Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Amit V. Pandey, University of Bern, Switzerland

REVIEWED BY Núria Camats, Vall d'Hebron Research Institute (VHIR), Spain Kenji Kurosawa, Kanagawa Children's Medical Center, Japan Noriyuki Namba, Tottori University, Japan

*CORRESPONDENCE Kenichi Kashimada Kkashimada.ped@tmd.ac.jp

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Pediatric Endocrinology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 31 August 2022 ACCEPTED 29 December 2022 PUBLISHED 20 January 2023

CITATION

Gau M, Suga R, Hijikata A, Kashimada A, Takagi M, Nakagawa R, Takasawa K, Shirai T, Kashimada K and Morio T (2023) A novel variant of NR5A1, p.R350W implicates potential interactions with unknown co-factors or ligands. *Front. Endocrinol.* 13:1033074. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1033074

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Gau, Suga, Hijikata, Kashimada, Takagi, Nakagawa, Takasawa, Shirai, Kashimada and Morio. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A novel variant of NR5A1, p.R350W implicates potential interactions with unknown co-factors or ligands

Maki Gau¹, Ryota Suga², Atsushi Hijikata³, Ayako Kashimada¹, Masatoshi Takagi¹, Ryuichi Nakagawa¹, Kei Takasawa¹, Tsuyoshi Shirai³, Kenichi Kashimada^{1*} and Tomohiro Morio¹

¹Department of Pediatrics and Developmental Biology, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Tokyo, Japan, ²School of Medicine, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Tokyo, Japan, ³Faculty of Bioscience, Nagahama Institute of Bio-Science and Technology, Nagahama, Shiga, Japan

Introduction: NR5A1 and NR5A2 belong to an orphan nuclear receptor group, and approximately 60% of their amino acid sequences are conserved. Transcriptional regulation of NR5A receptors depends on interactions with co-factors or unidentified ligands.

Purpose and methods: We employed in vitro and in silico analysis for elucidating the pathophysiology of a novel variant in the ligand-binding domain of NR5A1, p.R350W which was identified from a 46,XY patient with atypical genitalia.

Results: In the study, [1] reporter assays demonstrated that R350 is essential for NR5A1; [2] 3D model analysis predicted that R350 interacted with endogenous ligands or unknown cofactors rather than stabilizing the structure; [3] R350 is not conserved in NR5A2 but is specifically required for NR5A1; and [4] none of the 22 known missense variants of the ligand binding domain satisfied all the previous conditions [1]-[3], suggesting the unique role of R350 in NR5A1.

Conclusion: Our data suggest that NR5A1 has unidentified endogenous ligands or co-activators that selectively potentiate the transcriptional function of NR5A1 *in vivo*.

KEYWORDS

NR5A1, NR5A2, orphan nuclear receptor, 46,XY DSD, co-factors, ligands

Introduction

NR5A1 and NR5A2, also known as SF-1 and LRH-1, respectively, belong to the orphan nuclear receptor group, which is characterized by a lack of identified endogenous ligands (1, 2). NR5A1 and NR5A2 share a substantially conserved sequence (approximately 60% amino acid sequence), forming the Ftz-F1 subfamily of nuclear receptors (3). Both receptors

modulate cholesterol homeostasis, steroidogenesis, tissue-specific cell proliferation, and stem cell pluripotency (4, 5). Although their binding sequences are identical, both molecules exhibit different and non-overlapping effects on target organs due to their distinctive expression profiles (6). *NR5A1* (HGNC:7983) and *NR5A2* (HGNC:7984) are expressed primarily in steroidogenic tissues and in tissues of endodermal origin and gonads, respectively (7, 8). Due to its critical role in gonadal development and testicular differentiation, loss-of-function mutations in NR5A1 cause 46,XY disorders of sex development (DSD) (4, 9). While NR5A2 deletion in mice is embryonically lethal (10, 11), consequences of NR5A2 mutation in humans are still unknown (3).

The regulation of NR5A receptor transcriptional activity has been suggested to be dependent on interactions with co-factors. SHP (NR0B2) (12), DAX1 (NR0B1) (13, 14), NCOR1, NCOR2 (15), and β -catenin (16, 17) are major cofactors that can interact with NR5A1 and NR5A2. Regulation by cofactors can be either positive (co-activation) or negative (co-repression). The interactive domains in NR5A1 and NR5A2 vary depending on the type of cofactor (3).

