



Kjersti , W-J., Lawlor, D. A., Romundstad , L. B., Bergh, C., Wennerholm, U-B., Henningsen, A-K. A., Håberg, S. E., Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Helsinki University Hospital and University of Helsinki, Finland, D., Spangmose, A. L., Pinborg, A., & Opdahl, S. (2022). Risk of stillbirth and neonatal death in singletons born after fresh and frozen embryo transfer: cohort study from the Committee of Nordic Assisted Reproduction Technology and Safety. *Fertility and Sterility*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2022.10.020

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

License (if available): CC BY Link to published version (if available): 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2022.10.020

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the final published version of the article (version of record). It first appeared online via Elsevier] at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2022.10.020.Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

## University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/

# Risk of stillbirth and neonatal death in singletons born after fresh and frozen embryo transfer: cohort study from the Committee of Nordic Assisted Reproduction Technology and Safety

Kjersti Westvik-Johari, M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>a,b</sup> Deborah A. Lawlor, Ph.D.,<sup>c,d,e</sup> Liv Bente Romundstad, M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>f,g</sup> Christina Bergh, M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>h</sup> Ulla-Britt Wennerholm, M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>h</sup> Mika Gissler, Ph.D.,<sup>i,j</sup> Anna-Karina A. Henningsen, M.D.,<sup>k</sup> Siri E. Håberg, M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>f</sup> Aila Tiitinen, M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>l</sup> Anne Lærke Spangmose, M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>k</sup> Anja Pinborg, D.M. Sc.,<sup>k</sup> and Signe Opdahl, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Fertility, Women and Children's' Centre, St. Olavs Hospital, Trondheim, Norway; <sup>b</sup>Department of Public Health and Nursing, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Trondheim, Norway; <sup>c</sup>Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit at the University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom; <sup>d</sup>Population Health Science, Bristol Medical School, Bristol, United Kingdom; <sup>e</sup>National Institute for Health and Care Research Bristol Biomedical Research Centre, Bristol, United Kingdom; <sup>f</sup>Centre for Fertility and Health, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway; <sup>g</sup>Spiren Fertility Clinic, Trondheim, Norway; <sup>h</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden; <sup>i</sup>Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Department of Knowledge Brokers, Helsinki, Finland; <sup>j</sup>Karolinska Institute<sup>i</sup>, Department of Molecular Medicine and Surgery, Stockholm, Sweden and Region Stockholm, Academic Primary Health Care Centre, Stockholm, Sweden; <sup>k</sup>The Fertility Clinic, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark; and <sup>l</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Helsinki University Hospital and University of Helsinki, Finland

**Objectives:** To investigate whether risks of stillbirth and neonatal death differ after fresh embryo transfers (fresh-ETs) and frozen embryo transfers (frozen-ETs) compared with singletons conceived without medical assistance. **Design:** A population-based cohort study.

### **Setting:** Not applicable.

**Patient(s):** Data linkage between the nationwide Medical Birth Registries in Denmark (1994–2014), Norway and Sweden (1988–2015), and national quality registries and databases on assisted reproductive technology identified a total of 4,590,853 singletons, including 78,642 conceived by fresh-ET and 18,084 by frozen-ET. **Intervention(s):** None

Received February 22, 2022; revised October 10, 2022; accepted October 13, 2022.

- Supported by the Nordic Trial Alliance: a pilot project jointly funded by the Nordic Council of Ministers and NordForsk grant (71450) the Central Norway Regional Health Authorities grant (46045000) received by L.B.R., the Nordic Federation of Obstetrics and Gynaecology grants (NF13041, NF15058, NF16026, and NF17043) received by U.B.W. and A.T., the Interreg Öresund-Kattegat-Skagerrak European Regional Development Fund (ReproUnion project) to A.P. and C.B., the Research Council of Norway's Centre of Excellence funding scheme grant (262700) received by S.E.H. and L.B.R., the European Research Council ART-HEALTH 101021566 ERC Advanced grant received by D.A.L., Medical Research Council (MC\_UU\_00011/6 received by D.A.L.), Bristol National Institute of Health Research Biomedical Research Centre received by D.A.L., National Institute of Health Research Senior Investigator award (NF-0616-10102) received by D.A.L. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.
- K.W.J. has nothing to disclose. D.A.L. has received support from Medtronic Ltd. and Roache Diagnostic for research unrelated to this paper. L.B.R. has nothing to disclose. C.B. has nothing to disclose. U.B.W. has nothing to disclose. M.G. has nothing to disclose. A.K.A.H. has nothing to disclose. S.E.H. has nothing to disclose. A.T. has nothing to disclose. A.L.S. has nothing to disclose. A.P. has nothing to disclose. S.O. has nothing to disclose.
- The data underlying this article cannot be shared publicly due to the data protection and privacy of individuals that participated in the study. The procedures for data access are described Opdahl S, et al. Data resource profile: the Committee of Nordic Assisted Reproductive Technology and Safety (CoN-ARTaS) cohort. Int J Epidemiol. 2020;49(2):365-366f.
- Correspondence to: Kjersti Westvik-Johari, M.D., Ph.D., Department of Public Health and Nursing, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Postboks 8905, 7491 Trondheim, Norway (E-mail: kjersti.westvik-johari@ntnu.no).

#### Fertility and Sterility<sup>®</sup> Vol. ■, No. ■, ■ 2022 0015-0282

Copyright ©2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2022.10.020

VOL. ■ NO. ■ / ■ 2022

**Main Outcome Measure(s):** Stillbirth (fetal death before and during delivery) and neonatal death (live born with death 0–27 days postpartum).

**Result(s):** Overall, 17,123 (0.37%) singletons were stillborn and 7,685 (0.17%) died neonatally. Compared with singletons conceived without medical assistance, the odds of stillbirth were similar after fresh-ET and frozen-ET, whereas the odds of neonatal death were high after fresh-ET (odds ratio [OR], 1.69; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.46–1.95) and frozen-ET (OR, 1.51; 95% CI, 1.08–2.10).

Preterm birth (<37 gestational weeks) was more common after fresh-ET (8.0%) and frozen-ET (6.6%) compared with singletons conceived without medical assistance (5.0%), and strongly associated with neonatal mortality across all conception methods. Within gestational age categories, risk of stillbirth and neonatal death was similar for all conception methods, except that singletons from fresh-ET had a higher risk of stillbirth during gestational week 22–27 (0R, 1.85; 95% CI, 1.51–2.26).

