



Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)



C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008) 509–514



<http://france.elsevier.com/direct/CRASS1/>

## Complex Analysis/Functional Analysis

# Expansion in series of exponential polynomials of mean-periodic functions with growth conditions

Habib Ouerdiane<sup>a</sup>, Myriam Ounaies<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Département de mathématique, faculté des sciences de Tunis, Université de Tunis El Manar, campus universitaire, 1060 Tunis, Tunisia

<sup>b</sup> Institut de recherche mathématique avancée, Université Louis-Pasteur, 7, rue René-Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg cedex, France

Received 11 May 2007; accepted after revision 27 March 2008

Presented by Pierre Lelong

## Abstract

Let  $\theta$  be a Young function. Consider the space  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  of all entire functions on  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\theta$ -exponential growth. In this Note, we are interested in the solutions  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  of the convolution equation  $T \star f = 0$ , called  $T$ -mean-periodic functions, where  $T$  is in the topological dual of  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ . We show that each mean-periodic function admits an expansion as a convergent series of exponential polynomials. *To cite this article: H. Ouerdiane, M. Ounaies, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008).*

© 2008 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## Résumé

**Développement en séries de polynômes exponentiels des fonctions moyenne-périodiques à croissance  $\theta$ -exponentielle.** Soit  $\theta$  une fonction de Young. Considérons l'espace  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  de toutes les fonctions entières sur  $\mathbb{C}$  à croissance  $\theta$ -exponentielle. On s'intéresse dans cette Note aux solutions  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  de l'équation de convolution  $T \star f = 0$ , appelées fonctions  $T$ -moyenne-périodiques, où  $T$  est dans le dual topologique de  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ . On montre que toute fonction moyenne-périodique admet un développement en série de polynômes exponentiels. De plus cette série est convergente pour la topologie de l'espace  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ . *Pour citer cet article : H. Ouerdiane, M. Ounaies, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 346 (2008).*

© 2008 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## Version française abrégée

Notons  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  l'espace des fonctions entières sur  $\mathbb{C}$ . Soit  $\theta : [0, +\infty] \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  une fonction de Young, c'est-à-dire que  $\theta$  est convexe, continue, croissante et vérifie  $\theta(0) = 0$  et  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x}{\theta(x)} = 0$  quand  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Notons  $\theta^*$  sa transformée de Legendre définie par  $\theta^*(x) = \sup_{t \geqslant 0} (tx - \theta(t))$ ,  $x \geqslant 0$ . Nous considérons l'espace, noté  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ , des fonctions  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  vérifiant la condition de croissance

$$\forall m > 0, \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |f(z)| e^{-\theta^*(m|z|)} < \infty, \quad (1)$$

E-mail addresses: [habib.ouerdiane@fst.rnu.tn](mailto:habib.ouerdiane@fst.rnu.tn) (H. Ouerdiane), [ounaies@math.u-strasbg.fr](mailto:ounaies@math.u-strasbg.fr) (M. Ounaies).

et nous notons  $\mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  son dual topologique fort. Soit  $T \in \mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $T \neq 0$ . Nous dirons que  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  est  $T$ -moyenne périodique si elle vérifie l'équation de convolution  $T \star f = 0$ .

Soit  $\mathcal{L}$  la transformation de Fourier–Borel définie sur  $\mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ . On note  $\{\alpha_k\}_k$  les zéros de  $\mathcal{L}(T)$  et  $m_k$  leur ordre de multiplicité. Les monômes exponentiels  $z^l e^{\alpha_k z}$ ,  $0 \leq l < m_k$ , sont alors des fonctions  $T$ -moyennes périodiques. Notre résultat principal est le suivant :

**Théorème 0.1.** *Toute fonction  $T$ -moyenne-périodique  $f$  est série convergente dans  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  :*

$$f(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{l=0}^{m_k-1} c_{k,l} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k e^{z\alpha_j} P_{k,j,l}(z) \right], \quad (2)$$

où  $P_{k,j,l}$  sont les polynômes de degré  $< m_j$  donnés par (12) et (13). Les coefficients  $c_{k,l}$  sont donnés au moyen de la transformation de Fourier–Borel  $\mathcal{L}$  par :  $c_{k,l} = \langle S_{k,l}, f \rangle$ , avec

$$\mathcal{L}(S_{k,l})(\xi) = (\xi - \alpha_k)^l \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (\xi - \alpha_j)^{m_j}.$$

De plus ces coefficients vérifient

$$\forall m > 0, \quad \sum_{k \geq 1} e^{\theta(m|\alpha_k|)} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{m_k-1} |c_{k,l}| |\alpha_k|^{-(m_1+\dots+m_{k-1}+l)} \right) < +\infty. \quad (3)$$

Réiproquement, toute série de la forme (2) dont les coefficients  $c_{k,l}$  vérifient (3) converge dans  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  vers une fonction  $T$ -moyenne-périodique.

