$ Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 168.1, 162.2, 158.0, 150.7, 1 29.8, 1 20.2, 114.0, 112.6, 67.3, 51.7, 42.4, 34.0, 24.3.

4-((3-(tert-Butyl)phenoxy)m ethyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (5)

Crystallization: ethanol/water, white solid, yield 16%, mp 101.2-104.1 °C. C₁₉H₂₈N₆O (MW 356.47). LC/MS⁺: purity: 100%, $t_R = 4.44$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 357.28. ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-d}_6)$ δ : 7.08–7.22 (m, 1H, Ph-5-H), 6.77–7.08 (m, 4H, NH₂ + Ph-2,4-H), 6.64–6.77 (m, 1H, Ph-6-H), 4.74 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 3.65 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 2.25 (br s, 4H, Pp-2,6-H), 2.16 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.22 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 173.7, 167.4, 164.8, 158.8, 152.7, 129.4, 118.1, 112.8, 111.8, 70.3, 54.8, 46.3, 42.9, 34.9, 31.6.

4-((4-Isopropylphenoxy)m ethyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (6)

Crystallization: methanol, white solid, yield 26%, mp 133–135 °C. C₁₈H₂₆N₆O (MW) 342.45). LC/MS⁺: purity: 100%, $t_R = 4.06$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 343.19.¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ: 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.20 Hz, 2H, Ph-3,5-H), 7.00 (br s, 2H, NH₂), 6.80 (d, *J* = 8.79 Hz, 2H, Ph-2,6-H), 4.71 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 3.64 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 2.79 (spt, *J* = 7.04 Hz, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 2.25 (br s, 4H, Pp-2,6-H), 2.16 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.13 (d, *J* = 7.03 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 173.6, 167.3, 164.8, 157.1, 141.0, 127.5, 114.9, 70.2, 54.8, 46.3, 42.9, 33.1, 24.6.

4-((4-(tert-Butyl)phenoxy)m ethyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (7)

Crystallization: methanol/water, white solid, yield 35%, mp 104.4 °C dec. $C_1P_{128}N_6O$ (MW 356.47). LC/MS⁺: purity: 100%, $t_R = 4.39$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 357.28. ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{DMSO-d}_6) \delta: 7.24 \text{ (d, } J = 8.79 \text{ Hz}, 2H, 2.6-H)$, 7.14-6.71 (m, 4H, Ph-3,5-H + NH₂), 4.72 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 3.64 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 2.07–2.32 (m, 7H, Pp-2,6-H + Pp-CH₃), 1.22 $(s, 9H, C(CH₃)$, ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 173.6, 167.3, 164.8, 156.7, 143.2, 126.5, 114.6, 70.1, 54.8, 46.3, 42.8, 34.3,31.9.

4-((2-(tert-Butyl)-5-m ethylphenoxy)m ethyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2 amine hydrochloride (8)

Crystallization: methanol/diethyl ether, white solid, yield 19% , mp 259 °C dec. $C_{20}H_{30}N_6O \times HCl$ (MW 406.99). LC/MS⁺: purity: 100%, $t_R = 5.10$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ $371.30.$ ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 11.58 (br s, 1H, NH⁺), 7.26–7.89 (m, 2H, NH₂), 7.06 (d, *J* = 8.02 Hz, H, Ph-3-H), 6.76 (br s, 1H, Ph-6-H), 6.66 (d, *J* = 7.45 Hz, 1H, Ph-4-H), 4.88 (br s, 2H, Ph-O-CH₂ -), 4.30–4.75 (m, 4H, Pp-3,5-H₂), 3.43 (br s, 2H, Pp-2,6-H_{2e}), 2.98 $(\text{br s, 2H, Pp-2,6-H}_{2a})$, 2.69 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 2.20 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.33 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 163.5, 156.9, 136.9, 135.0, 126.7, 121.9, 114.1, 68.2, 51.9, 42.4, 34.8, 30.3,21.2.

4-((2-(*tert*-Butyl)-4-m ethylphenoxy)m ethyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2 am ine (9)

Crystallization: methanol/water, white solid, yield 56%, mp 146.5 °C dec. C₂₀H₃₀N₆O (MW 370.49). LC/MS⁺: purity: 100%, $t_R = 5.01$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 371.30. ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{DMSO-d}_6)$ δ : 7.02 (s, 1H, Ph-3-H), 6.92 (d, $J = 8.61 \text{ Hz}$, 1H, Ph-5-H), 6.87 (br s, 2H, $NH₂$), 6.81 (d, *J* = 8.22 Hz, 1H, Ph-6-H), 4.74 (s, 2H, CH₂O), 3.71 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 2.09– 2.36 (m, 10H, Pp-2,6-H + Pp-CH₃ + Ph-CH₃), 1.38 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ: 173.6, 167.2, 164.9, 155.3, 137.5, 129.1, 127.5, 127.5, 112.8, 70.0, 54.7, 46.2, 42.9, 34.9, 30.1, 20.9.

4-((2-(tert-Butyl)-6-m ethylphenoxy)m ethyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2 am ine (10)

Crystallization: acetone/diethyl ether, creamy solid, yield 24%, mp 177–179 °C dec. $C_{20}H_{30}N_6O$ (MW 370.49). LC/MS⁺: purity: 99.55%, t_R = 5.52, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 371.16. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ: 7.09 (d, *J* = 7.73 Hz, 1H, Ph-3-H), 7.03 (d, *J* = 6.87 Hz, 1H, Ph-5-H), 6.97 (br s, 1H, NH₂), 6.91 (t, *J* = 7.59, 1H, Ph-4-H), 6.84 (br s, 1H, NH₂), 4.50 (s, 2H, CH₂O), 3.70 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 2.27 (s, 7H, Pp-2,6-H + Ph-CH₃), 2.15 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.33 (s, 9H, $C(CH_3)$). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 173.3, 167.4, 165.0, 156.8, 142.7, 131.6, 130.4.125.1.123.9, 74.4, 54.9,46.3, 43.0,35.3, 31.3,17.5.

