Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Souhail Hermassi, Qatar University, Qatar

REVIEWED BY El Ghali Bouhafs, Martin Luther University of Halle-Wittenberg, Germany Andela Đošic, University of Nis, Serbia

*CORRESPONDENCE
Changhai Lv,
≥ 201513466@sdtbu.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Exercise Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology

RECEIVED 04 October 2022 ACCEPTED 27 December 2022 PUBLISHED 18 January 2023

CITATION

Wang X, Lv C, Qin X, Ji S and Dong D (2023), Effectiveness of plyometric training vs. complex training on the explosive power of lower limbs: A Systematic review. *Front. Physiol.* 13:1061110. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.1061110

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wang, Lv, Qin, Ji and Dong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Effectiveness of plyometric training vs. complex training on the explosive power of lower limbs: A Systematic review

Xiaolin Wang¹, Changhai Lv^{2*}, Xinmin Qin³, Shuyu Ji⁴ and Delong Dong⁵

¹Department of Sport Studies, Faculty of Educational Studies, University Putra Malaysia, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia, ²Department of Physical Education, Shandong Technology and Business University, Yantai, Shandong, China, ³Department of Sport Science, Kangwon National University, Chuncheon, South Korea, ⁴Faculty of Educational Studies, Taizhou University, Taizhou, Zhejiang, China, ⁵Department of Physical Education, Ludong University, Yantai, Shandong, China

Introduction: Explosive power is considered an important factor in competitive events. Thus, strategies such as complex training (CT) and plyometric training (PLT) are effective at improving explosive power. However, it is still not clear which of the two strategies can enable greater improvements on the explosive power. Thus, the aim of this systematic review was to compare the effects of PLT and CT on the explosive power of the lower limbs.

Methods: The Review Manager and GraphPad Prism programs were used to analyze the synthetic and time effects (effects over training time) on explosive power (i.e., jump ability, sprint ability) and maximum strength. Our research identified 87 studies comprising 1,355 subjects aged 10–26.4 years.

Results: The results suggested the following: 1) Synthetic effects on jump ability (Hedges' g): .79 (p < .001) for unloaded PLT, 1.35 (p < .001) for loaded PLT and .85 (p < .001) for CT; 2) Synthetic effects on sprint ability: .83 (p < .001) for unloaded PLT, -2.11 (p < .001) for loaded PLT and -.78 (p < .001) for CT; 3) Synthetic effects on maximum strength: .84 (p < .001) for loaded PLT and 1.53 (p < .001) for CT; 4) The time effects of unloaded PLT and CT on explosive power were similar, but the time effects of CT on maximum strength were obviously above that of PLT.

Discussion: In conclusion, unloaded PLT and CT have a similar effect on explosive performance in the short term but loaded PLT has a better effect. The improvement of the maximum strength caused by CT was greater than that induced by PLT. In addition, more than 10 weeks of training may be more beneficial for the improvement of power. Therefore, for explosive power training, we suggest adopting unloaded or light-loaded PLT during a short season and applying CT during an annual or long training cycle.

KEYWORDS

plyometric exercises, complex exercises, resistance training, explosive force, muscular strength

Introduction

Explosive power is the ability to exert great muscular strength in a very short time (usually within 100 m) (Maffiuletti et al., 2016), which is vital for athletes to win the competition. Therefore, coaches and researchers have been concerned with how to select more efficient explosive training methods. Traditional resistance training (RT),



plyometric training (PLT) and complex training (CT) have been widely used in strength and explosive training. The three training methods have different effects on explosive power. Traditional resistance training (RT) can increase athletes' maximum strength but does not greatly increase explosive power because of the sticking point (Kompf and Arandjelović, 2016). When the joint reaches a certain angle in resistance motion, the muscle force will be minimized, and the movement speed will decrease, called the sticking zone (Kompf and Arandjelović, 2016). The reduction of force and speed is not conducive to the development of explosive force. Plyometric training (PLT), which follows the form of human movement, uses the principle of the "stretch-shortening cycle" (SSC) to transform the elastic potential energy in the eccentric contraction stage into kinetic energy in the concentric contraction stage (Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2020). It has a significant effect on the improvement of explosive power, but the low-load characteristic limits the improvement of maximum strength, and then the maximum force further limits the development of explosive power (Suchomel et al., 2016). Therefore, PLT may not be conducive to the long-term development of explosive power. Complex training (CT) combining RT and PLT uses postactivation potentiation (PAP) and stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) to enhance the explosive power and maximum strength of athletes (Carter and Greenwood, 2014) and seems to be a good choice for the improvement of explosive power. However, does CT improve explosive power better than PLT?

PLT and CT have better effects on the improvement of explosive power than TR (Behm et al., 2017; Pardos-Mainer et al., 2021; Morris et al., 2022). However, academic circles have not reached a consensus on whether CT is better than PLT in improving explosive power. Theoretically, CT

integrates the advantages of PLT for the increasement of explosive power and RT for the enhancement of maximum strength, so as to improve the explosive power better. However, according to the force-velocity curve, there is an inverse relationship exists between force and velocity (Taber et al., 2016). Therefore, it is hard to improve both strength and speed (i.e., explosive power). Studies by Hammami et al. (2019); Zghal et al. (2019) showed that CT had better effects on improving jump ability, sprint ability and maximum strength than PLT, but some other studies showed that these two training methods had no significant difference in the improvement of jump performance (Arabatzi et al., 2010; da Silva Santos et al., 2015; Sánchez-Sixto et al., 2021). Lloyd et al. (2016) reported that there was no significant difference between these two training methods in improving the sprint ability of teenagers. We learned from previous research that PLT and CT have a significant effect on the improvement of explosive power. However, there is still great controversy concerning which training method is better.

Due to limited comparative studies (CT vs PLT), there have been no meta-analysis reviews conducted regarding the comparative effects of PLT and CT on explosive power. However, we used data from different experiments to conduct a meta-analysis by referring to Behm's analysis method (Behm et al., 2017). In addition, we also compared the changes of the average effect size of the CT and PLT on explosive performance over time in order to display the research results more clearly. Therefore, the intent of this systematic review was to compare the effects of PLT and CT on explosive power. Because explosive power is strongly correlated with maximum strength (Taber et al., 2016), we also aim to compare the effects of CT and PLT on maximum strength. Another purpose was to provide clear guidelines for the prescription of explosive power training.

TABLE 1 Characteristics of analyzed PLT studies and PEDro scores.

Article	N	G	W	Outcomes	PED	ro sc	ale (it	:ems*)								Т
						b	с	d			g	h			k	
Aloui et al. (2021)	17	М	8	CMJA, SQJ, 10-30 m SP	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Arabatzi et al. (2010)	9	М	8	CMJ, SQJ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Asadi et al. (2016)	8	М	8	VJ, 60 m SP	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Asadi et al. (2018)	30	М	6	VJ, 20 m SP, PF	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Blattner and Noble (1979)	11	М	8	VJ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Campo et al. (2009)	10	F	12	CMJ, DJ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Chelly et al. (2010)	12	М	8	CMJ, SQJ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Chelly et al. (2015)	14	М	10	SQJ, CMJ, PF	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Chelly et al. (2014)	12	М	8	CMJ, DJ, PF	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Cherni et al. (2021)	15	F	8	CMJ, SQJ, 10–30 m SP, PF	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Chtara et al. (2017)	10	М	6	10, 30 m SP	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Coratella et al. (2018)	32	М	8	CMJ,10, 30 m SP, 1 RM SQ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Drouzas et al. (2020)	23	М	9	CMJ,5–20 m SP, PF	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4
Fathi et al. (2019)	20	М	16	CMJ, SQJ, 5, 10 m SP	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4
Fernandez-Fernandez et al. (2016)	30	М	8	CMJ, 5, 10, 20 m SP	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Gehri et al. (1998)	18	С	12	SQJ, CMJ, DJ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
González-Ravé et al. (2019)	12	М	3	CMJ, SQJ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Hall et al. (2016)	10	F	6	СМЈ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Hammami et al. (2016)	15	М	8	5-40 m SP	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Hammami et al. (2017a)	14	М	8	CMJ, SQJ, 5–40 m SP, 1 RM SQ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Hammami et al. (2020b)	17	F	10	5–30 m SP	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Hammami et al. (2020a)	26	М	10	5–40 m SP, CMJ	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9
Houghton et al. (2013)	7	М	8	5 m SP, CMJ, SQJ, PF	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Dello Iacono et al. (2017)	9	М	10	10, 20 m S, CMJ	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Idrizovic et al. (2018)	13	F	12	СМЈ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Jlid et al. (2019)	14	М	8	SQJ, CMJ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Jlid et al. (2020)	14	М	6	CMJ, SQJ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Karadenizli (2016)	14	F	10	CMJA, 30 m SP	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Kato et al. (2006)	18	F	24	VJ	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Khlifa et al. (2010)	18	М	10	CMJ, SQJ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Khodaei et al. (2017)	8	М	4	10, 30 m SP	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4
Kobal et al. (2017)	20	М	6	CMJ, SQJ	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Maciejczyk et al. (2021)	7	F	4	CMJ, SQJ	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Makaruk et al. (2014)	24	М	6	CMJ, DJ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Mazurek et al. (2018)	14	М	5	CMJ, DJ, SQJ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
McKinlay et al. (2018)	13	М	8	CMJ, SQJ	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Meszler and Váczi (2019)	9	F	7	СМЈ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Negra et al. (2017)	18	М	8	CMJ, 10, 20, 30 m SP	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 1 (Continued) Characteristics of analyzed PLT studies and PEDro scores.