## 1. Preliminaries and definitions

Let  $\theta : [0, +\infty] \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be a Young function, i.e.,  $\theta$  is convex, continuous, strictly increasing and verifies  $\theta(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x}{\theta(x)} = 0$  when  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Denote by  $\theta^*$  the Legendre transform of  $\theta$  defined by  $\theta^*(x) = \sup_{t \geq 0} (tx - \theta(t))$ , for  $x \geq 0$ , which is also a Young function. Denote by  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  the space of all entire functions on the complex space  $\mathbb{C}$ . For any  $m > 0$ , consider  $E_{\theta,m}(\mathbb{C})$ , the Banach space of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\theta,m} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |f(z)| e^{-\theta(m|z|)} < +\infty \quad (4)$$

and define  $\mathcal{G}_\theta(\mathbb{C}) = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} E_{\theta,p}(\mathbb{C})$  endowed with the inductive limit topology. The space  $\mathcal{G}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  is an algebra under the ordinary multiplication of functions. We define  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C}) = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} E_{\theta^*,1/p}(\mathbb{C})$  endowed with the projective limit topology and we denote by  $\mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  the strong topological dual of  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ .

For any fixed  $u \in \mathbb{C}$ , the translation operator  $\tau_u$  on  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  defined by  $(\tau_u f)(z) = f(z + u)$ , leaves invariant this space.

For all  $S \in \mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ , the function  $z \rightarrow \langle S, \tau_z f \rangle$  is an element of  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ . Therefore, for any  $S \in \mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ , the map  $S \star : \mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  defined by  $S \star f(z) = \langle S, \tau_z f \rangle$  is a convolution operator, i.e., it is linear, continuous and commute with any translation operator. For any  $S \in \mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ , the Fourier–Borel transform of  $S$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(S)$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(S)(\xi) = \langle S, e^{\xi \cdot} \rangle,$$

where  $e^{\xi \cdot}$  denotes the function  $z \rightarrow e^{\xi z}$ . For any two elements  $S$  and  $U$  of  $\mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ , the convolution product  $S \star U \in \mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  is defined by

$$\langle S \star U, f \rangle = \langle S, U \star f \rangle, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C}).$$

Under this convolution,  $\mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  is a commutative algebra admitting  $\delta_0$ , the Dirac measure at the origin, as unit. From [5] we deduce the following proposition:

**Proposition 1.1.** *The space  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  is a nuclear Fréchet space and the Fourier–Borel transform  $\mathcal{L}$  is a topological isomorphism between the algebras  $\mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\mathcal{G}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ .*

## 2. Main results

Throughout the rest of the paper, let  $T$  be a fixed non-zero element of  $\mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We say that a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  is  $T$ -mean-periodic if it satisfies the equation

$$T \star f = 0. \quad (5)$$

Denote by  $\Phi$  the entire function in  $\mathcal{G}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  defined by  $\Phi = \mathcal{L}(T)$ .

**Remark 2.2.** In the case where  $\Phi$  has no zeros, by a division theorem, we can show that  $\frac{1}{\Phi} \in \mathcal{G}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ . Thus,  $S = (\mathcal{L})^{-1}(\frac{1}{\Phi}) \in \mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ . Then, we have  $S \star T = T \star S = \delta_0$ . If we assume that  $T \star f = 0$ , then  $\delta_0 \star f = f = 0$ . Therefore, the only mean-periodic function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  is the zero function.

We will throughout the rest of the paper assume that  $\Phi$  has zeros, and denote them by  $|\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha_2| \leq \dots \leq |\alpha_k| \leq \dots$ ,  $\alpha_k \neq \alpha_{k'}$  if  $k \neq k'$ . We denote by  $m_k$  be the order of multiplicity of  $\Phi$  at  $\alpha_k$ .

**Remark 2.3.** For all  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$  and for all  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , the exponential monomial  $M_{l,\xi} : z \in \mathbb{C} \rightarrow z^l e^{\xi z}$  verifies  $\langle T, M_{l,\xi} \rangle = \Phi^{(l)}(\xi)$ . In particular, for  $0 \leq l < m_k$ ,  $M_{l,\alpha_k}$  is a  $T$ -mean-periodic function.