Recent *in vitro* studies have suggested that, in addition to cofactors, unidentified ligands for NR5A receptors could regulate its activity (18, 19). Crystallography and mass spectrophotometry analyses revealed large hydrophobic pockets that were occupied by phospholipids, especially phosphatidylinositol- (3–5)-trisphosphate in both NR5As. Introducing point mutations in the ligand-binding domains such as A269, G341, L344, V348, A433, Y436, and K440 of hNR5A1 failed to recruit co-activators and induce transcription (20, 21). Several pharmacological ligands have been developed for NR5A1 and NR5A2 (22–24), yet no reports demonstrating ligand function in mouse models or human diseases exist. Therefore, their biological relevance remains unknown.

Recently, we encountered a patient with 46,XY disorder of sex development (DSD) with a novel missense variant p.R350W in the ligand-binding domain (LBD) of NR5A1. *In vitro* analysis suggested that this variant deteriorated the transcriptional activity. Interestingly, the amino acid was not conserved between NR5A1 and NR5A2, and 3D analysis predicted that the variant would not cause a conformational change in the protein. These results suggest that arginine, the 350th amino acid of NR5A1 plays a crucial role in its interaction with co-factors or ligands, thereby providing a valuable insight into the regulation of the transcriptional activity.

Case presentation

The patient was born full-term with a normal birth weight (40W1D, 3226 g). Although clitromegaly was noted at birth, the patient was raised as female. At 3 years of age, the patient developed a bilateral inguinal hernia that was surgically treated, but no further examination for disorder of sex development was performed. At 12 years of age, the patient exhibited pubertal development with virilization such as an increased size of the clitoris and was referred to our hospital. Chromosomal analysis revealed that the patient carried 46,XY genotype with *SRY*, and endocrinological tests suggested that the gonads had testicular function (Testosterone: 4.96 ng/mL, Estradiol: <5 pg/mL), although gonadotropin levels were elevated (LH:14.7 mIU/mL, FSH:70.7 mIU/mL). The patient

showed no signs or symptoms of adrenal insufficiency. The patient was diagnosed with 46,XY DSD, and genetic analysis revealed a missense variant (NM_004959.4 c.1048 C>T, NP_004950.2 p. Arg350Trp [RefSeq version5], rs754336683) in the NR5A1 gene. We did not perform genetic analysis of the parents, but they did not show any signs or symptoms of the disorder of sex development. The frequency of the variant is low, 0 in gnomAD (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/gene/ENSG00000136931?dataset=gnomad_r3), and according to the American College of Medical Genetics guidelines, the variant was classified as highly pathogenic. Registration of the variant in our case resulted in a MAF (minor allele frequency) of 0.00005665 in the East Asian population (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/variant/9-127253450-G-A?dataset=gnomad_r2_1).

Materials and methods

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board Committee at Tokyo Medical and Dental University and written informed consent was obtained from the parents (G2000-103). We confirmed that all methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Mutation analysis

Genomic DNA was obtained from the lymphocytes of the patient, and DNA analysis was conducted using the TruSightTM One sequencing panel, #FC-141-1006 (Illumina, CA, USA). The known causative genes for 46,XY DSD, such as *AR* (HGNC:644), *HSD17B3* (HGNC:5212), *HSD3B2* (HGNC:5218), *NR5A1*, *SRD5A2* (HGNC:11285), *WT1* (HGNC:12796), *ANOS1* (HGNC:6211), *CHD7* (HGNC:20626), *FGF8* (HGNC:3686), *FGFR1* (HGNC:3688), *and SRY* (HGNC:11311), were screened, and a missense variant c.1048 C>T was identified in the NR5A1 gene, which was further confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

Plasmids

The expression vector for wild-type human *NR5A1* was purchased from the Kazusa DNA Research Institute (Kisarazu, Chiba, Japan). The expression vectors for mutant *NR5A1*, R350W, R350L, R350H, A260V, C283R, and Q460R, were generated by mutagenesis using a QuikChange II Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent, CA, USA). Reporter vectors containing the *SOX9* (HGNC:11204) testis enhancer sequence (hTES) and the promoter of *CYP17* (HGNC:2593) were kindly gifted by V. Harley (Hudson Institute of Medical Research) (25) and JS. Richards (Baylor College of Medicine), respectively.