**Conclusion(s):** Overall, the risk of stillbirth was similar after fresh-ET and frozen-ET compared with singletons conceived without medical assistance, whereas neonatal mortality was high, possibly mediated by the high risk of preterm birth when compared with singletons conceived without medical assistance. Our results gave no clear support for choosing one treatment over the other. (Fertil Steril® 2022;  $\blacksquare$  :  $\blacksquare$  –  $\blacksquare$ . ©2022 by American Society for Reproductive Medicine.)

Key Words: Neonatal death, stillbirth, assisted conception, IVF, ART

rom its experimental beginning, assisted reproductive technology (ART) has grown into a successful treatment with >9 million children born worldwide (1, 2). Although most children born after ART so far were conceived by fresh embryo transfer (fresh-ET), the number of children conceived by frozen embryo transfer (frozen-ET) surged over the last decade, now comprising >50% of all children born after ART in many high-income countries (3–6).

Perinatal loss, whether stillbirth or neonatal death, is a traumatic outcome for expecting couples and often associated with preterm birth, congenital malformations, and placental complications (7-11). Studies show an overall high risk of perinatal death and of stillbirth after any ART compared with singletons conceived without medical assistance (12-14). Only one previous cohort study has separated perinatal mortality into stillbirth and neonatal death, and found high risk of both stillbirth and neonatal death after any ART vs. conceptions without medical assistance (15). Investigating risk of stillbirth and neonatal death in the same population is important, as neonatal death also reflects early neonatal care in addition to intra and antepartum factors (16). Moreover, guidelines for antepartum surveillance and induction of labor are often based on the risk of stillbirth rather than neonatal death (1, 17, 18).

Further, the increasing use of frozen-ET highlights the need to differentiate between different types of ART when assessing risk of stillbirth and neonatal death, which could not be performed in most previous studies (13–15, 19, 20). Two small cohort studies have indicated high crude risk of neonatal death after frozen-ET compared with fresh-ET (21, 22). A cohort study of births in the Nordic countries between 1988 and 2007, matched on parity and year of birth, found that singletons conceived by frozen-ET had similar risks of stillbirth and neonatal death compared with fresh-ET, but higher risk of neonatal death compared with singletons conceived with singletons conceived by frozen-ET had similar formed with fresh-ET.

Singletons conceived after both fresh-ET and frozen-ET are at an increased risk of adverse perinatal outcomes, including preterm birth (1, 17, 18), which is a major contributor to neonatal death (8, 24). It is not known whether pregnancies after fresh-ET and pregnancies after frozen-ET are at high risk

of stillbirth or neonatal death during specific gestational ages or to what extent their increased risk of preterm birth contributes to neonatal mortality. An Australian study reported reduced perinatal mortality in ART-conceived born <32 weeks compared with the singletons conceived without medical assistance, despite an increased overall risk of stillbirth and neonatal death among ART-conceived (20). Conversely, in the previously mentioned Nordic, matched cohort, an increased risk of stillbirth among singletons conceived by any ART was found before 28 weeks' gestation, and a similar risk of overall stillbirth, but an increased risk of neonatal death (19). These apparently conflicting results may be because of the differences in analytical approaches, study populations, and outcome definitions.

In this study we compared the risk of stillbirth and neonatal death in singletons born after fresh-ET and frozen-ET to singletons born without medical assistance, in a large Nordic population of births between 1988 and 2015. We also estimated the associated risk according to gestational age.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS Data Sources and Study Variables

This study is based on the Committee of Nordic ART and Safety (CoNARTaS) cohort which includes the data on all births registered in the nationwide Medical Birth Registries in Denmark (1994-2014), Norway (1984-2015), and Sweden (1985-2015) (4). All ART conceptions were identified through data linkage with the national ART registries and databases, using the unique national identity number assigned to each resident. The registration of ART-conception began at different times in each country. In Denmark, all ART cycles were registered in the national ART registry from 1994, including public and private clinics (25). From 1984, public and private ART clinics in Norway reported to the Medical Birth Registry for all ART cycles that resulted in pregnancy, which was verified by ultrasound examination at gestational week 6-7. In Sweden, the National Board of Health and Welfare received information on all deliveries after ART from 1982 to 2006, and since 2007, the National Quality Registry for Assisted Reproduction collects information on all ART cycles.

Exposures were fresh-ETs or frozen-ETs. Pregnancies with no ART registration were considered as conceptions without medical assistance. Our main outcomes were stillbirth and neonatal death, as defined by the Medical Birth Registry in each country. Until April 2004 in Denmark and July 2008 in Sweden, stillbirths were defined as deliveries at  $\geq 28 + 0$  weeks' gestation with fetal death before or during delivery; thereafter, the definition was expanded to include deliveries  $\geq 22 + 0$  weeks. Norway registered stillbirths delivered at  $\geq 22 + 0$  weeks throughout the study period. Live births were registered at any gestational age throughout the study period in all countries. Neonatal death was defined as a liveborn who died within 0–27 days after birth (26).

For pregnancies conceived without medical assistance, gestational age was estimated from first (Denmark) or second (Norway and Sweden) trimester ultrasound examination, when available, otherwise last menstrual period was used. For ART pregnancies, gestational age was estimated from embryo transfer date in Sweden. In Denmark and Norway, gestational age was estimated from ultrasound examination or transfer date if ultrasound data were missing. We categorized gestational age as 22–27 weeks (extremely preterm), 28–31 weeks (very preterm), 32–36 weeks (moderate to late preterm), 37–41 weeks (term), and 42–44 weeks (postterm) (27). We used Marsal's equations for intrauterine growth to estimate z-scores of birth weights where one standard deviation was set to 11% of the expected birth weight according to sex and gestational age in days (28).

Maternal height and prepregnancy or first trimester weight were registered from 2007 in Norway, 2004 in Denmark, and throughout the study period in Sweden, apart from in 1990 and 1991. Maternal body mass index was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared. Maternal smoking habits were registered from 1999 in Norway and during the entire study period in Denmark and Sweden and were categorized as any vs. no smoking during pregnancy. The number of embryos transferred in ART-conceived pregnancies was recorded in all countries during the entire study period. Culture duration in days was recorded from 1994 in Denmark, 2011 in Norway, and 1985 in Sweden, and categorized as day 2-3 (cleavage stage embryo) and 5-6 (blastocyst). Placental complications included placental abruption, placenta previa, and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy as described previously (29).

Causes of death were available from the Cause of Death Registry in each country for the liveborn population. Causes of neonatal death were categorized as recommended by the World Health Organization (30).