4-((2,6-D iisopropylphenoxy)m ethyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (11)

Crystallization: methanol, white solid, yield 47%, mp $160-163 \degree C$. C₂₁H₃₂N₆O (MW) 384.53). LC/MS⁺: purity: 100%, $t_R = 4.86$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 385.33. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 7.09 (m, 4H, Ph-3,4,5-H + NH₂), 6.98 (br s, 1H, NH₂), 4.40 (s, 2H, OCH₂), 3.72 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 3. 46 (spt, *J* = 7.03 Hz, 2H, 2x CH(CH₃)₂), 2.29 (br s, 4H, Pp-2,6-H), 2.18 $(s, 3H, \text{Pp-CH}_3)$, 1.12 (d, *J* = 6.44 Hz, 12H, 2x CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 17 3.0, 16 7.5, 16 5.1, 15 3.6, 14 1.9, 12 5.2, 12 4.5, 77.6, 54.9, 46.3, 42.9, 26.2, 24.5.

4-((2,6-(di-tert-Butyl)phenoxy)m ethyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine hydrochloride (12)

Crystallization: acetonitrile/water, beige solid, yield 4%, mp 244 dec $\rm{^{\circ}C. C_{23}H_{36}N_{6}O}$ x HCl (MW 449.04). LC/MS⁺: purity: 96.19%, $t_R = 6.70$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 413.25. ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{DMSO-d}_6)$ δ : 11.40 (br s, 1H, NH⁺), 7.47–7.73 (m, 2H, NH₂), 7.24 (d, *J* = 7.73 Hz, 2H , Ph-3,5-H), 6.99 (s, 1H, Ph-4-H), 4.57-4.82 (m, 2H , OCH2), 4.53 (br s, 2H , *Pp-3,5-He),* 3.32–3.54 (m, 4H, Pp-2,6-H), 3.02 (br s, 2H, Pp-3,5-H_a), 2.72 (d, *J* = 2.58 Hz, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.30–1.43 (m, 18H, $2x C(CH_3)_3$).

4-((2,4-(di-tert-Butyl)phenoxy)m ethyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine hydrochloride (13)

Crystallization: acetone/diethyl ether, beige solid, yield 10%, mp 284–287 °C. C₂₃H₃₆N₆O x HCl (MW 449.04). LC/MS⁺: purity: 98.56%, $t_R = 7.01$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 413.35. ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-d}_6)$ δ : 11.58 (s, 1H, NH⁺), 7.31-7.90 (m, 1H, NH₂), 7.21 (d, *J* = 2.58 Hz, 1H, Ph-3-H), 7.11 (dd, *J* = 2.43, 8.45 Hz, 1H, Ph-5-H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 8.59 Hz, 1H, Ph-6-H), 4.86 $(s, 2H, OCH₂)$, 4.50–4.75 (m, 2H, Pp-3,5-H_a), 3.83–4.45 (m, 4H, Pp-2,6-H), 2.84–3.13 (m, 2H, Pp-3,5-H_e), 2.69 (br s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.36 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.21 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 164.0, 155.0, 143.0, 137.1, 124.0, 123.6, 112.8, 68.8, 51.9, 42.5, 35.2, 34.5, 31.9, 30.2.

4-(3-(2-Isopropyl-5-m ethylphenoxy)propyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2 amine (14)

Crystallization: methanol, white solid, yield 6%, mp 77 °C. $C_{21}H_{32}N_6O$ (MW 384.53). LC/MS⁺: purity: 100%, $t_R = 4.41$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 385.33.¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) $6: 6.98$ (d, $J = 7.45$ Hz, 1H, Ph-4-H), 6.74 (br s, 1H, Ph-3-H), 6.65 (s, 1H, Ph-6-H), 6.63 (d, $J = 7.45$ Hz, 2H, NH₂), 3.94 (t, $J = 6.30$ Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.63 (s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 3.12 (spt, $J = 6.95$ Hz, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 2.52 (t, $J = 7.45$ Hz, 2H, CH₂Tr), 2,22 (br s, 4H, Pp-2,6-H), 2.19 (s, 3H, Ph-CH₃), 2.13 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 2.07 (quin J = 6.70 Hz, 2H, CH₂CH₂Tr), 1.08 (d, $J = 6.87$ Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 177.4, 167.3, 165.0, 156.1, 1 36.3, 1 3 3.5 3 , 1 2 6.0 , 1 2 1 .3 , 1 1 2 .7 , 6 7 .5 , 5 4 .9 , 4 6 .3 , 4 2 .7 , 3 5 .3 , 27 .0 , 23 .6 , 23 .2 , 21 .5.

4-(3-(2-Isopropylphenoxy)propyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine hydrochloride (15)

White solid, yield 9%, mp 235 °C. $C_{20}H_{30}N_6O \times HCl$ (MW 406.96). LC/MS⁺: purity: 96.60%, $t_R = 4.08$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 371.30.¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 11.99 (br s, 1H, NH⁺), 8.83 (br s, 1H, NH₂), 7.91 (br s, 1H, NH₂), 7.20-7.02 (m, 2H, Ph-3,4-H), 6.93-6.78 (m, 2H, Ph-5,6-H), 4.46-4.81 (m, 2H, Pp-3,5-H_e), 4.01 (br s, 2H, OCH₂), 3.30-3.66 (m, 4H, Pp-2,6-H) 2.92-3.18 (m, 3H, Pp-3,5-H_a + CH(CH₃)₂), 2.83 (br s, 2H, CH₂Tr), 2.70 (br s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 2.19 (br s, 2H, CH₂CH₂Tr), 1.09 (d, J = 6.30 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ: 173.7, 169.8, 161.9, 157.8, 155.9, 136.5, 127.2, 126.2, 121.1, 112.0, 67.0, 59.9, 51.7, 42.4, 31.8, 26.6, 25.6, 23.2.

