# Substitution and Flip BDDs 

Rune M. Jensen and Henrik R. Andersen

IT University Technical Report Series

Copyright © 2003, Rune M. Jensen and Henrik R. Andersen
IT University of Copenhagen All rights reserved.

Reproduction of all or part of this work is permitted for educational or research use on condition that this copyright notice is included in any copy.

ISSN 1600-6100

ISBN 87-7949-055-7

Copies may be obtained by contacting:
IT University of Copenhagen Glentevej 67
DK-2400 Copenhagen NV
Denmark

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Telephone: } & \text { +45 } 38168888 \\
\text { Telefax: } & \text { +45 } 38168899 \\
\text { Web } & \text { www. itu. dk }
\end{array}
$$

# Substitution and Flip BDDs 
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#### Abstract

This report introduces two novel approaches for representing transition functions of finite transition systems encoded as Binary Decision Diagrams (BDDs). The first approach is substition BDDs where each transition is represented by a corresponding substitution on state variables. The second is flip $B D D s$ where each transition is defined by the set of state variables with flipped value. We show that substitution BDDs can be used to find and propagate write conflicts in synchronous and asynchronous compositions. Furthermore, our experimental evaluation suggest that the complexity of image computations based on flip BDDs may compare positively to the usual relational product computation.


## 1 Introduction

Binary Decision Diagrams (BDDs,[2]) have been successfully applied to implicitly search large transition systems in a wide range of fi elds including formal verifi cation, planning, control, and game theory (e.g., [3, 4, 1, 9, 5]). Two major approaches for representing the transition function have been developed. The first represents the transition function as a vector of Boolean functions where each function defi nes the value in the next state of a single state variable (e.g.,[8]). The approach, however, is limited to deterministic transition functions. The second approach is probably the most popular. It represents the transition function as the characteristic function of the transition relation [7]. It can represent deterministic as well as nondeterministic transition functions.

In this report, we introduce two novel transition function representations. Both can represent deterministic as well as nondeterministic transition functions. The fi rst is called substitution BDDs and is based on representing each transition by a substitution on state variables. Since this representation is redundant, it allows write confficts on state variables to be detected and propagated. The second approach is called flip BDDs. The idea is to represent each transition by the set of state variables it changes. This set is uniquely defi ned. Thus, fip BDDs are non-redundant. Our preliminary experimental evaluation indicates that image computations based on flp BDDs may have lower complexity than the relational product operation used to compute the image when the transition function is represented by the characteristic function of the transition relation.

The remainder of the report is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce a guarded command language and substitution BDDs for representing its semantics. We also defi ne specialized BDD operators for making synchronous and asynchronous compositions of guarded commands and computing the image of a set of states given a transition system represented as a substitution BDD. In Section 3, we introduce fip BDDs. We show how to build a flp BDD from a transition system defi nition. In addition, we defi ne the image operation for a transition systems represented by a flp BDD and present preliminary experimental results comparing the performance of fi xed point computations based on flp BDDs and fi xed point computations based on the usual relational product. Finally, we draw conclusions and discuss directions for future work in Section 4.

## 2 Substitution BDDs

Substitution BDDs represent synchronous and asynchronous compositions of guarded commands. The abstract syntax of the guarded command language is given by

## COM

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & ::=g \rightarrow \vec{x}:=\operatorname{any} \vec{x}^{\prime} \cdot \varphi \\
c & ::=a|c \| c| c * c .
\end{aligned}
$$

The operators * and $\|$ denote synchronous and asynchronous composition. The variables $g$ and $\varphi$ are Boolean expressions on a nonempty set of Boolean state variables, $\operatorname{Var}=\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
g & ::=x \mid \text { true } \mid \text { false }|\neg g| g \wedge g \\
\varphi & ::=x\left|x^{\prime}\right| \text { true } \mid \text { false }|\neg \varphi| \varphi \wedge \varphi, \quad \text { where } \quad x \in \operatorname{Var} .
\end{aligned}
$$

An atomic command $a$ is executable in a state that satisfi es the expression $g$ on the current state variables. If it executes, the $k$ state variables in $\vec{x}=\left(x_{i(1)}, \cdots, x_{i(k)}\right)$ change value to one of the assignments of $\vec{x}^{\prime}=\left(x_{i(1)}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{i(k)}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying $\varphi$ such that $x_{i(1)}=x_{i(1)}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{i(k)}=x_{i(k)}^{\prime}$ in the resulting state. We regard the set of possible assignments of the $k$ state variables in $\vec{x}$ as substitutions. Example 1 shows four atomic commands.

Example 1 Four atomic commands are shown below

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1}: & x_{1} \wedge x_{2} \rightarrow x_{1}:=\operatorname{any} x_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \neg x_{1}^{\prime} \\
a_{2}: & x_{2} \wedge x_{2} \rightarrow x_{2}:=\operatorname{any} x_{2}^{\prime} . \neg x_{2}^{\prime} \\
a_{3}: & x_{1} \wedge x_{2} \rightarrow\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=\operatorname{any}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \neg x_{1}^{\prime} \wedge x_{2}^{\prime} \\
a_{4}: & x_{1} \wedge x_{2} \rightarrow\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right):=\operatorname{any}\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cdot x_{1}^{\prime} \wedge x_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

In an asynchronous composition $c_{1} \| c_{2}$ of two guarded commands $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ at most one transition (or substitution) takes place in each time step. Thus, the substitutions of each state in the resulting command is equal to the union of substitutions for that state of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. Synchronous composition $c_{1} * c_{2}$ is more complicated, since a substitution in both $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ happens simultaneously. For a given state this means that any combination of substitutions of $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ can happen. In addition, substitutions may conffict by writing to the same state variable. We assume that a conffict occur even when the two substitutions agree on the new value of the variable. Obviously other models of synchronous composition could be used. In order to defi ne the semantics of a guarded command formally, we first defi ne a substitution as a partial mapping from variables to truth values or the error substitution, $\perp$

$$
\text { Subst }=(\operatorname{Var} \rightharpoonup \mathbb{B}) \cup\{\perp\} .
$$

A command $c$ denotes a domain $\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket: \mathrm{D}$, where D is a mapping from states to sets of substitutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D} & =\mathbb{B}^{\mid \text {Var } \mid} \rightarrow 2^{\text {Subst }} \\
& =\mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow 2^{\text {Subst }}
\end{aligned}
$$

