Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Gabriele Riccardi, Governo Italiano, Italy

REVIEWED BY Giuseppe Della Pepa, University of Naples Federico II, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Simin Liu Simin_liu@brown.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Clinical Diabetes, a section of the journal Frontiers in Endocrinology

RECEIVED 10 November 2022 ACCEPTED 22 December 2022 PUBLISHED 18 January 2023

CITATION

Glenn AJ and Liu S (2023) Commentary: Is there a role for diabetes-specific nutrition formulas as meal replacements in type 2 diabetes? *Front. Endocrinol.* 13:1094954. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1094954

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Glenn and Liu. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Commentary: Is there a role for diabetes-specific nutrition formulas as meal replacements in type 2 diabetes?

Andrea J. Glenn^{1,2,3} and Simin Liu^{4,5,6,7}*

¹Department of Nutrition, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, United States, ²Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, ³Toronto 3D Knowledge Synthesis and Clinical Trials Unit, Clinical Nutrition and Risk Factor Modification Centre, St. Michael's Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada, ⁴Centre for Global Cardiometabolic Health and Department of Epidemiology, Brown University School of Public Health, Providence, RI, United States, ⁵Division of Endocrinology Department of Medicine, The Warren Alpert School of Medicine and Rhode Island Hospital, Providence, RI, United States, ⁶Department of Medicine and Rhode Island Hospital, Providence, RI, United States, ⁷Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, United States

KEYWORDS

meal replacement, weight loss, diabetes prevention, diabetes remission, nutrition

A Commentary on

Is there a role for diabetes-specific nutrition formulas as meal replacements in type 2 diabetes?

By Noronha JC and Mechanick JI (2022) Front. Endocrinol. 13:874968. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.874968

Noronha JC, Thom G, Lean MEJ. Total diet replacement within an integrated interview lifestyle intervention for remission of type 2 diabetes: Lessons from DiRECT. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) (2022) 13:888557. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2022.888557

Noronha JC, Kendall CW, Sievenpiper JL. Meal replacements for weightrelated complications in type 2 diabetes: What is the state of the evidence? Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) (2022) 13:875535. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.875535

Introduction

Modest and sustained weight loss has been shown to reduce the need for glucoselowering medications and improve glycemic control in overweight and obese individuals with type 2 diabetes (1–3). Still, these individuals face challenges in achieving and maintaining weight control due to a plethora of metabolic, psychological, and behavioral factors (4, 5). The

use of liquid meal replacements within a structured dietary plan may offer a viable solution. Liquid meal replacements provide a mixture of carbohydrates, fat, and protein, along with added vitamins and minerals, in ready-to-drink form or powder formulas that require mixing. They are frequently used to replace one or two main meals each day, or in some cases, all meals (i.e., total diet replacement [TDR]). Current gaps in this area of research include identifying the type of formulations and complimentary aspects of a dietary program that yield long-term weight loss maintenance, reduce cardiometabolic risk, and increase the odds of diabetes remission in overweight and obese patients with type 2 diabetes. In this special issue in the Frontiers of Endocrinology, we comment on three key perspective articles that outline (1) the role for diabetes-specific nutrition formulas (DNSFs) as meal replacements in type 2 diabetes management (6), (2) lessons learned from the Diabetes Remission Clinical Trial (DiRECT) which demonstrated diabetes remission with the use of TDR followed by structured food reintroduction and long-term weight loss maintenance (7), and (3) use of meal replacements as a temporary option to induce weight loss followed by transition to a dietary pattern that aligns with the values, preferences and treatment goals of the patient (8). In the first article, Noronha and Mechanick highlight that DSNFs can be used to deliver essential macro- and micronutrients that align with the core nutrition principles from clinical practice guidelines for diabetes prevention and management (6). Compared to standard formulas, DSNFs have different macro- and micronutrient compositions to help manage dysglycemia, malnutrition and cardiometabolic risk factors. For example, they may contain lower glycemic index carbohydrates, added fiber, different fatty acid profiles and more protein. As outlined by the authors, there may be benefits to using DSNFs in patients with type 2 diabetes compared to standard formulas and standard test meals (i.e., cornflakes and milk/oatmeal) (6). Several acute randomized controlled trials (RCTs) showed that DNSFs significantly lowered postprandial glucose and insulin excursions compared to the standard control (9-13). Furthermore, the authors noted a recent pilot study that evaluated using DNSFs twice a day (breakfast and/or afternoon snack or before bed) compared to participants consuming their habitual diets (14). The DNSF breakfast/afternoon snack group showed improvements in glycemic control, including nocturnal glycemic variability, and resulted in other behaviors that may be valuable for cardiometabolic risk reduction such as experiencing less cravings for starchy foods.

