Hierarchy of Lifshitz transitions in the surface electronic structure of Sr_2RuO_4 under uniaxial compression

Edgar Abarca Morales,^{1,2} Gesa-R. Siemann,² Andela Živanović,^{1,2} Philip A. E. Murgatroyd,² Igor Marković,^{1,2,*}

Brendan Edwards,² Chris A. Hooley,² Dmitry A. Sokolov,¹ Naoki Kikugawa,³ Cephise Cacho,⁴ Matthew

D. Watson,⁴ Timur K. Kim,⁴ Clifford W. Hicks,^{1,5} Andrew P. Mackenzie,^{1,2} and Phil D. C. King^{2,†}

¹Max Planck Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids,

Nöthnitzer Strasse 40, 01187 Dresden, Germany

²SUPA, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of St Andrews, St Andrews KY16 9SS, UK

³National Institute for Materials Science, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0003, Japan

⁴Diamond Light Source, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, Didcot, OX11 ODE, United Kingdom

 5S chool of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

(Dated: January 12, 2023)

We report the evolution of the electronic structure at the surface of the layered perovskite Sr_2RuO_4 under large in-plane uniaxial compression, leading to anisotropic B_{1g} strains of $\varepsilon_{xx} - \varepsilon_{yy} = -0.9 \pm 0.1\%$. From angle-resolved photoemission, we show how this drives a sequence of Lifshitz transitions, reshaping the low-energy electronic structure and the rich spectrum of van Hove singularities that the surface layer of Sr_2RuO_4 hosts. From comparison to tight-binding modelling, we find that the strain is accommodated predominantly by bond-length changes rather than modifications of octahedral tilt and rotation angles. Our study sheds new light on the nature of structural distortions at oxide surfaces, and how targeted control of these can be used to tune density of states singularities to the Fermi level, in turn paving the way to the possible realisation of rich collective states at the Sr_2RuO_4 surface.

A central building block of numerous correlated electron materials is the transition-metal-oxide octahedron. The distortions of coupled octahedra away from idealised cubic geometries underpin many of the striking physical properties which transition-metal oxides host. In perovskite nickelates, for example, tilts and rotations combined with breathing-like distortions of the NiO₆ octahedra support a rich phase diagram of metal-insulator and magnetic transitions [1, 2]; in several titanates, offcentering of the Ti atom within the octahedral cage generates a ferroelectric state [3, 4]; while in some manganites, tri-linear coupling of non-polar tilt and rotation modes with polar displacements creates novel multiferroics [5]. In the ruthenate family, modest structural distortions drive the emergence of numerous correlated electron states [6–10]: unconventional superconductors [11], Mott insulators [12], polar metals [13], and quantum criticality [14] are all found in systems built around nominally the same RuO_6 structural unit. Disentangling the structure-property relations underpinning the formation of such disparate ground states is a major challenge in the field.

To this end, developing routes to observe modifications in electronic properties when structural distortions are tuned in a controlled manner is a key goal. Uniaxial pressure can provide such a control parameter [15–18], and can be applied in conjunction with spectroscopic probes [19–25]. In Sr_2RuO_4 , for example, uniaxial compression has been shown to more than double its superconducting T_c and to stabilise *T*-linear resistivity [26, 27]. Both effects have been attributed to a strain-driven Lifshitz transition in the electronic structure, where a saddle point van Hove singularity (vHS), and its associated peak in the density of states, is driven through the Fermi level [19, 27].

Here we report the observation, from angle-resolved photoemission (ARPES), of the influence of uniaxial pressure on the surface electronic structure of Sr_2RuO_4 . The Sr_2RuO_4 surface is known to distort via in-plane rotations of its RuO_6 octahedra, forming distinct electronic states with significantly more complex Fermi surfaces and low-energy electronic structures as compared to the bulk (Fig. 1) [28]. It thus serves as a benchmark system for probing the influence of small structural distortions on the electronic states. Our measurements and comparison with model calculations allow us to track how these are modified with strain. Through this, we show that bondlength distortions, not additional octahedral rotations, dominate the strain response in the surface layer, in turn mediating a rich sequence of surface Lifshitz transitions.

High-resolution ARPES measurements were performed using the I05 beamline of Diamond Light Source. Singlecrystal samples were grown by the floating zone method [29]. Unlike in Ref. [19], where the samples were cleaved *ex situ* to remove signatures of surface states, here we cleave *in situ* at the measurement temperature of ≈ 7 K. This produces a clean surface with a well-ordered $\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2}$ reconstruction. Strain was applied through differential thermal contraction, using a compact, bimetallic platform described in Ref. [19] (see also Supplementary Fig. S1(a-c)). The induced anisotropic sample strain was characterized optically as shown in Supplemental Fig. S1(d).

