

# A Lemma for a Strong Comparison Principle of Nonlinear Parabolic Equations

By

Satoshi KAWAI and Masaki OHNUMA

Satoshi KAWAI

*SOLIZE Corporation, Sanban-cho UF Bldg. 3F, 6-3, Sanban-cho,  
Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo 102-0075, JAPAN,  
e-mail address : satokichi88@gmail.com*

and

Masaki OHNUMA

*Department of Mathematical Sciences,  
Tokushima University, Tokushima 770-8506, JAPAN  
e-mail address : ohnuma@tokushima-u.ac.jp*

(Received October 7, 2022)

## Abstract

In this note, we prove a lemma for a strong comparison principle of nonlinear parabolic equations. We shall prove a function which is a viscosity subsolution minus a viscosity supersolution of the equation becomes a viscosity subsolution of a parabolic equation which may not coincide with the original equation. Thanks to a strong maximum principle of nonlinear parabolic equations we have a strong comparison principle.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K55, 35D40, 35B51.

## Introduction

We consider nonlinear parabolic equations of the form

$$(1) \quad u_t + F(Du, D^2u) = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_T := (0, T) \times \Omega,$$

where  $u : \overline{Q_T} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is an unknown function,  $F = F(q, X)$  is a given function,  $T > 0$  and  $\Omega$  is a domain in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . Here  $u_t = \partial u / \partial t$ ,  $Du$  and  $D^2u$  denote, respectively, the time derivative of  $u$ , the gradient of  $u$  and the Hessian of  $u$  in space variables.

Our goal is that when  $u$  and  $v$  are, respectively, a subsolution and supersolution of (1),  $u - v$  becomes a subsolution of a parabolic equation which may not coincide with (1). For uniformly parabolic equations we know that a strong maximum principle holds to (1). If  $u - v \leq 0$  in  $Q_T$  and there exists a point in  $Q_T$  that satisfies  $u - v = 0$ , then a strong maximum principle yields  $u \equiv v$  in  $Q_T$ . This means a strong comparison principle holds to (1). So our goal is important to prove a strong comparison principle. For nonlinear parabolic equations we may not expect existence of classical solutions. So we deal with this problem using viscosity solutions (cf. [2], [6]).

In the study of a strong comparison principle with viscosity solutions, there are a few papers. Trudinger [9] proved a strong comparison principle for Lipschitz continuous viscosity solutions of uniformly elliptic equations. Ishii and Yoshimura [5] proved a strong comparison principle for semicontinuous viscosity solutions to uniformly elliptic equations. At the same time Giga and the second author [4] studied a strong comparison principle. Their proof [4, Proof of 3.1, p175-177] works for uniformly elliptic equations of the form  $F(D^2u) = 0$  but it does not work for non-uniformly elliptic equations of the form  $F(Du, D^2u) = 0$ . As a special case the second author and Sakaguchi [8] proved a strong comparison principle for semicontinuous viscosity solutions to the prescribed mean curvature equation.

For parabolic problems there is a result by the second author [7]. Since the proof [7, Proof of Lemma 3.4, p159-162] is based on that of [4], it works for uniformly parabolic equations of the form  $u_t + F(D^2u) = 0$  but it does not work for (1). To nonlinear parabolic equations Da Lio [3] proved a strong maximum principle for semicontinuous viscosity solutions. Once our goal is proved, thanks to the strong maximum principle we can show that a strong comparison principle holds to (1).

## 1 Proof of Lemma

We shall study nonlinear parabolic equations of form

$$(1.1) \quad u_t + F(Du, D^2u) = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_T.$$

We list assumptions on  $F = F(p, X)$ .

(F1)  $F$  is lower semicontinuous in  $\mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{S}^N$ .

(F2)  $F$  is degenerate elliptic, i.e.,

$$\text{if } X \geq Y \quad \text{then} \quad F(p, X) \leq F(p, Y) \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbf{R}^N.$$

We introduce  $F_0$  as follows

$$(1.2) \quad F_0(p, X) := \inf\{F(p + q, X + Y) - F(q, Y); (q, Y) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{S}^N\}.$$

This function  $F_0$  is introduced in [5], [6]. To consider our problem we will assume lower boundedness of  $F_0$ .

(F3)  $F_0(p, X) > -\infty$  for all  $p \in \mathbf{R}^N$  and  $X \in \mathbf{S}^N$ .

