# BANKRUPTCY RISK AND MARKET REACTION TO CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

by Yulius Jogi Christiawan

**Submission date:** 11-Jan-2023 12:33PM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1991098111

File name: CIBSSR-00299 awal.docx (126.74K)

Word count: 3769

**Character count: 21338** 



conference homepage: www.gcbss.org



### BANKRUPTCY RISK AND MARKET REACTION TO CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

Yulius Jogi Christiawan 1, Juniarti2\*

1,2Petra Christian University, Jl. Siwalanekrto 121-131, 60236, Surabaya, Indonesia

#### ABSTRACT

22 study aims to examine whether the condition of the bankruptcy risk of a company will influence the market response to capital expenditure. The main hypothesis of 20 research is the positive market reaction to the level of capital expenditure issued will be different in companies with a high level of bankruptcy risk and companies with low bankruptcy risk. The study was conducted on 56 companies with large capitalization of the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the period 2018-2021. The results of hypothesis testing indicate that the market responds positively to capital expenditures and the companies bankruptcy risk conditions. In addition, it is proven that in companies that are at risk of bankruptcy, the market reacts positively to capital expenditures made by companies, while in companies that are not in a state of bankruptcy, the market does not respond to capital expenditures made by companies. The results of this study are expected to be used by market participants when they analyze the information on capital expenditures made by the company.

Type of Paper: Empirical/ Review

Keywords: capital expenditure, bankruptcy risk, market response

#### 2

#### 1. Introduction

Company managers are always faced with three policy decisions: capital expenditure, dividend, and funding. Several studies have proven the impact of announcing the decision on funding (M'ng et al., 2020) ar dividend (Almanaseer, 2019) on the stock price. However, there is rarely any empirical eviden on the impact of a company's capital expenditure announcement. This research presents additional evidence on the impact of capital expenditure on the stock price.

(McConnell & Muscarella, 1985) researched market reaction to capital expenditure decisions of industrial and public utility companies. They found that the announcement of an increase (decrease) in capital expenditure had a positive negative) effect on stock returns. However, some researchers found that the announcement of capital expenditure decisions does not have a material effect on stock returns. Other researchers found that investors positively respond to new investments (Burton, 2005), capital expenditure announcement has a relevant value in the capital market when the company is entering an expansion period (Kim & Lee, 2018) and an announcement of capital expenditure has a positive relationship to abnormal stock returns (Akbar et al., 2008).

Although previous studies have made an important contribution to obtaining an understanding of the influence of capital expenditure announcements, they have not clearly

GCBSS © 2021 Global Academy of Training & Research (GATR) Enterprise. All rights reserved.

described the reason why some companies' capital expenditure announcements are a expetted well by the market, while others are accepted negatively. Previous research suggests that the market tends to have better reactions to the capital expenditure announcements made by high-tech companies (Chan et al., 1990). This grouping is good, but it does not have an acceptable economic reason.

In this research, the researcher argues that stock price reaction to capital expenditure announcements heavily relies on the market's assessment of a company's bankrater. The researcher hypothesizes that a company's bankruptcy risk will also affect the relationship between capital expenditure announcement and abnormal stock returns.

The researcher uses the Altman Z score indicator to classify the sample of companies that have high or low bankruptcy risk.

#### 2. Literature Review

Capital expenditure is an important financial decision made by a firm. This decision has an important role is increasing firm value. The stock market reacts to capital expenditure announcements. An announcement of an increase (decrease) in capital expenditures positively (negatively) influences stock returns (McConnell & Muscarella, 1985), and investors also respond positively to new investments (Burton, 2005). (Kim & Lee, 2018) found that capital expenditures have more relevant values in the capital market when the company is entering a period of expansion and announcing capital expenditures has a positive relationship with abnormal stock returns (Akbar et al., 2008). Changes in capital expenditures to be bigger or smaller than the industry average give a positive or negative signal to the market (Kerstein & Kim, 1295). Based on the explanation above, this research hypothesizes that:

H1: The market reacts positively to the level of capital expenditures issued by a company

Altman, (1968) has been used as a proxy for bankruptcy risk conditions in various studies because the Altman (1968) Z-score model is considered to be the most effective tool for predicting companies' financial health. The Altman Z-score model provides accurate and reliable results for a imating bankruptcy risk. Previous studies (Garcia Osma, Beatriz, 2011; Lugovskaya, 2010; Udin et al., 2017) used the Altman Z-score model to estimate bankruptcy risk. Many empirical studies of market reactions to bankruptcy announcements have been conducted (Beneish & Prees, 1995; Dawkins & Bamber, 1998; Lang & Stulz, 1992). This study shows that there is a negative abnormal return around the announcement day because a bankruptcy announcement is considered bad news. The decline in stock prices is related to the investors' assessment of the possibility of the company's bankruptcy. Dunham & Garcia, (2021) found that increasing investor sentiment through media coverage will be able to reduce the impact of investors' assessment of the level of risk of corporate bankruptcy. Based on this explanation, this study hypothesizes that:

H2: There are differences in market responses toward companies with high bankruptcy risk and ones with low bankruptcy risk.

As explained before, the stock market reacts to capital expenditure announcements (Burton, 2005; Kim & Lee, 2018; McConnell & Muscarella, 1985). This proves that the amount of a company's capital expenditure becomes information that is considered by investors when making decisions to buy or sell shares. On the other hand, investors also consider a company's bankruptcy condition when deciding to buy or sell shares. Empirical research proves that markets react to bankruptcy 17 nouncements (Beneish & Prees, 1995; Dawkins & Bamber, 1798; Lang & Stulz, 1992). The market's response is indicated by the presence of negative abnormal returns around the announcement day because the bankruptcy announcement is considered bad news. Based on this argument, it can be assumed that market response as a result of the effect of capital expenditure will be different for companies with different bankruptcy conditions, so it is hypothesized that:

H3: Market's positive reaction to the level of capital expenditure issued by a company will be different for companies with high bankruptcy risk and companies with low bankruptcy risk.

#### 3. Research Methodology

#### 3.1 Sample

11

This research was conducted on companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. The sample selection is based on companies that have large capitalization in the capital market. This criterion was chosen because companies with large capitalizations are more easily noticed by investors than companies with small capitalizations. Based on this criterion, 56 companies are selected for the 2018-2021 observation period, so 224 observations matched the sample criteria. Based on the type of business, the 56 companies are divided into 10 companies engaged in the banking industry and 46 companies engaged in the manufacturing industry.

#### 3.2 Market Response Measurement

The market response is measured by using cumulative abnormal return (CAR) which is

(20,0,+20) around the publication date as follows:

$$CAR = \sum_{i=1}^{N} AR_{i,t}$$

obtained by adding up the abnormal return (AR) with an observation period of 41 days

AR is used to measure market response to certain published information that is measured with the following formula:

$$AR_{jt} = R_{i,j-} (\alpha_{j+} \beta_{j} R_{mt})$$

 $\alpha$  j and  $\beta$ j are estimated with a market model that has been widely used (Parveen et al., 2020), using the following model:

$$R_{it} = \alpha_{i+} \beta_i R_{mt} + \varepsilon_{it}$$



The estimations are made -120 to -20 days before the publication date.

#### 3.3 Bankruptcy Risk Measurement

Bankruptcy risk is measured using the Altman Z-score. The Altman Z-score provides a threshold level for predicting a company's financial health and its distance to bankruptcy risk. Companies with a score above 2.99 are less likely to go bankrupt and are considered 100 e in the "safe zone" and have no chance of immediate trouble. Z-score between 1.81 and 2.99 is categorized as a "grey zone" indicating that the company does not have financial problems right 16 w, but may face difficulties soon. In contrast, a score below 1.8 (1.8 Z) indicates that firms are prone to be 6 kruptcy and are treated as "trouble zones" (Altman, 1968). This study classifies the sample companies into two groups: financially healthy and financially distressed (Udin et al., 2017). Companies that score above 1.81 are treated as financially healthy companies. On the other hand, companies that score below 1.81 are in a state of "distress" and are treated as companies experiencing financial difficulties.