^{*} Present Address: Quantum Matter Institute, University of British Columbia, Vancouver V6T 1Z4, BC, Canada

[†] pdk6@st-andrews.ac.uk



FIG. 1. (a) Top view of the RuO₂ layer of bulk Sr₂RuO₄. (b) Bulk Fermi surface measured using ARPES (left, reproduced from Ref. [19]) and calculated from our tight binding model (right). (c) Calculated electronic structure in the vicinity of the $\overline{\text{M}}$ -point, showing the bulk vHS arising from the saddle point (SP) of the γ -band. (d) Corresponding calculated dispersions along $\overline{\Gamma}$ - $\overline{\text{M}}$ - $\overline{\text{X}}$. (e) Bipartite RuO₂ layer of the surface of Sr₂RuO₄. (f) Surface Fermi surface measured with ARPES (left, $h\nu$ =100eV, IV-pol.) and calculated from a tight-binding model including the octahedral rotation (right). (g,h) Corresponding calculated electronic structure of the surface bands in the vicinity of the $\overline{\text{M}}$ -point. ξ is the bandwidth of the unstrained surface electronic structure (see Supplemental Fig. S4).

Sr₂RuO₄ is comprised of single layers of corner-sharing RuO₆ octahedra (Fig. 1(a)), separated by SrO rocksalt layers. The conducting RuO₂ layers yield a quasitwo-dimensional three-band Fermi surface with states derived from the three partially-occupied t_{2g} orbitals (Fig. 1(b)) [30]. In the surface layer, the RuO₆ octahedra are rotated about the *c*-axis by $\approx 6 - 10^{\circ}$ [31], in anti-phase on neighboring sites (Fig. 1(e)) creating a 2 Ru-atom unit cell. The bulk states become backfolded about the new Brillouin zone boundary, while additional surface states are split off from the bulk manifold (Fig. 1(f)) [28, 32]. Both the bulk and surface fermiology are well described by a simple tight-binding model, as shown in Fig. 1(b,f) and discussed in more detail in the Supplemental Material (Figs. S2-S6).

We show in Fig. 2(a,b) the band dispersions of unstrained Sr_2RuO_4 , measured along the high-symmetry $\overline{\Gamma}$ - \overline{M} and \overline{M} - \overline{X} directions. While distinct directions in the bulk, these are formally equivalent paths in the surface Brillouin zone (see insets). Nonetheless, the ARPES matrix elements vary significantly for measurements performed along these directions, and we will thus refer throughout to the conventional symmetry points of the surface Brillouin zone, with \overline{M} located at the $(\pi/a, 0)$ or $(0, \pi/a)$ points of the tetragonal Brillouin zone, and \overline{X} at $(\pi/a, \pi/a)$. Along $\overline{\Gamma}$ - \overline{M} , the hole band crossing $E_{\rm F}$ closest to the $\overline{\rm M}$ -point in Fig. 2(a) is the bulk γ band (Fig. 1(b)), which is predominantly derived from d_{xy} orbitals. For such a two-dimensional d_{xy} band, a saddle point is expected at the $\overline{\rm M}$ point of the Brillouin zone (Fig. 1(c,d)). While first-principles calculations suggest that its associated van Hove singularity (vHS) should be located more than 60 meV above the Fermi level [33], electronic correlations renormalize this to only ≈ 14 meV above $E_{\rm F}$ [34–36]. Consistent with previous measurements [32], we find that a very weak replica of this band is also visible backfolded to the $\overline{\rm M}$ - $\overline{\rm X}$ direction (Fig. 2(b)) due to the surface octahedral rotations.

Additional surface states are also evident. The saddle point of the surface γ -band (SP₁) is pushed below the Fermi level [37] in the lower screening environment of the surface, with small additional downward shifts from band narrowing due to the octahedral rotation of the surface layer (see Supplementary Fig. S5). Moreover, the $\overline{\Gamma}$ - \overline{M} and \overline{M} - \overline{X} directions are folded onto each other by the doubling of the surface unit cell (Fig. 1(g,h)). Experimentally, the signatures of this are visible in our measured dispersions in Figs. 2(a,b) as a degeneracy at \overline{M} of the electron- (γ_s) and hole-like (γ'_s) surface γ bands, located at a binding energy of 16 meV. The latter branch is



FIG. 2. Dispersions ($h\nu$ =40eV, LH-pol.) close to the M-point of unstrained Sr₂RuO₄ measured along the (a) $\overline{\Gamma}$ - \overline{M} and (b) \overline{M} - \overline{X} directions. (c-f) Equivalent dispersions measured along the (c) $\overline{\Gamma}$ - \overline{M}_y (d) \overline{M}_y - \overline{X} , (e) $\overline{\Gamma}$ - \overline{M}_x and (f) \overline{M}_x - \overline{X} direction for a strained sample ($\varepsilon_{xx} - \varepsilon_{yy} = -0.9 \pm 0.1\%$).

most strongly visible along the \overline{M} - \overline{X} direction (Fig. 2(b)), while the upward dispersing branch is clearly seen in the $\overline{\Gamma}$ - \overline{M} measurements (Fig. 2(a)).