We easily see a following property about  $F_0$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *If  $F$  satisfies (F1), then  $F_0$  is lower semicontinuous.*

*Proof.* We fix  $(\hat{p}, \hat{X}) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{S}^N$ . Since  $F$  is lower semicontinuous, we see that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  that satisfies

$$\text{if } (p, X) \in B_\delta(\hat{p}, \hat{X}) \text{ then } -\varepsilon + F(\hat{p}, \hat{X}) < F(p, X).$$

Here

$$B_\delta(\hat{p}, \hat{X}) = \{(p, X) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{S}^N; \{|p - \hat{p}|^2 + \|X - \hat{X}\|^2\}^{1/2} < \delta\},$$

where  $\|X\| := \max\{|X\xi|; \xi \in \mathbf{R}^N, |\xi| = 1\}$  for  $X \in \mathbf{S}^N$ . By the definition of  $F_0$  we have that for  $(r, Z) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{S}^N$

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(\hat{p}, \hat{X}) - F_0(r, Z) &= \inf\{F(\hat{p} + q, \hat{X} + Y) - F(q, Y); (q, Y) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{S}^N\} \\ &\quad - \inf\{F(r + q, Z + Y) - F(q, Y); (q, Y) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{S}^N\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F_0$  is bounded from below, there exists  $(\hat{q}, \hat{Y}) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{S}^N$  that satisfies

$$F_0(r, Z) = F(r + \hat{q}, Z + \hat{Y}) - F(\hat{q}, \hat{Y}).$$

Then we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(\hat{p}, \hat{X}) - F_0(r, Z) &\leq F(\hat{p} + \hat{q}, \hat{X} + \hat{Y}) - F(\hat{q}, \hat{Y}) - F(r + \hat{q}, Z + \hat{Y}) + F(\hat{q}, \hat{Y}) \\ &\leq F(\hat{p} + \hat{q}, \hat{X} + \hat{Y}) - F(r + \hat{q}, Z + \hat{Y}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we have that if  $(r, Z) \in B_\delta(\hat{p}, \hat{X})$  then  $F_0(\hat{p}, \hat{X}) - F_0(r, Z) < \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

Now we are in a position to state our main result.

**Lemma 1.2.** *Assume that (F1), (F2) and (F3) hold. Let  $u \in USC([0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^N)$  and  $v \in LSC([0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^N)$  be, respectively, a viscosity subsolution and a viscosity supersolution of (1.1). We set  $w = u - v$ . Then  $w$  is a viscosity subsolution of*

$$(1.3) \quad u_t + F_0(Du, D^2u) = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_T.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in C^2((0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^N)$  and  $(\hat{t}, \hat{x}) \in Q_T$  satisfy  $(w - \phi)(\hat{t}, \hat{x}) \geq (w - \phi)(s, y)$  for all  $(s, y) \in B_r(\hat{t}, \hat{x})$  for some  $r > 0$ , where  $B_r(\hat{t}, \hat{x})$  denotes an open ball in  $\mathbf{R}^{N+1}$  centered at  $(\hat{t}, \hat{x})$  with a radius  $r$ . We may assume that  $w - \phi$  takes its locally strict maximum at  $(\hat{t}, \hat{x})$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  we set

$$\Phi(t, x, s, y) := u(t, x) - v(s, y) - \phi(t, x) - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}(|x - y|^2 + |t - s|^2).$$

Since  $\Phi$  is an upper semicontinuous function,  $\Phi$  takes its maximum on  $\overline{B_r(\hat{t}, \hat{x})} \times \overline{B_r(\hat{t}, \hat{x})}$  for some  $r > 0$ . Let  $(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)$  be a maximizer of  $\Phi$  on  $\overline{B_r(\hat{t}, \hat{x})} \times \overline{B_r(\hat{t}, \hat{x})}$ .

