Pretreatment of Korean pine (*Pinus koraiensis*) via wet torrefaction in inert and oxidative atmospheres

Quyen Nguyen^{a,#}, Dinh Duc Nguyen^{b,c,#}, Chao He^d and Quang-Vu Bach^{e,*}

"Institute of Research and Development, Duy Tan University, Danang 550000, Vietnam

^bFaculty of Environmental and Food Engineering, Nguyen Tat Thanh University, 300A Nguyen Tat Thanh, District 4, Ho Chi Minh City, 755414, Vietnam

^cDepartment of Environmental Energy Engineering, Kyonggi University, Suwon 442-760, Republic of Korea.

^d Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Tampere University, Tampere, Finland

^eSustainable Management of Natural Resources and Environment Research Group, Faculty of Environment and Labour Safety, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

[#] These authors contributed equally to this work

* Corresponding author at Faculty of Environment and Labour Safety, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

E-mail: <u>bachquangvu@tdtu.edu.vn</u>

Abstract

This study investigates the possibility of utilizing waste heat sources such as hot flue gas or hot air for wet torrefaction (WT) processes. Although waste heat sources are cheaper alternatives than pure nitrogen used as purging and pressurizing gas for WT, they contain some fractions of non-inert gases and potentially affect the yield and fuel properties of the solid product (hydrochar). To assess these possible influences, Korean pine (Pinus koraiensis) was subjected to WT in different atmospheres, including N₂, CO₂, O₂, air and synthetic flue gas (SFG), and the produced hydrochars were characterized. The results show that WT in different gas atmospheres at 200 °C and 20 bar yields 72.24–73.82% of hydrochar. In general, the fuel properties of the hydrochars are found to be superior to those of the untreated pine: the O/C ratio decreases from 0.703 (raw pine) to 0.582-0.588 (hydrochars). Furthermore, analysis of variance (ANOVA) and pairwise t-test were performed, and the results reveal that the effects of different atmospheres in WT are not statistically meaningful (p-values > 0.05), except for the influence of CO_2 on the ash content. The results also indicate that the presence of oxidative gases such as O₂ and CO₂ in the WT process at appropriate pressures and temperatures has almost insignificant effects on the yields and fuel properties of the hydrochar products. The findings from this study could encourage the utilization of waste heat sources for WT to reduce operating costs.

Keyword: Wet torrefaction; Hydrochar; Biochar; Fuel properties; Waste heat; Oxidative gas.

1 1 Introduction

2 Wet torrefaction (WT) is a hydrothermal pretreatment of biomass at temperatures of 180-260 °C [1], and can be performed under saturated or elevated pressures. WT is very suitable for 3 4 wet biomass such as agricultural residues, sewage sludge, and aquatic wastes, which have 5 relatively high moisture contents. The main product from WT is hydrochar, a solid fuel with better 6 fuel properties, more hydrophobicity, and better grindability than untreated biomass [2-10]. 7 Remarkably, hydrochar has a lower O/C ratio and thus better a heating value than raw biomass. 8 Moreover, the combustion reactivity of hydrochar is improved after WT [11]. In addition, 9 hydrochar also has a lower ash content than native fuel, which is beneficial for further thermal 10 conversion systems regarding ash-related issues.

11 Another process producing solid fuel similar to WT is dry torrefaction (DT), which is defined 12 as a thermal pretreatment of biomass without the presence of oxygen at 200-300 °C [12]. The 13 main solid product from DT is called biochar or dry-torrefied biomass. While WT is normally 14 conducted in a pressurized reactor and employs hot liquid water as the reaction medium; DT is 15 operated at atmospheric pressure and under a stream of inert gas (nitrogen or argon). Because 16 water is a more reactive medium than inert gas, WT requires a lower temperature than DT to 17 produce the same amount of solid product [2]. In addition, hydrochar from WT has higher energy 18 content than biochar from DT obtained under comparable conditions that produce the same solid 19 yield. Moreover, the heating value of hydrochar is comparable to lignite and sub-bituminous coals 20 [1]. As an energy-dense material, hydrochar is ideally utilized for energy applications; it can be 21 burned directly as a solid fuel to produce heat and power, or it can be converted into liquid or 22 gaseous fuels via subsequent thermochemical conversion processes (e.g., pyrolysis, liquefaction, 23 and gasification). Furthermore, hydrochar and its derivatives can be potentially employed as soil 24 amendments and adsorbent materials [13].