Longer-term studies that include DNSFs as part of the intervention have additionally shown health benefits in patients with type 2 diabetes. For instance, a 6-month study implemented the transcultural diabetes nutrition algorithm (tDNA), which includes a structured low-calorie meal plan with motivational interviewing (MI) or conventional counseling, compared to conventional care (15). The study found that those in the tDNA MI and conventional counseling group decreased their HbA1c by 1.1% and 0.5%, respectively, and lost ~7kg and ~5 kg body weight,

respectively, with no differences in the conventional care group. Moreover, in the Look AHEAD Trial, those assigned to an intensive lifestyle intervention group that incorporated various meal replacements including DNSFs, had greater improvements at 4 years in weight loss, HbA1c, blood pressure and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) compared to the control group (16, 17). After 8 years, a clinically meaningful weight loss \geq 5% was still observed in 50% of the patients in the lifestyle intervention group (18). These findings have been corroborated in several systematic reviews and meta-analyses where DNSFs improved glycemic control by reducing postprandial glycemia and HbA1c, without adverse effects on blood lipids, with some even showing improvements in triglycerides (TG) and HDL-C (19–21).

In the second article, Noronha et al. discuss how body fat accumulation is the dominant driver of type 2 diabetes, and therefore recommend weight loss as the best solution to reduce progression from prediabetes to type 2 diabetes or to achieve remission of type 2 diabetes (7). For remission to occur, weight loss of > 15 kg is generally needed. Bariatric surgery is an option to obtain this level of weight loss, however, this surgical procedure may not be appropriate for all patients (7). Another option that can be utilized is a low-calorie TDR. A trial that examined this type of intervention was the DiRECT trial, which investigated whether a weight management program delivered within primary care could achieve weight loss needed to sustain remission of type 2 diabetes (22, 23). Diabetes remission was defined as an HbA1c <6.5% without glucose-lowering medication for at least 3 months. During the TDR phase, patients consumed a micronutrient replete liquid formula diet (825 to 853 kcal/day) in place of their regular diet for 12 weeks, and discontinued their glucose-lowering, antihypertensive and diuretic medications. From weeks 13 to 19, patients slowly transitioned to a food-based weight management diet. Lastly, during weeks 19 to 104, patients followed a food-based diet based on the UK Eatwell guidelines with an individually tailored energy prescription with the option of consuming one liquid meal replacement per day. Medications were added back as needed. The DiRECT trial showed great weight loss success: during the TDR, participants had a mean weight loss of 14.5 kg, with an overall mean weight loss of 7.6kg at the 2-year follow-up. After 1 year, 46% in the intervention group achieved remission compared to only 4% in the control group. At 2 years, the remission rate remained at 36% (23). Importantly, the achievement of remission and those who had a decline in remission was directly related to the weight loss or gain among participants in the DiRECT trial (24). For example, participants who lost more than 15 kg had remission rates of 86% to 82% at 1 year and 2 years, respectively. Those who had a decline in remission from 1 year to 2 years had weight regain to within 10kg of baseline. In contrast, those who failed to achieve remission at both 1 year and 2 years lost less than 6 kg. Other significant developments in the intervention group included greater reductions in blood pressure and HbA1c, less use of antihypertensive and glucose-lowering medications, as well as better quality of life (22, 25). Along with these cardiometabolic improvements, a health economics analysis of the DiRECT trial showed significant healthcare cost savings (26). Another important development from DiRECT was that participants and healthcare providers found the TDR phase easier than expected, although the transition to regular food and weight maintenance phase was challenging and required more care (27, 28).