Article	Ν	G	W	Outcomes	PED	ro sci	ale (it	:ems*])							Т
						b	с	d			g	h			k	
Negra et al. (2020a)	13	М	8	20 m S, DJ (20, 40 cm)	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Negra et al. (2020b)	11	М	12	CMJ, SQJ, 20 m SP, 1 RM SQ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Negra et al. (2020)	13	М	8	CMJ, 5, 10, 20 m SP	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Ozbar et al. (2014)	9	F	8	CMJ, 20 m SP	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Ozbar (2015)	10	F	10	10, 20, 30 m SP, CMJ	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Palma-Muñoz et al. (2021)	15	М	6	CMJ, DJ, 10 m SP	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Pereira et al. (2015)	10	F	8	СМЈ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Ramírez-Campillo et al. (2016)	40	С	6	CMJ, DJ, 30 m SP	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	7
Ramirez-Campillo et al. (2018)	16	F	8	CMJ, DJ, 15 m SP	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	6
Ramirez-Campillo et al. (2019)	19	М	7	CMJ, 20 m SP	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Rosas et al. (2017)	8	F	6	CMJ, SQJ, 20 m SP	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Rubley et al. (2011)	10	F	12	VJ	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Sammoud et al. (2021)	12	F	8	СМЈ	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	7
Sánchez-Sixto et al. (2021)	11	F	6	VJ, PF	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Söhnlein et al. (2014)	12	М	16	10, 20, 30 m SP	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Teo et al. (2016)	13	М	6	CMJ, SQJ, DJ, 20 m SP	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Váczi et al. (2013)	12	М	6	DJ, PF	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Vera-Assaoka et al. (2020)	38	М	7	CMJ, DJ, 20 m SP	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
de Villarreal et al. (2015)	13	М	9	CMJ, 5, 10 m SP	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Whitehead et al. (2018)	10	М	8	VJ, 20 m SP	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Wilson et al. (1996)	14	М	8	CMJ, PF	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Yanci et al. (2017)	27	М	6	5, 15 m SP, CMJ	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Young et al. (1999)	24	М	6	SQJ, PF	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4
Zghal et al. (2019)	9	М	7	CMJ, SQJ, 5–20 m SP, PF	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Zribi et al. (2014)	25	М	9	CMJ, SQJ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5

Note: Abbreviations descriptions are ordered alphabetically. C, combination; CMJ, countermovement jump; DJ, depth jump; F, female; G, gender; M, male; N, number of subjects; PF, peak force; 1 RM, one-repetition maximum; RT, resistance training; SP, sprint; SQ, squat; SQJ, squat jump; T, total scores; VJ, vertical jump; W, weeks (training duration); item* a-k detailed explanation for each PEDro scale item can be accessed at https://pedro.org.au/wp-cotent/uloads/PEDro_scale.pdf (access for this review: 26 August 2022). More detailed data are available on the website https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1b72Elsw-GTtCpQ0sxprwshisEjRSKIZB.

Methods

Experimental approach to the problem

This systematic review was conducted according to the Cochrane Collaboration Guidebook and the criteria of Preferred Reporting Elements for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (Liberati et al., 2009).

Search strategy

Articles published by 10 June 2022, were located using the electronic databases PubMed, SCOPUS, SPORTDiscus, and Web of Science. The following search syntax was used ("randomized controlled trial" OR

"controlled clinical trial") [Publication Type] ("plyometric" OR "stretchshortening cycle" OR "jump" OR "power" OR "complex" OR "compound" OR "combined") AND ("training" OR "intervention") [Title/Abstract]. Lead author's personal libraries and gray literature sources (e.g., conference proceedings) were also examined. The systematic search process was conducted by JS and QX. Any disagreement of an included/excluded study was resolved by the third author (WX).

Eligibility Criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) the study was randomized controlled trial or controlled trial. 2) The study employed a PLT or CT intervention inclusive of 2-3 related

TABLE 2 Characteristics of analyzed CT studies and PEDro scores.

Study	N	G	W	Outcomes	PED	ro (ite	ms*)									Т
						b	с	d			g	h			k	
Ali et al. (2019)	12	М	6	CMJ, 20 m SP	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	7
Alves et al. (2010)	17	М	6	CMJ, SQJ, 5, 20 m SP	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Arabatzi et al. (2010)	10	?	8	CMJ, SQJ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Carlson et al. (2009)	9	С	6	СМЈ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Daehlin et al. (2016)	9	М	8	LJ, 1 R M SQ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Faigenbaum et al. (2007)	13	М	6	VJ, 9.1 m SP	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Fathi et al. (2019)	20	?	16	CMJ, SQJ, 5, 10 m SP	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	4
Fatouros et al. (2000)	10	М	10	CMJ, 1 R M SQ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Faude et al. (2013)	8	М	7	CMJ, 10 m SP, 1 RM SQ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Franco-Márquez et al. (2015)	20	М	6	CMJ, 1 RM SQ	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Hammami et al. (2017b)	16	М	8	CMJ, 20 m SP, 1 R M SQ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Hammami et al. (2017a)	19	М	8	5 m SP, 1 RM 1/2 SQ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Hammami et al. (2019)	14	М	8	CMJ, SQJ, 40 m SP, SQ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Kijowksi et al. (2015)	9	М	4	CMJ, 1 R M SQ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Li et al. (2019)	10	М	8	CMJ, 50 m SP, 1 R M SQ	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Lloyd et al. (2016)	20	М	6	SQJ, 10, 20 m SP	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Lyttle et al. (1996)	11	М	8	CMJ, 40 m SP, 1 RM SQ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Rodríguez-Rosell et al. (2016)	15	?	6	CMJ, 10 m SP, 1 RM SQ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Rodríguez-Rosell et al. (2017)	10	?	6	CMJ, 10 m SP, 1 RM SQ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Latorre Román et al. (2018)	30	С	10	CMJ, SQJ, 25 m SP	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Sánchez-Sixto et al. (2021)	13	F	10	CMJ, PF	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	4
Santos and Janeira (2008)	15	М	10	CMJ, SQJ	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	5
Talpey et al. (2016)	9	М	9	CMJ, 20 m SP, 1 RM SQ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Veliz et al. (2014)	16	?	18	CMJ, 1 RM SQ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Veliz et al. (2015)	11	F	16	CMJ, 1 RM SQ	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Voelzke et al. (2012)	8	?	5	5 m SP	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6
Zghal et al. (2019)	14	М	7	CMJ, SQJ, 10 m SP, PF	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6

Note: Abbreviations descriptions are ordered alphabetically. C, combination; CMJ, countermovement jump; DJ, depth jump; F, female; G, gender; M, male; N, number of subjects; PF, peak force; 1 RM, one-repetition maximum; RT, resistance training; SP, sprint; SQ, squat; SQJ, squat jump; T, total scores; VJ, vertical jump; W, weeks (training duration); item* a-k detailed explanation for each PEDro scale item can be accessed at https://pedro.org.au/wp-cotent/uloads/PEDro_scale.pdf (access for this review: 26 August 2022). More detailed data are available on the website https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1UhXv4vrrqcFcll9RthGEAfDPafcvB22M.

TABLE 3 Training participants and effects characteristics.

Training methods	No. of studies	No. of subjects	No. of effects	No. of effects for JP	No. of effects for SP	No. of effects for MS
PLT	60	996	143	71	46	16
СТ	27	359	63	26	19	18

Note: JP, jump performance; SP, sprint performance; MS, maximum strength.

exercises per week and more than a 4-week training duration. 3) The related indices of explosive power and maximum strength before and after training were measured in the study. The explosive

power indices included jump ability (countermovement jump, squat jump, long jump, etc.) and sprint ability (10 m sprint or close distance sprint). The maximum strength indices included the

one-repetition maximum (full squat, half squat, leg flexion and extension, etc.). 4) The subjects were healthy athletes or students with training experience, and their ages ranged from 10 to 30. Since this meta-analysis is not for comparative studies of the same experiment, sufficient sample size must be ensured to reduce errors. Therefore, we chose a wide age range (teenagers and young adults).

Exclusion criteria

Excluded records had the following: a) not available in English; b) non-human experiment; c) cross-sectional study; d) meta-analysis or review article; e) lack of data to calculate effect size (i.e., sample size, mean and standard deviation); and f) overtraining or distraining study. The screening flow chart is shown in Figure 1.

Data extraction

Data extraction from the included studies was independently performed by two authors (S.J. and X.M.). We extracted data from PLT- and CT-related studies. The following data were extracted: the first author's name, publication year, sample size, subjects' age (years), sex, training duration (weeks), training program, and outcome indicators (details in the Eligibility Criteria section). In instances where information was unavailable for the mean and standard deviation, lead authors were contacted for data. If no answer was received, the study was excluded. Any disagreement in data extraction was resolved by the third author (WX).

Statistical analyses

The Physiotherapy Evidence Database (PEDro) scale was used to assess the risk of all included studies. There are 11 items in the PEDro checklist for a total of 10 points (item 1 is not rated). As in a similar previous plyometric training meta-analysis, literature quality was interpreted as "low quality" (\leq 3 points), "medium quality" (4–5 points), or "high quality" (6–10 points) (Stojanović et al., 2017). The results of the literature evaluation included in this study are shown in Table 1 and Table 2.

Effect sizes (Hedges' g), standard error and 95% confidence interval were calculated by mean value and standard deviation. The pre-and postexperimental data of the experimental group were used for the analysis and calculation because of baseline differences between the experimental and control groups in the same study and differences in physical activity in control groups of different studies. Calculated effect sizes were interpreted using the following scale: .2-.5 = small, .5-.8 = moderate, > .8 = large(Stojanović et al., 2017). The effect sizes are usually positive, but sprint ability has negative effect sizes. This is because better sprint ability means less time to complete the same distance. The Review Manager Software (RevMan 5.3) was used to analyze the synthetic effects of PLT and CT on mechanistic changes (i.e., jump ability, sprint ability, and maximum strength), as well as the synthetic effects of the PLT subgroup (i.e., unloaded PLT and loaded PLT). We used the random-effects model to avoid the high weight of individual studies affecting the overall synthetic effect. In addition, GraphPad Prism Software (GraphPad Prism 8) was used to perform a linear fitting of mechanistic changes with time and compare the difference in the time effect between PLT and CT. Due to the limited data of loaded PT, we only compared the difference in the time effect between unloaded PLT and CT in this study.