Interestingly, where γ_s crosses the surface β band, our tight-binding modelling (Fig. 1(h)) indicates that a small hybridisation gap is opened by spin-orbit coupling (SOC, inset of Fig. 1(h), see also Supplemental Fig. S3). The resulting band hybridisation causes the formation of a new saddle point for the upper branch (SP₂ in Fig. 1(g,h)) while the lower branch develops a local band maximum. In our measurements of the surface electronic structure shown in Fig. 2(a,b), only the lower branch is visible in the occupied states, forming M-shaped bands along both $\overline{M}-\overline{\Gamma}$ and $\overline{M}-\overline{X}$, which are gapped from the Fermi level by 7 ± 2 meV.

Significant changes in the electronic structure occur with uniaxial compression along the bulk Ru-O (x) direction (see also Supplementary Fig. S6). k_F of the bulk γ band is increased along the direction of applied compressive strain (we denote this as $\overline{\Gamma}-\overline{M}_x$, Fig. 2(e)), while the γ band is pushed down below the Fermi level along the perpendicular $\overline{\Gamma}$ - \overline{M}_y direction (Fig. 2(c)). The band top along $\overline{\Gamma}$ - \overline{M}_y , and thus the position of its associated vHS, is now located 8 ± 2 meV below $E_{\rm F}$, confirming our previous observation of a strain-induced bulk Lifshitz transition in Sr₂RuO₄ [19].

The evolution of the surface electronic structure is more complex. Along the $\overline{\Gamma}-\overline{M}_y$ direction (Fig. 2(c), also visible along the symmetry-equivalent $\overline{X}-\overline{M}_x$ direction, Fig. 2(f)), the M-shaped band of the unstrained surface electronic structure (Fig. 2(a,b)) is pushed upwards, reaching almost to the Fermi level. In contrast, along $\overline{\Gamma}-\overline{M}_x$ (Fig. 2(e), and most clearly seen along the symmetry-equivalent $\overline{X}-\overline{M}_y$ direction, Fig. 2(d)), the same M-shaped band is pushed down, breaking the C_4 symmetry of the unstrained surface and leading to the initially unoccupied branch (Fig. 1(h)) moving below the Fermi level. A spin-orbit hybridisation gap of $\approx 4 \text{ meV}$ is now visible between the surface γ_s and β_s bands, centered $\approx 5 \text{ meV}$ below the Fermi level.

To help visualise these strain-dependent changes, we show in Fig. 3 the surface band dispersions along the $\overline{\Gamma}$ - \overline{M}_{u} - \overline{X} direction. The dispersions in Fig. 3(b) are extracted from measurements performed using both linear horizontal (Fig. 2(c,d)) and vertical (Supplemental Fig. S7) light polarisation, where modified transition matrix elements better highlight the different band features (see also Supplementary Fig. S8 for equivalent surface band dispersions extracted along the symmetryequivalent $\overline{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{M}}_x \cdot \overline{\Gamma}$ direction where the different experimental geometry again leads to distinct matrix elements). As well as confirming the surface Lifshitz transitions discussed above, these highlight an additional splitting of the originally 4-fold degenerate vHS derived from the backfolded bands at $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$ into two distinct 2-fold degenerate saddle points, with the two branches split by $\approx 12 \text{ meV}$.

Our extracted dispersions thus point to a strong breaking of C_4 symmetry at the surface. This is naturally expected given the anisotropic strain; the details of how this reshapes the electronic structure, however, are less obvious. In the bulk, the effect of uniaxial stress is well understood in terms of a simple compression of the RuO₆ octahedra in the direction of the applied stress, with a corresponding bond-length expansion in the perpendicular direction due to the Poisson effect. At the surface, however, the RuO₆ octahedra are already rotated around the *c*-axis in the absence of strain. The most natural starting assumption would therefore be that strain is accommodated by further rotations and tilts of these octahedra — we term this the angular limit. Assuming perfectly rigid octahedra, the rotations required to accommodate the strain are uniquely defined, and require a combination of in-plane rotation and out-of-plane octahedral tilting (see Supplemental Material and Figs. S9 and S10). From the resulting fully-constrained changes in the geometrical configuration, we can directly calculate modifications of the inter-orbital hoppings within our tight-binding model, allowing us to predict the influence of the strain accommodation on the surface electronic



FIG. 3. Evolution of the surface electronic structure with uniaxial compression in the (a) angular and (c) longitudinal limits (see text). The dispersions extracted from our measured ARPES data are shown in (b). The calculations employ a B_{1g} strain of $\varepsilon_{xx} - \varepsilon_{yy} = -2.4\%$, overestimating the experimental value as is also the case for bulk calculations [38, 39] (see Supplementary Material).

structure without the introduction of any additional free parameters. We show the results of this in Fig. 3(a).