#### **Study Populations**

The first delivery after frozen-ET was registered in 1988. Eligibility was defined as all singleton deliveries in 1988 or later, with known conception method and parity, by women who gave their first birth during the study period (Fig. 1). Additional eligibility criteria were maternal age 20–45 years and no more than the first 4 deliveries for each mother, as very few mothers who conceived by ART had >4 deliveries. For analyses of stillbirth, we included stillborn and live born singletons  $\geq$  22 weeks from Norway throughout the study period, whereas from Denmark and Sweden we included stillborn and live born  $\geq$  28 weeks until April 2004 and July 2008, respectively; thereafter, all stillborn and live born  $\geq$  22 weeks (Fig. 1, sample A). No birth weight restrictions were applied in the analyses of stillbirth (31). In total 4,590,853 singletons, including 78,642 after fresh-ET and 18,094 after frozen-ET were included in the main analyses for stillbirth.

To analyze neonatal death, we included only live born singletons with gestational age 22–44 weeks and excluded singletons with extreme (<300g,  $\geq$ 6500g, or z-score  $\geq$ 6) or missing birthweights (Fig. 1, sample B). In total 4,510,790 infants were included in the main analyses for neonatal death, with 78,095 singletons conceived after fresh-ET and 17,990 after frozen-ET.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

We used random effects logistic models to compare the outcomes across conception methods, with pregnancies as one level and mothers as another, estimating odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). To increase the interpretability, we used post estimation commands to obtain risk differences. Potential confounders were factors previously shown to influence both stillbirth or neonatal death and the need for ART. Based on available information, we made the following adjustments: year of birth (continuous), country, maternal age (continuous), and parity.

We examined the consistency of our results by repeating the analyses in samples with recorded maternal weight, height, and smoking status, where we adjusted for body mass index (BMI), height, and smoking status; primiparous women only; ART conceptions restricted to single embryo transfer; and ART conceptions restricted to blastocyst transfer and pregnancies conceived without medical assistance restricted to birth years when blastocyst culture was recorded. For stillbirths, we repeated the analyses in a sample restricted to deliveries at  $\geq$  28 weeks to examine the potential impact of different definitions of stillbirth. To facilitate comparison with other studies, we also analyzed early neonatal deaths, defined as live born children who died within 0–6 days after birth (23, 32, 33). Finally, we estimated country-specific associations.

To investigate whether conception method modified the impact of gestational age on risk of stillbirth and neonatal death, we repeated analyses within categories of gestational age. For stillbirth, we used "fetuses at risk" as the denominator (i.e., all pregnancies at risk of stillbirth at the start of a given gestational age interval), with logistic models for categorical estimates and survival analysis for continuous gestational age (34). For neonatal death, the denominator was singletons born alive during the given interval, with logistic models for categories and single weeks of gestational age. To quantify the impact of conception method on selection into the liveborn population, we repeated the main and gestational age specific analyses using fetuses at risk as the denominator (further described in Supplemental Material, available online) (16).

## EPIDEMIOLOGY

## FIGURE 1



Flow chart of the study population: eligibility and exclusion criteria and numbers included in the main analysis samples. Z-score of birthweights was calculated according to gestational age (in days) and sex.

Westvik-Johari. Risk of death in fresh or frozen transfer. Fertil Steril 2022.

#### **Ethical Considerations**

In Denmark, ethical approval is not required for anonymized registry-based research. In Norway, ethical approval was given by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics (REK-Nor: 2010/1909-1-24, 14398). In Sweden, approval was obtained from the ethical committee in Gothenburg (Dnr 214–12, T422-12, T516-15, T233-16, T300-17, T1144-17, and T121-18).

#### RESULTS

Table 1 describes our total study population, stillbirths, and neonatal deaths. Stillbirth occurred in 17,123 pregnancies (0.37%), whereas 7,685 of all liveborn singletons died during the neonatal period (0.17%). Women who experienced

stillbirth or neonatal death had high mean BMI, were more often primiparous, and less likely to have received single embryo transfer.

Compared with the pregnancies conceived without medical assistance, pregnancies after fresh-ET and frozen-ET were at high risk of preterm birth (<37 weeks, 8.1% and 6.6% vs. 5.0%). In all conception groups, preterm birth was substantially more common in cases of stillbirth and neonatal death. Malformations were also more common among infants who died neonatally, with high prevalence among singletons born without medical assistance, than after fresh-ET and frozen-ET. For stillbirths, the reported prevalence of malformations was low across all conception methods, possibly owing to the autopsy reports being completed after birth reports were sent to the Medical Birth Registries. Placental