25 Currently, most WT studies at the laboratory scale are conducted in batch reactors, in which 26 biomass and water are mixed at desired ratios. Thereafter, an inert gas (e.g., nitrogen) is normally 27 used to purge and/or pressurize the reactor [1]. For industrial applications and up-scaling of WT technology, the required amount of inert gas increases significantly and thus becomes costly. A 28 29 potential option to address this issue is the utilization of waste heat sources such as hot flue gas or 30 hot air, from which the available heat can be integrated for both heating and pressurizing the WT 31 system, considering that WT is operated at 180-260 °C. Successful integration not only reduces 32 the cost of inert gas but also decreases the energy consumption to heat the system. However, these waste heat sources always contain other components such as CO2 and O2 at different 33 34 concentrations, which may affect the product yield and fuel properties of the produced hydrochar, 35 due to their oxidative reactivities. Nevertheless, no WT study was found in the literature to address 36 these issues, except a few works employing CO₂ in hydrothermal media to utilize its acidic 37 catalytic effect [14-17].

38 The utilization of non-inert gas as a substitute for nitrogen has been actively researched for DT 39 process. Instead of N₂, several non-inert gases and gas mixtures have been employed for DT, 40 including CO₂ [18-21], partial oxygen [22-24], air [25, 26] and simulated flue gas (SFG) [20, 27]. 41 These studies found that the presence of non-inert gases such as CO₂ and O₂ in DT significantly 42 reduced the biochar yield. In addition, the biochars produced by DT in non-inert atmospheres had 43 inferior fuel properties to those produced by inert atmospheres. These unwanted effects are due to 44 oxidation reactions between the biomass feedstock and the oxidative gases [22]. Therefore, 45 optimization of DT conditions and controlling the oxidative gas concentration in DT gases have been intensively studied to mitigate the negative effects of non-inert gases. Nevertheless, WT 46 47 under oxidative atmospheres has not been paid enough attention; thus, the effects of oxidative 48 gases on the yield and fuel properties of the hydrochar remain unclear. Therefore, it is necessary 49 to investigate oxidative WT to determine whether the effects of oxidative gases on WT are similar50 to those on DT.

51 For the aforementioned purpose, WT of Korean pine (Pinus koraiensis) was performed in a batch reactor in several atmospheres including N₂, CO₂, O₂, air, and simulated flue gas (SFG). The 52 yield and fuel properties of the hydrochars produced in different atmospheres were analyzed and 53 54 compared. Among the atmospheres, N₂ served as the base case; pure O₂ and air (79 vol% N₂ and 55 21 vol% O₂) were employed to study the effect of oxygen, while pure CO₂ and SFG, which 56 contains 90 vol% CO2 and 10 vol% O2, were chosen to examine the role of CO2. It should be noted 57 that inert gas (i.e., N₂) was excluded from the SFG to observe a clearer effect of the non-inert gases. 58 A real flue gas exhaust may contain a large amount of N₂ (in traditional power plants) or no N₂ (in 59 modern power plants with oxy-fuel combustion). Comparisons of the yield, proximate and ultimate 60 analyses as well as the energy content of the hydrochars produced in different atmospheres are 61 presented. In addition, analysis of variance (ANOVA) and pairwise t-test were performed to 62 determine if the differences in the yields and fuel properties of the hydrochars due to the changes 63 in the WT atmosphere are statistically significant.

