



Logic

A modular Szemerédi–Trotter theorem for hyperbolas [☆]*Un théorème de type Szemerédi–Trotter modulaire pour hyperboles*

Jean Bourgain

School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, 1 Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 August 2012

Accepted 18 September 2012

Available online 15 October 2012

Presented by Jean Bourgain

ABSTRACT

We establish a Szemerédi–Trotter type result for hyperbolas in $\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$.

© 2012 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

RÉSUMÉ

Nous démontrons une version du théorème de Szemerédi–Trotter pour des familles d'hyperboles dans $\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$.

© 2012 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

Version française abrégée

Le théorème classique de Szemerédi–Trotter donne une estimée sur les incidences d'une famille finie P de points dans le plan et une famille finie L de droites (ou, plus généralement, de courbes algébriques de degré borné); dans sa généralité, cette estimée est optimale. Une version ‘corps fini’, pour L consistant de droites, est obtenue dans [3]. Nous nous proposons ici d'établir un résultat de ce type pour certaines familles d'hyperboles dans $\mathbb{F}_p \times \mathbb{F}_p$, définie par des équations

$$cxy - ax + dy - b = 0 \quad \text{où} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(p).$$

Essentiellement, la condition imposée sur L est que l'ensemble des matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ qui définit L ne soit pas contenu dans une traduite d'un sous-groupe propre de $SL_2(p)$; l'argument repose en effet sur les résultats de [2] sur l'expansion dans $SL_2(p)$.

1. Introduction and statement of the results

It is shown in [3] that if P and L are sets of points and lines in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with $|P| = |L| = n < p^{2-\varepsilon}$, then the number of incidences

$$I(P, L) = \left| \{(p, \ell) \in P \times L; p \in \ell\} \right| < cn^{\frac{3}{2}-\delta} \tag{1}$$

where $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$. An explicit quantitative version of this result appears in [5]. Some of its various applications may be found in [1]. The following statement provides a result of a similar flavor for hyperbolas.

[☆] The research was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0808042 and DMS-0835373.

E-mail address: bourgain@math.ias.edu.

Proposition 1. For all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $r > 1$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that the following holds. Let p be a large prime and $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$, $S \subset SL_2(p)$ satisfy the conditions

- (2) $1 \ll |A| < p^{1-\varepsilon}$
- (3) $\log |A| < r \log |S|$
- (4) $|S \cap gH| < |S|^{1-\varepsilon}$ for any proper subgroup $H \subset SL_2(p)$ and $g \in SL_2(p)$.

For $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(p)$, denote $\Gamma_g \subset \mathbb{F}_p^2$ the curve

$$cxy - ax + dy - b = 0. \quad (5)$$

Then

$$|\{(x, y, g) \in A \times A \times S; (x, y) \in \Gamma_g\}| < |A|^{1-\delta} |S|. \quad (6)$$

Note that the conclusion would be obviously false if we removed assumption (4).

Proposition 2. Assume given a polynomial function Φ on \mathbb{F}_p taking values in $\text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, such that $\det \Phi$ does not vanish identically and is a quadratic residue (or a quadratic non-residue). Assume further that $\text{Im } \Phi \cap GL_2(p)$ is not contained in a set $\mathbb{F}_p^*.gH$ for some $g \in SL_2(p)$ and $H \subset SL_2(p)$ a proper subgroup.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $r > 1$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $A \subset \mathbb{F}_p$, $L \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ satisfy

- (7) $1 \ll |A| < p^{1-\varepsilon}$
- (8) $\log |A| < r \log L$.

Then

$$|\{(x, y, t) \in A \times A \times L; (x, y) \in \Gamma_{\Phi(t)}\}| < |A|^{1-\delta} |L|. \quad (9)$$

Applications will be discussed elsewhere.

2. Preliminaries

The main ingredients in the proof are the expansion properties in $SL_2(p)$ obtained in [2] and based on [4].