## TABLE 1

#### Characteristics of the total study population, and for stillbirths and neonatal deaths (0-27 days) separately

	Т	otal population		Stillbirths			Neonatal deaths		
Characteristic <sup>a</sup>	Natural conception	Fresh-ET	Frozen-ET	Natural conception	Fresh-ET	Frozen-ET	Natural conception	Fresh-ET	Frozen-ET
Participants <sup>b</sup>	4,494,117 (97.9)	78,642 (1.7)	18,094 (0.4)	16,701 (0.37)	357 (0.45)	65 (0.36)	7,439 (0.17)	210 (0.27)	36 (0.20)
Mean maternal age, y (SD)	29.6 (4.8)	33.8 (4.2)	34.3 (4.1)	29.9 (5.2)	33.5 (4.3)	34.2 (3.8)	29.3 (5.0)	33.9 (4.1)	34.9 (4.7)
Primiparity	1,303,268 (51.3)	59,188 (75.3)	10,493 (58.0)	9,615 (57.6)	302 (84.6)	57 (87.7)	4,284 (57.6)	163 (77.6)	24 (66.7)
Mean maternal BMI in kg/m <sup>2</sup> (SD)	24.2 (4.5)	24.2 (4.1)	24.2 (4.0)	25.6 (5.3)	25.5 (4.6)	25.3 (3.7)	25.1 (5.2)	25.2 (4.4)	25.7 (4.2)
Maternal smoking <sup>c</sup>	451,931 (12.0)	4,070 (5.7)	542 (3.2)	2,170 (17.9)	32 (10.7)	0	948 (17.0)	13 (7.8)	_d
Small for gestational age <sup>c, e</sup>	165,729 (3.7)	4,224 (5.4)	532 (3.0)	4,336 (26.0)	87 (24.4)	12 (18.5)	1,889 (25.4)	49 (23.3)	9 (25.0)
Large for gestational age <sup>c, f</sup>	200,256 (4.5)	2,841 (3.6)	1,172 (6.5)	542 (3.3)	6 (1.7)	5 (7.7)	405 (5.4)	12 (5.7)	_d í
Preterm birth <37 wk c	221,006 (5.0)	6,335 (8.1)	1,198 (6.6)	8,522 (55.0)	217 (62.4)	32 (49.2)	4,474 (60.1)	158 (75.2)	26 (72.2)
Very preterm birth <32 wk <sup>c</sup>	30,899 (0.70)	1,179 (1.50)	216 (1.2)	4,924 (31.8)	143 (41.1)	22 (33.9)	3,086 (41.5)	128 (61.0)	21 (58.3)
Extremely preterm birth <28 wk <sup>c</sup>	8,123 (0.18)	355 (0.45)	81 (0.45)	2,664 (17.2)	110 (31.6)	16 (24.6)	2,196 (29.5)	99 (47.1)	17 (47.2)
Malformations, any	188,030 (4.2)	4,541 (5.7)	862 (4.8)	756 (4.5)	11 (3.1)	3 (4.6)	2,531 (34.0)	57 (27.1)	7 (19.4)
Sex, girls	2,183,621 (48.6)	38,404 (48.8)	8,853 (48.9)	7,487 (44.8)	145 (40.6)	42 (64.6)	3,165 (42.6)	98 (46.7)	21 (58.3)
Induction of delivery	577,707 (12.9)	14,841 (18.9)	4,524 (25.0)	9,580 (57.4)	200 (56.0)	32 (49.2)	960 (12.9)	28 (13.3)	4 (11.1)
Cesarean section	679,474 (15.1)	19,943 (25.4)	5,143 (28.4)	1,595 (9.6)	34 (9.5)	5 (7.7)	3,288 (44.2)	115 (54.8)	18 (50.0)
Placental disorders <sup>9</sup>	222,752 (5.0)	6,543 (8.3)	1,601 (8.9)	2,110 (12.6)	48 (13.5)	5 (7.7)	1,222 (16.4)	48 (22.9)	_d
Fertilization by ICSI <sup>c</sup>	-	32,377 (42.0)	6,631 (40.2)		132 (37.7)	24 (44.4)	-	72 (34.8)	5 (16.1)
Blastocyst culture <sup>c</sup>	-	4,462 (5.7)	3,762 (20.8)	-	18 (5.0)	9 (13.9)	-	11 (6.3)	6 (19.4)
Single embryo transfer <sup>c</sup>	-	37,192 (53.7)	11,620 (72.2)	-	143 (44.4)	30 (57.7)	-	72 (39.3)	21 (72.4)

Note:

σ

<sup>a</sup> Number of observations (%), with percentages calculated from the total number of participants in each column, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>b</sup> Percentages sum up to 100 across conception method in total population, but are occurrences of stillbirth and neonatal death within conception method (% of total population).

<sup>c</sup> Percentages were calculated after excluding observations with missing data.

<sup>d</sup> Number of observations could not be presented because of data privacy (https://www.dst.dk/ext/formid/dataconfidentiality-pdf)

<sup>6</sup> Birth weight <-22%. <sup>6</sup> birth weight >+22% of expected mean according to sex and gestational age, as defined by Marsal K, et al. (28) <sup>9</sup> Placental abruption, placenta previa and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy, as defined in Petersen et al. (29)BMI = body mass index; ET = embryo transfer; ICSIV = intracytoplasmic sperm injection; SD = standard deviation.

Westvik-Johari. Risk of death in fresh or frozen transfer. Fertil Steril 2022.

#### **EPIDEMIOLOGY**

complications were more common after fresh and frozen-ET compared with pregnancies conceived without medical assistance. This pattern was similar but with higher incidence in pregnancies ending in stillbirth or neonatal death. The main causes of neonatal death reported to the Cause of Death Registry were malformations, maternal conditions, and preterm birth, where the latter 2 were more common after ART-conception (Supplemental Table 1, available online).

We found no clear association between conception method and risk of stillbirth, whereas neonatal mortality was higher after both fresh and frozen-ET compared with singletons born without medical assistance (Table 2). Adjustment for available confounders had little impact on the associations. Results from sensitivity analyses supported those from the main analyses (Fig. 2) (Supplemental Tables 2 and 3). There was some heterogeneity in the point estimates for stillbirth, where both fresh-ETs and frozen-ETs in Sweden had lower risk than the pregnancies conceived without medical assistance, but with no conclusive evidence of high risk in Denmark and Norway (Supplemental Table 4). For neonatal mortality, associations were similar across all countries.

In the analyses according to gestational age, the absolute risk of stillbirth was highest in term (37-41 weeks) gestations, when most deliveries occurred (Table 3) (Supplemental Fig. 1, available online). For singletons born after fresh-ET, risk of stillbirth was higher than that for singletons born without medical assistance in 22-27 weeks gestation, and thereafter similar, although with wide CIs. Risk in pregnancies after frozen-ET did not clearly differ from that in pregnancies conceived without medical assistance at any gestational ages; however, precision was low. Neonatal mortality (Table 3) (Supplemental Fig. 2) was highest for live births at 22-27 weeks (fresh-ET 24.8%, frozen-ET 21.0%, and without medical assistance 25.3%) and declined steeply with increasing gestational age to the lowest observed risk for term live births (37-41 weeks, fresh-ET, and frozen-ET 0.06% and singletons conceived without medical assistance 0.07%). However, for each gestational period the risk of neonatal death was similar for fresh and frozen-ET compared with singletons conceived without

medical assistance, apart from a high risk postterm for fresh-ET, although with wide CIs.

#### DISCUSSION Principal Findings

Compared with singletons born without medical assistance, singletons conceived after fresh-ET and frozen-ET had an overall similar risk of stillbirth, but a higher risk of neonatal death. Apart from a higher risk of stillbirth in pregnancies after fresh-ET at weeks 22–27, we found no clear differences in associations for fresh-ET and frozen-ET. The higher risk of neonatal death after both fresh and frozen-ET might be attributed to a high risk of preterm birth in ART pregnancies.

#### **Comparisons to Other Studies**

The lack of association between conception method and risk of stillbirth in our study is in contrast to the results from a population-based study from the Netherlands, where a nearly doubled risk of stillbirth was found for any ART (n = 19,896) compared with pregnancies conceived without medical assistance (n = 999,050; OR, 1.94; 95% CI 1.54–2.44) (15). A meta-analysis comparing 68,274 ART-conceived and 3,570,990 conceived without medical assistance, mainly from cohort studies, also found higher odds of stillbirth after ART (OR, 1.41; 95% CI, 1.20–1.65) (14). Previous observations from the Nordic countries, overlapping our study population with births from 1988 to 2007, indicated similar risk of stillbirth for both frozen-ET (n = 6,647) and fresh-ET (n = 42,242) compared with conceptions without medical assistance (n = 288,542) (23).