While the lowering of the symmetry of the surface electronic structure from C_4 to C_2 is, of course, reproduced by this model, we find that the strain-mediated changes in the electronic structure are otherwise in qualitative disagreement with our experimental measurements (Fig. 3(b)). The top of the occupied M-shaped band is pushed upwards towards the Fermi level along M_{u} -X. rather than the downwards shift that is required to reproduce the surface Lifshitz transition observed experimentally. Meanwhile, along $\overline{\Gamma}$ - \overline{M}_y , the surface bands develop a strong hybridisation gap, pushing the occupied states down well below the Fermi level, again in contrast to our experimental observations (Fig. 3(b)). Finally, while the 4-fold degenerate vHS at \overline{M} does become split under strain, both branches are split off above its position for the unstrained surface, distinct to the experimental situation where the new saddle points are split almost symmetrically about the unstrained case.

On the other hand, if we consider a *longitudinal limit*, where the surface octahedra are only able to distort via bond-length deformations, we predict an electronic structure which is in excellent agreement with our measured dispersions (Fig. 3(c)). We thus conclude that application of uniaxial pressure to the bulk crystal leads, at least dominantly, to a change in Ru-O bond length of the surface octahedra.

We show in Fig. 4 how such strain-driven bond-length distortions additionally create a new Fermi pocket at the Brillouin zone centre. We label this δ , in analogy with the corresponding Γ -centered Fermi pocket in Sr₃Ru₂O₇ [40].

Our tight-binding modelling (Fig. 4(d,e)) indicates that this δ band has predominantly d_{xy} and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital character. The $d_{x^2-y^2}$ band is part of the e_g manifold, split off above the t_{2g} states by a large octahedral crystal field. For bulk Sr_2RuO_4 , its hybridisation with d_{xy} orbitals in the t_{2q} manifold is forbidden by symmetry. In the surface layer, however, the octahedral rotation permits their mixing (see Supplementary Fig. S5), leading to a local depression at the top of the backfolded surface γ band at $\overline{\Gamma}$. Consistent with prior work [37], our measurements of the unstrained sample indicate that the bottom of the resulting δ pocket is above the Fermi level. Our calculations, however, show that the $d_{xy}/d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital mixing is enhanced under strain (Fig. 4(e)), lowering the energy of the bottom of the δ band (Fig. 4(d,e)), and in turn driving another Lifshitz transition leading to the creation of a new δ -pocket Fermi surface as observed experimentally (Fig. 4(a-c)).

The fact that bond-length changes appear to dominate the structural response to an applied uniaxial stress here may, at first sight, appear surprising, given the pre-existing surface reconstruction and the propensity of perovskite-type oxides to structural distortions involving octahedral rotations [1, 41, 42]. We note, however, that a Lifshitz transition itself can be expected to give a contribution to the electronic component of the compressibility [43], softening the lattice in line with the required bondlength changes that we find to dominate the structural distortions here. The hierarchy of Lifshitz transitions observed here under strain thus potentially provides an electronic incentive to favour bond-length distortion over rigid octahedral rotation, and motivates future study of



FIG. 4. Measured dispersions $(h\nu=40\text{eV}, \text{LV-pol.})$ centered at the $\overline{\Gamma}$ -point of the second Brillouin zone $(\overline{\Gamma}_{(0,1)})$ for (a) unstrained and (b) strained Sr_2RuO_4 , and (c) $\overline{\Gamma}$ -point EDCs. (d) Tight-binding calculations showing the effect of strain within the longitudinal limit on the states near the Brillouin zone center, with projected d_{xy} orbital weight. (e) Magnified view of band dispersions in the vicinity of the new δ pocket (top), and corresponding *d*-orbital content (bottom).

the detailed strain-dependent distortions from surfacesensitive structural probes and first-principles calculations of surface structure under strain. Furthermore, we note that many of the other Ruddlesden-Popper ruthenates (and many perovskites in general) host octahedral rotations in their bulk crystal structure. Our findings thus motivate future studies for how strain – which can have a striking influence on their collective states [20, 44– 46] – modifies not just lattice constants, but also the local crystal structure in these systems. Beyond bulk systems, this is of interest for the study of epitaxial thin films, where biaxial strain can readily be coupled from a growth substrate, offering further opportunities for control [36].