Results

Study selection, characteristics, and risk of bias

In total, 60 PLT studies and 27 CT studies were included in this study, producing 143 and 63 effect sizes, respectively (Table 3). The included studies involved 996 PLT participants and 359 CT participants, with an average age range of 10-24.2 years and 9.7-26.4 years, respectively. The PLT program mainly included countermovement jump, squat jump, depth jump, long jump, hurdle jump, single- or double-legged jump, etc., and its training load was usually body weight and light weight (less than 25% extra body weight). The CT program mainly combines traditional resistance training (RT) (e.g., back squat, half squat, calf raise, leg flexion and extension, snatch and weight lifting, etc.) and PLT program. The load intensity of RT is usually 40%-95% of 1 RM. The shortest training duration was 4 weeks, and the longest training durations of PLT and CT were 24 weeks and 18 weeks, respectively. Among the included studies, most studies achieved 5-6 points (medium-high quality), with the highest score achieving 9 points and the lowest score achieving 4 points. The PEDro scale score had a median of 5 of 10 points across studies (Tables 1, 2).

Synthetic results

Table 4 shows the comparative effects of PLT and CT on jump ability, sprint ability and maximum strength. In terms of effect sizes on jump ability, CT studies slightly exceeded unloaded PLT studies (.85, p < .001, large vs .79, p < .001, medium), but the loaded PLT showed the largest effect size (1.35, p < .001, large) (Figures 2, 3). In terms of effect sizes on sprint ability, unloaded PLT studies and CT studies showed the same effect size (-.83, p < .001, large), but the effect size of loaded PLT studies (-2.11, p < .001, large) was larger than that of unloaded PLT and CT studies (Figures 4, 5). In terms of effect sizes on maximum strength, both loaded and unloaded PLT studies were lower than CT studies, especially unloaded PLT studies with an effect size of .08 (Figures 6, 7).

Time effect fitting results

Time effects on jump ability. The time effects of PLT and CT on jump ability both increased at first and then decreased (Figure 8). Due to limited data after 12 weeks, we did not take into account trends thereafter. Before 10 weeks, the curves of the effect size of PLT and CT on jump ability were basically the same, with a large effect at week 6 for both, the peak effect at week 10 for CT and approximately week 10–12 for PLT.

Time effects on sprint ability. The curves of the effect size of PLT and CT on sprint ability are similar to those on jump ability (Figure 9).

TABLE 4 Summary of meta-analysis results.

Effect size	СТ	Unloaded PLT	Loaded PLT
Jump ability	.85 *** Large	.79 *** Moderate	1.35 *** Large
Sprint ability	83 *** Large	83 *** Large	-2.11*** Large #
Maximum strength	1.53 *** Large	.08 *** trivial	.84 *** Large ##

Note: # 4 studies met inclusion criteria, ## 1 study met inclusion criteria. ***p < .001.

Before 10 weeks, the trends of the effect size of PLT and CT on sprint ability were basically the same, with a moderate effect at week 6 and a large effect at week 8 for both, a peak effect at week 8 for CT and week 10 for PLT.

Time effects on maximum strength. The effects of PLT on maximum strength only reached a large effect point at week 10, and the rest were below the medium effect (Figure 10). The effects of CT on maximum strength reached a large effect at week 6 and

Study or Subgroup	SMD	SE	Weight	SMD IV, Random, 95% CI	SMD IV. Random, 95% CI
1.1.1 Unloaded-PLT	2				
Arabatzi 2010	0.724	0.237	1.6%	0.72 [0.26, 1.19]	\
Asadi 2017	2.929	0.518	1.1%	2.93 [1.91, 3.94]	
Asadi 2018 (G1) Asadi 2018 (G2)	2.32	0.513	1.1%	3.54 [2.53, 4.54] 2.32 [1.66, 2.98]	·
Asadi 2018 (G3)	2.993	0.424	1.2%	2.99 [2.16, 3.82]	
Campo 2009	3.469	0.501	1.1%	3.47 [2.49, 4.45]	
Chelly 2014	1	0.188	1.7%	1.00 [0.63, 1.37]	
Chelly 2015	0.667	0.151	1.8%	0.67 [0.37, 0.96]	
Cherni 2021	0.242	0.134	1.8%	0.24 [-0.02, 0.50]	
Coratella 2018 (G2)	0.579	0.264	1.8%	0.58 [0.36, 0.79]	-
Drouzas 2020	0.18	0.087	1.8%	0.18 [0.01, 0.35]	-
Fathi 2019	0.162	0.1	1.8%	0.16 [-0.03, 0.36]	+
Gehri 1998 (G1)	0.223	0.288	1.5%	0.22 [-0.34, 0.79]	
Gehri 1998 (G2)	0.287	0.184	1.7%	0.29 [-0.07, 0.65]	
Gonzalez-Rave 2019	0.412	0.17	1.7%	0.41 [0.08, 0.75]	
Hammami 2019	1.027	0.162	1.7%	1.03 [0.71, 1.34]	
Hammami 2020b (G2)	2.36	0.283	1.5%	2.36 [1.81, 2.91]	
Houghton 2013	0.723	0.304	1.5%	0.72 [0.13, 1.32]	
lacono 2017	0.7	0.236	1.6%	0.70 [0.24, 1.16]	
Idrizovic 2017	1.12	0.178	1.7%	1.12 [0.77, 1.47]	
Jiid 2019	1	0.161	1.8%	1.00 [0.68, 1.32]	· · · ·
Karadenizli 2016	0.379	0.145	1.8%	0.36 [0.09, 0.66]	-
Kato 2006	0.526	0.115	1.8%	0.53 [0.30, 0.75]	-
Khlifa 2010 (G2)	2.702	0.425	1.2%	2.70 [1.87, 3.53]	
Kobal 2017 (G2)	0.505	0.188	1.7%	0.51 [0.14, 0.87]	
Maciejczyk 2021	0.38	0.291	1.5%	0.38 [-0.19, 0.95]	
Makaruk 2013 (G1)	1.008	0.188	1.7%	1.01 [0.64, 1.38]	
Makaruk 2013 (G2)	0.605	0.174	1.7%	0.60 [0.26, 0.95]	-
Mckinlay 2018	0.089	0.143	1.8%	0.09 [-0.21 0.39]	
Meszler 2019	-0.423	0.227	1.6%	-0.42 [-0.87, 0.02]	
Negra 2017	0.695	0.118	1.8%	0.69 [0.46, 0.93]	-
Negra 2020b	0.881	0.199	1.7%	0.88 [0.49, 1.27]	
Negra 2020c (G2)	0.568	0.13	1.8%	0.57 [0.31, 0.82]	- →
Ozbar 2015 Palma Munoz 2018 (G1)	4.84	0.786	0.7%	4.84 [3.30, 6.38]	·
Palma-Munoz 2018 (G1) Palma-Munoz 2018 (G2)	0.466	0.252	1.6%	0.26 [-0.24, 0.75]	
Pereira 2015	0.759	0.214	1.7%	0.76 [0.34, 1.18]	
Ramirez-Campillo 2015 (G1)	0.627	0.1	1.8%	0.63 [0.43, 0.82]	
Ramirez-Campillo 2015 (G2)	0.478	0.108	1.8%	0.48 [0.27, 0.69]	
Ramirez-Campillo 2018	0.599	0.261	1.6%	0.60 [0.09, 1.11]	
Ramirez-Campillo 2019 Rospo 2017	0.212	0.106	1.8%	0.21 [0.00, 0.42]	
Rublev 2011	0.433	0.205	1.7%	0.43 [0.03, 0.83]	
Sammouda 2021	1.535	0.216	1.7%	1.53 [1.11, 1.96]	
Sanchez-Sixto 2021	0.5	0.188	1.7%	0.50 [0.13, 0.87]	
Sohnlein 2014	1.131	0.193	1.7%	1.13 [0.75, 1.51]	
Teo 2016	0.328	0.156	1.8%	0.33 [0.02, 0.63]	
Vera-Assaoka 2020 (G1)	0.387	0.17	1.7%	0.39 [0.05, 0.72]	-
Vera-Assaoka 2020 (G2)	0.21	0.091	1.8%	0.21 [0.03. 0.39]	+
Villarreal 2015	0.895	0.169	1.7%	0.90 [0.56, 1.23]	
Whitehead 2018	0.553	0.208	1.7%	0.55 [0.15, 0.96]	
Wilson 1996	1.065	0.163	1.7%	1.06 [0.75, 1.38]	
Yanci 2017	-0.896	0.147	1.8%	-0.90 [-1.18, -0.61]	
Young 1999 Zabal 2010	-0.42	0.186	1.7%	-0.42 [-0.78, -0.06]	
Zgnar 2019 Zribi 2014	2 961	0.229	1.0%	2 96 [2 63 3 20]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)	2.301	0.100	100.0%	0.79 [0.63, 0.95]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.35; Ch Test for overall effect: Z = 9.79	i ² = 812.9 (P < 0.00	98, df = (0001)	60 (P < 0	.00001); l² = 93%	
1.1.2 Loaded-PLT		1			
Aloui 2021	1.972	0.175	15.3%	1.97 [1.63, 2.31]	
Blattner 1979	1.174	0.213	14.9%	1.17 [0.76, 1.59]	
Coratella 2018 (G1)	0.277	0.106	15.8%	0.28 [0.07, 0.48]	·
Hammami 2020b (G1)	1.409	0.178	15.3%	1.41 [1.06, 1.76]	
Khlita 2010 (G1) Kobal 2017 (G1)	4.077	0.684	8.8%	4.08 [2.74, 5.42]	
Negra 2020c (G1)	1.008	0.239	14.0%	1.01 [0.67 1.26]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	1.000	5.170	100.0%	1.36 [0.78, 1.95]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.55; Ch	i ² = 103.6	64, df = 0	6 (P < 0.0	00001); l² = 94%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.55	(P < 0.00	0001)			
				-	-2 -1 0 1 2
					Favours [RT] Favours [PLT]

FIGURE 2

Effects of plyometric training (PLT) on jump performance.