Luciferase assay

The transcriptional activities of the wild-type and the missense variants of NR5A1 on hTES and the *CYP17* promoter were

determined by dual luciferase reporter assays. CHO cells were prepared in 24-well plates $(1.0 \times 10^5$ cells/well). *NR5A1* (100 ng) of the wild-type or the missense variant expression plasmids or the pcDNA3 empty plasmids were transiently introduced with 200 ng of the reporter plasmids (hTES or the *CYP17* promoter). Relative luciferase activity was measured 48 h after transfection using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, MD, USA). Samples were measured in triplicates in each assay, and all the assays were independently repeated three to four times.

Western blotting

The *NR5A1* expression plasmids of the wild-type or the missense variants were introduced into CHO cells, and whole-cell lysates were collected using lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 1% SDS (26). After SDS-PAGE, immunoblotting was performed with anti-Ad4BP/SF-1 antiserum (1:1000, a kind gift from K. Morohashi Lab) and anti- β -actin antibody (1:10000, A1978, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). Anti-rabbit IgG (1:5000, GE Healthcare, UK) and anti-mouse IgG (1:10000, GE Healthcare, UK) were used as secondary antibodies.

In silico analysis of missense mutations on a three-dimensional structure model

Mutated residues were mapped to the crystal structure of human NR5A1 (PDB code: 4qjr). The effects of each missense variant on thermal stability were evaluated using FoldX 5.0 software (26). Putative interfaces of molecular interactions were predicted using the SPPIDER web server(http://sppider.cchmc.org/) (27). Supramolecular structural modeling of NR5A1 and its interacting molecules was performed by superimposing the structure of NR5A1 in complex structures according to previously described methods (28). Prediction analysis was performed according to the guidelines of Vihinen M (29). REVEL scores for the predicted pathogenicity of missense variants were estimated using a computational method (30).

Immunofluorescence analysis

We transiently introduced expression vector of wild type or R350W NR5A1 into CHO cells and performed immunofluorescence analysis as previously described (15, 31). The rabbit anti-NR5A1 (Ad4BP) antibody (kindly provided by K Morohashi) was used at a 1:500 dilution, and the goat Texas-Red dye-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody (#111-076-047, Jackson ImmunoResearch, PA, USA) was used as the secondary antibody at 1:100 dilution. The slides were mounted with Vectashield mounting medium (H-1200; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA), allowing for nuclear 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining. Images were obtained using a confocal microscope, TCS-SP8 (Leica microsystems, Germany).

Results

R350 was essential for NR5A1 function *in vitro*

The clinical phenotypes of the patient suggested that R350 is essential for NR5A1 function *in vivo*. Reporter analysis revealed that p.R350W significantly impaired the transcriptional activities of hTES and the *CYP17* promoter, which are thought to play major roles in Sertoli and Leydig cell differentiation (Figure 1A). This assay confirmed the essential role of R350 in NR5A1 *in vitro*.

The predicted role of R350 was interacting with other molecules

In silico 3D analysis revealed that R350 was on helix7, which is a major component of the ligand-binding pocket (Figure 1B), and R350 was located external of the predicted potential molecular interface (Figures 1C, D, 2). REVEL scores were elevated in p.R350W and its surrounding areas suggesting the importance of R350 and its neighboring amino acids for NR5A1 function (Figure 1E). The computational software FoldX predicted that p.R350W would increase the thermal stability of NR5A1, suggesting that p.R350W would not destabilize the LBD structure. These results indicate that p.R350W is a unique missense mutation, as most of the previously reported missense pathological variants in the LBD decreased stability (Figure 2). Further analysis of the known complex structures of the NR5A1-LBD and its co-factors, β-catenin and DAX1, showed that R350 was not in direct contact, although it was in close proximity to these molecules (Figure 1F). Taken together, the predicted role of R350 would be a part of the interaction sites for other molecules, that is, an endogenous ligand or an unknown co-factor, rather than being a stabilizing factor for the LBD structure.

