#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Charles Theillet, Institut du Cancer de Montpellier (ICM), France

REVIEWED BY Spyros S. Skandalis, University of Patras, Greece Maria Diakonova, University of Toledo, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Mi Jeong Kwon Mikwon94@knu.ac.kr

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Breast Cancer, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 26 November 2022 ACCEPTED 28 December 2022 PUBLISHED 19 January 2023

#### CITATION

Kwon MJ (2023) Matrix metalloproteinases as therapeutic targets in breast cancer. *Front. Oncol.* 12:1108695. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.1108695

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Kwon. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Matrix metalloproteinases as therapeutic targets in breast cancer

#### Mi Jeong Kwon<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Vessel-Organ Interaction Research Center (MRC), College of Pharmacy, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea, <sup>2</sup>BK21 FOUR Community-Based Intelligent Novel Drug Discovery Education Unit, College of Pharmacy and Research Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Republic of Korea

Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are the most prominent proteinases involved in tumorigenesis. They were initially recognized to promote tumor progression by remodeling the extracellular matrix through their proteolytic activity. However, accumulating evidence has revealed that some MMPs have protective roles in cancer progression, and the same MMP can exert opposing roles depending on the cell type in which it is expressed or the stage of cancer. Moreover, studies have shown that MMPs are involved in cancer progression through their roles in other biological processes such as cell signaling and immune regulation, independent of their catalytic activity. Despite the prognostic significance of tumoral or stromal expression of MMPs in breast cancer, their roles and molecular mechanisms in breast cancer progression remain unclear. As the failures of early clinical trials with broad-spectrum MMP inhibitors were mainly due to a lack of drug specificity, substantial efforts have been made to develop highly selective MMP inhibitors. Some recently developed MMP inhibitory monoclonal antibodies demonstrated promising anti-tumor effects in preclinical models of breast cancer. Importantly, anti-tumor effects of these antibodies were associated with the modulation of tumor immune microenvironment, suggesting that the use of MMP inhibitors in combination with immunotherapy can improve the efficacy of immunotherapy in HER2-positive or triple-negative breast cancer. In this review, the current understanding of the roles of tumoral or stromal MMPs in breast cancer is summarized, and recent advances in the development of highly selective MMP inhibitors are discussed.

#### KEYWORDS

matrix metalloproteinase (MMP), breast cancer, therapeutic target, tumor microenvironment, MMP inhibitor, immune regulation, immunotherapy

## Introduction

Breast cancer is the most frequent malignancy and the leading cause of cancer-related death in women worldwide (1). Based on the hormone receptor (estrogen receptor [ER] or progesterone receptor [PR]) and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) status, breast cancer is classified into four main subtypes; hormone receptor-positive/HER2-negative

(or luminal A), hormone receptor-positive/HER2-positive (or luminal B), hormone receptor-negative/HER2-positive (HER2-positive or HER2-enriched), and triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), and the choice of systemic treatment depend on the cancer subtypes (2, 3). In recent decades, there has been remarkable progress in the development of novel molecular targeted therapies (i.e., cyclin-dependent kinase 4 and 6 inhibitors, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors, and HER2 or trophoblast cell surface antigen-2 antibody-drug conjugates) and immunotherapies (i.e., programmed cell death-1 [PD-1] inhibitors) for patients with breast cancer who failed primary systemic treatment with endocrine therapy or chemotherapy (4). Despite significant improvements in therapies, a considerable number of patients still experience recurrence or metastasis after initial therapy, which is responsible for the high mortality in breast cancer (5–7).

Tumor progression and metastasis are driven by the complex interplay between malignant tumor cells and the surrounding nonmalignant stroma, comprising the extracellular matrix (ECM), stromal cells such as endothelial cells (ECs), fibroblasts, and infiltrating immune cells (8, 9). Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) are zinc-dependent endopeptidases that can degrade and remodel the ECM (10). Studies have identified 23 MMPs in humans (11), and they are generally categorized as collagenases (MMP-1 [interstitial collagenase], -8 [neutrophil collagenase], -13 [collagenase-3], and -18 [collagenase-4]), gelatinases (MMP-2 [72 kDa gelatinase/type IV collagenase], and -9 [92 kDa gelatinase/type IV collagenase]), stromelysins (MMP-3 [stromelysin-1], -10 [stromelysin-2], and -11 [stromelysin-3]), matrilysins (MMP-7 [matrilysin], and -26 [endometase or matrilysin-2]), membrane-type (MMP-14 [MT1-MMP], -15 [MT2-MMP], -16 [MT3-MMP], -17 [MT4-MMP], -24 [MT5-MMP], and -25 [MT6-MMP]), or other miscellaneous types based on substrate specificity or domain structure (12, 13). MMPs, which are produced by non-malignant stromal cells as well as tumor cells, contribute to tumor progression by regulating tumor growth, invasion, angiogenesis, and metastasis (11, 14-16). Although MMPs were initially thought to promote tumor progression by remodeling the ECM through their proteolytic activity, accumulating evidence has provided a novel understanding of the roles and mechanisms of MMPs in cancer. Some MMPs, such as MMP-3 and -8, exhibit protective roles in cancer, and the same MMP can be protumorigenic or anti-tumorigenic depending on the cell type in which it is expressed or the stage of cancer (12, 17, 18). Moreover, MMPs produced from non-malignant cells within the tumor microenvironment (TME) play crucial roles in cancer progression and metastasis. Stromal MMPs, such as MMP-9 and -12, particularly those produced by infiltrating inflammatory cells, have been shown to play anti-tumorigenic roles (17), highlighting the need for further studies on cell type-specific roles of MMPs. MMPs also contribute to tumor progression through their non-catalytic functions (14, 15). Accumulating evidence supports the involvement of MMPs in additional biological processes, such as cell signaling and immune regulation, independent of proteolytic activity (11, 15). In particular, some MMPs, such as MMP-2 and -9, have been demonstrated to influence cancer progression by modulating immune responses in the TME (19, 20). In addition, their biological roles are mediated by non-ECM substrates such as cell surface receptors, growth factors, cytokines, chemokines, and nuclear proteins, as well as ECM substrates. For example, MMP-1 derived from fibroblasts was found to promote the growth and invasion of breast cancer cells by activating protease-activated receptor 1 (PAR1) (21). Macrophagederived MMP-12 inactivates Glu-Leu-Arg (ELR)1 CXC chemokines, which are involved in neutrophil recruitment to sites of injury or inflammation, and generates C-C chemokine receptor (CCR) antagonists by inactivating monocyte chemotactic proteins, indicating the role of MMP in the regulation of inflammatory response by proteolysis of chemokines (22). While most MMPs are secreted proteases and are recognized to have extracellular activity, recent findings revealed that some MMPs, including MMP-1, -2, -3, -7, -8, -9, -10, -11, -12, -14, -23, and -26, have intracellular functions, suggesting that intracellular and extracellular MMPs are likely to play roles in cancer progression (23).

A subset of MMPs is frequently overexpressed in breast cancer and is associated with prognosis; thus, they have been considered promising therapeutic targets for breast cancer (10, 24, 25). However, while there is a MMP inhibitor approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for treating periodontitis, no MMP inhibitor has been approved for the treatment of cancer (26–28). This may be partly attributable to the lack of understanding of the cell type-specific roles of individual MMP in breast cancer. In this review, the current understanding of the roles of tumoral and stromal MMPs in breast cancer progression is summarized, and recent efforts or advances in the development of highly selective MMP inhibitors are discussed.

# Prognostic values of MMPs in breast cancer

In breast cancer, several MMPs are expressed in various cell types comprising the TME, such as fibroblasts, immune cells, and tumor cells, and their expression at the gene or protein level has been reported to be significantly associated with the prognosis of breast cancer patients (Table 1).

# Prognostic significance of MMP gene expression

Analyses of publicly available gene expression databases or datasets revealed that high expression of MMP-1, -7, -9, -11, -12, -14, and -15 was significantly associated with decreased patient survival (29, 31, 45). In contrast, high MMP-2, -8, and -26 gene expressions were found to be correlated with a favorable prognosis (31). Quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) analyses further confirmed that upregulated MMP-14 transcript levels correlate with shorter metastasis-free survival in breast cancer (58) and that high MMP-11 mRNA levels independently predict the increased risk of distant metastasis in breast cancer, especially in HER2-positive breast cancer (53). Moreover, based on the prognostic significance of their gene expression, some MMPs are included in the prognostic gene signatures of commercial multigene assays for predicting the risk of recurrence in early breast cancer. Seventy gene signatures used to develop MammaPrint (Agendia Inc., Irvine, CA, USA) contain MMP9 as a prognostic gene (61). MMP11 is included in the 21-prognostic gene panel of the Oncotype DX assay

#### TABLE 1 Prognostic significance of MMPs in breast cancer.

ММР	Gene or protein level	Samples (method)	Association of stromal or tumoral MMP expression with clinical outcomes	References
MMP-1 (Interstitial collagenase)	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter OS)	(29)
	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter brain metastasis-free survival)	(30)
	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter recurrence-free survival)	(31)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	$\label{eq:positive} Positive \mbox{ (fibroblasts)} \rightarrow poor \mbox{ prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival)}$	(32)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	High (tumor cells) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter breast cancer-specific survival)	(33)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter disease-free survival) in TNBC	(34)
	Protein	Serum (ELISA)	$Low \rightarrow poor prognosis$	(35)
MMP-2 (72 kDa gelatinase/type IV	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ favorable prognosis (longer recurrence-free survival)	(31)
collagenase)	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	Positive (tumor cells) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter recurrence-free survival or OS)	(36)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	High (tumor cells) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival)	(37)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	No significant association of tumoral or stromal MMP2 expression with relapse-free survival	(32)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	No significant association of tumor-derived MMP2 expression with OS	(38)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	High (tumor cells) $\rightarrow$ high risk of bone metastasis of breast cancer	(39)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	No significant association of tumoral MMP2 with OS MMP2 (stromal cells) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter OS)	(40)
	Protein (Systemic review and meta- analysis)	Tissue (IHC)	High (tumor cells) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter OS)	(41)
	Protein	Serum (ELISA)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter disease-free survival and OS)	(42)
	Protein	Serum (ELISA)	$High \rightarrow poor \ prognosis \ (shorter \ disease-free \ survival)$	(43)
MMP-7 (Matrilysin)	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter recurrence-free survival)	(31)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	Positive (fibroblasts) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival) Positive (MICs) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival)	(32)
MMP-8 (Neutrophil collagenase)	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ favorable prognosis (longer recurrence-free survival)	(31)
	Gene expression	Tissue (qRT- PCR)	$\label{eq:positive} \text{Positive (tumor cells)} \to \text{favorable prognosis (longer relapse-free survival)}$	(44)
MMP-9 (92 KDa gelatinase/type IV	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter OS)	(29)
collagenase)	Gene expression	Tissue (Database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter disease-specific survival)	(45)
	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter recurrence-free survival)	(31)
	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter brain metastasis-free survival)	(46)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	High (tumor cells) $\rightarrow$ favorable prognosis (longer recurrence-free survival) Positive (stromal cells) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter recurrence-free survival and breast cancer-related survival) in ER-positive breast cancer	(47)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	High (tumor cells) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival)	(37)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)		(48)
				(Continued)

