Priority based energy efficient hybrid cluster routing protocol for underwater wireless sensor network

Tejaswini R. Murgod¹, S. Meenakshi Sundaram², Sowmya Manchaiah², Santosh Kumar³

¹Department of Information Science and Engineering, Nitte Meenakshi Institute of Technology, Karnataka, India ²Department of Computer Science and Engineering, GSSS Institute of Engineering and Technology for Women, Karnataka, India ³Department of Studies in Computer Science, University of Mysore, Karnataka, India

Article Info

Article history:

Received Aug 3, 2022 Revised Sep 5, 2022 Accepted Sep 11, 2022

Keywords:

Clustering Energy efficiency Routing protocol Underwater wireless sensor network

ABSTRACT

A little change in the environment that goes unnoticed in an underwater communication network might lead to calamity. A little alteration in the environment must also be adequately analyzed in order to deal with a potential crisis. A priority-based routing protocol is required to ensure that the vital data perceived by the sensor about the environment changes. The priority-based routing system guarantees that vital data packets are delivered at a quicker pace to the destination or base station for further processing. In this work, we present a priority-based routing protocol based on the energy efficient hybrid cluster routing protocol (EEHRCP) algorithm. The suggested approach keeps two distinct queues for lower and higher priority data packets. In order to ensure that these packets get at their destination without any information loss and at a quicker rate, all of the crucial sensed data is passed through a higher priority queue. Test findings show that the suggested technique increases throughput, delivery percentage, and reduces latency for the crucial data packets.

This is an open access article under the <u>CC BY-SA</u> license.



Corresponding Author:

Tejaswini R. Murgod Department of Information Science and Engineering, Nitte Meenakshi Institute of Technology Yelahanka, Bengaluru-560064, Karnataka, India Email: tejaswinirmurgod@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Water is an essential commodity for both humans and industry. Deeper seawater is utilized to capture renewable energy, which is mostly employed by sectors such as oil and gas. Deeper under the water, minerals such as nickel, copper, cobalt, gold, and silver are mined. Building and maintaining the necessary infrastructure is required for such mining activities [1]–[5]. Because the ocean is vast and most of it is unknown to users, monitoring underwater activity is a difficult undertaking. Underwater wireless sensor networks (UWSN) vary from terrestrial networks in several ways. Low bandwidth and significant propagation delay are not common in terrestrial networks, but they are important problems in UWSN. UWSN also faces issues such as reduced data rates, high attenuation, high latency, increased packet loss, and poorer throughput.

The topology of the UWSN changes as the nodes move with the water. Predicting node movement patterns is likewise a tough job in UWSN. Because all of the sensor nodes are battery-powered, energy utilization should be optimized. As a result, the routing protocols used for terrestrial networks, delay tolerant networks, mobile ad hoc networks, and wireless sensor networks (WSNs) cannot be utilized directly for UWSN [6]–[10]. Many researchers have created many protocols, which may be roughly classed as location free routing protocols and location-based routing methods. When using location-based routing protocols, it is necessary to have a thorough understanding of the network structure and the nodes' locations beforehand.

The nodes consume more energy when location-based protocols are employed since the nodes' positions are known before data transfer. When nodes are used underwater and move continually with the flow of water, the position of the nodes must be first determined before making a routing method.

Underwater communication is used by the military to send secret information and to detect suspicious activities. Entry of an unauthorized person through the sea borders must also be monitored at higher priority. The data packets containing this critical data require low delay and must be reached at the destination without any loss of information. The sensor may also monitor other things where delay and packet loss can be tolerated to some extent, and because of the delay, there is not much harm caused. This information is referred to as low priority information [11]–[14].

This paper proposes a priority-based routing protocol with the main intention of reducing the delay and packet loss for high priority sensed information. The major contribution of this paper can be summarized as: i) we propose a cluster based priority routing protocol where the data packets with higher priority are transferred through multipath and a single path is used for lower priority packets, and ii) to achieve high speed data transmission, we make use of a hybrid approach of optical-acoustic communication. Section 2 given below gives the related work required for carrying out this research work. The system modelling and detailed descriptions related to this research are given in section 3. The priority-based energy efficient hybrid cluster routing protocol (PB-EEHRCP) methodology adopted in implementing this research is given in section 4 under the methodology head. The simulation setup for carrying out research and the results obtained are highlighted in section 5. The conclusion of the research is given in section 6 of this research paper.