#### 3.4. Analysis Model

This study examines the market response (CAR) totapital expenditures (CAPEX) moderated by the company's bankruptcy risk (FD) conditions. The market response is measured using the CAR indicator and the condition of bankruptcy risk is measured by a dummy, namely: a score of 1 for distressed companies, namely companies with Z-scores below 1.81, and 0 for companies not distressed, namely companies with Z-scores above 1.81. The regression equation model to test the hypothesis is as follows:  $CAR_{i,t+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 CAPEX_{i,t} + \beta_2 FD_{i,t} + \beta_3 CAPEX * FD_{i,t} + \beta_4 CAPEX * FD_{i,t} + \beta_5 C$ 

$$CAR_{i,t+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 CAPEX_{i,t} + \beta_2 FD_{i,t} + \beta_3 CAPEX * FD_{i,t} + \beta_4 DER_{i,t} + \beta_5 FCF_{i,t} + \beta_6 MGT_OWN_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

The main independent variable in this study is capital expenditure (CAPEX) which is the amount of capital expenditure for the current year and bankruptcy risk (FD) as well as other control variables, namely leverage (DER), free cash flow (FCF), and management's share ownership (MGT\_OWN).

#### 3.4. Variable Definition

CAR	Cumulative abnormal return for a period of 20 days before and after
	the publication date of financial statements.
CAPEX	Capital expenditure is scaled with the total asset of the previous year.
	your.
FD	Dummy variable for bankruptcy risk condition. 1 for bankrupt and
	0 for not bankrupt.
CAPEX*FD	Interaction between capital expenditure and bankruptcy risk
DER	Long-term liabilities divided by equity (Tulz, 1990)
FCF	Free cash flow is a manifestation of agency problems because
	excess cash is not distributed to shareholders (Brailsford & Yeoh,
	2004).
	Free Cash flow is calculated using the following approach (Lang et
	al., 1991):
	FCF= EBIT+ DEPR-TAX-DIV-INT-INV
	EBIT is earnings before interest and taxes; DPR is depreciation
	expense'; TAX paid taxes; DIV is dividends paid to common
	stockholders; INT interest expense; INV investment for the year
MGT_OWN	Management's share ownership

#### 4. Results

#### 4.1 Descriptive Analysis

Table 1 describes the average value for each variable. Panel 1 describes the average value of each sample company that is grouped by bankruptcy risk conditions, namely experiencing bankruptcy risk and not experiencing bankruptcy risk. Meanwhile, in panel 2, the value of each variable is described based on all samples.

#### Table 1.

**Descriptive Statistic** 

		Desci	ipuve St	ausuc			
	N		CAR	CAPEX	DER	FCF	MGT_OWN
Panel 1							
Bankruptcy Risk							
Bankrupt	102	Mean	6,58	0.12	0.80	-10.01	0.68
Dankiupt	102	SD	50,27	0,12	0,79	46,53	0,16
		SD	30,27	0,24	0,79	40,33	0,10
Not Bankrupt	122	Mean	-6,50	0,10	0,18	-77,69	0,69
		SD	69,74	0,18	0,19	288,00	0,13
Total	224	Mean	-0,55	0,11	0,46	-46,87	0,69
		SD	61,85	0,21	0,63	217,10	0,14
Panel 2. Year 2018							
Bankruptcy Risk							
Bankrupt	25	Mean	17,16	0.11	0,77	-11,33	0,68
Not Bankrupt	31	1110411	-0,42	0,12	0,17	-98,01	0,68
Total	56		7,42	0.12	0,44	-59,31	0,68
Total	30		7,42	0,12	0,44	-39,31	0,00
Panel 3. Year 2019							
Bankruptcy Risk							
Bankrupt	26	Mean	8,48	0,07	0,79	-7,22	0,67
Not Bankrupt	30		-2,30	0,05	0,17	-49,48	0,69
Total	56		2,70	0,06	0,46	-29,86	0,68
Panel 4. Year 2020							
Bankruptcy Risk							
Bankrupt	28	Mean	2.96	0.15	0.75	-0,91	0.68
Not Bankrupt	28	1110411	-1,64	0,02	0,19	-68,40	0,68
Total	56		0,66	0,02	0,47	-34,66	0,69
Total	30		0,00	0,09	0,47	-54,00	0,09
Panel 5. Year 2021							
Bankruptcy Risk							
Bankrupt	23	Mean	-2,66	0,12	0,88	-22,78	0,69
Not Bankrupt	33		-20,15	0,17	0,16	-92,13	0,68
Total	56		-12.98	0.15	0.46	-63,65	0.69