The semantic function $\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket$ is defi ned by structural induction on the abstract syntax

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C} \llbracket g \rightarrow \vec{x}:=\operatorname{any} \vec{x}^{\prime} \cdot \varphi \rrbracket s=\left\{\left[x_{1} / b_{1}, \cdots, x_{k} / b_{k}\right] \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket g \rrbracket s \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket(s, \vec{b})\right\} \\
& \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \| c_{2} \rrbracket s=\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket s \cup \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket s \\
& \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket s=\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket s, \sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket s\right\}, \text { where } \\
& \sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
\perp & : \operatorname{dom}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}\left(\sigma_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset \\
\perp & : & \sigma_{1}=\perp \\
\perp & : & \sigma_{2}=\perp \\
\sigma_{1} \cup \sigma_{2} & : & \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

The function $\mathcal{C} \llbracket \psi \rrbracket$ defi nes the usual semantics of a Boolean expression $\psi$. Example 2 shows various compositions of the four atomic commands introduced in Example 1.

Example 2 The semantics of the four atomic substitutions presented in Example 1 and a few compositions are illustrated below


### 2.1 Domain Embedding

In order to use BDDs to represent the substitution domain of a guarded command, we embed D in a pair of Boolean functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D} & =\mathbb{B}^{|\operatorname{Var}|} \rightarrow 2^{\text {Subst }} \\
& =\mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow 2^{(\operatorname{Var} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}) \cup\{\perp\}} \\
& \cong\left(\mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow 2^{\operatorname{Var} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{|\operatorname{Var}|} \rightarrow 2^{\{\perp\}}\right) \\
\mathrm{D} & \hookrightarrow\left(\mathbb{B}^{n} \times \mathbb{B}^{|\operatorname{Var}|^{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{|\operatorname{Var}|} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbb{B}^{3 n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first function encodes all the non-conffcting substitutions, while second encodes all the error substitutions. To defi ne these functions, we introduce some auxiliary notation

- For a pair $p=(l, r)$, let $f s t(p)=l$ and $\operatorname{snd}(p)=r$,
- For a Boolean function $\psi\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{m}\right)$, let the variable names and domain of $\psi$ be simultaneously defi ned by $\psi: \mathbb{B}\left\{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{m}\right\}$,
- For a Boolean function $\psi: \mathbb{B}^{\left\{y_{1}, y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{m}, y_{m}^{\prime}\right\}}$ and an assignment of the arguments $t=\left(b_{1}, b_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, b_{m}, b_{m}^{\prime}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{B}^{2 m}$, let $t\left(y_{i}\right)=b_{i}, t\left(y_{i}^{\prime}\right)=b_{i}^{\prime},\left.t\right|_{\bar{y}}=\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{m}\right)$, and $\left.t\right|_{\bar{y}^{\prime}}=\left(b_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, b_{m}^{\prime}\right)$.

A domain embedding is defi ned by the function $\varepsilon$

$$
\varepsilon: \mathrm{D} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{B}^{\text {VAR }} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{\text {Var }} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\right)
$$

where VAR $=\left\{x, x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \mid x \in \operatorname{Var}\right\}$. Given a domain $d \in \mathrm{D}$, a substitution transition $t=\left(b_{1}, b_{1}^{\prime}, b_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \cdots, b_{n}, b_{n}^{\prime}, b_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, and a state $s=\left(b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f s t(\varepsilon(d)) t & =\exists \sigma \in d\left(\left.t\right|_{\bar{x}}\right) \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot \operatorname{subval}(\sigma, t) \wedge \operatorname{subdom}(\sigma, t), \\
\operatorname{snd}(\varepsilon(d)) s & =\perp \in d(s), \quad \text { where } \\
\operatorname{subval}(\sigma, t) & =\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot\left(t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right), \\
\operatorname{subdom}(\sigma, t) & =\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \cdot\left(t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\operatorname{snd}(\varepsilon(d))$ and $f s t(\varepsilon(d))$ encode a state with the $\vec{x}$ variables. In addition, $f s t(\varepsilon(d))$ encodes the value of a substitution with the $\vec{x}^{\prime}$ variables (see subval) and the set of variables in the substitution with the $\vec{x}^{\prime \prime}$ variables (see subdom).

### 2.2 The BDD Representation of the Embedding

Let the set of BDD variables equal VAR and assume without loss of generality that the variables are ordered ascendingly

$$
x_{1}^{\prime \prime} \prec x_{1}^{\prime} \prec x_{1} \prec \cdots \prec x_{n}^{\prime \prime} \prec x_{n}^{\prime} \prec x_{n}
$$

The BDD representation of a command $c$ is given by the function

$$
\tau(c):\left(\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{VAR}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\right) \times\left(\mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{Var}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\right)
$$

defi ned by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(g \rightarrow \vec{x}:=\operatorname{any} \vec{x}^{\prime} \cdot \varphi\right) & =\left(\tilde{g}(\vec{x}) \wedge \tilde{\varphi}\left(\vec{x}, \vec{x}^{\prime}\right) \wedge x_{1}^{\prime \prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{k}^{\prime \prime} \wedge \neg x_{k+1}^{\prime \prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge \neg x_{n}^{\prime \prime}, \mathbf{0}\right) \\
\tau\left(c_{1} \| c_{2}\right) & =\left(f \operatorname{st}\left(\tau\left(c_{1}\right)\right) \vee \operatorname{fst}\left(\tau\left(c_{2}\right)\right), \operatorname{snd}\left(\tau\left(c_{1}\right)\right) \vee \operatorname{snd}\left(\tau\left(c_{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right) & =\tau\left(c_{1}\right) * \tau\left(c_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{g}(\vec{x})$ and $\tilde{\varphi}\left(\vec{x}, \vec{x}^{\prime}\right)$ are BDD representations of $g$ and $\varphi$ using $\vec{x}$ to encode the state and $\vec{x}^{\prime}$ to encode the substitution values. The $*$ operation is defi ned by structural induction on the BDD representation. To simplify the defi nition, we assume that the BDD is non-reduced such that all nodes are present in the decision tree. Let $L=\tau\left(c_{1}\right)$ and $L=\tau\left(c_{2}\right)$.