2. RELATED WORK

In a vector-based routing system proposed by Mazinani *et al.* [15], nodes near the radius of the routing pipe assist in directing the packet to its destination. A lot more nodes may assist in steering the packet since the radius is large enough, but doing so uses more energy. When the radius is narrow, fewer nodes are involved in directing the path, which lowers the packed delivery ratio. Latif *et al.* [16] have presented two routing techniques, one for energy gradation and the other for depth correction. When the energy-gradation technique is used, the original energy is split up into a number of junks. A depth-based routing system is suggested by Balsamo *et al.* [17] in which any node with a depth value lower than the sender serves as a forwarder. Each node, in this case, calculates the holding time depending on the depth difference. A hop-by-hop vector-based routing protocol is discussed by Ahmed *et al.* [18]. It produces a vacuum zone where the nodes are not accessible due to mobility issues or energy loss. The next forwarding node along the path is chosen using the suggested approach, which takes into account the distance from the source node and the relative position of the virtual vector. A protocol for balancing the energy consumption in nodes at different depths has been proposed by Qin *et al.* [19]. Radio wave communication links are established with sink nodes, which are placed on the surface of the sea when sensor nodes are employed deep inside the sea.

The performance of UWSN can be analyzed in dense mode by the creation of spherical divisionbased forbidden regions by Javaid et al. [20]. A depth and latency-sensitive cooperative routing method is suggested to maximize throughput while reducing delay. The number of hops between the source and destination is decreased when packet delivery is successful. The performance of UWSN could be analyzed using a new type of algorithm. Khosravi et al. [21] proposed a methodology whereby the algorithm is a hybrid approach of vector-based and spherical-based approaches. Wang et al. [22] proposes an energy-aware and void-avoidable routing protocol which is executed in two phases, where in the first phase layering is done and in the second phase data collection is carried out. Opportunistic directional forwarding strategy (ODFS) is utilized to exchange packets within the nearness of the void nodes. A proactive routing strategy for underwater communication networks is suggested by Khan et al. [23]. The suggested technique is applied to many network types, including sparse, somewhat dense, and dense networks. Layering ideas are used in the primarily dense network to find the fastest and shortest path, and clustering techniques are used for transmission in the sparse area. An opportunistic routing protocol for underwater wireless sensor networks has been proposed by Guan et al. [24]. Each node in the network measures and maintains a hop count distance to the sink nodes. The shortest path to reach the sink is identified, and further packets are transmitted along the shortest path identified. Jin et al. [25] have presented a reinforcement learning-based congestion avoidance routing scheme. The routing protocol takes into account both the node energy level and the network congestion. For reinforcement learning to help with the congestion control problem, a reward function is created.

3. SYSTEM MODEL

The underwater environment keeps changing very frequently. The data collected during the abrupt changes in the environment can be treated as the most critical data, as it may give information about the harsh environmental changes. There is a requirement for a priority-based routing algorithm (PBRA) which routes the higher priority data packets to the base station for processing. The PBRA is an extension of the energy efficient hybrid cluster routing protocol (EEHCRP) routing algorithm. Figure 1 depicts the system model of PB-EEHRCP. The system consists of anchor nodes, relay nodes, and sink nodes. The anchor node senses the underwater environmental data and then forwards it to the relay nodes. The relay nodes also act as the cluster head (CH). The high-power relay node collects the data sensed from the anchor nodes and then identifies the priority of the sensed data. When the priority of the data is high, the data packets are routed to the sink node for further processing through multiple paths. Lower priority data packets are transferred through a single path. To increase the data transmission speed, an optical link is used. The nodes also use the acoustic link to transfer the data packets wherever optical communication is not feasible. The sink nodes, which are placed on the sea bed, further, transfer the data packets to the monitoring station. The relay node, after identifying the data packet as higher priority, broadcasts the data packet to all the CH that are within the range of the relay node. The CH in turn transfers the packets to the sink node through multiple paths.