64 **2** Experimental methods

65 2.1 Feedstock and hydrochar characterization

Korean pine (*Pinus koraiensis*) was purchased from a local supplier and ground to have particle sizes of 3–5 mm. It was then dried at 105 °C for 24 h and stored for further use. The proximate analyses of both the dry feedstock and hydrochar were performed according to the ASTM standards E871, E872, and D1102 for the moisture, volatile matter, and ash contents, respectively. The ultimate analysis was conducted using a EuroVector Euro EA Elemental Analyzer. The heating value was calculated from the correlation proposed by [28]. The main fuel properties and the heating value of the feedstock are listed in **Table 1**.

Characteristics	Unit	Value
Proximate analysis		
Moisture	wt%, ar	9.63
Volatile Matter	wt%, db	86.72
Fixed Carbon	wt%, db	11.85
Ash	wt%, db	1.43
Ultimate analysis		
Carbon	wt%, daf	48.28
Hydrogen	wt%, daf	5.983
Nitrogen	wt%, daf	0.511
Oxygen (by difference)	wt%, daf	45.23
Lower heating value (LHV)	MJ/kg, daf	17.90
ar: as received,		
db : dry basis,		
daf: dry and ash-free		

 Table 1. Main fuel properties and heating value of Korean pine (Pinus koraiensis)

74 2.2 Wet torrefaction

75 WT experiments were conducted in a 1 litter batch reactor, which was designed and built for 76 hydrothermal conversion applications at Kyonggi University. The system, illustrated in Figure 1, 77 consists of a stainless steel reactor, pressure gauge, stirrer, pressurized vessels, pressure-reducing 78 valve, heating element, hydraulic cooling system, thermometer, and control unit. More details of 79 the system can be found in [29]. For each WT run, approximately 50 g of dry biomass and 250 g 80 of distilled water were loaded into the reactor, which ensured that the mass ratio of biomass and 81 water was 1:5. Different gases and gas mixtures (including N2, CO2, O2, air, and SFG) were used 82 to purge and pressurize the reactor. Thereafter, the reactor was heated to a WT temperature of 83 200 °C, at which the reactor pressure increased to approximately 20 bar. The system was 84 maintained at this temperature for 30 min, counted as residence time, and then cooled with tap 85 water. The gaseous products were not collected in this study, while the solid and aqueous products

- 86 were separated using filter paper (Whatman grade 4). The final solid (hydrochar) was dried at
- 87 105 °C for 24 h and stored in a desiccator prior to further analyses.



88



90 2.3 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and pairwise t-test

91 In this work, one-way ANOVA was employed to evaluate whether WT in different 92 atmospheres (i.e., groups) had a clear effect (statistical significance) on the yield and fuel properties of the produced hydrochars (i.e., variances). Furthermore, a pairwise t-test was 93 94 employed to determine which pair of groups differed from the others. These tests were performed 95 at a significance level of 0.05 and a null hypothesis was proposed that there is no difference (i.e., 96 no effect on the hydrochar yield and fuel properties) among the atmospheres. If the one-way 97 ANOVA gives a p-value less than 0.05, it is against the null hypothesis, which indicates that at least two atmospheres have significantly different effects. Otherwise, unpronounced differences 98 99 can be concluded if the p-value is greater than 0.05. Similarly, if a pairwise t-test for any two 100 atmospheres results in a p-value < 0.05, it means that the effects between the two atmospheres are 101 statistically meaningful and vice versa.