Study or Subgroup	SMD	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Ali 2019	0.773	0.179	4.0%	0.77 [0.42, 1.12]	
Alves 2010	0.207	0.251	3.5%	0.21 [-0.28, 0.70]	
Arabatzi 2010	0.615	0.21	3.8%	0.61 [0.20, 1.03]	
Carlson 2009	1.998	0.333	3.0%	2.00 [1.35, 2.65]	
Dæhlin 2016	0.331	0.225	3.7%	0.33 [-0.11, 0.77]	+
Faigenbaum 2007	0.386	0.157	4.1%	0.39 [0.08, 0.69]	
Fathi 2018	0.345	0.102	4.4%	0.34 [0.15, 0.54]	-
Fatouros 2000	2.964	0.42	2.4%	2.96 [2.14, 3.79]	
Faude 2014	0.286	0.253	3.5%	0.29 [-0.21, 0.78]	
Franco-Marquez 2015	0.564	0.104	4.4%	0.56 [0.36, 0.77]	-
Hammami 2017a	2.808	0.248	3.5%	2.81 [2.32, 3.29]	
Hammami 2018	1.987	0.213	3.8%	1.99 [1.57, 2.40]	
Kijowksi 2015	0.424	0.227	3.7%	0.42 [-0.02, 0.87]	
Li 2019	0.949	0.223	3.7%	0.95 [0.51, 1.39]	
Lloyd 2016 (G1)	0.921	0.221	3.7%	0.92 [0.49, 1.35]	
Lloyd 2016 (G2)	0.771	0.215	3.8%	0.77 [0.35, 1.19]	_
Lyttle 1996	0.536	0.188	4.0%	0.54 [0.17, 0.90]	
Rodriguez-Rosell 2016a	0.78	0.144	4.2%	0.78 [0.50, 1.06]	
Rodriguez-Rosell 2016b	0.494	0.206	3.8%	0.49 [0.09, 0.90]	
Roman 2017	0.596	0.07	4.6%	0.60 [0.46, 0.73]	-
Sanchez-Sixto 2021	0.97	0.172	4.1%	0.97 [0.63, 1.31]	
Santos 2008	0.519	0.138	4.3%	0.52 [0.25, 0.79]	
Talpey 2016	0.781	0.239	3.6%	0.78 [0.31, 1.25]	_
Veliz 2014a	0.491	0.129	4.3%	0.49 [0.24, 0.74]	-
Veliz 2014b	0.861	0.199	3.9%	0.86 [0.47, 1.25]	
Zghal 2019	0.998	0.161	4.1%	1.00 [0.68, 1.31]	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	0.85 [0.66, 1.03]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.1	9; Chi² =	199.39	, df = 25 (l	P < 0.00001); l² = 87% ⁻	
Test for overall effect: Z =	8.98 (P	< 0.000	01)		-2 -1 0 1 2 Favours [RT] Favours [CT]

peaked at week 8, and then there was a downward trend. It should be noted that data after eight weeks were limited.

Discussion

This is the first meta-analysis review to compare the effects of PLT vs CT on the explosive power of the lower limbs. According to research findings, during the 10-week training period, the improvement in explosive power induced by unloaded PLT was similar to that caused by CT, but that induced by loaded PLT was better. In terms of maximum strength, the effect of CT was better than that of PLT. In addition, the time effect curves of PLT and CT on explosive power were basically consistent, with an ascending trend in 10 weeks.

It is interesting to note that unloaded PLT and CT demonstrated similar effects on the explosive power of the lower limbs in 10 weeks, which is different from some previous studies (Hammami et al., 2019; Zghal et al., 2019). In theory, CT should be better than PLT in improving explosive power because CT combines the postactivation potentiation (PAP) induced by high-intensity RT and the stretchshortening cycle in PLT. However, that is not the case. There are several explanations that could underpin these findings. One reason is that PAP did not play its due effect or PAP is just a warm-up effect. High-intensity muscle contractions stimulate the central nervous system—leading to greater motor unit recruitment of the muscle during subsequent exercise—to increase neuromuscular force, a phenomenon referred to as PAP (Hilfiker et al., 2007). PAP is an acute response and therefore time-sensitive. The optimal PAP interval time between RT and PLT is 4–10 min (Jensen and Ebben, 2003; Jo et al., 2010). Most of interval time of the included studies in this review were shorter than this standard, and inadequate interval rest may result in PAP not working. In addition, Docherty and Hodgson (2007) believed that PAP was only a warm-up effect rather than a true chronic enhancement effect. Studies by Deutsch and Lloyd (2008) and Alemdaroglu et al. (2013) confirmed Dochert's hypothesis. Their studies found no difference in the effect of different sequences of CT (RT followed by PLT or PLT followed by RT). This indirectly indicates that PAP does not play a significant role in CT.

The review also showed that the effects of CT on maximum strength significantly higher that of PLT. This is mainly because resistance training in CT increases the maximum muscle strength. The development of explosive power is based on maximum strength (Taber et al., 2016), so CT is the better strategy for developing explosive performance more than PLT in the long term. As for why there was no difference between the two training methods in the short term, another reason may be the combined effect of maximum strength and muscle fiber type. RT can reduce the proportion of IIx muscle fibers (fast glycolysis type) (Lamas et al., 2010), which is not conducive to the improvement of RFD, namely, the improvement of explosive power. While PLT can maintain the ratio

					0.12
01 1 0 1		05	14/-1-1-6	SMD	SMD
Study or Subgroup	SMD	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
2.1.1 Unloaded-PLT					
Asadi 2017	-3.259	0.582	1.6%	-3.26 [-4.40, -2.12]	
Asadi 2018 (G1)	-0.225	0.201	2.5%	-0.23 [-0.62, 0.17]	
Asadi 2018 (G2)	-0.623	0.21	2.5%	-0.62 [-1.03, -0.21]	
Asadi 2018 (G3)	-2.22	0.323	2.2%	-2.22 [-2.85, -1.59]	
Cherni 2021	-0.658	0.141	2.6%	-0.66 [-0.93, -0.38]	-
Chtara 2017	-0.767	0.215	2.5%	-0.77 [-1.19, -0.35]	
Coratella 2018 (G1)	0	0.105	2.7%	0.00 [-0.21, 0.21]	+
Drouzas 2020	-0.392	0.089	2.7%	-0.39 [-0.57, -0.22]	-
Fathi 2019	0	0.1	2.7%	0.00 [-0.20, 0.20]	+
Hammami 2016	-1.193	0.157	2.6%	-1.19 [-1.50, -0.89]	-
Hammami 2019	-2.8	0.233	2.5%	-2.80 [-3.26, -2.34]	
Hammami 2020a	-1.051	0.19	2.6%	-1.05 [-1.42, -0.68]	-
Hammami 2020b (G1)	-0 769	0 153	2.6%	-0 77 [-1 07 -0 47]	-
Houghton 2013	-0.5	0.100	2.0%	-0.50[-1.08, 0.08]	
lacono 2017	-2 274	0.200	2.0%	-2.27 [-2.90 -1.56]	
	0 705	0.300	2.170	-2.27 [-2.33, -1.30]	
Karadonizii 2016	-0.700	0.100	2.0%	-0.79 [-1.11, -0.40]	—
	-2.088	0.221	2.5%	-2.09 [-2.52, -1.05]	
	-0.899	0.275	2.4%	-0.90 [-1.44, -0.36]	
Meszler 2019	0.431	0.227	2.5%	0.43 [-0.01, 0.88]	_
Negra 2017	-0.686	0.118	2.7%	-0.69 [-0.92, -0.45]	
Negra 2020a	-0.5	0.159	2.6%	-0.50 [-0.81, -0.19]	
Negra 2020b	-0.637	0.191	2.6%	-0.64 [-1.01, -0.26]	
Negra 2020c (G1)	-0.633	0.131	2.7%	-0.63 [-0.89, -0.38]	-
Ozbar 2015	-0.6	0.209	2.5%	-0.60 [-1.01, -0.19]	
Palma-Munoz 2018 (G1)	-0.392	0.291	2.3%	-0.39 [-0.96, 0.18]	
Palma-Munoz 2018 (G2)	-0.5	0.258	2.4%	-0.50 [-1.01, 0.01]	
Ramirez-Campillo 2015a	-1.485	0.122	2.7%	-1.49 [-1.72, -1.25]	-
Ramirez-Campillo 2015b	-0.921	0.116	2.7%	-0.92 [-1.15, -0.69]	-
Ramirez-Campillo 2018	-3.3	0.59	1.6%	-3.30 [-4.46, -2.14]	
Ramirez-Campillo 2019	-0.075	0.105	2.7%	-0.07 [-0.28, 0.13]	+
Rosas 2017	-0.3	0.253	2.4%	-0.30 [-0.80, 0.20]	
Sobnlein 2014	-0.667	0.176	2.6%	-0.67 [-1.01 -0.32]	
Teo 2016	-0 759	0.165	2.6%	-0.76[-1.08,-0.44]	-
Veezi 2012	0.755	0.105	2.070	0.70[-1.00, -0.44]	
	-0.300	0.17	2.0 /0		
	-0.1	0.125	2.7%	-0.10 [-0.34, 0.14]	1
Vera-Assaoka 2020 (G2)	-0.044	0.091	2.1%	-0.04 [-0.22, 0.13]	-
	-0.9	0.169	2.6%	-0.90 [-1.23, -0.57]	<u> </u>
Whitehead 2018	0	0.2	2.5%	0.00 [-0.39, 0.39]	_ T
Yanci 2017	-2.66	0.135	2.7%	-2.66 [-2.92, -2.40]	
Zghal 2019	-0.117	0.222	2.5%	-0.12 [-0.55, 0.32]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			100.0%	-0.83 [-1.05, -0.61]	▼
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.4	15; Chi² = 7	38.61,	df = 39 (P	< 0.00001); l² = 95%	
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 7.45 (P <	0.0000	1)		
2.1.2 Loaded-PLT					
Aloui 2021	-2.454	0.206	25.2%	-2.45 [-2.86, -2.05]	-
Coratella 2018 (G2)	-1	0.118	26.0%	-1.00 [-1.23, -0.77]	-
Hammami 2020b (G2)	-3.087	0.313	23.8%	-3.09 [-3.70, -2.47]	
Negra 2020c (G2)	-2	0.231	24.9%	-2.00 [-2.45, -1.55]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	-		100.0%	-2.11 [-3.06, -1.17]	◆
Heterogeneity: $Tau^2 = 0.8$	38: Chi² = 6	6.61 d	f = 3 (P < 1	0.00001); $l^2 = 96\%$	
Test for overall effect: 7 =	= 4.38 (P <	0.0001)	0.00001,1 - 0070	
rest for overall encol. Ζ =	1.00 (1 <	0.0001	/		
					-4 -2 0 2 4
					Favours [PLT] Favours [RT]
plyometric training (PLT) on	sprint perf	ormand	ce.		