4-(3-(4-Isopropylphenoxy)propyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (16)

White solid, yield 49%, mp 92 °C. $C_{20}H_{30}N_6O$ (MW 370.50). LC/MS⁺: purity: 98.43%, $t_R = 3.83$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 371.23. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 7.06 (d, J = 8.02 Hz, 2H, Ph-3,5-H), 6.77 (d, J = 7.45 Hz, 2H, Ph-2,6-H), 6.72-6.62 (m, 2H, NH₂), 3.92 (br s, 2H, OCH₂), 3.63 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 2.81-2.70 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 2.52-2.43 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂), 2,22 (br s, 4H, Pp-2,6-H), 2,12 (br s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 2.05-1.97 (br s, 2H, OCH₂CH₂CH₂), 1.10 $(d, J = 6.30 \text{ Hz}, 6\text{H}, \text{CH}(CH_3)_2)$. ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 177.4, 167.3, 165.0, 157.2, 140.7, 127.6, 126.2, 114.7, 67.4, 54.9, 46.3, 42.9, 35.0, 33.1, 26.9, 24.6.

4-(4-M ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-6-(3-(m -tolyloxy)propyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (17)

Crystallization: acetonitrile, white solid, yield 12%, mp 114–117 °C. C₁₉H₂₆N₆O (MW) 342.45). LC/MS^{+/-}: purity: 100%, $t_R = 3.24$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 343.30. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 7.09 (s, 1H, Ph-5-H), 6.57–6.85 (m, 5H, NH₂ + Ph-2,4,6-H), 3.94 (t, J = 6.30 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.63 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 2.48 (s, 2H, CH₂Tr), 2.19–2.29 (m, 7H, Pp-2,6-H + PhCH₃), 2.14 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 2.02 (s, 2H, OCH₂CH₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 177.4, 167.3, 165.0, 159.1, 139.4, 129.7, 121.6, 115.5, 112.0, 67.3, 54.9, 46.3, 42.9, 35.0, 26.9, 21.7.

4-(4-M ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-6-(3-(o-tolyloxy)propyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (18)

White solid, yield 43%, mp 83 °C. $C_{18}H_{26}N_6O$ (MW 342.45). LC/MS⁺: purity: 100%, $t_R = 3.00$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 343.25. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 7.06 (d, J = 7.45 Hz, 2H, Ph-3,5-H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.02 Hz, 1H, Ph-6-H), 6.80-6.62 (m, 3H, Ph-4-H, NH₂), 3.96 (t, $J = 6.01$ Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.63 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 2.52 (t, $J = 7.45$ Hz, 2H, CH₂Tr), 2.22 (br s, 4H, Pp-2,6-H), 2,13 (br s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 2.08 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 2.06-2.03 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂Tr). $13C$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 177.4, 167.3, 165.0, 157.1, 130.8, 127.4, 126.2, 120.5, 111.7, 67.5, 54.9, 46.3, 42.9, 35.2, 27.0,16.4.

4-(4-(2-Isopropyl-5-m ethylphenoxy)butyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2 amine hydrochloride (19)

White solid, yield 9%, mp 239 °C. $C_{22}H_{34}N_6O \times HCl$ (MW 435.02). LC/MS⁺: purity: 100%, $t_R = 4.71$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 399.35. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 11.79 (br s, 1H, NH^+), 8.69 (br s, 1H, NH₂), 7.80 (br s, 1H, NH₂), 7.00 (d, J = 8.02 Hz, 1H, Ph-3-H), 6.70 (s, 1H, Ph-6-H), 6.65 (d, J = 7.45 Hz, 1H, Ph-4-H), 4.78-4.50 (m, 2H, OCH₂), 3.94 (t, J = 6.01 Hz, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 3.14 (spt, J = 6.87 Hz, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.03 (br s, 2H, CH₂Tr), 2.73–2.65 (m, 7H, PhCH₃ + Pp-2,6-H), 2.21 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.90-1.82 (m, 2H, OCH₂CH₂), 1.81-1.74 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂Tr), 1.09 (d, J = 6.87 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 1 7 0 .3 , 1 6 2 .1 , 1 5 6 .0 , 1 3 6 .7 , 1 3 3 , 4 , 1 2 6 .0 , 1 2 1 .4 , 6 7 .4 , 5 1 .7 , 4 2 .4 , 3 4 .3 , 2 8 .6 , 2 6 .7 , 2 3 .2 , 2 1 .5.

4-(4-(2-Isopropylphenoxy)butyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (20)

White solid, yield 41%, mp 59 °C. $C_{21}H_{32}N_6O$ (MW 384.53). LC/MS⁺: purity: 95.85%, $t_R = 4.23$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 385.33. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 7.11 (d, J = 7.45 Hz, 1H, Ph-3-H), 7.07 (t, J = 7.73 Hz, 1H, Ph-5-H), 6.84 (t, J = 8.02 Hz, 2H, Ph-4,6-H), 6.73-6.68 (m, 2H, NH₂), 3.92 (t, J = 6.01 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.64 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 3.19 (spt, J = 6.87 Hz, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 2.40 (t, J = 7.16 Hz, 2H, CH₂Tr), 2.22 (br s, 4H, Pp-2,6-H), 2.12 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.84-1.66 (m, 4H, OCH₂(CH₂)₂ CH₂), 1.10 (d, J = 6.87 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) 8: 177.8, 167.3, 165.0, 156.2, 136.5, 127.2, 126.2, 120.8, 111.9, 67.7, 54.9, 46.3, 42.8, 38.2, 29.1, 26.9, 24.1, 23.0.