Based on table 1 (panel 1) above, it can be seen that the number of sample data that are experiencing distress is 102 and the sample data that are not distressed is 122. The market seems to respond more positively to companies that are experiencing bankruptcy risk conditions. Meanwhile, the capital expenditures, leverage conditions, and free cash flow of companies that have a risk of bankruptcy are greater than companies that do not. Overall, the CAR of the sample companies is minus 0.55, which means that the accumulated difference between the actual return and the normal return received by investors in the 20 days before and after the announcement date of the financial statements is minus 0.55%. The average capital expenditure of the sample companies is 11% of their total assets, total liabilities are 46% of equity, and the average share owned by management is 69%.

Based on table 1 (panel 2 – panel 5) above, it can be seen that CAR is experiencing a downward trend from positive 7.42 in 2018 to minus 12.98 in 2021. The phenomenon of the decline in CAR is allegedly influenced by the weakening condition of the capital market in Indonesia due to pandemic covid 19. Even though CAR is experiencing a downward trend, the CAR for groups of companies with a risk of bankruptcy is consistently higher than the CAR for companies that do not have a risk of bankruptcy. Trends in capital expenditure (CAPEX),

leverage (DER), and share ownership by management (OWN\_MGT) from 2018 to 2021 are relatively the same.

#### 4.2 Equations

The data structure obtained in this study is panel data, namely 56 companies for a period of 4 years (2018-2021). The panel data regression test used in this study uses the weighted least square (V11S) method. Table 2 shows the results of hypothesis testing using the WLS method. Model 1 show 1 the results of the hypothesis testing the effect of variables; capital expenditure (CAPEX) on market response as measured by using CAR without looking at the moderating effect of the bank 21ptcy risk variable (FD). Meanwhile, model 2 shows the results of testing the hypothesis of the moderating effect of the bankruptcy risk variable (FD) on the relationship between capital expenditures (CAPEX) and market response (CAR).

Table 2.

Hypothesis Test Result

	Model 1			Model 2			
	Coefficient	t-stat		Coefficient	t-stat		
Const	-2,204	- <mark>0</mark> ,345		-3,407	-0,540		
CAPEX	11,343	2,149	**	1,760	0,231		
FD	8,069	3,196	***	6,542	2,354	**	
DER	-3,696	-3,033	***	-3,944	-3,213	***	
FCF	0,002	0,379		0,002	0,358		
MGT_OWN	-1,554	-0,174		1,790	0,202		
CAPEX*FD				17,904	1,682	*	
R Square			0,073			0,089	
Adj.R Square			0,052			0,064	
F-stat			3,449			3,553	
Sig			0,005			0,002	

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> significant at the 1% level; \*\* significant at the 5% level; \* significant at the 10% level