Function of the 350th amino acid residue in NR5A1 was distinctive from that in NR5A2

Despite the conservation of R350 in NR5A1 among species, the equivalent amino acid residue was altered to leucine or histidine in NR5A2 (Figure 3A), suggesting that an unknown molecule would exclusively interact with NR5A1 through R350. To verify the exclusivity of the potential interaction, we assessed whether the function of R350 could be replaced with the corresponding amino acid residues in NR5A2, leucine or histidine, and carried out a reporter assay for NR5A1 whose R350 was substituted with leucine (p.R350L) or histidine (p.R350H). Although the protein expression of p.R350L and p.R350H (Figure 3B) was not affected, the transcriptional activities of p.R350L and p.R350H were significantly impaired (Figures 3C, D). Thus, NR5A1 specifically requires arginine as the 350th amino acid residue, and the function of the 350th amino acid residue in NR5A1 is distinct from the corresponding amino acid residue in NR5A2. However, nuclear localization of R350W was not affected (Figure 3G).



Comparison to the other missense pathogenic variants on the LBD revealed the unique function of the R350 role in NR5A1

In the LBD of NR5A1 of patients with 46,XY DSD, 22 missense variants have been identified, suggesting that these 22 amino acids play essential roles in the LBD of NR5A1 *in vivo*. Of these 22 amino acid residues, 19 were conserved between NR5A1 and NR5A2 while three, p.A260V, p.C283R, and p.Q460R, were not conserved (Figure 2). The reporter assay revealed that the trans-activating activity was impaired in the variant p. C283R, but not in p. A260V or p. Q460R (Figures 3E, F), indicating that p. A260V and p.Q460R are not pathological variants. Thus, in addition to p.R350W, p. C283R is the only pathological missense variant whose substituted amino acid residue is not conserved in NR5A2. During thermal stability analysis, the LBD with the p. C283R variant was destabilized

(Figure 2), and the major role of C283 was to stabilize the structure of the LBD rather than to interact with other molecules, co-factors, or ligands.

Discussion/Conclusion

R350 has an essential role in NR5A1, as we have proven *in vivo* (46,XY DSD) and *in vitro* (reporter assays). Our present study suggests that there is an endogenous ligand or a co-activator that selectively potentiates the transcriptional function of NR5A1, but not that of NR5A2, *in vivo*. The profoundly impaired testicular function in our case with severely under-virilized external genitalia indicated that R350 in the LBD of NR5A1 plays an essential role in testicular development in humans, which was confirmed by our *in vitro* reporter assay. *In silico* 3D analysis predicted that the major

	# A.A.	Variant	Phenotype	Solvent accessiblity	Total Energy (FoldX)	Conservated in <i>NR5A2</i>	Impaired transactivity <i>in</i> <i>vitro</i> assay	REVEL score	AF in gnomAD(vol.3)
Present study	350	p.Arg350Trp	46, XY DSD	0.31	-0.57	-	+	0.84	0
1	260	p.Ala260Val	DSD, severe hypospadias	0.53	0.25	-	-	0.30	6.97e-6(1/143370)
2	283	p.Cys283Arg	DSD, severe hypospadias	0.00	10.60	-	+	0.96	0
3	460	p.Gln460Arg	DSD, severe hypospadias	0.91	-0.66	-	-	0.29	7.94e-6(1/125938)
4	279	p.Trp279Arg	DSD, severe hypospadias	0.01	3.28	+	NA	0.97	0
5	281	p.Arg281Pro	DSD, severe hypospadias	0.60	6.29	+	NA	0.95	0
6	310	p.His310Asp	DSD, severe hypospadias	0.20	0.68	+	NA	0.93	0
7	313	p.Arg313Cys	DSD, severe hypospadias	0.14	2.25	+	NA	0.92	0
8	328	p.Gly328Val	DSD, severe hypospadias	0.31	7.52	+	NA	0.98	0
9	347	p.Leu347GIn	DSD, severe hypospadias	0.09	2.42	+	NA	0.97	0
10	364	p.Asp364Tyr	DSD, severe hypospadias	0.22	2.8	+	NA	0.97	0
11	370	p.Cys370Tyr	DSD, severe hypospadias	0.00	21.57	+	NA	098	0
12	376	p.Leu376Phe	DSD, severe hypospadias	0.01	3.81	+	NA	0.97	0
13	380	p.Asp380Tyr	DSD, severe hypospadias	0.47	0.57	+	NA	0.94	0
14	293	p.Asp293Asn	Anorchia micro penis	0.02	1.54	+	NA	0.94	2.79e-5(4/143338)
15	355	p.Val355Met	Anorchia micro penis	0.05	0.00	+	NA	0.81	5.5e-5(8/143340)
16	257	p.Asp257Asn	Male infertility	0.66	0.27	+	NA	0.41	2.79e-5(4/143338)
17	323	p.lle323Thr	Male infertility	0.07	2.24	+	NA	0.77	0
18	255	p.Arg255Leu	Adrenal Failure	0.37	0.24	+	NA	0.68	6.98e-6(1/143344)
19	223	p.Val223Met	SNP in gnomAD	0.20	0.70	+	NA	0.26	8.37e-5(12/143316)
20	238	p.Asp238Asn	SNP in gnomAD	0.66	0.02	+	NA	0.10	6.98e-5(10/143336)
21	259	p.Pro259Leu	SNP in gnomAD	0.65	0.81	+	NA	0.25	9.07e-5(13/143370)
22	460	p.Gln460Pro	SNP in gnomAD	0.91	-0.41	+	NA	0.46	1.03e-4(13/125938)