Multiple Path packets to CH to Sink Node

Figure 1. System model for packets transfer through multiple paths to sink node

4. PROPOSED SYSTEM

The data packets can be classified as higher priority or lower priority data packets. The importance of the data packets may be recognized based on the uncertainty caused in the underwater environment. Losing the critical data may be cost effective and may cause certain serious real-time problems. So, there is a requirement for a reliable routing methodology that can route the critical data packets to the base station at a faster rate.

In the proposed system, the node broadcasts the HELLO packet to all the nodes that are reachable to gather information about its closest nodes. The node that obtains the HELLO packet replies with a HI packet. The HI packet contains all the information about the node, like its error rate, latency, location, and residual energy. This information is maintained in a table which gives the complete details of all the neighboring nodes. When the node transfers critical data, the data maintained in the neighboring table is used to decide whether the critical data packet needs to be forwarded to the path. Each node maintains two types of queues for differentiating between higher and lower priority data packets. All the data packets with critical information are placed into the higher priority queue, and the data packets that hold normal sensed information are assigned to the lower priority queue. By implementing two buffers for higher and lower priority, the latency can be reduced for critical data packet delivery.

The waiting time for each packet may be calculated to estimate the delay that can be caused during the packet transmission. A threshold can be set as to the number of packets that can be maintained in a queue. A packet with the same priority, a higher priority, or a lower priority may arrive at a node. Packets that hold critical data can be represented as Qh, packets with the same priority can be represented as QL. The expected waiting time (EWT) of a packet at the queue Qi for a particular node Z can be calculated as (1):

$$EWT = (RTS)x + t\sum ST(Qh), ST(Qs), ST(QP)$$
(1)

where, (RTS)x is the remaining time for the packet to provide the service; ST(Qh), ST(QS) are service time for the packets with higher or same priority; and ST(QP) is service time for a higher priority packet when it arrives during the P's waiting time. Using the (1) the end-to-end delay can also be calculated which is the sum of waiting time of the packet in and the queue and the time required to process the request.

Critical sensed data packets must be reached to the destination at the fastest speed. The data packets with critical information need to be dispatched at correct time to the base station without any loss of information. The nodes having the critical information data packets first check the state of their neighboring node before routing the packet. Each node maintains the table of information related to the nodes that are reachable to it. This information is used to take a decision about whether to forward the critical data packet to the next identified neighbor or not. The result of (2) is used to identify the next node along the path.

$$f(decision) = f(Re) + f(Pdr) + f(Dis) + f(Lq) + f(Load)$$
(2)

where, f(Re) is indicates the residual energy of the node. The node having higher residual energy can be selected as it guarantees that the packet will not be lost due to node failure; f(Pdr) is indicates the packet delivery ratio of a node. It also depicts how reliable is a node in routing the packets; f(Dis) is indicates the distance between the node and the base station. The nodes that are nearer to the base station can be chosen. Short distance transmission consumes less nodes energy and also results in increased lifetime; f(Lq) is indicates the link quality of the node. If the nodes link quality is high it ensures that the packet will not be lost and will be routed to the destination; f(Load) is indicates the load of a particular node. When a node is heavily loaded than the data packet spends most of their time waiting in the queue. The value of the function f(decision) is between 0 to 1. This value is used in deciding the next node along the routing path. If f(decision) value is between 0 to 0.5 it indicates that the node is not feasible to be selected as the next forwarding node. f(decision) value with 0.5 to 1 then such node is selected along the path to route the critical data packet to the base station.

5. SIMULATION AND RESULT DISCUSSION

The simulation settings of PB-EEHCRP are similar to EEHCRP. Around 500 nodes are positioned in the network area with a dimension of 1,000*2,000 m³. The entire network area is split up into 16 sectors, which are further grouped into different clusters. The nodes are laid with a distance of 80 m between them. Nodes' initial energy is around 10 kJ, and the depth is set to 2.0 km. PB-EEHCRP uses a hybrid approach of optical and acoustic channels while transmitting. The parameters used for the optical channel are as: The wavelength is 532 nm, beam width is 3 mm, maximum beam divergence is 20, link distance is 20 m, and we assume default water quality is clear water with 0.31 mg m-3.