of three muscle fibers (IIx, IIa, I), which can greatly improve RFD (Zaras et al., 2013). The ratio of muscle fibers induced by CT should be between that induced by PLT and RT. Therefore, it can be concluded that the performance of fast muscles induced by CT is weaker than that induced by PLT. However, the explosive power also depends on the level of maximum strength. The improvement of maximum strength makes up

for the weakness of fast muscle performance in CT. Therefore, CT and PLT have similar effects on improving explosive power in the short term. However, for long-term explosive training, the improvement of explosive power by PLT will be limited due to the limitation of maximum strength. Therefore, CT is a more appropriate choice for developing explosive power in the long term.

				SMD	SMD
Study or Subgroup	SMD	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Ali 2019	-0.811	0.18	5.4%	-0.81 [-1.16, -0.46]	
Alves 2010	-1.892	0.322	4.5%	-1.89 [-2.52, -1.26]	
Faigenbaum 2007	0	0.154	5.5%	0.00 [-0.30, 0.30]	
Fathi 2018	-1	0.113	5.7%	-1.00 [-1.22, -0.78]	-
Faude 2014	-0.081	0.25	5.0%	-0.08 [-0.57, 0.41]	
Franco-MArquez 2015	-0.333	0.101	5.7%	-0.33 [-0.53, -0.14]	-
Hammami 2017a	-0.749	0.134	5.6%	-0.75 [-1.01, -0.49]	-
Hammami 2017b	-3.75	0.29	4.7%	-3.75 [-4.32, -3.18]	←
Hammami 2018	-2.543	0.258	4.9%	-2.54 [-3.05, -2.04]	
Li 2019	-0.647	0.211	5.2%	-0.65 [-1.06, -0.23]	- <u>-</u> -
Lloyd 2016 (G1)	-0.5	0.206	5.2%	-0.50 [-0.90, -0.10]	_ _
Lloyd 2016 (G2)	-1	0.225	5.1%	-1.00 [-1.44, -0.56]	
Lyttle 1996	-0.19	0.183	5.4%	-0.19 [-0.55, 0.17]	
Rodriguez-Rosell 2016 (G1)	-0.767	0.143	5.5%	-0.77 [-1.05, -0.49]	
Rodriguez-Rosell 2016 (G2)	-0.822	0.217	5.2%	-0.82 [-1.25, -0.40]	
Roman 2017	-0.883	0.073	5.8%	-0.88 [-1.03, -0.74]	-
Talpey 2016	-0.243	0.224	5.1%	-0.24 [-0.68, 0.20]	
Voelzke 2011	-0.017	0.25	5.0%	-0.02 [-0.51, 0.47]	
Zghal 2019	-0.117	0.143	5.5%	-0.12 [-0.40, 0.16]	
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	-0.83 [-1.11, -0.55]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.35; C	hi² = 268	47, df =	: 18 (P < 0	.00001); l² = 93%	
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.7	9 (P < 0.0	0001)			Favours [CT] Favours [RT]
	whet we are -				

				SMD	SMD
Study or Subgroup	SMD	SE	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
3.1.1 Unloaded-PLT					
Asadi 2017	1.835	0.355	6.2%	1.83 [1.14, 2.53]	
Chelly 2015	-0.166	0.143	8.3%	-0.17 [-0.45, 0.11]	
Cherni 2021	-0.811	0.144	8.3%	-0.81 [-1.09, -0.53]	
Coratella 2018 (G1)	0.428	0.108	8.6%	0.43 [0.22, 0.64]	-
Drouzas 2020	0.626	0.091	8.7%	0.63 [0.45, 0.80]	-
Hammami 2019	0.428	0.146	8.3%	0.43 [0.14, 0.71]	
Houghton 2013	-0.342	0.29	6.9%	-0.34 [-0.91, 0.23]	
Negra 2020	0.211	0.183	8.0%	0.21 [-0.15, 0.57]	+
Sanchez-Sixto 2021	-0.811	0.197	7.9%	-0.81 [-1.20, -0.42]	_ .
Vaczi 2013	0.341	0.47	5.0%	0.34 [-0.58, 1.26]	
Wilson 1996	0.072	0.143	8.3%	0.07 [-0.21, 0.35]	
Young 1999	-0.365	0.185	8.0%	-0.36 [-0.73, -0.00]	
Zghal 2019	0.002	0.222	7.6%	0.00 [-0.43, 0.44]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			100.0%	0.08 [-0.23, 0.40]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.29; Ch	i² = 144	.98, df = 1	2 (P < 0.00001); l ² = 92%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.52	(P = 0.6	61)		
3.1.2 Loaded-PLT					
Coratella 2018 (G2)	0.841	0.115	100.0%	0.84 [0.62, 1.07]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			100.0%	0.84 [0.62, 1.07]	•
Heterogeneity: Not ap	plicable				
Test for overall effect:	Z = 7.31	(P < 0.0	00001)		
					Favours [KT] Favours [FLT]
E 6			atus a sti		
or pryometric training (PLI) on m	aximum	strength.		





In the subgroup analysis of this review, the effects of loaded PLT and CT on explosive power and maximum strength were compared. Although the data of loaded PLT are limited, it could still show that the improvement of explosive power caused by loaded PLT is significantly better than that caused by CT (jump ability: 1.35 vs .85; sprint ability: -2.11 vs. -.83). The extra weight during PLT will increase the inertia and ground reaction force during the SSC eccentric stage and the resistance at the SSC concentric stage, and this high-intensity stimulation can lead to better muscular adaptation for explosive power (Negra et al., 2020). In contrast, CT only provides high concentric resistance stimulation in the RT phase, while the SSC effect in the later PLT phase was in the non-weight-bearing state. As a result, the overall muscle adaptation of CT is slightly lower than that of loaded PLT, which well explains the superiority of loaded PLT also has



drawbacks. The premise of the optimal effect of SSC is the rapid connection between eccentric and concentric stages. If the connection time is too long or the motion range of the joint is too large, the more elastic potential energy will be lost in the form of heat energy, thus weakening the SSC effect (Komi, 2003). At present, there is no consensus on the optimal extra load for PLT. However, according to the existing research data, no sports injury was found in loaded PLT with 0%–25% extra body weight (Rosas et al., 2016; Coratella et al., 2018; Negra et al., 2020). It is suggested that coaches should choose the PLT load carefully according to the specific situation of the athlete.

In terms of time effects, the effect curves of unloaded PLT and CT on explosive power (jump ability and sprint ability) are basically consistent and with an ascending trend in 10 weeks. This proved again



unloaded PLT and CT have similar effect on explosive power and showed that more than 10 weeks of training may be beneficial for the development of explosive power. In general, Low load training while maintaining intensity is recommended during a short season because it does not create much training fatigue and affect competition performance (Zhou and Zhang, 2017). Therefore, unloaded PLT is suitable for explosive training in a short season. While long-term explosive training is based on maximum strength, CT is more suitable for annual training or long training cycles.

Conclusion

The findings of this review suggests that unloaded PLT and CT have a similar significant effect on explosive performance (jump ability, sprint ability) in short term (within 10 weeks), but loaded PLT has a better effect. Furthermore, CT has significant beneficial effect on maximum strength compared to PLT. Therefore, we suggest applying unloaded or light-loaded PLT for explosive training in short

References

Alemdaroglu, U., Dündar, U., Köklü, Y., Aşci, A., and Findikoğlu, G. (2013). The effect of exercise order incorporating plyometric and resistance training on isokinetic leg strength and vertical jump performance: A comparative study. *Isokinet. Exerc. Sci.* 21 (3), 211–217. doi:10.3233/ies-130509

Ali, K., Verma, S., Ahmad, I., Singla, D., Saleem, M., and Hussain, M. E. (2019). Comparison of complex versus contrast training on steroid hormones and sports performance in male soccer players. J. Chiropr. Med. 18 (2), 131–138. doi:10.1016/j.jcm.2018.12.001

Aloui, G., Hermassi, S., Hayes, L. D., Bouhafs, E. G., Chelly, M. S., and Schwesig, R. (2021). Loaded plyometrics and short sprints with change-of-direction training enhance jumping, sprinting, agility, and balance performance of male soccer players. *Appl. Sci.* 11 (12), 5587. doi:10.3390/app11125587

Alves, J. M. V. M., Rebelo, A. N., Abrantes, C., and Sampaio, J. (2010). Short-term effects of complex and contrast training in soccer players' vertical jump, sprint, and agility abilities. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 24 (4), 936–941. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181c7c5fd