A nearly identical intervention study (DIADEM-I) also found significant amounts of diabetes remission in the intervention group (61% vs. 12% in the control group) (29), highlighting the findings were replicable in another population. Overall, the findings from DiRECT and other trials of surgical and non-surgical weight management interventions indicate that a weight loss of 10kg/%, ideally >15kg/15%, is needed for remission and that this should be accomplished as early as possible after diabetes diagnosis (7).

The third article focuses on the need to transition to a healthy dietary pattern from the temporary short-term use of meal replacements (8). The authors comment on a recent systematic review and meta-analysis using the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach to examine the role of liquid meal replacements on cardiometabolic risk factors in overweight and obese individuals with type 2 diabetes (30). This meta-analysis showed that there was moderate certainty of evidence for reductions in body weight, body mass index, body fat, fasting insulin and systolic blood pressure, low certainty evidence for reductions in waist circumference, HbA1c and fasting glucose, with no effect on blood lipids, in trials ranging from 1 month to 1 year. As liquid meal replacements are meant as a more temporary solution, transitioning to a healthy dietary pattern for long-term weight maintenance and cardiovascular health is crucial. The authors highlight two heart healthy dietary patterns as potential long-term solutions (8). The first dietary pattern discussed is the Mediterranean diet, characterized by high consumption of fruits, vegetables, legumes, nuts, whole grains and olive oil and moderate to low consumption of animal products and wine. The Mediterranean diet may be a beneficial diet for those with type 2 diabetes to transition to after weight loss as it has been shown to reduce cardiovascular events by ~30% in the The PREvencioin con DIeta MEDiterraínea (PREDIMED) trial (31), and a metaanalyses of trials and prospective cohort studies have shown cardiovascular benefits in individuals with diabetes (32). The other dietary approach recommended by the authors is the Portfolio diet, which is a plant-based cholesterol-lowering diet that is high in plant protein (particularly soy), viscous fiber, nuts, plant sterols, and healthy oils, while being low in saturated fat and dietary cholesterol. This specific dietary pattern may be beneficial as a recent meta-analysis found that the diet significantly lowered the primary lipid target for CVD prevention, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), as well as the alternate lipid targets, non-HDL-C and apolipoprotein B, among other cardiometabolic risk factors (33). The Portfolio diet has also been associated with lower incidence of cardiovascular events and type 2 diabetes in a prospective cohort study (34, 35). As emphasized by the authors, healthcare providers will need to align dietary patterns with the values, preferences and treatment goals of the patients to achieve long-term adherence and health benefits (8).

Overall, liquid meal replacements replacing 1-2 meals per day or all meals (TDR) may have cardiometabolic advantages over traditional weight loss diets in overweight and obese adults with type 2 diabetes, with DNSFs potentially providing even greater benefits for glycemic control compared to standard formulas (6, 8). Liquid meal replacements may likewise be a useful strategy to induce significant weight loss for diabetes remission (7).