Step 1. We shall show  $(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)$  converges to  $(\hat{t}, \hat{x}, \hat{t}, \hat{x})$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Since  $\Phi$  takes its maximum on  $\overline{B_r(\hat{t}, \hat{x})} \times \overline{B_r(\hat{t}, \hat{x})}$  at  $(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)$ , we see that

$$\Phi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) \geq \Phi(\hat{t}, \hat{x}, \hat{t}, \hat{x}) = (w - \phi)(\hat{t}, \hat{x}).$$

As usual we may assume that  $(w - \phi)(\hat{t}, \hat{x}) = 0$ . Since  $u, -v \in USC([0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^N)$  and  $\phi \in C^2((0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^N)$ , there exists a constant  $C$  that satisfies

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} (|x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon|^2 + |t_\varepsilon - s_\varepsilon|^2) \leq u(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) - v(s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) - \phi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) \leq C$$

Then we see that

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon) = 0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (t_\varepsilon - s_\varepsilon) = 0.$$

Note that  $(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) \in \overline{B_r(\hat{t}, \hat{x})}$ , the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem yields that there exists a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_k\}$  which decreases to 0 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $(t_0, x_0) \in \overline{B_r(\hat{t}, \hat{x})}$  satisfying

$$(t_{\varepsilon_k}, x_{\varepsilon_k}) \rightarrow (t_0, x_0) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (1.5) we observe that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (y_{\varepsilon_k} - x_0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (y_{\varepsilon_k} - x_{\varepsilon_k}) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_{\varepsilon_k} - x_0) = 0.$$

So we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{\varepsilon_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{\varepsilon_k} = x_0$ . By a similar way we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{\varepsilon_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{\varepsilon_k} = t_0$ . Concerning (1.4) we know that  $u \in USC([0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^N)$  and  $v \in LSC([0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^N)$ . Then we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{\varepsilon_k} - y_{\varepsilon_k}|^2 + |t_{\varepsilon_k} - s_{\varepsilon_k}|^2}{2\varepsilon_k} &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{\varepsilon_k} - y_{\varepsilon_k}|^2 + |t_{\varepsilon_k} - s_{\varepsilon_k}|^2}{2\varepsilon_k} \\ &\leq u(t_0, x_0) - v(t_0, x_0) - \phi(t_0, x_0) \\ &\leq (w - \phi)(\hat{t}, \hat{x}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

These inequalities yield

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_{\varepsilon_k} - y_{\varepsilon_k}|^2}{\varepsilon_k} = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|t_{\varepsilon_k} - s_{\varepsilon_k}|^2}{\varepsilon_k} = 0.$$

Recall that  $w - \phi$  takes its locally strict maximum at  $(\hat{t}, \hat{x})$  we see that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{\varepsilon_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{\varepsilon_k} = \hat{x}, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{\varepsilon_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_{\varepsilon_k} = \hat{t}.$$

Recall again that  $w - \phi$  takes its locally strict maximum at  $(\hat{t}, \hat{x})$  we observe that these convergences are independent of taking a subsequence. Finally we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x_\varepsilon = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} y_\varepsilon = \hat{x}, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} t_\varepsilon = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} s_\varepsilon = \hat{t}, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{|x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon|^2}{\varepsilon} = 0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{|t_\varepsilon - s_\varepsilon|^2}{\varepsilon} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. We shall show  $w = u - v$  is a viscosity subsolution of (1.3).

We set

$$\Psi(t, x, s, y) := \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}(|x - y|^2 + |t - s|^2).$$

Since  $\Phi$  takes its maximum at  $(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)$ ,  $(u - \phi)(t, x) - v(s, y) - \Psi(t, x, s, y)$  takes its maximum at the same point. By step 1 we may assume that

$$(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) \in B_r(\hat{t}, \hat{x}) \times B_r(\hat{t}, \hat{x}).$$

Applying Crandall-Ishii's Lemma [1] we see that for each  $\alpha > 1$  there exist  $X, Y \in \mathbf{S}^N$  that satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi_t(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon), D_x \Psi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon), X) &\in \overline{\mathcal{P}^{2,+}}(u - \phi)(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon), \\ (\Psi_s(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon), D_y \Psi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon), Y) &\in \overline{\mathcal{P}^{2,+}}(-v)(s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon), \\ (\Leftrightarrow (-\Psi_s(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon), -D_y \Psi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon), -Y) &\in \overline{\mathcal{P}^{2,-}}(v)(s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)), \\ (1.6) \quad -(\alpha + \|A\|) I_{2N} &\leq \begin{pmatrix} X & O \\ O & Y \end{pmatrix} \leq A + \frac{1}{\alpha} A^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$A = D^2 \Psi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} D_{xx}^2(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) & D_{xy}^2(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) \\ D_{yx}^2(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) & D_{yy}^2(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix},$$