5.1. Performance comparison

EEHCRP protocol is designed for hybrid underwater environment. The proposed methodology reduces the energy utilization of the nodes, delay and also increases the throughput and network lifetime when compared with other underwater routing protocols. The PB-EEHCRP identifies the packets with critical data and routes them to the base station with higher priority. Losing the critical information may result in unidentified environment changes that may cause additional damage. PB_EEHCRP separately routes the packet with critical data with higher priority and ensures that the data packets are reached at the fastest speed to the bases station without any loss of information. Here we compare the performance of EEHCRP and PB-EEHCRP with reference to delay, packet delivery ratio, throughput, energy efficiency and reliability. Table 1 depicts the throughput comparison values.

Figure 2 depicts the network throughput comparison of PB-EEHRCP critical and non-critical data packets with EEHCRP routing protocol. It is noticed that the network throughput is increased by 15% for PB-EEHCRP with critical data packets. As the higher priority data packets are routed and maintained in a separate queue and they are processed at the faster rate. Before transferring the critical data packets the path

is checked for feasibility and checking the neighboring table. The critical data is forwarded to the neighboring node only if it is capable of forwarding the data packet quickly and correctly or else the alternate path is followed and ensured that the packet is delivered correctly within the short time. This results in the raise of the network throughput.

Table 1. Network throughput comparison										
Simulation	n Network Throughput (Kbps)									
Time (s) EEHCRP		EHCRP w	ith	PB-EEHCRP with		EE	EEHCRP with		PB-EEHCRP with	
	critical data pa		icket	critical d	ata packet	norm	nal data pa	acket	normal da	ta packet
5		60		1	00		75		9	0
10		110		1	60		106		10	19
15		150		200		140		15	50	
20		160		225		175		18	30	
25		198		280			225		24	-0
30		295		3	90		288		26	50
35		350		400		340		36	50	
40		400		440		415		42	25	
45		410		455		408		41	5	
50		430		462			435		44	-0
200 Vetwork Throughput (kbps) 200 200 200 100 100 0 0										
	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
				S	imulation	Time (s))			
	N EEHC	RP with (Critical D	ata Pack	et	= PB-EEHCRP with Critical Data Packet				
	EEHCRP with Normal Data Packet			et	© PB-EEHCRP with Normal Data Packet					

Figure 2. Comparison of network throughput for critical data packets and non- critical data packets

Table 2 depicts end to end delay comparison values and the Figure 3shown depicts the end-to-end delay comparison of PB-EEHCRP and EEHRCP with critical and non-critical data packets. It is observed from the graph that the delay is reduced by 12% for the critical data packets when compared with the non-critical data packets. All the non-critical data packets are processed through a lower priority queue, which results in the increased delay when compared with the critical data packets. Both EEHRCP and PB_EEHRCP use a hybrid approach of acoustic and optical communication channel. The high-speed optical channel transfers the packet at the higher rate and hence results in the reduction of dely.

Table 2. End to end delay comparison							
Simulation	End-to-End Delay (Secs)						
Time (s)	EEHCRP with	PB-EEHCRP with	EEHCRP with	PB-EEHCRP with			
	Critical Data Packet	Critical Data Packet	Normal Data Packet	Normal Data Packet			
5	2.5	1.4	2.4	2.1			
10	3	1.5	2.5	2.2			
15	3.4	1.9	2.9	2.8			
20	3.8	2.1	3.6	3.5			
25	3.6	2.8	3.3	2.9			
30	4	3.1	4.1	4			
35	4.8	3.9	4.7	4.5			
40	6.2	5.2	6	5.8			
45	8.5	6.1	8.2	7.8			
50	10	8.4	9.8	8.8			



Figure 3. Comparison of end-to-end delay for critical data packets and non- critical data packets

The comparison of the packet delivery ratios of PB EEHCRP and EEHCRP for critical and non-critical data packets is given in Figure 4 and its values are listed in Table 3. The findings indicate that, as compared to non-essential data packets, there is a 14% increase in the packet delivery ratio for crucial data packets. A sudden calamity might happen if a crucial data packet is lost. Using a dependable and quick route for data transfer guarantees that all crucial packets get to their destination in time for processing. The energy usage of the nodes is seen in Figure 4. Every time a node participates in a data transfer, it is active. To preserve their energy level, the nodes spend the rest of the time in an idle state. From the graph, it can be seen that the PB-EEHRCP method uses less energy than the EEHRCP algorithm by a factor of 0.2%.