Base Case $\left(L, R \in \mathbb{B}^{2}\right)$

$$
L * R=(f s t(L) \wedge f s t(R), f s t(L) \wedge \operatorname{snd}(R) \vee \operatorname{snd}(L) \wedge f s t(R) \vee \operatorname{snd}(L) \wedge \operatorname{snd}(R))
$$

## Inductive Case

Let

and


We then have

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{aA}=f s t((a, g) \quad * \quad(A, G)) \\
& \mathrm{bB}=f s t((b, h) *(B, H)) \\
& \mathrm{cC}=f s t((c, g) *(A, G)) \vee \quad \mathrm{C}_{s t}((a, g) \quad * \quad(C, G)) \\
& \mathrm{dD}=f s t((d, h) \quad * \quad(B, H)) \vee \quad f s t((b, h) \quad * \quad(D, H)) \\
& \mathrm{eE}=f s t((e, g) *(A, G)) \vee \quad f s t((a, g) \quad * \quad(E, G)) \\
& \mathrm{fF}=f s t((f, h) \quad *(B, H)) \vee \quad f s t((b, h) \quad * \quad(F, H)) \\
& \left.\begin{array}{rlllllllllll}
\mathrm{gG}= & \operatorname{snd}((a, g) & * & (A, G)) & \vee & \operatorname{snd}((c, g) & * & (A, G)) & \vee & \operatorname{snd}((e, g) & * & (A, G)) \\
& \operatorname{snd}((a, g) & * & (C, G)) & \vee & (c, g) & \otimes & (C, G) & \vee & (e, g) & \otimes & (C, G)
\end{array}\right) \vee \\
& \operatorname{snd}((a, g) \quad *(C, G)) \vee \vee(c, g) \otimes(C, G) \quad \vee \quad(e, g) \otimes(C, G) \quad \vee \\
& \operatorname{snd}((a, g) \quad *(E, G)) \vee \quad(c, g) \otimes(E, G) \vee \vee \quad(e, g) \otimes(E, G)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
L \otimes R=\left(\left(\exists \vec{x}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{x}^{\prime} \cdot f s t(L)\right) \vee \operatorname{snd}(L)\right) \wedge\left(\left(\exists \vec{x}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{x}^{\prime} \cdot f s t(R)\right) \vee \operatorname{snd}(R)\right) \cdot{ }^{1}
$$

The defi nition of synchronous composition is complex due to the induction on a pair of BDDs instead of just a single BDD. The first BDD in the pair is easiest to understand. There are two symmetrically distinct cases

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{aA}=f s t((a, g) \\
\mathrm{a}=(A, G)), \\
\mathrm{cC}=f s t((c, g)
\end{array} *(A, G)\right) \quad \vee \quad \operatorname{fst}((a, g) \quad * \quad(C, G)) .
$$

The BDD aA represents the continuation of non-confficting substitutions where no value is substituted for $x$, and $x$ is false in the current state. This BDD is the fi rst element of the synchronous composition of the subsystems represented by $(a, g)$ and $(A, G)$ of $R$ and $L$ since the substitutions represented by these systems are the only continuations of substitutions not containing $x$, when $x$ is false. Similarly, cC represents the continuation of non-conficting substitutions where $x$ is assigned false. This can happen in two ways: $L$ does not substitute on $x$ and $R$ assigns it to false, or $L$ assigns $x$ to false and $R$ does not substitute on it. The second BDD represents the error states. There is one symmetrically distinct case

The fi ve expressions on the form $\operatorname{snd}(x * y)$ are the conffcts happening in the previous or remaining levels, while the four expressions on the form $x \otimes y$ are confficts happening at the current level: $L$ assigns $x$ to true and $R$ assigns $x$ to true, $L$ assigns $x$ to true and $R$ assigns $x$ to false, $L$ assigns $x$ to false and $R$ assigns $x$ to true, and $L$ assigns $x$ to false and $R$ assigns $x$ to false. Due to the synchronous composition, $L \oplus R$ is the intersection of all the possible states reachable by $L$ and $R$ (notice that this includes error states). The correctness of the BDD implementation is proven in Appendix A.

Theorem 1 (Correctness) For any command, $c \in \mathbf{C O M}, \varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket)=\tau(c)$.
Proof: See Appendix A.

### 2.3 Image Computation

The $D \odot R$ operation computes the image of a domain represented by the embedding D of a set of states $R$ represented in the usual way by a BDD. The image operation is undefi ned if $D$ has a conffict substitution ( $\perp$ ) for some state in $R$. The $\odot$ operation is defi ned by structural induction on $D$ and $R$.

Base Case $\left(D \in \mathbb{B}^{2}, R \in \mathbb{B}\right)$

$$
D \odot R= \begin{cases}\text { undefined } & D=(1,1) \text { and } R=1 \\ \text { fst }(D) \wedge R & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

[^0]
## Inductive Case

Let

and


We then have

$$
D \odot R={ }_{\mathrm{gG}}^{\prime^{\mathrm{x}}}{ }_{\mathrm{hH}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{gG} & =a \odot G \vee c \odot G \vee d \odot H \\
\mathrm{hH} & =b \odot H \vee f \odot H \vee e \odot G
\end{aligned}
$$

The preimage computation can be defi ned in a similar way.

## 3 Flip BDDs

Flip BDDs are related to substitution BDDs except that there is a one-to-one correspondence between a fip BDD and the transition system it represents. The flp BDD representation has been developed to investigate the computational effi ciency of the image computation based on this representation compared to the usual image computation based on the characteristic function of the transition relation.

As usual let Var $=\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$ defi ne a nonempty set of Boolean state variables. A possibly nondeterministic transition system on Var is defi ned by the pair $\langle Q, \delta\rangle$ where $Q=\mathbb{B}^{n}$ is the fi nite state space spanned by Var and $\delta: Q \rightarrow 2^{Q}$ is a transition function. A flp BDD $f$ is a BDD on the ordered set of variables

$$
x_{1}^{\prime} \prec x_{1} \prec \cdots \prec x_{n}^{\prime} \prec x_{n}
$$

defi ned by

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{n}, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\exists s \in \delta\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) . \forall x \in \operatorname{Var} . x^{\prime}=\left(s(x) \neq s\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Thus, an assignment to $x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{n}, x_{n}^{\prime}$ uniquely defi nes a transition where the primed variables encode the set of variables that have their truth value flpped by the transition.

### 3.1 Image calculation

The $D \oplus R$ operation computes the image of a set of states. The set of states $R$ is represented by a BDD in the usual way, while the transition system $D$ is given as a flp BDD. The ordering of the variables in $R$ is the same as the ordering of the pairs in $D$.