4-(4-M ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-6-(4-(m -tolyloxy)butyl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (21)

Crystallization: acetonitrile/water, white solid, yield 23%, mp 99-101 °C. C₁₉H₂₈N₆O (MW 356.47). LC/MS^{+/-}: purity: 100%, $t_R = 3.75$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 357.47. ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-d}_6)$ δ : 7.09 (t, J = 8.02 Hz, 1H, Ph-5-H), 6.58–6.84 (m, 5H, Ph-2,4,6-H + NH₂), 3.90 (t, J = 6.16 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.63 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 2.38 (t, J = 7.30 Hz, 2H, CH₂Tr), 2.18–2.27 (m, 7H, Pp-2,6-H + PhCH₃), 2.14 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.63–1.80 (m, 4H, $(CH_2)_2$. ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 126 MHz) δ : 177.8, 167.3, 165.0, 159.2, 139.4, 129.7, 121.6, 115.6,111.9, 67.5, 54.9,46.3, 42.9, 38.3, 29.0, 24.0, 21.6.

4-(5-(2-Isopropyl-5-m ethylphenoxy)pentyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2 am ine (22)

Crystallization: acetonitrile/water, white solid, yield 6%, mp 75 °C dec. $C_{23}H_{36}N_6O$ (MW 412.58). LC/MS^{+/-}: purity: 100%, $t_R = 5.64$, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 413.38. ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-d}_6)$ δ : 6.97 (d, J = 7.73 Hz, 1H, Ph-3-H), 6.53–6.77 (m, 4H, Ph-4,6-H + NH₂), 3.88 (t, J = 6.16 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.62 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 3.10 (spt, J = 6.83, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 2.34 (t, J = 7.45 Hz, 2H, CH₂Tr), 2.17–2.25 (m, 7H, Pp-2,6-H + PhCH₃), 2.13 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.58–1.76 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂ + CH₂CH₂Tr), 1.42 (quin, J = 7.52 Hz, 2H, $O(CH_2)_2CH_2$), 1.06 (d, J = 6.87 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 177.8, 167.3, 165.0, 156.2, 136.3, 133.5, 126.0, 121.2, 112.7, 67.7, 54.9, 46.3, 42.8, 38.6, 29.2, 27.1, 26.7, 26.0, 23.1, 21.5.

4-(5-(2-Isopropylphenoxy)pentyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (23)

Crystallization: acetonitrile/water, white solid, yield 28%, mp 63–65 °C. C₂₂H₃₄N₆O (MW 398.55). LC/MS^{+/-}: purity: 100%, t_R= 4.95, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 399.37. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : 7.01–7.16 (m, 2H, Ph-3,4-H), 6.77–6.88 (m, 2H, Ph-5,6-H), 6.51-6.77 (br s, 2H, NH₂), 3.89 (t, J = 6.16 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.62 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 3.16 (def spt, 1H, $CH(CH_3)_2$), 2.34 (t, J = 7.45 Hz, 2H, CH_2Tr), 2.22 (br s, 4H, Pp-2,6-H), 2.12 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.59–1.78 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂ + CH₂CH₂Tr), 1.43 (quin, J = 7.45 Hz, 2H, O(CH₂)₂CH₂), 1.09

(d, J = 6.87 Hz, 6H, CH(CH₃)₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 177.8, 167.3, 165.0, 156.3, 136 .5, 127 .2, 126 .2, 120 .8, 111 .9, 67 .7, 54 .9, 46 .3, 42 .9, 38 .6, 29 .2, 27 .1, 26 .9, 26 .0, 23 .0.

4-(4-M ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-6-(5-(m -tolyloxy)pentyl) 1,3,5-triazin-2-am ine (24)

Crystallization: acetonitrile/water, white solid, yield 17%, mp 83–85 °C. $C_{20}H_{30}N_6O$ (MW 370.50). LC/MS^{+/-}: purity: 100%, t_R= 4.20, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 371.33. ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{DMSO-d}_6)$ $\&$: 7.05-7.13 (m, 1H, Ph-5-H), 6.57-6.79 (m, 5H, Ph-2,4,6-H + NH₂), 3.87 (t, J = 6.44 Hz, 2H, OCH₂), 3.63 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,6-H), 2.33 (t, J = 7.45 Hz, 2H, CH₂Tr), 2.19-2.27 (m, 7H, Pp-3,5-H + PhCH₃), 2.13 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.65 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂ + CH₂CH₂Tr), 1.33-1.43 (m, 2H, O(CH₂)₂CH₂). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 177.9, 167.3, 165.0, 159.2, 139.4, 129.7, 121.6, 115.6, 111.9, 67.6, 54.9, 46.3, 42.9, 38.6, 29.1, 27.2, 25.9, 21.6.

