



# Energy Systems and Applications in Agriculture

Muhammad Sultan <sup>1,\*</sup>, Muhammad Hamid Mahmood <sup>1</sup>, Md Shamim Ahamed <sup>2</sup>, Redmond R. Shamshiri <sup>3</sup>  
and Muhammad Wakil Shahzad <sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Agricultural Engineering, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan 60800, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, University of California Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA

<sup>3</sup> Leibniz Institute for Agricultural Engineering and Bioeconomy, 14469 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Mechanical and Construction Engineering Department, University of Northumbria, Newcastle Upon Tyne NE7 7XA, UK

\* Correspondence: muhammadsultan@bzu.edu.pk; Tel.: +92-333-610-8888

Agriculture and agro-based industries consume more energy, mainly derived from fossil fuels. The extensive use of fossil fuels results in greater greenhouse gas emissions, ultimately triggering climate change. For example, Pakistan is an agricultural country and, per capita, emissions are considered extremely low compared to the rest of the world. However, it has been globally ranked at the seventh most to be affected by climate change. Unexpected heavy rainfalls recently caused flooding in Pakistan, evidencing global climate change, and resulting in huge agricultural losses in addition to human life and infrastructures. It is difficult to achieve the UN SDGs under such devastating scenarios in developing countries such as Pakistan. Therefore, the deployment of mostly energy-efficient and renewable energy resources in agriculture and associated sectors seems crucial. MDPI's journal *Energies* realized this burning problem, and offered a Special Issue with themes surrounding, but not limited to, energy-efficient agriculture, robotics and farm mechanization, food processing and storage, renewable energy for agriculture, temperature and humidity control systems for agriculture, sustainable energy and clean fuel for farmers; biomass, biogas and bioenergy; next-generation greenhouses; aquaponics, hydroponic and aeroponic farming; sprinkler and drip irrigation systems, solar dryers and solar pumping, livestock and poultry barns, agricultural built environments, modeling and simulation and modern water/wastewater treatment. The journal published eleven high-quality articles in this Special Issue entitled "Energy Systems and Applications in Agriculture", mainly focusing on various agricultural applications powered by energy-efficient and/or nonconventional energy resources, including renewable energy. A short review of the published articles is defined below for the readers to easily chose the topic of interest accordingly.

The postharvest storage of agricultural products is crucial for the minimization of postharvest losses, which, throughout the supply chain, are controlled through the provision of optimum temperature and relative humidity conditions. The vapor compression refrigeration system operated using the grid electricity is generally used for providing such optimal conditions, resulting in a higher energy consumption and carbon footprint. However, a cooling-pad-assisted solar hybrid system that consumes 30% grid electricity and 70% from solar PV modules was used for tomatoes storage [1]. Physicochemical (total weight loss, total soluble solids, titratable acidity, pH, etc.) analyses showed the efficient storage of tomatoes under the studied system [1].

The postharvest storage life of agricultural products can be enhanced through drying, which can be performed with dryers that use fossil fuel, electric or solar energy. Microwave drying has advantages of product quality preservation and being time saving [2]. An experiment performed on cantaloupe slices revealed that thinner samples under a higher microwave power resulted in better thermodynamic performance [2]. Moreover, energy



**Citation:** Sultan, M.; Mahmood, M.H.; Ahamed, M.S.; Shamshiri, R.R.; Shahzad, M.W. Energy Systems and Applications in Agriculture. *Energies* **2022**, *15*, 9132. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15239132>

Received: 27 September 2022

Accepted: 24 November 2022

Published: 2 December 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

and exergy parameters modeled using the adaptive neurofuzzy inference system (ANFIS) predicted results more accurately than an artificial neural network (ANN) [2] did.

Agricultural production requires energy for processes ranging from sowing to harvesting and/or processing until consumed by the end user. The investigation of the energy consumption of major food crops (wheat–rice) in Pakistan was conducted to ascertain the total energy consumed by these crops [3]. The study results revealed that the total energy consumption of rice was twice that of wheat production [3]. The associated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions were also higher in rice production.

Irrigation pumping systems (IRSs) are mostly employed in irrigating crops to fulfill water requirements and can be powered through conventional and nonconventional energy resources. Energy utilization in agriculture can be optimized by operating IRSs with solar and/or wind energy [4,5]. A techno-economic assessment of nonconventional energy resources (solar, wind and hybrid) in Sudan revealed that solar PV was more suitable in most studied sites for irrigation water pumping, as compared to wind and wind–solar hybrid energy systems [4].

The uncontrolled burning of agricultural wastes/residues is one of the major causes of environmental pollution. Thermochemical processes used to extract useful energy from agricultural waste include carbonization, gasification and pyrolysis [6]. The biofuel can be generated from date palm waste through the pyrolysis technique [6]. Techno-economic analyses of date palm pyrolysis revealed that Saudi Arabia earned approximately USD 44.77 million annually by processing date palm waste (only 50%) through pyrolysis with a 2.57 year payback period [6].

Greenhouse production in cold regions is badly affected by very low outdoor temperatures, with most greenhouses in Canada shutting down in colder months, particularly from November to February. This is due to the high costs involved in heating and dehumidification to maintain the greenhouse's environment. However, Chinese solar greenhouses with south monoslopes (CSGs) are the way forward to avoid expensive heating in winter [7]. The CSGs, in comparison to traditional greenhouses, could save 55% on annual heating for vegetable production in the Canadian environment [7]. In another study, an air-to-water heat pump (AWHP) was developed for greenhouse heating in Daegu, Korea [8]. The COP of the system was calculated to be 2.2, even at lower outside temperatures (−13°) [8].