Our results are consistent with the limited number of previous studies on conception method and neonatal mortality, showing high neonatal mortality after any ART (15, 19, 23), but do not support previous observations of high neonatal mortality after frozen-ET compared with fresh-ET (21–23).

To our knowledge no previous studies assessed whether pregnancies after fresh-ET and frozen-ET were more vulnerable to stillbirth or neonatal death at specific gestational

### TABLE 2

Risk of stillbirth and neonatal death by conception method in main analyses samples									
	Numbers	Risk <sup>a</sup> , %	RD (95%CI) <sup>a</sup> , <i>pp</i>	RD (95% CI) <sup>b</sup> , <i>pp</i>	OR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	OR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>			
Stillbirth									
Pregnancies without medical assistance	4,494,117	0.37	0	0	1	1			
Fresh-ET	78,642	0.45	0.08 (0.03 to 0.13)	0.02 (-0.02 to 0.06)	1.22 (1.10 to 1.36)	1.05 (0.94 to 1.17)			
Frozen-ET	18,094	0.36	-0.01 (-0.10 to 0.07)	-0.03 (-0.11 to 0.06)	0.96 (0.75 to 1.23)	0.92 (0.72 to 1.18)			
Neonatal death (0–27 days)									
Pregnancies without medical assistance	4,414,705	0.17	0	0	1	1			
Fresh-ET	78,095	0.27	0.10 (0.06 to 0.14)	0.11 (0.07 to 0.15)	1.60 (1.39 to 1.84)	1.69 (1.46 to 1.95)			
Frozen-ET	17,990	0.20	0.03 (-0.03 to 0.10)	0.08 (0.00 to 0.16)	1.18 (0.85 to 1.65)	1.51 (1.08 to 2.10)			
<i>Note:</i> $RD = risk$ difference; $pp = percenta$	age points; Adj. = a	adjusted; $CI = c$	onfidence interval; OR = odds	ratio.					

<sup>b</sup> Adjusted for maternal age, parity, country, offspring year of birth.

Westvik-Johari. Risk of death in fresh or frozen transfer. Fertil Steril 2022.

#### Fertility and Sterility®

#### FIGURE 2



Odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals for stillbirth (upper panel) and neonatal death (lower panel) according to conception method and analysis sample. Reference is conceptions without medical assistance. Adjusted for maternal age, parity (if applicable), country, year of birth. Analyses in the sample titled "BMI and smoking" are additionally adjusted for maternal body mass index, height and smoking during pregnancy. *Westvik-Johari. Risk of death in fresh or frozen transfer. Fertil Steril 2022.* 

ages. An Australian study compared pregnancies after any ART (n = 15,416) to pregnancies conceived without medical assistance (n = 391,952) and found lower perinatal mortality <32 weeks (20). However, they did not use a "fetuses at risk" approach and further differed from our study by including

births and terminations  $\geq$  20 weeks gestation. A Danish study showed higher risk of stillbirth at term after ART than for pregnancies conceived without medical assistance. This study included only uncomplicated pregnancies which increased the risk of selection bias (35). Rather our findings support a

## TABLE 3

00

Risk of stillbirth and neonatal death according to conception method and gestational age at birth.

	Stillbirth						Neonatal deaths					
	Deaths, n	Pregnancies at risk, n	Risk <sup>a</sup> , %	OR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	OR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	Deaths, n	Live births, n	Risk <sup>a</sup> %	OR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	OR (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>		
Gestational age 22–27 wk												
Pregnancies without medical assistance	2,664	2,454,283	0.11	1	1	2,196	8,692	25.3	1	1		
Fresh-ET	110	51,333	0.21	2.00 (1.64–2.44)	1.85 (1.51–2.27)	99	400	24.8	0.97 (0.77-1.23)	1.04 (0.82–1.33)		
Frozen-ET	16	13,991	0.11	1.05 (0.64–1.73)	1.12 (0.68–1.86)	17	81	21.0	0.78 (0.45–1.35)	1.04 (0.60–1.80)		
Gestational age 28–31 wk												
Pregnancies without medical assistance	2,260	4,430,654	0.05	1	1	890	19,599	4.6	1	1		
Fresh-ET	33	78,158	0.04	0.83 (0.59–1.17)	0.77 (0.54–1.09)	29	774	3.8	0.75 (0.45–1.23)	1.06 (0.66–1.72)		
Frozen-ET	6	17,999	0.03	0.65 (0.29–1.46)	0.71 (0.32–1.60)	4	127	3.2	0.56 (0.15–2.06)	1.00 (0.29–3.46)		
Gestational age 32–36 wk												
Pregnancies without medical assistance	3,598	4,407,878	0.08	1	1	1,388	184,911	0.8	1	1		
Fresh-ET	74	77,334	0.10	1.17 (0.93–1.48)	1.16 (0.92–1.47)	30	5,051	0.6	0.77 (0.52–1.15)	1.09 (0.73–1.63)		
Frozen-ET	10	17,864	0.06	0.69 (0.37–1.28)	0.74 (0.40–1.38)	5	967	0.5	0.67 (0.26–1.73)	0.99 (0.38–2.58)		
Gestational age 37–41 wk												
Pregnancies without medical assistance	6,416	4,217,771	0.15	1	1	2,142	3,012,703	0.07	1	1		
Fresh-ET	121	72,178	0.17	1.10 (0.91–1.32)	0.91 (0.76–1.09)	32	53,201	0.06	0.85 (0.60–1.20)	0.95 (0.66–1.35)		
Frozen-ET	30	16,882	0.18	1.17 (0.81–1.67)	1.05 (0.73–1.51)	7	11,660	0.06	0.85 (0.40–1.78)	1.14 (0.53–2.40)		
Gestational age 42–44 wks												
Pregnancies without medical assistance	560	334,559	0.17	1	1	311	332,933	0.1	1	1		
Fresh-ET	8	4,413	0.18	1.09 (0.49-2.40)	0.85 (0.39-1.87)	8	4,396	0.2	1.95 (0.97-3.94)	2.08 (1.01-4.30)		
Frozen-ET	3	1,332	0.23	1.40 (0.38–5.13)	1.35 (0.37–4.89)	0	1,324	0	-	-		

<sup>a</sup> Unadjusted. <sup>b</sup> Adjusted for maternal age, parity, country, offspring year of birth.

*Note:* n = numbers; CI = confidence interval; <math>OR = odds ratio.