Arabatzi, F., Kellis, E., and De Villarreal, E. S.-S. (2010). Vertical jump biomechanics after plyometric, weight lifting, and combined (weight lifting+ plyometric) training. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 24 (9), 2440–2448. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181e274ab

Asadi, A., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Arazi, H., and Sáez de Villarreal, E. (2018). The effects of maturation on jumping ability and sprint adaptations to plyometric training in youth soccer players. J. sports Sci. 36 (21), 2405–2411. doi:10.1080/02640414.2018.1459151

Asadi, A., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Meylan, C., Nakamura, F. Y., Cañas-Jamett, R., and Izquierdo, M. (2016). Effects of volume-based overload plyometric training on maximalintensity exercise adaptations in young basketball players. *J. sports Med. Phys. Fit.* 57 (12), 1557–1563. doi:10.23736/S0022-4707.16.06640-8 season and applying CT in an annual or long training cycle. In addition, more than 10 weeks of training may be more beneficial for the improvement of power.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

XW and CL have given substantial contributions to the conception or the design of the manuscript, XQ, SJ, and DD to acquisition, analysis and interpretation of the data. XW drafted the manuscript, and CL revised it critically. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Behm, D. G., Young, J. D., Whitten, J. H., Reid, J. C., Quigley, P. J., Low, J., et al. (2017). Effectiveness of traditional strength vs. power training on muscle strength, power and speed with youth: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Physiology* 8, 423. doi:10. 3389/fphys.2017.00423

Blattner, S. E., and Noble, L. (1979). Relative effects of isokinetic and plyometric training on vertical jumping performance. *Res. Q. Am. Alliance Health, Phys. Educ. Recreat. Dance* 50 (4), 583–588. doi:10.1080/00345377.1979.10615653

Campo, S. S., Vaeyens, R., Philippaerts, R. M., Redondo, J. C., de Benito, A. M., and Cuadrado, G. (2009). Effects of lower-limb plyometric training on body composition, explosive strength, and kicking speed in female soccer players. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 23 (6), 1714–1722. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181b3f537

Carlson, K., Magnusen, M., and Walters, P. (2009). Effect of various training modalities on vertical jump. *Res. Sports Med.* 17 (2), 84–94. doi:10.1080/15438620902900351

Carter, J., and Greenwood, M. (2014). Complex training reexamined: Review and recommendations to improve strength and power. *Strength & Cond. J.* 36 (2), 11–19. doi:10.1519/ssc.00000000000036

Chelly, M. S., Ghenem, M. A., Abid, K., Hermassi, S., Tabka, Z., and Shephard, R. J. (2010). Effects of in-season short-term plyometric training program on leg power, jumpand sprint performance of soccer players. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 24 (10), 2670–2676. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181e2728f

Chelly, M. S., Hermassi, S., Aouadi, R., and Shephard, R. J. (2014). Effects of 8-week inseason plyometric training on upper and lower limb performance of elite adolescent handball players. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 28 (5), 1401–1410. doi:10.1519/JSC. 000000000000279 Chelly, M. S., Hermassi, S., and Shephard, R. J. (2015). Effects of in-season short-term plyometric training program on sprint and jump performance of young male track athletes. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 29 (8), 2128–2136. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000000860

Cherni, Y., Hammami, M., Jelid, M. C., Aloui, G., Suzuki, K., Shephard, R. J., et al. (2021). Neuromuscular adaptations and enhancement of physical performance in female basketball players after 8 weeks of plyometric training. *Front. Physiology* 11, 588787. doi:10.3389/fphys.2020.588787

Chtara, M., Rouissi, M., Haddad, M., Chtara, H., Chaalali, A., Owen, A., et al. (2017). Specific physical trainability in elite young soccer players: Efficiency over 6 weeks' inseason training. *Biol. sport* 34 (2), 137–148. doi:10.5114/biolsport.2017.64587

Coratella, G., Beato, M., Milanese, C., Longo, S., Limonta, E., Rampichini, S., et al. (2018). Specific adaptations in performance and muscle architecture after weighted jumpsquat vs. body mass squat jump training in recreational soccer players. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 32 (4), 921–929. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000002463

da Silva Santos, J. F., Valenzuela, T. H., Franchini, E. J. T. J. o. S., and Research, C. (2015). Can different conditioning activities and rest intervals affect the acute performance of taekwondo turning kick? *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 29 (6), 1640–1647. doi:10.1519/JSC. 00000000000808

Daehlin, T., Haugen, O., Haugerud, S., Hollan, I., Raastad, T., and Ronnestad, B. (2016). Combined plyometric & strength training improves ice-hockey players' on-ice sprint. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.*, 1–22.

de Villarreal, E. S., Suarez-Arrones, L., Requena, B., Haff, G. G., and Ferrete, C. (2015). Effects of plyometric and sprint training on physical and technical skill performance in adolescent soccer players. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 29 (7), 1894–1903. doi:10.1519/JSC. 00000000000838

Dello Iacono, A., Martone, D., Milic, M., and Padulo, J. (2017). Vertical-vs. Horizontaloriented drop jump training: Chronic effects on explosive performances of elite handball players. J. strength Cond. Res. 31 (4), 921–931. doi:10.1519/JSC.000000000001555

Deutsch, M., and Lloyd, R. (2008). Effect of order of exercise on performance during a complex training session in rugby players. *J. sports Sci.* 26 (8), 803–809. doi:10.1080/02640410801942130

Docherty, D., and Hodgson, M. J. (2007). The application of postactivation potentiation to elite sport. Int. J. Sports Physiology Perform. 2 (4), 439-444. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2.4.439

Drouzas, V., Katsikas, C., Zafeiridis, A., Jamurtas, A. Z., and Bogdanis, G. C. (2020). Unilateral plyometric training is superior to volume-matched bilateral training for improving strength, speed and power of lower limbs in preadolescent soccer athletes. *J. Hum. Kinet.* 74 (1), 161–176. doi:10.2478/hukin-2020-0022

Faigenbaum, A. D., McFarland, J. E., Keiper, F. B., Tevlin, W., Ratamess, N. A., Kang, J., et al. (2007). Effects of a short-term plyometric and resistance training program on fitness performance in boys age 12 to 15 years. *J. sports Sci. Med.* 6 (4), 519–525.

Fathi, A., Hammami, R., Moran, J., Borji, R., Sahli, S., and Rebai, H. (2019). Effect of a 16-week combined strength and plyometric training program followed by a detraining period on athletic performance in pubertal volleyball players. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 33 (8), 2117–2127. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000002461

Fatouros, I. G., Jamurtas, A. Z., Leontsini, D., Taxildaris, K., Aggelousis, N., Kostopoulos, N., et al. (2000). Evaluation of plyometric exercise training, weight training, and their combination on vertical jumping performance and leg strength. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 14 (4), 470–476. doi:10.1519/00124278-200011000-00016

Faude, O., Roth, R., Di Giovine, D., Zahner, L., and Donath, L. (2013). Combined strength and power training in high-level amateur football during the competitive season: A randomised-controlled trial. *J. sports Sci.* 31 (13), 1460–1467. doi:10.1080/02640414. 2013.796065

Fernandez-Fernandez, J., De Villarreal, E. S., Sanz-Rivas, D., and Moya, M. (2016). The effects of 8-week plyometric training on physical performance in young tennis players. *Pediatr. Exerc. Sci.* 28 (1), 77–86. doi:10.1123/pes.2015-0019

Franco-Márquez, F., Rodríguez-Rosell, D., González-Suárez, J. M., Pareja-Blanco, F., Mora-Custodio, R., Yañez-García, J., et al. (2015). Effects of combined resistance training and plyometrics on physical performance in young soccer players. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 94 (11), 906–914. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1548890

Gehri, D. J., Ricard, M. D., Kleiner, D. M., and Kirkendall, D. T. (1998). A comparison of plyometric training techniques for improving vertical jump ability and energy production. *J. strength Cond. Res.* 12, 85–89. doi:10.1519/1533-4287(1998)012<0085:acoptt>2.3.co;2

González-Ravé, J. M., Naclerio, F., Parrilla, I., Amores, I. Y., and González-Mohíno, F. (2019). Adaptations of short-term high-velocity isokinetic training vs. short-term plyometric training on vertical jump and isokinetic performance in physically active people. *Isokinet. Exerc. Sci.* 27 (2), 117–123. doi:10.3233/ies-192107

Hall, E., Bishop, D. C., and Gee, T. I. (2016). Effect of plyometric training on handspring vault performance and functional power in youth female gymnasts. *PloS one* 11 (2), e0148790. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0148790

Hammami, M., Gaamouri, N., Shephard, R. J., and Chelly, M. S. (2019). Effects of contrast strength vs. plyometric training on lower-limb explosive performance, ability to change direction and neuromuscular adaptation in soccer players. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 33 (8), 2094–2103. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000002425

Hammami, M., Gaamouri, N., Suzuki, K., Aouadi, R., Shephard, R. J., and Chelly, M. S. (2020a). Effects of unloaded vs. ankle-loaded plyometric training on the physical fitness of U-17 male soccer players. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17 (21), 7877. doi:10.3390/ ijerph17217877

Hammami, M., Gaamouri, N., Suzuki, K., Shephard, R. J., and Chelly, M. S. (2020b). Effects of upper and lower limb plyometric training program on components of physical performance in young female handball players. *Front. Physiology* 11, 1028. doi:10.3389/ fphys.2020.01028

Hammami, M., Negra, Y., Aouadi, R., Shephard, R. J., and Chelly, M. S. (2016). Effects of an in-season plyometric training program on repeated change of direction and sprint performance in the junior soccer player. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 30 (12), 3312–3320. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000001470