Base Case $(D, R \in\{1,0\})$

$$
D \oplus R=R \wedge D
$$

## Inductive Case



### 3.2 Experimental Results

The following experiments are based on an implementation of $\oplus$ in the Buddy package [6]. The first experiment compares the CPU time of 10 fi xed point calculations using fip BDDs and the relational product operator ${ }^{2}$. The Buddy package was initialized with 10000 BDD nodes and an operator cache varying from 10 to 100 percent of the number of BDD nodes. The transition system and the set of initial states are randomly generated using 10 state variables and 1000 transitions. The results are shown in table 1. As depicted in the table, the flp BDD image computations seem to

| Cache size (\%) | $T_{\oplus}(\mathrm{sec})$ | $T_{\text {relprod }}$ (sec) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10 | 0.43 | 0.73 |
| 20 | 0.24 | 0.30 |
| 30 | 0.21 | 0.18 |
| 40 | 0.08 | 0.19 |
| 50 | 0.02 | 0.05 |
| 60 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| 70 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| 80 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| 90 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| 100 | 0.01 | 0.04 |

Table 1: CPU time of 10 fi xed point calculations as a function of operator cache size for flp BDD image computations $\left(T_{\oplus}\right)$ and image computations based on relational products $\left(T_{\text {relprod }}\right)$.
be less cache dependent than image computations based on the relational product. This is an encouraging result since cache effi ciency is essential for keeping the complexity of BDD operations low. Moreover, these results were obtained with a naive experimental implementation of $\oplus$ that actually unfolds the BDDs on the fly to perform the computation. It is, however, surprising that the relational product operation has highest performance at $80 \%$ rather than $100 \%$.

[^1]The second experiment compares the CPU time of 1000 fi xed point calculations using flp BDDs and the relational product operator. The Buddy package was initialized to 100000 BDD nodes and the cache to 50000 BDD nodes. The transition system and the set of initial states are randomly generated using 10 state variables and 1000 to 10000 transitions. The results are shown in table 2. These results show some, but not an overwhelming, advantage of the flp

| Transitions | $T_{\oplus}(\mathrm{sec})$ | $T_{\text {relprod }}(\mathrm{sec})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1000 | 0.02 | 0.04 |
| 2000 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3000 | 0.11 | 0.14 |
| 4000 | 0.10 | 0.12 |
| 5000 | 0.07 | 0.09 |
| 6000 | 0.24 | 0.26 |
| 7000 | 0.09 | 0.30 |
| 8000 | 0.09 | 0.11 |
| 9000 | 0.09 | 0.11 |
| 10000 | 0.09 | 0.11 |

Table 2: CPU time of 1000 fi xed point calculations as a function of number of transitions for fip BDD image computations $\left(T_{\oplus}\right)$ and image computations based on relational products $\left(T_{\text {relprod }}\right)$.

BDD approach. For 1000 randomly generated experiments, it is surprising that the problems with 6000 transitions are so much harder than the ones with 5000 transitions. Also, information about the cache miss rates for both approach would be valuable. It seems necessary to conduct further experiments to get a deeper insight in the performance differences between the two approaches. It is also a problem that the experiments are based solely on randomly generated transition systems. It is well known that there often is a signifi cant difference between the performance on random and real-world problems for a particular approach.

## 4 Conclusion

In this report, we have introduced two novel BDD representations of transition systems called substitution and flp BDDs. The first representation is suitable for tracing variable assignment conffcts in synchronous and asynchronous system compositions. The second provides a promising alternative to the relational product operation for state space exploration. Future work includes developing effi cient algorithms for manipulating these representations without unfolding the BDDs on the fly. In addition, more experiments are necessary comparing the effi ciency of image computations based on fip BDDs and the relational product. These experiments should include both real-world and random problems.
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## A Proof of Correctness

First we introduce some auxiliary defi nitions and lemmas.
Lemma $1(\otimes)$

$$
\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right) \otimes \varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right) s=\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket s \neq \emptyset \wedge \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket s \neq \emptyset
$$

Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right) \otimes \varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right) s \\
= & \left(\left(\exists \vec{x}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{x}^{\prime} \cdot f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)\right) s \vee \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right) s\right) \wedge \\
& \left(\left(\exists \vec{x}^{\prime \prime}, \vec{x}^{\prime} \cdot f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)\right) s \vee \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right) s\right) \\
& \text { due to def. of } \otimes \\
= & \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket s \neq \emptyset \wedge \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket s \neq \emptyset \\
& \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition $1(\tau(c) \mid)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\tau(c)\right|_{\alpha\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right), \beta\left(y^{\prime}\right), \gamma(y)} \\
= & \left(\lambda t \in \mathbb{B}^{V A R \backslash\left\{y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, y\right\}} \cdot f s t(\tau(c))\left(t \cup\left\{\alpha\left(t\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)\right), \beta\left(t\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right), \gamma(t(y))\right\}\right),\right. \\
& \lambda s \in \mathbb{B}^{\operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\}} . \operatorname{snd}(\tau(c))(s \cup\{\gamma(s(y)\}))
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition $2(\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket) \mid)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket)\right|_{\alpha\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right), \beta\left(y^{\prime}\right), \gamma(y)} \\
= & \left(\lambda t \in \mathbb{B}^{V A R \backslash\left\{y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, y\right\}} \cdot f s t(\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket))\left(t \cup\left\{\alpha\left(t\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)\right), \beta\left(t\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right), \gamma(t(y))\right\}\right),\right. \\
& \lambda s \in \mathbb{B}^{\operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\}} . \operatorname{snd}(\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket))(s \cup\{\gamma(s(y)\}))
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3 (aA)

$$
\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket=\lambda s \in \mathbb{B}^{\operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\}} .\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge y \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\}
$$

Lemma 2 (aA)

$$
\left.\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket)\right|_{\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y}=\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right)
$$

Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f s t\left(\left.\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket)\right|_{\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y}\right) t, t \in \mathbb{B}^{\operatorname{VAR} \backslash\left\{y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, y\right\}} \\
= & f s t(\tau(c))\left(t \cup\left\{\neg t\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right), \neg t(y)\right\}\right) \\
& \text { due to def. } \varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket) \mid \\
= & \exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket t_{\bar{x} \cup\{\neg t(y)\} \backslash\{\perp\}} \\
& \left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) . t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} . t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \wedge \\
& \neg t\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

due to def. of $\varepsilon$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket t_{\bar{x} \cup\{\neg t(y)\}} \backslash\{\perp\} . \\
&\left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
&\left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\} \cdot t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \wedge \\
& y \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \\
& \quad \quad \operatorname{logic} \\
&= \exists \sigma \in\left\{\sigma \mid \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket t_{\bar{x} \cup\{\neg t(y)\}} \wedge y \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right\} \backslash\{\perp\} . \\
&\left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
&\left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\} \cdot t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \\
& \quad \operatorname{logic} \\
&= f s t\left(\varepsilon \left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{\left.\left.\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right) \rrbracket\right)\right) t}\right.\right. \\
& \quad \text { due to def. aA }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
    \(\operatorname{snd}\left(\left.\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket)\right|_{\left.\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)}\right) e, s \in \mathbb{B}^{\operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\}}\)
\(=\operatorname{snd}(\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket))(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\})\)
    due to def. \(\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket) \mid\)
\(=\quad \perp \in\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\})\}\)
    due to def. of \(\varepsilon\)
\(=\quad \perp \in\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge y \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\}\)
        logic
\(=\operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right)\right)\)
    due to def. aA
```


## Definition 4 (cC)

$$
\left.\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{( } y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right) \rrbracket=\lambda s \in \mathbb{B}^{\operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\}} \cdot\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge([y / 0] \in \sigma \vee \sigma=\perp)\}
$$

## Lemma 3 (cC)

$$
\left.\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket)\right|_{y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y}=\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{\left(y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right)
$$

## Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f s t\left(\left.\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket)\right|_{\left.y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right) t, t \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{VAR}} \backslash\left\{y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, y\right\}}\right. \\
= & f s t(\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket))\left(t \cup\left\{t\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right), \neg t\left(y^{\prime}\right), \neg t(y)\right\}\right) \\
& \text { due to def. } \varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket) \mid \\
= & \exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket t_{\bar{x} \cup\{\neg t(y)\} \backslash\{\perp\} .}\left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \cdot t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \wedge \\
& t\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) \wedge \neg t\left(y^{\prime}\right) \\
& \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon \\
= & \exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket t_{\bar{x} \cup\{\neg t(y)\}} \backslash\{\perp\} . \\
& \left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \backslash\{y\} \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\} \cdot t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \wedge \\
& y \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \wedge \neg \sigma(y) \\
& \text { logic } \\
& =\exists \sigma \in\left\{\sigma \mid \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket \rrbracket_{t_{\bar{x} \cup\{-t(y)\}}} \wedge[y / 0] \in \sigma\right\} \backslash\{\perp\} . \\
& \left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \backslash\{y\} . t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\} \cdot t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \\
& \text { logic } \\
& =\exists \sigma \in\left\{\sigma \mid \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket t_{\bar{x} \cup\{\sim t(y)\}} \wedge([y / 0] \in \sigma \vee \sigma=\perp)\right\} \backslash\{\perp\} \text {. } \\
& \left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \backslash\{y\} . t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\} . t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \\
& \text { logic } \\
& =f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{\left(y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right)\right) t \\
& \text { due to def. cC } \\
& \operatorname{snd}\left(\left.\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket)\right|_{y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y}\right) e, s \in \mathbb{B}^{\operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\}} \\
& =\operatorname{snd}(\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket))(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \\
& \text { due to def. } \varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket) \mid \\
& =\perp \in\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\})\} \\
& \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon \\
& =\perp \in\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge([y / 0] \in \sigma \vee \sigma=\perp)\} \\
& \text { logic } \\
& =\operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{\left(y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right)\right) \\
& \text { due to def. cC }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Definition 5 (eE)

$$
\mathcal{C} \llbracket c\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, \neg y\right) \rrbracket=\lambda s \in \mathbb{B}^{\operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\}} .\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge([y / 1] \in \sigma \vee \sigma=\perp)\}
$$

## Lemma 4 (eE)

$$
\left.\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket)\right|_{y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, \neg y}=\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right)
$$

Proof: Symmetric to the proof of lemma cC
We are now ready to prove the main theorem
Theorem 1 (Correctness) For any command, $c \in \mathbf{C O M}, \varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c \rrbracket)=\tau(c)$.
Proof: We prove by structural induction on $c$.

## Basis

In the base case, $c$ is an atomic command

$$
c=a=g \rightarrow \vec{x}:=\text { any } \vec{x}^{\prime} \cdot \varphi .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f s t(\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket a \rrbracket)) t \\
= & \exists \sigma \in\left\{\left[x_{1} / x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{k} / x_{k}^{\prime}\right] \mid \mathcal{B} \llbracket g\left(\left.t\right|_{\bar{x}}\right) \rrbracket \wedge \mathcal{B} \llbracket \varphi\left(\left.t\right|_{\bar{x}},\left.t\right|_{\bar{x}^{\prime}}\right) \rrbracket\right\} . \\
& \left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} . t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \\
& \quad \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon \text { and } \mathcal{C} \\
= & \left(\tilde{g}(\vec{x}) \wedge \tilde{\varphi}\left(\vec{x}, \vec{x}^{\prime}\right) \wedge x_{1}^{\prime \prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{k}^{\prime \prime} \wedge \neg x_{k+1}^{\prime \prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge \neg x_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) t \\
& \quad \operatorname{logic} \\
= & f s t(\tau(a)) t \\
& \quad \text { due to def. of } \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{snd}(\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket a \rrbracket)) s \\
= & \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket a \rrbracket s \\
& \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon \\
= & (\mathbf{0}) s \\
= & \operatorname{logic} \\
= & \operatorname{snd}(\tau(a)) s \\
& \text { due to def. of } \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\varepsilon(\mathcal{C} \llbracket a \rrbracket)=\tau(a)$.

Inductive Cases $\left(c=c_{1} \| c_{2}\right.$ and $\left.c=c_{1} * c_{2}\right)$
The structural induction hypothesis (IH I) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(c_{1}\right) & =\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right) \\
\tau\left(c_{2}\right) & =\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

I. Assume $c=c_{1} \| c_{2}$.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \| c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right) t \\