Conclusions

These three perspective articles highlighted in this special issue in the Frontiers of Endocrinology underscore the use of liquid meal replacements as an effective dietary strategy to induce rapid weight loss followed by the need to transition to a healthy dietary pattern to further improve cardiometabolic outcomes and reduce cardiovascular risk over the long-term. Potential mechanisms likely include the calorie restriction achieved with liquid meal replacements, as well as macronutrient distribution as some meal replacements may be lower in carbohydrates compared to habitual diets. Limitations of these products may include taste preferences of patients, cost and adherence to the diet. Future research should determine the clinical efficacy and effectiveness for long-term use of liquid meal replacement, particularly DNSFs, in large and clinically relevant trials of diverse populations. Several trials are registered on Clinicaltrials.gov which are further investigating the role of meal replacements in diabetes remission, including examining plant-based meal replacements, online programs, rural populations, among others that may not be available on this site (36). In addition, further training of healthcare providers to use liquid meal replacements for weight loss in clinical practice will be needed. Achieving weight loss is challenging for free-living adults, with weight maintenance (and therefore continued diabetes remission) being even more difficult. Thus, behavioral interventions that help manage psychosocial and environmental stressors, and encourage physical activity and healthy diets are essential (7). Weight loss medications, such as GLP-1 agonists in particular, are also emerging as critically important strategies in any multi-component weight management program that integrates a phase of TDR for glycemic control with substantial benefits on weight loss and cardiometabolic health outcomes. GLP-1 agonists may offer a more palatable approach to weight loss than meal replacements, however, the cost of these medications may be a barrier for some patients as well as possible unwanted side effects that would not occur with using meal replacements. The shift to a food-based diet after TDR was noted to be challenging in the DiRECT trial (28), therefore effective strategies, with incorporation of MI and adaptation to different cultures [as included in the tDNA (15)], are crucial to ensure successful weight loss maintenance.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Funding

AJG is supported by Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Postdoctoral Fellowship. Part of this work was done while SL was a Fulbright Distinguished Chair in Global Health.

References

1. UK Prospective diabetes study 7: response of fasting plasma glucose to diet therapy in newly presenting type II diabetic patients, UKPDS group. *Metabolism* (1990) 39:905–12. doi: 10.1016/0026-0495(90)90299-R

2. Goldstein DJ. Beneficial health effects of modest weight loss. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord (1992) 16:397-415.

3. Pastors JG, Warshaw H, Daly A, Franz M, Kulkarni K. The evidence for the effectiveness of medical nutrition therapy in diabetes management. *Diabetes Care* (2002) 25:608–13. doi: 10.2337/diacare.25.3.608

4. Van Gaal L, Scheen A. Weight management in type 2 diabetes: current and emerging approaches to treatment. *Diabetes Care* (2015) 38:1161–72. doi: 10.2337/dc14-1630

5. Toft UN, Kristoffersen LH, Aadahl M, von Huth Smith L, Pisinger C, Jørgensen T. Diet and exercise intervention in a general population-mediators of participation and adherence: the Inter99 study. *Eur J Public Health* (2007) 17:455–63. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckl262

6. Noronha JC, Mechanick JI. Is there a role for diabetes-specific nutrition formulas as meal replacements in type 2 diabetes? *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2022) 13:874968. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.874968

7. Noronha JC, Thom G, Lean MEJ. Total diet replacement within an integrated intensive lifestyle intervention for remission of type 2 diabetes: Lessons from DiRECT. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2022) 13:888557. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.888557

8. Noronha JC, Kendall CW, Sievenpiper JL. Meal replacements for weightrelated complications in type 2 diabetes: What is the state of the evidence? *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* (2022) 13:875535. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.875535

9. Lansink M, van Laere KM, Vendrig L, Rutten GE. Lower postprandial glucose responses at baseline and after 4 weeks use of a diabetes-specific formula in diabetes type 2 patients. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* (2011) 93:421–9. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2011.05.019

10. Laksir H, Lansink M, Regueme SC, de Vogel-van den Bosch J, Pfeiffer AFH, Bourdel-Marchasson I. Glycaemic response after intake of a high energy, high protein, diabetes-specific formula in older malnourished or at risk of malnutrition type 2 diabetes patients. *Clin Nutr* (2018) 37:2084–90. doi: 10.1016/ j.clnu.2017.09.027