4-(6-(2-Isopropyl-5-m ethylphenoxy)hexyl)-6-(4-m ethylpiperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2 amine (25)

Crystallization: acetonitrile, white solid, yield 5%, mp 59 °C dec. $C_{24}H_{38}N_6O$ (MW 426.61). LC/MS^{+/-}: purity: 100%, t_R= 6.46, (ESI) m/z [M+H]⁺ 427.18. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ : 6.98 (d, J = 7.45 Hz, 1H, Ph-3-H), 6.55–6.77 (m, 4H, Ph-4,6-H + NH₂), 3.88 $(t, J = 6.16 \text{ Hz}, 2H, OCH_2)$, 3.63 (br s, 4H, Pp-3,5-H), 3.13 (spt, $J = 6.92$, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 2.32 (t, J = 7.59 Hz, 2H, CH₂Tr), 2.17–2.25 (m, 7H, Pp-2,6-H + PhCH₃), 2.13 (s, 3H, Pp-CH₃), 1.55-1.74 (m, 4H, OCH₂CH₂ + CH₂CH₂Tr), 1.42 (quin, J = 7.37 Hz, 2H, O(CH₂)₂CH₂), 1.26-1.35 (m, 2H, CH_2CH_2 Tr), 1.08 (d, J = 6.87 Hz, 6H, $CH(CH_3)_2$). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ: 178.0, 167.3, 165.0, 156.2, 136.3, 133.5, 126.0, 121.2, 112.8, 67.7, 54.9, 46.3, 42.8, 38.6, 29.3, 29.0, 27.4, 26.7, 26.0, 23.1, 21.5.

3.2. Jn Vitro Pharmacological Studies

Radioligand binding assays were used to determine the affinity of the synthesized compounds for human serotonin 5-HT6R, 5-HT_{2A}R, 5-HT₇R and dopamine D_2R , which were stably expressed in HEK293 cells or CHOk1 cells (5-HT_{2A}R). This was done as described previously [\[12\].](#page-19-11)

3.3. PAMPA Assay

Evaluation of cell membrane permeation of compound 3 was performed on PAMPA Plate System Gentest[™] plates from Corning (Tewksbury, MA, USA), as described previ-ously [\[16\].](#page-19-13) The permeability coefficient P_e was calculated by formulas described in the literature [\[26\]](#page-20-3) and compared with a high-permeable caffeine (CFN).

3.4. Hepatotoxicity

The hepatoma cell line HepG2 ($ATCC^{\circledast}$ HB-8065TM) was used to assess the hepato-toxicity of the compounds according to previously described protocols [\[16\]](#page-19-13). The CellTiter 96° A Queous Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay was purchased from Promega (Madison, WI, USA). Compound 3 was tested in two independent experiments in triplicate at seven concentrations (0.78, 1.56, 3.125, 6.25, 12.5, 25 and 50 μ M) for 72 h.

3.5. Water Solubility Determination

The water solubility of the selected compounds was determined using UV spec-troscopy following previously described methods [\[22](#page-19-18)[,23\].](#page-20-0) The calibration curves were determined using a series of dilutions for each compound. Stock solutions in methanol, with the concentration of 1 mg/mL, were further diluted to produce seven solutions with concentrations in the range of 10^{-3} – 10^{-1} mg/mL. Saturated solutions of tested compounds were prepared by suspending each compound (10 mg) in H_2O (2 mL). The suspensions were refluxed for 5 min, then left overnight at 20 °C and filtered off using a Macherey-Nagel MN 619 de filter. Individual filtrates were diluted in methanol (from 10 to 160 times) and analyzed using UV spectroscopy as a solution in methanol/water (90% v/v). The

concentrations of saturated solutions were calculated using MS Excel by linear regression of the two vicinal points of the calibration curves and m ultiplication by the dilution rate.

More information about this experiment and calibration curves for compounds can be found in the Supplementary Material S1.

3.6. Crystal Structures of Compounds 3 and 3-SA

Crystallization attempts to obtain suitable crystals for X-ray analysis for hydrochloride of compound 3 failed. Therefore, we prepared other salts for compound 3 using various organic acids. Suitable crystals of compound 3 were obtained from a mixture of propan-2-ol and decane $(1:1, v:v)$ and for salt of compound 3 with succinic acid (SA) from ethyl acetate, in both cases through slow evaporation of the solvent at room temperature.

Data for single crystals were collected using the XtaLAB Synergy-S diffractometer, equipped with the Cu (1.54184 \AA) K α radiation source and graphite monochromator. The structures were solved via direct methods using a SIR-2014 program [\[27\],](#page-20-4) and all nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically using weighted, full-matrix least squares on $F²$. Refinement and further calculations were carried out using the SHELXL program [\[28\]](#page-20-5). Hydrogen atoms bonded to carbons were included in the structure at idealized positions and were refined using a riding model with $U_{iso}(H)$ fixed at 1.5 $U_{eq}(C)$ for methyl groups and 1.2 $U_{eq}(C)$ for the other hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms attached to nitrogen atoms were found from the difference Fourier map and refined without any restraints.

During the structure refinement of 3-SA, some strong residual electron density peaks were present. Because any attempts to refine this as a chemically rational particle have given non-satisfactory results, the solvent mask option, implemented in Olex2 as an alternative to SQUEEZE, was used [\[29\].](#page-20-5) This left in unit cells a cavity with a volume of about 222.5 \AA^3 in the structure containing about 54 electrons (respectively 111.25 \AA^3 and 27 e for ASU). This allowed us to assume, statistically, that the cavity was filled with about one strongly disordered molecule of ethyl acetate (respectively, $1/2$ molecule for ASU), which was used as a solvent during crystallization.

For molecular graphics the MERCURY [\[30\]](#page-20-6) program was used.