= & \left.\left.\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket t\right|_{\bar{x}} \cup \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket t\right|_{\bar{x}} \backslash\{\perp\} . \\
& \left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} . t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \\
& \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon \text { and } \mathcal{C} \\
= & \left.\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket t\right|_{\bar{x}} \backslash\{\perp\} . \\
& \left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} . t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \\
& \vee \\
& \left.\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket t\right|_{\bar{x}} \backslash\{\perp\} . \\
& \left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} . t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \\
& \quad \operatorname{logic} \\
& =\quad f s t\left(\tau\left(c_{1}\right)\right) t \vee f s t\left(\tau\left(c_{2}\right)\right) t \\
& \text { due to IH I } \\
& =\quad f s t\left(\tau\left(c_{1} \| c_{2}\right)\right) t \\
& \text { due to def. of } \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \| c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right) s \\
= & \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket s \cup \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket s \\
& \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon \text { and } \mathcal{C} \\
= & \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket s \vee \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket e \\
& \operatorname{logic} \\
= & \operatorname{snd}\left(\tau\left(c_{1}\right)\right) s \vee \operatorname{snd}\left(\tau\left(c_{2}\right)\right) s \\
= & \text { due to IH I } \\
= & \text { due to def. of } \left.\tau\left(c_{1} \| c_{2}\right)\right) s
\end{array}
$$

Thus, $\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \| c_{2} \rrbracket\right)=\tau\left(c_{1} \| c_{2}\right)$.
II. Assume $c=c_{1} * c_{2}$.

Consider the $\mathrm{BDD}, \tilde{c}$, representing the command $c$,

$$
\tilde{c}=\tau(c)
$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $\tilde{c}$ is a non-reduced BDD. Let $|\tilde{c}|$ equal the number of $\left(x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime}, x\right)$ levels $\tilde{c}$. Since $\tilde{c}$ is assumed to be non-reduced, we have

$$
|\tau(c)|=|\operatorname{Var}|
$$

Consider the property $p(n)$ with the defi nition

$$
p(n) \Leftrightarrow_{\text {def }} \tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)=\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right) \text { for }\left|\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right|=n
$$

We want to prove that $p(n)$ holds for all $n>0$. We do this by induction on $n$.
$\operatorname{Basis}(n=1)$
Assume $\left|\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right|=1$ and $\operatorname{Var}=\{x\}$. First we prove

$$
f s t\left(\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right)=f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)
$$

Case $t=(0,0,0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f s t\left(\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right)(0,0,0) \\
= & f s t\left(\tau\left(c_{1}\right) * \tau\left(c_{2}\right)\right)(0,0,0) \\
& \text { due to def. of } \tau \\
= & f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right) * \varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0,0,0) \\
& \text { due to IH I } \\
= & f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)\left(0,_{-}, 0\right) \wedge f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0,-, 0) \\
& \text { due to base case def. of } * \\
= & \left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} . x \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \wedge\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} . x \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \\
& \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon \\
= & \exists \sigma \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0), \sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0)\right\} \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot x \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \\
& \text { due to def. of }+ \\
= & \left.\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\left.t\right|_{\bar{x}} \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot\left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} . t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right), \text { for } t=(0,0,0) \\
& \operatorname{logic} \\
& f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0,0,0) \\
& \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $t=(0,0,1), t=(0,1,0)$ and $t=(0,1,1)$
Symmetric to case $t=(0,0,0)$
Case $t=(1,0,0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f s t\left(\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right)(1,0,0) \\
& =f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right) * \varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,0,0) \\
& \text { using the same steps as in case } t=(0,0,0) \\
& \left.=f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,0,0) \wedge f s t \varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0,0) \vee \\
& \left.f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0,0) \wedge f s t \varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,0,0) \\
& \text { due to base case def. of } * \\
& =\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot[x / 0] \in \sigma\right) \wedge\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot x \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \vee \\
& \left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} . x \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \wedge\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot[x / 0] \in \sigma\right) \\
& \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon \\
& =\exists \sigma \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0), \sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0)\right\} \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot[x / 0] \in \sigma \\
& \text { due to def. of }+ \\
& \left.=\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\left.t\right|_{\bar{x}} \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot\left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} . t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right), \text { for } t=(1,0,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $t=(1,0,1), t=(1,1,0)$ and $t=(1,1,1)$
Symmetric to case $t=(1,0,0)$

We then prove

$$
\operatorname{snd}\left(\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)
$$

Case $s=(0)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{snd}\left(\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right)(0) \\
& =\operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right) * \varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \\
& \text { using the same steps as in case } t=(0,0,0) \\
& =f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0,, 0) \wedge \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \vee \\
& \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \wedge f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0, \quad, 0) \vee \\
& \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \wedge \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \vee \\
& f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,0,0) \wedge \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \vee \\
& \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \wedge f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0,-, 0) \vee \\
& \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \wedge \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \vee \\
& f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,1,0) \wedge \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \vee \\
& \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \wedge f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0,-, 0) \vee \\
& \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \wedge \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \vee \\
& f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0,, 0) \wedge \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \vee \\
& \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \wedge f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,0,0) \vee \\
& \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \wedge \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \vee \\
& f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0,, 0) \wedge \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \vee \\
& \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \wedge f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,1,0) \vee \\
& \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \wedge \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \vee \\
& \left(\left(\exists x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime} \cdot f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,0,0)\right) \vee \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0)\right) \wedge \\
& \left(\left(\exists x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime} . f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,0,0)\right) \vee \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0)\right) \vee \\
& \left(\left(\exists x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime} \cdot f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,1,0)\right) \vee \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0)\right) \wedge \\
& \left(\left(\exists x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime} . f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,0,0)\right) \vee \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0)\right) \vee \\
& \left(\left(\exists x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime} \cdot f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,0,0)\right) \vee \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0)\right) \wedge \\