FIGURE 2

Summary of the reported missense variants on the NR5A1 LBD. Yellow colored amino acids are predicted to be located outside surface. In the LBD of NR5A1, 22 missense variants have been identified in patients with 46,XY DSD to date. Of these 22 amino acid residues, 19 were conserved in NR5A2, and only three, p.A260V, p.C283R, and p.Q460R, were not conserved. The functional analyses showed that p.A260V and p.Q460R were not deleterious variants. The thermal stability analysis (FoldX), indicated that p.R350W would not destabilize LBD structure while p. C283R variant would. The specificity of the REVEL score at 0.5 and 0.75 for pathogenic are 89.1% and 95%, respectively (**31**). DSD, Disorder of Sex Development; NA, not available.

function of R350 was interacting with other molecules, such as cofactors and endogenous ligands, rather than maintaining the structure of NR5A1. However, R350 was not conserved in NR5A2. Furthermore, NR5A1 exclusively requires arginine as the 350th amino acid residue, as substitution of R350 with the corresponding histidine/leucine residues in NR5A2 did not compensate for the transcriptional activity of NR5A1.

Although identifying the molecule interacting with R350 is beyond the scope of this study, we presume that known co-factors are not likely to be involved in the interaction with NR5A1 through R350. The only known co-factor that activates NR5A1 trans-activity is β -catenin (3), which is unlikely to be involved in the pathophysiology of severely impaired testicular development. During gonadal development, βcatenin acts in favor of ovarian development by suppressing key molecules for testicular development, such as FGF9 (32). In this biological context, β-catenin presumably counteracts NR5A1 function rather than activating it. Indeed, supramolecular complex models of NR5A1 predicted that R350 did not mediate the interaction with β catenin. Furthermore, all known cofactors have been suggested to interact with both NR5A1 and NR5A2 or NR5A2 alone (3). In other words, co-activators whose association is limited to NR5A1 have not yet been reported. Identifying novel co-factors of NR5A1 will advance our understanding of its function.

Another implication of our data is the possible presence of an endogenous ligand *in vivo*. Although the unaffected nuclear localization

of R350W suggests that its binding ability was maintained, R350 may have essential roles in ligand-dependent conformational changes of NR5A1. To the best of our knowledge, no data using mouse models or human diseases have revealed that NR5A1 requires an endogenous ligand for its transcriptional activity. Furthermore, our data suggest the existence of an endogenous ligand selective for NR5A1, as the equivalent amino acid residue of NR5A2 did not restore NR5A1 function. Recently, artificial ligands that can bind to either NR5A1 or NR5A2 have been developed. Low molecular weight compounds containing cis-bicyclo [3.3.0]oct-2-ene in their core structure selectively increases NR5A1 activity (23), whereas dilauryolphosphatidyl-choline (DLPC) activates NR5A2 (22). These findings indicate that NR5A1 and NR5A2 have similar structures but require different ligands; however, it is not clear whether there are active endogenous ligands for either NR5A receptor in vivo (33). Our observations support the in vitro observation of artificial ligands, thereby shedding new light on the unidentified ligands of NR5A1.