**Theorem 2.4.** (i) Any  $T$ -mean-periodic function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  admits the following expansion as a convergent series in  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$

$$f(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{l=0}^{m_k-1} c_{k,l} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k e^{z\alpha_j} P_{k,j,l}(z) \right] \quad (6)$$

where  $P_{k,j,l}$  are the polynomials of degree  $< m_j$  given by (12) and (13). The coefficients  $c_{k,l}$  verify the following estimate

$$\forall m > 0, \quad \sum_{k \geq 1} e^{\theta(m|\alpha_k|)} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{m_k-1} |c_{k,l}| |\alpha_k|^{-(m_1+\dots+m_{k-1}+l)} \right) < +\infty \quad (7)$$

and are given by  $c_{k,l} = \langle S_{k,l}, f \rangle$  where  $S_{k,l} \in \mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(S_{k,l})(\xi) = (\xi - \alpha_k)^l \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (\xi - \alpha_j)^{m_j}.$$

(ii) Conversely, any such series whose coefficients  $c_{k,l}$  satisfy the estimate (7) converges in  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  to a  $T$ -mean-periodic function.

**Remark 2.5.** Although  $\theta(x) = x$  is not a Young function, our results are still valid. In this case,  $\mathcal{G}_\theta(\mathbb{C}) = \text{Exp}(\mathbb{C})$ , the space of all entire functions of exponential type and the corresponding  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  is the space of all entire functions without any growth condition of the type (4), i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ .

These results generalize those obtained in [1] and [4], where the authors considered the case  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ . In fact, they showed that, given  $T \in \mathcal{H}'(\mathbb{C})$ , there exists a sequence of indices  $k_1 = 1 < k_2 < \dots$  such that any  $T$ -mean periodic function  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$  admits a unique expansion, convergent in  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ , of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k_n \leq k < k_{n+1}} e^{\alpha_k z} \sum_{l=0}^{m_k-1} d_{k,l} \frac{z^l}{l!}. \quad (8)$$

In (8), the sum converges by grouping the terms. Unlike in (6), there is no Abel summation process, but in general, the sequence  $\{k_n\}_n$  is not explicit. We refer to [2] for representation formulas of the form (8) in more general cases and to [3] for a general survey on the topic of convolution equations and related problems in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2.4

We are going to use a characterization, obtained in [7] of the image of the restriction operator  $\rho$  defined on  $\mathcal{G}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  by

$$\rho(g) = \left\{ \frac{g^l(\alpha_k)}{l!} \right\}_{k \geq 1, 0 \leq l < m_k}.$$

This characterization is given in terms of growth conditions involving the divided differences (see [6] for further details about divided differences). To any discrete doubly indexed sequence  $a = \{a_{k,l}\}_{k, 0 \leq l < m_k}$  of complex numbers, we associate the sequence of divided differences  $\Psi(a) = b = \{b_{k,l}\}_{k, 0 \leq l < m_k}$ . We recall that they are the coefficients of the Newton polynomials

$$Q_q(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^q \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (\xi - \alpha_j)^{m_j} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{m_k-1} b_{k,l} (\xi - \alpha_k)^l \right), \quad (9)$$

defined, for any  $q \geq 1$ , as the unique polynomial of degree  $m_1 + \cdots + m_q - 1$  such that

$$\frac{Q_q^{(l)}(\alpha_k)}{l!} = a_{k,l}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq q \text{ and } 0 \leq l \leq m_k - 1.$$

When all the multiplicities  $m_k = 1$ , we may give a simple formula for the coefficients  $b_k$ :

$$b_k = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \prod_{1 \leq n \leq k, j \neq n} (\alpha_j - \alpha_n)^{-1}.$$

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{B}_{\theta,m}(V)$  the Banach space of all doubly indexed sequences of complex numbers  $b = \{b_{k,l}\}_{k, 0 \leq l < m_k}$  such that,

$$\|b\|_{\theta,m} := \sup_{k \geq 1} \sup_{0 \leq l < m_k} |b_{k,l}| |\alpha_k|^{m_1 + \cdots + m_{k-1} + l} e^{-\theta(m|\alpha_k|)} < +\infty \quad (10)$$

and by  $\mathcal{A}_{\theta,m}(V) = \Psi^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_{\theta,m}(V))$ . The space  $\mathcal{A}_{\theta,m}(V)$  endowed with the norm  $\|a\|_{m,\theta} = \|\Psi(a)\|_{m,\theta}$  is a Banach space and  $\Psi$  is an isometry from  $\mathcal{A}_{\theta,m}(V)$  into  $\mathcal{B}_{\theta,m}(V)$ .