11. Angarita Davila L, Bermudez V, Aparicio D, Cespedes V, Escobar MC, Duran-Aguero S, et al. Effect of oral nutritional supplements with sucromalt and isomaltulose versus standard formula on glycaemic index, entero-insular axis peptides and subjective appetite in patients with type 2 diabetes: A randomised cross-over study. *Nutrients* 11 (2019) 11(7):1477. doi: 10.3390/nu11071477

12. Gulati S, Misra A, Nanda K, Pandey RM, Garg V, Ganguly S, et al. Efficacy and tolerance of a diabetes specific formula in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus:

Conflict of interest

AJG has received an honorarium from the Soy Nutrition Institute and the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics.

The remaining author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

An open label, randomized, crossover study. *Diabetes Metab Syndr* (2015) 9:252–7. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2014.10.001

13. Mottalib A, Mohd-Yusof BN, Shehabeldin M, Pober DM, Mitri J, Hamdy O. Impact of diabetes-specific nutritional formulas versus oatmeal on postprandial glucose, insulin, GLP-1 and postprandial lipidemia. *Nutrients* (2016) 8(7):443. doi: 10.3390/nu8070443

14. Mustad VA, Hegazi RA, Hustead DS, Budiman ES, Rueda R, Maki K, et al. Use of a diabetes-specific nutritional shake to replace a daily breakfast and afternoon snack improves glycemic responses assessed by continuous glucose monitoring in people with type 2 diabetes: a randomized clinical pilot study. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* (2020) 8(1):e001258. doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2020-001258

15. Chee WSS, Gilcharan Singh HK, Hamdy O, Mechanick JI, Lee VKM, Barua A, et al. Structured lifestyle intervention based on a trans-cultural diabetes-specific nutrition algorithm (tDNA) in individuals with type 2 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. *BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care* (2017) 5:e000384. doi: 10.1136/bmjdrc-2016-000384

16. Look ARG, Wing RR, Bolin P, Brancati FL, Bray GA, Clark JM, et al. Cardiovascular effects of intensive lifestyle intervention in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* (2013) 369:145–54. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1212914

17. Look ARG, Wing RR. Long-term effects of a lifestyle intervention on weight and cardiovascular risk factors in individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus: fouryear results of the look AHEAD trial. *Arch Intern Med* (2010) 170:1566–75. doi: 10.1001/archinternmed.2010.334

18. Look ARG. Eight-year weight losses with an intensive lifestyle intervention: the look AHEAD study. Obes (Silver Spring) (2014) 22:5–13. doi: 10.1002/oby.20662

19. Elia M, Ceriello A, Laube H, Sinclair AJ, Engfer M, Stratton RJ. Enteral nutritional support and use of diabetes-specific formulas for patients with diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetes Care* (2005) 28:2267–79. doi: 10.2337/diacare.28.9.2267

20. Ojo O, Weldon SM, Thompson T, Crockett R, Wang XH. The effect of diabetes-specific enteral nutrition formula on cardiometabolic parameters in patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Nutrients* (2019) 11(8):1905. doi: 10.3390/nu11081905

21. Sanz-Paris A, Matia-Martin P, Martin-Palmero A, Gomez-Candela C, Camprubi Robles M. Diabetes-specific formulas high in monounsaturated fatty acids and metabolic outcomes in patients with diabetes or hyperglycaemia. *A systematic Rev meta-analysis. Clin Nutr* (2020) 39:3273–82. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2020.02.036

22. Lean MEJ, Leslie WS, Barnes AC, Brosnahan N, Thom G, McCombie L, et al. Durability of a primary care-led weight-management intervention for remission of type 2 diabetes: 2-year results of the DiRECT open-label, cluster-

randomised trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol (2019) 7:344–55. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(19)30068-3