It is intriguing that the trans-activity of p.R350H varied depending on the reporters; the trans-activity was maintained on the *CYP17* promoter, but not on the hTES promoter. The interaction between cofactors and NR5A1/NR5A2 is presumed to be controlled by ligands, and cofactors of NR5A1/NR5A2 are known to regulate its transcriptional activity in a context-specific manner (3). Our data would be an example to show the complexity of the interaction between NR5A1/NR5A2 and co-factors/ligands.



FIGURE 3

(A) R350 is conserved among NR5A1 of various species, but not in NR5A2. (B) Substitution of the 350^{th} arginine with tryptophan, leucine, or histidine did not affect the protein expression levels. (C, D) Substitution of the 350^{th} arginine with leucine or histidine reduced the trans-activating ability in hTES and the *CYP17* promoter. Asterisks indicate statistical significance (*, P < 0.05). (E, F) Of the three missense variants (p.A260V, p. C283R, and p.O460R) suggested to cause 46,XY DSD, only p.C283R reduced the trans-activating ability in hTES and the *CYP17* promoter. Data sets represent activation of the reporters relative to wild-type whose value was adjusted to 1.0. The mean and SD values of three to five biological replicates measured were calculated. Asterisks indicate statistical significance (**, P <0.01). Unpaired Student's t-test was used to demonstrate statistically significant difference between the given sample and the control. (G) Immunofluorescence of transiently transfected CHO cells with the wild type or R350W NR5A1 expression vectors. The nuclear localization was identical between wild type and R350W (red) White bar indicate 25µm. The present study has some limitations. The parents of the patient did not allow us to perform a familial analysis, which could have provided further insights. Using our 3D model analysis, it was difficult to completely exclude the possibility that p.R350W would indirectly interfere with the allosteric regulation of the known co-factors for ligand binding. Our analysis is based on a single-case study, and further case studies are required to elucidate the precise function of LBD, especially regarding its interaction with other molecules. Nevertheless, the 46,XY DSD case with p.R350W provided intriguing insights into possible molecules, co-factors, or endogenous ligands interacting with NR5A1.

NR5A1 has been reported to play a role in organ development and oncogenesis (3, 34). We envisage that, in addition to identifying the pathophysiology of DSD, an improved understanding of the molecules interacting with NR5A1 may provide novel insights, leading to the development of valuable therapeutic options for malignant diseases.

Data availability statement

The data presented in the study are deposited in the ClinVar repository, accession number SCV002099761.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board Committee at Tokyo Medical and Dental University. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

Author contributions

KK, MG, and KT contributed to the conception and design of this study, acquisition of data, and analysis and interpretation of the data. All experiments were performed by MG, RS, AH, AK, MT, RN, KT,

References

1. S.Melmed RJA, Goldfine AB. Williams textbook of endocrinology. 14th ed Vol. 11:31-41. ELSEVIER (2020).

2. Zhang Z, Burch PE, Cooney AJ, Lanz RB, Pereira FA, Wu J, et al. Genomic analysis of the nuclear receptor family: New insights into structure, regulation, and evolution from the rat genome. *Genome Res* (2004) 14(4):580–90. doi: 10.1101/gr.2160004

3. Meinsohn MC, Smith OE, Bertolin K, Murphy BD. The orphan nuclear receptors steroidogenic factor-1 and liver receptor homolog-1: Structure, regulation, and essential roles in mammalian reproduction. *Physiol Rev* (2019) 99(2):1249–79. doi: 10.1152/ physrev.00019.2018

4. Lin L, Achermann JC. Steroidogenic factor-1 (Sf-1, Ad4bp, Nr5a1) and disorders of testis development. Sex Dev (2008) 2(4-5):200–9. doi: 10.1159/000152036

5. Luo X, Ikeda Y, Parker KL. A cell-specific nuclear receptor is essential for adrenal and gonadal development and sexual differentiation. *Cell* (1994) 77(4):481–90. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(94)90211-9

6. Fayard E, Auwerx J, Schoonjans K. Lrh-1: An orphan nuclear receptor involved in development, metabolism and steroidogenesis. *Trends Cell Biol* (2004) 14(5):250–60. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2004.03.008

and TS. The manuscript was drafted by KK and MG and critically revised by AH and TM. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was partially supported by a grant from KAKENHI, Kiban (C) (15K09615) funded by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS), to KK. This research was partially supported by the Platform Project for Supporting Drug Discovery and Life Science Research (Basis for Supporting Innovative Drug Discovery and Life Science Research (BINDS)) from AMED under Grant Number JP20am0101111 (support number 2103).

