arXiv:2202.07034v1 [quant-ph] 14 Feb 2022

Slowing down light in a qubit metamaterial

Jan David Brehm,¹ Richard Gebauer,² Alexander Stehli,¹ Alexander N.

Poddubny,³ Oliver Sander,² Hannes Rotzinger,^{1,4} and Alexey V. Ustinov^{1,4,5,6}

¹Physikalisches Institut, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

²Institute for Data Processing and Electronics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany

³Ioffe Institute, St. Petersburg 194021, Russia

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany

⁵National University of Science and Technology MISiS, 119049 Moscow, Russia

 $^{6}Russian$ Quantum Center, Skolkovo, 143025 Moscow Region, Russia

(Dated: February 16, 2022)

The rapid progress in quantum information processing leads to a rising demand for devices to control the propagation of electromagnetic wave pulses and to ultimately realize a universal and efficient quantum memory. While in recent years significant progress has been made to realize slow light and quantum memories with atoms at optical frequencies, superconducting circuits in the microwave domain still lack such devices. Here, we demonstrate slowing down electromagnetic waves in a superconducting metamaterial composed of eight qubits coupled to a common waveguide, forming a waveguide quantum electrodynamics system. We analyze two complementary approaches, one relying on dressed states of the Autler-Townes splitting, and the other based on a tailored dispersion profile using the qubits tunability. Our time-resolved experiments show reduced group velocities of down to a factor of about 1500 smaller than in vacuum. Depending on the method used, the speed of light can be controlled with an additional microwave tone or an effective qubit detuning. Our findings demonstrate high flexibility of superconducting circuits to realize custom band structures and open the door to microwave dispersion engineering in the quantum regime.

INTRODUCTION

In the light of quantum information processing achieving control over the speed of light in artificially structured media, slowing it down and eventually stopping the light, has recently regained attention. This functionality is of vital importance for the realization of long-living quantum memories [1] and for controlling and synchronizing the flow of information [2]. One of the most prominent techniques to realize slow light is based on electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) - a quantum interference effect between different excitation pathways, rendering an otherwise opaque medium transparent and creating a steep dispersion profile [3]. Early demonstrations of slow light in EIT media involved vapors of sodium [4] and rubidium atoms [5], followed by further experiments with cold atoms coupled to nanofibers [6], and eventually by the demonstration of a highly efficient quantum memory [7]. A promising candidate beyond atoms to observe these effects are superconducting qubits in the context of cavity-free waveguide quantum electrodynamics (wQED). The observation of resonance florescence revealed that even single qubits can have strong coupling to propagating electromagnetic waves and show extinction coefficients close to unity [8, 9]. First demonstrations of the single-qubit Autler-Townes splitting (ATS) with ladder-type three-level systems [9, 10], showcased their potential suitability for the EIT-related applications. However, it was subsequently argued that in these experiments the EIT regime was not unambiguously reached [11].

More recently, focus shifted towards multi-qubit systems with infinite range interactions [12, 13], super- and subradiant polaritonic excitations [13–16], collective ATS [14], topological edge states [17, 18], creation of nonclassical light [19], and proposals for superconducting quantum memories [20]. Even though superconducting wQED systems can match the requirements of large optical depth and high coherence [21], slow light has been so far realized only in the context of classical waveguides [22]. These devices are passive, without the possibility to in-situ control the speed of light and to ultimately realize a quantum memory protocol.

Here, we experimentally demonstrate a first realization of slow light in a superconducting wQED system consisting of eight locally tunable transmon qubits coupled to a one-dimensional waveguide. We engineer the required flat band structure by using qubits directly as controllable dispersive elements. First, we consider the standard case of dressed state based slow light and demonstrate that even in the case of imperfect EIT, where the physics is merely governed by the ATS, a moderate retardation of the group velocities by a factor down to 1500 compared to vacuum can be achieved. Second, we engineer a similar band structure, based on detuned collective resonances of the participating qubits. This allows for three times larger efficiencies of the slow-light medium. The demonstrated slow light effect can be used for a fixeddelay quantum memory in superconducting wQED and paves way to more general applications such as on demand storage-and-retrieval memory for quantum information processing.

⁴Institute for Quantum Materials and Technologies,

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Circuit design and properties

The qubit metamaterial presented in this work consists of eight superconducting transmon qubits [23], capacitively coupled to the mode continuum of a coplanar waveguide, see Fig. 1 a. Each qubit has an integrated SQUID loop and is thereby individually tunable between 3 and 8 GHz by applying local magnetic flux via a flux bias line. We fulfill the metamaterial limit of subwavelength dimensions by choosing a dense qubit spacing of $d = 400 \,\mu\text{m}$, which corresponds to a fraction of the light wavelength λ with the phase delay of $\varphi = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}d =$ 0.05 - 0.16. As shown in former works, in such a setting the qubits obtain an infinite-range photon-mediated effective interaction, which is almost exclusively of collective dissipative nature [12, 13]. For a detailed study of the mode structure of this metamaterial, its super- and subradiant polaritonic excitations, we guide the reader to reference [14]. Figure 1 b shows the first three laddertype energy levels of the transmon qubits. If the $2 \rightarrow 1$ transition is driven with a microwave control tone with the amplitude (Rabi-strength) $\Omega_{\rm c}$, these levels hybridize and split into two dressed states separated by $\Omega_{\rm c}$, forming the ATS [24]. For our experiments we use the ATS of the individual qubits to calibrate the absolute value of the control power $P_{\rm c} = \frac{\Omega_{\rm c}^2}{4\Gamma_{10}}\hbar\omega_{\rm c}$ [25] (see Supplementary Material). With reference to a weak probe tone and assuming a $\propto \exp(-i\omega t)$ time dependence, the reflection coefficient of a single qubit is given by [10]:

$$r = -\frac{\Gamma_{10}}{2[\gamma_{10} - i(\omega - \omega_{10})] + \frac{\Omega_c^2}{2\gamma_{20} - 2i(\omega - \omega_{10} + \omega_c - \omega_{21})}} \quad (1)$$