#### TABLE 1 Continued

ММР	Gene or protein level	Samples (method)	Association of stromal or tumoral MMP expression with clinical outcomes	References
			Positive (stromal cells) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival and OS)	
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	Positive (tumor cells) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival) Positive (fibroblasts) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse -free survival) Positive (MICs) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival)	(32)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	Positive (fibroblasts) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival) in luminal A breast cancer Positive (MICs) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival) in HER2- positive breast cancer	(49)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	Positive (tumor cells) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter breast cancer-specific survival)	(50)
	Protein (Systemic review and meta- analysis)	Tissue (IHC)	High (tumor cells) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter OS)	(41)
	Serum	Serum (ELISA)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival and OS)	(51)
MMP-11 (Stromelysin-3)	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	$High \rightarrow poor \ prognosis \ (shorter \ disease-specific \ survival)$	(45)
	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	$\mbox{High} \rightarrow \mbox{poor prognosis}$ (shorter disease-free survival and disease-specific survival)	(52)
	Gene expression	Tissue (qRT- PCR)	$\label{eq:High} \text{High} \rightarrow \text{poor prognosis (shorter distant metastasis-free survival)}$	(53)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	Positive (fibroblasts) → poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival) Positive (MICs) → poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival)	(32)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	Positive (tumor cells) → poor prognosis (shorter OS, but not disease-free survival) Positive (stromal fibroblast-like cells) → poor prognosis (shorter disease-free survival and OS)	(54)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	High (endothelial cells) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival and OS)	(55)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	Positive (MICs) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival) in all subtypes of breast cancer Positive (fibroblasts) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival) in luminal A breast cancer	(49)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	No significant association of tumoral MMP-11 expression with prognosis Positive (fibroblasts) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival and OS) Positive (MICs) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival and OS)	(56)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	High (tumor cells) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter disease-free survival and disease-specific survival)	(52)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	No significant association of tumoral MMP-11 expression with prognosis No significant association of MMP-11 expression in fibroblasts with prognosis Positive (MICs) → poor prognosis (shorter distant metastasis-free survival, disease-free survival, and OS)	(57)
MMP-12 (Macrophage metalloelastase)	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	$High \rightarrow poor \ prognosis \ (shorter \ OS)$	(29)
	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter recurrence-free survival and OS)	(31)
MMP-13 (Collagenase-3)	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	Positive (fibroblasts) → poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival) Positive (MICs) → poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival)	(32)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	High (tumor cells) $\rightarrow$ shorter OS No significant association of stromal fibroblast-derived MMP-13 expression with prognosis	(38)
				(Continued)

TABLE 1 Continued	
-------------------	--

MMP	Gene or protein level	Samples (method)	Association of stromal or tumoral MMP expression with clinical outcomes	References
MMP-14 (MT1-MMP)	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter OS)	(29)
	Gene expression	Tissue (qRT- PCR)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter metastasis-free survival)	(58)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	$Positive \ (MICs) \rightarrow poor \ prognosis \ (shorter \ relapse-free \ survival)$	(32)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	No significant association of tumoral MMP-14 expression with prognosis No significant association of MMP-14 expression in fibroblasts with prognosis Positive (MICs) $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival)	(59)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Positive (MICs)} \rightarrow \mbox{poor prognosis (shorter relapse-free survival) in luminal} \\ \mbox{B breast cancer} \end{array}$	(49)
MMP-15 (MT2-MMP)	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ poor prognosis (shorter OS)	(29)
	Gene expression	Tissue (Database analysis)	$High \rightarrow poor \ prognosis \ (shorter \ disease-specific \ survival)$	(45)
	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	$\mbox{High} \rightarrow \mbox{poor prognosis}$ (shorter recurrence-free survival and OS)	(31)
MMP-26 (Endometase or matrilysin-2)	Gene expression	Tissue (Public database analysis)	High $\rightarrow$ favorable prognosis (longer recurrence-free survival)	(31)
	Protein	Tissue (IHC)	High $\rightarrow$ favorable prognosis (longer disease-free survival and OS)	(60)
ELISA, enzyme-linked immune	osorbent assay; ER, estrogen rec	eptor; HER2, human epi	dermal growth factor receptor 2; IHC, immunohistochemistry; MICs, mononuclear inflat	nmatory cells; OS,

overall survival; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR.

(Genomic Health Inc., Redwood City, CA, USA) (62) and 50 genes were used to calculate the risk or recurrence score of the Prosigna PAM50 assay (Veracyte, South San Francisco, CA, USA; formerly: NanoString Technologies, Seattle, WA, USA) (63, 64).

## Prognostic significance of tumoral or stromal MMP protein expression

Numerous immunochemical studies have validated the prognostic significance of the tumoral or stromal MMP expression in breast cancer. Several studies have shown a significant association between stromal MMP-11 or -14 expression and poor prognosis in breast cancer. In particular, MMP-11 expression in mononuclear inflammatory cells (MICs) has been consistently demonstrated to be a strong predictor of decreased survival in patients with breast cancer (49, 56, 57), whereas there have been conflicting reports on the prognostic value of MMP-11 expression in cancer cells or cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) (52, 54, 56, 57). MMP-11 was also found to be expressed in ECs from breast cancer samples, and MMP-11 expression in ECs was significantly correlated with shorter relapse-free and overall survival (OS) (55). MMP-14 expression in MICs is also a negative prognostic factor for relapse-free survival in breast cancer (32, 49, 59).

In cases of MMP-1, -2, -9, and -13, conflicting results on the prognostic values of their tumoral or stromal expression have been reported. While one study showed the prognostic significance of

fibroblastic MMP-1 expression (32), another study reported that stromal MMP-1 expression is not significant and that high MMP-1 expression in tumor cells is an independent negative prognostic factor for breast cancer-specific survival (33). Some studies have shown that tumoral MMP-2 expression is significantly associated with shorter survival in patients with breast cancer (36, 37). In particular, high tumoral MMP-2 expression was significantly correlated with an increased risk of bone metastasis in breast cancer (39). A recent systematic review and meta-analysis also confirmed that tumoral MMP-2 overexpression is associated with shorter OS and a higher risk of distant metastasis, suggesting that tumoral MMP-2 expression is a promising negative prognostic factor (41). However, several studies have reported no relationship between MMP-2 protein expression and patient survival (32, 38). In addition, Min et al. reported that stromal MMP-2 expression is an independent factor indicating poor prognosis, whereas tumoral MMP-2 alone has no prognostic value (40). As for MMP-9, several studies have demonstrated that its positive or high expression in tumor cells or stromal cells is a negative prognostic factor for breast cancer (32, 37, 48, 50, 65). A recent study revealed that high MMP-9 expression in tumor cells is significantly associated with poor breast cancer-specific survival and is an independent negative prognostic factor, whereas stromal MMP-9 expression exhibited similar trends but is marginally significant, highlighting that tumoral MMP-9 expression is a more significant predictor of patient survival (50). A recent systematic review and meta-analysis also demonstrated that tumoral MMP-9 overexpression correlates with lymph node metastasis and predicts

shorter OS in breast cancer patients (41). In contrast, one study reported that low protein expression of MMP-9 in cancer cells is an independent predictor of shorter recurrence-free survival in patients with breast cancer, indicating that high tumoral MMP-9 expression is a favorable prognostic factor, while stromal MMP-9 expression predicts shorter recurrence-free survival in ER-positive breast cancer (47). Conflicting results regarding the prognostic significance of MMP-13 have also been reported. One study showed a significant association between stromal MMP-13 expression and poor prognosis (32) but another study showed that tumoral MMP-13, not stromal fibroblast-derived MMP-13, correlated with aggressive tumor phenotypes and was an independent negative prognostic factor for OS in breast cancer (38). Further validation of the prognostic value of stromal or tumoral MMP-1, -2, -9, and -13 expression in breast cancer is required.

Consistent with the gene expression data analysis, positive MMP-8 (44) and high MMP-26 protein expression (60) in breast tumor tissues correlated with longer patient survival, indicating that they are favorable prognostic factors in breast cancer.

#### Serum MMP levels as prognostic factors

It is notable that serum levels of some MMPs, such as MMP-1, -2, and -9, are associated with prognosis in breast cancer. Serum MMP-9 levels were significantly upregulated in breast cancer patients compared to normal controls and high serum MMP-9 levels were significantly associated with poor prognostic factors, such as higher tumor size and lymph node metastasis, and lower relapse-free survival and OS rates (51). Postoperative high serum MMP-2 levels remain an independent predictor of poor prognosis in node-positive breast cancer, whereas serum MMP-9 levels do not correlate with patient survival (42). Similarly, another study showed that high preoperative serum levels of MMP-2 were associated with shorter disease-free survival in patients with breast cancer in ER-negative, higher histologic grade, or higher nuclear grade breast cancers (43). In contrast, serum MMP-1 levels were significantly lower in patients with breast cancer than in healthy controls, and low serum MMP-1 levels were associated with shorter survival (35). These results suggest that circulating MMP can also be used as a prognostic factor for breast cancer. However, further validation of the clinical utility of circulating MMP levels is warranted.

# The roles of tumoral or stromal MMPs in breast cancer

The role of MMPs in breast cancer progression has been investigated based on their overexpression and prognostic significance. Some MMPs have been shown to promote tumor growth through the regulation of cell proliferation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, or metastasis, while some MMPs have been found to exert both pro-tumorigenic and anti-tumorigenic roles depending on the cell types in which they are expressed or the stages of the disease (Table 2, Figure 1). Furthermore, a few MMPs have exhibited antitumorigenic functions in breast cancer (Table 2, Figure 1).

#### Pro-tumorigenic MMPs

Consistent with the significant association of their high expression in tumor cells or stromal cells with poor clinical outcomes, MMP-1, -2, -7, -13, and -14 exhibited pro-tumorigenic functions in breast cancer.

Breast cancer is the second most common cancer that metastasizes to the brain after lung cancer, and among the breast cancer subtypes, TNBC and HER2-positive breast cancer have a higher risk of brain metastasis than other subtypes (96, 97). MMP-1 has been shown to play a role in breast cancer brain metastasis. Knockdown of MMP-1 expression in TNBC cells significantly inhibited breast cancer growth and brain metastasis in a xenograft model, indicating that tumoral MMP-1 promotes tumor growth and formation of brain metastasis in TNBC (66). Similarly, another study analyzing the clinical significance of MMPs in brain metastasis-free survival of breast cancer using a public gene expression database found that high MMP-1 gene expression is positively correlated with brain metastasis of breast cancer, and it also demonstrated that knockdown of MMP-1 expression in TNBC cells significantly blocked brain metastasis of breast cancer in vivo (30). This study further revealed that MMP-1 is highly expressed in brain metastatic breast cancer cells and can degrade key components of the bloodbrain barrier, suggesting that MMP-1 secreted from brain metastatic breast cancer cells enhances brain metastasis by increasing the permeability of the blood-brain barrier. Decreased MMP-1 expression in luminal A (MCF-7) and TNBC (MDA-MB-231) cells also significantly inhibited cell proliferation, migration, and invasion in vitro (67). A recent study revealed that exosomal MMP-1 secreted from TNBC cells increased their migration and invasion and further enhanced their metastatic potential in vivo (34). These results provide evidence that MMP-1 secreted from tumor cells has a tumorpromoting role in breast cancer; in particular, it promotes brain metastasis in TNBC. MMP-1 was also reported to be involved in tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer. Downregulation of MMP1 in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cells induced tamoxifen sensitivity in vitro and retarded tumor growth in vivo (98).

The tumor-promoting functions of tumoral and stromal MMP-2 have also been demonstrated. Tumoral MMP-2 enhanced tumor growth and metastasis in an orthotopic mouse model of breast cancer (68, 69). Notably, similar to MMP-1, these studies showed that tumoral MMP-2 promotes breast cancer metastasis to the brain. Moreover, high MMP-2 expression in tumor cells was correlated with an increased risk of bone metastasis in breast cancer patients, and MMP-2 overexpression promoted the migration and invasion of breast cancer cells (39). Another in vitro study showed that PAR2induced MMP-2 expression promotes the migration of human breast cancer cells through the p38 MAPK/MK2/HSP27 axis (70). On the other hand, host-derived MMP-2, which is mainly produced from stromal fibroblasts, was also shown to promote the outgrowth of mammary tumors in the lungs in vivo (71). These results suggest that both tumoral and stromal MMP-2 are involved in the promotion of breast cancer progression.