#### 5. Discussion

This study hypothesizes the the market reacts positively to the level of capital expenditure issued by the company. The announcement of an increase in capital expenditure has a positive effect on stock returns. The amount of capital expenditure made by the company is a positive signal for investors to decide to buy company shares so that it will increase share prices. The increase in stock prices will increase the returns received by investors. The results of the hypothesis test shown in model 1 in table 2 show that the capital expenditure variable (CAPEX) has a positive coefficient of 11.343 and a significance value below 0.05, which means that the CAPEX variable has a positive effect on the CAR variable. The greater the capital expenditure that by the company, the greater the accumulation of abnormal returns. These results prove that the market responds positively to capital expenditures issued by the company. The results 61Page

of this study are in line with research contributed by (McConnell & Muscarella, 1985), that the announcement of an increase (decrease) in capital expenditures has a positive (negative) effect on stock returns; (Burton, 2005), that investor respond positively to new investments; and (Akbar et al., 2008), that the announcement of capital expenditures has a positive relationship to abnormal stock returns.

This study hypothesizes that there are differences in market response in comparises with a high level of bankruptcy risk and companies with a low level of bankruptcy risk. The results of the hypothesis test shown in table 2 show that the bankruptcy risk variable (FD) has a positive coefficient value of 8.069, a significance value below 0.01 (model 1), a positive coefficient of 6.542, and a significance verse below 0.05, which means that the FD variable has a positive effect. to the CAR variable. The results of this hypothesis test indicate that the market responds positively (negatively) to companies experiencing bankruptcy (not bankrupt). This result is consistent with the data described in table 1, that the CAR of the group of companies with the risk of bankruptcy in the 2018-2021 observation period is always higher than the CAR of companies without the risk of going bankrupt. This result contradicts the previous research which showed negative abnormal returns around the announcement day because bankruptcy announcements are considered bad news (Beneish & Prees, 1995; Dawkins & Bamber, 1998; Lang & Stulz, 1992). The results of the analysis of the type of industry sample companies show that all sample companies in the banking industry are included in companies with a risk of bankruptcy. However, the market still responded positively to the banking company. Even Bank BRI and Bank Pan Indonesia during the 2018-2021 observation period were always responded positively by the market. The phenomenon of a positive response to banking stocks even though they are included in the bankrupt category might be due to the certainty of protection from the government against the risk of bankruptcy that will occur in the banking sector. In addition, some banks are state-owned. Several state-owned enterprises such as Perusahaan Gas Negara and Jasa Marga also received a positive response from the market despite being identified as experiencing bankruptcy risk.

This study hypothesizes that the market's positive reaction to the level of capital expenditure issued by the company will be different for companies with high bankruptcy risk and companies with low bankruptcy risk. The results of hypothesis testing in table 2 (model 2) show that the interaction coefficient of capital expenditure and bankruptcy risk (CAPEX\*FD) has a positive and significant coefficient and the capital expenditure coefficient (CAPEX) has a positive but not significant coefficient. These results indicate a phenomenon that in the group of companies with the risk of not going bankrupt, the market does not respond to capital expenditures made by companies, but the market responds positively to capital expenditures made by companies with bankruptcy risk are relatively the same. However, capital expenditures made by companies with bankruptcy risk are responded to positively by investors. These results prove that the market response as a result of the effect of capital expenditure will be different for companies with different bankruptcy conditions. Title of sub-heading must be in Times New Roman, font size 12, *Italic*, Line spacing must be 1.5 pt. Spacing must be 12 pt. before and after.

Type your sub-heading paragraphs here. Text must be in Times New Roman, font size must be 12. Line spacing must be 1.5 pt.

#### 6. Conclusion

Based on the results of hypothesis testing, it can be concluded that the market responded positively to capital expenditures issued by the company, but failed to prove a negative market response to the company's bankruptcy risk conditions. This study finds the opposite phenomenon, that the market responds positively to companies experiencing bankruptcy risk. In addition, it is proven that in companies that are at risk of bankruptcy, the market reacts

positively to capital expenditures made by companies, while in companies that are not in a state of bankruptcy, the market does not respond to capital expenditures made by companies.