The corresponding transmission coefficient is given by t = 1 + r, referenced in this article as element of the scattering matrix $S_{21}(\omega)$. Here, the qubits are strongly coupled to the waveguide, ensuring a multi-mode Purcell limited average relaxation rate of $\Gamma_{10}/2\pi = 12$ MHz. The average decoherence rate of the $1 \rightarrow 0$ transition is $\gamma_{10}/2\pi = (\Gamma_{10}/2 + \Gamma_{nr})/2\pi = 6.9 \text{ MHz}$, with Γ_{nr} accounting for pure dephasing and radiative losses to unguided modes. The corresponding average decoherence rate of the 2 \rightarrow 0 transition is $\gamma_{20}/2\pi = 6.9$ MHz, which is here by coincidence the same value. We note that this rate is, in agreement with other experiments with superconducting qubits [10, 26], significantly higher than that of cold atoms [3]. The increased rate is due to the ladder-type level structure of the transmon, where it can be shown that $\gamma_{20} > \Gamma_{21}/2$ always holds [27]. This necessarily leads to imperfect EIT since the associated dark state obtains a finite lifetime [3]. The corresponding implications for the creation of slow light are discussed in the following section. The expected band structure for the metamaterial in the lossless case ($\Gamma_{\rm nr} = 0, \gamma_{20} = 0$) based on Eq. (1)



Figure 1. a. Microscopic image of the eight qubit metamaterial with local flux bias lines. Both signal and control tone propagate along the central waveguide. b. Ladder-type level structure of the employed transmon qubits and the relevant radiative relaxation (Γ_{10} , Γ_{21}) and decoherence rates (γ_{20} , γ_{10}). If the control tone is resonant with the 2 \rightarrow 1 transition, the first transmon level hybridizes, creating the Autler-Townes splitting. c. Calculated band structure of the metamaterial in the lossless limit for different control Rabistrengths Ω_c . Light blue shaded areas indicate bandgaps. The effective group velocity v_g in the center band strongly depends on Ω_c .

for different values of $\Omega_{\rm c}$ is shown in Fig. 1 c. The expected group velocity of light $v_{\rm g} = \frac{d\omega}{dk}$ in the center band strongly depends on the control tone strength $\Omega_{\rm c}$. In the regime of small $\Omega_{\rm c}$ the slope of the band is almost flat, giving rise to slowing down the electromagnetic waves in the waveguide.

Slow light based on dressed-state Autler-Townes splitting

In order to create a slow-light medium, the qubits are consecutively tuned to a common resonance frequency $\omega_{10}/2\pi = 7.812 \,\mathrm{GHz}$ in the vicinity of the qubits' upper sweet spot. A continuous microwave control tone is applied, driving resonantly the $2 \rightarrow 1$ transition at the frequency $\omega_{\rm c}/2\pi = 7.533 \,\rm{GHz}$ and Rabi-strength $\Omega_{\rm c}$. Figure 2 shows the collective ATS, for N = 7 resonant qubits. For low control powers $P_{\rm c} \rightarrow 0$, only a single bandgap of strongly suppressed transmission above ω_{10} is present, which gradually splits for higher $\Omega_{\rm c}$ into two separate bandgaps, with a transparency window of finite transmission opening up in between (compare band structure calculation in Fig. 1 c). In this region the phase of the complex transmission coefficient $\operatorname{Arg}(S_{21}(\omega))$ features a steep roll-off (Fig. 2 b), indicating low group velocities. The observed transmission coefficient is in agreement with a numerical transfer-matrix calculation [14, 28], which takes into account a cable resonance caused by impedance mismatches in the cryostat wiring. Due to the aforementioned large $2 \rightarrow 0$ decoherence rate $\gamma_{20} \approx \gamma_{10}$, the expected sharp EIT feature at ω_{10} with near unity transmission for small Ω_c is smeared out and transmission is reduced. The expected effective group velocity v_g of a signal sent through the transparency window can be calculated from the phase gradient [20, 28]:

$$v_{\rm g} = \left[\frac{1}{(N-1)d} \frac{d\operatorname{Arg}(S_{21}(\omega))}{d\omega}\right]^{-1} \bigg|_{\omega=\omega_{10}}$$
(2)

The traversal time $\tau = (N-1)d/v_{\rm g}$ of a pulse through the medium with N = 7 qubits inferred from the spectroscopic data of Fig. 2 a at $\omega = \omega_{10}$ is shown in Fig. 3 b. In good agreement with the numerical transfermatrix model the expected traversal time, or conversely the effective group index $n_{\rm g},$ can be tuned over a large range with the applied control power $P_{\rm c}$. In contrast to the textbook case of EIT in the limit of $\gamma_{20} \approx 0$, where $\tau \propto 1/\Omega_c^2$ diverges for small Ω_c [3], we observe au approaching a maximum of 15 ns ($n_{\rm g} \approx 1900$) at $P_{\rm c} \approx -124 \, {\rm dBm}$ before it decreases again for even lower control tone strengths. A similar behavior was observed in room temperature vapor of ${}^{4}\text{He}$ [29]. An analytical expression for the expected delay $\tau(\omega_{10})$ in the case of a resonant control tone can be found from the effective dispersion relation $(kd)^2 \approx \varphi^2 - 2\chi\varphi$ with $\chi = \frac{\mathrm{i}r}{1+r}$ and $\varphi = \frac{\omega}{c} d$ [30]:

$$\tau(\omega_{10}, \Omega_{\rm c}) \approx \begin{cases} \frac{(N-1)\Gamma_{10}(2\Omega_{\rm c}^2 - 8\gamma_{20}^2)}{((4\gamma_{10} - 2\Gamma_{10})\gamma_{20} + \Omega_{\rm c}^2)^2} & \varphi \gg \chi\\ \frac{(N-1)\Gamma_{10}(2\Omega_{\rm c}^2 - 8\gamma_{20})}{((4\gamma_{10} - 2\Gamma_{10})\gamma_{20} + \Omega_{\rm c}^2)^{3/2}} \frac{\sqrt{\varphi}}{\sqrt{8\Gamma_{10}\gamma_{20}}} & \varphi \ll \chi \end{cases}$$
(3)

Figure 3 b shows both asymptotes of Eq. (3), corresponding to the strong and weak Rabi-strength Ω_c regime $(\varphi \gg \chi, \varphi \ll \chi)$. In the limit of $\gamma_{20} \to 0$, the condition $\varphi \gg \chi$ is always fulfilled, and the corresponding $\tau(\omega_{10}, \Omega_c)$ is reduced to the formulas of textbook EIT [3]. In this case we find good agreement between the measured delays and Eq. (3). In the opposite limit $\varphi \ll \chi$, only qualitative agreement is observed. The systematic offset to the measured data is rooted in the band structure calculation of Eq. (3), which assumes an infinite metamaterial an therefore deviates, in particular for small Ω_c . More detail on the derivation of $\tau(\omega_{10}, \Omega_c)$ are provided in the Supplementary Material. Formally, EIT and ATS should be treated as two distinct, but closely related phenomena: EIT is a destructive Fano-type interference effect between two excitation pathways, which can only occur if $\Omega_{\rm c} < \xi \gamma_{10}$, smoothly transitioning to the ATS-regime for $\Omega_{\rm c} > \xi \gamma_{10}$, with two independent resonances of the dressed state doublet [11]. The coefficient $\xi = (N^2 - 1)\varphi/3$ is the approximate width of the bandgap for a finite system with $N < \pi/\varphi \approx 20$ qubits in



Figure 2. a. Collective ATS of seven resonant qubits in dependence on control tone power $P_{\rm c}$. For $P_{\rm c} > -125 \,\mathrm{dBm}$, a transparency window with finite transmission emerges around the resonance frequency ω_{10} (black dashed line). The red dashed line is a fit to the expected splitting of $\Omega_{\rm c}/2\pi$. Purple dotted lines mark a minimal bandwidth of $1/\sigma = 20 \,\mathrm{MHz}$ of the transparency window for time-domain experiments with $\sigma = 50 \,\mathrm{ns}$ pulses. b. Absolute value and phase of the complex transmission coefficient S_{21} for $P_{\rm c} = -122 \,\mathrm{dBm}$. Black dotted lines are fits to a transfer-matrix model. The effective expected group velocity $v_{\rm g}$ is anti-proportional to the slope of $\mathrm{Arg}(S_{21})$ at ω_{10} .

units of γ_{10} . In this work, due to large γ_{20} , the accessible control strengths are in the transient regime $\Omega_c \gtrsim \xi \gamma_{10}$. Here, a quantitative method to distinguish between ATS and EIT based on the Akaike's information criterion of Ref. [11] can not directly be applied to the measured data, since it features an asymmetric line shape due to the microwave background. Using the method for the calculated single-qubit splitting based on Eq. (1) in conjunction with the experimentally extracted qubit decoherence rates yields that the observed line shape is by almost 100 % certainty described by the ATS (not shown).

We have verified the spectroscopically inferred time delays τ in pulsed time-domain measurements by using an FPGA-based heterodyne microwave setup (see Supplementary Material). Here, we generated Gaussian pulses of width $\sigma = 50$ ns at resonant center-frequency ω_{10} with a continuously applied control tone at frequency ω_{21} and strength Ω_c . The pulse amplitude is kept at the single photon level $(P_{\rm p} < \hbar \omega_{10} \Gamma_{10})$ in order to prevent saturation of the qubits. The digitized transmitted pulses for different control tone strengths are shown in Fig. 3 a. By fitting Gaussians to the measured data we extracted the temporal position of each pulse center. The extracted pulse arrival times were compared to a reference pulse, measured in the case of far detuned qubits, thus giving access to the pulse delay τ . The delays measured in this way are presented in Fig. 3 b showing good agreement with the spectroscopically inferred data and

numerics. Due to the finite spectral width $1/\sigma = 20 \text{ MHz}$ of the Gaussian pulses (indicated by dashed purple lines in Fig. 2 a) and that of the transparency window, the maximal accessible delay here is limited to $\tau \approx 12 \,\mathrm{ns}$ $(n_{\rm g} \approx 1500)$. In qualitative agreement with the transmission measurement data of Fig. 2 a, the amplitude of the measured pulses becomes smaller when reducing the control tone amplitude. This effect is most prominent in the sector of large delays, however exactly here a high transmission is desired to realize an efficient quantum memory protocol [31]. At maximal delay, the efficiency, i.e. the energy ratio of the transmitted and reference pulse, is about 16%. Due to small average delay-bandwidth products of 0.2, the device under investigation appears suitable for a fixed-delay quantum memory [32], rather than a general purpose on-demand storage procedure, where the pulse has to fit spatially completely into the metamaterial for high storage efficiency [31]. Reducing the decoherence rate γ_{20} would allow for significantly larger group indices and improve the bandwidth-delay product towards values larger than unity. Experimentally, this can be achieved by employing Λ -type three-level systems, such as flux- or fluxonium qubits [20].