Crystallographic data:

3: $2C_{19}H_{29}N_6O$, $M_r = 714.96$, wavelength 1.54184 Å, crystal size = 0.04 \times 0.07 \times 0.36 mm³, monoclinic, space group Pn, a = 17.4856(3) Å, b = 6.0535(1) Å, c = 18.3357(4) Å, β = 98.738(2)°, V = 1918.06(7) Å³, Z = 2, T = 100(2) K, 16519 reflections collected, 6293 unique reflections ($R_{int} = 0.0353$), R1 = 0.0356, wR2 = 0.0962 [I > 2 σ (I)], R1 = 0.0368, wR2 = 0.0973 [all data].

3-SA: $C_{19}H_{30}N_6O^+$ $\cdot 1.5C_6H_8O_6^-$, $M_r = 533.60$, wavelength 1.54184 Å, crystal size = 0.04 \times 0.15 \times 0.47 mm³, triclinic, space group P₁, a = 9.0639(1) Å, b = 12.7333(1) Å, c = 14.7101(2) Å, $\alpha = 108.461(1)^\circ$, $\beta = 92.440(1)^\circ$, $\gamma = 110.419(1)^\circ$, $V = 1486.64(3)$ Å³, $Z = 2$, T = 100(2) K, 32337 reflections collected, 5544 unique reflections ($R_{int} = 0.0337$), $R1 = 0.0343$, w $R2 = 0.0967$ $[I > 2\sigma(I)], R1 = 0.0363, wR2 = 0.0984$ [all data].

CCDC 2222391-2222392 contain the supplementary crystallographic data. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif (accessed since 26 November 2022).

4. Conclusions

In summary, three series of novel 4-(piperazin-1-yl)-1,3,5-triazine derivatives (monosubstituted, di-substituted and with a longer linker) were designed and synthesized.

The compounds obtained showed variable affinity for $5-HT_6R$. Some of them, especially from series 1, were characterized by a very high interaction strength with this receptor (K_i < 150 nM). Compound 3 (4-(2-tert-butylphenoxy)-6-(4-methylpiperazin-1yl)-1,3,5-triazin-2-amine), which had a high affinity for $5-HT_6R$ and selectivity for other receptors tested (5-HT_{2A}R, 5-HT₇R and D₂R), was selected for further studies. In vitro evaluation proved its good ability for passive permeability and moderate hepatotoxicity. Solubility tests showed that converting a free base into salts increased its solubility, but the

amount depended on the type of salt into which the compound was made. The best solu-

bility was that of hydrochloride, which was not surprising. The results also showed that the type of salt in which the compound was carried out influenced not only its solubility but also its binding to the investigated receptors, and this strength was usually lower than for the free base alone. For such 1,3,5-triazine derivatives, hydrochloride seemed to be the most favorable salt, as this formulation did not affect the affinity for $5-HT_6R$ as much, but this finding still needs further research.

Moreover, crystallographic studies of compounds 3 and 3-SA showed that the piperazine ring always adopted a chair conformation. In the crystal structure of compound 3, two molecules of this compound were presented. In one of them, there was an unusual position (axial) of the methyl substituent at the piperazine ring, while in the other molecule (as in the structure of the salt of $3-SA$), the methyl group at the piperazine occupied an equatorial position.

Furthermore, the modifications introduced to the lead MST4 led also to promising multi-target structures acting on several targets simultaneously, e.g., three targets (5-HT₆R, $5-\text{HT}_{2A}R$ and D_2R , such as in compound 25 (K_i = 78 nM vs. K_i = 364 nM vs. K_i = 149 nM, respectively)). Compounds acting on two such targets, i.e., $5\text{-}HT_6R$ and D_2R [\[31\]](#page-20-7) or $5\text{-}HT_6R$ and 5-HT_{2A}R [\[32\],](#page-20-8) are described in the literature, but such three-target ligands are not yet available.

To sum up, the work carried out shows that 1,3,5-triazine derivatives are promising structures for further research. New modifications may in the future lead not only to highly potent and selective $5-HT_6R$ ligands, but also to multi-targeted compounds, with a potential for more effective therapeutic use, e.g., in the treatment of AD.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at [https:](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules28031108/s1) [//www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules28031108/s1:](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules28031108/s1) Supplementary Materials S1 (synthesis of intermediates, ${}^{1}H$ NMR of selected intermediates, solubility determination with calibration curves) and S2 (1 H NMR and 13 C NMR spectra of compounds 1–25).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.Ł. and J.H.; synthesis of compounds, D.Ł. and M.W.; in vitro pharmacological studies, G.S.; solubility evaluation, E.S. and P.C.; hepatotoxicity study, E.H.-O.; PAMPA assay, G.L. and M.T.; crystallographic study, E.Z. and W.N.; writing—original draft preparation, D.Ł., E.Z. and P.C.; writing—review and editing, D.Ł. and J.H.; project administration, J.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the National Science Center (Poland) Grant No. UMO-2018/31/B/NZ7/02160.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available from the authors upon request.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Andrzej J. Bojarski from the Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Maj Institute of Pharmacology, Polish Academy of Sciences (Kraków) for the opportunity to conduct RBA studies in his department. The authors thank very much Dawid Furgał and Michał Pikuła, the masters students, for their effective participation in the synthesis within the Student Medicinal Chemistry Scientific Group at the Department of Technology and Biotechnology of Drugs, JU MC (Studenckie Koło Chemii Medycznej, UJ CM).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Sample Availability: Samples of the compounds 1-25 could be available from the authors.