Hammami, M., Negra, Y., Shephard, R. J., and Chelly, M.-S. (2017a). Effects of leg contrast strength training on sprint, agility and repeated change of direction performance in male soccer players. *J. sports Med. Phys. Fit.* 57 (11), 1424–1431. doi:10.23736/S0022-4707.17.06951-1

Hammami, M., Negra, Y., Shephard, R. J., and Chelly, M. S. (2017b). The effect of standard strength vs. contrast strength training on the development of sprint, agility, repeated change of direction, and jump in junior male soccer players. *J. strength Cond. Res.* 31 (4), 901–912. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000001815

Hilfiker, R., Hübner, K., Lorenz, T., and Marti, B. (2007). Effects of drop jumps added to the warm-up of elite sport athletes with a high capacity for explosive force development. *J. strength Cond. Res.* 21 (2), 550–555. doi:10.1519/R-20215.1

Houghton, L. A., Dawson, B. T., and Rubenson, J. (2013). Effects of plyometric training on achilles tendon properties and shuttle running during a simulated cricket batting innings. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 27 (4), 1036–1046. doi:10.1519/JSC. 0b013e3182651e7a

Idrizovic, K., Gjinovci, B., Sekulic, D., Uljevic, O., João, P. V., Spasic, M., et al. (2018). The effects of 3-month skill-based and plyometric conditioning on fitness parameters in junior female volleyball players. *Pediatr. Exerc. Sci.* 30 (3), 353–363. doi:10.1123/pes.2017-0178

Jensen, R. L., and Ebben, W. P. (2003). Kinetic analysis of complex training rest interval effect on vertical jump performance. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 17 (2), 345–349. doi:10.1519/1533-4287(2003)017<0345:kaoctr>2.0.co;2

Jlid, M. C., Coquart, J., Maffulli, N., Paillard, T., Bisciotti, G. N., and Chamari, K. (2020). Effects of in season multi-directional plyometric training on vertical jump performance, change of direction speed and dynamic postural control in U-21 soccer Players. *Front. Physiology* 11, 374. doi:10.3389/fphys.2020.00374

Jlid, M. C., Racil, G., Coquart, J., Paillard, T., Bisciotti, G. N., and Chamari, K. (2019). Multidirectional plyometric training: Very efficient way to improve vertical jump performance, change of direction performance and dynamic postural control in young soccer players. *Front. Physiology* 10, 1462. doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.01462

Jo, E., Judelson, D. A., Brown, L. E., Coburn, J. W., and Dabbs, N. C. (2010). Influence of recovery duration after a potentiating stimulus on muscular power in recreationally trained individuals. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 24 (2), 343–347. doi:10.1519/JSC. 0b013e3181cc22a4

Karadenizli, Z. I. (2016). The effects of plyometric training on balance, anaerobic power and physical fitness parameters in handball. *Anthropol.* 24 (3), 751–761. doi:10.1080/ 09720073.2016.11892072

Kato, T., Terashima, T., Yamashita, T., Hatanaka, Y., Honda, A., and Umemura, Y. (2006). Effect of low-repetition jump training on bone mineral density in young women. *J. Appl. physiology* 100 (3), 839–843. doi:10.1152/japplphysiol.00666.2005

Khlifa, R., Aouadi, R., Hermassi, S., Chelly, M. S., Jlid, M. C., Hbacha, H., et al. (2010). Effects of a plyometric training program with and without added load on jumping ability in basketball players. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 24 (11), 2955–2961. doi:10.1519/JSC. 0b013e3181e37fbe

Khodaei, K., Mohammadi, A., and Badri, N. (2017). A comparison of assisted, resisted, and common plyometric training modes to enhance sprint and agility performance. *J. sports Med. Phys. Fit.* 57 (10), 1237–1244. doi:10.23736/S0022-4707.17.06901-8

Kijowksi, K. N., Capps, C. R., Goodman, C. L., Erickson, T. M., Knorr, D. P., Triplett, N. T., et al. (2015). Short-term resistance and plyometric training improves eccentric phase kinetics in jumping. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 29 (8), 2186–2196. doi:10.1519/JSC. 000000000000904

Kobal, R., Pereira, L. A., Zanetti, V., Ramirez-Campillo, R., and Loturco, I. (2017). Effects of unloaded vs. loaded plyometrics on speed and power performance of elite young soccer players. *Front. Physiology* 8, 742. doi:10.3389/fphys.2017.00742

Komi, P. V. (2003). Stretch-shortening cycle. Strength power sport 2, 184–202. doi:10. 1002/9780470757215.ch10

Kompf, J., and Arandjelović, O. (2016). Understanding and overcoming the sticking point in resistance exercise. *Sports Med.* 46 (6), 751–762. doi:10.1007/s40279-015-0460-2

Lamas, L., Aoki, M. S., Ugrinowitsch, C., Campos, G., Regazzini, M., Moriscot, A. S., et al. (2010). Expression of genes related to muscle plasticity after strength and power training regimens. *Scand. J. Med. Sci. sports* 20 (2), 216–225. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2009. 00905.x

Latorre Román, P. Á., Villar Macias, F. J., and García Pinillos, F. (2018). Effects of a contrast training programme on jumping, sprinting and agility performance of prepubertal basketball players. *J. sports Sci.* 36 (7), 802–808. doi:10.1080/02640414. 2017.1340662

Li, F., Wang, R., Newton, R. U., Sutton, D., Shi, Y., and Ding, H. (2019). Effects of complex training versus heavy resistance training on neuromuscular adaptation, running

economy and 5-km performance in well-trained distance runners. *PeerJ* 7, e6787. doi:10. 7717/peerj.6787

Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P., et al. (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 62 (10), e1–e34. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.06.006

Lloyd, R. S., Radnor, J. M., Croix, M. B. D. S., Cronin, J. B., and Oliver, J. L. (2016). Changes in sprint and jump performances after traditional, plyometric, and combined resistance training in male youth pre-and post-peak height velocity. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 30 (5), 1239–1247. doi:10.1519/JSC.000000000001216

Lyttle, A. D., Wilson, G. J., Ostrowski, K. J. J. J. o. s., and research, c. (1996). Enhancing performance: Maximal power versus combined weights and plyometrics training. *J. strength Cond. Res.* 10, 173–179. doi:10.1519/1533-4287(1996)010<0173:epmpvc>2.3. co;2

Maciejczyk, M., Błyszczuk, R., Drwal, A., Nowak, B., and Strzała, M. (2021). Effects of short-term plyometric training on agility, jump and repeated sprint performance in female soccer players. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 18 (5), 2274. doi:10.3390/ ijerph18052274

Maffiuletti, N. A., Aagaard, P., Blazevich, A. J., Folland, J., Tillin, N., and Duchateau, J. (2016). Rate of force development: Physiological and methodological considerations. *Eur. J. Appl. physiology* 116 (6), 1091-1116. doi:10.1007/s00421-016-3346-6

Makaruk, H., Czaplicki, A., Sacewicz, T., and Sadowski, J. (2014). The effects of single versus repeated plyometrics on landing biomechanics and jumping performance in men. *Biol. sport* 31 (1), 9–14. doi:10.5604/20831862.1083273

Mazurek, K., Zmijewski, P., Makaruk, H., Mróz, A., Czajkowska, A., Witek, K., et al. (2018). Effects of short-term plyometric training on physical performance in male handball players. *J. Hum. Kinet.* 63 (1), 137–148. doi:10.2478/hukin-2018-0014

McKinlay, B. J., Wallace, P., Dotan, R., Long, D., Tokuno, C., Gabriel, D. A., et al. (2018). Effects of plyometric and resistance training on muscle strength, explosiveness, and neuromuscular function in young adolescent soccer players. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 32 (11), 3039–3050. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000002428

Meszler, B., and Váczi, M. (2019). Effects of short-term in-season plyometric training in adolescent female basketball players. *Physiol. Int.* 106 (2), 168–179. doi:10.1556/2060.106. 2019.14

Morris, S. J., Oliver, J. L., Pedley, J. S., Haff, G. G., and Lloyd, R. S. (2022). Comparison of weightlifting, traditional resistance training and plyometrics on strength, power and speed: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* 52, 1533–1554. doi:10.1007/s40279-021-01627-2

Negra, Y., Chaabene, H., Fernandez-Fernandez, J., Sammoud, S., Bouguezzi, R., Prieske, O., et al. (2020a). Short-term plyometric jump training improves repeated-sprint ability in prepuberal male soccer players. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 34 (11), 3241–3249. doi:10.1519/ JSC.0000000000202703

Negra, Y., Chaabene, H., Sammoud, S., Bouguezzi, R., Abbes, M. A., Hachana, Y., et al. (2017). Effects of plyometric training on physical fitness in prepuberal soccer athletes. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 38 (05), 370–377. doi:10.1055/s-0042-122337

Negra, Y., Chaabene, H., Sammoud, S., Prieske, O., Moran, J., Ramirez-Campillo, R., et al. (2020). The increased effectiveness of loaded versus unloaded plyometric jump training in improving muscle power, speed, change of direction, and kicking-distance performance in prepubertal male soccer players. *Int. J. sports physiology Perform.* 15 (2), 189–195. doi:10.1123/ijspp.2018-0866

Negra, Y., Chaabene, H., Stöggl, T., Hammami, M., Chelly, M. S., and Hachana, Y. (2020b). Effectiveness and time-course adaptation of resistance training vs. plyometric training in prepubertal soccer players. *J. Sport Health Sci.* 9 (6), 620–627. doi:10.1016/j.jshs. 2016.07.008

Ozbar, N., Ates, S., and Agopyan, A. (2014). The effect of 8-week plyometric training on leg power, jump and sprint performance in female soccer players. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 28 (10), 2888–2894. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000000541

Ozbar, N. (2015). Effects of plyometric training on explosive strength, speed and kicking speed in female soccer players. *Anthropol.* 19 (2), 333–339. doi:10.1080/09720073.2015. 11891666

Palma-Muñoz, I., Ramírez-Campillo, R., Azocar-Gallardo, J., Álvarez, C., Asadi, A., Moran, J., et al. (2021). Effects of progressed and nonprogressed volume-based overload plyometric training on components of physical fitness and body composition variables in youth male basketball players. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 35 (6), 1642–1649. doi:10.1519/JSC. 0000000000002950

Pardos-Mainer, E., Lozano, D., Torrontegui-Duarte, M., Cartón-Llorente, A., and Roso-Moliner, A. (2021). Effects of strength vs. plyometric training programs on vertical jumping, linear sprint and change of direction speed performance in female soccer players: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 18 (2), 401. doi:10.3390/ijerph18020401

Pereira, A., M Costa, A., Santos, P., Figueiredo, T., and Vicente João, P. (2015). Training strategy of explosive strength in young female volleyball players. *Medicina* 51 (2), 126–131. doi:10.1016/j.medici.2015.03.004

Ramirez Campillo, R., Moran, J., Chaabene, H., Granacher, U., Behm, D. G., García-Hermoso, A., et al. (2020). Methodological characteristics and future directions for plyometric jump training research. *A scoping Rev. update* 30 (6), 983–997.