Figure 3. **a**. Envelope of the detected Gaussian pulses in the time domain for different control tone powers P_c of the N = 7 qubit ATS. The pulses are generated with a center frequency of $\omega_{10} = 7.812$ GHz and width $\sigma = 50$ ns, corresponding to the center of the transparency window. For better visibility the pulses are compressed by a factor of 20, where their maximum remains at the original position. **b**. Experimentally extracted delays from pulsed and spectroscopic measurements in dependence on control power P_c . Pulse delays of up to 12 ns (15 ns in spectroscopy) were achieved, corresponding to the reduction of the group velocity of 1500 (1900) compared to the speed of light in vacuum.

Dispersion-engineered slow light

As pointed out by Shen et al. [33], ATS-like band structures can be realized not only by dressed states, but also equivalently by two detuned distinct resonances coupled to the same mode continuum. For this approach, which we call *dispersion engineering*, neither a third qubit level nor an additional microwave control tone is required. Here, we use the individual qubit frequency control to tune every second qubit to a frequency f_1 and every other qubit to f_2 , creating two collective four-qubit resonances. The frequency $f_2 = 7.882 \,\text{GHz}$ is kept constant throughout the experiment, while the frequency f_1 of the other four qubits is varied. The transmission S_{21} (Fig. 4 a, b) resembles that of the dressed state ATS in Fig.2; the main ATS feature, being a transparency window with a steep phase roll-off between two bandgaps, is intact. Since the large γ_{20} has no influence on the first two transmon levels, the brightest of the collective four qubit subradiant states are visible as pronounced peaks several MHz below f_1 and f_2 [14] (compare Fig. 4) b). The observed line shape is in good agreement with a numerical transfer matrix calculation. The pulse delays τ and effective group indices $n_{\rm g}$, calculated from $\operatorname{Arg}(S_{21}(\omega))$ with Eq. (2) with respect to the frequency f_1 , are shown in Fig. 4 c. Since the phase in the transparency window shows a varying slope, an average of the slope in a bandwidth of 10 MHz around the center is used to estimate τ . Analogously to the previous section, we use Gaussian pulses with $\sigma = 50 \,\mathrm{ns}$ to directly measure and validate the expected pulse delay τ . The center frequency is adjusted close to $(f_1 + f_2)/2$ for each trace. Figure 4 c compares the delay τ of pulsed and spectroscopic measurements with numerical results from a transfer-matrix model. Here, we find delays up to $\tau = 17 \,\mathrm{ns}$, corresponding to group indices of $n_{\mathrm{g}} = 1850$, which is comparable to the group indices found in the dressed-state ATS case for a similar splitting between the dressed states. In contrast to the ATS measurement, we achieve an efficiency of $\approx 45 - 50\%$, as the transmittance of the transparency window is solely limited by the non-radiative decoherence $\Gamma_{\rm nr}$ and not influenced by γ_{20} . This also implies potentially possible much higher delays for narrower transparency windows. Even though the dispersion-engineered approach lacks the possibility of fast and simple control via an additional microwave tone in contrast to the ATS, it can be used as a fixed delay quantum memory and showcases the ability to tailor the band structure on demand in superconducting wQED. For further studies, one can think of more complex band structures going beyond the capabilities of the ATS, e.g., engineering several spectral regions of different group indices, referred to as multi-color slow light [34].



Figure 4. **a**. Absolute transmission $|S_{21}|$ for two collective four-qubit resonances at frequencies f_1 and f_2 . The frequency is alternated between neighboring qubits along the waveguide. The black dashed line marks the center of the transparency window. The purple dashed lines indicate the bandwidth of the 50 ns Gaussian pulses. **b**. Transmission for a fixed detuning $f_2 - f_1 = 32$ MHz. The sharp peaks next to the bandgaps correspond to subradiant four-qubit states. The steep phase roll-off between the resonances indicates a low group velocity. Black dashed lines are fits to a numerical transfer matrix model. **c**. Experimentally extracted delays from pulsed and spectroscopic measurements in dependence on frequency f_1 . Pulse delays up to 17 ns, corresponding to retardation factors of 1850, are achieved.

SUMMARY & CONCLUSION

In this work, we demonstrated slow light in a superconducting waveguide QED system. A metamaterial consisting of eight densely spaced transmon qubits was used to engineer a band structure with a flat dispersion profile to obtain reduced group velocities of electromagnetic waves. Using the well-known dressed-state ATS, we observed group velocities reduced by a factor of down to 1500, both in spectroscopic and direct time-resolved pulsed measurements. The maximum achievable delay and efficiency is limited by the strong dephasing of the $2 \rightarrow 0$ transition, which is inherent to ladder-type threelevel systems. Moreover, we demonstrated slow light with a tailored band structure based on distinct detuned collective resonances of the qubits. At comparable retardation, we observed three times larger efficiencies, not limited by large γ_{20} . The demonstrated slow-light metamaterial can be employed as a fixed-delay quantum memory or a tunable pulse retarder to synchronize pulses in quantum information processors. Based on our proof-of principle experiment, further improvements which significantly ameliorate the device performance can be made. A similar metamaterial based on flux or fluxonium qubits, which feature a Λ -type level structure, is expected to reach the EIT regime and may ultimately realize a general purpose and on-demand superconducting quantum memory.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has received funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) by the Grant No. US 18/15-1, the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under Grant Agreement No. 863313 (SUPERGALAX), BMBF Grant 'PtQUBE' No. 13N15016, and by the Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association, within the Helmholtz Future Project 'Scalable solid state quantum computing'. JDB acknowledges financial support from Studienstiftung des Deutschen Volkes, AS was supported by Landesgraduiertenförderung-Karlsruhe and ANP gratefully acknowledges support from Russian Science Foundation Grant 20-12-00194. AVU acknowledges partial support from the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation in the framework of the Program of Strategic Academic Leadership "Priority 2030".