MMPs can activate other MMPs by converting their inactive form to active form (12). MMP-7 activates gelatinases, including MMP-2 and -9, thereby enhancing their proteolytic activity (99). MMTV-Neu

#### TABLE 2 The roles of tumoral or stromal MMPs in breast cancer.

MMP	Roles	Functions	References
MMP-1 (Interstitial	Tumoral MMP-1 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote tumor growth and brain/lung metastasis (in vivo)	(66)
collagenase)	Tumoral MMP-1 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote brain metastasis ( <i>in vivo</i> )	(30)
	Tumoral MMP-1 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote the proliferation, migration and invasion of breast cancer cells ( <i>in vitro</i> )	(67)
	Tumoral MMP-1→ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote the migration and invasion of breast cancer cells ( <i>in vitro</i> )	(34)
		Promote lung metastasis ( <i>in vivo</i> )	
MMP-2 (72 kDa gelatinase/type IV collagenase)	Tumoral MMP-2 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote the invasion of breast cancer cells ( <i>in vitro</i> ) Promote primary tumor growth and metastasis ( <i>in vivo</i> )	(68)
conagenace	Tumoral MMP-2 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote brain metastasis ( <i>in vivo</i> )	(69)
	Tumoral MMP-2 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote the migration and invasion of breast cancer cells ( <i>in vitro</i> )	(39)
	Tumoral MMP-2 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote the migration and invasion of breast cancer cells ( <i>in vitro</i> )	(70)
	Stromal (fibroblasts) MMP-2 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote lung metastasis outgrowth (in vivo)	(71)
MMP-3 (Stromelysin-1)	MMP-3 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote tumor formation (in vivo)	(72)
	MMP-3 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote tumor formation (in vivo)	(73)
	MMP-3 $\rightarrow$ Anti-tumorigenic	Suppress tumor formation (in vivo)	(74)
	Stromal MMP3 $\rightarrow$ Anti-tumorigenic	Suppress primary and lung metastatic tumor growth (in vivo)	(75)
MMP-7 (Matrilysin)	Tumoral MMP-7 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Enhance mammary gland proliferation and tumorigenesis (tumor development) ( <i>in vivo</i> )	(76)
	Tumoral MMP-7 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote tumor formation (in vivo)	(77)
MMP-8 (Neutrophil collagenase)	MMP-8→Anti-tumorigenic	Suppress tumorigenesis (tumor onset and growth) and lung metastasis ( <i>in vivo</i> )	(78)
MMP-9 (92 kDa type IV collagenase)	Tumoral MMP-9 (secreted MMP-9 from tumor cells) $\rightarrow$ Protumorigenic	Promote tumor growth and angiogenesis (in vivo)	(79)
	Tumoral MMP-9 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic (Pro-metastatic)	Promote the invasion of breast cancer cells ( <i>in vitro</i> ) Promote lung metastasis ( <i>in vivo</i> )	(80)
	MMP-9 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote tumor onset in C3 (1)-Tag model but no effect in MMTV-Neu model ( <i>in vivo</i> )	(81)
	Stromal MMP-9 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic (Pro-metastatic)	Promote lung metastasis in C57BL/6 background mice but no effect in FVB/N background mice ( <i>in vivo</i> )	(82)
	MMP-9→Anti-tumorigenic	Suppress tumor growth rate and angiogenesis (in vivo)	(83)
	MMP-9→Anti-tumorigenic	Suppress tumor growth rate and neoangiogenesis (in vivo)	(19)
MMP-11 (Stromelysin-	Tumoral MMP-11 →Pro-tumorigenic	Increase the survival of breast cancer cells (in vitro)	(84)
3)	Tumoral MMP-11 →Pro-tumorigenic	Enhance tumorigenesis (tumor onset and growth) ( <i>in vitro</i> and in vivo)	(85)
	Stromal MMP-11 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic for primary tumor growth but anti-tumorigenic for metastasis (Anti-metastatic)	Promote tumor formation and primary tumor growth but suppress metastasis ( <i>in vivo</i> )	(86)
	Stromal (macrophages) MMP-11 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote the migration of breast cancer cells, recruitment of monocyte and endothelial cell tube formation ( <i>in vitro</i> )	(57)
MMP-12 (Macrophage metalloelastase)	Tumoral MMP-12 $\rightarrow$ Anti-tumorigenic	Suppress angiogenesis and tumor growth (in vitro and in vivo)	(87)
MMP-13 (Collagenase-3)	Tumoral MMP-13 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote bone destruction in metastatic region (metastatic osteolytic lesions) ( <i>in vivo</i> )	(88)
	$MMP-13 \rightarrow Pro-tumorigenic$	Promote tumor-induced osteolysis (bone destruction) (in vivo)	(89)
			(Continued)

#### TABLE 2 Continued

MMP	Roles	Functions	References
MMP-14 (MT1-MMP)	Tumoral MMP-14 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic (Pro-metastatic)	Promote the invasion of breast cancer cells ( <i>in vitro</i> ) Promote lung metastasis ( <i>in vivo</i> )	(90)
	Tumoral MMP-14 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote invasion (in vivo)	(58)
	Tumoral MMP-14 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote local invasion and metastasis (in vivo)	(91)
	Tumoral MMP-14 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote the characteristics of tumor-initiating cells and tumorigenicity (tumor onset and growth) ( <i>in vitro and in vivo</i> )	(92)
	Stromal MMP-14 $\rightarrow$ Anti-tumorigenic for primary tumor growth but pro-tumorigenic for metastasis (Pro-metastatic)	Suppress primary tumor growth but promote lung metastasis ( <i>in vivo</i> )	(93)
	Stromal MMP-14 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote the migration of breast cancer cells (in vitro)	(94)
	Stromal (endothelial cells) MMP-14 $\rightarrow$ Pro-tumorigenic	Promote the adhesion of extracellular matrix and endothelial cell tube formation ( <i>in vitro</i> )	(95)

transgenic mice that express MMP-7 in the mammary epithelium exhibited enhanced mammary gland proliferation and tumorigenicity (76). Another study showed that MMP-7 promotes mammary epithelial cell tumorigenesis through the receptor tyrosine-protein kinase ErbB-4 (77), further supporting the hypothesis that MMP-7 contributes to early-stage mammary tumorigenesis.

MMP-13 is associated with breast cancer-induced osteolysis, suggesting that MMP-13 is a promising therapeutic target for breast cancer bone metastasis (88, 89). MMP-13 expression was found to be upregulated in bones of a metastatic TNBC mouse model (88) or at the tumor-bone interface from syngeneic mice injected with mammary tumor cell lines with metastatic potential (89). Furthermore, the knockdown of MMP-13 at the tumor-bone interface by treatment with MMP-13 antisense oligonucleotide significantly reduced bone destruction, indicating that MMP-13 contributes to breast cancer-induced osteolysis (89). In addition, co-culture of breast cancer cells and osteoblasts has revealed that MMP-13 expression can be induced in osteoblasts by soluble factors produced by breast cancer cell lines, suggesting the involvement of osteoblastic MMP-13 in bone metastasis of breast cancer (100).

Upregulation of MMP-14, a membrane-anchored MMP, is associated with an increased risk of metastasis in breast cancer (58, 90, 91). Downregulation of cancer cell-expressed MMP-14 did not affect primary growth but inhibited lung metastasis in an orthotopic mouse model of TNBC (90). In an intraductal xenograft model, MMP-14 was found to be required for cancer progression from carcinoma *in situ* to the invasive stage in basal-like breast cancer (58). Feinberg et al. demonstrated that tumor cell-derived MMP-14, but not stromal MMP-14, promotes local invasion and metastasis *in vivo*, supporting the pro-metastatic role of tumoral MMP-14 in breast



# Roles of MMPs in breast cancer development and progression. MMPs are expressed in various cell types including tumor cells and neighboring stromal cells, such as fibroblasts, immune cells, and endothelial cells comprising the tumor microenvironment. Tumoral or stromal MMPs play roles in multiple stages of breast cancer progression including primary tumor growth, angiogenesis, invasion, and metastasis. MMPs can exert pro-tumorigenic or anti-tumorigenic functions in breast cancer and the same MMP can exhibit opposing roles depending on stage of cancer progression.

cancer (91). The effect of MMP-14 on tumor initiation has also been reported. Reduced MMP-14 expression in tumor-initiating cells from a breast cancer mouse model decreased the characteristics of tumorinitiating cells, delayed tumor onset, and decreased tumor volume, indicating that tumoral MMP-14 promotes tumorigenicity in vitro and in vivo (92). In contrast, other studies have reported that stromal MMP-14 plays a role in breast cancer. MMP-14 was expressed mainly in the stroma of PyMT-induced tumors, and MMP-14-deficient MMTV-PyMT tumors displayed remarkably reduced lung metastasis compared to wild-type tumors, indicating that stromal MMP-14 is required for lung metastasis in breast cancer (93). Notably, this study revealed faster tumor growth in MMP-14deficient tumors than in wild-type tumors, indicating an inhibitory role of MMP-14 in primary tumor growth. These results suggested the opposing role of stromal MMP-14 depending on the stage of breast cancer progression. Soluble MMP-14 derived from bone marrowderived stromal cells promoted the migration of luminal A breast cancer cells by inducing endoglin (transforming growth factor-β [TGF- $\beta$ ] auxiliary receptor) shedding on the breast cancer cell surface, suggesting a pro-tumorigenic role of stromal MMP-14 (94). In particular, MMP-14 plays a crucial role in vessel maturation and angiogenesis associated with cancer progression (101, 102). Selective inhibition of MMP-14 using an anti-MMP-14 antibody impaired the migration, invasion, and tube formation of ECs in vitro, in part by blocking MMP-2 activation in ECs (103). MMP-14 in ECs regulated angiogenesis-related functions through the modulation of MMP-2 expression and activity, indicating a role of MMP-14 produced by ECs in angiogenesis (95). Similarly, a recent study demonstrated that loss of EC-derived MMP-14 inhibits melanoma growth and metastasis by regulating tumor vessel stability (104). These results show that both stromal and tumoral MMP-14 may contribute to tumor progression in breast cancer. However, the roles and functions of stromal MMP-14 in breast cancer remain unclear, and further studies are required to elucidate them.

#### MMPs with dual or opposing roles

Some MMPs, such as MMP-3, -9, and-11, have exhibited dual roles or conflicting data on their roles in breast cancer progression. Conflicting data regarding the role of MMP-3 in breast cancer have been reported. In some studies, MMP-3 has been reported to stimulate spontaneous tumor formation in the mammary glands of transgenic mice (72, 73), suggesting its tumor-promoting role in breast cancer. In contrast, another study showed that tumor formation was decreased in MMP-3-expressing transgenic mice (74). Moreover, in another study, loss of stromal MMP-3 was found to increase the tumor burden, suggesting that stromal MMP-3 plays a protective role during breast cancer development by inhibiting tumor growth (75).

MMP-9 has also been shown to exert both pro- and antitumorigenic roles in breast cancer. Some studies have demonstrated the pro-tumorigenic or pro-metastatic activity of MMP-9 *in vivo*, but its effect varied with different mouse models of breast cancer. An early study using xenograft models of luminal A MCF-7 breast cancer cells showed that secreted MMP-9 promotes tumor growth and angiogenesis (79). In another study using genetically engineered breast cancer mouse models, MMP-9 knockout delayed tumor onset in the basal-like TNBC (C3(1)-Tag) model but had no effect on tumorigenesis in the luminal MMTV-Neu model (81). This difference was related to the protein expression levels of insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1 (IGFBP-1), which is a MMP-9 substrate. While MMTV-Neu tumors had low levels of IGFBP-1 independent of MMP-9 status, IGFBP1-1 expression was increased in the MMP-9 knockout C3(1)-Tag model compared to the MMP-9 wild-type C3(1)-Tag model, suggesting that the tumor-promoting effect of MMP-9 is dependent on the status of its substrate, IGFBP-1. Tumor cell-produced MMP-9 was shown to promote metastasis in an orthotopic mouse model of basal-like TNBC (80). On the other hand, stromal MMP-9 was also shown to play a role in breast cancer, but the effect of stromal MMP-9 was dependent on the genetic background of the mouse stain. Inhibition of MMP-9 produced predominantly by inflammatory cells in the MMTV-PyVT mouse mammary tumor model reduced lung metastasis without affecting primary tumor growth; however, this effect was only observed in mice with a genetic background derived from C57BL/6, suggesting that the prometastatic role of host-derived stromal MMP-9 is dependent on genetic background (82). Taken together, these results illustrate that tumor cell-produced or stromal cell-derived MMP-9 can exert protumorigenic or pro-metastatic roles in breast cancer under certain circumstances.