Already at the surface, it may be possible to realise some of the rich phenomenology of the bulk systems

using strain as a tuning parameter. In $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$, for example, field-tuning of near- $E_{\rm F}$ vHSs, similar to those studied here, to the Fermi level is thought to drive the emergence of quantum criticality [14, 47] and the stabilization of spin-density-wave phases [48]. Recent scanning tunneling microscopy measurements suggest that magnetic fields as high as 32 T would be required to achieve similar field-tuned Lifshitz transitions for the surface layer of Sr_2RuO_4 [49], while we have found here that the corresponding Lifshitz transition is naturally driven by modest applied uniaxial pressure. Moreover, we find that the M-shaped surface band which is pushed towards the Fermi level becomes flatter under the resulting strain (Fig. 2(c)), potentially mediating a crossover to a so-called higher (fourth) order singularity, characterised by a power-law divergence in its associated density of states [50]. Such a 'multicritical' singularity has been proposed as key to explaining the exotic collective states of the sister compound $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$. Our study, whereby a hierarchy of surface Lifshitz transitions are induced and tuned by an applied uniaxial stress, raises the tantalising prospect that the surface of Sr_2RuO_4 could be driven to host its own quantum critical states, providing new possibilities for studying such phases with spectroscopic approaches.

Acknowledgements: We thank J. Betouras, A. Chandrasekaran, D. Halliday, C. Marques, L. Rhodes, A. Rost, V. Sunko, and P. Wahl for useful discussions. We gratefully acknowledge support from the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (Grant Nos. EP/T02108X/1 and EP/R031924/1, the European Research Council (through the QUESTDO project, 714193), and the Leverhulme Trust (Grant No. RL-2016-006). E.A.M., A.Z., and I.M. gratefully acknowledge studentship support from the International Max-Planck Research School for Chemistry and Physics of N.K. is supported by a KAK-Quantum Materials. ENHI Grants-in-Aids for Scientific Research (Grant Nos. 18K04715, and 21H01033), and Core-to-Core Program (No. JPJSCCA20170002) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) and by a JST-Mirai Program (Grant No. JPMJMI18A3). APM and CWH acknowledge support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft - TRR 435 288 - 422213477 (project A10) We thank Diamond Light Source for access to Beamline I05 (Proposals SI27471 and SI28412), which contributed to the results presented here. For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising.

 J. B. Torrance, P. Lacorre, A. I. Nazzal, E. J. Ansaldo, and C. Niedermayer, Systematic study of insulator-metal transitions in perovskites RNiO₃ (R=Pr,Nd,Sm,Eu) due to closing of charge-transfer gap, Phys. Rev. B **45**, 8209 (1992).

[2] J. Varignon, M. N. Grisolia, J. Íñiguez, A. Barthélémy,

and M. Bibes, Complete phase diagram of rare-earth nickelates from first-principles, npj Quantum Mater. **2**, 1 (2017).