- A. I. Lvovsky, B. C. Sanders, and W. Tittel, Optical quantum memory, Nature Photonics 3, 706 (2009), number: 12 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [2] T. Kroh, J. Wolters, A. Ahlrichs, A. W. Schell, A. Thoma, S. Reitzenstein, J. S. Wildmann, E. Zallo, R. Trotta, A. Rastelli, O. G. Schmidt, and O. Benson, Slow and fast single photons from a quantum dot interacting with the excited state hyperfine structure of the Cesium D 1 -line, Scientific Reports 9, 13728 (2019), number: 1 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [3] M. Fleischhauer, A. Imamoglu, and J. P. Marangos, Electromagnetically induced transparency: Optics in coherent media, Reviews of Modern Physics 77, 633 (2005).
- [4] L. V. Hau, S. E. Harris, Z. Dutton, and C. H. Behroozi, Light speed reduction to 17 metres per second in an ultracold atomic gas, Nature **397**, 594 (1999), number: 6720 Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.
- [5] M. M. Kash, V. A. Sautenkov, A. S. Zibrov, L. Hollberg, G. R. Welch, M. D. Lukin, Y. Rostovtsev, E. S. Fry, and M. O. Scully, Ultraslow Group Velocity and Enhanced Nonlinear Optical Effects in a Coherently Driven Hot Atomic Gas, Physical Review Letters 82, 5229 (1999).
- [6] B. Gouraud, D. Maxein, A. Nicolas, O. Morin, and J. Laurat, Demonstration of a Memory for Tightly Guided Light in an Optical Nanofiber, Physical Review Letters **114**, 180503 (2015), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [7] Y.-F. Hsiao, P.-J. Tsai, H.-S. Chen, S.-X. Lin, C.-C. Hung, C.-H. Lee, Y.-H. Chen, Y.-F. Chen, I. A. Yu, and Y.-C. Chen, Highly Efficient Coherent Optical Memory Based on Electromagnetically Induced Transparency, Physical Review Letters **120**, 183602 (2018).
- [8] O. Astafiev, A. M. Zagoskin, A. A. Abdumalikov, Y. A. Pashkin, T. Yamamoto, K. Inomata, Y. Nakamura, and J. S. Tsai, Resonance Fluorescence of a Single Artificial Atom, Science **327**, 840 (2010).
- [9] I.-C. Hoi, C. M. Wilson, G. Johansson, J. Lindkvist, B. Peropadre, T. Palomaki, and P. Delsing, Microwave quantum optics with an artificial atom in onedimensional open space, New Journal of Physics 15, 025011 (2013).
- [10] A. A. Abdumalikov, O. Astafiev, A. M. Zagoskin, Y. A. Pashkin, Y. Nakamura, and J. S. Tsai, Electromagnetically Induced Transparency on a Single Artificial Atom, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 193601 (2010).
- [11] P. M. Anisimov, J. P. Dowling, and B. C. Sanders, Objectively Discerning Autler-Townes Splitting from Electromagnetically Induced Transparency, Physical Review Letters 107, 163604 (2011), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [12] K. Lalumière, B. C. Sanders, A. F. van Loo, A. Fedorov, A. Wallraff, and A. Blais, Input-output theory for waveguide QED with an ensemble of inhomogeneous atoms, Physical Review A 88, 043806 (2013).
- [13] A. F. v. Loo, A. Fedorov, K. Lalumière, B. C. Sanders, A. Blais, and A. Wallraff, Photon-Mediated Interactions Between Distant Artificial Atoms, Science **342**, 1494 (2013).
- [14] J. D. Brehm, A. N. Poddubny, A. Stehli, T. Wolz, H. Rotzinger, and A. V. Ustinov, Waveguide bandgap engineering with an array of superconducting qubits,

npj Quantum Materials 6, 10.1038/s
41535-021-00310-z (2021).