Acknowledgments

We thank K Morohashi for providing the NR5A1 antibody, VR Harley for providing the hTES promoter plasmid, and JS. Richards for providing the CYP17 promoter plasmid.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

 Falender AE, Lanz R, Malenfant D, Belanger L, Richards JS. Differential expression of steroidogenic factor-1 and Ftf/Lrh-1 in the rodent ovary. *Endocrinology* (2003) 144 (8):3598–610. doi: 10.1210/en.2002-0137

8. Hinshelwood MM, Shelton JM, Richardson JA, Mendelson CR. Temporal and spatial expression of liver receptor homologue-1 (Lrh-1) during embryogenesis suggests a potential role in gonadal development. *Dev Dyn* (2005) 234(1):159–68. doi: 10.1002/dvdy.20490

9. Achermann JC, Ito M, Ito M, Hindmarsh PC, Jameson JL. A mutation in the gene encoding steroidogenic factor-1 causes xy sex reversal and adrenal failure in humans. *Nat Genet* (1999) 22(2):125–6. doi: 10.1038/9629

10. Duggavathi R, Volle DH, Mataki C, Antal MC, Messaddeq N, Auwerx J, et al. Liver receptor homolog 1 is essential for ovulation. *Genes Dev* (2008) 22(14):1871-6. doi: 10.1101/gad.472008

11. Pare JF, Malenfant D, Courtemanche C, Jacob-Wagner M, Roy S, Allard D, et al. The fetoprotein transcription factor (Ftf) gene is essential to embryogenesis and cholesterol homeostasis and is regulated by a Dr4 element. *J Biol Chem* (2004) 279 (20):21206–16. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M401523200

12. Goodwin B, Jones SA, Price RR, Watson MA, McKee DD, Moore LB, et al. A regulatory cascade of the nuclear receptors fxr, shp-1, and lrh-1 represses bile acid biosynthesis. *Mol Cell* (2000) 6(3):517–26. doi: 10.1016/s1097-2765(00)00051-4

13. Babu PS, Bavers DL, Beuschlein F, Shah S, Jeffs B, Jameson JL, et al. Interaction between dax-1 and steroidogenic factor-1 in vivo: Increased adrenal responsiveness to acth in the absence of dax-1. *Endocrinology* (2002) 143(2):665–73. doi: 10.1210/endo.143.2.8658

14. Suzuki T, Kasahara M, Yoshioka H, Morohashi K, Umesono K. Lxxll-related motifs in dax-1 have target specificity for the orphan nuclear receptors Ad4bp/Sf-1 and lrh-1. *Mol Cell Biol* (2003) 23(1):238–49. doi: 10.1128/mcb.23.1.238-249.2003

15. Steffensen KR, Holter E, Bavner A, Nilsson M, Pelto-Huikko M, Tomarev S, et al. Functional conservation of interactions between a homeodomain cofactor and a mammalian ftz-F1 homologue. *EMBO Rep* (2004) 5(6):613–9. doi: 10.1038/ sj.embor.7400147

16. Botrugno OA, Fayard E, Annicotte JS, Haby C, Brennan T, Wendling O, et al. Synergy between lrh-1 and beta-catenin induces G1 cyclin-mediated cell proliferation. *Mol Cell* (2004) 15(4):499–509. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2004.07.009

17. Jordan BK, Shen JH, Olaso R, Ingraham HA, Vilain E. Wnt4 overexpression disrupts normal testicular vasculature and inhibits testosterone synthesis by repressing steroidogenic factor 1/Beta-catenin synergy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2003) 100 (19):10866–71. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1834480100

18. Li Y, Choi M, Cavey G, Daugherty J, Suino K, Kovach A, et al. Crystallographic identification and functional characterization of phospholipids as ligands for the orphan nuclear receptor steroidogenic factor-1. *Mol Cell* (2005) 17(4):491–502. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2005.02.002