103



104 **3 Results**

105 3.1 Solid yield

106 Solid yields of the hydrochar produced from WT at 200 °C and 20 bar in different atmospheres 107 (including N₂, CO₂, O₂, air, and SFG) are presented in Figure 2. Among the atmospheres, WT in 108 N₂ yielded the highest hydrochar content (73.82%), while WT in CO₂ produced the lowest amount 109 of hydrochar (72.24%), and WT in other atmospheres yielded hydrochar contents in between the 110 lowest and highest (72.71% for O2, 72.81% for air and 72.95% for SFG). Therefore, ANOVA was 111 performed to confirm whether these differences are statistically significant. The test gave a p-value 112 of 0.7710 (see Appendix A1), which reveals that WT atmosphere has an insignificant effect on 113 the hydrochar yield. This observation is different from DT, in which torrefaction gas plays an 114 important role. When N₂ was replaced by air or CO₂, the biochar yield dramatically reduced 115 because of the effect of the oxidative atmospheres.



Figure 3. Proximate analysis of raw Korean pine and its hydrochars obtained from WT in
 different atmospheres

119 3.2 Proximate analysis

116

120 Results from the proximate analysis of hydrochars obtained from WT in different gases at 200 °C and 20 bar are demonstrated in Figure 3. At the first sight, it can be observed that the ash 121 122 content in the hydrochars from WT in CO₂ and SFG were lower than the others. The ANOVA 123 gave a p-value of 0.0023 (see Appendix A1), which confirms that there are significant differences 124 among the WT atmospheres with regard to the ash contents of the produced hydrochars. Hence, 125 pairwise t-tests were conducted, and the results from these tests are illustrated in Figure 4. It is 126 evident from the figure that the ash contents in hydrochars from WT in CO₂ and SFG were different 127 from the others (p-value < 0.05); however, the effects of the two atmospheres do not differ from 128 each other (p = 0.8054). The reason for this observation is the role of CO₂, which potentially has an acidic catalytic effect in hydrothermal media and thus can dissolve part of the ash elements. 129

130 On the other hand, Figure 3 reveals that the volatile matter contents of the hydrochars 131 decreased while their fixed carbon contents increased after WT. The volatile matter decreased from 132 86.72% in the raw biomass to 81.80-82.73% in the hydrochars, while the fixed carbon increased 133 from 11.85% in the raw biomass to 16.17-17.46% in the hydrochars. In addition, the ANOVA 134 showed p-values of 0.9551 and 0.6679 for the volatile matter and fixed carbon contents, 135 respectively (see Appendix A1). These results indicate that the WT atmosphere has unpronounced 136 effects on both the volatile matter and fixed carbon contents, even though it influences the ash 137 content. This is because the ash content in the raw pine is relatively low (only 1.43%); thus, a 138 significant change in ash content could not cause pronounced differences in the volatile matter or 139 fixed carbon contents.

SFG	0.0103	0.8054	0.0224	0.0238		
Air	0.8966	0.0225	0.9306			
0 ₂	0.8122	0.0221		p-value 1.00		
CO ₂	0.0144			0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00		
L	N ₂	CO ₂	O ₂	Air		

140

141

Figure 4. Illustration of p-value from pairwise t-tests for ash contents of hydrochars

142 3.3 Ultimate analysis

The major elemental compositions through ultimate analyses of the hydrochars produced by WT at 200 °C and 20 bar in different atmospheres are presented in **Table 2**. The table shows typical changes in the elemental composition of hydrochars after WT, such as increases in the carbon and nitrogen contents, and decreases in the hydrogen and oxygen contents. In detail, the carbon content increased from 48.28% in raw biomass to 52.63–52.76%; the hydrogen content decreased from

148 5.983% in raw biomass to 5.697–5.779%; the nitrogen content increased from 0.511% in raw 149 biomass to 0.539–0.549%, and the oxygen content decreased from 45.23% in raw biomass to 150 40.91–41.19%. Consequently, the O/C ratios of the hydrochars reduced from 0.703 to 0.582–0.588, 151 while their H/C ratios decreased from 1.487 to 1.300–1.314 after WT. Nevertheless, the influence 152 of the WT atmosphere on the elemental composition of hydrochars was insignificant, which is 153 reflected by the ANOVA p-values. The p-values for the carbon, hydrogen, nitrogen, and oxygen 154 contents were respectively 0.9997, 0.9350, 0.9417, and 0.9991 (see Appendix A1).