Studies have also demonstrated the anti-tumorigenic activity of MMP-9 in breast cancer. Adenoviral gene transfer of MMP-9 in MCF-7 tumors in nude mice significantly reduced tumor growth and microvessel area, with increased levels of anti-angiogenic endostatin, indicating that MMP-9 can inhibit angiogenesis by the generation of anti-angiogenic factors (83). Another study also reported decreased tumor growth and angiogenesis by adenoviral gene transfer of MMP-9 in mouse models of human breast cancer (luminal MCF-7 tumors in nude mice and MMTV-PyMT tumors) (19). In particular, this study showed that MMP-9 promotes an anti-tumor immune response by inducing neutrophil infiltration and activating tumor-infiltrating macrophages, suggesting that the anti-tumor activity of MMP-9 is mediated by its modulation of the innate immune response.

MMP-11 has a dual role in breast cancer, depending on the stage of cancer. In a study using a MMTV-Ras transgenic mouse model of breast cancer, tumor formation was delayed and the number and tumor size of the primary tumor were lower, but a higher number of metastases were observed in MMP-11-deficient mice than in wildtype mice, indicating that MMP-11 promotes tumorigenesis in the early stages of breast cancer but inhibits the metastasis of tumor cells in the late stage of breast cancer (86). This study revealed that MMP-11 in the TME has different functions in breast cancer progression. Other studies have shown the tumor-promoting effects of tumoral MMP-11 in breast cancer. MMP-11 overexpression increased the survival of MCF-7 cells (84) and enhanced tumorigenicity in vitro (MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells) and in vivo (85). However, despite the obvious association of MMP-11 expression in MICs with poor clinical outcomes in patients with breast cancer, the role and molecular mechanisms of stromal MMP-11 in breast cancer remain unclear. Our recent study revealed for the first time that MMP-11 produced by macrophages enhanced the migration of HER2-positive breast cancer cells and recruitment of monocytes through C-C motif chemokine ligand 2 (CCL2)-CCR2 signaling, whereas MMP-11

overexpression in tumor cells did not promote the proliferation or migration of breast cancer cells (57). These results showed that stromal MMP-11 may play a tumor-promoting role in HER2positive breast cancer by interacting with breast cancer cells and other stromal cells. Importantly, some studies have reported a correlation between MMP gene expression and infiltration of various immune cells in breast cancer, suggesting the involvement of MMPs in the regulation of the TME or immune response (31, 52). In particular, Kim et al. (52) showed that high MMP-11 gene expression is significantly associated with low levels of immune cells, including CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, and B cells, and is related to low immune response. Furthermore, this study demonstrated the correlation of high MMP-11 expression with low infiltrating CD8<sup>+</sup> or CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells using immunohistochemical analysis, suggesting that the reduced anti-tumor immune response by MMP-11 contributes to the promotion of breast cancer progression. However, the mechanistic roles of MMP-11 in the regulation of the immune response in the TME are unclear, and further studies are required to elucidate the immune response-related role of MMP-11 in breast cancer progression.

#### Anti-tumorigenic MMPs

It is now evident that some MMPs, such as MMP-8, -12, -19, and -26 have protective roles in cancer progression (12, 105). In breast cancer, MMP-8 and -12 have exhibited anti-tumorigenic effects *in vivo*. Loss of MMP-8 in the MMTV-PyMT transgenic mouse model of human luminal breast cancer promoted tumor onset, growth, and lung metastasis (78), illustrating the suppressive roles of MMP-8 in tumor progression and metastasis in breast cancer. Tumor-derived MMP-12 inhibited angiogenesis *in vitro* and *in vivo* in breast cancer (87).

# The roles of tissue inhibitors of MMPs in breast cancer

The proteolytic function of MMPs is regulated by tissue inhibitors of MMPs (TIMPs) (106). The four mammalian TIMPs (TIMP-1, -2, -3, and -4) are endogenous secreted proteins to inhibit MMPs (107). Each of the TIMPs inhibits specific MMPs, and some MMPs such as MMP-2 and -9 interact with several TIMPs (108). Alterations in the expression of TIMPs have been identified in human cancers and their expression correlates with clinical outcome (107, 108). Given their anti-proteolytic function in ECM, TIMPs were initially thought to play protective roles in cancer progression (108). However, TIMPs have been found to be associated with poor prognosis and exert tumor-promoting functions in some human cancers (107, 108). In addition to interaction with MMPs, TIMPs can bind to other interaction partners such as cell surface receptors, and they have multiple biological functions including cell proliferation, apoptosis, migration, invasion and angiogenesis by MMP-dependent or -independent mechanisms (106, 107, 109).

In breast cancer, TIMP-1 expression in primary tumor tissues is an independent poor prognostic factor (32, 110). Moreover, studies have demonstrated that breast cancer patients with high levels of serum TIMP-1 have a significantly shorter survival (51, 111). Consistent with its prognostic significance, TIMP-1 overexpression was shown to promote MDA-MB-231 tumor growth in SCID mice (112). TIMP-1/CD63 signaling enhanced cell motility through the induction of epithelial-mesenchymal transition phenotypes in human breast epithelial cells (113). TIMP-1 knockdown in TNBC cells induced cell cycle arrest, and Akt signaling pathway was associated with the regulation of cyclin D1 expression by TIMP-1, indicating that TIMP-1 increases TNBC cell proliferation by Akt activation (114). This study further showed that blocking TIMP-1 activity using neutralizing antibody inhibits TNBC cell growth in vivo. CAF-derived TIMP-1 was involved in the migration and growth of breast cancer cells though TIMP-1/CD63/ITGB1/STAT3 feedback loop (115). Regarding the prognostic value and role of TIMP-2 and -3 in breast cancer, conflicting results have been reported. High tissue expression levels of TIMP-2 were significantly associated with favorable clinical outcome (116). Several studies have demonstrated tumor suppressive role of TIMP-2 in breast cancer. TIMP-2 adenoviral delivery inhibited tumor growth, angiogenesis and metastasis in MDA-MB-231 breast tumor xenografts (117). In another study, TIMP-2 overexpression delayed growth and angiogenesis of mammary carcinoma in vivo in association with downregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression, indicating that reduced VEGF expression plays a role in anti-tumorigenic effects of TIMP-2 (118). A recent study also revealed inhibitory effects of TIMP-2 on tumor growth and metastasis in murine model of TNBC (119). Conversely, the correlation of high TIMP-2 levels with poor prognosis in breast cancer has also been reported (32, 120, 121). Tumor cell-derived TIMP-2 was shown to induce endothelial dysfunction and promote transmigration of breast cancer cells across vascular endothelial monolayers through the activation of endothelial MMP-2 in the presence of active MMP-14, suggesting the role of TIMP-2/MMP-14/MMP-2 pathway during metastasis (122). As for TIMP-3, stromal TIMP-3 expression is a poor prognostic factor in breast cancer (32), while high TIMP-3 mRNA levels are associated with favorable prognosis (123). TIMP-3 overexpression inhibited cell growth and induced apoptosis in breast cancer cells in vitro (124). In contrast, Timp3 loss suppressed tumorigenesis in mouse models of human breast cancer, suggesting the tumor-promoting role of TIMP-3 in vivo (125-127). TIMP-4 expression correlated with poor clinical outcome in early breast cancer (126). Treatment with recombinant TIMP-4 significantly stimulated the growth of MDA-MB-231 cells (127). Further studies to elucidate the mechanistic roles and functions of TIMPs in breast cancer are warranted.

# Therapeutic targeting of MMPs in breast cancer

Several MMP inhibitors have been developed and tested for various cancers based on their prognostic significance and promising preclinical data (16, 27). Early clinical trials with broadspectrum MMP inhibitors (such as batimastat and marimastat) were unsuccessful because of poor bioavailability, lack of survival benefits, and toxicity (16, 27). The main reasons for these failures have been attributed, in part, to a poor understanding of the roles of MMPs, lack of drug specificity, and inappropriate clinical trial design (11, 12, 16). As some MMPs have protective roles in cancer progression, the negative results of early clinical trials with broad-spectrum of MMP inhibitors were thought to be related to the effects of broad-spectrum MMP inhibitors on anti-tumorigenic MMPs (12, 16). Given that MMP inhibitors are likely to be effective in the early or pre-metastatic stages based on their preclinical data, the design of previous clinical trials of MMP inhibitors tested in patients with advanced or metastatic cancer may be inappropriate (16). Moreover, MMP inhibitor-related toxicities such as musculoskeletal toxicity are assumed to be related to the poor specificity of MMP inhibitors (11, 16). Therefore, substantial efforts have been made to develop more specific MMP inhibitors. However, clinical trials for small molecule-based specific MMP inhibitors (such as tanomastat, prinomastat, and rebimastat), which still target multiple MMPs, have also been halted or canceled owing to negative results (16). Since then, attempts have been made to develop highly specific MMP inhibitors that target a single MMP using novel approaches. In a phase III trial of the broad-spectrum MMP inhibitor marimastat in metastatic breast cancer, marimastat did not prolong progression-free survival (128). Phase II small pilot trials of adjuvant marimastat and rebimastat in early-stage breast cancer were performed; however, further clinical trials with these MMP inhibitors in adjuvant settings were not feasible due to failure of chronic administration to reach the target ranges of plasma concentrations and toxicity (129, 130).

Several novel approaches have been attempted for the development of highly selective MMP inhibitors. The non-catalytic domains of MMPs have been targeted. MMPs are composed of various domains, including a signal peptide, propeptide, catalytic domain that binds a Zn residue, and hemopexin (PEX) C-terminal domain, while the domain architecture differs depending on the type of MMP (11, 24). As active sites in the catalytic domain of MMPs are highly conserved (11, 12, 24), MMP inhibitors that target the catalytic domains have the potential to repress multiple MMPs rather than specific MMPs. In contrast, the non-catalytic domains of MMPs, such as the PEX domain, vary between MMPs, and targeting these domains is likely to improve the specificity of MMP inhibitors. In addition, MMPs have been shown to play roles in cancer progression and metastasis through their non-catalytic functions, independent of their proteolytic activity (14, 15). Therefore, targeting the non-catalytic domain of MMPs is a promising approach to develop specific MMP inhibitors. For example, given the pro-tumorigenic role of the PEX domain of MMP-14 through homodimerization or interactions with other molecules, a novel small molecule that specifically inhibits the PEX domain of MMP-14 was identified, which was shown to suppress tumor growth in a xenograft mouse model of breast cancer (131).