- [3] H. Takahasi, A Note on the Theory of Barium Titanate, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 16, 1685 (1961).
- [4] K. Tsuda, R. Sano, and M. Tanaka, Nanoscale local structures of rhombohedral symmetry in the orthorhombic and tetragonal phases of BaTiO₃ studied by convergent-beam electron diffraction, Phys. Rev. B 86, 214106 (2012).
- [5] N. A. Benedek and C. J. Fennie, Hybrid improper ferroelectricity: A mechanism for controllable polarizationmagnetization coupling, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 107204 (2011).
- [6] E. Dagotto, Complexity in strongly correlated electronic systems, Science **309**, 257 (2005).
- [7] J. P. Carlo, T. Goko, I. M. Gat-Malureanu, P. L. Russo, A. T. Savici, A. A. Aczel, G. J. MacDougall, J. A. Rodriguez, T. J. Williams, G. M. Luke, C. R. Wiebe, Y. Yoshida, S. Nakatsuji, Y. Maeno, T. Taniguchi, and Y. J. Uemura, New magnetic phase diagram of (Sr,Ca)₂RuO₄, Nat. Mater. **11**, 323 (2012).
- [8] J. E. Ortmann, J. Y. Liu, J. Hu, M. Zhu, J. Peng, M. Matsuda, X. Ke, and Z. Q. Mao, Competition Between Antiferromagnetism and Ferromagnetism in Sr₂RuO₄ Probed by Mn and Co Doping, Sci. Rep. 3, 1 (2013).
- [9] W. Lu, W. Dong Song, K. He, J. Chai, C. J. Sun, G. M. Chow, and J. S. Chen, The role of octahedral tilting in the structural phase transition and magnetic anisotropy in SrRuO₃ thin film, J. Appl. Phys. **113**, 063901 (2013).
- [10] A. Herklotz, A. T. Wong, T. Meyer, M. D. Biegalski, H. N. Lee, and T. Z. Ward, Controlling Octahedral Rotations in a Perovskite via Strain Doping, Sci. Rep. 6, 1 (2016).
- [11] A. P. Mackenzie, T. Scaffidi, C. W. Hicks, and Y. Maeno, Even odder after twenty-three years: the superconducting order parameter puzzle of Sr₂RuO₄, npj Quantum Mater. 2, 1 (2017).
- [12] S. Nakatsuji, S. I. Ikeda, and Y. Maeno, Ca₂RuO₄: New Mott Insulators of Layered Ruthenate, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 1868 (2013).
- [13] S. Lei, M. Gu, D. Puggioni, G. Stone, J. Peng, J. Ge, Y. Wang, B. Wang, Y. Yuan, K. Wang, Z. Mao, J. M. Rondinelli, and V. Gopalan, Observation of Quasi-Two-Dimensional Polar Domains and Ferroelastic Switching in a Metal, Ca₃Ru₂O₇, Nano Lett. **18**, 3088 (2018).
- [14] S. A. Grigera, R. S. Perry, A. J. Schofield, M. Chiao, S. R. Julian, G. G. Lonzarich, S. I. Ikeda, Y. Maeno, A. J. Millis, and A. P. Mackenzie, Magnetic field-tuned quantum criticality in the metallic ruthenate Sr₃Ru₂O₇, Science **294**, 329 (2001).
- [15] S. I. Ikeda, S. Koiwai, Y. Yoshida, N. Shirakawa, S. Hara, M. Kosaka, and Y. Uwatoko, Magnetization of single crystalline strontium ruthenate under uniaxial-pressure, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **272-276**, E293 (2004).
- [16] S. I. Ikeda, N. Shirakawa, T. Yanagisawa, Y. Yoshida, S. Koikegami, S. Koike, M. Kosaka, and Y. Uwatoko, Uniaxial-Pressure Induced Ferromagnetism of Enhanced Paramagnetic Sr₃Ru₂O₇, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **73**, 1322 (2013).
- [17] C. W. Hicks, D. O. Brodsky, E. A. Yelland, A. S. Gibbs, J. A. Bruin, M. E. Barber, S. D. Edkins, K. Nishimura, S. Yonezawa, Y. Maeno, and A. P. Mackenzie, Strong

increase of T_c of Sr_2RuO_4 under both tensile and compressive strain, Science **344**, 283 (2014).

- [18] H. H. Kim, S. M. Souliou, M. E. Barber, E. Lefrançois, M. Minola, M. Tortora, R. Heid, N. Nandi, R. A. Borzi, G. Garbarino, A. Bosak, J. Porras, T. Loew, M. König, P. M. Moll, A. P. Mackenzie, B. Keimer, C. W. Hicks, and M. Le Tacon, Uniaxial pressure control of competing orders in a high-temperature superconductor, Science **362**, 1040 (2018).
- [19] V. Sunko, E. Abarca Morales, I. Marković, M. E. Barber, D. Milosavljević, F. Mazzola, D. A. Sokolov, N. Kikugawa, C. Cacho, P. Dudin, H. Rosner, C. W. Hicks, P. D. King, and A. P. Mackenzie, Direct observation of a uniaxial stress-driven Lifshitz transition in Sr₂RuO₄, npj Quantum Mater. 4, 1 (2019).
- [20] S. Riccò, M. Kim, A. Tamai, S. McKeown Walker, F. Y. Bruno, I. Cucchi, E. Cappelli, C. Besnard, T. K. Kim, P. Dudin, M. Hoesch, M. J. Gutmann, A. Georges, R. S. Perry, and F. Baumberger, In situ strain tuning of the metal-insulator-transition of Ca₂RuO₄ in angle-resolved photoemission experiments, Nat. Commun. 9, 1 (2018).
- [21] D. Flötotto, Y. Bai, Y. H. Chan, P. Chen, X. Wang, P. Rossi, C. Z. Xu, C. Zhang, J. A. Hlevyack, J. D. Denlinger, H. Hong, M. Y. Chou, E. J. Mittemeijer, J. N. Eckstein, and T. C. Chiang, In Situ Strain Tuning of the Dirac Surface States in Bi₂Se₃ Films, Nano Lett. 18, 5628 (2018).
- [22] C. Lin, M. Ochi, R. Noguchi, K. Kuroda, M. Sakoda, A. Nomura, M. Tsubota, P. Zhang, C. Bareille, K. Kurokawa, Y. Arai, K. Kawaguchi, H. Tanaka, K. Yaji, A. Harasawa, M. Hashimoto, D. Lu, S. Shin, R. Arita, S. Tanda, and T. Kondo, Visualization of the strain-induced topological phase transition in a quasione-dimensional superconductor TaSe₃, Nat. Mater. **20**, 1093 (2021).
- [23] P. D. King, Controlling topology with strain, Nat. Mater. 20, 1046 (2021).
- [24] D. V. Potapenko, Z. Li, J. W. Kysar, and R. M. Osgood, Nanoscale strain engineering on the surface of a bulk TiO₂ crystal, Nano Lett. **14**, 6185 (2014).
- [25] C. M. Yim, C. Trainer, R. Aluru, S. Chi, W. N. Hardy, R. Liang, D. Bonn, and P. Wahl, Discovery of a strainstabilised smectic electronic order in LiFeAs, Nat. Commun. 9, 1 (2018).
- [26] A. Steppke, L. Zhao, M. E. Barber, T. Scaffidi, F. Jerzembeck, H. Rosner, A. S. Gibbs, Y. Maeno, S. H. Simon, A. P. Mackenzie, and C. W. Hicks, Strong peak in T_c of Sr₂RuO₄ under uniaxial pressure, Science **355**, 148 (2017).
- [27] M. E. Barber, A. S. Gibbs, Y. Maeno, A. P. Mackenzie, and C. W. Hicks, Resistivity in the Vicinity of a van Hove Singularity: Sr₂RuO₄ under Uniaxial Pressure, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 076602 (2018).
- [28] A. Damascelli, D. H. Lu, K. M. Shen, N. P. Armitage, F. Ronning, D. L. Feng, C. Kim, Z. X. Shen, T. Kimura, Y. Tokura, Z. Q. Mao, and Y. Maeno, Fermi Surface, Surface States, and Surface Reconstruction in Sr₂RuO₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5194 (2000).
- [29] J. S. Bobowski, N. Kikugawa, T. Miyoshi, H. Suwa, H. S. Xu, S. Yonezawa, D. A. Sokolov, A. P. Mackenzie, and Y. Maeno, Improved Single-Crystal Growth of Sr₂RuO₄, Condens. Matter 4, 6 (2019).
- [30] A. P. Mackenzie, S. R. Julian, A. J. Diver, G. J. McMullan, M. P. Ray, G. G. Lonzarich, Y. Maeno, S. Nishizaki,