- [15] Y.-X. Zhang and K. Mølmer, Theory of Subradiant States of a One-Dimensional Two-Level Atom Chain, arXiv:1812.09784 [cond-mat, physics:quant-ph] (2018), arXiv: 1812.09784.
- [16] J. Zhong, N. A. Olekhno, Y. Ke, A. V. Poshakinskiy, C. Lee, Y. S. Kivshar, and A. N. Poddubny, Photon-Mediated Localization in Two-Level Qubit Arrays, Physical Review Letters **124**, 093604 (2020), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [17] Y. Ke, J. Zhong, A. V. Poshakinskiy, Y. S. Kivshar, A. N. Poddubny, and C. Lee, Radiative topological biphoton states in modulated qubit arrays, arXiv:2002.10074 [physics, physics:quant-ph] (2020), arXiv: 2002.10074.
- [18] A. V. Poshakinskiy, J. Zhong, Y. Ke, N. A. Olekhno, C. Lee, Y. S. Kivshar, and A. N. Poddubny, Quantum Hall phase emerging in an array of atoms interacting with photons, arXiv:2003.08257 [cond-mat, physics:nlin, physics:physics, physics:quant-ph] (2020), arXiv: 2003.08257.
- [19] Y.-L. L. Fang, H. Zheng, and H. U. Baranger, Onedimensional waveguide coupled to multiple qubits: photon-photon correlations, EPJ Quantum Technology 1, 3 (2014).
- [20] P. M. Leung and B. C. Sanders, Coherent Control of Microwave Pulse Storage in Superconducting Circuits, Physical Review Letters 109, 253603 (2012).
- [21] J. L. Everett, D. B. Higginbottom, G. T. Campbell, P. K. Lam, and B. C. Buchler, Stationary light in atomic media, Advanced Quantum Technologies 2, 1800100 (2019).
- [22] M. Mirhosseini, E. Kim, V. S. Ferreira, M. Kalaee, A. Sipahigil, A. J. Keller, and O. Painter, Superconducting metamaterials for waveguide quantum electrodynamics, Nature Communications 9, 3706 (2018).
- [23] J. Koch, T. M. Yu, J. Gambetta, A. A. Houck, D. I. Schuster, J. Majer, A. Blais, M. H. Devoret, S. M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf, Charge-insensitive qubit design derived from the Cooper pair box, Physical Review A 76, 042319 (2007).
- [24] S. H. Autler and C. H. Townes, Stark Effect in Rapidly Varying Fields, Physical Review 100, 703 (1955), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [25] T. Hönigl-Decrinis, R. Shaikhaidarov, S. de Graaf, V. Antonov, and O. Astafiev, Two-Level System as a Quantum Sensor for Absolute Calibration of Power, Physical Review Applied 13, 024066 (2020), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [26] I.-C. Hoi, C. M. Wilson, G. Johansson, T. Palomaki, B. Peropadre, and P. Delsing, Demonstration of a Single-Photon Router in the Microwave Regime, Physical Review Letters 107, 073601 (2011), publisher: American Physical Society.
- [27] M. Yan, E. G. Rickey, and Y. Zhu, Electromagnetically induced transparency in cold rubidium atoms, JOSA B 18, 1057 (2001), publisher: Optical Society of America.
- [28] A. Asenjo-Garcia, M. Moreno-Cardoner, A. Albrecht, H. Kimble, and D. Chang, Exponential Improvement in Photon Storage Fidelities Using Subradiance and "Selective Radiance" in Atomic Arrays, Physical Review X 7, 031024 (2017).
- [29] F. Goldfarb, T. Lauprêtre, J. Ruggiero, F. Bretenaker, J. Ghosh, and R. Ghosh, Electromagnetically-induced transparency, slow light, and negative group velocities

in a room temperature vapor of 4he, Comptes Rendus Physique Slow-light: Fascinating physics or potential applications?, **10**, 919 (2009).

- [30] M. R. Vladimirova, E. L. Ivchenko, and A. V. Kavokin, Exciton polaritons in long-period quantum-well structures, Semiconductors 32, 90 (1998).
- [31] I. Novikova, R. L. Walsworth, and Y. Xiao, Electromagnetically induced transparency-based slow and stored light in warm atoms, Laser & Photonics Reviews 6, 333 (2012).
- [32] A. Rastogi, E. Saglamyurek, T. Hrushevskyi, S. Hubele, and L. J. LeBlanc, Discerning quantum memories based

on electromagnetically-induced-transparency and Autler-Townes-splitting protocols, Physical Review A **100**, 012314 (2019), publisher: American Physical Society.

- [33] J.-T. Shen, M. L. Povinelli, S. Sandhu, and S. Fan, Stopping single photons in one-dimensional circuit quantum electrodynamics systems, Physical Review B 75, 10.1103/physrevb.75.035320 (2007).
- [34] H. Wang, X. Gu, Y.-x. Liu, A. Miranowicz, and F. Nori, Optomechanical analog of two-color electromagnetically induced transparency: Photon transmission through an optomechanical device with a two-level system, Phys. Rev. A 90, 023817 (2014).

Table S1. Measured^a individual qubit properties around 7.81 GHz and upper- and lower-sweet spot positions f_{01}^{\min} , f_{01}^{\max} .

Parameter	Qubit 1	Qubit 2	Qubit 3	Qubit 4	Qubit 5	Qubit 6	Qubit 7	Qubit 8
$\Gamma_{10}/2\pi(\mathrm{MHz})$	7.3	9.5	11.3	13.9	14.5	14.6	12.1	11.9
$\gamma_{10}/2\pi(\mathrm{MHz})$	4.2	5.3	6.7	8.4	8.1	8.1	6.7	7.2
$\Gamma_{\rm nr}/2\pi({\rm MHz})$	0.52	0.56	1.0	1.36	0.83	0.83	0.65	1.3
$\gamma_{20}/2\pi(\mathrm{MHz})^{\mathrm{b}}$	8.7	8.3	-	6.7	5.6	6.2	-	5.7
Ext. coeff. $\%$	98.4	98.9	97.7	97.4	99.0	99.0	99.1	96.8
$\chi/2\pi({ m MHz})^{ m c}$	283	279	273	275	267	281	273	276
f_{01}^{\max} (GHz)	8.097	7.900	8.088	8.114	8.115	7.95	8.066	8.136
$f_{01}^{\min}\left(\mathrm{GHz}\right)$	3.029	3.091	2.912	2.986	2.970	2.936	2.588	2.484

^a Measured after thermal cycle of the cryostat compared to the measurements in the main text.

