



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Differential geometry

## Corrigendum to “On anti-Hermitian metric connections” [C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 352 (9) (2014) 731–735]



Arif Salimov

Ataturk University, Faculty of Science, Dep. of Mathematics, 25240, Turkey

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 28 April 2015

Accepted 27 May 2015

Available online 19 June 2015

Section 4 in the article [1] contains a sign error, i.e. the correct formula (9) of [1] should be read as follows:

$$S(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{2}J(\nabla_X J)Y.$$

Thus, the correct anti-Hermitian metric connection of type I is as follows:

$$\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla + S = \nabla - \frac{1}{2}J(\nabla J).$$

Also, from (4) and (14) of [1], we see that the torsion tensor of anti-Hermitian metric connection of type II is given by

$$T(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{2}J((\nabla_X J)Y - (\nabla_Y J)X).$$

Let now the triple  $(M, g, J)$  be an anti-Kähler–Codazzi manifold. Then from (3) of [1] we find that  $T = 0$ , i.e. in an anti-Kähler–Codazzi manifold the anti-Hermitian metric connection of type II reduces to a Levi–Civita connection. Due to this fact, we revise Theorem 5.2 of [1] as follows:

**Theorem 5.2.** *If an anti-Hermitian manifold is anti-Kähler–Codazzi, then the anti-Hermitian metric connection of type II coincides with the Levi–Civita connection of  $g$ .*

### References

- [1] A. Salimov, On anti-Hermitian metric connections, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 352 (9) (2014) 731–735.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2014.07.004>.

E-mail address: [asalimov@atauni.edu.tr](mailto:asalimov@atauni.edu.tr).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2015.05.006>

1631-073X/© 2015 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.