The membrane energy recovery ventilator (ERV) can be used to recover energy from the exhaust of air-conditioned buildings. The study investigated the energy saving potential and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions along with other thermal comfort parameters by employing the ERV [9]. It was determined that the hybrid system consisting of the Maisotsenko cycle evaporative cooling (MEC), vapor compression air-conditioning (VAC) and ERV (i.e., MEC-VAC-ERV) achieved thermal comfort with a higher energy-saving potential (49%), and lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (499.2 kg CO<sub>2</sub>/kWh) as compared to other studied systems in building air-conditioning [9].

The rotating biological contactor (RBC) can be used for the treatment of different wastewaters with a lower carbon footprint. The energy-efficient RBC could be easily operated with renewable energy sources, such as solar or wind, saving approximately 90% in energy costs. The studied RBC only consumed 0.14 kWh/m<sup>3</sup> [10], which was reasonably less than conventional treatment options. On the other hand, the average removal efficiency of COD, TN, ammonium, and turbidity was reported to be approximately 73.9%, 38.3%, 95.6% and 78.9%, respectively [10]. Mercury removal from wastewater using modified corn-cob-activated carbon was investigated in another study [11].

The editors are thankful to the staff and reviewers for the successful publication of the Special Issue on “Energy Systems and Applications in Agriculture”.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, M.S.; methodology, M.S.; software, M.S.; validation, M.S.; formal analysis, M.S.; investigation, M.S.; resources, M.S.; data curation, M.S.; writing—original draft preparation, M.S. and M.H.M.; writing—review and editing, M.H.M., M.S.A., R.R.S. and M.W.S.; visualization, M.S.; supervision, M.S.; project administration, M.S.; funding acquisition, M.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data is contained within the cited literature.

**Acknowledgments:** The work related to this editorial and associated Special Issue was carried out in the Department of Agricultural Engineering, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan (Pakistan), with the support of the BZU-ORIC, project no. 2020-21, and HEC, project no. NRPU-17029, awarded to the principal investigator Muhammad Sultan.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Munir, A.; Ashraf, T.; Amjad, W.; Ghafoor, A.; Rehman, S.; Malik, A.U.; Hensel, O.; Sultan, M.; Morosuk, T. Solar-Hybrid Cold Energy Storage System Coupled with Cooling Pads Backup: A Step towards Decentralized Storage of Perishables. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 7633. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Zadhosein, S.; Abbaspour-Gilandeh, Y.; Kaveh, M.; Szymanek, M.; Khalife, E.; Samuel, O.D.; Amiri, M.; Dziwulski, J. Exergy and Energy Analyses of Microwave Dryer for Cantaloupe Slice and Prediction of Thermodynamic Parameters Using ANN and ANFIS Algorithms. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 4838. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Ashraf, M.N.; Mahmood, M.H.; Sultan, M.; Shamshiri, R.R.; Ibrahim, S.M. Investigation of Energy Consumption and Associated CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions for Wheat–Rice Crop Rotation Farming. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 5094. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Khan, Z.A.; Imran, M.; Altamimi, A.; Diemuodeke, O.E.; Abdelatif, A.O. Assessment of Wind and Solar Hybrid Energy for Agricultural Applications in Sudan. *Energies* **2021**, *15*, 5. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Khan, Z.A.; Imran, M.; Umer, J.; Ahmed, S.; Diemuodeke, O.E.; Abdelatif, A.O. Assessing Crop Water Requirements and a Case for Renewable-Energy-Powered Pumping System for Wheat, Cotton, and Sorghum Crops in Sudan. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 8133. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Al Yahya, S.; Iqbal, T.; Omar, M.M.; Ahmad, M. Techno-Economic Analysis of Fast Pyrolysis of Date Palm Waste for Adoption in Saudi Arabia. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 6048. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Dong, S.; Ahamed, S.; Ma, C.; Guo, H. A Time-Dependent Model for Predicting Thermal Environment of Mono-Slope Solar Greenhouses in Cold Regions. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 5956. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Rasheed, A.; Na, W.H.; Lee, J.W.; Kim, H.; Lee, H.T. Development and Validation of Air-to-Water Heat Pump Model for Greenhouse Heating. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 4714. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Ashraf, H.; Sultan, M.; Sajjad, U.; Shahzad, M.W.; Farooq, M.; Ibrahim, S.M.; Khan, M.U.; Jamil, M.A. Potential Investigation of Membrane Energy Recovery Ventilators for the Management of Building Air-Conditioning Loads. *Energies* **2022**, *15*, 2139. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Irfan, M.; Waqas, S.; Khan, J.A.; Rahman, S.; Kruszelnicka, I.; Ginter-Kramarczyk, D.; Legutko, S.; Ochowiak, M.; Włodarczyk, S.; Czernek, K. Effect of Operating Parameters and Energy Expenditure on the Biological Performance of Rotating Biological Contactor for Wastewater Treatment. *Energies* **2022**, *15*, 3523. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Liu, Y.; Xu, X.; Qu, B.; Liu, X.; Yi, W.; Zhang, H. Study on Adsorption Properties of Modified Corn Cob Activated Carbon for Mercury Ion. *Energies* **2021**, *14*, 4483. [[CrossRef](#)]