Table 2. Ultimate analysis of hydrochars produced by WT in different atmospheres (on dry and ash-free basis)

	C (<i>wt%</i>)	H (wt%)	N (<i>wt%</i>)	O (<i>wt%</i>)	O/C ratio	H/C ratio
Raw	48.28 ± 1.50	5.983 ± 0.142	0.511 ± 0.019	45.23 ± 1.58	0.703	1.487
N_2	52.73 ± 1.41	5.759 ± 0.072	0.549 ± 0.011	40.96 ± 1.34	0.583	1.311
CO ₂	52.57 ± 2.11	5.697 ± 0.068	0.539 ± 0.016	41.19 ± 2.03	0.588	1.300
O ₂	52.63 ± 1.47	5.751 ± 0.107	0.545 ± 0.010	41.07 ± 1.59	0.585	1.311
Air	52.76 ± 1.14	5.779 ± 0.215	0.546 ± 0.019	40.91 ± 1.06	0.582	1.314
SFG	52.55 ± 1.58	5.721 ± 0.116	0.543 ± 0.016	41.19 ± 1.66	0.588	1.306

157

158 3.4 Heating value and energy yield

Figure 5 demonstrates the heating value and energy yields of raw Korean pine and its hydrochars obtained from WT in different atmospheres at 200 °C and 20 bar. The figures show that the LHVs of the hydrochars were enhanced while the energy yields were reduced after WT, which is in good agreement with other WT studies [2, 5]. In Figure 5A, it seems that the LHVs of hydrochars were unaffected by the WT atmospheres. This was confirmed by the ANOVA for LHV, which gave a p-value of 0.9965 (See Appendix A1). On the other hand, the energy yields (Figure 5B) of hydrochars produced by WT in CO₂ and SFG (78.19% and 77.95%, respectively) were

slightly lower than the others (80.54% for N₂, 79.07% for O₂, and 79.61% for air). However, the ANOVA for the energy yield resulted in a p-value of 0.8246, which implies that these differences are insignificant.





Figure 5. Heating value (A) and energy yield (B) of raw Korean pine and its hydrochars
obtained from WT in different atmospheres



In the DT process, the presence of oxidative gases such as CO₂ and O₂ has negative effects on the solid yields and its properties, for example, lowering the biochar yield and reducing the biochar fuel properties [19, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26]. However, these are different from WT, in which the atmosphere has insignificant effects on the yields and most of the hydrochar fuel properties, except for the ash contents, even though the oxidative gases are present in high concentrations up to 100%. These interesting observations open the possibility of utilizing waste heat from hot flue gas or hot air for WT without any major effects on the product yields and fuel properties.

180 The cause for the insignificant effects of WT may be due to the presence of water, which might 181 become a "shield" to prevent biomass from direct contact with non-inert gases. In the case of DT, 182 non-inert gases directly contact the biomass, and unwanted oxidative reactions occur, which 183 reduces both the product yield and fuel properties. In another study [17], reductions in the yield of 184 hydrochars produced by WT at 70 bar in CO₂ compared to that in N₂ were observed. However, the 185 effect of CO_2 on the hydrochar properties is marginal. It is also worth noting that the saturated 186 pressure of water at 200 °C is 15.5 bar and the selected pressure in this study (~20 bar) is much 187 lower than that in the previous work (70 bar). The lower pressure helps reduce the amount of CO₂ 188 penetrating the water medium, according to Henry's law of solubility of gas in liquid. 189 Consequently, it decreases the acidic catalytic effect and has insignificant influences on the yields 190 of the hydrochars obtained from WT in different gases and gas mixtures.

An interesting point of WT in the presence of CO_2 is the significant reduction in the hydrochar ash contents, compared with that in other atmospheres without CO_2 . This is due to the acidic catalyst effect, which can efficiently remove inorganic ash elements and convert them into watersoluble compounds. This could be an attractive option to produce clean hydrochar with regard to ash elements.