^b γ_{20} was not accessible for all qubits at the given frequency, due to spurious TLS distorting the single qubit ATS. ^c Measured at 7.9 GHz

Qubit characterization

Extensive details on the characterization of the individual qubits can be found in the Supplementary Material of reference [14]. The individual qubit characteristics around 7.81 GHz are listed in table S1.

Experimental microwave setup

The used microwave setup for the time-resolved measurements is shown in Fig. S1. It is controlled by a Xilinx ZCU111 evaluation board featuring an RFSoC architecture. This combines CPUs, an FPGA, DACs and ADCs on a single chip. The setup is operated with custom firmware and an effective sampling rate of 1 GS/s. The microwave pulses are generated and detected at an intermediate frequency of $f_{\rm IF} = 115$ MHz. Single-sideband mixing with a microwave local oscillator based on IQ-mixing is employed to up- and down-convert the signal between $f_{\rm IF}$ and Gigahertz frequencies. After detection, the measured pulses are digitally downconverted from the intermediate frequency to dc and low-pass filtered with a 5th order Butterworth filter with a 115 MHz cutoff.

Calibration of absolute power with the ATS and extraction of γ_{20}

The Rabi strength Ω_{j+1j} of the coupling of the $j+1 \rightarrow j$ transition of a transmom to a single mode is given by [9, 23]:

$$\hbar\Omega_{j+1j} = 2e \frac{C_{\rm c}}{C_{\Sigma}} \langle j+1|\hat{n}|j\rangle V \approx 2e \frac{C_{\rm c}}{C_{\Sigma}} \sqrt{j+1} \left(\frac{E_{\rm J}}{8E_{\rm c}}\right)^{1/4} V_{\rm rms} = \mu_{j+1j} V_{\rm rms} \tag{S1}$$

 μ_{j+1j} is denoting the dipole moment of the corresponding transition and $V_{\rm rms}$ is the root-mean square voltage of the incoming wave $(P_{\rm c} = \frac{V_{\rm rms}^2}{Z_0} = \frac{V_{\rm c}^2}{2Z_0}, Z_0 = 50 \,\Omega$ is the impedance of the waveguide). The rate of incoming photons to the sample is given by:

$$\nu = \frac{P_{\rm c}}{\hbar\omega_{j+1j}} = \frac{V_{\rm rms}^2}{Z_0\hbar\omega_{j+1j}} = \frac{\hbar\Omega_{j+1j}^2}{Z_0\omega_{j+1j}\mu_{j+1j}^2}$$
(S2)

With the relaxation rate $\Gamma_{10} = \frac{\omega_{10}^2 C_c^2 Z_0}{2C_{\Sigma}}$ this can be written as:

$$\nu = \frac{\Omega_{j+1j}^2}{2(j+1)\Gamma_{10}}$$
(S3)

In case of the ATS, the $2 \rightarrow 1$ transition is driven with a control tone. For this specific case we get the following connection between $\Omega_c = \Omega_{21}$ and the incident power:

$$P_{\rm c} = \frac{\Omega_{\rm c}^2}{4\Gamma_{10}}\hbar\omega_{\rm c} \tag{S4}$$



Figure S1. Used microwave setup for time-resolved measurements with heterodyne detection of microwave pulses.

The measured single-qubit ATS, as shown in Fig. S7 is fitted with a simple $\Omega = a \sqrt{P_{\text{appl}}}$ law. The calibration factor α between the applied power P_{appl} and the correct incident on chip-power P_{c} ($P_{\text{c}} = \alpha P_{\text{appl}}$) is calculated with:

$$\alpha = a^2 \frac{\hbar\omega_{\rm c}}{4\Gamma_{10}} \tag{S5}$$

We note that the calibration depends on the radiative relaxation rate of the qubits.

Once the power is calibrated, we extract the decoherence rate γ_{20} of the $2 \rightarrow 0$ transition. The complex reflection coefficient of a dressed 3-level system, as derived in reference [10], is given by:

$$r = -\frac{\Gamma_{10}}{2[\gamma_{10} - i(\omega - \omega_{10})] + \frac{\Omega_c^2}{2\gamma_{20} - 2i(\omega - \omega_{10} + \omega_c - \omega_{21})}}$$
(S6)

 $\Omega_{\rm c}$ is the Rabi-strength of the control tone with frequency $\omega_{\rm c}$. If the probe tone is resonant with the $0 \to 1$ transition $(\omega = \omega_{10})$ and the control tone is resonant with the $1 \to 2$ transition $(\omega_{\rm c} = \omega_{21})$ the corresponding transmission



Figure S2. a. Autler-Townes splitting of qubit 2 as a function of the applied power P_{appl} used to calibrate the absolute on-chip power P_c . Red dashed line is a fit to $\Omega = a \sqrt{P_{appl}}$. b. Resonant transmission ($\omega = \omega_{10}$) as function of P_c used to extract γ_{20} . The orange line is a fit to S7. For both figures $|S_{21}(\omega_{10})|$ is normalized to 1 for large Ω_c .

coefficient of Eq. (S6) simplifies to:

$$t = 1 - \frac{\frac{\Gamma_{10}}{2\gamma_{10}}}{1 + \frac{\Omega_c^2}{4\gamma_{20}\gamma_{10}}} = 1 - \frac{\frac{\Gamma_{10}}{2\gamma_{10}}}{1 + \frac{4\Gamma_{10}}{4\gamma_{20}\gamma_{10}\hbar\omega_c}P_c}$$
(S7)

When Γ_{10} and γ_{10} are known (see table S1), a fit of Eq. (S7) to the measured trace gives an estimate for γ_{20} , compare figure S2 b).