Figure 4. Comparison of packet delivery ratio for critical data packets and non-critical data packets

Table 3. Packet delivery ratio comparison							
Simulation	Packet Delivery Ratio						
Time (s)	EEHCRP with	PB-EEHCRP with	EEHCRP with	PB-EEHCRP with			
	Critical Data Packet	Critical Data Packet	Normal Data Packet	Normal Data Packet			
5	8.2	9.5	8.5	9.1			
10	8.9	10.1	9.1	9.8			
15	9	10.9	9.4	9.3			
20	9.8	11.2	10.2	10.4			
25	10	11.1	10.4	10.8			
30	10.4	12.1	10.6	11			
35	10.8	12.6	11.1	11.2			
40	11.3	13.7	11.2	11.6			
45	12	14.2	11.5	12.1			
50	12.3	14.3	12.5	13.2			

TT 1 1 0	D 1 .	1 1.	. •	•
Table 3	Packat	dolivory	ratio	comparison
I able 5.	Iacher	uchivery	ratio	COmparison

3167

Energy efficiency comparison values are listed in Table 4 and the Figure 5 shows the energy consumption of the nodes. The nodes are active whenever they are involved in the data transfer. Rest of the time the nodes are in the idle state to maintain their energy level. It is observed from the graph that the energy consumption of the PB-EEHRCP is reduced by 0.2% when compared with the EEHRCP algorithm.

Table 4. Energy efficiency comparison							
Simulation	Energy Efficiency						
Time (s)	EEHCRP with	PB-EEHCRP with	EEHCRP with	PB-EEHCRP with			
	critical data packet	critical data packet	normal data packet	normal data packet			
5	109	93	100	98			
10	151	98	120	115			
15	238	150	220	174			
20	318	215	300	194			
25	428	248	320	235			
30	500	359	400	379			
35	542	424	590	514			
40	698	527	710	562			
45	785	672	700	665			
50	795	587	650	615			



Figure 5. Comparison of energy efficiency for critical data packets and non-critical data packets

6. CONCLUSION

In this research work, an energy-efficient cluster-based priority routing protocol for UWSN is proposed. The methodology adopted maintains two queues for higher and lower priority data packets. Information like sudden and drastic changes in the underwater environment or the transfer of hidden data at the borders is considered critical data. This critical data needs to be delivered to the destination at a faster rate without any loss of data packets. The proposed methodology ensures faster delivery of data packets with critical data to the base station without losing any data.

This research looks into the impact of critical and non-critical data on a variety of network characteristics, including throughput, end-to-end latency, packet delivery ratio, and energy efficiency. The simulation findings demonstrate a 15% increase in network throughput for crucial data packets in comparison to non-essential data packets. The suggested method increases throughput by routing crucial data packets along a quicker, more reliable path. The system maintains two distinct queues for data packets with varying degrees of priority. Higher priority data packets are handled first and sent to their destination right away. This results in a reduced end-to-end delay by 12% when compared with routing non-critical data packets. The packet delivery ratio is increased by 14% for the proposed system with critical data packets. In the proposed system, before transferring the critical data to the next node along the path, the node's energy level and status are checked to ensure that the reliable path is followed. This results in a rise in the packet delivery ratio.

REFERENCES

[1] A. A. Rezaee and M. H. Zahedi, "A priority-based routing algorithm for underwater wireless sensor networks (UWSNs)," *Journal of Communication Engineering*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 61–79, 2018.