Metastatic breast cancer is a major cause of death from breast cancer, and targeting MMPs involved in breast cancer metastasis has also been considered. Breast cancer frequently metastasizes to several organs, including bone, lung, brain, and liver; with bone being the most common metastatic site of breast cancer (97). Accordingly, attempts have been made to develop selective MMP inhibitors that are effective against bone metastatic breast cancer. A MMP-13 selective inhibitor (Cmpd-1), which was identified from a panel of small molecule pyrimidinetrione-based inhibitors (132), was shown to inhibit osteolytic damage and reduce primary tumor growth in a human breast cancer xenograft model of TNBC using MDA-MB-231 cells, suggesting that MMP-13 selective inhibitors reduce tumorinduced bone osteolysis (133). Moreover, bisphosphonic-based MMP-2 inhibitors specifically targeting bones also demonstrated inhibitory effects on tumor growth and tumor-associated bone destruction in bone metastatic mouse models of breast cancer (134)

Currently, MMP inhibitory monoclonal antibodies are considered promising MMP-targeting therapies because they have higher target selectivity and better pharmacokinetic profiles than small-molecule agents (12, 135). Inhibitory monoclonal antibodies targeting a single MMP, mainly MMP-9 or -14, have been developed and demonstrated anti-tumor activity in preclinical models of breast cancer. A monoclonal antibody against murine MMP-9 (AB0046) decreased primary growth in an orthotopic model of HER2-driven breast cancer (HC11-NeuT) in immunocompetent mice (136). This study also showed that combined treatment with an anti-MMP-9 antibody and immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI) targeting programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1), improved anti-tumor immune response to anti-PD-L1 by increasing the levels of T-helper cell 1 type cytokines and infiltration of effector/memory T cells into tumors. This suggested that MMP-9 promotes anti-tumor T cell response. In contrast, in the MMTV-PyMT luminal B breast cancer model, blocking active MMP-9 with a monoclonal antibody suppressed lung metastasis without affecting primary tumor growth (137). As MMP-14 is a membrane-type MMP, and active MMP-14 is located on the cell surface, antibody-mediated therapy can block the function of MMP-14 in cancer. An anti-MMP-14 inhibitory antibody (DX-2400) identified using a human Fab displaying phage library demonstrated inhibitory effect on primary tumor growth and incidence of metastasis to the lung and liver in a MDA-MB-231 orthotopic model (103). Based on the anti-angiogenic activity of DX-2400, this study also evaluated the combination of DX-2400 and bevacizumab (an antibody against VEGF) and found that it retarded tumor growth to a greater extent than treatment with either agent individually in the MDA-MB-231 xenograft model, suggesting a promising approach of anti-angiogenic agents in combination with MMP-14 inhibitor for synergistic anti-tumor effects in breast cancer. DX-2400 was further validated to reduce primary tumor growth in orthotopic murine breast cancer models and was found to improve the response to radiotherapy in MMP-14-high-expressing 4T1 tumors (138). Importantly, this study revealed that tumor growth suppression by MMP-14 blockade was associated with reduced immunosuppressive TGF- $\beta$  and the shift of macrophages to antitumor M1 phenotype, while improved vascular function and tissue oxygenation by MMP inhibition-induced increase in tumor inducible nitric oxide synthase led to increased radiation response in MMP-14high-expressing tumors. Given that dysregulation of ECM genes linked to the activation of immunosuppressive TGF- $\beta$  signaling in CAFs predicts a failure to PD-1 blockade (139), MMP-14 inhibitory antibody inhibiting TGF- $\beta$  signaling is likely to improve the response to ICI. Another MMP-14-selective antibody (Fab 3A2) was also identified by screening human Fab fragment libraries carrying long convex-shaped paratopes to overcome limitations in physical access of the antibody to the active region of MMP-14, which is concaveshaped. Fab 3A2 was found to inhibit protease activity of the MMP-14 by accessing the convex pocket of MMP-14 (140). Moreover, a

recombinant human IgG specific to MMP-14 (IgG 3A2) demonstrated inhibitory effects on the growth of the primary tumor and lung metastasis in the 4T1 highly metastatic, syngeneic, orthotopic model of breast cancer (141). MMP-14-specific Fabs were also identified using phage-displayed synthetic humanized Fab library against the extracellular domain of MMP-14, and among them, Fab 3369, which inhibits the catalytic domain of MMP-14, demonstrated anti-tumor activities in vitro and in vivo (142). Fab3369 significantly decreased the invasion of TNBC cells in vitro and suppressed tumor growth and metastasis in TNBC mouse models (mammary orthotopic tumor xenografts and syngeneic 4T1 mammary tumors). Importantly, its in vivo anti-tumor effect was associated with the disruption of immunosuppressive TME, including limiting tumor neoangiogenesis and hypoxia, suggesting that MMP-14 inhibitory antibody suppresses tumor progression and metastasis through its effect on TME in TNBC. Importantly, these promising preclinical data of MMP-9 and -14 inhibitory monoclonal antibodies in HER2-positive breast cancer or TNBC mouse models showed that the anti-tumor effects of MMP inhibitory antibodies are associated with disruption of the immunosuppressive TME, indicating that the use of MMP inhibitors in combination with immunotherapy could improve the efficacy of immunotherapy in breast cancer.

The expression and activity of MMPs are regulated by several mechanisms, including TIMPs (107, 108), noncoding RNAs (143, 144), cell signaling pathways (145-147) or E3 ubiquitin ligases (148) in cancer. MMP inhibitors using TIMPs or E3 ubiquitin ligases are emerging as alternative strategies to develop highly specific MMP inhibitors. A recently developed platform identified soluble TIMP-1 variants that are highly selective for the inhibition of MMP-3 over MMP-10, which is the MMP most similar to MMP-3 in sequence, structure, and function, indicating that this platform and screening strategy can be used to develop selective MMP inhibitors (149). Knockdown of the E3 ligase WSB-1 inhibited the metastatic potential of hormone receptor-negative breast cancer in vitro and in vivo in association with decreased MMP activity, suggesting that the activity of pro-tumorigenic MMPs can be regulated by E3 ligase (150). In addition, several microRNAs (miRNAs) have been reported to be involved in cancer progression and metastasis through MMP regulation (144). In breast cancer, miR-106b (39) and miR-429 (151) have been shown to play a role in bone metastasis of breast cancer by regulating MMP-2 and -9, respectively. Another study revealed that miR-509 suppressed the invasion and trans-endothelial migration of brain metastatic TNBC cells by inhibiting Rho-mediated MMP-9 expression, and high MMP-9 gene expression is significantly associated with shorter brain-metastasis-free survival in breast cancer patients, indicating that miR-509/Rho/MMP-9 axis is a potential target of brain metastasis of breast cancer (46). These results suggest that targeting miRNAs that regulate MMP expression is a potential avenue to target MMP activity for breast cancer therapy. MMPs have also been shown to be regulated by upstream signaling pathways in breast cancer. For example, upregulation of MMP-14 was blocked by upstream PI3K-AKT dependent  $\beta$ -catenin accumulation, thereby inhibiting the invasion and migration of breast cancer cells (152). Our recent study also showed that CCL2 produced by MMP-11-overexpressing macrophages activates the MAPK pathway through its receptor CCR2 in breast cancer cells, thereby enhancing the migration of HER2-positive breast cancer cells by increasing the expression of MMP-9, illustrating the regulation of MMP-9 by the MAPK pathway (57).

## Conclusions

The failures of early clinical trials evaluating broad-spectrum MMP inhibitors as anti-cancer agents revealed that MMPs can be both drug targets and antitargets depending on the cell type in which they are expressed or the stage of cancer. Despite the disappointing results for early broad-spectrum MMP inhibitors and the antitumorigenic roles of some MMPs, selective MMP inhibitors that have been developed recently are still promising strategies for cancer treatment. MMP inhibitory monoclonal antibodies targeting MMP-9 or -14 demonstrated promising anti-tumor activities in preclinical models of breast cancer and may exhibit clinical benefits in cancer patients without significant toxicity in clinical trials. Moreover, their anti-tumor effects were shown to be associated with the modulation of the tumor immune microenvironment, suggesting that a selective MMP inhibitor in combination with immunotherapy could enhance patients' response to immunotherapy. However, several challenges remain to be resolved. These include: 1) Clinical trials for MMP inhibitors should be appropriately designed considering the stages of cancer in which MMPs are involved. Based on the pro-tumorigenic roles of MMPs in the pre-metastatic stages of cancer, MMP inhibitors are likely to be clinically beneficial in early-stage cancer. 2) Given the broad expression of multiple MMPs in various cell types, including tumor cells, fibroblasts, ECs, and immune cells, local targeted delivery of MMP inhibitors to specific sites may be required. 3) The identification and validation of reliable biomarkers for predicting the efficacy or toxicity of MMP inhibitors are also necessary to accelerate the progress of drug development. 4) Despite the known prognostic significance of tumoral or stromal MMPs in breast cancer, their cell type-specific or stage-specific roles in breast cancer progression and metastasis are not fully understood. Thus, further studies to clarify the mechanistic roles of tumoral or stromal MMPs during cancer progression are required to develop novel MMP inhibitors for breast cancer treatment.

## Author contributions

MK wrote, reviewed and edited the manuscript.

## Funding

This research was supported by National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grants funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (NRF-2020R1A5A2017323 and NRF-2022R1A2C1007293).

## Conflict of interest

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

## References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* (2021) 71(3):209–49. doi: 10.3322/ caac.21660

2. Cardoso F, Paluch-Shimon S, Senkus E, Curigliano G, Aapro MS, Andre F, et al. 5th ESO-ESMO international consensus guidelines for advanced breast cancer (ABC 5). *Ann Oncol* (2020) 31(12):1623–49. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2020.09.010

3. Burstein HJ, Curigliano G, Thurlimann B, Weber WP, Poortmans P, Regan MM, et al. Customizing local and systemic therapies for women with early breast cancer: the st. gallen international consensus guidelines for treatment of early breast cancer 2021. *Ann Oncol* (2021) 32(10):1216–35. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2021.06.023

4. Kwon MJ. Predictive biomarkers for molecularly targeted therapies and immunotherapies in breast cancer. *Arch Pharm Res* (2022) 45(9):597-617. doi: 10.1007/s12272-022-01402-5

5. Cheng L, Swartz MD, Zhao H, Kapadia AS, Lai D, Rowan PJ, et al. Hazard of recurrence among women after primary breast cancer treatment-a 10-year follow-up using data from SEER-Medicare. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* (2012) 21(5):800–9. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-1089

6. Pan H, Gray R, Braybrooke J, Davies C, Taylor C, McGale P, et al. 20-year risks of breast-cancer recurrence after stopping endocrine therapy at 5 years. *N Engl J Med* (2017) 377(19):1836–46. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1701830

7. Liang Y, Zhang H, Song X, Yang Q. Metastatic heterogeneity of breast cancer: Molecular mechanism and potential therapeutic targets. *Semin Cancer Biol* (2020) 60:14–27. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.08.012

8. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell (2011) 144(5):646-74. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013

9. Hanahan D, Coussens LM. Accessories to the crime: functions of cells recruited to the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Cell* (2012) 21(3):309–22. doi: 10.1016/j.ccr.2012.02.022

10. Roy R, Yang J, Moses MA. Matrix metalloproteinases as novel biomarkers and potential therapeutic targets in human cancer. *J Clin Oncol* (2009) 27(31):5287–97. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2009.23.5556

11. de Almeida LGN, Thode H, Eslambolchi Y, Chopra S, Young D, Gill S, et al. Matrix metalloproteinases: From molecular mechanisms to physiology, pathophysiology, and pharmacology. *Pharmacol Rev* (2022) 74(3):712–68. doi: 10.1124/pharmrev.121.000349

12. Conlon GA, Murray GI. Recent advances in understanding the roles of matrix metalloproteinases in tumour invasion and metastasis. *J Pathol* (2019) 247(5):629–40. doi: 10.1002/path.5225

13. Ma B, Ran R, Liao HY, Zhang HH. The paradoxical role of matrix metalloproteinase-11 in cancer. *BioMed Pharmacother*. (2021) 141:111899. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111899

14. Kessenbrock K, Plaks V, Werb Z. Matrix metalloproteinases: regulators of the tumor microenvironment. *Cell* (2010) 141(1):52–67. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.03.015

15. Radisky ES, Radisky DC. Matrix metalloproteinases as breast cancer drivers and therapeutic targets. Front Biosci (Landmark Ed). (2015) 20(7):1144–63. doi: 10.2741/4364

16. Winer A, Adams S, Mignatti P. Matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors in cancer therapy: Turning past failures into future successes. *Mol Cancer Ther* (2018) 17(6):1147–55. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-17-0646

17. Martin MD, Matrisian LM. The other side of MMPs: protective roles in tumor progression. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* (2007) 26(3-4):717-24. doi: 10.1007/s10555-007-9089-4

18. Dufour A, Overall CM. Missing the target: matrix metalloproteinase antitargets in inflammation and cancer. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* (2013) 34(4):233–42. doi: 10.1016/ j.tips.2013.02.004

19. Leifler KS, Svensson S, Abrahamsson A, Bendrik C, Robertson J, Gauldie J, et al. Inflammation induced by MMP-9 enhances tumor regression of experimental breast cancer. J Immunol (2013) 190(8):4420–30. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1202610

20. Muniz-Bongers LR, McClain CB, Saxena M, Bongers G, Merad M, Bhardwaj N. MMP2 and TLRs modulate immune responses in the tumor microenvironment. *JCI Insight* (2021) 6(12):e144913. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.144913