and T. Fujita, Quantum Oscillations in the Layered Perovskite Superconductor Sr_2RuO_4 , Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 3786 (1996).

- [31] R. Matzdorf, Ismail, T. Kimura, Y. Tokura, and E. W. Plummer, Surface structural analysis of the layered perovskite Sr₂RuO₄ by LEED I(V), Phys. Rev. B 65, 085404 (2002).
- [32] C. N. Veenstra, Z. H. Zhu, B. Ludbrook, M. Capsoni, G. Levy, A. Nicolaou, J. A. Rosen, R. Comin, S. Kittaka, Y. Maeno, I. S. Elfimov, and A. Damascelli, Determining the surface-to-bulk progression in the normalstate electronic structure of Sr_2RuO_4 by angle-resolved photoemission and density functional theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 097004 (2013).
- [33] C. Autieri, M. Cuoco, and C. Noce, Structural and electronic properties of Sr₂RuO₄/Sr₃Ru₂O₇ heterostructures, Phys. Rev. B 89, 075102 (2014).
- [34] K. M. Shen, N. Kikugawa, C. Bergemann, L. Balicas, F. Baumberger, W. Meevasana, N. J. Ingle, Y. Maeno, Z. X. Shen, and A. P. MacKenzie, Evolution of the Fermi Surface and Quasiparticle Renormalization through a van Hove Singularity in Sr_{2-y}La_yRuO₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 187001 (2007).
- [35] A. Tamai, M. Zingl, E. Rozbicki, E. Cappelli, S. Riccò, A. De La Torre, S. McKeown Walker, F. Y. Bruno, P. D. King, W. Meevasana, M. Shi, M. Radović, N. C. Plumb, A. S. Gibbs, A. P. Mackenzie, C. Berthod, H. U. Strand, M. Kim, A. Georges, and F. Baumberger, High-Resolution Photoemission on Sr₂RuO₄ Reveals Correlation-Enhanced Effective Spin-Orbit Coupling and Dominantly Local Self-Energies, Phys. Rev. X 9, 021048 (2019).
- [36] B. Burganov, C. Adamo, A. Mulder, M. Uchida, P. D. King, J. W. Harter, D. E. Shai, A. S. Gibbs, A. P. Mackenzie, R. Uecker, M. Bruetzam, M. R. Beasley, C. J. Fennie, D. G. Schlom, and K. M. Shen, Strain Control of Fermiology and Many-Body Interactions in Two-Dimensional Ruthenates, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 197003 (2016).
- [37] K. M. Shen, A. Damascelli, D. H. Lu, N. P. Armitage, F. Ronning, D. L. Feng, C. Kim, Z. X. Shen, D. J. Singh, I. I. Mazin, S. Nakatsuji, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Maeno, T. Kimura, and Y. Tokura, Surface electronic structure of Sr₂RuO₄, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 180502 (2001).
- [38] M. E. Barber, F. Lechermann, S. V. Streltsov, S. L. Skornyakov, S. Ghosh, B. J. Ramshaw, N. Kikugawa, D. A. Sokolov, A. P. Mackenzie, C. W. Hicks, and I. I. Mazin, Role of correlations in determining the Van Hove strain in Sr₂RuO₄, Phys. Rev. B **10**, 245139 (2019).
- [39] S. Acharya, D. Pashov, C. Weber, H. Park, L. Sponza, and M. van Schilfgaarde, Evening out the spin and charge parity to increase T_c in Sr₂RuO₄, Commun. Phys. 2, 163 (2019).
- [40] A. Tamai, M. P. Allan, J. F. Mercure, W. Meevasana, R. Dunkel, D. H. Lu, R. S. Perry, A. P. MacKenzie, D. J.