- [2] J. Li, X. Li, X. Cheng, J. Yuan, and R. Zhang, "A trustworthiness-enhanced reliable forwarding scheme in mobile internet of things," *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 140, pp. 40–53, Aug. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jnca.2019.05.003.
- [3] M. T. R. Khan, S. H. Ahmed, Y. Z. Jembre, and D. Kim, "An energy-efficient data collection protocol with AUV path planning in the internet of underwater things," *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 135, pp. 20–31, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jnca.2019.02.025.
- [4] R. Nossenson, "Priority based enhancement of online power-aware routing in wireless sensor network," in 2011 IEEE International Conference on Microwaves, Communications, Antennas and Electronic Systems (COMCAS 2011), Nov. 2011, pp. 1–2, doi: 10.1109/COMCAS.2011.6105945.
- [5] S. Kim, S. Lee, H.-J. Ju, D. Ko, and S. An, "Priority-based hybrid routing in wireless sensor networks," in 2010 IEEE Wireless Communication and Networking Conference, Apr. 2010, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/WCNC.2010.5506359.
- [6] S. Say, H. Inata, J. Liu, and S. Shimamoto, "Priority-based data gathering framework in UAV-assisted wireless sensor networks," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 16, no. 14, pp. 5785–5794, Jul. 2016, doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2016.2568260.
- [7] Z. Jin, Z. Ji, and Y. Su, "An evidence theory based opportunistic routing protocol for underwater acoustic sensor networks," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 71038–71047, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2881473.
- [8] V. Di Valerio, F. Lo Presti, C. Petrioli, L. Picari, D. Spaccini, and S. Basagni, "CARMA: channel-aware reinforcement learningbased multi-path adaptive routing for underwater wireless sensor networks," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 37, no. 11, pp. 2634–2647, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1109/JSAC.2019.2933968.
- [9] Z. Jin, Q. Zhao, and Y. Su, "RCAR: A reinforcement-learning-based routing protocol for congestion-avoided underwater acoustic sensor networks," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 19, no. 22, pp. 10881–10891, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1109/JSEN.2019.2932126.
- [10] F. Ullah, Z. Ullah, S. Ahmad, I. U. Islam, S. U. Rehman, and J. Iqbal, "Traffic priority based delay-aware and energy efficient path allocation routing protocol for wireless body area network," *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, vol. 10, no. 10, pp. 3775–3794, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s12652-019-01343-w.
- [11] D. Noh, J. Kim, J. Lee, D. Lee, H. Kwon, and H. Shin, "Priority-based routing for solar-powered wireless sensor networks," 2007 2nd International Symposium on Wireless Pervasive Computing, San Juan, PR, USA, 2007, doi: 10.1109/ISWPC.2007.342573.
- [12] V. Krishnaswamy and S. S. Manvi, "Palm tree structure based data aggregation and routing in underwater wireless acoustic sensor networks: Agent oriented approach," *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 1275–1284, Apr. 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jksuci.2019.06.007.
- [13] M. Faheem, M. A. Ngadi, and V. C. Gungor, "Energy efficient multi-objective evolutionary routing scheme for reliable data gathering in Internet of underwater acoustic sensor networks," Ad Hoc Networks, vol. 93, Oct. 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.adhoc.2019.101912.
- [14] W. Rehan, S. Fischer, M. Rehan, Y. Mawad, and S. Saleem, "QCM2R: A QoS-aware cross-layered multichannel multisink routing protocol for stream based wireless sensor networks," *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, vol. 156, Apr. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jnca.2020.102552.
- [15] S. M. Mazinani, H. Yousefi, and M. Mirzaie, "A vector-based routing protocol in underwater wireless sensor networks," Wireless Personal Communications, vol. 100, no. 4, pp. 1569–1583, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s11277-018-5654-0.
- [16] G. Latif, N. Javaid, A. Iqbal, J. Ahmad, A. A. Jabbar, and M. Imran, "An efficient routing protocol via depth adjustment and energy gradation in underwater wireless sensor networks," in *Innovative Mobile and Internet Services in Ubiquitous Computing*, Springer International Publishing, 2019, pp. 201–211.
- [17] S. Balsamo, D. Fiems, M. Jafri, and A. Marin, "Analysis of performance in depth based routing for underwater wireless sensor networks," in *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 18–31.
- [18] F. Ahmed *et al.*, "Two hop adaptive routing protocol for underwater wireless sensor networks," in *Innovative Mobile and Internet Services in Ubiquitous Computing*, Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 181–189.
- [19] H. Qin, Z. Zhang, R. Wang, X. Cai, and Z. Jia, "Energy-balanced and depth-controlled routing protocol for underwater wireless sensor networks," in *Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing*, Springer International Publishing, 2017, pp. 115–131.
- [20] N. Javaid, U. Shakeel, A. Ahmad, N. Alrajeh, Z. A. Khan, and N. Guizani, "DRADS: depth and reliability aware delay sensitive cooperative routing for underwater wireless sensor networks," *Wireless Networks*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 777–789, Feb. 2019, doi: 10.1007/s11276-017-1591-1.
- [21] M. R. Khosravi, H. Basri, and H. Rostami, "Efficient routing for dense UWSNs with high-speed mobile nodes using spherical divisions," *The Journal of Supercomputing*, vol. 74, no. 2, pp. 696–716, Feb. 2018, doi: 10.1007/s11227-017-2148-x.
- [22] Z. Wang, G. Han, H. Qin, S. Zhang, and Y. Sui, "An energy-aware and void-avoidable routing protocol for underwater sensor networks," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 7792–7801, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2805804.
- [23] Z. A. Khan *et al.*, "Region aware proactive routing approaches exploiting energy efficient paths for void hole avoidance in underwater WSNs," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 140703–140722, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2939155.
- [24] Q. Guan, F. Ji, Y. Liu, H. Yu, and W. Chen, "Distance-vector-based opportunistic routing for underwater acoustic sensor networks," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 3831–3839, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2019.2891910.
- [25] Z. Jin, M. Ding, and S. Li, "An energy-efficient and obstacle-avoiding routing protocol for underwater acoustic sensor networks," *Sensors*, vol. 18, no. 12, Nov. 2018, doi: 10.3390/s18124168.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Tejaswini R. Murgod D X E received her B.E. Degree in CSE from VTU, Belagavi, India in June 2008. She acquired her Master's Degree from VTU, Belagavi, India in Jan 2015.She completed her Ph. D in February 2022 from VTU, Belagavi, India. At present, she is working as an Associate Professor in the department of ISE at the Nitte Meenakshi Institute of Technology, Yelahanka, Bengaluru. Her research areas include underwater communication, optical networks, and wireless networks. She has published 10 papers in SCI and Scopus Indexed Journals. She has also published 6 book chapters to her credit. She received the "Best Researcher Award" from the RJS International Multidisciplinary Research Foundation in December 2021. She can be contacted at email: tejaswinirmurgod@gmail.com.