Now, consider the spaces  $\mathcal{A}_\theta(V) = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} \mathcal{A}_{\theta,p}(V)$  and  $\mathcal{B}_\theta(V) = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} \mathcal{B}_{\theta,p}(V)$  endowed with the topology of inductive limit of Banach spaces.

In [7], we showed that the map  $\rho : \mathcal{G}_\theta(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\theta(V)$  is continuous and surjective. Therefore, the linear map  $\alpha = \Psi \circ \rho \circ \mathcal{L} : \mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_\theta(V)$  is also continuous and surjective.

The space  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  is a Fréchet–Schwartz space, therefore it is reflexive. Then, the transpose  $\alpha^t$  of  $\alpha$  is defined from the strong dual of  $\mathcal{B}_\theta(V)$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{B}'_\theta(V)$ , into  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ . From a classical theorem (see [1]),  $\alpha^t$  is a topological isomorphism onto its image and  $\text{Im } \alpha^t = (\text{Ker } \alpha)^\circ$ , the orthogonal space of  $\text{Ker } \alpha$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *The space  $\mathcal{B}'_\theta(V)$  can be identified, through the canonical bilinear form*

$$\langle c, b \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{m_k-1} c_{k,l} b_{k,l}$$

*with the space  $\mathcal{C}_\theta(V) = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}^*} \mathcal{C}_{\theta,p}(V)$  endowed with the projective limit topology, where, for all  $p$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{\theta,p}(V)$  is the Banach space of the sequences  $c = \{c_{k,l}\}_{k, 0 \leq l < m_k}$  such that*

$$\|c\|'_{\theta,p} := \sum_{k \geq 1} e^{\theta(p|\alpha_k|)} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{m_k-1} |c_{k,l}| |\alpha_k|^{-(m_1 + \cdots + m_{k-1} + l)} \right) < +\infty. \quad (11)$$

*Moreover,  $\mathcal{C}_\theta(V)$  is a Fréchet–Schwartz space.*

**Proof.** For the first part of the lemma, it suffices to see that, for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , for all  $b \in \mathcal{B}_{\theta,p}(V)$  and all  $c \in \mathcal{C}_{\theta,p}(V)$ ,

$$|\langle c, b \rangle| \leq \|c\|'_{\theta,p} \|b\|_{\theta,p}.$$

For the second part, it is clear that, for any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the canonical injection  $i_p : \mathcal{C}_{\theta,p+1}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\theta,p}(V)$  is compact. Thus, in view of [1, Proposition 1.4.8.],  $\mathcal{C}_\theta(V)$  is a Fréchet–Schwartz space.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *We have the equalities:  $\text{Im } \alpha^t = (\text{Ker } \alpha)^\circ = \{f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C}) \mid T \star f = 0\}$ .*

**Proof.** As  $\text{Ker } \rho$  is the ideal generated by  $\Phi$  in  $\mathcal{G}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ , we deduce that  $\text{Ker } \alpha$  is the ideal generated by  $T$  in  $\mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $f$  be an element of  $(\text{Ker } \alpha)^\circ$ . For all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$(T \star f)(z) = \langle T, \tau_z f \rangle = \langle T, \delta_z \star f \rangle = \langle T \star \delta_z, f \rangle = 0,$$

using the fact that  $T \star \delta_z \in \text{Ker } \alpha$ .

Conversely, let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  be such that  $T \star f = 0$  and let  $U \in \mathcal{F}'_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ . We have

$$\langle T \star U, f \rangle = \langle U, T \star f \rangle = 0.$$

This shows that  $f \in (\text{Ker } \alpha)^\circ$  and concludes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Let us proceed with the proof of Theorem 2.4, (i). Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  be a  $T$ -mean periodic function. From Lemmas 3.2 and 3.1, there is a unique sequence  $c \in \mathcal{C}_\theta(V)$  such that  $f = \alpha^t(c)$ . For  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , denoting by  $\delta_z$  the Dirac measure at  $z$ , we have

$$f(z) = \langle \delta_z, f \rangle = \langle \delta_z, \alpha^t(c) \rangle = \langle c, \alpha(\delta_z) \rangle = \langle c, \Psi(\rho(g_z)) \rangle$$

where we have denoted by  $g_z = \mathcal{L}(\delta_z)$ , that is, the function in  $\mathcal{G}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$  defined by  $g_z(\xi) = e^{z\xi}$ . Let us compute  $\Psi(\rho(g_z)) = b(z) = \{b_{k,l}(z)\}_{k,0 \leq l < m_k}$ , which is an element of  $\mathcal{B}_\theta(V)$ . By well known formulas about Newton polynomials (see, for example [1, Definition 6.2.8]), we have, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and denoting by  $\partial_j^m = \frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \alpha_j^m}$ , for  $0 \leq l < m_k$ ,