Westvik-Johari. Risk of death in fresh or frozen transfer. Fertil Steril 2022.

previous Nordic study, where the study population overlaps ours until 2007 (19), and a higher risk of stillbirth during week 22–27 was found for any ART (n = 62,485) compared with the pregnancies conceived without medical assistance(n = 362,798). Our study adds to this observation by indicating that the higher risk may only apply to fresh-ET. This finding is also consistent with the results from 2 randomized trials comparing fresh-ET and frozen-ET, where a reduced risk of second trimester stillbirth was found in pregnancies after frozen-ET (36, 37).

In the early years of our study period, slow freeze was the standard method of cryopreservation that was gradually replaced by vitrification from around 2008. In parallel culture duration shifted from cleavage stage to blastocyst before embryo freezing. A comparison of singletons from slow-frozen cleavage stage embryos vs. vitrified blastocysts in Denmark and Sweden showed no difference in perinatal or neonatal death, but with very limited precision (38). Our sensitivity analyses restricted to blastocyst transfers are in line with those observations.

We had no data on endometrial preparation in frozen-ET. Previous studies indicate low risk of hypertensive disorders in pregnancies with no endometrial preparation (natural cycles) (39), but similar risks of both stillbirth and neonatal death in pregnancies conceived in natural, programmed, and stimulated frozen-ET cycles (39, 40)

#### Implications

Despite the high risk of adverse perinatal outcomes in ART pregnancies, including preterm birth, low birth weight, and placental complications as shown previously in this study population (18, 29, 41), we found no increased risk of stillbirth in ART-conceived pregnancies. In contrast, neonatal mortality was higher after both fresh and frozen-ET compared with singletons conceived without medical assistance; however, the high risk attenuated in analyses according to the gestational age. Preterm birth is a strong risk factor for neonatal death and our results suggest that the high risk of preterm birth after ART may strongly contribute to the increased risk of neonatal death (18, 42). Identifying women at risk of preterm birth may therefore provide an important means of reducing neonatal mortality associated with ART, as well as neonatal morbidity and other long term, adverse health consequences associated with preterm birth (16, 42).

In the country-specific estimations (Supplemental Table 6) we found that Sweden showed an overall low prevalence and risk of stillbirth among fresh-ET and frozen-ET pregnancies compared with pregnancies conceived without medical assistance. The underlying mechanisms for this may be many; however, in 2003, Sweden introduced a policy of single embryo transfer, and it would be interesting to know if such a policy has the potential to improve the overall perinatal outcomes as well as to prevent the cases of stillbirth.

Early in our study period, women with ART conceptions had more antenatal visits than women conceiving without medical assistance (43, 44). However, the existing antenatal care programs in the Nordic countries do not target ART conceptions or subfertility directly, including screening for placental complications.

Future studies should investigate whether indicators of infertility may improve risk stratification of pregnancies beyond current guidelines.

For couples with infertility and clinicians, the similar associations for fresh-ET and frozen-ET do not support the preference of one method over the other to prevent stillbirth or neonatal death.

#### **Strengths and Limitations**

To our knowledge, so far this is the largest study of stillbirth and neonatal mortality after fresh-ET and frozen-ET compared with singletons conceived without medical assistance, including an additional 51,000 ART singletons born between 2008 and 2014 or 2015 compared with previous Nordic registry studies (19, 23). The sensitivity analyses collectively strengthened the validity and did not support the results that were attributed to other treatment characteristics, changes in registration practice, or to maternal factors such as BMI and smoking. However, residual confounding from socioeconomic status or causes of infertility cannot be excluded. Several causes of female infertility, such as endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome, are associated with adverse perinatal outcomes and may contribute to perinatal loss, directly or through a high risk of preterm birth (45-47). Data on causes of infertility were too limited to allow further analyses. In Nordic clinics, male factor was the main indication for intracytoplasmic sperm injection for most of the study period (4), and previous Nordic studies have used intracytoplasmic sperm injection fertilization as a proxy for male factor infertility (41, 48). However, the reduced number of events did not permit further stratification on fertilization method. Several studies show that perinatal outcomes are affected by the composition of culture media (49, 50). Unfortunately, we had no data on which culture media were used; however, these have varied over time and between clinics. Hence, differences in exposure to culture media between fresh and frozen embryos cannot be excluded.

The national identity number ensured that reliable data linkage and reporting to the registries is mandatory for all the participating countries (51). Data on conception method and pregnancy outcomes are collected independently from ART clinics and delivery institutions, respectively, thereby minimizing differential misclassification.

Because gestational age is an intermediate factor between ART-conception and neonatal death, interpretation of our analyses of neonatal death according to gestational age requires careful consideration (52). In terms of prediction (16), our results suggest that infants conceived after fresh-ET or frozen-ET are equally vulnerable to the impact of preterm birth as pregnancies conceived without medical assistance. In terms of mechanisms, they suggest that high neonatal mortality might be attributed to the high risk of preterm birth in ARTconceived pregnancies. Importantly, this interpretation depends on no unmeasured confounding between gestational age and neonatal death (53), and no differential selection

#### **EPIDEMIOLOGY**

forces of ART on fetal survival (34). These assumptions are likely not met as for example placental complications could be common causes of preterm birth and neonatal death, but also be affected by ART-conception (29, 54). Although we could not determine the causes and course of delivery, high proportions of cesarean section for ART compared with natural conception in cases of neonatal death, suggest that ART-conceived pregnancies were more often delivered on medical indication.

Despite including data from 3 countries, statistical power was limited. Estimates according to gestational age had low precision owing to the stratification itself, but also because stillbirths often had missing data on other factors, including gestational age.

It should be noted that neonatal death and stillbirth rates are low in all Nordic countries, even when compared with other high-income countries (27, 55, 56). Further, owing to public funding of ART treatment combined with widespread availability in all Nordic countries, the couples' socioeconomic background may influence access to ART less than that in other settings. Currently, births after ARTconception comprise approximately 5% of birth cohorts in the Nordic countries, but despite this high availability of treatment, long duration of infertility among treated couples suggests that treatment indications are not unusually liberal (57-59). Lastly, perinatal care is standardized and free of charge, with high adherence among pregnant women. These similarities between the Nordic countries strengthen the arguments to pool data across countries (51), but may limit generalizability to other societies.