S. Meenakshi Sundaram b s s is currently working as Professor and Head of the Department of CSE at GSSSIETW, Mysuru. He earned his BE in CSE in 1989 from Bharathidasan University in Tiruchirappalli, his M. Tech in 2006 from NIT in Tiruchirappalli, and his Ph.D. in CSE in 2014 from Anna University in Chennai. He has published 60 papers in refereed international journals, presented five papers at international conferences and delivered more than 50 seminars. He is a reviewer for Springer-Soft Computing Journal, International Journal of Ah Hoc Network Systems, Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, Taylor's University, Malaysia, and International Journal of Computational Science and Engineering, Inderscience Publishers, UK. He is a Senior Member of IEEE, a Life Member of IST, and a member of CSI. He has 32 years of teaching experience and 10 years of research experience. He has published 6 book chapters to his credit. Two research scholars have completed Ph.D. under his guidance and six research scholars are pursuing Ph.D. from VTU Belagavi, India under his guidance. He received the "Research Excellence Award" from the RJS International Multidisciplinary Research Foundation in December 2021. He can be contacted at email: 1965sms@gmail.com.



Sowmya Manchaiah ^(D) ^(S) ^(S)



Santhosh Kumar D S S research scholar in the Department of Studies in Computer Science at the University of Mysore, Mysuru. He obtained a BE in CSE from VTU, Belagavi in 2010, an MTech. in SE from SJCE Mysuru in 2016, and has registered for a Ph.D. in Computer Science and Application from the University of Mysore from 2019. His areas of research are the Internet of Things, Social Internet of Things, and Blockchain. He has published 3 international conference papers and has 2 patents in the area of research work on computer science and applications, and has given more than ten workshops. He is a member of the IEEE Biometrics Council. He has 4 years of research experience. He can be contacted at email: santhosh@compsci.uni-mysore.ac.in.