$\overline{\mathcal{P}^{2,+}}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{P}^{2,-}}$ , respectively, denote closure of a set of parabolic super 2-jets  $\mathcal{P}^{2,+}$  and a set of parabolic sub 2-jets  $\mathcal{P}^{2,-}$  (cf. [2],[6]). By calculations we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_t(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) &= \frac{t_\varepsilon - s_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, \quad \Psi_s(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) = -\frac{t_\varepsilon - s_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, \\ D_x \Psi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) &= \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, \quad D_y \Psi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon, s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) = -\frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, \\ (1.7) \quad A &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}^{2,+}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}^{2,-}$  we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{t_\varepsilon - s_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} + \phi_t(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon), \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} + D\phi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon), X + D^2\phi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) \right) &\in \bar{\mathcal{P}}^{2,+} u(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon), \\ \left( \frac{t_\varepsilon - s_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, -Y \right) &\in \bar{\mathcal{P}}^{2,-} v(s_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Hereafter we may suppress a point  $(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon)$  of  $\phi_t$  and  $\phi$ . Since  $u$  and  $v$  are, respectively, a viscosity subsolution and a viscosity supersolution of (1.1), we see that

$$(1.8) \quad \phi_t + \frac{t_\varepsilon - s_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} + F \left( D\phi + \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, D^2\phi + X \right) \leq 0,$$

$$(1.9) \quad \frac{t_\varepsilon - s_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} + F \left( \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, -Y \right) \geq 0.$$

Subtracting (1.9.) from (1.8), we get

$$(1.10) \quad \phi_t + F \left( D\phi + \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, D^2\phi + X \right) - F \left( \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, -Y \right) \leq 0.$$

From (1.6) and (1.7) we have  $X + Y \leq O$ . By (F2) and the definition of  $F_0$  (1.2) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &F \left( D\phi + \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, D^2\phi + X \right) - F \left( \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, -Y \right) \\ &= F \left( D\phi + \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, D^2\phi + X \right) - F \left( \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, X \right) \\ &\quad + F \left( \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, X \right) - F \left( \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, -Y \right) \\ &\geq F \left( D\phi + \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, D^2\phi + X \right) - F \left( \frac{x_\varepsilon - y_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, X \right) \\ &\geq \inf \{ F(D\phi + q, D^2\phi + Z) - F(q, Z); (q, Z) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{S}^N \} \\ &= F_0(D\phi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon), D^2\phi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon)) \end{aligned}$$

Combining (1.10) and the lower semicontinuity of  $F_0$  we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \phi_t(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) + F_0(D\phi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon), D^2\phi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon)) \\ &\geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \{ \phi_t(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) + F_0(D\phi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon), D^2\phi(t_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon)) \} \\ &\geq \phi_t(\hat{t}, \hat{x}) + F_0(D\phi(\hat{t}, \hat{x}), D^2\phi(\hat{t}, \hat{x})) \end{aligned}$$

This means  $w$  is a viscosity subsolution of  $u_t + F_0(Du, D^2u) = 0$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii, *The maximum principle for semicontinuous functions*, Diff. Int. Equations, **3**, (1990), 1001–1014.
- [2] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii and P. L. Lions, *User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **27**, (1992), 1–67.
- [3] F. Da Lio, *Remarks on the strong maximum principle for viscosity solutions to fully nonlinear parabolic equations*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Anal., **3** (2004), 395–415.
- [4] Y. Giga and M. Ohnuma, *On strong comparison principle for semicontinuous viscosity solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Int. J. of Pure and Appl. Math., **22**, (2005), 165–184.
- [5] H. Ishii and Y. Yoshimura, *Demi-eigenvalues for uniformly elliptic Isaccs operators*, preprint.
- [6] S. Koike, *Viscosity Solutions*, Kyoritsu-pub., 2016. (In Japanese).
- [7] M. Ohnuma, *Strong comparison principle of semicontinuous viscosity solutions to some nonlinear parabolic equations*, Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Vol.30, 443–470, Gakkotosho, 2008.
- [8] M. Ohnuma and S. Sakaguchi, *A simple proof of a strong comparison principle for semicontinuous viscosity solutions of the prescribed mean curvature equation*, Nonlinear Anal., **181**, (2019), 180–188.
- [9] N. S. Trudinger, *Comparison principle and pointwise estimates for viscosity solutions*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, **4**, (1988), 453–468.