21. Boire A, Covic L, Agarwal A, Jacques S, Sherifi S, Kuliopulos A. PAR1 is a matrix metalloprotease-1 receptor that promotes invasion and tumorigenesis of breast cancer cells. *Cell* (2005) 120(3):303–13. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2004.12.018

22. Dean RA, Cox JH, Bellac CL, Doucet A, Starr AE, Overall CM. Macrophagespecific metalloelastase (MMP-12) truncates and inactivates ELR+ CXC chemokines and generates CCL2, -7, -8, and -13 antagonists: potential role of the macrophage in organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

terminating polymorphonuclear leukocyte influx. Blood (2008) 112(8):3455-64. doi: 10.1182/blood-2007-12-129080

23. Bassiouni W, Ali MAM, Schulz R. Multifunctional intracellular matrix metalloproteinases: implications in disease. FEBS J (2021) 288(24):7162–82. doi: 10.1111/febs.15701

24. Radisky ES, Raeeszadeh-Sarmazdeh M, Radisky DC. Therapeutic potential of matrix metalloproteinase inhibition in breast cancer. *J Cell Biochem* (2017) 118(11):3531–48. doi: 10.1002/jcb.26185

25. Eiro N, Gonzalez LO, Fraile M, Cid S, Schneider J, Vizoso FJ. Breast cancer tumor stroma: Cellular components, phenotypic heterogeneity, intercellular communication, prognostic implications and therapeutic opportunities. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2019) 11(5):664. doi: 10.3390/cancers11050664

26. Preshaw PM, Hefti AF, Novak MJ, Michalowicz BS, Pihlstrom BL, Schoor R, et al. Subantimicrobial dose doxycycline enhances the efficacy of scaling and root planing in chronic periodontitis: a multicenter trial. *J Periodontol* (2004) 75(8):1068–76. doi: 10.1902/jop.2004.75.8.1068

27. Tauro M, McGuire J, Lynch CC. New approaches to selectively target cancerassociated matrix metalloproteinase activity. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* (2014) 33(4):1043–57. doi: 10.1007/s10555-014-9530-4

28. Fields GB. The rebirth of matrix metalloproteinase inhibitors: Moving beyond the dogma. Cells (2019) 8(9):984. doi: 10.3390/cells8090984

29. McGowan PM, Duffy MJ. Matrix metalloproteinase expression and outcome in patients with breast cancer: analysis of a published database. *Ann Oncol* (2008) 19 (9):1566–72. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdn180

30. Wu K, Fukuda K, Xing F, Zhang Y, Sharma S, Liu Y, et al. Roles of the cyclooxygenase 2 matrix metalloproteinase 1 pathway in brain metastasis of breast cancer. J Biol Chem (2015) 290(15):9842–54. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114.602185

31. Cheng T, Chen P, Chen J, Deng Y, Huang C. Landscape analysis of matrix metalloproteinases unveils key prognostic markers for patients with breast cancer. *Front Genet* (2021) 12:809600. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2021.809600

32. Vizoso FJ, Gonzalez LO, Corte MD, Rodriguez JC, Vazquez J, Lamelas ML, et al. Study of matrix metalloproteinases and their inhibitors in breast cancer. *Br J Cancer.* (2007) 96(6):903–11. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6603666

33. Bostrom P, Soderstrom M, Vahlberg T, Soderstrom KO, Roberts PJ, Carpen O, et al. MMP-1 expression has an independent prognostic value in breast cancer. *BMC Cancer*. (2011) 11:348. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-11-348

34. Zhu Y, Tao Z, Chen Y, Lin S, Zhu M, Ji W, et al. Exosomal MMP-1 transfers metastasis potential in triple-negative breast cancer through PAR1-mediated EMT. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2022) 193(1):65–81. doi: 10.1007/s10549-022-06514-6

35. Kulic A, Dedic Plavetic N, Vrbanec J, Sirotkovic-Skerlev M. Low serum MMP-1 in breast cancer: a negative prognostic factor? *Biomarkers* (2012) 17(5):416–21. doi: 10.3109/1354750X.2012.678885

36. Talvensaari-Mattila A, Paakko P, Turpeenniemi-Hujanen T. Matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2) is associated with survival in breast carcinoma. *Br J Cancer.* (2003) 89(7):1270-5. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6601238

37. Li HC, Cao DC, Liu Y, Hou YF, Wu J, Lu JS, et al. Prognostic value of matrix metalloproteinases (MMP-2 and MMP-9) in patients with lymph node-negative breast carcinoma. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2004) 88(1):75–85. doi: 10.1007/s10549-004-1200-8

38. Zhang B, Cao X, Liu Y, Cao W, Zhang F, Zhang S, et al. Tumor-derived matrix metalloproteinase-13 (MMP-13) correlates with poor prognoses of invasive breast cancer. *BMC Cancer.* (2008) 8:83. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-8-83

39. Ni X, Xia T, Zhao Y, Zhou W, Wu N, Liu X, et al. Downregulation of miR-106b induced breast cancer cell invasion and motility in association with overexpression of matrix metalloproteinase 2. *Cancer Sci* (2014) 105(1):18–25. doi: 10.1111/cas.12309

40. Min KW, Kim DH, Do SI, Kim K, Lee HJ, Chae SW, et al. Expression patterns of stromal MMP-2 and tumoural MMP-2 and -9 are significant prognostic factors in invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast. *APMIS* (2014) 122(12):1196–206. doi: 10.1111/ apm.12285

41. Jiang H, Li H. Prognostic values of tumoral MMP2 and MMP9 overexpression in breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer*. (2021) 21(1):149. doi: 10.1186/s12885-021-07860-2

42. Leppa S, Saarto T, Vehmanen L, Blomqvist C, Elomaa I. A high serum matrix metalloproteinase-2 level is associated with an adverse prognosis in node-positive breast carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* (2004) 10(3):1057–63. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-03-0047

43. Song N, Sung H, Choi JY, Han S, Jeon S, Song M, et al. Preoperative serum levels of matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2) and survival of breast cancer among Korean

women. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev (2012) 21(8):1371-80. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-0293

44. Gutierrez-Fernandez A, Fueyo A, Folgueras AR, Garabaya C, Pennington CJ, Pilgrim S, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase-8 functions as a metastasis suppressor through modulation of tumor cell adhesion and invasion. *Cancer Res* (2008) 68(8):2755–63. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-07-5154

45. Roy DM, Walsh LA. Candidate prognostic markers in breast cancer: focus on extracellular proteases and their inhibitors. *Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press).* (2014) 6:81–91. doi: 10.2147/BCTT.S46020

46. Xing F, Sharma S, Liu Y, Mo YY, Wu K, Zhang YY, et al. miR-509 suppresses brain metastasis of breast cancer cells by modulating RhoC and TNF-alpha. *Oncogene* (2015) 34 (37):4890–900. doi: 10.1038/onc.2014.412

47. Pellikainen JM, Ropponen KM, Kataja VV, Kellokoski JK, Eskelinen MJ, Kosma VM. Expression of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-2 and MMP-9 in breast cancer with a special reference to activator protein-2, HER2, and prognosis. *Clin Cancer Res* (2004) 10 (22):7621–8. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-1061

48. Mylona E, Nomikos A, Magkou C, Kamberou M, Papassideri I, Keramopoulos A, et al. The clinicopathological and prognostic significance of membrane type 1 matrix metalloproteinase (MT1-MMP) and MMP-9 according to their localization in invasive breast carcinoma. *Histopathology* (2007) 50(3):338–47. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2559. 2007.02615.x

49. Cid S, Eiro N, Fernandez B, Sanchez R, Andicoechea A, Fernandez-Muniz PI, et al. Prognostic influence of tumor stroma on breast cancer subtypes. *Clin Breast Cancer.* (2018) 18(1):e123–e33. doi: 10.1016/j.clbc.2017.08.008

50. Joseph C, Alsaleem M, Orah N, Narasimha PL, Miligy IM, Kurozumi S, et al. Elevated MMP9 expression in breast cancer is a predictor of shorter patient survival. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2020) 182(2):267–82. doi: 10.1007/s10549-020-05670-x

51. Wu ZS, Wu Q, Yang JH, Wang HQ, Ding XD, Yang F, et al. Prognostic significance of MMP-9 and TIMP-1 serum and tissue expression in breast cancer. *Int J Cancer*. (2008) 122(9):2050–6. doi: 10.1002/ijc.23337

52. Kim HS, Kim MG, Min KW, Jung US, Kim DH. High MMP-11 expression associated with low CD8+ T cells decreases the survival rate in patients with breast cancer. *PloS One* (2021) 16(5):e0252052. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0252052

53. Han J, Choi YL, Kim H, Choi JY, Lee SK, Lee JE, et al. MMP11 and CD2 as novel prognostic factors in hormone receptor-negative, HER2-positive breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2017) 164(1):41–56. doi: 10.1007/s10549-017-4234-4

54. Min KW, Kim DH, Do SI, Pyo JS, Kim K, Chae SW, et al. Diagnostic and prognostic relevance of MMP-11 expression in the stromal fibroblast-like cells adjacent to invasive ductal carcinoma of the breast. *Ann Surg Oncol* (2013) 20 Suppl 3:S433–42. doi: 10.1245/s10434-012-2734-3

55. Cid S, Eiro N, Gonzalez LO, Beridze N, Vazquez J, Vizoso FJ. Expression and clinical significance of metalloproteases and their inhibitors by endothelial cells from invasive breast carcinomas. *Clin Breast Cancer.* (2016) 16(4):e83–91. doi: 10.1016/j.clbc.2016.05.007

56. Eiro N, Cid S, Fernandez B, Fraile M, Cernea A, Sanchez R, et al. MMP11 expression in intratumoral inflammatory cells in breast cancer. *Histopathology* (2019) 75 (6):916–30. doi: 10.1111/his.13956

57. Kang SU, Cho SY, Jeong H, Han J, Chae HY, Yang H, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase 11 (MMP11) in macrophages promotes the migration of HER2-positive breast cancer cells and monocyte recruitment through CCL2-CCR2 signaling. *Lab Invest.* (2022) 102(4):376–90. doi: 10.1038/s41374-021-00699-y

58. Lodillinsky C, Infante E, Guichard A, Chaligne R, Fuhrmann L, Cyrta J, et al. p63/ MT1-MMP axis is required for *in situ* to invasive transition in basal-like breast cancer. *Oncogene* (2016) 35(3):344–57. doi: 10.1038/onc.2015.87

59. Fernandez-Guinea O, Alvarez-Cofino A, Eiro N, Gonzalez LO, del Casar JM, Fernandez-Garcia B, et al. Low microvascular density at the tumor center is related to the expression of metalloproteases and their inhibitors and with the occurrence of distant metastasis in breast carcinomas. *Int J Clin Oncol* (2013) 18(4):629–40. doi: 10.1007/s10147-012-0428-2

60. Savinov AY, Remacle AG, Golubkov VS, Krajewska M, Kennedy S, Duffy MJ, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase 26 proteolysis of the NH2-terminal domain of the estrogen receptor beta correlates with the survival of breast cancer patients. *Cancer Res* (2006) 66 (5):2716–24. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-3592

61. van 't Veer LJ, Dai H, van de Vijver MJ, He YD, Hart AA, Mao M, et al. Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer. *Nature* (2002) 415 (6871):530-6. doi: 10.1038/415530a

62. Paik S, Shak S, Tang G, Kim C, Baker J, Cronin M, et al. A multigene assay to predict recurrence of tamoxifen-treated, node-negative breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* (2004) 351(27):2817–26. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa041588

63. Parker JS, Mullins M, Cheang MC, Leung S, Voduc D, Vickery T, et al. Supervised risk predictor of breast cancer based on intrinsic subtypes. *J Clin Oncol* (2009) 27 (8):1160–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2008.18.1370

64. Dowsett M, Sestak I, Lopez-Knowles E, Sidhu K, Dunbier AK, Cowens JW, et al. Comparison of PAM50 risk of recurrence score with oncotype DX and IHC4 for predicting risk of distant recurrence after endocrine therapy. *J Clin Oncol* (2013) 31 (22):2783–90. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2012.46.1558