#### **CONCLUSION**

Our results suggest that singletons conceived by fresh-ETs and frozen-ETs are not at an overall higher risk of stillbirth compared with pregnancies conceived without medical conceptions, although singletons after fresh-ET may be at higher risk in gestational week 22–27. Both types of ART-conception have a higher risk of neonatal death, possibly mediated by preterm birth. The similarity of results between fresh-ET and frozen-ET treatment, indicate that for couples in need of ART, reassurance can be provided to ensure that a frozen-ET is unlikely to increase the risk of stillbirth and neonatal mortality compared with fresh-ET (and vice versa).

#### REFERENCES

- Qin JB, Sheng XQ, Wu D, Gao SY, You YP, Yang TB, et al. Worldwide prevalence of adverse pregnancy outcomes among singleton pregnancies after in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2017;295:285–301.
- 2. ESHRE Fact Sheet; 2020. Available at: Resources (eshre.eu). Accessed October 2022.
- de Mouzon J, Chambers GM, Zegers-Hochschild F, Mansour R, Ishihara O, Banker M, et al. International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies world report: assisted reproductive technology 2012<sup>†</sup>. Hum Reprod 2020;35:1900–13.
- Opdahl S, Henningsen AA, Bergh C, Gissler M, Romundstad LB, Petzold M, et al. Data resource profile: the Committee of Nordic Assisted Reproductive Technology and Safety (CoNARTaS) cohort. Int J Epidemiol 2020;49:365– 366f.

- Ferility Clinic and national summary report 2019. Available at: https://www. cdc.gov/art/reports/2019/pdf/2019-Report-ART-Fertility-Clinic-National-Su mmary-h.pdf. Accessed October 2022.
- 2019 Assisted Reproductive Technology (ART) Fertility Clinic and National Summary Report. Available at: https://www.cdc.gov/art/reports/2019/pdf/ 2019-Report-ART-Fertility-Clinic-National-Summary-h.pdf. Accessed October 2022.
- Flenady V, Koopmans L, Middleton P, Frøen JF, Smith GC, Gibbons K, et al. Major risk factors for stillbirth in high-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet 2011;377:1331–40.
- Lehtonen L, Gimeno A, Parra-Llorca A, Vento M. Early neonatal death: a challenge worldwide. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med 2017;22:153–60.
- Liu C, Lodge J, Flatley C, Gooi A, Ward C, Eagleson K, et al. Obstetric and perinatal outcomes in pregnancies with isolated foetal congenital heart abnormalities. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2019;32:2985–92.
- Agrawal A, Wenger NK. Hypertension during pregnancy. Curr Hypertens Rep 2020;22:64.
- Downes KL, Grantz KL, Shenassa ED. Maternal, labor, delivery, and perinatal outcomes associated with placental abruption: a systematic review. Am J Perinatol 2017;34:935–57.
- Sha T, Yin X, Cheng W, Massey IY. Pregnancy-related complications and perinatal outcomes resulting from transfer of cryopreserved versus fresh embryos in vitro fertilization: a meta-analysis. Fertil Steril 2018;109:330–42.e9.
- Maheshwari A, Pandey S, Amalraj Raja E, Shetty A, Hamilton M, Bhattacharya S. Is frozen embryo transfer better for mothers and babies? Can cumulative meta-analysis provide a definitive answer? Hum Reprod Update 2018;24:35–58.
- Wong K, Carson KR, Crane J. Risk of stillbirth in singleton gestations following in vitro methods of conception: a systematic review and metaanalysis. BJOG 2021;128:1563–72.
- Ombelet W, Martens G, Bruckers L. Pregnant after assisted reproduction: a risk pregnancy is born! 18-years perinatal outcome results from a population-based registry in Flanders, Belgium. Facts Views Vis Obgyn 2016;8:193–204.
- Harmon QE, Basso O, Weinberg CR, Wilcox AJ. Two denominators for one numerator: the example of neonatal mortality. Eur J Epidemiol 2018;33: 523–30.
- Bu Z, Zhang J, Hu L, Sun Y. Preterm birth in assisted reproductive technology: an analysis of more than 20,000 singleton newborns. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2020;11:558819.
- Westvik-Johari K, Romundstad LB, Lawlor DA, Bergh C, Gissler M, Henningsen AA, et al. Separating parental and treatment contributions to perinatal health after fresh and frozen embryo transfer in assisted reproduction: a cohort study with within-sibship analysis. PLoS Med 2021;18:e1003683.
- Henningsen AA, Wennerholm UB, Gissler M, Romundstad LB, Nygren KG, Tiitinen A, et al. Risk of stillbirth and infant deaths after assisted reproductive technology: a Nordic study from the CoNARTaS group. Hum Reprod 2014; 29:1090–6.
- Chughtai AA, Wang AY, Hilder L, Li Z, Lui K, Farquhar C, et al. Gestational age-specific perinatal mortality rates for assisted reproductive technology (ART) and other births. Hum Reprod 2018;33:320–7.
- Roy TK, Bradley CK, Bowman MC, McArthur SJ. Single-embryo transfer of vitrified-warmed blastocysts yields equivalent live-birth rates and improved neonatal outcomes compared with fresh transfers. Fertil Steril 2014;101: 1294–301.
- Shi W, Xue X, Zhang S, Zhao W, Liu S, Zhou H, et al. Perinatal and neonatal outcomes of 494 babies delivered from 972 vitrified embryo transfers. Fertil Steril 2012;97:1338–42.
- 23. Wennerholm UB, Henningsen AK, Romundstad LB, Bergh C, Pinborg A, Skjaerven R, et al. Perinatal outcomes of children born after frozenthawed embryo transfer: a Nordic cohort study from the CoNARTaS group. Hum Reprod 2013;28:2545–53.
- Lawn JE, Cousens S, Zupan J. Lancet Neonatal Survival Steering Team.
  4 million neonatal deaths: when? Where? Why? Lancet 2005;365: 891–900.
- Andersen AN, Westergaard HB, Olsen J. The Danish in vitro fertilisation (IVF) register. Dan Med Bull 1999;46:357–60.