Singh, Z. X. Shen, and F. Baumberger, Fermi Surface and van Hove Singularities in the Itinerant Metamagnet $Sr_3Ru_2O_7$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 026407 (2008).

- [41] G. Koster, L. Klein, W. Siemons, G. Rijnders, J. S. Dodge, C. B. Eom, D. H. Blank, and M. R. Beasley, Structure, physical properties, and applications of SrRuO₃ thin films, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 253 (2012).
- [42] I. Marković, M. D. Watson, O. J. Clark, F. Mazzola, E. A. Morales, C. A. Hooley, H. Rosner, C. M. Polley, T. Balasubramanian, S. Mukherjee, N. Kikugawa, D. A. Sokolov, A. P. Mackenzie, and P. D. King, Electronically driven spin-reorientation transition of the correlated polar metal Ca₃Ru₂O₇, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **117**, 15524 (2020).
- [43] I. Lifshitz, Anomalies of electron characteristics of a metal in the high pressure region., Sov. phys. JETP 11, 1130 (1960).
- [44] D. O. Brodsky, M. E. Barber, J. A. N. Bruin, R. A. Borzi, S. A. Grigera, R. S. Perry, A. P. Mackenzie, and C. W. Hicks, Strain and vector magnetic field tuning of the anomalous phase in Sr₃Ru₂O₇, Sci. Adv. **3**, e1501804 (2017).
- [45] A. S. McLeod, A. Wieteska, G. Chiriaco, B. Foutty, Y. Wang, Y. Yuan, F. Xue, V. Gopalan, L. Q. Chen, Z. Q. Mao, A. J. Millis, P. A. N., and D. N. Basov, Nanoimaging of strain-tuned stripe textures in a Mott crystal, npj Quantum Mater. 6, 46 (2021).
- [46] C. D. Dashwood, A. Walker, M. P. Kwasigroch, L. S. I. Veiga, Q. Faure, J. G. Vale, D. G. Porter, P. Manuel, D. D. Khalyavin, F. Orlandi, C. V. Colin, O. Fabelo, F. Krüger, R. S. Perry, R. D. Johnson, A. G. Green, and D. F. McMorrow, Strain control of a bandwidth-driven spin reorientation in Ca₃Ru₂O₇, arXiv , 2210.12555 (2022).
- [47] R. A. Borzi, S. A. Grigera, J. Farrell, R. S. Perry, S. J. Lister, S. L. Lee, D. A. Tennant, Y. Maeno, and A. P. Mackenzie, Formation of a nematic fluid at high fields in Sr₃Ru₂O₇, Science **315**, 214 (2007).
- [48] C. Lester, S. Ramos, R. S. Perry, T. P. Croft, R. I. Bewley, T. Guidi, P. Manuel, D. D. Khalyavin, E. M. Forgan, and S. M. Hayden, Field-tunable spin-density-wave phases in Sr₃Ru₂O₇, Nat. Mater. 14, 373 (2015).
- [49] C. A. Marques, L. C. Rhodes, R. Fittipaldi, V. Granata, C. Ming Yim, R. Buzio, A. Gerbi, A. Vecchione, A. W. Rost, P. Wahl, C. A. Marques, L. C. Rhodes, C. M. Yim, A. W. Rost, P. Wahl, R. Fittipaldi, A. Vecchione, and V. Granata, Magnetic-Field Tunable Intertwined Checkerboard Charge Order and Nematicity in the Surface Layer of Sr₂RuO₄, Adv. Mater. **33**, 2100593 (2021).
- [50] D. V. Efremov, A. Shtyk, A. W. Rost, C. Chamon, A. P. Mackenzie, and J. J. Betouras, Multicritical Fermi Surface Topological Transitions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 207202 (2019).