Ramirez-Campillo, R., Alvarez, C., García-Pinillos, F., Gentil, P., Moran, J., Pereira, L. A., et al. (2019). Effects of plyometric training on physical performance of young male soccer players: Potential effects of different drop jump heights. *Pediatr. Exerc. Sci.* 31 (3), 306–313. doi:10.1123/pes.2018-0207

Ramirez-Campillo, R., García-Pinillos, F., García-Ramos, A., Yanci, J., Gentil, P., Chaabene, H., et al. (2018). Effects of different plyometric training frequencies on components of physical fitness in amateur female soccer players. *Front. Physiology* 9, 934. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.00934

Ramírez-Campillo, R., Vergara-Pedreros, M., Henríquez-Olguín, C., Martínez-Salazar, C., Alvarez, C., Nakamura, F. Y., et al. (2016). Effects of plyometric training on maximalintensity exercise and endurance in male and female soccer players. *J. sports Sci.* 34 (8), 687–693. doi:10.1080/02640414.2015.1068439

Rodríguez-Rosell, D., Franco-Márquez, F., Pareja-Blanco, F., Mora-Custodio, R., Yáñez-García, J. M., González-Suárez, J. M., et al. (2016). Effects of 6 weeks resistance training combined with plyometric and speed exercises on physical performance of pre-peak-height-velocity soccer players. *Int. J. sports physiology Perform.* 11 (2), 240–246. doi:10. 1123/ijspp.2015-0176

Rodríguez-Rosell, D., Torres-Torrelo, J., Franco-Márquez, F., González-Suárez, J. M., and González-Badillo, J. J. (2017). Effects of light-load maximal lifting velocity weight training vs. combined weight training and plyometrics on sprint, vertical jump and strength performance in adult soccer players. J. Sci. Med. sport 20 (7), 695–699. doi:10. 1016/j.jsams.2016.11.010

Rosas, F., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Diaz, D., Abad-Colil, F., Martinez-Salazar, C., Caniuqueo, A., et al. (2016). Jump training in youth soccer players: Effects of haltere type handheld loading. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 37 (13), 1060–1065. doi:10.1055/s-0042-111046

Rosas, F., Ramírez-Campillo, R., Martínez, C., Caniuqueo, A., Cañas-Jamet, R., McCrudden, E., et al. (2017). Effects of plyometric training and beta-alanine supplementation on maximal-intensity exercise and endurance in female soccer players. J. Hum. Kinet. 58 (1), 99–109. doi:10.1515/hukin-2017-0072

Rubley, M. D., Haase, A. C., Holcomb, W. R., Girouard, T. J., and Tandy, R. D. (2011). The effect of plyometric training on power and kicking distance in female adolescent soccer players. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 25 (1), 129–134. doi:10.1519/JSC. 0b013e3181b94a3d

Sammoud, S., Negra, Y., Bouguezzi, R., Hachana, Y., Granacher, U., and Chaabene, H. (2021). The effects of plyometric jump training on jump and sport-specific performances in prepubertal female swimmers. *J. Exerc. Sci. Fit.* 19 (1), 25–31. doi:10.1016/j.jesf.2020. 07.003

Sánchez-Sixto, A., Harrison, A. J., and Floría, P. (2021). Effects of plyometric vs. Combined plyometric training on vertical jump biomechanics in female basketball players. J. Hum. Kinet. 77 (1), 25–35. doi:10.2478/hukin-2021-0009

Santos, E. J., and Janeira, M. A. (2008). Effects of complex training on explosive strength in adolescent male basketball players. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 22 (3), 903–909. doi:10. 1519/JSC.0b013e31816a59f2

Söhnlein, Q., Müller, E., and Stöggl, T. L. (2014). The effect of 16-week plyometric training on explosive actions in early to mid-puberty elite soccer players. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 28 (8), 2105–2114. doi:10.1519/JSC.00000000000387

Stojanović, E., Ristić, V., McMaster, D. T., and Milanović, Z. (2017). Effect of plyometric training on vertical jump performance in female athletes: A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Sports Med.* 47 (5), 975–986. doi:10.1007/s40279-016-0634-6

Suchomel, T. J., Nimphius, S., and Stone, M. H. (2016). The importance of muscular strength in athletic performance. *Sports Med.* 46 (10), 1419–1449. doi:10.1007/s40279-016-0486-0

Taber, C., Bellon, C., Abbott, H., Bingham, G. E. J. S., and Journal, C. (2016). Roles of maximal strength and rate of force development in maximizing muscular power. *Strength* & *Cond. J.* 38 (1), 71–78. doi:10.1519/ssc.000000000000193

Talpey, S. W., Young, W. B., and Saunders, N. (2016). Is nine weeks of complex training effective for improving lower body strength, explosive muscle function, sprint and jumping performance? *Int. J. Sports Sci. Coach.* 11 (5), 736–745. doi:10.1177/1747954116667112

Teo, S. Y., Newton, M. J., Newton, R. U., Dempsey, A. R., and Fairchild, T. J. (2016). Comparing the effectiveness of a short-term vertical jump vs. weightlifting program on athletic power development. *J. strength Cond. Res.* 30 (10), 2741–2748. doi:10.1519/JSC. 000000000001379

Váczi, M., Tollár, J., Meszler, B., Juhász, I., and Karsai, I. (2013). Short-term high intensity plyometric training program improves strength, power and agility in male soccer players. *J. Hum. Kinet.* 36, 17–26. doi:10.2478/hukin-2013-0002

Veliz, R. R., Requena, B., Suarez-Arrones, L., Newton, R. U., and De Villarreal, E. S. (2014). Effects of 18-week in-season heavy-resistance and power training on throwing velocity, strength, jumping, and maximal sprint swim performance of elite male water polo players. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 28 (4), 1007–1014. doi:10.1519/JSC. 000000000000240

Veliz, R. R., Suarez-Arrones, L., Requena, B., Haff, G. G., Feito, J., and de Villarreal, E. S. (2015). Effects of in-competitive season power-oriented and heavy resistance lower-body training on performance of elite female water polo players. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 29 (2), 458–465. doi:10. 1519/JSC.00000000000643

Vera-Assaoka, T., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Alvarez, C., Garcia-Pinillos, F., Moran, J., Gentil, P., et al. (2020). Effects of maturation on physical fitness adaptations to plyometric drop jump training in male

youth soccer players. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 34 (10), 2760–2768. doi:10.1519/JSC. 000000000003151

Voelzke, M., Stutzig, N., Thorhauer, H.-A., and Granacher, U. (2012). Promoting lower extremity strength in elite volleyball players: Effects of two combined training methods. *J. Sci. Med. sport* 15 (5), 457–462. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2012.02.004

Whitehead, M. T., Scheett, T. P., McGuigan, M. R., and Martin, A. V. (2018). A comparison of the effects of short-term plyometric and resistance training on lower-body muscular performance. J. Strength & Cond. Res. 32 (10), 2743–2749. doi:10.1519/JSC.000000000002083

Wilson, G. J., Murphy, A. J., and Giorgi, A. (1996). Weight and plyometric training: Effects on eccentric and concentric force production. *Can. J. Appl. Physiology* 21 (4), 301–315. doi:10.1139/h96-026

Yanci, J., Castillo, D., Iturricastillo, A., Ayarra, R., and Nakamura, F. Y. (2017). Effects of two different volume-equated weekly distributed short-term plyometric training programs on futsal players' physical performance. *J. Strength & Cond. Res.* 31 (7), 1787–1794. doi:10. 1519/JSC.000000000001644 Young, W., Wilson, C., and Byrne, C. (1999). A comparison of drop jump training methods: Effects on leg extensor strength qualities and jumping performance. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 20 (05), 295–303. doi:10.1055/s-2007-971134

Zaras, N., Spengos, K., Methenitis, S., Papadopoulos, C., Karampatsos, G., Georgiadis, G., et al. (2013). Effects of strength vs. ballistic-power training on throwing performance. *J. sports Sci. Med.* 12 (1), 130–137.

Zghal, F., Colson, S. S., Blain, G., Behm, D. G., Granacher, U., and Chaouachi, A. (2019). Combined resistance and plyometric training is more effective than plyometric training alone for improving physical fitness of pubertal soccer players. *Front. Physiology* 10, 1026. doi:10.3389/fphys.2019.01026

Zhou, T., and Zhang, B. (2017). A review of complex training. China Sports Sci. 37 (10), 72-79.

Zribi, A., Zouch, M., Chaari, H., Bouajina, E., Nasr, H. B., Zaouali, M., et al. (2014). Short-term lower-body plyometric training improves whole-body BMC, bone metabolic markers, and physical fitness in early pubertal male basketball players. *Pediatr. Exerc. Sci.* 26 (1), 22–32. doi:10.1123/pes.2013-0053