65. Zhao S, Ma W, Zhang M, Tang D, Shi Q, Xu S, et al. High expression of CD147 and MMP-9 is correlated with poor prognosis of triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) patients. *Med Oncol* (2013) 30(1):335. doi: 10.1007/s12032-012-0335-4

66. Liu H, Kato Y, Erzinger SA, Kiriakova GM, Qian Y, Palmieri D, et al. The role of MMP-1 in breast cancer growth and metastasis to the brain in a xenograft model. *BMC Cancer*. (2012) 12:583. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-12-583

67. Wang QM, Lv L, Tang Y, Zhang L, Wang LF. MMP-1 is overexpressed in triplenegative breast cancer tissues and the knockdown of MMP-1 expression inhibits tumor cell malignant behaviors in vitro. *Oncol Lett* (2019) 17(2):1732–40. doi: 10.3892/ ol.2018.9779

68. Tester AM, Waltham M, Oh SJ, Bae SN, Bills MM, Walker EC, et al. Pro-matrix metalloproteinase-2 transfection increases orthotopic primary growth and experimental metastasis of MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells in nude mice. *Cancer Res* (2004) 64(2):652–8. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-0384-2

69. Mendes O, Kim HT, Lungu G, Stoica G. MMP2 role in breast cancer brain metastasis development and its regulation by TIMP2 and ERK1/2. *Clin Exp Metastasis.* (2007) 24(5):341–51. doi: 10.1007/s10585-007-9071-0

70. Das K, Prasad R, Ansari SA, Roy A, Mukherjee A, Sen P. Matrix metalloproteinase-2: A key regulator in coagulation proteases mediated human breast cancer progression through autocrine signaling. *BioMed Pharmacother*. (2018) 105:395–406. doi: 10.1016/ j.biopha.2018.05.155

71. Bates AL, Pickup MW, Hallett MA, Dozier EA, Thomas S, Fingleton B. Stromal matrix metalloproteinase 2 regulates collagen expression and promotes the outgrowth of experimental metastases. *J Pathol* (2015) 235(5):773–83. doi: 10.1002/path.4493

72. Sympson CJ, Bissell MJ, Werb Z. Mammary gland tumor formation in transgenic mice overexpressing stromelysin-1. *Semin Cancer Biol* (1995) 6(3):159–63. doi: 10.1006/ scbi.1995.0022

73. Sternlicht MD, Lochter A, Sympson CJ, Huey B, Rougier JP, Gray JW, et al. The stromal proteinase MMP3/stromelysin-1 promotes mammary carcinogenesis. *Cell* (1999) 98(2):137–46. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81009-0

74. Witty JP, Lempka T, Coffey RJJr., Matrisian LM. Decreased tumor formation in 7,12-dimethylbenzanthracene-treated stromelysin-1 transgenic mice is associated with alterations in mammary epithelial cell apoptosis. *Cancer Res* (1995) 55(7):1401–6.

75. Vergara MCM, Hartland E, Jang C, McGarel M, Moreno RRK, W. Littlepage LE. Abstract 5078: Stromal MMP3 inhibits oncogenic potential during breast cancer progression. *Cancer Res* (2018) 78(13\_Suppl):5078. doi: 10.1158/1538-7445.AM2018-5078

76. Rudolph-Owen LA, Chan R, Muller WJ, Matrisian LM. The matrix metalloproteinase matrilysin influences early-stage mammary tumorigenesis. *Cancer Res* (1998) 58(23):5500-6.

77. Lynch CC, Vargo-Gogola T, Martin MD, Fingleton B, Crawford HC, Matrisian LM. Matrix metalloproteinase 7 mediates mammary epithelial cell tumorigenesis through the ErbB4 receptor. *Cancer Res* (2007) 67(14):6760–7. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-0026

78. Decock J, Hendrickx W, Thirkettle S, Gutierrez-Fernandez A, Robinson SD, Edwards DR. Pleiotropic functions of the tumor- and metastasis-suppressing matrix metalloproteinase-8 in mammary cancer in MMTV-PyMT transgenic mice. *Breast Cancer Res* (2015) 17:38. doi: 10.1186/s13058-015-0545-8

79. Mira E, Lacalle RA, Buesa JM, de Buitrago GG, Jimenez-Baranda S, Gomez-Mouton C, et al. Secreted MMP9 promotes angiogenesis more efficiently than constitutive active MMP9 bound to the tumor cell surface. *J Cell Sci* (2004) 117(Pt 9):1847–57. doi: 10.1242/jcs.01035

80. Mehner C, Hockla A, Miller E, Ran S, Radisky DC, Radisky ES. Tumor cellproduced matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP-9) drives malignant progression and metastasis of basal-like triple negative breast cancer. *Oncotarget* (2014) 5(9):2736–49. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.1932

81. Park JH, Rasch MG, Qiu J, Lund IK, Egeblad M. Presence of insulin-like growth factor binding proteins correlates with tumor-promoting effects of matrix metalloproteinase 9 in breast cancer. *Neoplasia* (2015) 17(5):421-33. doi: 10.1016/ineo.2015.04.003

82. Martin MD, Carter KJ, Jean-Philippe SR, Chang M, Mobashery S, Thiolloy S, et al. Effect of ablation or inhibition of stromal matrix metalloproteinase-9 on lung metastasis in a breast cancer model is dependent on genetic background. *Cancer Res* (2008) 68 (15):6251–9. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-0537

83. Bendrik C, Robertson J, Gauldie J, Dabrosin C. Gene transfer of matrix metalloproteinase-9 induces tumor regression of breast cancer in vivo. *Cancer Res* (2008) 68(9):3405-12. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-0295

84. Fromigue O, Louis K, Wu E, Belhacene N, Loubat A, Shipp M, et al. Active stromelysin-3 (MMP-11) increases MCF-7 survival in three-dimensional matrigel culture *via* activation of p42/p44 MAP-kinase. *Int J Cancer.* (2003) 106(3):355–63. doi: 10.1002/ ijc.11232

85. Kasper G, Reule M, Tschirschmann M, Dankert N, Stout-Weider K, Lauster R, et al. Stromelysin-3 over-expression enhances tumourigenesis in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines: involvement of the IGF-1 signalling pathway. *BMC Cancer*. (2007) 7:12. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-7-12

86. Andarawewa KL, Boulay A, Masson R, Mathelin C, Stoll I, Tomasetto C, et al. Dual stromelysin-3 function during natural mouse mammary tumor virus-ras tumor progression. *Cancer Res* (2003) 63(18):5844–9.

87. Margheri F, Serrati S, Lapucci A, Anastasia C, Giusti B, Pucci M, et al. Systemic sclerosis-endothelial cell antiangiogenic pentraxin 3 and matrix metalloprotease 12 control human breast cancer tumor vascularization and development in mice. *Neoplasia* (2009) 11(10):1106–15. doi: 10.1593/neo.09934

89. Nannuru KC, Futakuchi M, Varney ML, Vincent TM, Marcusson EG, Singh RK. Matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-13 regulates mammary tumor-induced osteolysis by activating MMP9 and transforming growth factor-beta signaling at the tumor-bone interface. *Cancer Res* (2010) 70(9):3494–504. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-3251

90. Perentes JY, Kirkpatrick ND, Nagano S, Smith EY, Shaver CM, Sgroi D, et al. Cancer cell-associated MT1-MMP promotes blood vessel invasion and distant metastasis in triple-negative mammary tumors. *Cancer Res* (2011) 71(13):4527–38. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-4376

91. Feinberg TY, Zheng H, Liu R, Wicha MS, Yu SM, Weiss SJ. Divergent matrixremodeling strategies distinguish developmental from neoplastic mammary epithelial cell invasion programs. *Dev Cell* (2018) 47(2):145–60.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2018.08.025

92. Hillebrand LE, Wickberg SM, Gomez-Auli A, Follo M, Maurer J, Busch H, et al. MMP14 empowers tumor-initiating breast cancer cells under hypoxic nutrient-depleted conditions. *FASEB J* (2019) 33(3):4124–40. doi: 10.1096/fj.201801127R

93. Szabova L, Chrysovergis K, Yamada SS, Holmbeck K. MT1-MMP is required for efficient tumor dissemination in experimental metastatic disease. *Oncogene* (2008) 27 (23):3274-81. doi: 10.1038/sj.onc.1210982

94. Tobar N, Avalos MC, Mendez N, Smith PC, Bernabeu C, Quintanilla M, et al. Soluble MMP-14 produced by bone marrow-derived stromal cells sheds epithelial endoglin modulating the migratory properties of human breast cancer cells. *Carcinogenesis* (2014) 35(8):1770–9. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgu061

95. Lee H, Chang KW, Yang HY, Lin PW, Chen SU, Huang YL. MT1-MMP regulates MMP-2 expression and angiogenesis-related functions in human umbilical vein endothelial cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* (2013) 437(2):232–8. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.06.046

96. Kennecke H, Yerushalmi R, Woods R, Cheang MC, Voduc D, Speers CH, et al. Metastatic behavior of breast cancer subtypes. *J Clin Oncol* (2010) 28(20):3271–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2009.25.9820

97. Witzel I, Oliveira-Ferrer L, Pantel K, Muller V, Wikman H. Breast cancer brain metastases: biology and new clinical perspectives. *Breast Cancer Res* (2016) 18(1):8. doi: 10.1186/s13058-015-0665-1

98. Kim HW, Park JE, Baek M, Kim H, Ji HW, Yun SH, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP1) upregulation through promoter hypomethylation enhances tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* (2022) 14(5)1232. doi: 10.3390/cancers14051232

99. von Bredow DC, Cress AE, Howard EW, Bowden GT, Nagle RB. Activation of gelatinase-tissue-inhibitors-of-metalloproteinase complexes by matrilysin. *Biochem J* (1998) 331(Pt 3):965–72. doi: 10.1042/bj3310965

100. Morrison C, Mancini S, Cipollone J, Kappelhoff R, Roskelley C, Overall C. Microarray and proteomic analysis of breast cancer cell and osteoblast co-cultures: role of osteoblast matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-13 in bone metastasis. *J Biol Chem* (2011) 286(39):34271–85. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.222513

101. Sounni NE, Paye A, Host L, Noel A. MT-MMPS as regulators of vessel stability associated with angiogenesis. *Front Pharmacol* (2011) 2:111. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2011.00111

102. Quintero-Fabian S, Arreola R, Becerril-Villanueva E, Torres-Romero JC, Arana-Argaez V, Lara-Riegos J, et al. Role of matrix metalloproteinases in angiogenesis and cancer. *Front Oncol* (2019) 9:1370. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.01370

103. Devy L, Huang L, Naa L, Yanamandra N, Pieters H, Frans N, et al. Selective inhibition of matrix metalloproteinase-14 blocks tumor growth, invasion, and angiogenesis. *Cancer Res* (2009) 69(4):1517–26. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-3255

104. Kumper M, Hessenthaler S, Zamek J, Niland S, Pach E, Mauch C, et al. Loss of endothelial cell matrix metalloproteinase 14 reduces melanoma growth and metastasis by increasing tumor vessel stability. *J Invest Dermatol* (2022) 142(7):1923–33.e5. doi: 10.1016/j.jid.2021.12.016

105. Decock J, Thirkettle S, Wagstaff L, Edwards DR. Matrix metalloproteinases: protective roles in cancer. J Cell Mol Med (2011) 15(6):1254-65. doi: 10.1111/j.1582-4934.2011.01302.x

106. Peeney D, Liu Y, Lazaroff C, Gurung S, Stetler-Stevenson WG. Unravelling the distinct biological functions and potential therapeutic applications of TIMP2 in cancer. *Carcinogenesis* (2022) 43(5):405–18. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgac037

107. Jackson HW, Defamie V, Waterhouse P, Khokha R. TIMPs: versatile extracellular regulators in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. (2017) 17(1):38–53. doi: 10.1038/nrc.2016.115

108. Eckfeld C, Haussler D, Schoeps B, Hermann CD, Kruger A. Functional disparities within the TIMP family in cancer: hints from molecular divergence. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* (2019) 38(3):469–81. doi: 10.1007/s10555-019-09812-6