#### Acknowledgements (If Any)

Type your acknowledgements here. Acknowledgements and Reference headings should be bold and left justified. First letter capitalized, but have no numbers. The font size 12. Line spacing must be 12pt.

#### References

- Akbar, S., Shah, S. Z. A., & Saadi, I. (2008). Stock market reaction to capital expenditure announcements by UK firms. Applied Financial Economics, 18(8), 617–627. https://doi.org/10.1080/09603100701222234
- 2. Almanaseer, S. R. (2019). Dividend Policy and Share Price Volatility: Evidence from Jordan. *Accounting and Finance Research*, 8(2), 75–85.
- Altman, E. I. (1968). Financial Ratios, Discriminant Analysis and the Prediction of Corporate Bankruptcy. *The Journal of Finance*, 23(4), 589–609. https://doi.org/10.2307/2978933
- Beneish, M. D., & Prees, E. (1995). Interrelation Among Events of Default. Contemporary Accounting Research, 12(1), 57–84. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1911-3846.1995.tb00481.x
- Brailsford, T. J., & Yeoh, D. (2004). Agency Problems and Capital Expenditure Announcements. The Journal of Business, 77(2), 223–256. https://doi.org/10.1086/381274
- Burton, B. (2005). Concurrent capital expenditure and the stock market reaction to corporate alliance announcements. Applied Financial Economics, 15(10), 715–729. https://doi.org/10.1080/09603100500077060
- Chan, S. H., Martin, J. D., & Kensinger, J. W. (1990). Corporate research and development expenditures and share value. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 26(2), 255–276. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(90)90005-K
- Dawkins, M. C., & Bamber, L. S. (1998). Does the Medium Matter? The Relations among Bankruptcy Petition Filings, Broadtape Disclosure, and the Timing of Price Reactions. *The Journal of Finance*, 53(3), 1149–1163. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-1082.00047
- Dunham, L. M., & Garcia, J. (2021). Measuring the effect of investor sentiment on financial distress. Managerial Finance, 47(12), 1834–1852. https://doi.org/10.1108/MF-02-2021-0056
- Garcia Osma, Beatriz, and E. G.-S. (2011). Corporate governance, future news and forwardlooking information. In Accounting & Finance Association of Australia and New Zealand (AFAANZ) Conference.
- 11. Kerstein, J., & Kim, S. (1995). The Incremental Information Content of Capital Expenditures. *The Accounting Review*, 70(3), 513–526. http://www.jstor.org/stable/248536
- 12. Kim, S., & Lee, B. byunghwan. (2018). The value relevance of capital expenditures and the business cycle. *Studies in Economics and Finance*, 35(3), 386–406. https://doi.org/10.1108/SEF-03-2017-0063
- Lang, L. H. P., & Stulz, R. (1992). Contagion and competitive intra-industry effects of bankruptcy announcements: An empirical analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 32(1), 45– 60. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(92)90024-R
- 14. Lang, L. H. P., Stulz, R., & Walkling, R. A. (1991). A test of the free cash flow hypothesis: The case of bidder returns. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 29(2), 315–335. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(91)90005-5
- Lugovskaya, L. (2010). Predicting default of Russian SMEs on the basis of financial and nonfinancial variables. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, 14(4), 301–313. https://doi.org/10.1057/fsm.2009.28
- M<sup>\*</sup>ng, J. C. P., Rahman, M., & Kit, G. K. (2020). Announcements effect of corporate bond issuance on share price returns. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 15(3), 534–558. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOEM-11-2018-0601

#### Names of Author(s)

- 17. McConnell, J. J., & Muscarella, C. J. (1985). Corporate capital expenditure decisions and the market value of the firm. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 14(3), 399–422. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(85)90006-6
- Parveen, S., Satti, Z. W., Subhan, Q. A., & Jamil, S. (2020). Exploring market overreaction, investors' sentiments and investment decisions in an emerging stock market. *Borsa Istanbul Review*, 20(3), 224–235. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2020.02.002
- Stulz, R. (1990). Managerial discretion and optimal financing policies. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 26(1), 3–27. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(90)90011-N
- Udin, S., Khan, M. A., & Javid, A. Y. (2017). The effects of ownership structure on likelihood of financial distress: an empirical evidence. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal* of *Business in Society*, 17(4), 589–612. https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-03-2016-0067