More specifically, we make use of the so-called ‘ L^2 -flattening lemma’ that we recall next (in a version slightly more general than stated in [2] but similar proof).

Lemma 3. Let η be a symmetric probability measure on $SL_2(p)$ and $1 \ll K < p^{\frac{1}{10}}$, such that

- (10) $\eta(gH) < K^{-1}$ for any proper subgroup $H \subset SL_2(p)$, $g \in SL_2(p)$
- (11) $\|\eta\|_2 > Kp^{-3/2}$.

Then

$$\|\eta * \eta\|_2 < K^{-c} \|\eta\|_2 \quad (12)$$

with $c > 0$ an absolute constant.

Here $\|\eta\|_2 = [\sum_{g \in SL_2(p)} \eta(g)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Denoting $\eta^{(\ell)}$ the ℓ -fold convolution, iteration of Lemma 3 implies that for η satisfying (10)

$$\|\eta^{(2^\ell)}\|_2 \leq K^{-c\ell} \|\eta\|_2 + Kp^{-3/2} \quad (13)$$

and hence

$$\|\eta^{(2^{\ell+1})}\|_\infty \leq \|\eta^{(2^\ell)}\|_2^2 \leq 2K^{-2c\ell} \|\eta\|_2^2 + 2K^2 p^{-3}. \quad (14)$$

Recall also that if $K > p^\gamma$, (14) combined with an argument due to [6] based on Frobenius multiplicity, implies that

$$\|\eta^{(\ell)}\|_\infty < 2p^{-3} \quad (15)$$

for some $\ell = \ell(\gamma)$. See [2] for details.

3. Sketch of the proof of Proposition 1

Using the action τ of $SL_2(p)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p)$, rewrite Eq. (5) (since we may assume $cx + d \neq 0$) as

$$y = \frac{ax + b}{cx + d} = \tau_g(x).$$

The left-hand side of (6) equals

$$\sum_{g \in S} |A \cap \tau_{g^{-1}}(A)| = |S| \cdot \left\langle 1_A, \sum_g (1_A \circ \tau_g) \mu(g) \right\rangle$$

with

$$\mu = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{g \in S} \delta_g$$

and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ referring to the inner product on $L^2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Next, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, write

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle 1_A, \sum_g (1_A \circ \tau_g) \mu(g) \right\rangle &\leq |A|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \sum_g (1_A \circ \tau_g) \mu(g) \right\|_2 = |A|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_g \langle 1_A \circ \tau_g, 1_A \rangle (\mu * \mu^{-1})(g) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq |A|^{\frac{3}{4}} \left\| \sum_g (1_A \circ \tau_g) \nu(g) \right\|_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu = \mu * \mu^{-1}$ is symmetric. Iteration gives for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$\leq |A|^{1-2^{-\ell-1}} \left\| \sum_g (1_A \circ \tau_g) \nu^{(2^{\ell-1})}(g) \right\|_2^{2^{-\ell}}. \quad (16)$$

Note that, by our assumption (4), if $H \subset SL_2(p)$ is a proper subgroup and $g \in SL_2(p)$,

$$\nu(gH) < |S|^{-\varepsilon}. \quad (17)$$

It follows from (16) that if (6) fails, then

$$|A|^{\frac{1}{2}-2^\ell \delta} < \left\| \sum_g (1_A \circ \tau_g) \nu^{(2^{\ell-1})}(g) \right\|_2 \quad (18)$$

and hence

$$|A|^{1-2^{\ell+1}\delta} < \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p, g \in SL_2(p)} 1_A(\tau_g x) 1_A(x) \nu^{(2^\ell)}(g). \quad (19)$$

We distinguish two cases.

If $|A| > p^{\frac{1}{10}}$, then, by (3), $|S| > p^{\frac{1}{10r}}$ and, applying (15) with $K = p^{\frac{\varepsilon}{10r}}$ gives $\|\nu^{(2^\ell)}\|_\infty < 2p^{-3}$ for some $\ell = \ell(\varepsilon, r)$. Hence, from (19), $|A|^{1-2^{\ell+1}\delta} < 2p^{-1}|A|^2$, contradicting (2) for δ small enough.