196 **5** Conclusions

197 WT of Korean pine (Pinus koraiensis) in various gases and gas mixtures, including N2, CO2, O₂, air, and SFG, has been conducted to examine the effects of these atmospheres on the yields 198 199 and fuel properties of the produced hydrochars. The results show several enhancements in the fuel 200 properties of the hydrochars including decreased O/C ratio and improved heating value. An 201 interesting finding from this study is that the presence of oxidative gases such as O₂ and CO₂ in 202 WT at low pressure has an insignificant effect on the yields and fuel properties of the hydrochars. 203 The outcomes from this study could encourage the utilization of waste heat sources for WT at 204 industrial scale to reduce the operating cost.

Appendix

11 5	2	5	55	
Characteristic	p-value	F-value	F critical	RMSSE
Hydrochar yield	0.7710	0.4492	3.4780	0.3870
Proximate analysis				
Ash content	0.0023	9.1047	3.4780	1.7421
Volatile matter content	0.9551	0.1575	3.4780	0.2291
Fixed carbon content	0.6679	0.6052	3.4780	0.4491
Ultimate analysis				
Carbon content	0.9997	0.0109	3.4780	0.0602
Hydrogen content	0.9350	0.1959	3.4780	0.2555
Nitrogen content	0.9417	0.1835	3.4780	0.2473
Oxygen content	0.9991	0.0198	3.4780	0.0813
Heating value	0.9965	0.0394	3.4780	0.1145
Energy yield	0.8246	0.3704	3.4780	0.3514

Appendix A1. Results from one-way ANOVA for different variances

Reference

[1] Bach Q-V, Skreiberg Ø. Upgrading biomass fuels via wet torrefaction: A review and comparison with dry torrefaction. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 2016;54:665-77.

[2] Bach Q-V, Tran K-Q, Khalil RA, Skreiberg Ø, Seisenbaeva G. Comparative Assessment of Wet Torrefaction. Energy Fuels 2013;27(11):6743-53.

[3] Bach Q-V, Tran K-Q, Skreiberg Ø. Hydrothermal pretreatment of fresh forest residues: Effects of feedstock pre-drying. Biomass Bioenergy 2016;85:76-83.

[4] Yan W, Acharjee TC, Coronella CJ, Vásquez VR. Thermal pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy 2009;28(3):435-40.

[5] Yan W, Hastings JT, Acharjee TC, Coronella CJ, Vásquez VR. Mass and Energy Balances of Wet Torrefaction of Lignocellulosic Biomass. Energy Fuels 2010;24(9):4738-42.

[6] Yan W, Islam S, Coronella CJ, Vásquez VR. Pyrolysis kinetics of raw/hydrothermally carbonized lignocellulosic biomass. Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy 2012;31(2):200-4.

[7] Yan W, Hoekman SK, Broch A, Coronella CJ. Effect of hydrothermal carbonization reaction parameters on the properties of hydrochar and pellets. Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy 2014;33(3):676-80.

[8] Hoekman SK, Broch A, Robbins C. Hydrothermal Carbonization (HTC) of Lignocellulosic Biomass. Energy Fuels 2011;25(4):1802-10.

[9] Hoekman SK, Broch A, Robbins C, Zielinska B, Felix L. Hydrothermal carbonization (HTC) of selected woody and herbaceous biomass feedstocks. Biomass Conv Bioref 2013;3(2):113-26.

[10] Yang W, Shimanouchi T, Iwamura M, Takahashi Y, Mano R, Takashima K, et al. Elevating the fuel properties of Humulus lupulus, Plumeria alba and Calophyllum inophyllum L. through wet torrefaction. Fuel 2015;146(0):88-94.

[11] Bach Q-V, Tran K-Q, Skreiberg Ø, Khalil RA, Phan AN. Effects of wet torrefaction on reactivity and kinetics of wood under air combustion conditions. Fuel 2014;137(0):375-83.