109. Grunwald B, Schoeps B, Kruger A. Recognizing the molecular multifunctionality and interactome of TIMP-1. *Trends Cell Biol* (2019) 29(1):6–19. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2018.08.006

110. Schrohl AS, Holten-Andersen MN, Peters HA, Look MP, Meijer-van Gelder ME, Klijn JG, et al. Tumor tissue levels of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 as a prognostic marker in primary breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2004) 10(7):2289–98. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-03-0360

111. Wurtz SO, Moller S, Mouridsen H, Hertel PB, Friis E, Brunner N. Plasma and serum levels of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-1 are associated with prognosis in node-negative breast cancer: a prospective study. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. (2008) 7(2):424–30. doi: 10.1074/mcp.M700305-MCP200

112. Bigelow RL, Williams BJ, Carroll JL, Daves LK, Cardelli JA. TIMP-1 overexpression promotes tumorigenesis of MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells and alters expression of a subset of cancer promoting genes *in vivo* distinct from those observed in vitro. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* (2009) 117(1):31-44. doi: 10.1007/s10549-008-0170-7

113. D'Angelo RC, Liu XW, Najy AJ, Jung YS, Won J, Chai KX, et al. TIMP-1 via TWIST1 induces EMT phenotypes in human breast epithelial cells. *Mol Cancer Res* (2014) 12(9):1324–33. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-14-0105

114. Cheng G, Fan X, Hao M, Wang J, Zhou X, Sun X. Higher levels of TIMP-1 expression are associated with a poor prognosis in triple-negative breast cancer. *Mol Cancer*. (2016) 15(1):30. doi: 10.1186/s12943-016-0515-5

115. Dittmer A, Dittmer J. A CAF-fueled TIMP-1/CD63/ITGB1/STAT3 feedback loop promotes migration and growth of breast cancer cells. *Cancers (Basel)* (2022) 14(20):4983. doi: 10.3390/cancers14204983

116. Nakopoulou L, Katsarou S, Giannopoulou I, Alexandrou P, Tsirmpa I, Panayotopoulou E, et al. Correlation of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 with proliferative activity and patients' survival in breast cancer. *Mod Pathol* (2002) 15(1):26–34. doi: 10.1038/modpathol.3880486

117. Li H, Lindenmeyer F, Grenet C, Opolon P, Menashi S, Soria C, et al. AdTIMP-2 inhibits tumor growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis, and prolongs survival in mice. *Hum Gene Ther* (2001) 12(5):515–26. doi: 10.1089/104303401300042429

118. Hajitou A, Sounni NE, Devy L, Grignet-Debrus C, Lewalle JM, Li H, et al. Downregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor by tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2: effect on *in vivo* mammary tumor growth and angiogenesis. *Cancer Res* (2001) 61 (8):3450–7.

119. Peeney D, Jensen SM, Castro NP, Kumar S, Noonan S, Handler C, et al. TIMP-2 suppresses tumor growth and metastasis in murine model of triple-negative breast cancer. *Carcinogenesis* (2020) 41(3):313–25. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgz172

120. Ree AH, Florenes VA, Berg JP, Maelandsmo GM, Nesland JM, Fodstad O. High levels of messenger RNAs for tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases (TIMP-1 and TIMP-2) in primary breast carcinomas are associated with development of distant metastases. *Clin Cancer Res* (1997) 3(9):1623–8.

121. Remacle A, McCarthy K, Noel A, Maguire T, McDermott E, O'Higgins N, et al. High levels of TIMP-2 correlate with adverse prognosis in breast cancer. *Int J Cancer.* (2000) 89(2):118–21. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0215(20000320)89:2<118::AID-IJC3>3.0.CO;2-8

122. Shen Q, Lee ES, Pitts RL, Wu MH, Yuan SY. Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 regulates matrix metalloproteinase-2-mediated endothelial barrier dysfunction and breast cancer cell transmigration through lung microvascular endothelial cells. *Mol Cancer Res* (2010) 8(7):939–51. doi: 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-09-0523

123. Kotzsch M, Farthmann J, Meye A, Fuessel S, Baretton G, Tjan-Heijnen VC, et al. Prognostic relevance of uPAR-del4/5 and TIMP-3 mRNA expression levels in breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer.* (2005) 41(17):2760–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2005.09.002

124. Baker AH, George SJ, Zaltsman AB, Murphy G, Newby AC. Inhibition of invasion and induction of apoptotic cell death of cancer cell lines by overexpression of TIMP-3. *Br J Cancer* (1999) 79(9-10):1347–55. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6690217

125. Jackson HW, Hojilla CV, Weiss A, Sanchez OH, Wood GA, Khokha R. Timp3 deficient mice show resistance to developing breast cancer. *PloS One* (2015) 10(3): e0120107. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0120107

126. Liss M, Sreedhar N, Keshgegian A, Sauter G, Chernick MR, Prendergast GC, et al. Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-4 is elevated in early-stage breast cancers with accelerated progression and poor clinical course. *Am J Pathol* (2009) 175(3):940–6. doi: 10.2353/ajpath.2009.081094

127. Celiker MY, Wang M, Atsidaftos E, Liu X, Liu YE, Jiang Y, et al. Inhibition of wilms' tumor growth by intramuscular administration of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases-4 plasmid DNA. *Oncogene* (2001) 20(32):4337-43. doi: 10.1038/ si.onc.1204508

128. Sparano JA, Bernardo P, Stephenson P, Gradishar WJ, Ingle JN, Zucker S, et al. Randomized phase III trial of marimastat versus placebo in patients with metastatic breast cancer who have responding or stable disease after first-line chemotherapy: Eastern cooperative oncology group trial E2196. *J Clin Oncol* (2004) 22(23):4683–90. doi: 10.1200/ JCO.2004.08.054

129. Miller KD, Gradishar W, Schuchter L, Sparano JA, Cobleigh M, Robert N, et al. A randomized phase II pilot trial of adjuvant marimastat in patients with early-stage breast cancer. *Ann Oncol* (2002) 13(8):1220–4. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdf199

130. Miller KD, Saphner TJ, Waterhouse DM, Chen TT, Rush-Taylor A, Sparano JA, et al. A randomized phase II feasibility trial of BMS-275291 in patients with early stage breast cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* (2004) 10(6):1971–5. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-03-0968

131. Remacle AG, Golubkov VS, Shiryaev SA, Dahl R, Stebbins JL, Chernov AV, et al. Novel MT1-MMP small-molecule inhibitors based on insights into hemopexin domain function in tumor growth. *Cancer Res* (2012) 72(9):2339–49. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-4149

132. Reiter LA, Freeman-Cook KD, Jones CS, Martinelli GJ, Antipas AS, Berliner MA, et al. Potent, selective pyrimidinetrione-based inhibitors of MMP-13. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* (2006) 16(22):5822–6. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2006.08.066

133. Shah M, Huang D, Blick T, Connor A, Reiter LA, Hardink JR, et al. An MMP13selective inhibitor delays primary tumor growth and the onset of tumor-associated osteolytic lesions in experimental models of breast cancer. *PloS One* (2012) 7(1): e29615. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0029615 134. Tauro M, Shay G, Sansil SS, Laghezza A, Tortorella P, Neuger AM, et al. Boneseeking matrix metalloproteinase-2 inhibitors prevent bone metastatic breast cancer growth. *Mol Cancer Ther* (2017) 16(3):494–505. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-16-0315-T

135. Fischer T, Riedl R. Inhibitory antibodies designed for matrix metalloproteinase modulation. *Molecules* (2019) 24(12):2265. doi: 10.3390/molecules24122265

136. Juric V, O'Sullivan C, Stefanutti E, Kovalenko M, Greenstein A, Barry-Hamilton V, et al. MMP-9 inhibition promotes anti-tumor immunity through disruption of biochemical and physical barriers to T-cell trafficking to tumors. *PloS One* (2018) 13 (11):e0207255. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0207255

137. Owyong M, Chou J, van den Bijgaart RJ, Kong N, Efe G, Maynard C, et al. MMP9 modulates the metastatic cascade and immune landscape for breast cancer anti-metastatic therapy. *Life Sci Alliance* (2019) 2(6):e201800226. doi: 10.26508/lsa.201800226

138. Ager EI, Kozin SV, Kirkpatrick ND, Seano G, Kodack DP, Askoxylakis V, et al. Blockade of MMP14 activity in murine breast carcinomas: implications for macrophages, vessels, and radiotherapy. *J Natl Cancer Inst* (2015) 107(4):djv017. doi: 10.1093/jnci/ djv017

139. Chakravarthy A, Khan L, Bensler NP, Bose P, De Carvalho DD. TGF-betaassociated extracellular matrix genes link cancer-associated fibroblasts to immune evasion and immunotherapy failure. *Nat Commun* (2018) 9(1):4692. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-06654-8

140. Nam DH, Rodriguez C, Remacle AG, Strongin AY, Ge X. Active-site MMPselective antibody inhibitors discovered from convex paratope synthetic libraries. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* (2016) 113(52):14970–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1609375114

141. Chen KE, Chen C, Lopez T, Radecki KC, Bustamante K, Lorenson MY, et al. Use of a novel camelid-inspired human antibody demonstrates the importance of MMP-14 to cancer stem cell function in the metastatic process. *Oncotarget* (2018) 9(50):29431–44. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.25654

142. Ling B, Watt K, Banerjee S, Newsted D, Truesdell P, Adams J, et al. A novel immunotherapy targeting MMP-14 limits hypoxia, immune suppression and metastasis in triple-negative breast cancer models. *Oncotarget* (2017) 8(35):58372–85. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.17702

143. Li L, Li H. Role of microRNA-mediated MMP regulation in the treatment and diagnosis of malignant tumors. *Cancer Biol Ther* (2013) 14(9):796–805. doi: 10.4161/ cbt.25936

144. Abba M, Patil N, Allgayer H. MicroRNAs in the regulation of MMPs and metastasis. *Cancers (Basel).* (2014) 6(2):625–45. doi: 10.3390/cancers6020625

145. Vincenti MP, Brinckerhoff CE. Signal transduction and cell-type specific regulation of matrix metalloproteinase gene expression: can MMPs be good for you? *J Cell Physiol* (2007) 213(2):355–64. doi: 10.1002/jcp.21208

146. Piperi C, Papavassiliou AG. Molecular mechanisms regulating matrix metalloproteinases. *Curr Top Med Chem* (2012) 12(10):1095-112. doi: 10.2174/1568026611208011095

147. Augoff K, Hryniewicz-Jankowska A, Tabola R, Stach K. MMP9: A tough target for targeted therapy for cancer. *Cancers (Basel).* (2022) 14(7):1847. doi: 10.3390/cancers14071847

148. Liu J, Chen T, Li S, Liu W, Wang P, Shang G. Targeting matrix metalloproteinases by E3 ubiquitin ligases as a way to regulate the tumor microenvironment for cancer therapy. *Semin Cancer Biol* (2022) 86(Pt 2):259–68. doi: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2022.06.004

149. Raeeszadeh-Sarmazdeh M, Coban M, Mahajan S, Hockla A, Sankaran B, Downey GP, et al. Engineering of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases TIMP-1 for fine discrimination between closely related stromelysins MMP-3 and MMP-10. *J Biol Chem* (2022) 298(3):101654. doi: 10.1016/j.jbc.2022.101654

150. Poujade FA, Mannion A, Brittain N, Theodosi A, Beeby E, Leszczynska KB, et al. WSB-1 regulates the metastatic potential of hormone receptor negative breast cancer. *Br J Cancer.* (2018) 118(9):1229–37. doi: 10.1038/s41416-018-0056-3

151. Zhang X, Yu X, Zhao Z, Yuan Z, Ma P, Ye Z, et al. MicroRNA-429 inhibits bone metastasis in breast cancer by regulating CrkL and MMP-9. *Bone* (2020) 130:115139. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2019.115139

152. Roy A, Ansari SA, Das K, Prasad R, Bhattacharya A, Mallik S, et al. Coagulation factor VIIa-mediated protease-activated receptor 2 activation leads to beta-catenin accumulation *via* the AKT/GSK3beta pathway and contributes to breast cancer progression. *J Biol Chem* (2017) 292(33):13688–701. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M116.764670