23. Lean ME, Leslie WS, Barnes AC, Brosnahan N, Thom G, McCombie L, et al. Primary care-led weight management for remission of type 2 diabetes (DiRECT): an open-label, cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet* (2018) 391:541–51. doi: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(17)33102-1

24. Thom G, Messow CM, Leslie WS, Barnes AC, Brosnahan N, McCombie L, et al. Predictors of type 2 diabetes remission in the diabetes remission clinical trial (DiRECT). *Diabetes Med* (2021) 38:e14395. doi: 10.1111/dme.14395

25. Leslie WS, Ali E, Harris L, Messow CM, Brosnahan NT, Thom G, et al. Antihypertensive medication needs and blood pressure control with weight loss in the diabetes remission clinical trial (DiRECT). *Diabetologia* (2021) 64:1927–38. doi: 10.1007/s00125-021-05471-x

26. Xin Y, Davies A, Briggs A, McCombie L, Messow CM, Grieve E, et al. Type 2 diabetes remission: 2 year within-trial and lifetime-horizon cost-effectiveness of the diabetes remission clinical trial (DiRECT)/Counterweight-plus weight management programme. *Diabetologia* (2020) 63:2112–22. doi: 10.1007/s00125-020-05224-2

27. Rehackova L, Rodrigues AM, Thom G, Brosnahan N, Barnes AC, McCombie L, et al. Participant experiences in the diabetes REmission clinical trial (DiRECT). *Diabetes Med* (2022) 39:e14689. doi: 10.1111/dme.14689

28. Rehackova L, Taylor R, Lean M, Barnes A, McCombie L, Thom G, et al. Delivering the diabetes remission clinical trial (DiRECT) in primary care: Experiences of healthcare professionals. *Diabetes Med* (2022) 39:e14752. doi: 10.1111/dme.14752

29. Taheri S, Zaghloul H, Chagoury O, Elhadad S, Ahmed SH, El Khatib N, et al. Effect of intensive lifestyle intervention on bodyweight and glycaemia in early type 2 diabetes (DIADEM-i): an open-label, parallel-group, randomised controlled trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol (2020) 8:477–89. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(20)30117-0

30. Noronha JC, Nishi SK, Braunstein CR, Khan TA, Blanco Mejia S, Kendall CWC, et al. The effect of liquid meal replacements on cardiometabolic risk factors in Overweight/Obese individuals with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Diabetes Care* (2019) 42:767–76. doi: 10.2337/dc18-2270

31. Estruch R, Ros E, Salas-Salvado J, Covas MI, Corella D, Aros F, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil or nuts. *N Engl J Med* (2018) 378:e34. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1800389

 Becerra-Tomas N, Blanco Mejia S, Viguiliouk E, Khan T, Kendall CWC, Kahleova H, et al. Cardiovascular disease and mortality in diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies and randomized clinical trials. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* (2020) 60:1207–27. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2019.1565281

33. Chiavaroli L, Nishi SK, Khan TA, Braunstein CR, Glenn AJ, Mejia SB, et al. Portfolio dietary pattern and cardiovascular disease: A systematic review and metaanalysis of controlled trials. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* (2018) 61:43–53. doi: 10.1016/ j.pcad.2018.05.004

34. Glenn AJ, Lo K, Jenkins DJA, Boucher BA, Hanley AJ, Kendall CWC, et al. Relationship between a plant-based dietary portfolio and risk of cardiovascular disease: Findings from the women's health initiative prospective cohort study. *J Am Heart Assoc* (2021) 10:e021515. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.121.021515

35. Glenn JLAJ, Lo K, Jenkins DJA, Boucher BA, Hanley AJ, Kendall CWC, et al. The portfolio diet and incident type 2 diabetes: Findings from the women's health. *Diabetes Care* (2023) 46(1):28–37. doi: 10.2337/dc22-1029

36. (2022). NIH.U.S.Library of Medicine, Clinicaltrials.gov.