& \left(\left(\exists x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime} \cdot f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,1,0)\right) \vee \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0)\right) \vee \\
& \left(\left(\exists x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime} \cdot f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,1,0)\right) \vee \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0)\right) \wedge \\
& \left(\left(\exists x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime} . f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(1,1,0)\right) \vee \operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0)\right) \\
& \text { due to base case def. of } * \\
& =\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} . x \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \wedge \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \vee \\
& \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \wedge\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} . x \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \vee \\
& \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \wedge \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \vee \\
& \left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot[x / 0] \in \sigma\right) \wedge \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \vee \\
& \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \wedge\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} . x \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \vee \\
& \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \wedge \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \vee \\
& \left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot[x / 1] \in \sigma\right) \wedge \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \vee \\
& \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \wedge\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} . x \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \vee \\
& \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \wedge \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \vee \\
& \left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} . x \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \wedge \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \vee
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \wedge\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot[x / 0] \in \sigma\right) \vee \\
& \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \wedge \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \vee \\
& \left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot x \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \wedge \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \vee \\
& \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \wedge\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot[x / 1] \in \sigma\right) \vee \\
& \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \wedge \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \vee \\
& \left(\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \cdot[x / 0] \in \sigma\right) \vee \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0)\right) \wedge \\
& \left(\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \cdot[x / 0] \in \sigma\right) \vee \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0)\right) \vee \\
& \left(\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \cdot[x / 1] \in \sigma\right) \vee \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0)\right) \wedge \\
& \left(\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \cdot[x / 0] \in \sigma\right) \vee \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0)\right) \vee \\
& \left(\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \cdot[x / 0] \in \sigma\right) \vee \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0)\right) \wedge \\
& \left(\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \cdot[x / 1] \in \sigma\right) \vee \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0)\right) \vee \\
& \left(\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0) \cdot[x / 1] \in \sigma\right) \vee \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0)\right) \wedge \\
& \left(\left(\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \cdot[x / 1] \in \sigma\right) \vee \perp \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0)\right) \\
& \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon \\
= & \exists \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0), \sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0) \cdot \sigma_{1}=\perp \vee \sigma_{2}=\perp \vee(\text { dom })\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \cap(\text { dom })\left(\sigma_{2}\right) \neq \emptyset \\
& \quad \operatorname{logic} \\
= & \perp \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(0), \sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(0)\right\} \\
& \text { due to def. of }+ \\
= & \text { snd( } \left.\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(0) \\
& \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $s=(1)$
Symmetric to case $s=(0)$

Thus $\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)=\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)$ for $\left|\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right|=1$.

## Inductive Step ( $n>0$ )

The induction hypothesis (IH II) is that $p(n-1)$ holds. That is

$$
\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)=\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right) \text { for }\left|\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right|=n-1
$$

First we prove

$$
f s t\left(\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right)=f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
f s t\left(\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right) \\
=f s t\left(\tau\left(c_{1}\right) * \tau\left(c_{2}\right)\right) \\
\text { due to def. of } \tau
\end{gathered}
$$



where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{aA}=f_{s t}((a, g) *(A, G)) \\
& \mathrm{bB}=f s t((b, h) *(B, H)) \\
& \mathrm{cC}=f s t((c, g) *(A, G)) \vee f_{s t}((a, g) \quad *(C, G)) \\
& \mathrm{dD}=f s t((d, h) \quad *(B, H)) \vee f_{s t}((b, h) \quad *(D, H)) \\
& \mathrm{eE}=f s t((e, g) *(A, G)) \vee \vee f t((a, g) *(E, G)) \\
& \mathrm{fF}=f s t((f, h) *(B, H)) \vee f_{s t}((b, h) *(F, H))
\end{aligned}
$$

due to the semantics of BDDs

## Case aA

We want to prove

$$
\mathrm{aA} t=f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)\left(t \cup\left\{\neg t\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right), \neg t(y)\right\}\right)
$$

where $t \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{VAR}} \backslash\left\{y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, y\right\}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { aA } t \\
& =\operatorname{fst}\left(\left.\left.\tau\left(c_{1}\right)\right|_{\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y} * \tau\left(c_{1}\right)\right|_{\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y}\right) t \\
& =f s t\left(\left.\left.\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right)\right|_{\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y} * \varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right|_{\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y}\right) t \\
& \text { due to IH I } \\
& =f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right) * \varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right)\right) t \\
& \text { due to lem. aA } \\
& =f s t\left(\tau\left(c_{1\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)}\right) * \tau\left(c_{2\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)}\right)\right) t \\
& \text { due t0 IH I } \\
& =f s t\left(\tau\left(c_{1\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} * c_{2\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)}\right)\right) t \\
& \text { due to def. of } \tau \\
& =\operatorname{fst}\left(\varepsilon \left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} * c_{\left.\left.2\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right) \rrbracket\right)\right) t}\right.\right. \\
& \text { due to IH II } \\
& =\exists \sigma \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\left|\sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket t\right|_{\bar{x}},\left.\sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket t\right|_{\bar{x}}\right\} \backslash\{\perp\} . \\
& \left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\} . t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \\
& \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon \text { and } * \\
& =\left.\exists \sigma \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right) t\right|_{\bar{x} \cup\{\neg t(y)\}} \wedge y \notin \operatorname{dom}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \wedge \\
& \left.\left.\sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\left.t\right|_{\bar{x} \cup\{\neg t(y)\}} \wedge y \notin \operatorname{dom}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)\right\} \backslash\{\perp\} . \\
& \left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\} \cdot t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \\
& \text { due to lem. aA } \\
= & \left.\exists \sigma \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right) t\right|_{\bar{x} \cup\{\neg t(y)\}} \wedge \\
& \left.\sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\left.t\right|_{\bar{x} \cup\{\neg t(y)\}} \backslash\{\perp\} \cdot \\
& \left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\} \cdot t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \wedge \\
& y \notin \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \\
& \text { due to def. of }+ \\
= & \left.\exists \sigma \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket\right) t^{\prime}\right|_{\bar{x}} \wedge \\