The rates Γ_{10} , γ_{10} , and γ_{20} used for the numerical calculation in Fig. 3 of the main text are averaged values of all individual qubit rates. We note that the measured decoherence rates are frequency dependent, because of the random distribution of parasitic two-level-systems over the qubits' flux dispersion, the varying influence of magnetic flux noise, and the influence of standing waves in the microwave background of the cryostat. Due to this frequency dependence, the decoherence rates are left as free fitting parameters for the numerical calculation in Fig. 4 to get a more accurate estimate for the effective rates.

Band structure calculation and derivation of $\tau(\Omega_c)$ in the metamaterial limit

The band structure of an infinitely extended metamaterial, composed of three-level systems under ATS condition, as given by Eq. (S6), can be calculated as an eigenvalue problem of the corresponding T-matrices:

$$T_1 T_{\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} V_1^R \\ V_1^L \end{pmatrix} = \exp(\pm ikd) \begin{pmatrix} V_1^R \\ V_1^L \end{pmatrix}$$
(S8)

 $V_1^{R/L}$ denote right and left propagating fields. T_1 is the transfer matrix of a single qubit and T_{φ} of a bare piece of transmission line leading to a phase shift of $\varphi = \omega/cd$. More details on the transfer matrix formalism are provided in the Supplementary Material of Ref. [14]. The eigenvalue problem of Eq. (S8) reduces to:

$$\cos(kd) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(T_1T_{\varphi}) = \cos\left(\frac{\omega}{c}d\right) + \frac{\mathrm{i}r}{1+r}\sin\left(\frac{\omega}{c}d\right)$$
(S9)

Solving Eq. (S9) for $\omega(k)$ gives access to the dispersion relation and band structure. In the large wavelength-limit, meaning $kd \ll 1$, $\omega/cd \ll 1$ this equation can be approximated as:

$$1 - \frac{1}{2}(kd)^2 \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\omega}{c}d)^2 + \frac{\mathrm{i}r}{1+r}\frac{\omega}{c}d$$
(S10)

$$(kd)^2 \approx \varphi^2 - 2\chi\varphi \quad \text{with} \quad \chi = \frac{\mathrm{i}r}{1+r}, \, \varphi = \frac{\omega}{c}d$$
 (S11)

In order to obtain an analytical expression for $v_{\rm g} = \operatorname{Re}(\left(\frac{dk}{d\omega}\right)^{-1})$, Eq. (S11) can be approximated in the limits of large and small control tone strengths $\Omega_{\rm c}$, translating to $\varphi \gg \chi$ and $\varphi \ll \chi$. For the first case, Eq. (S11) simplifies to:

$$k \approx \frac{\omega}{c} - \frac{\mathrm{i}r}{1+r}\frac{1}{d} \tag{S12}$$

The inverse group velocity:

$$\frac{1}{v_{\rm g}} = \frac{dk}{d\omega} \approx \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{c} - \frac{1}{d}\frac{d}{d\omega}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}r}{1+r}\right)\right) \tag{S13}$$

at $\omega = \omega_{10}$ this equates to:

$$\frac{1}{v_{\rm g}}\Big|_{\omega=\omega_{10}} = \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d} \frac{\Gamma_{10}(2\Omega_{\rm c}^2 - 8\gamma_{20}^2)}{((4\gamma_{10} - 2\Gamma_{10})\gamma_{20} + \Omega_{\rm c}^2)^2}$$
(S14)

The pulse delay of an array of N qubits with a spacing d is then given by:

$$\tau = \frac{(N-1)d}{v_{\rm g}} \tag{S15}$$

We note that for a small decoherence rate of the $2 \rightarrow 0$ transition, $\gamma_{20} \approx 0$, the group velocity of textbook EIT can be recovered from Eq. (S14):

$$\frac{1}{v_{\rm g}} = \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d} \frac{2\Gamma_{10}}{\Omega_c^2} \tag{S16}$$

For the second case, i.e. $\varphi \ll \chi$, Eq. S11 simplifies to:

$$k^2 \approx -\frac{2\varphi\chi}{d^2} \tag{S17}$$

$$\frac{dk}{d\omega} \approx \frac{d\chi}{d\omega} \frac{\sqrt{-\varphi/2}}{\sqrt{\chi}d} \tag{S18}$$

Using the principal square root, the inverse group velocity at $\omega = \omega_{10}$ is then given by:

$$\frac{1}{v_{\rm g}} = \operatorname{Re}\left(\left.\frac{dk}{d\omega}\right|_{\omega=\omega_{10}}\right) = \frac{1}{d} \frac{\Gamma_{10}(2\Omega_c^2 - 8\gamma_{20}^2)}{((4\gamma_{10} - 2\Gamma_{10})\gamma_{20} + \Omega_c^2)^{3/2}} \frac{\sqrt{\varphi}}{\sqrt{8\Gamma_{10}\gamma_{20}}} \tag{S19}$$

Normalization of spectroscopic data

All spectroscopic data are normalized by $S_{21}(\omega) = S_{21}^{\text{meas}}(\omega)/(aS_{21}^{\text{bg}}(\omega))$. Here, $S_{21}^{\text{bg}}(\omega)$ is the measured transmission coefficient of the background which is obtained when all qubits are far detuned. *a* is a constant factor accounting for interference effects of the signal with the background and fluctuations of the amplifier gain.