19. Krylova IN, Sablin EP, Moore J, Xu RX, Waitt GM, MacKay JA, et al. Structural analyses reveal phosphatidyl inositols as ligands for the Nr5 orphan receptors sf-1 and lrh-1. *Cell* (2005) 120(3):343–55. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.01.024

20. Lewis AE, Rusten M, Hoivik EA, Vikse EL, Hansson ML, Wallberg AE, et al. Phosphorylation of steroidogenic factor 1 is mediated by cyclin-dependent kinase 7. *Mol Endocrinol* (2008) 22(1):91–104. doi: 10.1210/me.2006-0478

21. Wang W, Zhang C, Marimuthu A, Krupka HI, Tabrizizad M, Shelloe R, et al. The crystal structures of human steroidogenic factor-1 and liver receptor homologue-1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (2005) 102(21):7505–10. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0409482102

22. Whitby RJ, Dixon S, Maloney PR, Delerive P, Goodwin BJ, Parks DJ, et al. Identification of small molecule agonists of the orphan nuclear receptors liver receptor

homolog-1 and steroidogenic factor-1. J Med Chem (2006) 49(23):6652–5. doi: 10.1021/jm060990k

23. Del Tredici AL, Andersen CB, Currier EA, Ohrmund SR, Fairbain LC, Lund BW, et al. Identification of the first synthetic steroidogenic factor 1 inverse agonists: Pharmacological modulation of steroidogenic enzymes. *Mol Pharmacol* (2008) 73 (3):900–8. doi: 10.1124/mol.107.040089

24. Lee JM, Lee YK, Mamrosh JL, Busby SA, Griffin PR, Pathak MC, et al. A nuclear-Receptor-Dependent phosphatidylcholine pathway with antidiabetic effects. *Nature* (2011) 474(7352):506–10. doi: 10.1038/nature10111

25. Knower KC, Kelly S, Ludbrook LM, Bagheri-Fam S, Sim H, Bernard P, et al. Failure of Sox9 regulation in 46xy disorders of sex development with sry, Sox9 and Sf1 mutations. *PloS One* (2011) 6(3):e17751. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0017751

26. Van Durme J, Delgado J, Stricher F, Serrano L, Schymkowitz J, Rousseau F. A graphical interface for the foldx forcefield. *Bioinformatics* (2011) 27(12):1711–2. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr254

27. Porollo A, Meller J. Prediction-based fingerprints of protein-protein interactions. *Proteins* (2007) 66(3):630-45. doi: 10.1002/prot.21248

28. Tsuji T, Yoda T, Shirai T. Deciphering supramolecular structures with proteinprotein interaction network modeling. *Sci Rep* (2015) 5:16341. doi: 10.1038/srep16341

29. Vihinen M. Guidelines for reporting and using prediction tools for genetic variation analysis. *Hum Mutat* (2013) 34(2):275–82. doi: 10.1002/humu.22253

30. Ioannidis NM, Rothstein JH, Pejaver V, Middha S, McDonnell SK, Baheti S, et al. Revel: An ensemble method for predicting the pathogenicity of rare missense variants. *Am J Hum Genet* (2016) 99(4):877–85. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.08.016

31. Takasawa K, Ono M, Hijikata A, Matsubara Y, Katsumata N, Takagi M, et al. Two novel Hsd3b2 missense mutations with diverse residual enzymatic activities for Delta5-steroids. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* (2014) 80(6):782–9. doi: 10.1111/cen.12394

32. Maatouk DM, DiNapoli L, Alvers A, Parker KL, Taketo MM, Capel B. Stabilization of beta-catenin in xy gonads causes Male-to-Female sex-reversal. *Hum Mol Genet* (2008) 17(19):2949–55. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddn193

33. Schimmer BP, White PC. Minireview: Steroidogenic factor 1: Its roles in differentiation, development, and disease. *Mol Endocrinol* (2010) 24(7):1322-37. doi: 10.1210/me.2009-0519

34. Figueiredo BC, Cavalli LR, Pianovski MA, Lalli E, Sandrini R, Ribeiro RC, et al. Amplification of the steroidogenic factor 1 gene in childhood adrenocortical tumors. J Clin Endocrinol Metab (2005) 90(2):615–9. doi: 10.1210/jc.2004-0942