#### Fertility and Sterility®

- Barfield WD. Standard terminology for fetal, infant, and perinatal deaths. Pediatrics 2016;137:e20160551.
- Preterm birth World Health Organization; 2018 [updated 19 February 2018. Fact Sheet]. Available at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/ detail/preterm-birth. Accessed October 2022.
- Marsál K, Persson PH, Larsen T, Lilja H, Selbing A, Sultan B. Intrauterine growth curves based on ultrasonically estimated foetal weights. Acta Paediatr 1996;85:843–8.
- Petersen SH, Bergh C, Gissler M, Åsvold BO, Romundstad LB, Tiitinen A, et al. Time trends in placenta-mediated pregnancy complications after assisted reproductive technology in the Nordic countries. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2020;223:226.e1–19.
- International Stillbirth Alliance Collaborative for Improving Classification of Perinatal Deaths, Flenady V, Wojcieszek AM, Ellwood D, Leisher SH, Erwich JJHM, et al. Classification of causes and associated conditions for stillbirths and neonatal deaths. Semin Fetal Neonatal Med 2017;22:176–85.
- Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Waiswa P, Amouzou A, Mathers C, Hogan D, et al. Stillbirths: rates, risk factors, and acceleration towards 2030. Lancet 2016; 387:587–603.
- 32. Huang J, Xie Q, Lin J, Lu X, Wang N, Gao H, et al. Neonatal outcomes and congenital malformations in children born after dydrogesterone application in progestin-primed ovarian stimulation protocol for IVF: a retrospective cohort study. Drug Des Dev Ther 2019;13:2553–63.
- 33. Gupta R, Sardana P, Arora P, Banker J, Shah S, Banker M. Maternal and neonatal complications in twin deliveries as compared to singleton deliveries following in vitro fertilization. J Hum Reprod Sci 2020;13:56–64.
- Snowden JM, Bovbjerg ML, Dissanayake M, Basso O. The curse of the perinatal epidemiologist: inferring causation amidst selection. Curr Epidemiol Rep 2018;5:379–87.
- Bay B, Boie S, Kesmodel US. Risk of stillbirth in low-risk singleton term pregnancies following fertility treatment: a national cohort study. BJOG 2019; 126:253–60.
- **36.** Shi Y, Sun Y, Hao C, Zhang H, Wei D, Zhang Y, et al. Transfer of fresh versus frozen embryos in ovulatory women. N Engl J Med 2018;378:126–36.
- Chen ZJ, Shi Y, Sun Y, Zhang B, Liang X, Cao Y, et al. Fresh versus frozen embryos for infertility in the polycystic ovary syndrome. N Engl J Med 2016;375:523–33.
- Ginström Ernstad E, Spangmose AL, Opdahl S, Henningsen AA, Romundstad LB, Tiitinen A, et al. Perinatal and maternal outcome after vitrification of blastocysts: a Nordic study in singletons from the CoNARTaS group. Hum Reprod 2019;34:2282–9.
- Ginström Ernstad E, Wennerholm UB, Khatibi A, Petzold M, Bergh C. Neonatal and maternal outcome after frozen embryo transfer: increased risks in programmed cycles. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2019;221: 126.e1–18.
- Atkinson M, Crittenden J, Smith H, Sjoblom C. Retrospective cohort study on preparation regimens for frozen embryo transfer. Reprod Fertil 2021;2:308– 16.
- Opdahl S, Henningsen AA, Tiitinen A, Bergh C, Pinborg A, Romundstad PR, et al. Risk of hypertensive disorders in pregnancies following assisted reproductive technology: a cohort study from the CoNARTaS group. Hum Reprod 2015;30:1724–31.

- Moster D, Lie RT, Markestad T. Long-term medical and social consequences of preterm birth. N Engl J Med 2008;359:262–73.
- Koivurova S, Hartikainen AL, Gissler M, Hemminki E, Klemetti R, Järvelin MR. Health care costs resulting from IVF: prenatal and neonatal periods. Hum Reprod 2004;19:2798–805.
- Gissler M, Malin Silverio M, Hemminki E. In-vitro fertilization pregnancies and perinatal health in Finland 1991-1993. Hum Reprod 1995;10:1856–61.
- Lalani S, Choudhry AJ, Firth B, Bacal V, Walker M, Wen SW, et al. Endometriosis and adverse maternal, fetal and neonatal outcomes, a systematic review and meta-analysis. Hum Reprod 2018;33:1854–65.
- Palomba S, de Wilde MA, Falbo A, Koster MP, La Sala GB, Fauser BC. Pregnancy complications in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Hum Reprod Update 2015;21:575–92.
- Stephansson O, Kieler H, Granath F, Falconer H. Endometriosis, assisted reproduction technology, and risk of adverse pregnancy outcome. Hum Reprod 2009;24:2341–7.
- Reigstad MM, Larsen IK, Myklebust TÅ, Robsahm TE, Oldereid NB, Omland AK, et al. Risk of breast cancer following fertility treatment–a registry based cohort study of parous women in Norway. Int J Cancer 2015;136:1140–8.
- Nelissen EC, Van Montfoort AP, Coonen E, Derhaag JG, Geraedts JP, Smits LJ, et al. Further evidence that culture media affect perinatal outcome: findings after transfer of fresh and cryopreserved embryos. Hum Reprod 2012;27:1966–76.
- Zandstra H, Van Montfoort AP, Dumoulin JC. Does the type of culture medium used influence birthweight of children born after IVF? Hum Reprod 2015;30:530–42.
- Laugesen K, Ludvigsson JF, Schmidt M, Gissler M, Valdimarsdottir UA, Lunde A, et al. Nordic health registry-based research: a review of health care systems and key registries. Clin Epidemiol 2021;13:533–54.
- Ananth CV, Schisterman EF. Confounding, causality, and confusion: the role of intermediate variables in interpreting observational studies in obstetrics. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2017;217:167–75.
- VanderWeele TJ. Mediation analysis: a practitioner's guide. Annu Rev Public Health 2016;37:17–32.
- Oberg AS, VanderWeele TJ, Almqvist C, Hernandez-Diaz S. Pregnancy complications following fertility treatment-disentangling the role of multiple gestation. Int J Epidemiol 2018;47:1333–42.
- Flenady V, Wojcieszek AM, Middleton P, Ellwood D, Erwich JJ, Coory M, et al. Stillbirths: recall to action in high-income countries. Lancet 2016; 387:691–702.
- 56. Europe WHO. Early neonatal deaths per 1000 live births. 2022.
- 57. European IVF-monitoring Consortium (EIM)<sup>‡</sup> for the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE), Wyns C, Bergh C, Calhaz-Jorge C, De Geyter C, Kupka MS, et al. ART in Europe, 2016: results generated from European registries by ESHRE. Hum Reprod Open 2020;2020: hoaa032.
- Medical Birth registry [Internet]. Norwegian Institute of Public Health. Available at: http://statistikkbank.fhi.no/mfr/. Accessed October 2022.
- Pinborg A, Hougaard CO, Nyboe Andersen A, Molbo D, Schmidt L. Prospective longitudinal cohort study on cumulative 5-year delivery and adoption rates among 1338 couples initiating infertility treatment. Hum Reprod 2009;24:991–9.