References

- 1. Scheltens, P.; De Strooper, B.; Kivipelto, M.; Holstege, H.; Chételat, G.; Teunissen, C.E.; Cummings, J.; van der Flier, W.M. Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet* 2021, 397,1577-1590. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32205-4) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33667416)
- 2. Pardo-Moreno, T.; González-Acedo, A.; Rivas-Domínguez, A.; García-Morales, V.; García-Cozar, F.J.; Ramos-Rodríguez, J.J.; Melguizo-Rodriguez, L. Therapeutic Approach to Alzheimer's Disease: Current Treatments and New Perspectives. *Pharmaceutics* 2022,*1 4 ,*1117. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics14061117) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35745693)
- 3. Mahase, E. Three FDA advisory panel members resign over approval of Alzheimer's drug. *BMJ* 2021, 373,1503. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n1503) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34117086)
- 4. Cummings, J.; Aisen, P.; Apostolova, L.G.; Atri, A.; Salloway, S.; Weiner, M. Aducanumab: Appropriate Use Recommendations. *J. Prev. Alzheimer's Dis.* 2021, 8, 398-410. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.14283/jpad.2021.41)
- 5. U.S. Food & Drug Administration. Available online: [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/daf/index.cfm?event=](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/daf/index.cfm?event=overview.process&ApplNo=212304) [overview.process&ApplNo=212304](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/daf/index.cfm?event=overview.process&ApplNo=212304) (accessed on 29 November 2022).
- 6. Cummings, J.; Lee, G.; Nahed, P.; Kambar, M.E.Z.N.; Zhong, K.; Fonseca, J.; Taghva, K. Alzheimer's disease drug development pipeline: 2022. *Alzheimer's Dement.* 2022, 8, e12295. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1002/trc2.12295)
- 7. Khoury, R.; Grysman, N.; Gold, J.; Patel, K.; Grossberg, G.T. The role of 5 HT₆-receptor antagonists in Alzheimer's disease: An update. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs* 2018, 27, 523-533. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1080/13543784.2018.1483334)
- 8. Kucwaj-Brysz, K.; Baltrukevich, H.; Czarnota, K.; Handzlik, J. Chemical update on the potential for serotonin 5-HT₆ and 5-HT₇ receptor agents in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2021, 49, 128275. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2021.128275)
- 9. De Jong, I.E.M.; Mørk, A. Antagonism of the 5-HT₆ receptor—Preclinical rationale for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropharmacology* 2017,*125,* 50-63. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017.07.010)
- 10. Courault, P.; Emery, S.; Bouvard, S.; Liger, F.; Chauveau, F.; Meyronet, D.; Fourier, A.; Billard, T.; Zimmer, L.; Lancelot, S. Change in Expression of $5-\text{HT}_6$ Receptor at Different Stages of Alzheimer's Disease: A Postmortem Study with the PET Radiopharmaceutical [18F]2FNQ1P. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* 2020, *7 5 ,*1329-1338. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-191278)
- 11. Coray, R.; Quednow, B.B. The role of serotonin in declarative memory: A systematic review of animal and human research. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 2022,*139,*104729. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2022.104729)
- 12. Łazewska, D.; Kurczab, R.; Wiecek, M.; Kaminska, K.; Satała, G.; Jastrzebska-Wiesek, M.; Partyka, A.; Bojarski, A.J.; Wesołowska, A.; Kieć-Kononowicz, K.; et al. The computer-aided discovery of novel family of the $5\text{-}HT_6$ serotonin receptor ligands among derivatives of 4-benzyl-1,3,5-triazine. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2017,*135,*117-124. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.04.033)
- 13. Kurczab, R.; Ali, W.; Łazewska, D.; Kotanska, M.; Jastrzebska-Wiesek, M.; Satała, G.; Wiecek, M.; Lubelska, A.; Latacz, G.; Partyka, A.; et al. Computer-Aided Studies for Novel Arylhydantoin 1,3,5-Triazine Derivatives as 5-HTg Serotonin Receptor Ligands with Antidepressive-Like, Anxiolytic and Antiobesity Action In Vivo. *Molecules* 2018,23, 2529. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23102529)
- 14. Łazewska, D.; Kurczab, R.; Wiecek, M.; Satała, G.; Kiec-Kononowicz, K.; Handzlik, J. Synthesis and computer-aided analysis of the role of linker for novel ligands of the 5-HT₆ serotonin receptor among substituted 1,3,5-triazinylpiperazines. *Bioorg. Chem.* 2019, *84,* 319-325. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2018.11.046) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30530073)
- 15. Ali, W.; Wiecek, M.; Łazewska, D.; Kurczab, R.; Jastrzebska-Wiesek, M.; Satała, G.; Kucwaj-Brysz, K.; Lubelska, A.; Głuch-Lutwin, M.; Mordyl, B.; et al. Synthesis and computer-aided SAR studies for derivatives of phenoxyalkyl-1,3,5-triazine as the new potent ligands for serotonin receptors 5-HTg. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2019,*178,* 740-751. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.06.022) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31229876)
- 16. Latacz, G.; Lubelska, A.; Jastrzebska-Wiesek, M.; Partyka, A.; Marc, M.A.; Satała, G.; Wilczynska, D.; Kotańska, M.; Wiecek, M.; Kaminska, K.; et al. The 1,3,5-Triazine Derivatives as Innovative Chemical Family of 5-HT₆ Serotonin Receptor Agents with Therapeutic Perspectives for Cognitive Impairment. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, *20,* 3420. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20143420) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31336820)