[12] van der Stelt MJC, Gerhauser H, Kiel JHA, Ptasinski KJ. Biomass upgrading by torrefaction for the production of biofuels: A review. Biomass Bioenergy 2011;35(9):3748-62.

[13] Fang J, Zhan L, Ok YS, Gao B. Minireview of potential applications of hydrochar derived from hydrothermal carbonization of biomass. Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry 2018;57:15-21.

[14] Miyazawa T, Funazukuri T. Polysaccharide Hydrolysis Accelerated by Adding Carbon Dioxide under Hydrothermal Conditions. Biotechnol Progr 2005;21(6):1782-5.

[15] Peter Van Walsum G, Garcia-Gil M, Chen S-F, Chambliss K. Effect of dissolved carbon dioxide on accumulation of organic acids in liquid hot water pretreated biomass hydrolyzates. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 2007;137-140(1-12):301-11.

[16] Hunter SE, Savage PE. Quantifying rate enhancements for acid catalysis in CO2enriched high-temperature water. AlChE J 2008;54(2):516-28.

[17] Bach Q-V, Tran K-Q, Skreiberg Ø. Accelerating wet torrefaction rate and ash removal by carbon dioxide addition. Fuel Process Technol 2015;140:297-303.

[18] Li M-F, Li X, Bian J, Xu J-K, Yang S, Sun R-C. Influence of temperature on bamboo torrefaction under carbon dioxide atmosphere. Industrial Crops and Products 2015;76:149-57.

[19] Thanapal SS, Chen W, Annamalai K, Carlin N, Ansley RJ, Ranjan D. Carbon Dioxide Torrefaction of Woody Biomass. Energy Fuels 2014;28(2):1147-57.

[20] Eseltine D, Thanapal SS, Annamalai K, Ranjan D. Torrefaction of woody biomass (Juniper and Mesquite) using inert and non-inert gases. Fuel 2013;113(0):379-88.

[21] Sarvaramini A, Larachi F. Integrated biomass torrefaction – Chemical looping combustion as a method to recover torrefaction volatiles energy. Fuel 2014;116(0):158-67.

[22] Chen W-H, Lu K-M, Lee W-J, Liu S-H, Lin T-C. Non-oxidative and oxidative torrefaction characterization and SEM observations of fibrous and ligneous biomass. Appl Energy 2014;114(0):104-13.

[23] Wang C, Peng J, Li H, Bi XT, Legros R, Lim CJ, et al. Oxidative torrefaction of biomass residues and densification of torrefied sawdust to pellets. Bioresour Technol 2013;127(0):318-25.

[24] Uemura Y, Omar W, Othman NA, Yusup S, Tsutsui T. Torrefaction of oil palm EFB in the presence of oxygen. Fuel 2013;103:156-60.

[25] Nhuchhen DR, Basu P. Experimental Investigation of Mildly Pressurized Torrefaction in Air and Nitrogen. Energy Fuels 2014;28(5):3110–21.

[26] Chen W-H, Lu K-M, Liu S-H, Tsai C-M, Lee W-J, Lin T-C. Biomass torrefaction characteristics in inert and oxidative atmospheres at various superficial velocities. Bioresour Technol 2013;146:152-60.

[27] Tran K-Q, Trinh TN, Bach Q-V. Development of a biomass torrefaction process integrated with oxy-fuel combustion. Bioresour Technol 2016;199:408-13.

[28] Channiwala SA, Parikh PP. A unified correlation for estimating HHV of solid, liquid and gaseous fuels. Fuel 2002;81(8):1051-63.

[29] Jeong SY, Chang SW, Ngo HH, Guo W, Nghiem LD, Banu JR, et al. Influence of thermal hydrolysis pretreatment on physicochemical properties and anaerobic biodegradability of waste activated sludge with different solids content. Waste Manage (Oxford) 2019;85:214-21.