If $|A| \leq p^{\frac{1}{10}}$, denote $\nu_1 = \nu^{(2^\ell)}$ and write using (19) and Hölder

$$\sum_g \left[\sum_{x_1, x_2, x_3 \in A} 1_A(\tau_g x_1) 1_A(\tau_g x_2) 1_A(\tau_g x_3) \right] \nu_1(g) > |A|^{3-32^{\ell+1}\delta}. \quad (20)$$

Assuming $2^\ell \delta < \frac{1}{10}$, it follows from (20) that there are distinct elements $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in A$ such that

$$\nu_1[g \in SL_2(p); \tau_g x_i \in A \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3] > \frac{1}{2} |A|^{-32^{\ell+1}\delta}. \quad (21)$$

Since the equations $\tau_g x_i = y_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) determine g up to bounded multiplicity, (21) implies $\|\nu_1\|_\infty |A|^3 > c|A|^{-32^{\ell+1}\delta}$. Since by (14), applied with $K = |A|^{\frac{\varepsilon}{r}}$, $\|\nu_1\|_\infty \leq 2|A|^{-2c(\ell-1)\frac{\varepsilon}{r}} + p^{-2}$, it follows

$$|A|^{3-2c(\ell-1)\frac{\varepsilon}{r}} + |A|^3 p^{-2} > c|A|^{-32^{\ell+1}\delta}. \quad (22)$$

Taking $\ell = \ell(\varepsilon, r)$ appropriately and δ small enough gives again a contradiction.

4. Proof of Proposition 2

We may assume that $\det \Phi(t) \in \mathbb{F}_p$ is a quadratic residue (otherwise replace Φ by $\Phi(t_0)\Phi$ with $\det \Phi(t_0) \neq 0$). We can also assume that $\det \Phi(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in L$. Apply Proposition 1 to

$$S = \{\sigma(t)\Phi(t); t \in L\} \subset SL_2(p) \quad (23)$$

where $\sigma(t) \in \mathbb{F}_p^*$ is chosen such that $\sigma(t)^2 \det \Phi(t) = 1$. It remains to verify condition (4). From our assumption on Φ ,

$$\mathbb{F}_p^* \langle \Phi(t_1)^{-1}\Phi(t_2); \det \Phi(t_1) \neq 0, \det \Phi(t_2) \neq 0 \rangle = GL_2(p). \quad (24)$$

Using the bicommutator characterization of large proper subgroups of $SL_2(p)$, (24) implies that also

$$\mathbb{F}_p^* \langle \Phi(t_1)^{-1}\Phi(t_2); t_1, t_2 \in L_1 \rangle = GL_2(p) \quad (25)$$

for any sufficiently large subsets L_1 of L . Hence

$$\{\sigma(t)\Phi(t); t \in L_1\}$$

is not contained in a coset of a proper $SL_2(p)$ -subgroup.

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, More on the sum-product phenomenon in prime fields and its applications, *Int. J. Number Theory* 1 (1) (2005) 1–32.
- [2] J. Bourgain, A. Gamburd, Uniform expansion bounds for Cayley graphs of $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, *Annals of Math.* 167 (2008) 625–642.
- [3] J. Bourgain, N. Katz, T. Tao, A sum-product estimate in finite fields and applications, *GAFA* 14 (2004) 27–57.
- [4] H. Helfgott, Growth and generation in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, *Annals of Math.* 167 (2) (2008) 601–623.
- [5] H. Helfgott, M. Rudnev, An explicit incidence theorem in \mathbb{F}_p , arXiv:1001.1980v2.
- [6] P. Sarnak, X. Xue, Bounds for multiplicities of automorphic representations, *Duke Math. J.* 64 (1991) 207–227.