## BANKRUPTCY RISK AND MARKET REACTION TO CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

**ORIGINALITY REPORT** 

19% SIMILARITY INDEX

%
INTERNET SOURCES

16%
PUBLICATIONS

**8**%

STUDENT PAPERS

#### **PRIMARY SOURCES**

Juniarti Juniarti, Yulius Jogi Christiawan, Hendri Kwistianus. "Market response and future performance of inefficient investment: Overinvestment or under-investment", Investment Management and Financial Innovations, 2022

5%

Kee H. Chung, Peter Wright, Charlie Charoenwong. "Investment opportunities and market reaction to capital expenditure decisions", Journal of Banking & Finance, 1998

2%

Submitted to Universiti Malaysia Sabah
Student Paper

1 %

Noman Younas, Shahab UdDin, Tahira Awan, Muhammad Yar Khan. "Corporate governance and financial distress: Asian emerging market perspective", Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society, 2021

1 %

Publication

5	Submitted to Kumasi Polytechnic  Student Paper	1 %
6	Shahab Udin, Muhammad Arshad Khan, Attiya Yasmin Javid. "The effects of ownership structure on likelihood of financial distress: an empirical evidence", Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society, 2017 Publication	1 %
7	Raymond da Silva Rosa, Thuy Nguyen, Terry Walter. "Market Returns to Acquirers of Substantial Assets", Australian Journal of Management, 2016 Publication	1%
8	Saeed Akbar, Syed Zulfiqar Ali Shah, Issedeeq Saadi. "Stock market reaction to capital expenditure announcements by UK firms", Applied Financial Economics, 2008 Publication	1 %
9	Submitted to Majmaah University Student Paper	1 %
10	Submitted to University of Warwick Student Paper	1 %
11	Abdelwhab Alrwashdeh, Riham Alkabbji, Ala' Alrazim, Farah Hamdan. "Chapter 64 The Impact of the Change in the Elements of Cash Flows on the Capital Structure of	1 %

Pharmaceutical Companies Listed on the Amman Stock Exchange in the Shade of the Covid-19 (Analytical Study)", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2023

Publication

- James Butchers, Gurmeet Singh Bhabra, 1 % 12 Harjeet Singh Bhabra, Anindya Sen. "Agency Conflicts and the Marginal Value of Capital Expenditure in Australian Listed Companies", Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies, 2021 Publication Bruce Burton. "Concurrent capital <1% 13 expenditure and the stock market reaction to corporate alliance announcements", Applied Financial Economics, 6/15/2005 Publication Samy Ben Naceur \*, Mohamed Goaied. "The <1% value relevance of accounting and financial information: panel data evidence", Applied Financial Economics, 2004 Publication
- Submitted to University College London
  Student Paper

  <a href="#">15</a>
- Submitted to Universiti Teknologi MARA <1 %

17	Oranee Tawatnuntachai, Ranjan D'Mello. "Intra - Industry Reactions to Stock Split Announcements", Journal of Financial Research, 2002 Publication	<1%
18	Submitted to Pace University Student Paper	<1%
19	Submitted to Telkom University Student Paper	<1%
20	Submitted to University of St. Gallen Student Paper	<1%
21	María Iborra, Vicente Safón, Consuelo Dolz. "chapter 11 The Role of Family Ownership in Survival and Bouncing Back", IGI Global, 2020	<1%
22	Michael Dalbor, Lan Jiang. "Determinants of Capital Expenditures in the U.S. Restaurant Industry", The Journal of Hospitality Financial Management, 2013	<1%

Exclude quotes On Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches

< 10 words