& \left.\left.\left.\sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\left.t^{\prime}\right|_{\bar{x}}\right\}\right\} \backslash\{\perp\} . \\
& \left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \cdot t^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \\
& \text { where } t^{\prime}=t \cup\left\{\neg t y^{\prime \prime}, \neg t y\right\} \\
& \operatorname{logic} \\
= & \left.\exists \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right) t^{\prime} \backslash\{\perp\} . \\
& \left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right. \\
& \left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \cdot t^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right) \\
& \text { due to def. of } * \\
= & f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)\left(t \cup\left\{\neg t\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right), \neg t(y)\right\}\right) \\
& \text { due to def. of } \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

## Case bB

symmetric to case aA

## Case cC

We want to prove

$$
\left.\mathrm{cC} t=f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)\left(t \cup t\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right), \neg t\left(y^{\prime}\right), \neg t\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

where $t \in \mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{VAR}} \backslash\left\{y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, y\right\}$. We have

$$
\mathrm{cC} t
$$

$=f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} * c_{\left.\left.2\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right) \rrbracket\right)\right) t \vee}\right.\right.$
$f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} * c_{2\left(y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right)\right) t$
using the same steps as in the proof of case aA
$=\sigma \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\left|\sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket t\right|_{\bar{x}},\left.\sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket t\right|_{\bar{x}}\right\} \backslash\{\perp\}$.
$\left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right.$
$\left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\} \cdot t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right)$
V
$\sigma \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}\left|\sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket t\right|_{\bar{x}},\left.\sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2\left(y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket t\right|_{\bar{x}}\right\} \backslash\{\perp\}$.
$\left(\left(\forall x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma) \cdot t\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(x)\right) \wedge\right.$
$\left.\forall x \in \operatorname{Var} \backslash\{y\} . t\left(x^{\prime \prime}\right)=x \in \operatorname{dom}(\sigma)\right)$
due to def. of $\varepsilon$ and $*$
$=\sigma \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid\right.$

## Case dD, eE and fF

symmetric to case cC
Thus $\operatorname{fst}\left(\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right)=f s t\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)$. We then prove

$$
\operatorname{snd}\left(\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{snd}\left(\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right) \\
=\operatorname{snd}\left(\tau\left(c_{1}\right) * \tau\left(c_{2}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

due to def. of $\tau$

$={ }_{\mathrm{gG}}^{\left.{ }_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\mathrm{y}}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{hH}}}$
where
$=\lambda s \in \mathbb{B}^{\operatorname{Var}} .\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\operatorname{gG}(s \backslash\{s(y)\}) & : & \neg s\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right) \\ \mathrm{hH}(s \backslash\{s(y)\}) & : & s\left(y^{\prime \prime}\right)\end{array}\right.$
due to the semantics of BDDs

## Case gG

We want to prove

$$
\mathrm{gG} e=\operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)\right)(e \cup\{\neg t(y)\})
$$

where $e \in \mathbb{B}^{\text {Var } \backslash\{y\}}$.
we get
$\mathrm{gG} t$
$=\operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} * c_{2\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right)\right) s \vee$
$\operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} * c_{2\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right)\right) s \vee$
$\operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} * c_{2\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right)\right) s \vee$
$\operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} * c_{2\left(y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right)\right) s \vee$
$\operatorname{snd}\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(\neg y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y\right)} * c_{2\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket\right)\right) s \vee$
$\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket s \neq \emptyset \wedge \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2\left(y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket s \neq \emptyset \vee$
$\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket s \neq \emptyset \wedge \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2\left(y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket s \neq \emptyset \vee$
$\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(y^{\prime \prime}, \neg y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket s \neq \emptyset \wedge \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket s \neq \emptyset \vee$
$\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket s \neq \emptyset \wedge \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2\left(y^{\prime \prime}, y^{\prime}, \neg y\right)} \rrbracket s \neq \emptyset$
using the same steps as in the proof of case aA and lemma $\otimes$ $=\quad \perp \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid\right.$
$\sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge y \notin \operatorname{dom}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \wedge$
$\sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge\left(y \notin \operatorname{dom}\left(\sigma_{2}\right) \vee\right.$
$\left.[y / 0] \in \sigma_{2} \vee[y / 1] \in \sigma_{2} \vee \sigma_{2}=\perp\right) \vee$
$\sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge y \notin \operatorname{dom}\left(\sigma_{2}\right) \wedge$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge\left(y \notin \operatorname{dom}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \vee\right. \\
& {\left.\left.[y / 0] \in \sigma_{1} \vee[y / 1] \in \sigma_{1} \vee \sigma_{1}=\perp\right)\right\} } \\
& \vee \\
&\left\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge([y / 0] \in \sigma \vee[y / 0] \in \sigma \vee \sigma=\perp)\right\} \neq \emptyset \wedge \\
&\left\{\sigma \mid \sigma \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge([y / 0] \in \sigma \vee[y / 0] \in \sigma \vee \sigma=\perp)\right\} \neq \emptyset \\
& \operatorname{logic~and~def.~of~} \varepsilon \\
&= \perp \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid\right. \\
& \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge y \notin \operatorname{dom}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \wedge \\
& \sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge\left(y \notin \operatorname{dom}\left(\sigma_{2}\right) \vee\right. \\
& {\left.[y / 0] \in \sigma_{2} \vee[y / 1] \in \sigma_{2} \vee \sigma_{2}=\perp\right) \vee } \\
& \sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge y \notin \operatorname{dom(\sigma _{2})\wedge } \\
& \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge\left(y \notin \operatorname{dom(\sigma _{1})\vee }\right. \\
& {\left.\left.[y / 0] \in \sigma_{1} \vee[y / 1] \in \sigma_{1} \vee \sigma_{1}=\perp\right)\right\} } \\
& \vee \\
& \perp \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid\right. \\
& \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge\left([y / 0] \in \sigma_{1} \vee[y / 0] \in \sigma_{1}\right) \wedge \\
&\left.\sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \wedge\left([y / 0] \in \sigma_{2} \vee[y / 0] \in \sigma_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \operatorname{logic} \\
&= \perp \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket s^{\prime}, \sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket e^{\prime}\right\} \wedge \neg(\text { conflict in } y \text { substitution }) \\
& \vee \\
& \perp \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket s^{\prime}, \sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket s^{\prime}\right\} \wedge(\text { conflict in } y \text { substitution }), \\
& \text { where } s^{\prime}=(s \cup \neg s(y) \\
& \quad \operatorname{due} \text { to def. of }+ \\
&= \perp \in\left\{\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2} \mid \sigma_{1} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} \rrbracket s^{\prime}, \sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{2} \rrbracket s^{\prime}\right\} \\
& \quad \operatorname{logic} \\
&= \text { snd }\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right)(s \cup\{\neg s(y)\}) \\
& \quad \operatorname{due} \text { to def. of } \varepsilon \text { and } *
\end{aligned}
$$

## Case hH

Symmetric to case gG
Thus $\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)=\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{C} \llbracket c_{1} * c_{2} \rrbracket\right)$ for $\left|\tau\left(c_{1} * c_{2}\right)\right|=n$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \otimes$ can also be defi ned inductively in the same way as $*$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ As most other BDD packages, Buddy employs a highly optimized version of the relational product operation.