- 17. Sudoł, S.; Kucwaj-Brysz, K.; Kurczab, R.; Wilczynska, N.; Jastrzebska-Wiesek, M.; Satała, G.; Latacz, G.; Głuch-Lutwin, M.; Mordyl, B.; Zesławska, E.; et al. Chlorine substituents and linker topology as factors of 5-HT₆R activity for novel highly active 1,3,5-triazine derivatives with procognitive properties in vivo. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2020, *203,*112529. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2020.112529) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32693296)
- 18. Sudoł, S.; Cios, A.; Jastrzebska-Wiesek, M.; Honkisz-Orzechowska, E.; Mordyl, B.; Wilczynska-Zawal, N.; Satała, G.; Kucwaj-Brysz, K.; Partyka, A.; Latacz, G.; et al. The Phenoxyalkyltriazine Antagonists for 5-HT₆ Receptor with Promising Procognitive and Pharmacokinetic Properties In Vivo in Search for a Novel Therapeutic Approach to Dementia Diseases. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021,22, 10773. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijms221910773) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34639113)
- 19. Łazewska, D.; Wiecek, M.; Ner, J.; Kaminska, K.; Kottke, T.; Schwed, J.S.; Zygmunt, M.; Karcz, T.; Olejarz, A.; Kuder, K.; et al. Aryl-1,3,5-triazine derivatives as histamine H4 receptor ligands. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2014, *83,* 534-546. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.06.032)
- 20. Tabernilla, A.; Dos Santos Rodrigues, B.; Pieters, A.; Caufriez, A.; Leroy, K.; Van Campenhout, R.; Cooreman, A.; Gomes, A.R.; Arnesdotter, E.; Gijbels, E.; et al. In Vitro Liver Toxicity Testing of Chemicals: A Pragmatic Approach. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 5038. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22095038)
- 21. Gupta, D.; Bhatia, D.; Dave, V.; Sutariya, V.; Varghese Gupta, S. Salts of Therapeutic Agents: Chemical, Physicochemical, and Biological Considerations. *Molecules* 2018,23,1719. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23071719) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30011904)
- 22. Załuski, M.; Stanuch, K.; Karcz, T.; Hinz, S.; Latacz, G.; Szymanska, E.; Schabikowski, J.; Doroz-Płonka, A.; Handzlik, J.; Drabczyńska, A.; et al. Tricyclic Xanthine Derivatives Containing a Basic Substituent: Adenosine Receptor Affinity and Drug-Related Properties. *MedChemComm* 2018, 9, 951-962. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1039/C8MD00070K) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30108984)
- 23. Szymańska, E.; Drabczyńska, A.; Karcz, T.; Müller, C.E.; Köse, M.; Karolak-Wojciechowska, J.; Fruziński, A.; Schabikowski, J.; Doroz-Płońka, A.; Handzlik, J.; et al. Similarities and Differences in Affinity and Binding Modes of Tricyclic Pyrimido- and Pyrazinoxanthines at Human and Rat Adenosine Receptors. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2016, 24, 4347-4362. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2016.07.028) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27485602)
- 24. Ali, W.; Garbo, S.; Kincses, A.; Nové, M.; Spengler, G.; Di Bello, E.; Hońkisz-Orzechowska, E.; Karcz, T.; Szymańska, E.; Zesławska, E.; et al. Seleno-vs. thioether triazine derivatives in search for new anticancer agents overcoming multidrug resistance in lymphoma. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2022, 243,114761. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2022.114761) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36179403)
- 25. Groom, C.R.; Bruno, I.J.; Lightfoot, M.P.; Ward, S.C. The Cambridge Structural Database. Acta Crystallogr. B Struct. Sci. Cryst. Eng. Mater. 2016, 72 Pt 2, 171-179. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1107/S2052520616003954) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27048719)
- 26. Chen, X.; Murawski, A.; Patel, K.; Crespi, C.L.; Balimane, P.V. A novel design of artificial membrane for improving the PAMPA model. Pharm. Res. 2008,25,1511-1520. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s11095-007-9517-8)
- 27. Burla, M.C.; Caliandro, R.; Carrozzini, B.; Cascarano, G.L.; Cuocci, C.; Giacovazzo, C.; Mallamo, M.; Mazzone, A.; Polidori, G. Crystal structure determination and refinement via SIR2014. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2015, 48, 306-309. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1107/S1600576715001132)
- 28. Sheldrick, G.M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C 2015, 71, 3-8. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1107/S2053229614024218)
- 29. Dolomanov, O.V.; Bourhis, L.J.; Gildea, R.J.; Howard, J.A.K.; Puschmann, H. OLEX2: A complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2009, 42, 339-341. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1107/S0021889808042726)
- 30. Macrae, C.F.; Sovago, I.; Cottrell, S.J.; Galek, P.T.A.; McCabe, P.; Pidcock, E.; Platings, M.; Shields, G.P.; Stevens, J.S.; Towler, M.; et al. *Mercury 4.0*: From visualization to analysis, design and prediction. *J. Appl. Cryst.* 2020, 53, 226–235. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1107/S1600576719014092) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32047413)
- 31. Hogeńdorf, A.; Hogeńdorf, A.S.; Kurczab, R.; Satała, G.; Szewczyk, B.; Cieslik, P.; Latacz, G.; Handzlik, J.; Lenda, T.; Kaczorowska, K.; et al. N-Skatyltryptamines-Dual 5-HT₆R/D₂R Ligands with Antipsychotic and Procognitive Potential. Molecules 2021, 26, 4605. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26154605)
- 32. Kucwaj-Brysz, K.; Ali, W.; Kurczab, R.; Sudoł-Tałaj, S.; Wilczyńska-Zawal, N.; Jastrzebska-Wiesek, M.; Satała, G.; Mordyl, B.; Zesławska, E.; Olejarz-Maciej, A.; et al. An exit beyond the pharmacophore model for $5-HT_6R$ agents—A new strategy to gain dual 5-HT₆/5-HT_{2A} action for triazine derivatives with procognitive potential. Bioorg. Chem. 2022, 121, 105695. [CrossRef] [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35228010)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.