$$b_{k,l}(z) = \partial_1^{m_1-1} \cdots \partial_{k-1}^{m_{k-1}-1} \partial_k^l \left( \sum_{j=1}^k e^{z\alpha_j} \prod_{1 \leq n \leq k, n \neq j} (\alpha_j - \alpha_n)^{-1} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^k e^{z\alpha_j} P_{k,j,l}(z),$$

where we have denoted by, for  $1 \leq j \leq k-1$ ,

$$P_{k,j,l}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{m_j-1} \frac{z^i}{i!} \partial_j^{m_j-1-i} \left( \prod_{1 \leq n \leq k-1, n \neq j} (\alpha_j - \alpha_n)^{-m_n} (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)^{-(l+1)} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad (12)$$

$$P_{k,k,l}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^l \frac{z^i}{i!} \partial_k^{l-i} \left( \prod_{1 \leq n \leq k-1} (\alpha_k - \alpha_n)^{-m_n} \right). \quad (13)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$f(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{l=0}^{m_k-1} c_{k,l} b_{k,l}(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{l=0}^{m_k-1} c_{k,l} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^k e^{z\alpha_j} P_{k,j,l}(z) \right]$$

and the equality (6) is established. For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , observe that  $\|g_z\|_{\theta,p} \leq e^{\theta^*(\frac{1}{p}|z|)}$ . By continuity of  $\Psi \circ \rho$ , there exists  $p' \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $C_p > 0$  such that

$$\|b(z)\|_{\theta,p'} \leq C_p \|g_z\|_{\theta,p} \leq C_p e^{\theta^*(\frac{1}{p}|z|)}.$$

We deduce, using (10) and (11), that

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{l=0}^{m_k-1} |c_{k,l} b_{k,l}(z)| e^{-\theta^*(\frac{1}{p}|z|)} \leq C_p \sum_{k \geq 1} e^{\theta(p'|\alpha_k|)} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{m_k-1} |c_{k,l}| |\alpha_k|^{-(m_1+\dots+m_{k-1}+l)} \right) = C_p \|c\|'_{\theta,p'}.$$

It is now clear that the right-hand side of (6) is absolutely convergent in  $\mathcal{F}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ . Now, for fixed  $k$  and  $l$  with  $0 \leq l < m_k$ , consider the element  $b^{k,l} = \{\delta_{kj}\delta_{li}\}_{j,0 \leq j < m_k}$  of  $\mathcal{B}_\theta(\mathbb{C})$ . The corresponding Newton polynomials (9) are given by  $Q_q = 0$  when  $q < k$  and  $Q_q = S_{k,l}$  when  $q \geq k$ . Consequently, we see that  $\alpha(S_{k,l}) = \Psi \circ \rho \circ \mathcal{L}(S_{k,l}) = b^{k,l}$  and

$$\langle S_{k,l}, f \rangle = \langle S_{k,l}, \alpha^t(c) \rangle = \langle \alpha(S_{k,l}), c \rangle = \langle b^{k,l}, c \rangle = c_{k,l}.$$

(ii) The converse part is easily deduced from the previous estimates and Remark 2.3.

## References

- [1] C.A. Berenstein, R. Gay, Complex Analysis and Special Topics in Harmonic Analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [2] C.A. Berenstein, D.C. Struppa, Dirichlet series and convolution equations, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 24 (1988) 783–810.
- [3] C.A. Berenstein, D.C. Struppa, Complex analysis and convolution equations, in: *Itogi Nauki Tekh., Ser. Sovrem. Probl. Mat., Fundam. Napravleniya*, vol. 54, 1989, pp. 5–111. English transl.: *Several Complex Variables. V: Complex Analysis in Partial Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics*, in: *Encycl. Math. Sci.*, vol. 54, 1993, pp. 1–108.
- [4] C.A. Berenstein, B.A. Taylor, A new look at interpolation theory for entire functions of one variable, *Adv. in Math.* 33 (2) (1979) 109–143.
- [5] R. Gannoun, R. Hachaichi, H. Ouerdiane, A. Rezgui, Un théorème de dualité entre espaces de fonctions holomorphes à croissance exponentielle, *J. Funct. Anal.* 171 (1) (2000) 1–14.
- [6] E. Isaacson, H.B. Keller, Analysis of Numerical Methods, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1994. Corrected reprint of the 1966 original, Wiley, New York.
- [7] M. Ounaïes, Interpolation by entire functions with growth conditions, *Michigan Math. J.* 56 (1) (2008).